Efficacy and Safety of High Potent P2Y Inhibitors Prasugrel and Ticagrelor in Patients With Coronary Heart Disease Treated With Dual Antiplatelet Therapy: A Sex#Specific Systematic Review and Meta#Analysis

Michelle M. SchreuderRicardo BadalEric BoersmaMaryam KavousiJolien Roos# HesselinkJorie VersmissenLoes E. VisserJeanine E. Roeters van Lennep 2020, 9 (4), • DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.014457 • Publication Date (Web): 17 Feb 2020

Downloaded from www.ahajournals.org on March 4, 2020

More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article



Efficacy and Safety of High Potent P2Y₁₂ Inhibitors Prasugrel and Ticagrelor in Patients With Coronary Heart Disease Treated With Dual Antiplatelet Therapy: A Sex-Specific Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Michelle M. Schreuder, BSc; Ricardo Badal, BSc; Eric Boersma, MSc, PhD; Maryam Kavousi, MD, PhD; Jolien Roos-Hesselink, MD, PhD; Jorie Versmissen, MD, PhD; Loes E. Visser, MSc, PhD; Jeanine E. Roeters van Lennep, MD, PhD

Background—Sex differences in efficacy and safety of dual antiplatelet therapy remain uncertain because of the underrepresentation of women in cardiovascular trials. The aim of this study was to perform a sex-specific analysis of the pooled efficacy and safety data of clinical trials comparing a high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor+aspirin with clopidogrel+aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndrome.

Methods and Results—A systematic literature search was performed. Randomized clinical trials that compared patients following percutaneous coronary intervention/acute coronary syndrome who were taking high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors+aspirin versus clopidogrel+aspirin were selected. Random effects estimates were calculated and relative risks with 95% Cls on efficacy and safety end points were determined per sex. We included 6 randomized clinical trials comparing prasugrel/ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in 43 990 patients (13 030 women), with a median follow-up time of 1.06 years. Women and men had similar relative risk (RR) reduction for major cardiovascular events (women: RR, 0.89 [95% Cl, 0.80–1.00; men: RR, 0.84 [95% Cl, 0.79–0.91) (*P* for interaction=0.39). Regarding safety, women and men had similar risk of major bleeding by high-potency dual antiplatelet therapy (RR, 1.18 [95% Cl, 0.98–1.41] versus RR, 1.03 [95% Cl, 0.93–1.14]) (*P* for interaction=0.20).

Conclusions—The small and statistically insignificant difference in efficacy and safety estimates of high-potency dual antiplatelet therapy between women and men following percutaneous coronary intervention/acute coronary syndrome do not justify differential dual antiplatelet therapy treatment for both sexes. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2020;9:e014457. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119. 014457.)

Key Words: coronary artery disease • dual antiplatelet therapy • sex-specific

C urrent guidelines for the management of patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) recommend the use of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), a combination of aspirin and an oral inhibitor of the platelet P2Y₁₂ receptor, to reduce coronary thrombosis and mortality in patients who experienced an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or who underwent a

percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Although DAPT is effective in decreasing thrombotic complications in these patients, the therapy increases the risk of bleeding complications. Therefore, risk assessment balancing thrombotic versus bleeding risk is warranted before DAPT is considered.¹

The next-generation P2Y₁₂ inhibitor prasugrel has a more rapid onset of action than clopidogrel, attributable to more efficient metabolic activations,² and leads to a higher reduction of ischemic events compared with clopidogrel.³ Later, ticagrelor was developed, which reversibly inhibits the P2Y₁₂ receptor so the effects can be reversed more easily and not be a prodrug, leading to a faster onset of action because it does not require conversion to an active metabolite.^{4,5} A large clinical trial also showed higher efficacy of ticagrelor in the reduction of ischemic events and stent thrombosis (ST) compared with clopidogrel.⁶

Therefore, the high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors ticagrelor or prasugrel in combination with aspirin are currently recommended as first-choice therapy in patients with ACS.¹

From the Departments of Internal Medicine (M.M.S., R.B., J.V., J.E.R.v.L.), Epidemiology (E.B., M.K., L.E.V.), and Cardiology (J.R.-H.), Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Accompanying Tables S1 through S6 and Figures S1 through S28 are available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119. 014457

Correspondence to: Michelle M. Schreuder, BSc, 's Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE Rotterdam, Rg5, The Netherlands. E-mail: m.m.schreuder@erasmusmc.nl Received August 28, 2019; accepted December 19, 2019.

^{© 2020} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- Women are less likely to be treated with high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors prasugrel/ticagrelor than men in clinical practice.
- Sex-specific additional risk for cardiovascular end points and bleeding of prasugrel/ticagrelor compared with clopidogrel is lacking.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- We showed that there are no significant sex differences in efficacy and safety of the high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors prasugrel/ticagrelor compared with clopidogrel.
- This should lead the way to prescribing guideline-recommended high potent dual antiplatelet therapy in both men and women.

The latest update of the European Society of Cardiology guidelines on DAPT in patients with CAD state that there is "no convincing evidence for a gender-related difference in the efficacy and safety of currently available DAPT type or duration across studies."¹ However, taking into account that the typical women to men ratio in these trials is 1:4, analyses stratified by sex—if published—are underpowered and therefore sex differences in efficacy and safety of DAPT remain uncertain.⁷ In addition, registries have shown that women are less likely to be treated with high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors than men in clinical practice.⁸

Currently, it is more recognized that the efficacy and safety of drugs may differ between men and women. As women have lower body weight, a higher fat/water balance, and a lower clearance in general, as well as different hormonal composition, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics can be affected.^{9–11} Therefore, to be able to provide sex- and gender-specific guideline recommendations it is important to verify whether efficacy and safety is equal for specific drugs, especially when these are prescribed to a large number of both male and female patients.

The aim of this study was to perform sex-specific analyses of the pooled efficacy and safety data of trials comparing high potent DAPT prasugrel/ticagrelor against clopidogrel in patients with ACS with or without PCI.

Methods

Our protocol is published on PROSPERO (ID: CRD420180 82179).

The authors declare that all supporting data are available within the article (and its online supplementary files).

Literature Search

We developed a search strategy to identify randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating the efficacy and safety of aspirin and P2Y₁₂ inhibitors compared with aspirin, aspirin+-placebo, or clopidogrel+aspirin in patients with CAD. We performed a systematic literature search in MEDLINE Ovid, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled trials (latest search performed: June 2018). For the full search strategies, see Table S1. In addition, reference lists from eligible trials were reviewed to identify potentially relevant trials.

Population

We considered studies of participants who were assigned to DAPT for cardiovascular prevention following PCI with or without coronary stent, or after admission for ACS. Studies focusing on the use of DAPT in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery were excluded, as the efficacy and safety of DAPT in these patients is complex and dependent on pretreatment with PCI.¹²

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were eligible if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) original full-text article; (2) RCT or double-blind, single-blind, or open-label design; (3) DAPT treatment as secondary prevention after either PCI following documented CAD or a diagnosis of CAD with a high risk of events, eg, previous myocardial infarction (MI); (4) DAPT treatment >1 month; (5) analysis on both cardiovascular outcomes and adverse events; (6) \geq 50 participants in the intervention and control group; and (7) population age \geq 18 years. Language was restricted to English.

For our study, the regimen of DAPT was limited to the following combinations: ticagrelor+aspirin and prasugrel+aspirin versus clopidogrel+aspirin. Studies analyzing the effect of cangrelor and elinogrel were excluded as these are administered intravenously when oral drugs are contraindicated and therefore the duration of use of these agents is generally limited.

Studies were excluded if: (1) the population had cardiovascular disease other than ACS, (2) DAPT was intended as primary cardiovascular prevention, and (3) the population was nonhuman.

If more than 1 published article was available from the same trial, the article with the most detailed information regarding cardiovascular outcomes and adverse events was included.

See Table S2 for the full overview of the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Data Extraction

A systematic 2-step screening of the literature was performed by 2 independent reviewers (R.B. and L.E.V.). The title and abstract screening was first performed, and then the full-text screening.

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on March 4, 2020

 Table 1. Description of Included Trials in the Meta-Analysis

Cochrane Collaboration Tool, Risk of Bias	Low	Гом	Low	Гом	Low	Low
Bleeding Classification	IMIT	TIMI+GUSTO/ PLATO defined TIMI bleeding	TIMI	BARC	TIMI/GUSTO	IMI
Efficacy End Points	MI, ACM, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia	ACM, CVM, MI, CVA, ST	MACE: CVM, nonfatal MI, and stroke	MACE: CVM, UR, stroke	MACE: CVM, nonfatal MI, and stroke	MACE: ACM, CVM, MI, ST
Control	Clopidogrel+ aspirin	Clopidogrel+ aspirin	Clopidogrel+ aspirin	Clopidogrel+ aspirin	Clopidogrel+ aspirin	Clopidogrel+ aspirin
Intervention	Ticagrelor+ aspirin	Ticagrelor+ aspirin	Prasugrel+ aspirin	Prasugrel/ ticagrelor+ aspirin	Prasugrel+ aspirin	Prasugrel+ aspirin
Follow-Up Start Related to Event	Not specifically reported	Directly after PCI	When scheduled for PCI	1 mo after PCI	Within 10 d after index event	When scheduled for PCI
Follow- Up, Median	56 d	279 d	210.5 d [†]	359 d	17 mo	14.5 mo
Revascu- larization *	22	PCI with DES or BMS	PCI with BMS or DES	22	8	PCI with DES or BMS
Sample Size, No.	948 316	18 624 5288 ♀ 13 336 ♂	1363 289 ♀ 1074 ♂	646 114	9326 3650 ♀ 5676 ♂	13 608 3523 ♀ 10 085ơ
Age, y	Ticagrelor: 64, clopidogrel 62	Ticagrelor: 61, clopidogrel: 61	Prasugrel: 65.4, clopidogrel: 65.1	Ticagrelor/ prasugrel: 59.6, clopidogrel: 60.6	Prasugrel: 66, clopidogrel: 66	Prasugrel: 74, clopidogrel: 74
Population*	NSTE- ACS	ACS	ACS	ACS	NSTEMI or UA	ACS
Year, Baseline	2004	2006	2010	2014	2008	2004
Trial	DISPERSE- 2 ²²	PLAT0 ⁶	PRASFIT- ACS ²³	T0PIC, 2017 ²⁴	TRILOGY ACS ²⁵	TRITON- TIMI 38 ³
Country	UK (multicenter trial)	United States (multicenter trial)	Japan	France	United States (multicenter)	France (multicenter)
Year, Publication	2007	2009	2014	2017	2012	2007
Author	Cannon et al ²²	Wallentin et al ⁶	Saito et al ²³	Cuisset et al ²⁴	Roe et al ²⁵	Wiviott et al ³
	-	2	m	4	2 2	ø

indicates Bleeding Academic Research Consortium; DISPERSE-2, Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6 140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2; GUSTO, Global Utilization of Streptokinase and TPA for Occluded Arteries; NSTE-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; PLATO, Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes, PRASFIT-ACS, Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infraction; TOPIC, Timing of Platelet Inhibition After Acute Coronary Syndromes; TRILOCY ACS, Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes; TRILON-TIMI, Trial to (BMS). Efficacy end points: all-cause mortality (ACM), cardiovascular mortality (CVM), myocardial infarction (MI), stent thrombosis (ST), cerebrovascular accident (CVA), unplanned revascularization (UR), major cardiovascular event (MACE). BARC cion (PCI), drug-eluting stent (DES), bare-metal stent oronary interven on: perc angina (UA). Kevasc Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction. The median follow-up was not mentioned; therefore, we used the weighed mean follow-up of the intervention and control group. (NSIEMI), unsta elevatior -segment-(AUS), lary syndrome



Figure 1. Flowchart describing the screening and selection process. *See Table S3 for the appropriate exclusion reasons for title and abstract screening.

Disagreements during the title/abstract and full-text screening about whether to include a study were resolved by discussion with a third investigator (M.M.S.) to reach consensus.

Of the included trials, the following relevant data were extracted: trial name, first author, journal, publication year, country, the blinding method that was applied, treatment of intervention and control arms, demographic characteristics (indication, duration of follow-up, sample size), age, and sex. Efficacy and safety end points were extracted, if reported, for women and men separately.

If data of the included trials were not available, we requested both efficacy and safety end points per sex by contacting the corresponding author.

The risk of bias in the included trials for the meta-analysis was assessed with the Cochrane Collaboration's tool¹³ (Table 1 and Table S3). This tool consists of 6 domains of bias in which different aspects are covered. The risk per aspect was categorized by the reviewers as low, unclear, or high.

Efficacy and Safety End Points

The primary efficacy end point was major cardiovascular event (MACE). For the definition of MACE per included trial, see Table S4. The secondary efficacy end points were all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, MI, stroke, and ST.

The primary safety end point was defined as major bleeding, based on the thrombolysis in MI bleeding criteria 1; Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC) 2, 3, and 5; or Global Utilization of Streptokinase and TPA for Occluded Arteries (GUSTO) bleeding criteria 1.^{14–16} The secondary safety end point was defined as minor bleeding, based on the thrombolysis in MI bleeding criteria 2.

Statistical Analyses

Potential sex differences in efficacy and safety of potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors (prasugrel or ticagrelor)+aspirin versus clopidogrel+

Table 2. Efficacy and Safety Analysis of High Potent P2Y₁₂ Inhibitor+Aspirin vs Clopidogrel+Aspirin

End Points	RR (95% CI)	Events Intervention	Events Control	P Value
MACE				
High potent P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor+aspirin vs clopidogrel+aspirin	0.87 (0.80–0.94)	2211/21 828	2540/21 754	<0.001
Major bleeding				
High potent P2Y12 inhibitor+aspirin vs clopidogrel+aspirin	1.06 (0.97–1.17)	901/22 078	842/21 998	0.184

MACE indicates major cardiovascular event; RR, relative risk.

aspirin were determined by extracting MACE end points and major bleeding for women and men separately from the selected trials. The pooled relative risks (RRs) for efficacy and safety end points and 95% CIs were then estimated per sex with a random effect model computed based on the DerSimonian and Laird method.¹⁷ Under the null hypothesis, the difference in ln(RR_{pooled}) between women and men follows (approximately) a normal distribution. We therefore calculated the statistic *Z* difference in ln(RR_{pooled})/standard error, which we then compared with the standard normal distribution to reveal the level of significance.

The pooled absolute risk reduction was determined as follows. First, for each trial, the absolute risks in treatment and control arms were calculated as the number of patients with an end point event divided by the corresponding sample size. Then, the absolute risk reduction was defined as the difference in absolute risk in the treatment arm minus control. Finally, trial estimates were pooled using the inverse of the variance of the absolute risk reductions as weighing factor. Numbers needed to treat/harm were calculated for the differences in absolute risk, based on the weighed median duration of follow-up of all trials.

Statistical analyses were performed in STATA (version 14, StataCorp LLC) and in R. For the STATA scripts, see Table S5. All tests were 2-sided, with significance defined as a P value of <0.05.

Heterogeneity

Heterogeneity between studies was assessed based on the Q-statistic and quantified by l^2 statistic. Moreover, a 95% prediction interval was determined in order to better report heterogeneity between studies.^{18–20} Small-study effects were assessed using contoured funnel plots and the Egger test.²¹

Table 3. Sex-Specific Efficacy and Safety Analysis of High Potent P2Y₁₂ Inhibitor+Aspirin vs Clopidogrel+Aspirin

Efficacy and Safety Analysis Based on High Potent DAPT vs Clopidogrel+Aspirin							
	Female		Male				
End Points	RR (95% CI)	Events Intervention	Events Control	RR (95% CI)	Events Intervention	Events Control	Sex Interaction
MACE*	0.91 (0.83–1.00)	737/6497	818/6543	0.85 (0.80-0.91)	1474/15 410	1722/15 277	<i>P</i> =0.24
All-cause mortality	0.91 (0.79–1.05)	360/6530	396/6574	0.86 (0.77–0.95)	630/15 620	732/15 503	<i>P</i> =0.53
Cardiovascular mortality	0.88 (0.76–1.03)	294/6530	333/6574	0.85 (0.76–0.96)	516/15 620	603/15 503	<i>P</i> =0.72
MI	0.88 (0.78–1.00)	455/6530	520/6574	0.82 (0.74–0.93)	991/15 620	1201/15 503	<i>P</i> =0.41
ST [†]	0.52 (0.23–1.16)	24/6307	51/6369	0.56 (0.44–0.70)	111/15 416	197/15 286	<i>P</i> =0.86
Stroke [‡]	1.03 (0.78–1.37)	100/6497	98/6551	1.02 (0.82–1.26)	178/15 512	174/15 392	<i>P</i> =0.96
Major bleeding	1.18 (0.98–1.41)	237/6509	201/6554	1.03 (0.93–1.14)	664/15 569	641/15 444	<i>P</i> =0.20
Minor bleeding	1.13 (0.75–1.71)	207/6509	196/6554	1.20 (0.94–1.52)	357/15 569	293/15 444	<i>P</i> =0.80

ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; MI, myocardial infarction; RR, relative risk; NSTEMI, non-ST-segmentelevation myocardial infarction.

*The TOPIC (Timing of Platelet Inhibition After Acute Coronary Syndrome) trial was not included because they did not report a major cardiovascular event (MACE) end point. [†]DISPERSE-2 (Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2) was not included because they did not report a stent thrombosis (ST) end point and the TOPIC ticagrelor and PRASFIT-ACS (Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI) trials were not included because there were no ST events during follow-up.

¹TOPIC ticagrelor was not included because there were no stroke events during follow-up. Stroke was defined as either ischemic stroke (TOPIC, TRITON-TIMI 38 [Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel—Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38], and PRASFIT-ACS) or ischemic/hemorrhagic stroke (DISPERSE-2, TRILOGY ACS [Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes], and PLATO [Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes] trials).



Figure 2. The relative risk (RR) of major cardiovascular events (MACEs) in women treated with a high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs clopidogrel. ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; DISPERSE-2, Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PLATO, Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes; PRASFIT-ACS, Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI; TRILOGY ACS, Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; TRITON-TIMI 38, Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38.

Results

Characteristics of the RCTs

Twelve trials were found eligible for inclusion in our metaanalysis. Five trials reported their outcomes for women and men separately in the original publications, subanalyses, or in previously published systematic reviews and meta-analyses (Figure 1, Table S6). One of the corresponding authors of the remaining trials who was contacted for their efficacy and safety outcomes stratified by sex provided the required sexspecific data. Three investigators declined to perform the additional analyses requested as a result of low capacity in staff, and 2 authors did not respond to our requests.

Thus, 6 trials with a total of 13 030 (30%) female and 30 960 (70%) male participants were included in our metaanalysis.

Key characteristics of these trials are presented in Table 1.^{3,6,22–25} The weighed median follow-up time was 1.06 years. The population of the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes), PRASFIT-ACS (Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI), TOPIC (Timing of Platelet Inhibition After Acute Coronary Syndrome), and TRITON-TIMI 38 (Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38) trials consisted of patients with ACS, whereas the DISPERSE-2 (Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2) trial exclusively enrolled patients with non-ST-segment elevation ACS, and the TRILOGY ACS (Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes) trial included only patients with non-STsegment-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) or unstable angina. All trials enrolled patients who underwent revascularization, except for the TRILOGY ACS trial, in which patients were only eligible if they received medical treatment without revascularization after the index event. Prasugrel was used as the high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor in 3 trials, ticagrelor was used as the high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor in 2 trials, and prasugrel or ticagrelor was used as the high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor in 1 trial. In the DISPERSE-2 trial, the 90 mg ticagrelor dosage group was included as the treatment group.

Quality Assessment

Quality assessment is presented in Table S3. All included trials scored low on selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, and reporting bias; therefore,



Figure 3. The relative risk (RR) of major cardiovascular events (MACEs) in men treated with a high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs clopidogrel. ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; DISPERSE-2, Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PLATO, Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes; PRASFIT-ACS, Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI; TRILOGY ACS, Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes; TRITON-TIMI 38, Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38.

all have been evaluated as having low risk of bias. The most prevalent potential risk of bias was because studies did not clearly indicate the allocation concealment.

Efficacy Outcomes

High potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (prasugrel or ticagrelor)+aspirin was associated with an additional reduction in MACE compared with clopidogrel+aspirin (RR, 0.87; 95% Cl, 0.80–0.94 [*P*<0.001]) (Table 2). Women and men had similar relative risk reduction (women: RR, 0.89 [95% Cl, 0.80–1.00]; men: RR, 0.84 [95% Cl, 0.79–0.91) (*P* for interaction=0.39) (Table 3 and Figures 2 and $3^{3,6,22-25}$). The number needed to treat with high potency DAPT versus clopidogrel+aspirin to prevent 1 MACE was 88 for women and 55 for men based on a weighed median duration of treatment of 1.06 years (Table 4).

Our secondary efficacy end points (all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, MI, ST, and stroke) also did not show any significant difference between women and men (Figures S1 through S10). The statistics of all efficacy end points are summarized in Table 3. Regarding the absolute numbers, women compared with men showed less absolute risk reduction in all-cause mortality (0.3% versus 0.6%), cardiovascular

mortality (0.3 versus 0.4), MI (0.8% versus 1.3%), and ST (1.15% versus 1.22%) (Table 4). In addition, the absolute risks for the efficacy end points were slightly higher in women than men for high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors, except for ST and stroke (Table 4).

Safety Outcome

Risk for major bleeding in patients treated with high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor+aspirin compared with clopidogrel+aspirin was not significantly increased (RR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.97–1.17 [*P*=0.2]) (Table 2). Also, no differences between women and men were observed regarding major bleeding in patients randomized to high potent DAPT versus clopidogrel+aspirin (women: RR, 1.18 [95% CI, 0.98–1.41]; men: RR, 1.03 [95% CI, 0.93–1.14]) (*P* for interaction=0.2) (Table 3 and Figures 4 and $5^{3,6,22-25}$).

Adding prasugrel or ticagrelor to aspirin instead of clopidogrel was associated with an increased risk of major bleeding of 0.2% in women and 0.04% in men, resulting in a number needed to harm for high potent DAPT treatment of 538 women versus 2489 men based on a weighed median duration of treatment of 1.05 years (Table 4).

Table 4.Pooled Absolute Event Rates and NNT/NNH WithHigh Potent P2Y12Inhibitor+Aspirin vs Clopidogrel+Aspirin

	High Potent		Absolute			
	P2Y ₁₂ Inhibitor, %	Control, %	Risk Difference, %	NNT/NNH		
MACE	,	,	,			
Women	11.1	11.9	0.8	131		
Men	9.3	11.1	1.8	58		
All-cause mo	ortality					
Women	4.8	5.1	0.3	364		
Men	3.1	3.7	0.6	191		
CVM						
Women	4.0	4.3	0.3	424		
Men	2.4	2.8	0.4	232		
MI	MI					
Women	6.9	7.7	0.8	114		
Men	6.5	7.8	1.3	74		
ST						
Women	0.06	1.3	1.2	140		
Men	0.6	1	0.4	256		
Stroke*						
Women	1.4	0.4	1	96		
Men	1	1.1	0.1	5912		
Major bleedir	Major bleeding					
Women	2.8	2.6	0.2	541		
Men	2.6	2.6	0.04	2474		
Minor bleedir	ng					
Women	2.6	1.8	0.8	911		
Men	2.6	2.9	0.3	268		

CVM indicates cardiovascular mortality; MACE, major cardiovascular event; MI, myocardial infarction; NNH, number needed to harm; NNT, number needed to treat; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; ST, stent thrombosis. *DISPERSE-2 (Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2), TRILOGY ACS (Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes), and PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) trials defined stroke as either ischemic or hemorrhagic.

Minor bleeding also showed no sex differences (Table 3 and Figures S11 and S12). Regarding the absolute numbers, the additional risks for minor bleeding in men using high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor+aspirin were slightly higher compared with women (0.8% versus 0.3%) (Table 4).

Heterogeneity

Some heterogeneity was found in the efficacy end point of MI in men between studies for MI in men ($l^2=29.2\%$, Q statistic P=0.205) and ST in women ($l^2=49.3\%$, Q statistic P=0.1) with prediction intervals slightly exceeding the CI of the pooled

effect. However, the Egger test showed no indication for small-study effects (Figures S13 through S28).

Discussion

Our systematic review and meta-analysis show that the efficacy and safety of high potent DAPT (prasugrel or ticragelor in combination with aspirin) compared with clopidogrel+aspirin in patients with ACS are similar in both men and women. No sex difference was observed in additional reduction of MACE or increase of bleeding risk in patients randomized to high potent DAPT versus clopidogrel+aspirin. However, women randomized to aspirin+clopidogrel had 1.3% higher MACE risk and 1.1% lower risk of major bleeds, so that the differences in absolute treatment effects between women and men were negligibly small. Hence, our study supports similar DAPT management in both sexes.

Sex Differences in Response to Antiplatelet Therapy

It has currently been acknowledged that poor response to clopidogrel can be explained by increased platelet reactivity.^{26,27} In vitro studies have shown that women have increased platelet reactivity compared with men; however, the underlying mechanism of this sex difference is not completely understood. It has been suggested that it may be caused by higher levels of estrogen in women, which leads to increased platelet to platelet aggregation,^{28,29} increased platelet adhesion to fibrinogen,³⁰ and platelet interaction with leukocytes.³¹

A subanalysis of the ADAPT-DES (Assessment of Dual AntiPlatelet Therapy with Drug-Eluting Stents) study (8448 patients [25.6% women who underwent PCI]) compared the risk for ST and bleeding in patients with high platelet reactivity (HPR) versus patients without HPR, stratified by sex. They found that both men and women with HPR had an increased risk of ST, but only a significantly lower risk of bleeding in women with HPR was observed.³² They also observed that HPR was more prevalent in women than men (51.7% versus 39.6%; *P*<0.0001), which might explain sex differences in response to treatment with clopidogrel.

However, a sex-specific meta-analysis of 5 trials including 79 613 patients (30% women) compared clopidogrel+aspirin versus aspirin monotherapy in patients with CVD and found that DAPT was slightly less effective in the prevention of CVD in women but there were no significant sex differences in efficacy to prevent MACE or safety depicted as major bleeding.³³ Another meta-analysis focusing on short- versus long-term DAPT treatment in men and women, including 6 randomized trials, concluded that short-term treatment leads to similar rates of MACE as



Figure 4. The relative risk (RR) of major bleeding in women treated with a high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs clopidogrel. ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; DISPERSE-2, Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PLATO, Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes; PRASFIT-ACS, Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI; TRILOGY ACS, Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes; TRITON-TIMI 38, Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38.

long-term treatment, but a lower risk of bleeding with no sex differences was observed.

High potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors prasugrel, ticagrelor, and cangrelor have a stronger antiplatelet action and therefore are also effective in patients with HPR. Two sex-specific metaanalyses assessing the efficacy and safety of high-potent DAPT were previously published. Lau et al³⁴ included 7 trials involving 87 840 patients (24 494 women) with CAD and found no sex differences for MACE or major bleeding. However, in this metaanalysis, 3 trials assessing cangrelor were included and the effect of cangrelor, prasugrel, and ticagrelor was pooled, while we excluded trials assessing cangrelor in our meta-analysis because this drug is intravenously administered and only prescribed in the first 48 hours following PCI.

A less extensive meta-analysis compared with the current study was published by Zaccardi et al,³⁵ consisting of 3 trials with 24 844 patients (7232 women) testing prasugrel versus clopidogrel or placebo and 1 trial with 18 624 participants (5288 women) treated with ticagrelor versus clopidogrel. No significant differences were found in cardiovascular or bleeding events in the prasugrel or ticagrelor subgroups.³⁵

Therefore, our results are in line with these meta-analyses but add to the current literature in that it contains the largest number of studies and patients treated with high potent DAPT according to the recommendations of the current guidelines in patients who are treated >1 year. With this meta-analysis we show that the guidelines statement that no relevant sex differences in efficacy and safety of DAPT exist, can be validated.

Management of Men and Women With ACS

Women have worse cardiovascular outcomes than men after ACS.^{36,37} Underlying causes for this are women's higher age at ACS and women having more comorbidities than men, such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and renal failure.³⁶ Moreover, differences in the management of ACS in women have been suggested as a reason for worse clinical outcomes. Multiple registry studies have shown that women with ACS are less likely to be treated according to the guidelines.^{8,37–39} The SWEDEHEART (Swedish Web-System for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-Based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies) registry previously showed that women with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) are less likely to be given reperfusion therapy.⁴⁰



Figure 5. The relative risk (RR) of major bleeding in men treated with a high potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs clopidogrel. ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; DISPERSE-2, Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in NSTEMI 2; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PLATO, Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes; PRASFIT-ACS, Prasugrel Compared With Clopidogrel for Japanese Patients With ACS Undergoing PCI; TRILOGY ACS, Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes; TRITON-TIMI 38, Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38.

Moreover, DAPT is more often prescribed in men than women with ACS. When DAPT was prescribed in women, the low-intensity $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor clopidogrel was more frequently used in women compared with men, while the more effective high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor prasugrel was preferred in men.⁴¹

The most likely reason for this undertreatment is the hypothetical concern for higher risk of bleeding in women.^{42,43} Regarding milder forms of bleeding, it should be noted that access site hematomas occur more often in women than men (22% versus 5.8%, respectively; P<0.0001).⁴⁴ However, we showed no evidence for an increased risk of major bleeding in women. Therefore, more research on bleeding avoidance strategies is warranted to reduce access site hematomas, especially in women, but it is unjustified to treat women differently or less aggressively with DAPT in the long term because of risk for major bleeding.

Moreover, in the 2 years following PCI, both physicianrecommended disruption (mostly because of bleeding) and nonrecommended disruption of DAPT (because of patient noncompliance) were more common in women than in men (59.1% versus 55.9%, respectively; P=0.007).^{41,45} The impact of DAPT cessation was similar in women and men, with disruption significantly associated with ischemic and bleeding events in both sexes.^{45,46} Therefore, it is important to resume DAPT after cessation to prevent cardiovascular events in the long term in both sexes.

Study Strengths and Limitations

Our meta-analysis included all contemporary studies using guideline-recommended high potency DAPT. Treatment in control groups was homogeneous (clopidogrel+aspirin), and we reported an average follow-up of at least 1 year, thus describing the longer-term effects of high potency DAPT in women and men.

Limitations are that we found inter-trial variations in study design, study population, follow-up duration, percentage of women included, dosage of prasugrel/ticagrelor, and definition of MACE and stroke end points. In addition, it should be noted that our results are based on RCT data, in which the included patients may not fully reflect real-life patients with ACS. In particular, women are less likely to be representative as they develop cardiovascular disease at a later age then men and might thus exceed the upper age limit determined by the RCT.⁴⁷ Also, women with cardiovascular disease in general have more comorbidities than men, which can lead to exclusion from an RCT.⁴⁸ Last, our study added only

received sex-specific data from 1 extra trial that was not previously presented; however, the sex-specific stroke data of the trials have not been published before in a meta-analysis.³⁴

Conclusions

No significant sex differences in efficacy and safety of the high potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors were observed and therefore there is no reason to treat women and men differently. Our meta-analysis can be used to substantiate the essential evidence that sex-specific recommendations regarding the use of high potent DAPT are unjustified. Therefore, this should lead the way to implementation of prescribing guideline-recommended DAPT in both men and women.

Sources of Funding

The project was funded by the Dutch Heart Foundation. There are no relations with industry.

Disclosures

Dr Roeters van Lennep reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation and from Amryt during the conduct of the study. Dr Versmissen reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation during the conduct of the study. Dr Kavousi reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation during the conduct of the study. Professor Boersma reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation during the conduct of the study. Dr Visser reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation during the conduct of the study. Ms Schreuder reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation during the conduct of the study. Professor Roos-Hesselink reports grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation during the conduct of the study. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

References

- Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, Collet JP, Costa F, Jeppsson A, Jüni P, Kastrati A, Kolh P, Mauri L, Montalescot G, Neumann FJ, Petricevic M, Roffi M, Steg PG, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Levine GN. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: the Task Force for dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:213–260.
- Sugidachi A, Asai F, Ogawa T, Inoue T, Koike H. The in vivo pharmacological profile of CS-747, a novel antiplatelet agent with platelet ADP receptor antagonist properties. Br J Pharmacol. 2000;129:1439–1446.
- Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Gottlieb S, Neumann FJ, Ardissino D, De Servi S, Murphy SA, Riesmeyer J, Weerakkody G, Gibson CM, Antman EM; TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:2001–2015.
- Capodanno D, Dharmashankar K, Angiolillo DJ. Mechanism of action and clinical development of ticagrelor, a novel platelet ADP P2Y12 receptor antagonist. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther.* 2010;8:151–158.

- Ferreiro JL, Ueno M, Angiolillo DJ. Cangrelor: a review on its mechanism of action and clinical development. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2009;7:1195–1201.
- Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, Horrow J, Husted S, James S, Katus H, Mahaffey KW, Scirica BM, Skene A, Steg PG, Storey RF, Harrington RA; Investigators P, Freij A, Thorsen M. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:1045–1057.
- Kim ES, Carrigan TP, Menon V. Enrollment of women in National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-funded cardiovascular randomized controlled trials fails to meet current federal mandates for inclusion. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52:672– 673.
- Marcano A, Vivas D, Gomez-Polo JC, Tello A, De La Hera J, Marin F, Roldan I, Ferreiro JL. Underuse of potent oral P2Y12 inhibitors in women with an acute coronary syndrome, despite having a higher risk profile than male patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:1199.
- Fattinger K, Roos M, Vergeres P, Holenstein C, Kind B, Masche U, Stocker DN, Braunschweig S, Kullak-Ublick GA, Galeazzi RL, Follath F, Gasser T, Meier PJ. Epidemiology of drug exposure and adverse drug reactions in two swiss departments of internal medicine. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2000;49:158–167.
- Oertelt-Prigione S, Regitz-Zagrosek V. Gender aspects in cardiovascular pharmacology. J Cardiovasc Transl Res. 2009;2:258–266.
- Zopf Y, Rabe C, Neubert A, Gassmann KG, Rascher W, Hahn EG, Brune K, Dormann H. Women encounter ADRs more often than do men. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;64:999–1004.
- 12. Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, Mueller C, Valgimigli M, Andreotti F, Bax JJ, Borger MA, Brotons C, Chew DP, Gencer B, Hasenfuss G, Kjeldsen K, Lancellotti P, Landmesser U, Mehilli J, Mukherjee D, Storey RF, Windecker S, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2015 ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent STsegment elevation: Task Force for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2016;37:267–315.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savović J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- Chesebro JH, Knatterud G, Roberts R, Borer J, Cohen LS, Dalen J, Dodge HT, Francis CK, Hillis D, Ludbrook P. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) Trial, Phase I: a comparison between intravenous tissue plasminogen activator and intravenous streptokinase. Clinical findings through hospital discharge. *Circulation*. 1987;76:142–154.
- Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, van Es GA, Steg PG, Morel MA, Mauri L, Vranckx P, McFadden E, Lansky A, Hamon M, Krucoff MW, Serruys PW; Academic Research C. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2344–2351.
- Sabatine MS, Morrow DA, Giugliano RP, Burton PB, Murphy SA, McCabe CH, Gibson CM, Braunwald E. Association of hemoglobin levels with clinical outcomes in acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation*. 2005;111:2042–2049.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7:177–188.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327:557–560.
- Borenstein M, Higgins JP, Hedges LV, Rothstein HR. Basics of meta-analysis: I
 is not an absolute measure of heterogeneity. *Res Synth Methods*. 2017;8:5–18.
- IntHout J, Ioannidis JP, Rovers MM, Goeman JJ. Plea for routinely presenting prediction intervals in meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6:e010247.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629–634.
- 22. Cannon CP, Husted S, Harrington RA, Scirica BM, Emanuelsson H, Peters G, Storey RF; DISPERSE-2 Investigators. Safety, tolerability, and initial efficacy of AZD6140, the first reversible oral adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist, compared with clopidogrel, in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: primary results of the DISPERSE-2 trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1844–1851.
- Saito S, Isshiki T, Kimura T, Ogawa H, Yokoi H, Nanto S, Takayama M, Kitagawa K, Nishikawa M, Miyazaki S, Nakamura M. Efficacy and safety of adjusted-dose prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in Japanese patients with acute coronary syndrome: the PRASFIT-ACS study. *Circ J.* 2014;78:1684–1692.
- 24. Cuisset T, Deharo P, Quilici J, Johnson TW, Deffarges S, Bassez C, Bonnet G, Fourcade L, Mouret JP, Lambert M, Verdier V, Morange PE, Alessi MC, Bonnet JL. Benefit of switching dual antiplatelet therapy after acute coronary syndrome: the TOPIC (timing of platelet inhibition after acute coronary syndrome) randomized study. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:3070–3078.
- Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KA, White HD, Prabhakaran D, Goodman SG, Cornel JH, Bhatt DL, Clemmensen P, Martinez F, Ardissino D, Nicolau JC,

Boden WE, Gurbel PA, Ruzyllo W, Dalby AJ, McGuire DK, Leiva-Pons JL, Parkhomenko A, Gottlieb S, Topacio GO, Hamm C, Pavlides G, Goudev AR, Oto A, Tseng CD, Merkely B, Gasparovic V, Corbalan R, Cinteza M, McLendon RC, Winters KJ, Brown EB, Lokhnygina Y, Aylward PE, Huber K, Hochman JS, Ohman EM; TRILOGY ACS Investigators. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes without revascularization. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:1297–1309.

- Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Samara W, Yoho JA, Hayes K, Fissha MZ, Tantry US. Clopidogrel effect on platelet reactivity in patients with stent thrombosis: results of the CREST Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:1827–1832.
- Price MJ, Endemann S, Gollapudi RR, Valencia R, Stinis CT, Levisay JP, Ernst A, Sawhney NS, Schatz RA, Teirstein PS. Prognostic significance of postclopidogrel platelet reactivity assessed by a point-of-care assay on thrombotic events after drug-eluting stent implantation. *Eur Heart J.* 2008;29:992–1000.
- Haque SF, Matsubayashi H, Izumi S, Sugi T, Arai T, Kondo A, Makino T. Sex difference in platelet aggregation detected by new aggregometry using light scattering. *Endocr J.* 2001;48:33–41.
- Segal JB, Moliterno AR. Platelet counts differ by sex, ethnicity, and age in the United States. Ann Epidemiol. 2006;16:123–130.
- Faraday N, Goldschmidt-Clermont PJ, Bray PF. Gender differences in platelet GPIIb-Illa activation. *Thromb Haemost.* 1997;77:748–754.
- Gremmel T, Kopp CW, Eichelberger B, Koppensteiner R, Panzer S. Sex differences of leukocyte-platelet interactions and on-treatment platelet reactivity in patients with atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014;237:692–695.
- 32. Yu J, Mehran R, Baber U, Ooi SY, Witzenbichler B, Weisz G, Rinaldi MJ, Neumann FJ, Metzger DC, Henry TD, Cox DA, Duffy PL, Mazzaferri EL Jr, Brodie BR, Stuckey TD, Maehara A, Xu K, Ben-Yehuda O, Kirtane AJ, Stone GW. Sex differences in the clinical impact of high platelet reactivity after percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents: results from the ADAPT-DES study (Assessment of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy With Drug-Eluting Stents). *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:e003577.
- Berger JS, Bhatt DL, Cannon CP, Chen Z, Jiang L, Jones JB, Mehta SR, Sabatine MS, Steinhubl SR, Topol EJ, Berger PB. The relative efficacy and safety of clopidogrel in women and men a sex-specific collaborative meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2009;54:1935–1945.
- Lau ES, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Bonaca MP, Husted S, James SK, Wallentin L, Clemmensen P, Roe MT, Ohman EM, Harrington RA, Mega JL, Bhatt DL, Sabatine MS, O'Donoghue ML. Potent P2Y12 inhibitors in men versus women: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:1549–1559.
- Zaccardi F, Pitocco D, Willeit P, Laukkanen JA. Efficacy and safety of P2Y12 inhibitors according to diabetes, age, gender, body mass index and body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized clinical trials. *Atherosclerosis.* 2015;240:439–445.
- Hess CN, McCoy LA, Duggirala HJ, Tavris DR, O'Callaghan K, Douglas PS, Peterson ED, Wang TY. Sex-based differences in outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: a report from TRANSLATE-ACS. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3:e000523. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA. 113.000523
- Chandrasekhar J, Baber U, Sartori S, Faggioni M, Aquino M, Kini A, Weintraub W, Rao S, Kapadia S, Weiss S, Strauss C, Toma C, Muhlestein B, DeFranco A, Effron

M, Keller S, Baker B, Pocock S, Henry T, Mehran R. Sex-related differences in outcomes among men and women under 55 years of age with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: results from the PROMETHEUS study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;89:629–637.

- 38. Jneid H, Fonarow GC, Cannon CP, Hernandez AF, Palacios IF, Maree AO, Wells O, Bozkurt B, Labresh KA, Liang L, Hong Y, Newby LK, Fletcher G, Peterson E, Wexler L; Get With the Guidelines Steering Committee and Investigators. Sex differences in medical care and early death after acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2008;118:2803–2810.
- 39. Blomkalns AL, Chen AY, Hochman JS, Peterson ED, Trynosky K, Diercks DB, Brogan GX Jr, Boden WE, Roe MT, Ohman EM, Gibler WB, Newby LK; CRUSADE Investigators. Gender disparities in the diagnosis and treatment of non-STsegment elevation acute coronary syndromes: large-scale observations from the CRUSADE (Can Rapid Risk Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress Adverse Outcomes With Early Implementation of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guidelines) National Quality Improvement Initiative. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:832–837.
- Lawesson SS, Alfredsson J, Fredrikson M, Swahn E. A gender perspective on short- and long term mortality in ST-elevation myocardial infarction-a report from the SWEDEHEART register. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;168:1041–1047.
- 41. Cirillo P, Di Serafino L, Patti G, Antonucci E, Calabro P, Gresele P, Palareti G, Pengo V, Pignatelli P, Marcucci R. Gender-related differences in antiplatelet therapy and impact on 1-year clinical outcome in patients presenting with ACS: the START ANTIPLATELET registry. *Angiology*. 2019;70:257–263.
- Ahmed B, Dauerman HL. Women, bleeding, and coronary intervention. *Circulation*. 2013;127:641–649.
- 43. Xanthopoulou I, Davlouros P, Deftereos S, Hamilos M, Sitafidis G, Kanakakis I, Vavouranakis M, Goudevenos J, Lekakis J, Alexopoulos D. Gender-related differences in antiplatelet treatment patterns and outcome: insights from the GReekAntiPlatElet Registry. *Cardiovasc Ther.* 2017;35.
- 44. Tizon-Marcos H, Bertrand OF, Rodes-Cabau J, Larose E, Gaudreault V, Bagur R, Gleeton O, Courtis J, Roy L, Poirier P, Costerousse O, De Larochelliere R. Impact of female gender and transradial coronary stenting with maximal antiplatelet therapy on bleeding and ischemic outcomes. *Am Heart J.* 2009;157:740–745.
- 45. Yu J, Baber U, Mastoris I, Dangas G, Sartori S, Steg PG, Cohen DJ, Giustino G, Chandrasekhar J, Ariti C, Witzenbichler B, Henry TD, Kini AS, Krucoff MW, Gibson CM, Chieffo A, Moliterno DJ, Colombo A, Pocock S, Mehran R. Sexbased differences in cessation of dual-antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention with stents. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:1461–1469.
- 46. Biondi-Zoccai GG, Lotrionte M, Agostoni P, Abbate A, Fusaro M, Burzotta F, Testa L, Sheiban I, Sangiorgi G. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the hazards of discontinuing or not adhering to aspirin among 50,279 patients at risk for coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2667–2674.
- Novak K, Vrdoljak D, Jelaska I, Borovac JA. Sex-specific differences in risk factors for in-hospital mortality and complications in patients with acute coronary syndromes: an observational cohort study. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 2017;129:233–242.
- Eastwood JA, Doering LV. Gender differences in coronary artery disease. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2005;20:340–351; quiz 352–353.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Table S1. Syntax Electronic Databases

Provided in the table down below are the syntax used for the different electronic databases. All results were imported into EndNote. After de-duplication the screening process was started. *Table 1. Database syntax used for the respective electronic databases accessible via Erasmus MC network.*

	('dual antiplatelet therapy'/de OR (((dual OR combin*) NEAR/3 (antiplatelet* OR anti-platelet*)) OR dapt):ab,ti OR (('acetylsalicylic acid'/exp OR
	'acetylsalicylic acid plus clopidogrel'/de OR (acetylsalicyl* OR acetyl-salicyl* OR aspirin):ab,ti) AND ('purinergic p2y receptor antagonist'/exp OR
	(cangrelor OR clopidogrel OR elinogrel OR prasugrel OR regrelor OR ticagrelor OR ticlopidine OR ((P2Y*) NEAR/3 (antagonist* OR
	inhibitor*))):ab,ti))) AND ('coronary artery disease'/exp OR 'ischemic heart disease'/de OR (((myocard* OR coronar*) NEAR/3 (disease* OR infarct*
	OR syndrom* OR acute* OR ischem* OR ischaem* OR obstruct*)) OR angina OR ((heart OR cardiac*) NEAR/3 (infarct* OR ischem* OR
Embase.com	ischaem*))):ab,ti) AND ('adverse drug reaction'/exp OR 'side effect'/exp OR adverse:lnk OR 'bleeding'/exp OR (adverse* OR side-effect* OR bleeding
	OR hemorrhag* OR haemorrhag* OR (blood NEAR/3 (loss OR effusion))):ab,ti) AND ('Controlled clinical trial'/exp OR 'Crossover procedure'/de OR
	'Double-blind procedure'/de OR 'Single-blind procedure'/de OR (random* OR factorial* OR crossover* OR (cross NEXT/1 over*) OR placebo* OR
	((doubl* OR singl*) NEXT/1 blind*) OR assign* OR allocat* OR volunteer* OR trial OR groups):ab,ti) NOT ([animals]/lim NOT [humans]/lim) NOT
	([Conference Abstract]/lim OR [Letter]/lim OR [Note]/lim OR [Editorial]/lim) AND [english]/lim
	(exp Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors/ OR (statin* OR simvastatin OR rosuvastatin OR pravastatin OR pitavastatin OR lovastatin OR
	atorvastatin OR fluvastatin OR ((hmg OR hydroxymethylglutaryl) ADJ3 (coa OR coenzyme-A) ADJ3 inhibitor*)).ab,ti.) AND (exp Coronary Artery
	Disease/ OR exp Myocardial Ischemia/ OR (((myocard* OR coronar*) ADJ3 (disease* OR infarct* OR syndrom* OR acute* OR ischem* OR
	ischaem* OR obstruct*)) OR angina OR ((heart OR cardiac*) ADJ3 (infarct* OR ischem* OR ischaem*))).ab,ti.) AND (Drug-Related Side Effects
Medline Ovid	and Adverse Reactions/ OR "adverse effects".fs. OR exp Hemorrhage/ OR (adverse* OR side-effect* OR bleeding OR hemorrhag* OR haemorrhag*
	OR (blood ADJ3 (loss OR effusion))).ab,ti.) AND (Exp Controlled clinical trial/ OR "Double-Blind Method"/ OR "Single-Blind Method"/ OR
	"Random Allocation"/ OR (random* OR factorial* OR crossover* OR cross over* OR placebo* OR ((doubl* OR singl*) ADJ blind*) OR assign* OR
	allocat* OR volunteer* OR trial OR groups).ab,ti.) NOT (Animals/ NOT Humans/) NOT (letter OR news OR comment OR editorial OR congresses
	OR abstracts).pt. AND english.la.
	((statin* OR simvastatin OR rosuvastatin OR pravastatin OR pitavastatin OR lovastatin OR atorvastatin OR fluvastatin OR ((hmg OR
	hydroxymethylglutaryl) NEAR/3 (coa OR coenzyme-A) NEAR/3 inhibitor*)):ab,ti) AND ((((myocard* OR coronar*) NEAR/3 (disease* OR infarct*
Cochrane CENTRAL	OR syndrom* OR acute* OR ischem* OR ischaem* OR obstruct*)) OR angina OR ((heart OR cardiac*) NEAR/3 (infarct* OR ischem* OR
	ischaem*))):ab,ti) AND ((adverse* OR side-effect* OR bleeding OR hemorrhag* OR haemorrhag* OR (blood NEAR/3 (loss OR effusion))):ab,ti)

Selection Criteria **Inclusion Criteria:** Subjects with ACS treated with dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), which includes the use of Aspirin and P2Y12-receptor antagonists (prasugrel/ticagrelor) as a form of secondary prevention. **Population:** At least 18 years of age Secondary prevention -Patients with acute coronary syndrome (STEMI, NSTEMI, myocardial infarction, or unstable angina pectoris) Patients were treated for coronary heart disease with revascularization either: percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) + stent placement Study Type: Double blind, randomized controlled trials, single-blind randomized controlled trials, and open-label studies. Original article -Published as full text article -Written in English language > 50 patients per group **Exclusion Criteria:**

- All other indications not covering ACS
- Primary prevention studies.
- Non-human studies (e.g. animal studies)

Table S3. Cochrane Collaboration's Tool

	SELECTION BIAS						
TRIAL	RANDOM SEQUENCE GENERATION	ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT	PERFORMANCE BIAS	DETECTION BIAS	ATTRITION BIAS	REPORTING BIAS	OTHER BIAS
DISPERSE -2 ¹	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
PLATO ²	LOW	UNCLEAR	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
PRASFIT ACS ³	LOW	UNCLEAR	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	HIGH
TOPIC ⁴	LOW	UNCLEAR	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
TRILOGY ACS ⁵	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
TRITON TIMI 386	LOW	LOW	LOW/UNCLEAR	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW

Table S4. Overview of	orimary efficacy en	lpoints per included trial.

TRIAL	PRIMARY EFFICACY ENDPOINT
DISPERSE -2^1	Composite of CVM, MI (fatal and non-fatal) and stroke
PLATO ²	Composite of CVM, MI and stroke
$PRASFIT - ACS^3$	Incidence of MACE at 24 weeks: composite of: CVM, non-fatal MI, nonfatal ischemic stroke
TOPIC ⁴	Composite of: CVM, unplanned hospitalization leading to urgent coronary revascularization, stroke, and bleeding episodes as defined by the BARC classification > 2 at 1 year after ACS.
TRILOGY ACS ⁵	Composite of: CVM, non-fatal MI, and non-fatal stroke.
TRITON – TIMI 386	Composite of: CVM, non-fatal MI, and non-fatal stroke.

Table S5. Overview of syntax used in STATATM

To generate an RR in STATA the following code was used:	gen rr=(mi/ni)/(mc/nc)
To generate the log RR:	gen logrr=log(rr)
To generate the standard error of the log RR:	gen selogrr=sqrt(1/mi-1/ni+1/mc-1/nc)
To generate the log lower confidence interval:	gen loglci=logrr-1.96*selogrr
To generate the log upper confidence interval:	gen loguci=logrr+1.96*selogrr
To re-calculate the log	gen lci=exp(loglci)
To perform the meta-analysis the metan command was used. We performed a fixed effects model, seconded by a random effects model. The model was separated by gender and sorted per trial included.	metan mi nmi mc nmc, rr random rfdist label(namevar=trial) xlabel (0.5,1,5) xtitle() favours (Favors High Potent DAPT # Favors Control) boxsca(30)
To calculate the funnel plot the data of each trial were pooled into one group and entered into STATA, after which the log RR and standard error of the log RR were calculated. Using the confunnel command, a contour enhanced funnel plot can be plotted. The Egger's test for small study effects was calculated with the metabias command.	confunnel _ES _selogES metabias _ES _selogES, egger

ANTICOAGULANT STUDIES	
EXCLUSION BASED ON: ANTIPLATELET THERAPIES OR ANTICOAGULANTS	N = 739
THERAPIES SUCH AS CANGRELOR (N=19), ELINOGREL (N = 6), GLYCOPROTEINS	11 - 705
(N= 74), HEPARIN (N = 258), TICLOPIDINE (N=46), AND THROMBOLYTICS.	
CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE	
EXCLUSION BASED ON: ATRIAL FIBRILLATION STUDIES (N=82), HEART FAILURE	N = 254
(N= 29), HEART VALVE (N=27), PERIPHERAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (N=42), AND	11 - 204
CORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFT (N=74)	
CEREBROVASCULAR STUDIES	- N 170
EXCLUSION BASED ON: CEREBROVASCULAR STUDIES E.G. STROKE.	$\mathbf{N} = 1/2$
CHILDREN STUDIES	
EXCLUSION BASED ON INCLUSION CRITERIA.	$\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{I}$
OTHER MEDICATION	
EXCLUSION BASED ON: CILOSTAZOL (N=50), MONOTHERAPEUTIC STUDIES (N=6),	N = 234
PROTONPUMP INHIBITORS (N=72), STATINS (N=77), TRIPLE THERAPY (N=29).	
STUDY DESIGN	
EXCLUSION BASED ON: NO DAPT STUDIES (N=323), NO RCT (N=1229), PRIMARY	
PREVENTION (N=7), STUDY DESIGN (N=26), SYSTEMTIC REVIEWS (N=117), TITLE	N = 1922
AND ABSTRACT (N=169), DOUBLES (N=6), FOLLOW-UP STUDIES (N=2), NO	
ABSTRACT (N=10), SUBSTUDIES (N=33)	
PHARMACOLOGY	N = 201

EXCLUSION BASED ON: PHARAMCODYNAMIC OR PHARMACOKINETIC STUDIES (N=131), PLATELET REACTIVITY STUDIES (N=70) STENT STUDIES EXCLUSION BASED ON: TYPE OF DAPT OR TRIAL FOCUS ON DAPT. N = 1026

Due to overflow of the flow-chart excluded articles are noted here separately. Each row is described by a main topic, under which the excluded subtopics (n =) are described. The right column provides the total amount of excluded articles per main-topic.



Figure S1. The relative risk of all-cause mortality in women treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel.



Figure S2. The relative risk of all-cause mortality in men treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel



Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on March 4, 2020

Figure S3. The relative risk of cardiovascular mortality in women treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel



Figure S4. The relative risk of cardiovascular mortality in men treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel



Figure S5. The relative risk of myocardial infarction in women treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel



Figure S6. The relative risk of myocardial infarction in men treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel



Figure S7. The relative risk of stent thrombosis in women treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel

TOPIC ticagrelor and PRASFIT ACS were excluded because there were no events during follow-up. DISPERSE-2 was excluded because there was no stent thrombosis endpoint reported.



Figure S8. The relative risk of stent thrombosis in men treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel

TOPIC prasugrel was excluded because there were no events during follow-up. DISPERSE-2 was excluded because there was no stent thrombosis endpoint reported.



Figure S9. The relative risk of stroke in women treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel

TOPIC ticagrelor was excluded because there were no events during follow-up.

DISPERSE-2, TRILOGY ACS and PLATO defined stroke as either ischemic or hemorrhagic.



Figure S10. The relative risk of stroke in men treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel

TOPIC ticagrelor was excluded because there were no events during follow-up. DISPERSE-2, TRILOGY ACS and PLATO defined stroke as either ischemic or hemorrhagic.



Figure S11. The relative risk of minor bleeding in women treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel



Figure S12. The relative risk of minor bleeding in men treated with a high potent P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor) vs. clopidogrel





Figure S13. Contour enhanced funnel plot of MACE in women



Figure S14. Contour enhanced funnel plot of MACE in men



Figure S15. Contour enhanced funnel plot of ACM in women



Figure S16. Contour enhanced funnel plot of ACM in men



Figure S17. Contour enhanced funnel plot of CVM in women



Figure S18. Contour enhanced funnel plot of CVM in men



Figure S19. Contour enhanced funnel plot of MI in women



Test of H0: no small-study effects P = 0.747

Figure S20. Contour enhanced funnel plot of MI in men



Figure S21. Contour enhanced funnel plot of ST in women



Test of H0: no small-study effectsP = 0.352Figure S22. Contour enhanced funnel plot of ST in men



Figure S23. Contour enhanced funnel plot of stroke in women



Test of H0: no small-study effectsP = 0.416Figure S24. Contour enhanced funnel plot of stroke in women



Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on March 4, 2020

Figure S25. Contour enhanced funnel plot of major bleeding in women



Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on March 4, 2020

Figure S26. Contour enhanced funnel plot of major bleeding in men



Test of H0: no small-study effects P = 0.632

Figure S27. Contour enhanced funnel plot of minor bleeding in women



Figure S28. Contour enhanced funnel plot of minor bleeding in men

Supplemental References:

- 1. Cannon CP, Husted S, Harrington RA, Scirica BM, Emanuelsson H, Peters G, Storey RF and Investigators D. Safety, Tolerability, and Initial Efficacy of AZD6140, the First Reversible Oral Adenosine Diphosphate Receptor Antagonist, Compared With Clopidogrel, in Patients With Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome. Primary Results of the DISPERSE-2 Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007;50:1844-1851.
- Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, Horrow J, Husted S, James S, Katus H, Mahaffey KW, Scirica BM, Skene A, Steg PG, Storey RF, Harrington RA, Investigators P, Freij A, Thorsen M. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:1045-57.
- 3. Saito S, Isshiki T, Kimura T, Ogawa H, Yokoi H, Nanto S, Takayama M, Kitagawa K, Nishikawa M, Miyazaki S, Nakamura M. Efficacy and safety of adjusted-dose prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in Japanese patients with acute coronary syndrome The PRASFIT-ACS study. *Circ J*. 2014;78:1684-1692.
- 4. Cuisset T, Deharo P, Quilici J, Johnson TW, Deffarges S, Bassez C, Bonnet G, Fourcade L, Mouret JP, Lambert M, Verdier V, Morange PE, Alessi MC, Bonnet JL. Benefit of switching dual antiplatelet therapy after acute coronary syndrome: the TOPIC (timing of platelet inhibition after acute coronary syndrome) randomized study. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:3070-3078.
- 5. Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KA, White HD, Prabhakaran D, Goodman SG, Cornel JH, Bhatt DL, Clemmensen P, Martinez F, Ardissino D, Nicolau JC, Boden WE, Gurbel PA, Ruzyllo W, Dalby AJ, McGuire DK, Leiva-Pons JL, Parkhomenko A, Gottlieb S, Topacio GO, Hamm C, Pavlides G, Goudev AR, Oto A, Tseng CD, Merkely B, Gasparovic V, Corbalan R, Cinteza M, McLendon RC, Winters KJ, Brown EB, Lokhnygina Y, Aylward PE, Huber K, Hochman JS, Ohman EM, Investigators TA. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes without revascularization. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:1297-309.
- 6. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Gottlieb S, Neumann FJ, Ardissino D, De Servi S, Murphy SA, Riesmeyer J, Weerakkody G, Gibson CM, Antman EM, Investigators T-T. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *New Engl J Med.* 2007;357:2001-2015.