

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Accuracy of Imputation for Apolipoprotein E epsilon Alleles in Genome-Wide Genotyping Data

Vuoksima, Eero

2020-01-24

Vuoksima, E, Palviainen, T, Lindgren, N, Rinne, J O & Kaprio, J 2020, ' Accuracy of Imputation for Apolipoprotein E epsilon Alleles in Genome-Wide Genotyping Data ', JAMA Network Open, vol. 3, no. 1, 1919960. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.19960>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/311323>

<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.19960>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.



Accuracy of Imputation for Apolipoprotein E ϵ Alleles in Genome-Wide Genotyping Data

Eero Vuoksimaa, PhD; Teemu Palviainen, MSc; Noora Lindgren, MSc; Juha O. Rinne, MD, PhD; Jaakko Kaprio, MD, PhD

Introduction

Given the importance of the apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene for risk of Alzheimer disease, determining this genotype is important in cognitive aging studies. Before the genome-wide genotyping era, the *APOE* gene (alleles $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 4$) was directly genotyped and defined by 2 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs429358 and rs7412, in chromosome 19. Owing to rapid development of genotyping technology, the price of genome-wide arrays covering approximately 500 000 SNPs has decreased to less than \$100, making it more cost-effective compared with direct genotyping of a single gene, such as *APOE*. In addition to genotyped SNPs, the information can be used to impute common nonmeasured variants such as rs429358 and rs7412 that are not directly genotyped on many chips. However, imputation accuracy depends on the genome-wide arrays, quality control, and reference samples.^{1,2}

In this diagnostic study, we evaluated the association of reference panels with imputation quality of rs429358 and rs7412 by comparing imputation based on 3 different reference panels: 1000 Genomes (1000G),³ Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC),⁴ and the Finnish-specific Sequencing Initiative Suomi (SISu).⁵

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Methods

We used a population-based older Finnish Twin Cohort⁶ study to examine the correspondence between rs429358 and rs7412 directly genotyped using a Sequenom (Taqman) and imputed rs429358 and rs7412 using 1000G Phase III version 5, HRC release 1.1, and SISu reference panels. Raw genotype data in a larger sample (5343 participants) using 5 array versions (12 v1.0 A, 12 v1.1 A, 24 v1.0 A, 24 v1.1 A, and 24 v1.2 A) of HumanCoreExome (Illumina) were merged before the quality control phase. We removed variants with call rate less than 97.5%, samples with call rate less than 95%, variants with minor allele frequency less than 1%, and variants with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$. We removed samples with heterozygosity test method-of-moments F coefficient estimate values less than -0.03 or greater than 0.05 , multidimensional scaling principal component analysis outliers, and samples that failed sex check. The number of genotyped autosomal variants after quality control was 239 894 (5328 participants).

We then performed prephasing using Eagle software version 2.3 (Broad Institute) and imputation with Minimac3 software version 2.0.1 (University of Michigan) (Table 1). The study sample (1704 participants) with directly genotyped rs429358 and rs7412 was extracted from each imputed data set. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethical committee of the Hospital District of Southwest Finland, and participants gave written informed consent.

Results

Participants were of European ancestry (mean [SD] age, 74.2 [4.9] years; 775 [45%] women). For directly genotyped individuals, 984 (57.7%) had $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ genotype, 521 (30.6%) had $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ or $\epsilon 4/\epsilon 4$, 171 (10%) had $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$ or $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$, and 28 (1.6%) had $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$. Allele frequencies were 0.060 for $\epsilon 2$, 0.765 for $\epsilon 3$, and 0.175 for $\epsilon 4$.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Based on 1000G, 1701 individuals (99.82%) had correctly classified alleles of both rs429358 and rs7412 (Table 1). Results were similar for HRC and SISu: 1702 individuals (99.88%) and 1703 individuals (99.94%) had correctly classified rs429358 and rs7412, respectively (Table 1).

Using the HRC reference panel, 1702 individuals (99.88%) had correctly classified *APOE* genotype and ϵ 4 carrier status (Table 2). Two (0.12%) of the ϵ 4 noncarriers based on directly genotyped SNPs were incorrectly classified as ϵ 4 carriers.

Table 1. Cross Tabulation of Directly Genotyped and Imputed Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism of rs429358 and rs7412 for 1704 Individuals^a

Imputation Based	Genotyped, No. (%)			Total, No.
1000 Genomes				
rs429358	TT	CT	CC	
	1152 (99.74)	3 (0.26)	0	1155
	0	503 (100)	0	503
CC	0	0	46 (100)	46
rs7412	CC	CT	TT	
	1505 (100)	0	0	1505
	2 (1.03)	192 (98.97)	0	194
TT	0	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	5
Haplotype Reference Consortium				
rs429358	TT	CT	CC	
	1153 (99.83)	2 (0.17)	0	1155
	0	503 (100)	0	503
CC	0	0	46 (100)	46
rs7412	CC	CT	TT	
	1505 (100)	0	0	1505
	0	194 (100)	0	194
TT	0	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	5
Sequencing Initiative Suomi				
rs429358	TT	CT	CC	
	1153 (99.83)	2 (0.17)	0	1155
	0	503 (100)	0	503
CC	0	0	46 (100)	46
rs7412	CC	CT	TT	
	1505 (100)	0	0	1505
	0	194 (100)	0	194
TT	0	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	5

^a Genotypes were imputed to 1000 Genomes Phase III version 5,³ Haplotype Reference Consortium release 1.1,⁴ and Sequencing Initiative Suomi Finnish-only reference panels.⁵ Imputation to 1000 Genomes and Haplotype Reference Consortium reference panels was done using the University of Michigan Imputation Server. The Sequencing Initiative Suomi reference panel consists of 16 962 023 variants from 3775 high-pass whole-genome (depth up to 30 \times) sequences.

Table 2. Cross Tabulation of Directly Genotyped and Haplotype Reference Consortium Reference Panel Imputation-Based *APOE* Status in 1704 Individuals

<i>APOE</i> Status (% Individuals)	<i>APOE</i> Status Based on Imputed Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms, No.						Total
	ϵ 2/ ϵ 2	ϵ 2/ ϵ 3	ϵ 2/ ϵ 4	ϵ 3/ ϵ 3	ϵ 3/ ϵ 4	ϵ 4/ ϵ 4	
ϵ 2/ ϵ 2 (0.29%)	4	0	1	0	0	0	5
ϵ 2/ ϵ 3 (9.74%)	0	166	0	0	0	0	166
ϵ 2/ ϵ 4 (1.64%)	0	0	28	0	0	0	28
ϵ 3/ ϵ 3 (57.75%)	0	0	0	983	1	0	984
ϵ 3/ ϵ 4 (27.88%)	0	0	0	0	475	0	475
ϵ 4/ ϵ 4 (2.70%)	0	0	0	0	0	46	46

Abbreviation: *APOE*, apolipoprotein E.

Discussion

This study found that by using arrays described in the Methods section, imputation to all 3 reference panels, 1000G, HRC, and SISu, yielded high imputation accuracy of rs429358 and rs7412, 2 SNPs needed to determine polymorphic *APOE* ε alleles. The number of Finnish samples do vary in different reference panels: 99 in 1000G Phase III, approximately 1900 in the HRC, and 3800 in the Finnish-only SISu. Considering 1000G Phase III yielded improved accuracy compared with Phase I.^{1,2} Our results also suggest that determination of *APOE* can be reached equally well with most recent freely available cosmopolitan reference panels compared with a population-specific reference panel. Still, all Finnish samples and inclusion of only 1 brand of arrays were also limitations, and these results should be confirmed in people with different ancestry.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: December 2, 2019.

Published: January 24, 2020. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.19960](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.19960)

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). © 2020 Vuoksima E et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Eero Vuoksima, PhD, Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), PO Box 20 (Tukholmankatu 8), 00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (eero.vuoksima@helsinki.fi).

Author Affiliations: Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (Vuoksima, Palviainen, Kaprio); Turku PET Centre, University of Turku, Turku, Finland (Lindgren, Rinne); Division of Clinical Neurosciences, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland (Rinne); Department of Public Health, Clinicum, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (Kaprio).

Author Contributions: Dr Vuoksima and Mr Palviainen had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Vuoksima, Palviainen, Kaprio.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Vuoksima, Palviainen.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Palviainen.

Obtained funding: Vuoksima, Rinne, Kaprio.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Kaprio.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Rinne reported serving as a neurology consultant for CRST Oy (Clinical Research Services Turku). No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by the Academy of Finland (grants 314639 and 320109 to Dr Vuoksima, grant 312073 to Dr Kaprio, and grant 310962 to Dr Rinne) and a Sigrid Juselius Foundation grant to Dr Rinne. Ms Lindgren was supported by the Finnish Cultural Foundation, Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg Foundation, Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation, Turku University Foundation, Finnish Brain Foundation, and Finnish State Research Funding.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank the participants of the older Finnish Twin Cohort study.

REFERENCES

1. Oldmeadow C, Holliday EG, McEvoy M, et al. Concordance between direct and imputed *APOE* genotypes using 1000 Genomes data. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2014;42(2):391-393. doi:[10.3233/JAD-140846](https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-140846)
2. Lupton MK, Medland SE, Gordon SD, et al. Accuracy of inferred *APOE* genotypes for a range of genotyping arrays and imputation reference panels. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2018;64(1):49-54. doi:[10.3233/JAD-171104](https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-171104)
3. Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al; 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*. 2015;526(7571):68-74. doi:[10.1038/nature15393](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393)

4. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, et al; Haplotype Reference Consortium. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(10):1279-1283. doi:[10.1038/ng.3643](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3643)
5. Lim ET, Würtz P, Havulinna AS, et al; Sequencing Initiative Suomi (SiSu) Project. Distribution and medical impact of loss-of-function variants in the Finnish founder population. *PLoS Genet*. 2014;10(7):e1004494. doi:[10.1371/journal.pgen.1004494](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004494)
6. Vuoksima E, Rinne JO, Lindgren N, Heikkilä K, Koskenvuo M, Kaprio J. Middle age self-report risk score predicts cognitive functioning and dementia in 20-40 years. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*. 2016;4:118-125. doi:[10.1016/j.dadm.2016.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2016.08.003)