



University of
Zurich^{UZH}

Zurich Open Repository and
Archive

University of Zurich
Main Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

Guided biopsy of osseous pathologies in the jaw bone using a 3D-printed, tooth-supported drilling template

Valdec, Silvio ; Schiefersteiner, Mona ; Rücker, Martin ; Stadlinger, Bernd

Abstract: Suspicious radiological findings in the jaw bone require histopathological examination for the confirmation of a diagnosis. As pathologies in this region are difficult to reach or are in close proximity to relevant anatomical structures, e.g. tooth roots or nerves, they often represent a challenge. Such factors may adversely affect the predictability of the surgical outcome of a biopsy of the osseous tissues. This technical note introduces a novel method for performing a digitally planned, guided biopsy. For this purpose, a cone beam computed tomography scan and an intraoral scan are superimposed using specific planning software. The resulting three-dimensionally printed, tooth-supported drilling template is designed for a trephine biopsy. It allows a precise, minimally invasive approach, with an exact three-dimensional determination of the biopsy location prior to surgery. The risk of devitalization of the neighbouring teeth or possible damage to the nerve structures can be minimized. Furthermore, a small access flap can be sufficient. In summary, the method of bone biopsy presented here allows high precision and greater predictability for biopsy sampling and is minimally invasive for the patient.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2019.04.007>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-182035>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Valdec, Silvio; Schiefersteiner, Mona; Rücker, Martin; Stadlinger, Bernd (2019). Guided biopsy of osseous pathologies in the jaw bone using a 3D-printed, tooth-supported drilling template. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 48(8):1028-1031.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2019.04.007>

1 **Guided biopsy of osseous pathologies in the jaw bone using a 3D-printed, tooth-supported,**
2 **drilling template**

3

4 Silvio Valdec¹, Mona Schiefersteiner¹, Martin Rücker¹, Bernd Stadlinger¹

5 ¹ Clinic of Cranio-Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery, Center of Dental Medicine, University of
6 Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

7

8

9 Key words:

10 guided biopsy, guided surgery, digital planning, CBCT imaging, computer assisted, oral
11 pathologies, 3D printing, tooth-supported template, trephine biopsy, drilling template

12

13 Correspondence:

14 Dr. med. dent. Silvio Valdec

15 Clinic of Cranio-Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery

16 Center of Dental Medicine, University of Zurich

17 Plattenstrasse 15, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland

18 Tel.: +41 44 634 32 90, Fax.: + 41 44 634 43 28

19

20 **Abstract**

21 Suspicious radiological findings in the bony jaw need a pathohistological examination for the
22 confirmation of a diagnosis. As pathologies in this region are hard to reach or are in close
23 proximity to relevant anatomical structures, e.g. tooth roots or nerves, they often represent a
24 challenge. Such factors may adversely affect the predictability of the surgical outcome of a
25 biopsy of osseous tissues.

26 This technical note introduces a novel method for performing a digitally-planned, guided
27 biopsy. For this purpose, a superimposition of a CBCT and an intraoral scan was performed
28 using a specific planning software programme. The resulting 3D-printed, tooth-supported
29 drilling template is designed for a trephine biopsy. It allows a precise, minimally invasive
30 approach, with an exact three-dimensional determination of the biopsy location prior to
31 surgery. Risk of devitalisation of neighbouring teeth or possible damage to nerve structures
32 can be minimised. Furthermore, a small access flap can be sufficient.

33 In summary, the presented method of a bone biopsy allows high precision and more
34 predictability for biopsy sampling and is minimally invasive for the patient.

35

36 **Introduction**

37 Intraosseous lesions within the upper and lower jaw may appear cystic, lytic, sclerotic, or a
38 mixture of these. For radiological diagnosis, a variety of imaging modalities are used. In oral
39 and maxillofacial surgery, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) is commonly applied due
40 to its high spatial resolution, accessibility, and lower radiation dosage compared to computed
41 tomography (CT). For this reason, intraosseous lesions are common findings in CBCTs¹. In
42 radiographic images, the degree of bone remodelling around lesions will differ as to
43 inflammatory origin, or benign and malignant lesions. These characteristics, along with factors
44 such as location and the dimension of the lesion, allow a differentiation². In clinics, biopsy is
45 relevant prior to treatment. To minimise diagnostic errors, the biopsy specimen needs to
46 include the interface between lesional and normal adjacent tissue^{3, 4}. This technical note
47 presents a digitally designed drill guide for biopsy sampling. Using this guide, the sampling
48 location can be reached with increased precision and predictability.

49

50 **Technique**

51 Digital Planning

52 A three-dimensional radiography (CBCT) is uploaded into the planning software (smop,
53 Swissmeda AG, Zürich, Switzerland) as a DICOM file. Next, a superimposition with either an
54 intraoral surface scan or a surface scan of a cast model is performed through the upload of the
55 corresponding STL file (Stereolithography or Surface Tessellation File). Tooth crowns are used
56 as landmarks for facilitated matching. This results in an alignment of the 3D image and an
57 intraoral scan. Originally, the planning software was created for guided implant surgery.

58 However, instead of virtually inserting a dental implant, a cylinder equivalent to the inner
59 dimension of a trephine bur can be placed virtually into the lesion in the desired position.

60 The next step is the design of the tooth-supported drill guide (Fig. 1). In collaboration with the
61 service center, the new drill guide STL-File can be exported and sent to a 3D printer. The key
62 benefit in the 3D-printing of the drill guide is the freedom of designing a guide according to the
63 individual surgical situation. For this reason there is no need to avoid undercuts in comparison
64 to a milled drilling template. Additionally, enough space can be provided for water cooling and
65 a visual overview for the surgeon can be achieved, using a skeletal design.

66 Case illustration (Fig. 1)

67 The teeth serve as retention for the drilling template (Fig. 2a). Surgical access depends on the
68 location of the lesion. Based on appropriate planning, a minimal, semi-lunar mucosal incision is
69 sufficient in most cases (Fig. 2b). Incisal edge distance and vestibular space should be
70 considered during the planning to avoid increased tension on the buccal mucosa, possibly
71 resulting in the elevation of the drilling template during biopsy sampling. Drilling is performed
72 with a standard angled handpiece and a trephine bur under permanent water cooling (Fig. 2c).
73 Subsequently a primary wound closure is performed (Fig. 2d). The biopsy specimen is
74 transferred to the pathologist for histologic evaluation in combination with the preoperative
75 CBCT. Possibly, a postoperative low dose CBCT, as it was performed in this case can also be
76 supplied. A post-operative 3D-image allows the verification of the biopsy location in
77 comparison to the preoperative planning (Fig. 3).

78 At the follow-up examination after 7 days, the sutures were removed. The mandible showed
79 good wound healing and there was no sensory disturbance.

80

81 **Discussion**

82 When applied without tension in the proper interlinking with the dentition, the application of
83 this 3D-printed drilling template allows a reliable position for biopsy sampling⁵. The
84 comparison between the virtual planning and the real patient situation was tested for this
85 software for guided implant surgery and showed satisfying results⁶. These findings can be
86 adopted for the guided biopsy technique. Additionally, using a postoperative low dose CBCT
87 Scan, the location of the trephine drill can be radiologically analysed and serves additionally as
88 a valuable source of information for the pathologist. Various fibro-osseous lesions of the facial
89 bone may have a similar histo-pathological presentation and treatment options vary from a
90 wait-and-see procedure to radical surgery¹. Even with all available diagnostic tools, treatment
91 strategies remain controversial, however. This underlines the importance of a precise biopsy
92 specimen⁷.

93 Another advantage of the described method is the shorter duration of the surgical
94 intervention. Especially for non- or semi-compliant patients, this can be crucial when deciding
95 whether to perform the surgical intervention under local or general anaesthesia. Moreover,
96 the method is also applicable for children and gives improved access to deep-seated locations
97 in complex anatomical regions.

98 Another advantage is the possibility to plot the drill guide on the day of biopsy, as long as a 3D
99 printer is available in-house. This is due to the fact that all digital steps can be performed
100 either by the planner or the service center⁸.

101 The preoperative planning procedure reduces the time the patient is in surgery, although,
102 compared to conventional techniques, the overall time needed for each patient remains the
103 same. It is also important to point out that experience in planning is essential to minimise
104 application errors^{5,9}.

105

106 A guided biopsy with a tooth-supported drilling template is a minimally-invasive, time-effective
107 surgical intervention, which allows more preciseness and predictability. This innovative
108 method has its primary indication for a bone biopsy in complex anatomical regions with
109 proximity to sensitive structures.

110

111

112 **References**

113

- 114 1. MacDonald DS. Maxillofacial fibro-osseous lesions. Clin Radiol. 2015;70(1):25-36.
115 2. Mosier KM. Lesions of the Jaw. Semin Ultrasound CT MR. 2015;36(5):444-50.
116 3. Slootweg PJ, Muller H. Differential diagnosis of fibro-osseous jaw lesions. A histological
117 investigation on 30 cases. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 1990;18(5):210-4.
118 4. Mainville GN, Turgeon DP, Kauzman A. Diagnosis and management of benign fibro-
119 osseous lesions of the jaws: a current review for the dental clinician. Oral Dis. 2017;23(4):440-
120 50.
121 5. Widmann G, Stoffner R, Bale R. Errors and error management in image-guided
122 craniomaxillofacial surgery. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.
123 2009;107(5):701-15.
124 6. Kernen F, Benic GI, Payer M, Schar A, Muller-Gerbl M, Filippi A, et al. Accuracy of
125 Three-Dimensional Printed Templates for Guided Implant Placement Based on Matching a
126 Surface Scan with CBCT. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2016;18(4):762-8.
127 7. Li K, Yang L, Qiao YJ, Liang YJ, Wang X, Liao GQ. Risk factors and prognosis for the
128 primary intraosseous carcinoma of the jaw. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2018.
129 8. Happe A, Fehmer V, Herklotz I, Nickenig HJ, Sailer I. Possibilities and limitations of
130 computer-assisted implant planning and guided surgery in the anterior region. Int J Comput
131 Dent. 2018;21(2):147-62.
132 9. Patcas R, Angst C, Kellenberger CJ, Schatzle MA, Ullrich O, Markic G. Method of
133 visualisation influences accuracy of measurements in cone-beam computed tomography. J
134 Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2015;43(7):1277-83.

135