View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Repository@USM

INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A WING MODEL WITH RGV WINGLET

SIVARAJ A/L GOPAL KRISHNAN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA
2017

INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A WING MODEL WITH RGV WINGLET

by

SIVARAJ A/L GOPAL KRISHNAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

January 2017

This thesis is dedicated to my late father who always supported and guided me in every level ...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all I would like to give sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr.Farzad Ismail for bringing this thesis to the conclusion. He provide me with great knowledge in CFD field with patience and support me to reach my goals. I would like to thank Prof.Mohd Zulkifly Abdullah for his support and guidance via his students in terms of Ansys 15.0 simulation studies.

I also would like to thank my best mate in USM Mr.Hossain Chizzari who always support and guide me. When ever I went to the Lab, he will always be there and willing to help me. When i found difficulties in ANSYS 15.0, MR.Azlan who guide me and spend his golden time to teach me. I am very grateful to USM Catia Lab technician Mrs.Rohayu for providing me all facilities in Lab and help to solve Ansys license problem even in weekends or public holidays. I would like to thank my wife Mrs.Saranya who always support and encourage during this research. I would like to thank CFD group consisting of Mr. Chang Wei Shyang, Mr. Vishal Singh, Mr. Neoh Soon Sien and Mr.Hossain Chizari in USM Aerospace Department under supervision of Dr.Farzad Ismail, who always give great ideas during my presentation.

Lastly I would also feel grateful to thank my late father Mr.Gopal Krishnan and my mother Mrs.Suryakalavathy who supported me for this research. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS iii

LIST OF TABLES vii

LIST OF FIGURES viii

LIST OF SYMBOLS xvi

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xviii

ABSTRAK xix

ABSTRACT xxi

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Of The Study 1

1.2 Problem Statement 3

1.3 Objective 4

1.4 Limitation 5

1.5 Scope 5

1.6 Significance Of Study 5

1.7 Organization Of The Study 6

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Overview 8

  2.1.1 Airfoil and Vortices 10

  2.1.2 Induced Drag 13

2.2 End Plates 16

2.3 Non-Planar Wings 16

2.4 Vortex Diffuser Vanes 17

2.5 Wingtip Sails 17
2.6 Increasing The Aspect Ratio 19
2.7 Tip Devices 19
2.8 Raked Wing Tips 20
2.9 Winglets 21
2.10 Wing With Multiple Winglet 23
2.11 Wing-Grid 25
2.12 Aerodynamic Study of Seagul Wing by Chealheui Han 26
2.13 Winglet Usage Advantage by Hossain et al. 27
2.14 Model Insect investigation by L.Bin and S.Mao 28
2.15 Optimization Study on Winglets by Khosravi and Zingg 28
2.16 Non-planar C-Wing Analysis by C.Suresh et al. 29
2.17 ATR-42 Wing Model Study by Mosbah et al. 29
2.18 Design Optimization of NACA 4415 by Fouatih et al. 30
2.19 Morphing Wing-Tip Demonstrator by Gabor et al. 31
2.20 Effect of Winglets Induced Tip Vortex by Narayanan and John 31
2.21 Locust Wing in Gliding Mode Analysis by Jinwu Xiang et al. 32
2.22 Numerical Study for a plate by Darbandi et al. 33
2.23 Ruppell’s Griffon Vulture (RGV) 34
2.24 Summary 39

CHAPTER THREE: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

3.1 Flow Chart 42
3.2 Governing Equations 43
    3.2.1 Models 44
    3.2.2 Turbulence Model 47
3.3 Geometry Construction 50

3.3.1 Types of Winglet Designed 52

3.3.2 RGV Winglet Design Flow Chart 60

3.4 Computational Fluid Dynamics(CFD) Analysis In Ansys 15.0 61

3.4.1 Meshing Method 62

3.4.2 Fluent 15.0 Solution Parameter 64

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Validation 65

4.1.1 Validation Graph 66

4.2 Graph Cant Angle 73

4.3 Graph For Different Winglets Configuration 77

4.4 Contour Plot Analysis 83

4.4.1 Plane 4 Analysis 85

4.4.2 Plane 5 Analysis 88

4.4.3 Plane 6 Analysis 92

4.4.4 Plane 7 Analysis 95

4.4.5 Plane 8 Analysis 98

4.4.6 Plane 9 Analysis 100

4.5 Summary 103

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION

5.1 Conclusion 104

5.2 Recommendation For Future Work 106

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: GRAPH NACA $65(3)-218$

APPENDIX B: RGV 2 WINGLET IN CATIA

APPENDIX C: CJA JOURNAL (UNDER REVIEW)

APPENDIX D: EXPERIMENTAL DATA

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 2.1 Serious vulture-aircraft hits over the world (Satheesan and Satheesan (2000)) 36

Table 2.2 Winglet summary table 39

Table 3.1 Fluent setting 64

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 2.1 Lift force (*Lift Force* (2016)) 8

Figure 2.2 Wing plan form area 10

Figure 2.3 Pressure difference (Anderson (2007)) 12

Figure 2.4 Formation of wingtip vortices according to a,b,c,d (Anderson (2007)) 12

Figure 2.5 Trailing edge vortices shed behind a wing (Anderson (2007)) 13

Figure 2.6 Induced drag on airfoil (Anderson (2007)) 14

Figure 2.7 High aspect ratio wing (*High aspect ratio wing* (2015)) 15

Figure 2.8 Vortex diffuser vanes (Kroo (2005)) 17

Figure 2.9 Wingtip sails (Webber and Dansby (1983)) 18

Figure 2.10 Raked wingtip in Boeing 767 (*Boeing 767 raked wing tips* (2015)) 21

Figure 2.11 Blended winglet for Airbus A320 (*Blended winglet in airbus* (2015)) 23

Figure 2.12 Multiple winglets (Miklosovic, Bookey, States, and Academy (2005)) 24

Figure 2.13 A Boeing 737 wing with a scimitar winglet (Sohail R.Reddy and S.Dulikravich (n.d.)) 24

Figure 2.14 Rectangular wing with 60 degree winglet inclination using adapter (Hossain, Rahman, Hossen, Iqbal, and Sivaraj (2011)) 25

Figure 2.15 Bird feather (stork) and wing-grid (Bennett, Covert, and Oliver (2001)) 26

Figure 2.16 Aircraft model with elliptical shaped winglet in test (Hossain, Rahman, Hossen, Iqbal, and Hasan (2011)) 28

Figure 2.17 Ruppel Griffon Vulture (RGV) (*Ruppel griffon vulture* (2015)) 34

Figure 2.18 Front view of RGV (*Ruppel griffon vulture* (2015)) 37

Figure 2.19 Backside view of RGV (*Ruppel griffon vulture* (2015)) 37

Figure 2.20 Front view of RGV (*Ruppel griffon vulture* (2015)) 38

Figure 2.21 Force generated when using RGV bird feather like winglet 40

Figure 3.1 Flow chart 42

Figure 3.2 Pressure based iteration scheme (*Fluent 6.2 user's guide* (2005)) 44

Figure 3.3 Density based iteration scheme (*Fluent 6.2 user's guide* (2005)) 45

Figure 3.4 Cell scheme for calculation of face value of scalar ϕ (Dimitri (2008)) 47

Figure 3.5 Wall function illustration (*Fluent 6.2 user's guide* (2005)) 49

Figure 3.6 Boundary layer illustration (*Fluent 6.2 user's guide* (2005)) 50

Figure 3.7 Geometric characteristic of the wing plan form 51

Figure 3.8 Failed winglets configuration 53

Figure 3.9 Winglets configuration (1) 53

Figure 3.10 Winglets configuration (2) 54

Figure 3.11 Winglets configuration (3) 54

Figure 3.12 Winglets configuration (4) equivalent with A.Hossain type winglet 54

Figure 3.13 Winglets configuration (5) 55

Figure 3.14 Winglets configuration (6) 55

Figure 3.15 Winglets configuration (RGV) with 60° cant angle 55

Figure 3.16 Winglets configuration (RGV 2) with 60° cant angle 56

Figure 3.17 Winglet (1) with cant angle 45° 56

Figure 3.18 Winglet (1) with cant angle 90° 56

Figure 3.19 Winglet (1) with cant angle -45° 57

Figure 3.20 Winglet (1) with cant angle -90° 57

Figure 3.21 Winglets configuration (1),(2),(3),(4),(5),(6),(RGV) and (RGV 2) 58

Figure 3.22 Winglets configuration of RGV 2 with real RGV bird (*Ruppel griffon vulture* (2015)) 59

Figure 3.23 RGV winglet design flow chart 60

Figure 3.24 Wind tunnel design in design moduler 62

Figure 3.25 Wind tunnel meshing 63

Figure 3.26 Boundary inflation 63

Figure 4.1 Graph Lift Coefficient [C_L] at AOA 0° versus Number of Grid Cells in Millions for Wing by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 66

Figure 4.2 Graph Lift Coefficient [C_L] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 67

Figure 4.3 Graph Drag Coefficient [C_D] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 68

Figure 4.4 Graph Lift Coefficient[C_L] over Drag Coefficient[C_D] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 69

Figure 4.5 Graph Lift Coefficient [C_L] versus Drag Coefficient [C_D]for Wing by ANSYS 14.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 70

Figure 4.6 Graph Error[%] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 71

Figure 4.7 Graph Coefficient of Drag Error[%] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 72

Figure 4.8 Residuals over number of iteration for wing at 0° AOA 73

Figure 4.9 Graph Lift Coefficient [C_L] versus Winglet Cant Angle [α] for angle of attack 4° by ANSYS 15.0 for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 74

Figure 4.10 Graph Drag Coefficient [C_D] versus Winglet Cant Angle [α] for angle of attack 4° by ANSYS 15.0 for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 75

Figure 4.11 Graph Lift Coefficient[C_L] over Drag Coefficient[C_D] versus Winglet Cant Angle [α] for angle of attack 4° by ANSYS 15.0 for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 76

Figure 4.12 Graph Lift Coefficient [C_L] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing and winglet by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 78

Figure 4.13 Graph Drag Coefficient [C_D] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing and winglet by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 79

Figure 4.14 Graph Lift Coefficient[C_L] over Drag Coefficient[C_D] versus Angle Of Attack [α] for Wing and winglet by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 81

Figure 4.15 Graph Lift Coefficient [C_L] versus Drag Coefficient [C_D]for Wing and winglet by ANSYS 15.0 and Experiment Result for Reynolds Number 1.7×10^5 82

Figure 4.16 Plane 4 outlook in ANSYS Fluent 84

Figure 4.17 Plane 5 outlook in ANSYS Fluent 84

Figure 4.18 Plane 6,7 and 8 outlook in ANSYS Fluent 85

Figure 4.19 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 4 86

Figure 4.20 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 4 86

Figure 4.21 Velocity magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 4 87

Figure 4.22 Velocity magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 4 88

Figure 4.23 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 5 89

Figure 4.24 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 5 90

Figure 4.25 Velocity magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 5 91

Figure 4.26 Velocity magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 5 91

Figure 4.27 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 6 92

Figure 4.28 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 6 93

Figure 4.29 Velocity Magnitude for Wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 6 94

Figure 4.30 Velocity magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 6 94

Figure 4.31 Pressure coefficient and velocity magnitude for wing, winglet 1,2,3 and 4 at AOA 4° at plane 7 96

Figure 4.32 Pressure coefficient and velocity magnitude for winglet 5,6, RGV 1 & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 7 97

Figure 4.33 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 8 98

Figure 4.34 Pressure coefficient for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 8 99

Figure 4.35 Velocity Magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 8 99

Figure 4.36 Velocity Magnitude for wing & RGV 2 at AOA 8° at plane 8 100

Figure 4.37 Pressure coefficient and velocity magnitude for wing, winglet 1,2,3 and 4 at AOA 4° at plane 9 101

Figure 4.38 Pressure coefficient and velocity magnitude for winglet 5,6, RGV 1 & RGV 2 at AOA 4° at plane 9 102

Figure A.1 NACA $65(3)-218$ airfoil 113

Figure B.1 Winglets Configuration [RGV 2] with 60 degree cant angle 114

Figure B.2 Winglets 1 in CATIA DRAFT 115

Figure B.3 Winglets 2 in CATIA DRAFT 116

Figure B.4 Winglets 3 in CATIA DRAFT 117

Figure B.5 Winglets 4 in CATIA DRAFT 118

Figure B.6 Winglets 5 in CATIA DRAFT 119

Figure B.7 Winglets 6 in CATIA DRAFT 120

Figure B.8 Winglets Configuration in CATIA DRAFT [RGV] with 60 degree cant angle 121

Figure B.9 Winglets Configuration in CATIA DRAFT [RGV 2] with 60 degree cant angle 122

Figure C.1 Investigation Of Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics Of An Aircraft Model Wing With RGV Bird Feather Like Winglet 123

Figure D.1 Open-circuit wind tunnel in UPM 124

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\lim$	limit
θ	angle in degree
ρ	Density in kg/m^2
μ	Viscosity, $kg/m.s$
t	time, s
S_m	Source Term
$\bigtriangledown$	Divergence
$\vec{v}$	Flow velocity vector field
p	Static Pressure, Pa
$\bar{\bar{\tau}}$	Stress Sensor
$\rho\vec{g}$	Gravitational body
$\vec{F}$	External body force
I	Unit Tensor
ϕ	Scalar Quantity
ϕ_f	Scalar Face Quantity
$\bigtriangledown\phi$	Gradient
$\Delta\vec{s}$	distance from upwind cell centroid to the face centroid

$\tilde{G}_k$	generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to mean velocity gradents
G_ω	the generation of ω
Γ_k	effective diffusivity of k
Γ_ω	effective diffusivity of ω
Y_k	Dissipation of k due to turbulence
Y_ω	Dissipation of ω due to turbulence
D_ω	cross-diffusion term
S_k	Source Term for k
S_ω	Source Term for ω
T	Static temperature in Kelvin
T_0	Reference temperature in Kelvin
μ_0	Reference Viscosity, $kg/m.s$
S	Effective temperature in Kelvin

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

USM	Universiti Sains Malaysia
RGV	Ruppells Griffon Vulture
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamic
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry
BL	Boundary Layer
AR	Aspect Ratio
SST	Shear-Stress Transport
F_L	Lift Force in N
F_D	Drag Force, N
C_D	Coefficient of Drag
C_L	Coefficient of Lift
C_M	Coefficient of Moment

KAJIAN AERODINAMIK UNTUK SAYAP MODEL DENGAN HUJUNG SAYAP RGV

ABSTRAK

Kerja ini menerangkan ciri-ciri aerodinamik model pesawat sayap dengan dan tanpa RGV hujung sayap. Kajian CFD dengan menggunakan ANSYS 15.0 telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan penggunaan hujung sayap yang di atas sayap segi empat tepat. Sayap ini terdiri daripada 660 mm rentang dan 121 mm panjang kord dimana nisbah aspek adalah 5.45. Aerofoil yang digunakan untuk membina struktur keseluruhan adalah NACA $65(3)-218$. Sayap segi empat tepat dengan konfigurasi berbeza hujung sayap dan sudut hujung sayap telah direka menggunakan perisian CATIA P3 V5R13. Hasil eksperimen sayap tanpa hujung sayap dan satu konfigurasi hujung sayap mendatar telah digunakan untuk pengesahan. Semua reka bentuk telah dianalisis dengan Ma 0.06 [Reynolds Nombor = 1.7×10^5] pada sudut serangan pada 4 darjah dan 6 darjah di mana boleh mendapatkan keputusan aerofoil pengeluaran maksimum. Tidak Berstruktur grid mesh segi tiga dengan kadar inflasi 20 pilihan lapisan prisma yang semakin meningkat telah dilaksanakan dengan sel pertama di atas dinding yang ditetapkan pada y adalah 0.1 mm. Dalam Fluent 15.0, pergolakan model Transition SST [4 eqn] dengan 2nd order mengikut arah angin konfigurasi telah digunakan. Perbandingan telah dibuat kepada ciri-ciri aerodinamik seperti pekali angkat [C_L], pekali seretan [C_D], angkat / seretan nisbah $\frac{[C_L]}{[C_D]}$ dan hujung pusaran untuk mendapatkan reka bentuk terbaik RGV hujung sayap. Hasil CFD menunjukkan 15% - 30% pengurangan dalam

pekali seretan dan peningkatan 5% to 25% dalam pekali angkat dengan menggunakan RGV hujung sayap.

INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A WING MODEL WITH RGV WINGLET

ABSTRACT

This work describes the aerodynamic characteristics of an aircraft model wing with RGV winglet. A Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) study using ANSYS 15.0 is conducted to study the effect of the RGV winglet on a rectangular wing. The wing consists of 660 mm span and 121 mm chord length where the aspect ratio is 5.45. The NACA $65(3)-218$ aerofoil is used herein. The rectangular wing with different configuration and cant angle of winglets have been designed using CATIA P3 V5R13 software. The design has been analyzed with Mach 0.06 [Reynolds Number = 1.7×10^5] at various AOA using unstructured triangular grids with the growing prism inflation 20 layer option has been implemented with first cell above the wall set at y is 0.1 mm. The turbulence model is based on Transition SST [4 eqn] with wall functions. A comparative study is done on aerodynamic features such as lift coefficient [C_L], drag coefficient [C_D], lift/drag ratio $\frac{[C_L]}{[C_D]}$ and tip vortices to get the best RGV winglet design. Based on contour plot analysis, the RGV winglet shows lower vortex formation compared to without winglet. The CFD result shows 15% - 30% reduction in drag coefficient and 5% to 25% increase in lift coefficient by using an RGV winglet.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Of The Study

The drag produces from an aircraft is one of the primary obstacle that limiting the performance of an aircraft. The local relative wind downward (an effect known as downward) and generated a component of the local lift force in the direction of the free stream caused by the drag stems from the vortices shed by an aircraft's wings.The spacing and radii of these vortices are proportional to the strength of this induced drag (Anderson (2005)). By designing a winglet which creates vortices with large core radii and at the same time forces the vortices farther apart , one may significantly reduce the amount of the induced-drag. An airplane will be more efficient when flying consumes less fuel for an arbitrary distance which produces less drag and less engine power used.

Vortices at the wing tip can cause crash particularly when a bigger airplane flies in front of a small aircraft. The airplane which has created larger vortices can cause accident with the smaller aircraft where this smaller aircraft might lose control. To minimize the separation rule in an airport, lower wake vortex category aircraft must not be allowed to take off less than two minutes behind higher wake vortex category aircraft. The time will be increased to three minutes or more when the highest wake vortex category aircraft take off.

Winglet is the most used in aircraft industry because of its benefit and one of the promising drag reduction device. The possible benefits of modifying wing-tip flow has