

**REAL TIME IMPLEMENTATION OF NONLINEAR AUTOREGRESSIVE
WITH EXOGENOUS INPUT MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL FOR
BATCH ENZYMATIC ESTERIFICATION PROCESS**

by

SITI ASYURA ZULKEFLEE

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of Allah, the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful. All praises to Almighty Allah Who has given me the strength, endurance and patience in completing this PhD thesis. Without His Will, this thesis will never be completed.

It is a great pleasure for me to have the opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Norashid Aziz for his invaluable supervision, continuous support and helpful suggestion throughout the course of this work. I would like also to thank the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for granting me the NSF scholarship that made it possible for the realization of this work. At the same time, I want to thanks my co supervisor, Dr Suhairi Abdul Sata for his guidance and assistance throughout my study.

I am thankful to the Dean of the School of Chemical Engineering, Professor Dr. Azlina Harun @ Kamaruddin and also the Deputy Dean, Professor Dr. Ahmad Zuhairi Abdullah for their support and assistance towards making this research a success. Not forgetting all the control committee members Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Zainal Ahmad, Associate Professor Dr. Syamsul Rizal Abd. Shukor and all staff in School of Chemical Engineering, thank you for any kind of supports during my research study. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all my friends; Jus, Nora, K.Min, Azie, K.Lin, Ila, Iqa, Wani, Jib and others. Thanks for the friendship and memories.

Finally, my deepest gratitude goes to my beloved parents; En. Zulkeflee Husin and Pn. Halimah Othman. Without their support, tolerance and encouragement, this thesis could not have come to an end and truly wish that I have made them proud. Special thanks to my husband, Mohd Shahril Mohd Yusof for his understanding and unlimited support. To those who indirectly contributed in this research, your kindness means a lot to me. Thank you very much.

Siti Asyura Zulkeflee, 18/08/16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF PLATES	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
ABSTRAK	xxiii
ABSTRACT	xxv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Scope of Study	6
1.4 Research Objectives	8
1.5 Organisation of the Thesis	9
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Esterification	11
2.2 Lipase-catalysed Esterification Process	13
2.3 Kinetic Model of Lipase-catalysed Esterification Process	16

2.4	Control of Lipase-Catalysed Esterification Process	24
2.5	Control Strategies Used for Lipase-catalysed Esterification Process	29
2.6	Model Predictive Control	32
2.7	Empirical Model	41
2.8	Real-Time Approach	43

CHAPTER THREE : METHODOLOGY

3.1	Process Description	47
3.1.1	Esterification Reactor and Experimental Setup	47
3.1.2	Real Time Window Target in MATLAB®/Simulink	52
3.2	Experimental Procedure	54
3.2.1	Materials and Chemicals	55
3.2.2	Immobilized <i>Candida rugosa</i> Lipase Preparation	56
3.2.3	Effect of Temperature Study	56
3.2.4	Effect of Water Activity Study	57
3.2.5	Kinetic study	57
3.2.6	Gas-Chromatographic Analysis	58
3.3	Kinetic Model Development	59
3.4	First Principle Model Development	66
3.4.1	First Principle Model Development	68
3.4.2	Degree of Freedom Analysis	71
3.4.3	First Principle Model Simulation and Validation	72
3.4.4	Sensitivity Study	74
3.4.5	Nonlinearity study	75

3.5	Model based Predictive Controller (MPC) Development	79
3.5.1	ARX Model and NARX Model Development	82
3.5.1(a)	Model Identification of ARX and NARX Model	88
3.5.1(b)	Input Signal Generation	88
3.5.1(c)	Model Order Selection	92
3.5.1(d)	Model Validation	93
3.5.2	ARX-Model Predictive Control (ARX-MPC) and NARX-Model Predictive Control (ARX-MPC)	96
3.5.3	Tuning Parameters for MPC	100
3.5.4	Performance and Robust Evaluation for the MPC	103
3.5.4(a)	Set-point Tracking	104
3.5.4(b)	Disturbance Rejection	104
3.5.4(c)	Robustness Test	105
3.5.5	Experimental Procedure in Real-Time Implementation	105

CHAPTER FOUR : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Effect of Operating Conditions	107
4.1.1	Effect of Temperature	107
4.1.2	Effect of Water Activity	109
4.2	Estimation of Kinetic Parameters	111
4.3	First Principle Model for Esterification Process in Batch Reactor	116
4.3.1	First Principle Model Validation	116
4.3.2	Sensitivity Study	118
4.3.3	Nonlinear Study	122
4.4	ARX and NARX Model Identification	124

4.5	Tuning of MPC Controller Parameters	130
4.6	Performance of ARX-MPC and NARX-MPC Controllers	136
4.6.1	Set-Point Tracking	136
4.6.2	Disturbance Rejection	141
4.6.3	Robustness Test	143
4.7	Real-Time Performance Test for NARX-MPC	145

CHAPTER FIVE : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Conclusions	151
5.2	Recommendations	154

REFERENCES	155
-------------------	------------

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Calibration plots
Appendix B	Kinetics equation derivation for ordered bi-bi mechanism
Appendix C	MATLAB syntax for Interp function for estimation of kinetics model
Appendix D	Quadratic programming optimization solver in MATLAB® software
Appendix E	Development of Real Time Windows Target (RTWT) in the MATLAB®/Simulink Software

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Review of kinetic models for lipase-catalysed esterification	22
Table 2.2	Review on lipase-catalysed esterification process with water removal system	28
Table 2.3	Model predictive control for various chemical processes	40
Table 3.1	List of chemicals or reagents used in the experiment	55
Table 3.2	List of equipment used in the experiment	55
Table 3.3	Ordered Bi-Bi enzymatic reaction mechanism	60
Table 3.4	Parameter values for lipase-catalysed batch esterification for Citronellyl laurate	72
Table 3.5	Input changes for open loop analysis	75
Table 4.1	Kinetic parameters and the kinetic model errors for Citronellyl laurate esterification process	113
Table 4.2	The first-order plus time delay transfer function model for input/output response in batch esterification process	123
Table 4.3	The actual values of the nonlinearity measure for input/output responses in a batch esterification process	124
Table 4.4	MSE values of the ARX: $y_1(t)$ and ARX: $y_2(t)$ models for different numbers of n_u and n_y	125
Table 4.5	MSE values of the NARX: $y_1(t)$ and NARX: $y_2(t)$ models for different numbers of n_u and n_y	125
Table 4.6	Model parameters for the best ARX and NARX model	129

Table 4.7	Tuning the moving horizon parameters and ISE criteria for set-point tracking by ARX-MPC and NARX-MPC	131
Table 4.8	Tuning the prediction horizon parameters and ISE criteria for set-point tracking by ARX-MPC and NARX-MPC	133
Table 4.9	Tuning the input weighting parameters and ISE criteria for set-point tracking by ARX-MPC and NARX-MPC	134
Table 4.10	Tuning the output weighting factors and ISE criteria for set-point tracking by ARX-MPC and NARX-MPC	135
Table 4.11	Summary of the best tuning parameters for MPC controllers	136
Table 4.12	The performance of ARX-MPC and NARX-MPC controller for reactor temperature and water activity control	145

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	The kinetic mechanism for ordered Bi-Bi, random Bi-Bi and ping-pong Bi-Bi (Cook and Cleland, 2007)	17
Figure 2.2	Block diagram for model predictive control (Seborg et al., 2010)	33
Figure 2.3	Basic principle of model predictive control (Camacho and Alba, 2013)	34
Figure 3.1	Overall research methodology	46
Figure 3.2	Lipase-catalysed esterification of Citronellyl laurate (Yadav and Lathi, 2004)	47
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of the batch esterification reactor with temperature and water activity control systems	48
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of device connections and control	52
Figure 3.5	Simulink model for the RTWT application for batch esterification reactor	53
Figure 3.6	Simplified subsystem in Simulink model for the RTWT application for batch esterification reactor	54
Figure 3.7	Ordered Bi-Bi mechanism (Segel, 1975)	59
Figure 3.8	Methodology for fundamental modeling procedure (Marlin, 1995)	67
Figure 3.9	MATLAB®/Simulink block diagram for first principle model	73
Figure 3.10	MATLAB®/Simulink block diagram for sensitivity study	75

Figure 3.11	Setup for comparison of a nonlinear system, N with a linear system, G (Schweickhardt and Allgower, 2004)	76
Figure 3.12	MATLAB®/Simulink block diagram for nonlinearity measure study	78
Figure 3.13	Methodologies for MPC development	79
Figure 3.14	ARX and NARX model identification procedure	88
Figure 3.15	PRBS input data (i) jacket flowrate, (ii) air flowrate and (iii) jacket temperature	91
Figure 3.16	Block diagram in MATLAB®/Simulink for input and output data generation	92
Figure 3.17	Block diagram in MATLAB®/Simulink for online recursive least squares estimation	93
Figure 3.18	Multiple step input signal (i) jacket flowrate, (ii) air flowrate and (ii) jacket temperature	94
Figure 3.19	Block diagram in MATLAB®/Simulink for ARX model	95
Figure 3.20	Block diagram in MATLAB®/Simulink for NARX model	95
Figure 3.21	The structure of the ARX-MPC	96
Figure 3.22	The structure of the NARX-MPC	97
Figure 3.23	Block diagram in MATLAB®/Simulink for ARX-MPC model	101
Figure 3.24	Block diagram in MATLAB®/Simulink for NARX-MPC model	102
Figure 4.1	Effect of temperature on the conversion of lauric acid without initial water activity	108

Figure 4.2	Effect of water activity on the conversion of lauric acid at reactor temperature, 310 K	109
Figure 4.3	Concentration profiles obtained from the experimental data and the kinetic model at reaction temperature of 300 K with $R^2 = 99.42\%$	111
Figure 4.4	Concentration profiles obtained from the experimental data and the kinetic model at reaction temperature of 310 K with $R^2 = 99.83\%$	112
Figure 4.5	Concentration profiles obtained from the experimental data and the kinetic model at reaction temperature of 323 K with $R^2 = 99.69\%$	112
Figure 4.6	Arrhenius plot between $\ln K_{mA}$ versus $1/Tr$	114
Figure 4.7	Arrhenius plot between $\ln K_{mB}$ versus $1/Tr$	114
Figure 4.8	Arrhenius plot between $\ln K_{iB}$ versus $1/Tr$	114
Figure 4.9	Arrhenius plot between $\ln K_{iQ}$ versus $1/Tr$	114
Figure 4.10	Concentration profiles obtained from the experimental data and the kinetic model at reaction temperature of 320 K, and $[B] = 40$ mM with $R^2 = 95.72\%$	115
Figure 4.11	Reactor temperature profiles obtained from the first principle model and the real-process data and the actual error plot	117
Figure 4.12	Water activity profiles obtained from the first principle model and real-process data and the actual error plot	117
Figure 4.13	Step test results for reactor temperature (left) and water activity (right) by changing the jacket flowrate at $\pm 5\%$, $\pm 10\%$, and $\pm 25\%$ from the nominal condition	120

Figure 4.14	Step test results for reactor temperature (left) and water activity (right) by changing the jacket temperature at $\pm 1\%$ and $\pm 2\%$ from the nominal condition	121
Figure 4.15	Step test results for reactor temperature (left) and water activity (right) by changing the air flowrate at $\pm 5\%$, $\pm 10\%$, and $\pm 25\%$ from the nominal condition	121
Figure 4.16	Estimation data for reactor temperature using the best ARX model ($R^2 = 72.84\%$) and NARX model ($R^2 = 99.98\%$)	128
Figure 4.17	Estimation data for water activity using the best ARX model ($R^2 = 54.21\%$) and NARX model ($R^2 = 99.70\%$)	128
Figure 4.18	Estimation of the unseen data for temperature using the best ARX model ($R^2 = 70.80\%$) and NARX model ($R^2 = 98.06\%$)	129
Figure 4.19	Estimation of the unseen data for water activity using the best ARX model ($R^2 = 63.46\%$) and NARX model ($R^2 = 99.04\%$)	130
Figure 4.20	(a) Reactor temperature control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for set-point tracking and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	137
Figure 4.21	(a) Water activity control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for set-point tracking and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	138
Figure 4.22	(a) Reactor temperature control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for setpoint change and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	139
Figure 4.23	(a) Water activity control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for setpoint change and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	140

Figure 4.24	(a) Reactor temperature control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for disturbance rejection and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	141
Figure 4.25	(a) Water activity control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for disturbance rejection and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	142
Figure 4.26	(a) Reactor temperature control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for the robustness test and (b) their respective manipulated variable actions	143
Figure 4.27	(a) Water activity control responses of the NARX-MPC and ARX-MPC controllers for the robustness test and (a) their respective manipulated variable actions	144
Figure 4.28	Closed loop response for reactor temperature by the NARX-MPC in a real-time environment	147
Figure 4.29	Closed loop response for water activity control by the NARX-MPC in a real-time environment	147
Figure 4.30	Closed loop response for reactor temperature by the NARX-MPC for robustness test in real-time environment	149
Figure 4.31	Closed loop response for water activity by the NARX-MPC for robustness test in real-time environment	149

LIST OF PLATES

		Page
Plate 1.1	Batch Reactor with online temperature and water activity control system	49
Plate 1.2	Batch reactor for lipase-catalysed esterification process	49

LIST OF SYMBOLS

\dot{Q}_j	Heat flow through the jacket
ΔH_{rxn}	Heat of reaction
A_{iB}	Pre-exponential factors for inhibition of lauric acid
A_{iQ}	Pre-exponential factors for inhibition of water
A_{mA}	Pre-exponential factors for Citronellol
A_{mB}	Pre-exponential factors for lauric acid
A_r	Heat exchange area
C_{pA}	Specific heats of Citronellol
C_{pB}	Specific heats of lauric acid
C_{pP}	Specific heats of Citronellyl laurate
C_{pQ}	Specific heats of water
C_{pj}	Specific heat of water in the jacket
E^*, EA, EAB, EPQ, EQ	Transitory enzyme complex
E_{iB}	Activation energy for inhibition of lauric acid
E_{iQ}	Activation energy for inhibition of water
E_{mA}	Activation energy for Citronellol
E_{mB}	Activation energy for lauric acid
$F_{air,out}$	Outlet air flowrate
F_{air}	Inlet air flowrate
F_j	Inlet jacket flowrate
K_{iB}	Inhibition constant for lauric acid
K_{iQ}	Inhibition constant for water

K_{mA}	Michaelis constant for citronellol
K_{mB}	Michaelis constant for lauric acid
M_W	molecular weight of water
N_e	Number of equations
N_f	Degree of freedom
N_v	Number of dependent variables
P_W^o	Partial pressure of pure water
$P_{w,in}$	Partial pressure of water in the inlet
$P_{w,out}$	Partial pressure of water in the outlet
$Q_{air,out}$	Amount of water vapour leaving reactor
Q_{air}	Amount of water vapour entering reactor
Q_{tot}	Total amount of water
$T_{air,in}$	Temperature of air in the inlet
$T_{air,out}$	Temperature of air in the outlet
T_j	Jacket temperature
T_{ji}	Jacket temperature inlet
T_r	Reactor temperature
T_s	Sampling period
V_j	Volume of the jacket
V_{max}	Maximum rate of reaction
$a_{w,in}$	Inlet water activity
a_w	Water activity in the reaction
$k_{-1}, k_{-2}, k_{-3}, k_{-4}, k_{-p}$	Reverse rate constant
k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_p	Forward rate constant

n_u	Input order
n_y	Output order
r_k	Weighting factor for input
w_k	Weighting factor for output
\tilde{y}	Output response from FOPTD model
\bar{y}	Mean of the output response
y_1	Output response for reactor temperature
y_2	Output response for water activity
y_m	Model output response
y_{sp}	Output setpoint
γ_w	Activity coefficient of water
$\theta_{n_u n_y}$	Empirical model cluster coefficient
ρ_j	Density of water in the jacket
Φ_N^u	Nonlinearity measure
M	Moving horizon
P	Prediction horizon
R	Gas constant
A	Concentration of Citronellol
B	Concentration of lauric Acid
E	Candida rugosa lipase
G	Linear model
K	Process gain for FOPTD
N	Nonlinear model
P	Concentration of Citronellyl laurate

Q	Concentration of water from reaction
U	Heat exchange coefficient
V	Volume of the reactor
a	Coefficients for originating exogenous terms
b	Coefficients for autoregressive terms
c	Coefficients for cross terms
e	Process error
i	Time lag for input
j	Time lag for output
t	Time
u	Input response
y	Output response
θ	Time delay
τ	Time constant for FOPTD

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	Artificial Neural Networks
ARMAX	Autoregressive Moving Average with Exogenous Input
ARX	Autoregressive with exogenous input
ARX-MPC	Autoregressive with exogenous input- Model Predictive Control
BB	Branch and Bound
DEE	Differential Equation Editor
deWMA-MPC	Double Exponentially Weighted Moving Average Based MPC
DMC	Dynamic Matrix Control
DOF	Degree Of Freedom
EKF	Extended Kalman Filter
FLC	Fuzzy Logic Controller
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GC	Gas Chromatograph
GDR-GPC	Generalized Delta Rule algorithm based Generalized Predictive Control
GDR	Generalized Delta Rule
GMC	Generic Model Controller
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HFPC	Hybrid Fuzzy Predictive Control
IAE	Integrated Absolute Error
IMC	Internal Model Control
ISE	Integrated of Squared Error
LMPC	Linear Model Predictive Control

LV-MPC	Latent Variable Model Predictive Control
MHE	Moving Horizon Estimation
MIMO	Multiple Input Multiple Output
MISO	Multiple Input Single Output
MPC	Model Predictive Control
MSE	Mean Squared Error
NARMAX	Autoregressive Moving Average with Exogenous
NARX	Nonlinear Autoregressive with Exogenous Input
NARX-MPC	Nonlinear Autoregressive with Exogenous Input-Model Predictive Control
NLH-MAC	Nonlinear Hammerstein - Model Algorithmic Control
NLP	Nonlinear Programming
NMPC	Nonlinear Model based Predictive Control
NN-MPC	Artificial Neural Network-Based MPC
PBE	Population Balance Equation
PCA	Principle Component Analysis
PI	Proportional-Integral Control
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivative
PLC	Programmable Logic Control
PLS	Partial Least Squares
PRBS	Pseudo Random Binary Sequence
QP	Quadratic Programming
R^2	Correlation coefficient
RB	Radial Basis
RLSE	Recursive Least Squares Estimation

R2R	Run-to-Run
RTWT	Real-Time Windows Target
SISO	Single Input Single Output
SQP	Sequential Quadratic Programming
SSE	Sum Squared Error

**PELAKSANAAN MASA NYATA UNTUK KAWALAN RAMALAN MODEL
AUTO MUNDUR TAK LELURUS DENGAN MASUKAN LUAR UNTUK
PROSES PENGESTERAN BERMANGKIN KELOMPOK**

ABSTRAK

Proses pengesteran bermangkin lipase merupakan suatu proses penting dalam industri makanan dan farmaseutik. Pencapaian pengeluaran optimum dalam proses pengesteran adalah satu cabaran yang besar disebabkan oleh pelbagai faktor yang mempengaruhi kinetik proses tersebut. MPC direka bentuk dan dilaksanakan dalam kajian ini untuk mengawal suhu dan aktiviti air dalam proses pengesteran bermangkin lipase. Sebelum itu, model kinetik yang mematuhi mekanisma Bi-Bi teratur dibangunkan untuk mengkaji fungsi aktiviti air dan suhu. Parameter kinetik untuk proses ini dianggarkan menggunakan fungsi interp dalam perisian MATLAB®. Kemudian, model prinsip pertama dengan model kinetik dibangunkan dan disahkan dengan data eksperimen. Model prinsip pertama diselesaikan menggunakan tertib ke-empat kaedah Runge-Kutta (ode45) dengan menggunakan gambar rajah blok Editor Persamaan Pembezaan (DEE) yang dibangunkan menggunakan perisian MATLAB®. Model yang dibangunkan menunjukkan keupayaan ramalan yang kuat untuk mewakili proses sebenar. Model prinsip pertama yang disahkan itu kemudiannya digunakan untuk mengkaji kepekaan dan ketaklinearan serta untuk menjana data masukan/keluaran untuk model empirikal. Berdasarkan kajian kepekaan, pembolehubah masukan iaitu kadar aliran jaket, suhu jaket dan kadar aliran udara mempunyai kesan yang bererti terhadap pembolehubah keluaran iaitu suhu reaktor dan aktiviti air. Kajian ketaklinearan menunjukkan

bahawa proses pengesteran bermangkin lipase boleh diklasifikasikan sebagai proses tak linear sederhana. Objektif strategi kawalan MPC ialah untuk mengawal suhu reaktor dan aktiviti air bagi sebuah reaktor pengesteran kelompok. Model empirik yang tertanam dalam MPC, dibangunkan menggunakan model Auto-mundur Lelurus dengan Masukan Luar (ARX) dan model Auto-mundur Tak Lelurus dengan Masukan Luar (NARX) dan masing-masing dikenali sebagai ARX-MPC dan NARX-MPC. Anggaran parameter dan pengesahan model untuk model empirik dijalankan menggunakan peralatan pengenalan sistem anggaran kuasa dua terkecil berulang (RLSE) dalam MATLAB®. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa model NARX lebih sepadan dengan data sebenar jika dibandingkan dengan model ARX. Parameter MPC pula ditala untuk menentukan prestasi pengawal terbaik. Kemudian, persembahan pengawal ARX-MPC dan NARX-MPC dengan talaan terbaik dibandingkan dan dinilai dari segi pengesanan titik set dan penolakan gangguan. Keputusan ISE yang dicapai dalam kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pemasangan NARX-MPC yang dibangunkan untuk sistem kawalan adalah amat memuaskan dan mengungguli pengawal ARX-MPC. Selain itu, pengawal NARX-MPC didapati lebih mantap berbanding ARX-MPC dalam kajian ujian keteguhan. Akhir sekali, pengawal NARX-MPC dipilih dan diuji dalam pelaksanaan masa nyata. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa pengawal NARX-MPC berkesan dalam mengawal suhu dan aktiviti air bagi proses dalam persekitaran masa nyata.