



Foyer, C. H., Baker, A., Wright, M., Sparkes, I. A., Mhamdi, A., Schippers, J. H. M., & Van Breusegem, F. (2020). On the move: redox-dependent protein relocation in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *71*(2), 620-631. [erz330]. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz330

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1093/jxb/erz330

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Oxford University Press at [insert hyperlink]. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

# University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/user-guides/explore-bristol-research/ebr-terms/

# On the move: Redox –dependent protein relocation in plants

Christine H. Foyer<sup>1,2\*#</sup>, Alison Bake<sup>3,4#</sup>, Megan Wright<sup>5,6</sup>, Imogen A. Sparkes<sup>7</sup>, Amna Mhamdi<sup>8,9</sup>, Jos H.M. Schippers<sup>10,11</sup> and Frank Van Breusegem<sup>8,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT, UK

- <sup>2</sup>School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- <sup>3</sup>School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- <sup>4</sup>Centre for plant Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT
- <sup>5</sup>The Astbury Centre for Structural Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- <sup>6</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- <sup>7</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol Life Sciences Building, 24
  Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TQ

<sup>8</sup>VIB-UGent Center for Plant Systems Biology, Ghent University, Technologiepark 71 9052 Ghent – Belgium

<sup>9</sup>Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics. Ghent University, Technologiepark 71 – 9052 Ghent, Belgium

<sup>10</sup> Institute of Biology I, RWTH Aachen University, Worringerweg 1, 52074 Aachen, Germany.

<sup>11</sup>Department of Molecular Genetics, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Corrensstr. 3, Gatersleben, Germany Short title: Redox-dependent protein relocation

## \* Corresponding author: Christine H. Foyer, <u>C.Foyer@leeds.ac.uk;</u>

<sup>#</sup> These authors have contributed equally to this work and are hence joint first authors

Key words: catalase, moonlighting proteins, nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species, redox signaling, stromules

Abbreviations: ER: endoplasmic reticulum; CAT: catalase; GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3phosphate dehydrogenase; NPR1: NON-EXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES 1; PR: pathogenesis-related; PRX: peroxiredoxins; PTM: post-translational modifications; ROS: reactive oxygen species; RNS: reactive nitrogen species, SA: salicylic acid; SAR: systemic acquired resistance; SOD: Superoxide Dismutase; TRX: thioredoxin ; UPS: Ubiquitin-proteasome system; WHY1: WHIRLY1

Figures: 4 plus 1 in Box 1 (Figure 5)

Tables: 1

Boxes: 1

### ABSTRACT

Compartmentation of proteins and processes is a defining feature of eukaryotic cells. The growth and development of organisms is critically dependent on the accurate sorting of proteins within cells. The mechanisms by which cytosol-synthesized proteins are delivered to the membranes and membrane compartments have been extensively characterised. However, the protein complement of any given compartment is not precisely fixed and some proteins can move between compartments in response to metabolic or environmental triggers. The mechanisms and processes that mediate such relocation events are largely uncharacterized. Many proteins can in addition perform multiple functions, catalyzing alternative reactions or performing structural, non-enzymatic functions. These alternative functions can be equally important functions in each cellular compartment. Such proteins are generally not dual targeted proteins to specific cellular locations. We propose that redox post-translational modifications (PTMs) can control the compartmentation of many such proteins, including antioxidant and/or redox associated enzymes.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Metabolic regulation is shaped by compartmentalization in all cells. Compartmentalization is required to achieve the stable metabolic states that underpin different cell fates (Harrington et al., 2013). Within this context, many proteins perform multiple apparently unrelated functions, often in different locations. These are often called moonlighting proteins, the classic definition of which is proteins with two or more different functions, excluding those arising from gene fusion, homologous non identical proteins, splice variants, proteins with different post translational modifications (PTMs) and those with a single function but active in different locations or on different substrates (Jeffery, 1999). However, the number and diversity of proteins that either can have different functions in the same intracellular compartment or that can move from one compartment to another to fulfil different functions has increased enormously in recent years, aided by development and application of bioinformatic (Chapple et al., 2015) proteomic and cell imaging techniques (Chong et al., 2015; Thul et al., 2017). Such studies have revealed that up to 50% of cellular proteins exist in multiple subcellular localisations, which can change in response to appropriate triggers (Chong et al., 2015), such as disease states like cancers in animals (Min et al., 2016) and stress responses in plants (Sun et al. 2018). Several metabolic enzymes are known to move into the nucleus affecting epigenetic modifications (Boukouris et al., 2016) and histone expression (He et al., 2013) providing a link between metabolism and gene expression. Plant organellar proteins such as MUTS HOMOLOG1 (MSH) 1, which is a DNA-binding nucleoid protein, function in the creation of epigenetic stress memories in plants that are associated with organellar redox changes (Virdi et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2012). Whilst many studies have been conducted on yeast and mammalian cells, there is also incontrovertible evidence for proteins with multiple, largely unrelated functions in plants (Table I). For example, recent studies have identified a number of metabolic enzymes as members of the RNA binding protein repertoire (Marondedze et al., 2016).

It is important to note that not all proteins that move compartments exhibit moonlighting functions, and not all proteins with such properties move compartments. For example, L-galactono-1, 4-lactone dehydrogenase has dual functions in plant mitochondria. As an enzyme it is responsible for the synthesis of ascorbic acid, and as a chaperone it is essential for the assembly of respiratory complex I (Schimmeyer *et al.*, 2016). Similarly plastid NAD dependent malate dehydrogenase has a non-enzymatic function stabilising the FtsH12 component of the inner envelope AAA ATPase (Schreier *et al.*, 2018). Proteins such as peroxiredoxins (PRX)

that readily undergo redox PTMS in their roles as ROS scavengers and oxidases have evolved to support multiple functions (acting as peroxidases, signalling proteins and chaperones) under optimal and stress conditions (Chen *et al.*, 2018). Like other redox proteins, whose functions are supported by thiol-based biochemistry, PRX can interact with multiple cellular partners in animals and plants, from thioredoxins (TRX) to transcription factors (Liebthal *et al.*, 2018).

Regulated protein relocation between the different compartments of the cell provides a robust and flexible mechanism for metabolic, genetic and epigenetic regulation in response to metabolic stimuli and environmental cues. Such responses often entail shifts in cellular redox homeostasis that lead to both oxidative and reductive events that shift protein functions and compartmentation. One important paradigm for such redox-related changes in plants is Nonexpresser of pathogenesis related proteins (NPR)1, which is a master regulator of salicylic acid (SA)-mediated systemic acquired resistance (SAR) leading to broad-spectrum disease resistance in plants (Mou et al., 2003). NPR1 is similar to the immune co-factor I k B and the transcription factor NF-k B in mammals, suggesting that there is conservation of immune responses (Sun et al., 2018). In the cytosol, NPR1 exists in a large disulfide-bonded oligomeric complex. Stress-induced SA accumulation leads to reduction of the intermolecular disulfide bonds within the complex by TRX (Tada et al., 2008) and release of the NPR1 monomers. These are then phosphorylated in the cytosol and imported into the nucleus (Mou et al., 2003). Further phosphorylation of NPR1 in the nucleus promotes interactions with transcription factors such as WRKY and TGA in a redox-dependant manner leading to the expression of PR genes. Other proteins that move from the cytosol to the nucleus upon perturbation of redox homeostasis are known in yeast. The AP-1-like transcription factor (YAP1), which a member of the basic leucine zipper protein family (bZIP), translocates when oxidized from the cytosol to the nucleus, where it activates genes encoding oxidative stress tolerance proteins (Kuge et al., 1997), while the enzyme Superoxide Dismutase, SOD1, move to the nucleus to moonlight as a transcription factor (Tsang et al., 2014). Examples from mammalian cells include NRF2, CLK-1, and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) reviewed in (Min et al., 2016; Monaghan and Whitmarsh, 2015).

A second paradigm is organelle-to-nucleus retrograde signalling pathways that allow cells to adapt to changes in metabolic state, often in a redox-dependent manner (Boukouris *et al.*, 2016; Monaghan and Whitmarsh, 2015). In this Expert View, we discuss plant proteins that are

known or suspected to move location in the cell to perform alternative activities. We also present evidence in support of the hypothesis that redox cues and resulting PTMs not only alter the interactome of redox-sensitive proteins but also central to mechanisms that facilitate the movement of proteins between compartments.

#### **MECHANISMS OF PROTEIN MOVEMENT IN PLANTS**

#### **ROS triggered post translational modifications (PTMS)**

Reduction-oxidation (redox) processes not only drive cellular energy metabolism but they are crucial to cell signalling and communication (Foyer and Allen, 2003). A vast wealth of molecular genetic evidence supports the concept that reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by photosynthesis, respiration and other metabolic processes, and by specific enzymes such as NADPH oxidases are essential signalling molecules that control plant growth and stress responses (Foyer, 2018) (Mhamdi and Van Breusegem, 2018; Schmidt and Schippers, 2015). This is achieved by redox-mediated PTMs of the cysteines residues on key proteins involved in multiple pathways such as primary and secondary metabolism, cell cycle phytohormone metabolism and signalling, gene expression, translation and protein production and transport. Chloroplasts, mitochondria and peroxisomes are thus not only the essential sites of metabolic energy production and utilization, but also important sources of ROS and other redox regulators that influence nearly every aspect of cell biology (Noctor and Foyer, 2016). While cells regulate redox processes in a compartment-specific manner, redox PTMs may also be used to regulate the movement of proteins between compartments, as described for NPR1 above (Tada et al., 2008). Assessing the protein-protein interactions that are involved in the functions of NPR1 and other redox regulated proteins is not only technically challenging but also entails considerations of the inter-dependent facets of redox state and oligomeric structure. Moreover, ROS and redox cues modify microtubule orientation and behaviour within plant cells (Dang et al., 2018), as well as the operation of protein import machineries (reviewed in (Bolter et al., 2015; Ling and Jarvis, 2015).

Redox PTMs on protein cysteines are formed enzymatically or non-enzymatically via promiscuous reactive species, including ROS, reactive nitrogen species (RNS), and other radicals or electrophilic lipids. There is growing appreciation that redox PTMs are site-specific,

governed by the microenvironment of the cysteine residues, and that they are subject to temporal and spatial control. Studies using small molecule and protein-based fluorescent sensors have shown that eukaryotic cells tightly control the location of reactive species, proteins and redox state across compartments (Kaludercic *et al.*, 2014). However, rather than being fixed, this balance is flexible and responsive to metabolic and environmental controls. For example, it is shifted during the ageing processes in the model organism *C. elegans* (Kirstein *et al.*, 2015). As in other organisms, redox PTMs control the activities and binding partners and probably also the compartmentation of many plant proteins, including antioxidant and/or redox associated enzymes (**Box I**), as discussed in detail below.

#### **Protein Import and Export**

The molecular mechanisms of protein import into mitochondria, chloroplasts and peroxisomes have now been established and the importance of the accuracy of these processes underscored by the realization that defects result in human disease. Recent work has revealed that protein import can be regulated at several levels; from modification of individual precursor proteins to prevent or alter their targeting, to regulated interaction with binding partners, and modification of the import apparatus by phosphorylation or ubiquitination to alter its activity (Bolter et al., 2015; Harbauer et al., 2014; Ling et al., 2012) (Figure 1). Such processes allow the location of proteins to change in response to changes in cellular state. For example, in *C. elegans* the transcription factor ATF1 is imported into mitochondria and degraded by a Lon protease but, when import is decreased, ATF1 relocates to the nucleus and induces an unfolded protein response (Nargund et al., 2012). In mammals, import of the protein catalase into the peroxisome is redox regulated and under stress conditions the peroxisome import receptor PEX5, retains catalase in the cytosol (Walton et al., 2017). Intriguingly, an old observation that NADPH but not NADH inhibits protein import hints at the importance of redox balance for protein import into plant peroxisomes as well (Pool et al., 1998). Retrograde signalling from organelles to the nucleus to integrate cellular activities is well established, and modulation of chloroplast import activity is important in response to biotic and abiotic stress (de Torres Zabala et al., 2015; Ling and Jarvis, 2015).

Proteins are exported from mitochondria, chloroplasts and peroxisomes and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (**Figure 1**). The best characterised mechanisms of protein export are involved

in protein degradation and in organelle quality control via the cytosolic Ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPSI (Bragoszewski et al., 2017; Kao et al., 2018; Ling and Jarvis, 2016). In peroxisomes, for example, an ER associated degradation (ERAD)-like system exports the import receptor PEX5 from the peroxisome membrane to perform further rounds of import. PEX5 cycling between peroxisome and cytosol is regulated by ubiquitination of a conserved Cys, and in mammalian cells reduced glutathione can de-ubiquitinate the receptor (Grou et al., 2009). Although not shown experimentally, this Cys is conserved in plant PEX5 proteins suggestive of a similar mechanism operating. Mutants in this PEX 5 re-export system in Arabidopsis also fail to degrade some peroxisome matrix proteins suggesting they are exported for degradation (Burkhart et al., 2013). The release of transcription factors from cellular membranes by regulated proteolysis is also a well-known response to stress in both animals and plants (Seo et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2011). Potentially, protein export from organelles and retargeting (rather than degradation) could provide a means of signalling and genetic regulation. To date this has only been proposed/described for a handful of proteins and the mechanism(s) by which this occurs and is regulated are still obscure (Foyer et al., 2014). The next section presents proteins which are candidates for regulated relocation.

#### Candidates as a paradigm for redox regulated movement in plants

**GAPDH** is a quintessential example of a moonlighting protein (Sirover, 2012, 2014). Several GAPDH isoforms exist in different subcellular localizations in plants (Holtgrefe *et al.*, 2008). In animals, it has multiple functions in addition to its classic role in glycolysis, such as DNA stability and control of gene expression, autophagy and apoptosis. Both the activity and localization of the plant cytosolic GAPDH isoform (GapC) are controlled by cellular redox state (Bedhomme *et al.*, 2012). Redox PTMs on the cytosolic GAPDH protein in animals block enzyme activity and promote novel cell signalling and transcription functions in the nucleus (Yang and Zhai, 2017) (Zaffagnini *et al.*, 2013). The functions of GAPDH in the nuclei of plant cells are not clear, but nuclear GAPDH may have a role as a coactivator for gene expression (Hildebrandt *et al.*, 2015). Since GapC is also localized in the nucleus, it is suggested that redox modification facilitates transfer to the nucleus in plants as it does in animals (Ortiz-Ortiz *et al.*, 2010). However, the mechanism of nuclear translocation of GapC is unknown although it is thought to involve *S*-sulfhydration, a process that reversibly regulates the function of this protein, in a manner similar to that described in mammalian systems (Aroca

*et al.*, 2015). However, GapC undergoes S-nitrosylation, S-glutathionylation, S-sulfhydration, S-sulfenylation as well as other modifications that all occur on the same cysteine residue (Aroca *et al.*, 2017; Bedhomme *et al.*, 2012; Lindermayr *et al.*, 2005; Waszczak *et al.*, 2014). Thus, how each type of PTM modifies GapC to shift location and/or alternative instigate non-metabolic functions remains to be determined.

Catalase (CAT) is a peroxisomal enzyme whose import in mammals is redox-regulated (Walton et al., 2017) and in yeast is dependent on carbon source (Horiguchi et al., 2001). In plants it is classically known as a peroxisomal enzyme but recent evidence suggests that the compartmentation of this central antioxidant enzyme may be more dynamic than the literature acknowledges. The role of CAT as a central 'redox guardian' is well established (Mhamdi et al., 2012). Plant catalases have been shown to interact with a variety of cytosolic proteins including calmodulin (Yang and Poovaiah, 2002), calcium-dependent protein kinase 8 (CDPK8) (Zou et al., 2015), salt overly sensitive 2 (SOS2) (Verslues et al., 2007), lesion stimulating disease1 (LSD1) (Li et al., 2013), receptor like cytoplasmic kinase STRK1 (Zhou et al., 2018) and no catalase activity 1 (NCA1) (Hackenberg et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015) (Figure 2). All are integral stress signalling proteins. The *ncal* mutants, which lack a functional CAT, are hypersensitive to abiotic stresses. Similarly, the *cat2* mutant of Arabidopsis, which lacks the predominant leaf isoform that is essential for the metabolism of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> produced by photorespiration, activates a wide range of salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA)dependent responses and displays day-length dependent localised programed cell death (PCD) and resistance to pathogens (Queval et al., 2010). CAT can also be a target for pathogen encoded-effector proteins (Mathioudakis et al., 2013; Murota et al., 2017). The fungal effectors PsCRN115 and PsCRN63 both traffic CAT to the nucleus but have opposite biochemical and physiological effects. PsCRN115 stabilises catalase, decreases H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and reduces PCD, whereas PsCRN63 destabilises catalase increases H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and increases PCD (Zhang et al., 2015). How can the interaction of peroxisomal catalase with such a wide variety of cytosolic proteins be explained? Some interactions may be occurring during biosynthesis in the cyotsol before import into peroixisomes. However the evidence that catalase interacts with different stress signalling and PCD proteins potentially provides a mechanism for protein retention and/or relocation. We speculate that the location of cytosolically-synthesised CAT is determined by competition among different potential-binding partners as a consequence of reduced import into peroxisomes and/or increased retention of CAT in the cytosol. While sensitivity of peroxisomal protein import to redox status is likely to impact import of all peroxisome proteins,

CAT which has a non-canonical targeting signal (Mhamdi *et al.*, 2012) (Rymer *et al.*, 2018) may be more sensitive and indeed PEX5, the major peroxisome import receptor, has been proposed to specifically retain mammalian catalase in the cytosol under conditions of oxidative stress (Walton *et al.*, 2017). This property, combined with the potential to interact with an array of cytosolic proteins as shown in **Figure 2** could allow swift control of catalase localisation between compartments in such a way as to influence various redox signalling pathways.

WHIRLY1 (WHY1) is a member of a small family of ssDNA binding proteins that are specific to the plant kingdom (Desveaux et al., 2005; Desveaux et al., 2004). WHY1 protein is encoded in the nuclei and targeted to chloroplasts and the nuclei, the nuclear and processed chloroplast forms having the same molecular mass (Grabowski et al., 2008). Studies using epitope tagged, transplastomically-expressed WHY1 provided evidence that WHY1 can move directly from the chloroplasts in the nuclei (Isemer et al., 2012): (Foyer et al., 2014). However, the factors that trigger and regulate this apparently direct movement of this protein from the chloroplasts to the nuclei are unknown. Posttranslational modification of WHY1 in the cytosol can also regulate the partitioning between the chloroplasts and nuclei. This change in partitioning is regulated by phosphorylation of WHY1 in the cytosol by a serine/threonine SNF1-related kinase called calcineurin B-Like-Interacting Protein Kinase14 (CIPK14). protein Phosphorylation of WHY1 results in transport to the nucleus (Ren et al., 2017). The phosphorylation of WHY1 in the cytosol regulates the intracellular localization with respect to leaf development. WHY1 being predominantly in the chloroplasts of young leaves, while in senescing leaves the protein is localized mainly in the nucleus (Ren et al., 2017). It is perhaps not surprising therefore that WHY1 is a multifunctional protein, with DNA binding properties that are relevant in both cellular compartments. WHY1 is important in the regulation of chloroplast development, plastome copy number and plastome gene expression, chloroplast ribosome formation and chloroplast to nucleus signaling (Comadira et al., 2015; (Comadira et al., 2015; Prikryl et al., 2008). WHY1 promotes ribosomal RNA splicing that is catalysed by other factors within plastids (Prikryl et al., 2008). Nuclear WHY1 is involved in the expression of senescence and defence genes as well as in the maintenance of telomeres (Yoo et al., 2007).

**ROXY proteins.** The glutaredoxins ROXY1 and ROXY2 are found in both the nuclei and cytosol (Delorme-Hinoux *et al.*, 2016). ROXY1 interacts with the TGA transcription factor called TOPLESS in the nuclei, in a redox-dependent manner, and with four other TGA transcription factors. While there is as yet no direct evidence of the redox-regulated movement

of ROXY1 between the nucleus and cytosol, there is no other explanation for the dual compartmentation of this protein.

**Heat shock factor (HSF) A8**: Like other HSFs, HSFA8: is retained in the cytosol in an inactive form by interaction with heat shock proteins (HSP)s, which mask the nuclear location signal and the oligomerisation domain. In response to oxidative and other stresses HSFs oligomerize and are translocated into the nucleus, where they modulate the expression of target genes (Scharf *et al.*, 2012). Redox-mediated nucleocytoplasmic shuttling has been characterised HSFA8 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Giesguth et al., 2015) Cys24, which is located in the DNA binding domain of AtHSFA8 and Cys269, which is located in the C-terminal part of the protein act as redox sensors Disulphide bond formation between Cys24 and Cys269 is thought to induce release from multi-heteromeric complexes and translocation into the nucleus (Giesguth *et al.*, 2015)

Membrane bound transcription factors. Membrane located proteins can be cleaved from their membrane anchor in response to an appropriate signal to release a soluble domain that can be relocated (Figure 1). Often these proteins function as transcription factors once liberated from the membrane. ANAC013 and ANAC017 encode Arabidopsis transcription factors belonging to the NON APICAL MERISTEM/ARABIDOPSIS TRANCRIPTION ACTIVATION FACTOR/CUP SHAPED COTYLEDON (NAC) family. These transcription factors mediate ROS-related retrograde signalling originating from mitochondrial complex III. Both proteins are anchored to the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. They were identified via one hybrid assays as binding to a conserved cis acting regulatory sequence termed the mitochondrial dysfunction motif (MDM) which mediates mitochondrial retrograde regulation (MRR) during oxidative stress (De Clercq et al., 2013). GFP-ANAC013 was partially processed and nuclear localised, but while difficult to detect there was a suggestion that the full length protein is ER targeted (De Clercq et al., 2013). ANACO17 was also identified in a screen for loss of response to mitochondrial dysfunction (Ng et al., 2013). It is targeted to the ER and dual tagging experiments showed it is cleaved upon antimycin A treatment which inhibits the mitochondrial electron transport chain at complex III. The N terminal part of ANAC017 locates to the nucleus whilst the C terminal part remained ER associated. ANAC017 function was essential for hydrogen peroxide mediated stress signalling (Ng et al., 2013). Upon perception of redox signals, ANAC013 and ANAC017 are released from the ER and translocated to the nucleus, where they activate MDS genes such as alternative oxidases (AOXs), SOT12, and ANACO13.

The latter provides positive feedback regulation of the signalling pathway with enhancement of the signal. The ROS-dependent signalling pathways from chloroplasts and mitochondria merge at RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH1 (RCD1), a nuclear protein that is suggested to suppress the activities of the ANAC013 and ANAC017 transcription factors (Shapiguzov *et al.*, 2019). Another member of the family, ANAC089, is an ER and TGN localised membrane protein. Upon treatment with reducing agents the N terminal domain of ANAC089 localises to nuclei where it partially supresses chloroplast stromal ascorbate peroxidase gene expression. (Klein et al., 2012).

The chloroplast bound plant homeodomain transcription factor PTM was proposed to play a crucial role in chloroplast signalling to the nucleus. Full length PTM is located to the chloroplast outer envelope where as a truncated form lacking the TM domain was nuclear. Treatments such as high light and Norfluazon were reported to result in cleavage of PTM and localisation of the N terminal domain to the nucleus. Processed PTM was shown to activate ABI4 transcription (Sun *et al.*, 2011). Mutants defective in this gene show aberrant responses to treatments such as Norfluazon, high light dibromothymoquonine and Rose Bengal that affect different ROS and the level of reduction of the plastoquinione pool (Sun et al., 2011), although these results were not observed in a subsequent study (Page et al., 2017) leaving the role of PTM in chloroplast signalling questionable.

PEX2 is a peroxisome membrane protein with a cytosolically exposed RING domain E3 ligase that regulates the recycling and turnover of the PEX5 import receptor through ubiquitination (Burkhart *et al.*, 2014). Interestingly a mutant of Arabidopsis *PEX2 (ted3)* was recovered as a suppressor of the photomorphogenesis mutant *det1* (Hu *et al.*, 2002). The mechanism of this remains unknown but an artificially expressed RING domain was found in the nucleus where it interacted with the transcription factor HY5 (Desai *et al.*, 2014). Possible mechanisms could be cleavage of the RING domain and relocation to the nucleus, alternative transcription/translation sites or direct movement between peroxisome and nuclear membrane. Since peroxisomes are important nodes in the cell's antioxidant network and import is under redox control we speculate that PEX2 relocation could represent a potential mechanism for sensing the redox state of peroxisomes and relaying this information to the nucleus.

The above list is not exhaustive and it may in fact be the tip of the iceberg because there are many proteins in the literature that are suggested to undergo inter-compartmental switching in response to appropriate triggers. Arabidopsis hexokinase 1, for example, which is located at the outer mitochondrial membrane, has been suggested to translocate between mitochondrion and nucleus, upon perception of sugar signals or methyl-jasmonate, in a manner that is linked to mitochondrial ROS production (Claeyssen and Rivoal, 2007; Xiang *et al.*, 2011).

#### Organelle movement and contact as a mechanism of protein movement

Apart from release of proteins from membranes, prevention of import into or promotion of export from organelles, direct transfer of proteins between membrane bound compartments via membrane extensions and contact sites can occur (Pérez-Sancho *et al.*, 2016) (**Figure 3**). The cytoplasm in plant cells is densely packed and mainly constrained by the vacuole and ER to a narrow cortical zone. Protein transfer between organelles requires regulated release and redirection. Redirection through the cytosol may be slow and prevent bulk delivery. Emerging evidence suggests that the physical interaction between organelles is a requirement for the exchange of small molecules, lipids and proteins in plants as well as in mammals and yeast (Cohen *et al.*, 2018). Coordinated re-arrangement of organelle positioning within the cell could provide a mechanism for shuttling moonlighting proteins between compartments. Targeted 'protected' delivery from degradation, or potential reversal of the PTM, could be provided through the formation of a micro-environment between organelles that allows for exchanging proteins through a narrow 10-40nm cytoplasmic zone at the membrane contact site interface. Repositioning of organelles could also allow neighbouring organelles to signal to one another to regulate protein exchange.

#### **Redox-dependent formation of stromules, matrixules and peroxules**

Chloroplasts, mitochondria and peroxisomes are pleomorphic, dynamic organelles that produce tubules upon stress. Like membrane contact sites (MCS) these tubules allow positioning of the organelles in relation to each other within the cell and might be involved in the exchange of metabolites or macromolecules. For example, stroma-filled tubules called stromules (**Figure 4**) extend from the envelope of all plastid types. ROS increase peroxisome speed, resulting in membrane extensions (peroxules), which could facilitate contact with other organelles including chloroplasts (Gao *et al.*, 2016; Rodriguez-Serrano *et al.*, 2016; Rodriguez-Serrano *et al.*, 2016; Rodriguez-Serrano *et al.*, 2009). However, the cargo of the tubular structures and the nature of the potential signals (metabolic or proteinaceous) that are released is largely unknown (Hanson and Hines, 2018). Dynamin-type proteins are thought to be involve in stromule formation, as well as in the formation of vesicles that are shed from the stromule tips (Hanson and Hines, 2018). At

least some of the plastid-derived vesicles are found in the vacuole, where they fulfil a role in chloroplast degradation.

Stromules allow actin-mediated anchoring of chloroplasts at different locations within the cell to facilitate specific functions. For example, they can extend along microtubules to guide chloroplast movement to the nucleus during innate immunity responses. The application of hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) resulted in rapid stromule formation in Arabidopsis leaves (Caplan *et al.*, 2015). The accumulation of ROS, like other pro-defense molecules, is sufficient to induce stromule formation leading to the development of direct contact points between the chloroplasts and nuclei (Caplan *et al.*, 2015). In addition, other direct contact sites between chloroplasts and nuclei that are induced by high light have been suggested to allow movement of  $H_2O_2$  to the nucleus from attached chloroplasts (Exposito-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2017). Arogenate dehydratase (ADT) 2 which catalyzes the final step in phenylalanine biosynthesis localizes to stromules, (Bross *et al.*, 2017). Another interesting example of possible organelle to organelle transport of proteins via membrane extensions is the triacyl glycerol lipase SDP1 which is proposed to move from peroxisomes to oil bodies in a tubule- and retromer- dependent process (Thazar-Poulot *et al.*, 2015).

Mitochondria produce structures that are partly homologous to the chloroplast stromules, in response to light and other stimuli in an endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-mediated manner (Schmidt *et al.*, 2016). The protrusion-driven movement and positioning is considered to promote the inter-compartmental trafficking of metabolites and proteins but there remains a paucity of data on which proteins are trafficked and the mechanisms involved. ROS and redox cues modify microtubule orientation and behaviour within cells, as well as the operation of protein import and export machineries (Schmidt *et al.*, 2016). So far, it remains to be determined if these organelle-derived tubular structures are involved in direct exchange of metabolites or macromolecules between compartments, or might rather have a supportive function in the communication between organelles by acting as an cellular anchor to temporary fix their relative position to each other.

#### **Conclusions and Perspectives**

Our understanding of ROS functions has been entirely revised in recent decades. Initially confined to oxidative stress and associated cellular damage ROS are now recognised as signals

released from the plasma membrane and organelles to orchestrate plant growth and stress tolerance. Moreover, the same oxidative changes to proteins such as irreversible oxidation, nitrosylation of glutahionylation of cysteine residues that were once regarded as damage are now recognised as being instrumental in regulating protein-protein interactions and signalling. Little is known about how protein carbonylation functions as a PTM in response the cellular redox changes. Literature evidence supports the concept that changes in ROS production alter the redox status of plant cells, exerting a strong influence on metabolism and gene expression. Redox-related posttranslational modifications may have important effects on chromatin structure and function, opening up a new area of redox epigenetics (García-Giménez *et al.*, 2012). Histone PTMs have a direct impact on chromatin conformation, controlling important cellular events such as cell proliferation and differentiation. The carbonylation of specific histones (H1, H10, and H3.1 dimers) has been described during DNA synthesis in proliferating in NIH3T3 fibroblasts was found to decrease when nuclear proteasome activity was activated. suggesting that this PTM prevent excessive histone accumulation during DNA synthesis (García-Giménez *et al.*, 2012).

Until recently the paucity of experimental data on subcellular protein distribution has limited our understanding of the capacity and ability of proteins to move between different intracellular compartments. There has been a step change in our knowledge of proteins that perform more than one cellular function. The term given to such proteins is 'moonlighting', but this description is limited as we have discussed above because it does not apply to all proteins that move between different cellular compartments. Moreover, it has become increasingly apparent that protein localisation is not fixed and a high proportion of cellular proteins have the potential to move between compartments in response to specific triggers. In some cases this movement is the basis for an alternative cellular function. At present however we have only a fragmented picture with relatively few well characterised examples of proteins in plants that change compartment in order to moonlight, and the mechanisms by which they do so are largely unexplored. Here we have presented evidence in support of an extension to existing concepts suggesting that redox PTMs are likely to be a key driver for inter-compartmental shifts of antioxidant and redox-regulated proteins. Redox cues and associated PTMs are fundamental regulators of alternative protein functions and localization. However, the extent of this phenomenon, what makes proteins move and the mechanisms by which they do so remains largely obscure. Redox-regulated PTMs that drive intercompartmental protein relocation have the potential to integrate metabolic processes and influence genetic and epigenetic controls of plant growth and stress tolerance. This prospect is already opening a new intriguing and technically-challenging area of research.

Although it is widely recognised that ROS act as a signals through the redox processing of other molecules particularly proteins. Reactively little is known about the network of proteins that are undergo redox-mediated PTMs, highlighting the need for improved redox proteomics approaches. Moreover, a larger tool box of molecular and cell biology techniques is required fully understand the redox-mediated movement of organelles and the to associations/dissociations between different cellular compartments, as well as if and how redox-mediated structural changes facilitate direct movement of proteins from one compartment to another, particularly between chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes and nuclei without the need to transverse the cytosol in-between.

**Author contributions:** CH. Foyer and A Baker proposed the original idea and wrote the first draft of the manuscript text. All authors polished and contributed to the final manuscript text. A Baker produced figures 1 and 2, and table 1.J. Schippers produced Figure 3 and harmonised the style of all the figures. M Wright produced Box I.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Prof Patricia Conklin State University of New York College at Cortland for the image in Figure 4. CHF thanks BBSRC (UK) for financial support (BB/N004914/1).

#### FIGURE LEGENDS

#### Figure 1 Potential mechanisms of protein relocation

Proteins can potentially change their cellular localisation by a number of mechanisms. Proteins which have been inserted into an organelle membrane can be released by regulated proteolysis as described for the chloroplast envelope localised PTM1 and ER membrane localised ANAC013 and ANAC017. All organelles appear to have an ER associated degradation (ERAD)-like pathway which exports proteins in an ubiquitin dependent manner for degradation by the proteasome. Whether proteins can be exported and escape degradation to be retargeted elsewhere in the cell is currently unknown. Ubquitination on membrane components can also lead to organelle turnover. Transport by direct organelle contacts is also a possible mechanism. Proteins normally targeted to an organelle can be prevented from import through either modification of the import machinery or modifications (PTMs) which can modify the targeting signal or affect interactions with other binding partners. See text for further details.

# Figure 2 Switching partners: model for regulation of catalase localisation through interaction with different binding proteins.

Several cytosolic proteins have been reported to interact with plant catalases. Redox mediated PTMs could alter the affinity of catalase for different binding partners leading to a change in distribution between peroxisomes, cytosol and nucleus. See text for further details.

## Figure 3 Organelle interactions through protrusions and membrane contact sites

Organelle-organelle interactions in cells occurs by either the formation of membrane contact sites (MCS) between organelles or by the formation of tubular structures by one organelle. MCSs are known to occur between mitochondria and plastids, mitochondria and the ER, and plastids and the ER. In addition, mitochondria, plastids and peroxisomes form tubular structures. In the case of plastids stromules are formed especially in the direction of the nucleus. Mitochondria form matrixules within ER structures and peroxisomes form peroxules in the vicinity of plastids, mitochondria and the nucleus. Both MCS and the tubular structures will mediate communications between organelles by exchanging signaling molecules, metabolites or potentially even proteins.

# Figure 4. Stromule formation in *N. benthamiana* leaves upon transient over-expression of GFP-tagged plastid outer membrane protein AtLACS9 (At1g77560).

*A. tumefaciens* carrying AtLACS9-GFP (Breuers *et al.*, 2012) and a second strain carrying the P19 silencing suppressor construct (Takeda *et al.*, 2002) were co-infiltrated at 0.4 OD each into 7-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves. Fluorescence imaging was done at 72 hrs. post infiltration with a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope. Image is a maximum projection of 10 optical sections. GFP (green); chlorophyll autofluorescence (red).

**Figure 5.** Methods to identify the sulfenome. A. Protein-based probe YAP1C. B. Small molecule-based probe DYn-2. See Box I text for detail.

#### Box I - key developments to help understand reversible oxidative modifications in plants.

#### 1. Genetically-encoded protein-based tools to trap sulfenylated proteins in situ.

S-Sulfenylation (protein-SOH) is a reversible oxidative PTM that acts as regulatory switch in signal transduction pathways. However the global "sulfenome" is particularly challenging to detect as this PTM is transient, unstable, and prone to over-oxidation even during cell lysis. Recently a genetically-encoded tool to capture S-sulfenylated proteins was developed (Waszczak *et al.*, 2014). The cysteine-rich domain of the yeast transcription factor YAP1 forms disulfides with S-sulfenic acid modifications on its cognate signalling protein; fusion of this domain with an affinity tag creates a tool to capture and enrich S-sulfenylated proteins *in vivo* (Figure 5, **A**). YAP1 can be expressed in cells, with control cells expressing a catalytically inactive version (YAP1A), and, following cell lysis, downstream affinity purification used to identify disulfide linked proteins. The authors detected ~100 sulfenylated proteins in Arabidopsis cell suspensions exposed to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> oxidative stress (Waszczak *et al.*, 2014).

#### 2. Small molecule-based probes to detect the sulfenome.

A complementary approach exploits the chemoselective reaction of small molecules based on dimedone with sulfenic acid. Whilst YAP1C recognition of sulfenic acids is dependent on protein-protein interactions, a small molecule is in principle more general and able to access more sulfenylation sites. The Carroll group have pioneered the use of DYn-2, a dimedone probe that is small yet ready appended to affinity tags such as biotin by click chemistry for enrichment of sulfenylated proteins (Figure 5, **B**) (Paulsen *et al.*, 2011). Akter et al. applied DYn-2 is Arabidopsis cultures (Akter *et al.*, 2015), identifying 226 sulfenylation events in response to oxidative stress, and, more recently, in plants (Akter *et al.*, 2017).

## References

Akter S, Carpentier S, Van Breusegem F, Messens J. 2017. Identification of dimedonetrapped sulfenylated proteins in plants under stress. Biochem Biophys Rep 9, 106-113.

Akter S, Huang J, Bodra N, De Smet B, Wahni K, Rombaut D, Pauwels J, Gevaert K, Carroll K, Van Breusegem F, Messens J. 2015. DYn-2 Based Identification of Arabidopsis Sulfenomes. Mol Cell Proteomics 14, 1183-1200.

**Aroca A, Gotor C, Romero LC, Schneider M, Scheibe R**. 2017. Hydrogen Sulfide Regulates the Cytosolic/Nuclear Partitioning of Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase by Enhancing its Nuclear Localization. Plant and Cell Physiology **58**, 983-992.

Aroca Á, Serna A, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2015. S-Sulfhydration: A Cysteine Posttranslational Modification in Plant Systems. Plant Physiology **168**, 334-342.

Bedhomme M, Adamo M, Marchand Christophe H, Couturier J, Rouhier N, Lemaire Stéphane D, Zaffagnini M, Trost P. 2012. Glutathionylation of cytosolic glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* is reversed by both glutaredoxins and thioredoxins *in vitro*. Biochemical Journal **445**, 337-347.

**Bolter B, Soll J, Schwenkert S**. 2015. Redox meets protein trafficking. Biochim Biophys Acta **1847**, 949-956.

**Boukouris AE, Zervopoulos SD, Michelakis ED**. 2016. Metabolic Enzymes Moonlighting in the Nucleus: Metabolic Regulation of Gene Transcription. Trends in Biochemical Sciences **41**, 712-730.

**Bragoszewski P, Turek M, Chacinska A**. 2017. Control of mitochondrial biogenesis and function by the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Open Biol **7**, 10.1098/rsob.170007.

Breuers FK, Brautigam A, Geimer S, Welzel UY, Stefano G, Renna L, Brandizzi F, Weber AP. 2012. Dynamic Remodeling of the Plastid Envelope Membranes - A Tool for Chloroplast Envelope in vivo Localizations. Front Plant Sci 3, 7.

**Bross CD, Howes TR, Abolhassani Rad S, Kljakic O, Kohalmi SE**. 2017. Subcellular localization of Arabidopsis arogenate dehydratases suggests novel and non-enzymatic roles. Journal of experimental botany **68**, 1425-1440.

**Burkhart SE, Kao Y-T, Bartel B**. 2014. Peroxisomal Ubiquitin-Protein Ligases Peroxin2 and Peroxin10 Have Distinct But Synergistic Roles in Matrix Protein Import and Peroxin5 Retrotranslocation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **166**, 1329-1344.

**Burkhart SE, Lingard MJ, Bartel B**. 2013. Genetic Dissection of Peroxisome-Associated Matrix Protein Degradation in <em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em>. Genetics **193**, 125-141.

Caplan JL, Kumar AS, Park E, Padmanabhan MS, Hoban K, Modla S, Czymmek K, Dinesh-Kumar SP. 2015. Chloroplast Stromules Function during Innate Immunity. Developmental cell 34, 45-57.

**Chapple CE, Robisson B, Spinelli L, Guien C, Becker E, Brun C**. 2015. Extreme multifunctional proteins identified from a human protein interaction network. Nat Commun **6**, 7412.

Chen C, Zabad S, Liu H, Wang W, Jeffery C. 2018. MoonProt 2.0: an expansion and update of the moonlighting proteins database. Nucleic acids research **46**, D640-D644.

Chong Yolanda T, Koh Judice LY, Friesen H, Kaluarachchi Duffy S, Cox Michael J, Moses A, Moffat J, Boone C, Andrews Brenda J. 2015. Yeast Proteome Dynamics from Single Cell Imaging and Automated Analysis. Cell 161, 1413-1424.

Claeyssen É, Rivoal J. 2007. Isozymes of plant hexokinase: Occurrence, properties and functions. Phytochemistry **68**, 709-731.

Cohen S, Valm AM, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2018. Interacting organelles. Curr Opin Cell Biol 53, 84-91.

**Comadira G, Rasool B, Kaprinska B, García BM, Morris J, Verrall SR, Bayer M, Hedley PE, Hancock RD, Foyer CH**. 2015. WHIRLY1 Functions in the Control of Responses to Nitrogen Deficiency But Not Aphid Infestation in Barley. Plant Physiology **168**, 1140-1151.

Czarnocka W, Van Der Kelen K, Willems P, Szechyńska-Hebda M, Shahnejat-Bushehri S, Balazadeh S, Rusaczonek A, Mueller-Roeber B, Van Breusegem F, Karpiński S. 2017. The dual role of LESION SIMULATING DISEASE 1 as a condition-dependent scaffold protein and transcription regulator. Plant, Cell & Environment 40, 2644-2662.

Dang X, Yu P, Li Y, Yang Y, Zhang Y, Ren H, Chen B, Lin D. 2018. Reactive oxygen species mediate conical cell shaping in Arabidopsis thaliana petals. PLoS Genet 14, e1007705. De Clercq I, Vermeirssen V, Van Aken O, Vandepoele K, Murcha MW, Law SR, Inzé A, Ng S, Ivanova A, Rombaut D, van de Cotte B, Jaspers P, Van de Peer Y, Kangasjärvi J, Whelan J, Van Breusegem F. 2013. The Membrane-Bound NAC Transcription Factor ANAC013 Functions in Mitochondrial Retrograde Regulation of the Oxidative Stress Response in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell 25, 3472-3490.

de Torres Zabala M, Littlejohn G, Jayaraman S, Studholme D, Bailey T, Lawson T, Tillich M, Licht D, Bolter B, Delfino L, Truman W, Mansfield J, Smirnoff N, Grant M. 2015. Chloroplasts play a central role in plant defence and are targeted by pathogen effectors. Nat Plants 1, 15074.

**Delorme-Hinoux V, Bangash SAK, Meyer AJ, Reichheld J-P**. 2016. Nuclear thiol redox systems in plants. Plant Science **243**, 84-95.

**Desai M, Kaur N, Hu J**. 2014. Ectopic expression of the RING domain of the Arabidopsis peroxin2 protein partially suppresses the phenotype of the photomorphogenic mutant deetiolated1. PLoS One **9**, e108473.

**Desveaux D, Maréchal A, Brisson N**. 2005. Whirly transcription factors: defense gene regulation and beyond. Trends in Plant Science **10**, 95-102.

**Desveaux D, Subramaniam R, Després C, Mess J-N, Lévesque C, Fobert PR, Dangl JL, Brisson N**. 2004. A 'Whirly' Transcription Factor Is Required for Salicylic Acid-Dependent Disease Resistance in Arabidopsis. Developmental cell **6**, 229-240.

**Exposito-Rodriguez M, Laissue PP, Yvon-Durocher G, Smirnoff N, Mullineaux PM**. 2017. Photosynthesis-dependent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> transfer from chloroplasts to nuclei provides a high-light signalling mechanism. Nat Commun **8**, 49.

**Foyer CH**. 2018. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative signaling and the regulation of photosynthesis. Environmental and Experimental Botany **154**, 134-142.

**Foyer CH, Allen JF**. 2003. Lessons from Redox Signaling in Plants. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling **5**, 3-5.

**Foyer CH, Karpinska B, Krupinska K**. 2014. The functions of WHIRLY1 and REDOX-RESPONSIVE TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR 1 in cross tolerance responses in plants: a hypothesis. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **369**, 20130226.

Gao H, Metz J, Teanby NA, Ward AD, Botchway SW, Coles B, Pollard MR, Sparkes I. 2016. In Vivo Quantification of Peroxisome Tethering to Chloroplasts in Tobacco Epidermal Cells Using Optical Tweezers. Plant Physiol **170**, 263-272.

García-Giménez JL, Ledesma AMV, Esmoris I, Romá-Mateo C, Sanz P, Viña J, Pallardó FV. 2012. Histone carbonylation occurs in proliferating cells. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 52, 1453-1464.

**Giesguth M, Sahm A, Simon S, Dietz K-J**. 2015. Redox-dependent translocation of the heat shock transcription factor AtHSFA8 from the cytosol to the nucleus in Arabidopsis thaliana. FEBS Letters **589**, 718-725.

**Grabowski E, Miao Y, Mulisch M, Krupinska K**. 2008. Single-Stranded DNA-Binding Protein Whirly1 in Barley Leaves Is Located in Plastids and the Nucleus of the Same Cell. Plant Physiology **147**, 1800-1804.

Grou CP, Carvalho AF, Pinto MP, Huybrechts SJ, Sá-Miranda C, Fransen M, Azevedo JE. 2009. Properties of the ubiquitin-pex5p thiol ester conjugate. The Journal of biological chemistry **284**, 10504-10513.

Hackenberg T, Juul T, Auzina A, Gwiżdż S, Małolepszy A, Van Der Kelen K, Dam S, Bressendorff S, Lorentzen A, Roepstorff P, Lehmann Nielsen K, Jørgensen J-E, Hofius D, Breusegem FV, Petersen M, Andersen SU. 2013. Catalase and *NO CATALASE ACTIVITY1* Promote Autophagy-Dependent Cell Death in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **25**, 4616-4626.

Hanson MR, Hines KM. 2018. Stromules: Probing Formation and Function. Plant Physiol **176**, 128-137.

Harbauer AB, Zahedi RP, Sickmann A, Pfanner N, Meisinger C. 2014. The protein import machinery of mitochondria-a regulatory hub in metabolism, stress, and disease. Cell Metab **19**, 357-372.

Harrington HA, Feliu E, Wiuf C, Stumpf MPH. 2013. Cellular compartments cause multistability and allow cells to process more information. Biophysical journal **104**, 1824-1831.

**He H, Lee M-C, Zheng L-L, Zheng L, Luo Y**. 2013. Integration of the metabolic/redox state, histone gene switching, DNA replication and S-phase progression by moonlighting metabolic enzymes. Bioscience reports **33**, e00018-e00018.

Hildebrandt T, Knuesting J, Berndt C, Morgan B, Scheibe R. 2015. Cytosolic thiol switches regulating basic cellular functions: GAPDH as an information hub? *Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 396, 523.

Holtgrefe S, Gohlke J, Starmann J, Druce S, Klocke S, Altmann B, Wojtera J, Lindermayr C, Scheibe R. 2008. Regulation of plant cytosolic glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase isoforms by thiol modifications. Physiologia plantarum 133, 211-228.

Horiguchi H, Yurimoto H, Goh T-K, Nakagawa T, Kato N, Sakai Y. 2001. Peroxisomal Catalase in the Methylotrophic Yeast *Candida boidinii*: Transport Efficiency and Metabolic Significance. Journal of Bacteriology **183**, 6372-6383.

Hu J, Aguirre M, Peto C, Alonso J, Ecker J, Chory J. 2002. A role for peroxisomes in photomorphogenesis and development of Arabidopsis. Science **297**, 405-409.

**Isemer R, Mulisch M, Schafer A, Kirchner S, Koop HU, Krupinska K**. 2012. Recombinant Whirly1 translocates from transplastomic chloroplasts to the nucleus. FEBS Lett **586**, 85-88. **Jeffery CJ**. 1999. Moonlighting proteins. Trends in Biochemical Sciences **24**, 8-11.

Kaludercic N, Deshwal S, Di Lisa F. 2014. Reactive oxygen species and redox compartmentalization. Front Physiol 5, 285.

**Kao YT, Gonzalez KL, Bartel B**. 2018. Peroxisome Function, Biogenesis, and Dynamics in Plants. Plant Physiol **176**, 162-177.

Kirstein J, Morito D, Kakihana T, Sugihara M, Minnen A, Hipp MS, Nussbaum-Krammer C, Kasturi P, Hartl FU, Nagata K, Morimoto RI. 2015. Proteotoxic stress and ageing triggers the loss of redox homeostasis across cellular compartments. EMBO J **34**, 2334-2349.

**Kuge S, Jones N, Nomoto A**. 1997. Regulation of yAP-1 nuclear localization in response to oxidative stress. The EMBO Journal **16**, 1710-1720.

Li J, Liu J, Wang G, Cha J-Y, Li G, Chen S, Li Z, Guo J, Zhang C, Yang Y, Kim W-Y, Yun D-J, Schumaker KS, Chen Z, Guo Y. 2015. A Chaperone Function of NO CATALASE ACTIVITY1 Is Required to Maintain Catalase Activity and for Multiple Stress Responses in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **27**, 908-925.

Li Y, Chen L, Mu J, Zuo J. 2013. LESION SIMULATING DISEASE1 Interacts with Catalases to Regulate Hypersensitive Cell Death in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 163, 1059-1070.

22

Liebthal M, Maynard D, Dietz K-J. 2018. Peroxiredoxins and Redox Signaling in Plants. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling 28, 609-624.

Lindermayr C, Saalbach G, Durner J. 2005. Proteomic Identification of *S*-Nitrosylated Proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **137**, 921-930.

Ling Q, Huang W, Baldwin A, Jarvis P. 2012. Chloroplast biogenesis is regulated by direct action of the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Science **338**, 655-659.

Ling Q, Jarvis P. 2015. Regulation of Chloroplast Protein Import by the Ubiquitin E3 Ligase SP1 Is Important for Stress Tolerance in Plants. Curr Biol **25**, 2527-2534.

Ling Q, Jarvis P. 2016. Plant Signaling: Ubiquitin Pulls the Trigger on Chloroplast Degradation. Curr Biol 26, R38-40.

Marondedze C, Thomas L, Serrano NL, Lilley KS, Gehring C. 2016. The RNA-binding protein repertoire of Arabidopsis thaliana. Sci Rep 6, 29766.

Mathioudakis MM, Veiga RSL, Canto T, Medina V, Mossialos D, Makris AM, Livieratos I. 2013. Pepino mosaic virus triple gene block protein 1 (TGBp1) interacts with and increases tomato catalase 1 activity to enhance virus accumulation. Molecular Plant Pathology 14, 589-601.

Mhamdi A, Noctor G, Baker A. 2012. Plant catalases: Peroxisomal redox guardians. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics **525**, 181-194.

Mhamdi A, Van Breusegem F. 2018. Reactive oxygen species in plant development. Development 145.

Min K-W, Lee S-H, Baek SJ. 2016. Moonlighting proteins in cancer. Cancer Letters 370, 108-116.

Moeder W, del Pozo O, Navarre DA, Martin GB, Klessig DF. 2007. Aconitase plays a role in regulating resistance to oxidative stress and cell death in Arabidopsis and Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant Molecular Biology **63**, 273-287.

Monaghan RM, Whitmarsh AJ. 2015. Mitochondrial Proteins Moonlighting in the Nucleus. Trends Biochem Sci **40**, 728-735.

Mou Z, Fan W, Dong X. 2003. Inducers of Plant Systemic Acquired Resistance Regulate NPR1 Function through Redox Changes. Cell **113**, 935-944.

Murota K, Shimura H, Takeshita M, Masuta C. 2017. Interaction between Cucumber mosaic virus 2b protein and plant catalase induces a specific necrosis in association with proteasome activity. Plant Cell Reports **36**, 37-47.

Nargund AM, Pellegrino MW, Fiorese CJ, Baker BM, Haynes CM. 2012. Mitochondrial import efficiency of ATFS-1 regulates mitochondrial UPR activation. Science **337**, 587-590.

Ng S, Ivanova A, Duncan O, Law SR, Van Aken O, De Clercq I, Wang Y, Carrie C, Xu L, Kmiec B, Walker H, Van Breusegem F, Whelan J, Giraud E. 2013. A Membrane-Bound NAC Transcription Factor, ANAC017, Mediates Mitochondrial Retrograde Signaling in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **25**, 3450-3471.

**Noctor G, Foyer CH**. 2016. Intracellular Redox Compartmentation and ROS-Related Communication in Regulation and Signaling. Plant Physiology **171**, 1581-1592.

**Ortiz-Ortiz MA, Morán JM, Ruiz-Mesa LM, Bravo-San Pedro JM, Fuentes JM**. 2010. Paraquat Exposure Induces Nuclear Translocation of Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and the Activation of the Nitric Oxide-GAPDH-Siah Cell Death Cascade. Toxicological Sciences **116**, 614-622.

**Paulsen CE, Truong TH, Garcia FJ, Homann A, Gupta V, Leonard SE, Carroll KS**. 2011. Peroxide-dependent sulfenylation of the EGFR catalytic site enhances kinase activity. Nat Chem Biol **8**, 57-64.

**Pérez-Sancho J, Tilsner J, Samuels AL, Botella MA, Bayer EM, Rosado A**. 2016. Stitching Organelles: Organization and Function of Specialized Membrane Contact Sites in Plants. Trends in Cell Biology **26**, 705-717.

**Pool MR, López-Huertas E, Horng J-T, Baker A**. 1998. NADPH is a specific inhibitor of protein import into glyoxysomes. The Plant Journal **15**, 1-14.

**Prikryl J, Watkins KP, Friso G, van Wijk KJ, Barkan A**. 2008. A member of the Whirly family is a multifunctional RNA- and DNA-binding protein that is essential for chloroplast biogenesis. Nucleic acids research **36**, 5152-5165.

Queval G, Chaouch S, Noctor G, Mhamdi A, Van Breusegem F, Vanderauwera S. 2010. Catalase function in plants: a focus on Arabidopsis mutants as stress-mimic models. Journal of experimental botany **61**, 4197-4220.

**Ren Y, Li Y, Jiang Y, Wu B, Miao Y**. 2017. Phosphorylation of WHIRLY1 by CIPK14 Shifts Its Localization and Dual Functions in Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant **10**, 749-763.

**Rodriguez-Serrano M, Romero-Puertas MC, Sanz-Fernandez M, Hu J, Sandalio LM**. 2016. Peroxisomes Extend Peroxules in a Fast Response to Stress via a Reactive Oxygen Species-Mediated Induction of the Peroxin PEX11a. Plant Physiol **171**, 1665-1674.

**Rodriguez-Serrano M, Romero-Puertas MC, Sparkes I, Hawes C, del Rio LA, Sandalio LM**. 2009. Peroxisome dynamics in Arabidopsis plants under oxidative stress induced by cadmium. Free Radic Biol Med **47**, 1632-1639.

Rymer Ł, Kempiński B, Chelstowska A, Skoneczny M. 2018. The budding yeast Pex5p receptor directs Fox2p and Cta1p into peroxisomes via its N-terminal region near the FxxxW domain. Journal of Cell Science 131, jcs216986.

**Scharf K-D, Berberich T, Ebersberger I, Nover L**. 2012. The plant heat stress transcription factor (Hsf) family: Structure, function and evolution. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms **1819**, 104-119.

Schimmeyer J, Bock R, Meyer EH. 2016. L-Galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase is an assembly factor of the membrane arm of mitochondrial complex I in Arabidopsis. Plant Molecular Biology 90, 117-126.

Schmid L-M, Ohler L, Möhlmann T, Brachmann A, Muiño JM, Leister D, Meurer J, Manavski N. 2019. PUMPKIN, the Sole Plastid UMP Kinase, Associates with Group II Introns and Alters Their Metabolism. Plant Physiology **179**, 248-264.

Schmidt R, Kunkowska AB, Schippers JHM. 2016. Role of Reactive Oxygen Species during Cell Expansion in Leaves. Plant Physiology **172**, 2098-2106.

Schmidt R, Schippers JHM. 2015. ROS-mediated redox signaling during cell differentiation in plants. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects 1850, 1497-1508.

Schreier TB, Cléry A, Schläfli M, Galbier F, Stadler M, Demarsy E, Albertini D, Maier BA, Kessler F, Hörtensteiner S, Zeeman SC, Kötting O. 2018. Plastidial NAD-Dependent Malate Dehydrogenase: A Moonlighting Protein Involved in Early Chloroplast Development through Its Interaction with an FtsH12-FtsHi Protease Complex. The Plant Cell **30**, 1745-1769. Seo PJ, Kim SG, Park CM. 2008. Membrane-bound transcription factors in plants. Trends Plant Sci **13**, 550-556.

Shapiguzov A, Vainonen JP, Hunter K, Tossavainen H, Tiwari A, Järvi S, Hellman M, Aarabi F, Alseekh S, Wybouw B, Van Der Kelen K, Nikkanen L, Krasensky-Wrzaczek J, Sipari N, Keinänen M, Tyystjärvi E, Rintamäki E, De Rybel B, Salojärvi J, Van Breusegem F, Fernie AR, Brosché M, Permi P, Aro E-M, Wrzaczek M, Kangasjärvi J. 2019. Arabidopsis RCD1 coordinates chloroplast and mitochondrial functions through interaction with ANAC transcription factors. eLife **8**, e43284.

**Sirover MA**. 2012. Subcellular dynamics of multifunctional protein regulation: Mechanisms of GAPDH intracellular translocation. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry **113**, 2193-2200.

**Sirover MA**. 2014. Structural analysis of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase functional diversity. The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology **57**, 20-26.

Sun X, Feng P, Xu X, Guo H, Ma J, Chi W, Lin R, Lu C, Zhang L. 2011. A chloroplast envelope-bound PHD transcription factor mediates chloroplast signals to the nucleus. Nat Commun **2**, 477.

Sun Y, Detchemendy TW, Pajerowska-Mukhtar KM, Mukhtar MS. 2018. NPR1 in JazzSet with Pathogen Effectors. Trends in Plant Science 23, 469-472.

**Tada Y, Spoel SH, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K, Mou Z, Song J, Wang C, Zuo J, Dong X**. 2008. Plant Immunity Requires Conformational Charges of NPR1 via S-Nitrosylation and Thioredoxins. Science **321**, 952-956.

**Takeda A, Sugiyama K, Nagano H, Mori M, Kaido M, Mise K, Tsuda S, Okuno T**. 2002. Identification of a novel RNA silencing suppressor, NSs protein of Tomato spotted wilt virus. FEBS Lett **532**, 75-79.

**Thazar-Poulot N, Miquel M, Fobis-Loisy I, Gaude T**. 2015. Peroxisome extensions deliver the *Arabidopsis* SDP1 lipase to oil bodies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **112**, 4158-4163.

Thul PJ, Akesson L, Wiking M, Mahdessian D, Geladaki A, Ait Blal H, Alm T, Asplund A, Bjork L, Breckels LM, Backstrom A, Danielsson F, Fagerberg L, Fall J, Gatto L, Gnann C, Hober S, Hjelmare M, Johansson F, Lee S, Lindskog C, Mulder J, Mulvey CM, Nilsson P, Oksvold P, Rockberg J, Schutten R, Schwenk JM, Sivertsson A, Sjostedt E, Skogs M, Stadler C, Sullivan DP, Tegel H, Winsnes C, Zhang C, Zwahlen M, Mardinoglu A, Ponten F, von Feilitzen K, Lilley KS, Uhlen M, Lundberg E. 2017. A subcellular map of the human proteome. Science 356.

**Tsang CK, Liu Y, Thomas J, Zhang Y, Zheng XF**. 2014. Superoxide dismutase 1 acts as a nuclear transcription factor to regulate oxidative stress resistance. Nat Commun **5**, 3446.

Verslues PE, Batelli G, Grillo S, Agius F, Kim YS, Zhu J, Agarwal M, Katiyar-Agarwal S, Zhu JK. 2007. Interaction of SOS2 with nucleoside diphosphate kinase 2 and catalases reveals a point of connection between salt stress and H2O2 signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Cell Biol **27**, 7771-7780.

Virdi KS, Laurie JD, Xu Y-Z, Yu J, Shao M-R, Sanchez R, Kundariya H, Wang D, Riethoven J-JM, Wamboldt Y, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Shedge V, Mackenzie SA. 2015. Arabidopsis MSH1 mutation alters the epigenome and produces heritable changes in plant growth. Nature communications 6, 6386-6386.

Walton PA, Brees C, Lismont C, Apanasets O, Fransen M. 2017. The peroxisomal import receptor PEX5 functions as a stress sensor, retaining catalase in the cytosol in times of oxidative stress. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res **1864**, 1833-1843.

Waszczak C, Akter S, Eeckhout D, Persiau G, Wahni K, Bodra N, Van Molle I, De Smet B, Vertommen D, Gevaert K, De Jaeger G, Van Montagu M, Messens J, Van Breusegem F. 2014. Sulfenome mining in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111, 11545-11550.

Xiang L, Li Y, Rolland F, Van den Ende W. 2011. Neutral invertase, hexokinase and mitochondrial ROS homeostasis: emerging links between sugar metabolism, sugar signaling and ascorbate synthesis. Plant signaling & behavior 6, 1567-1573.

Xu Y-Z, Santamaria RdlR, Virdi KS, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Razvi F, Li S, Ren G, Yu B, Alexander D, Guo L, Feng X, Dweikat IM, Clemente TE, Mackenzie SA. 2012. The Chloroplast Triggers Developmental Reprogramming When MUTS HOMOLOG1 Is Suppressed in Plants. Plant Physiology **159**, 710-720.

**Yang SS, Zhai QH**. 2017. *Cytosolic GAPDH: a key mediator in redox signal transduction in plants.* 

**Yang T, Poovaiah BW**. 2002. Hydrogen peroxide homeostasis: Activation of plant catalase by calcium/calmodulin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **99**, 4097-4102.

**Yoo HH, Kwon C, Lee MM, Chung IK**. 2007. Single-stranded DNA binding factor AtWHY1 modulates telomere length homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **49**, 442-451.

**Zaffagnini M, Fermani S, Costa A, Lemaire S, Trost P**. 2013. Plant cytoplasmic GAPDH: redox post-translational modifications and moonlighting properties. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 10.3389/fpls.2013.00450.

Zhang M, Li Q, Liu T, Liu L, Shen D, Zhu Y, Liu P, Zhou JM, Dou D. 2015. Two cytoplasmic effectors of Phytophthora sojae regulate plant cell death via interactions with plant catalases. Plant Physiol 167, 164-175.

**Zhou YB, Liu C, Tang DY, Yan L, Wang D, Yang YZ, Gui JS, Zhao XY, Li LG, Tang XD, Yu F, Li JL, Liu LL, Zhu YH, Lin JZ, Liu XM**. 2018. The Receptor-Like Cytoplasmic Kinase STRK1 Phosphorylates and Activates CatC, Thereby Regulating H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Homeostasis and Improving Salt Tolerance in Rice. Plant Cell **30**, 1100-1118.

**Zou J-J, Li X-D, Ratnasekera D, Wang C, Liu W-X, Song L-F, Zhang W-Z, Wu W-H**. 2015. Arabidopsis CALCIUM-DEPENDENT PROTEIN KINASE8 and CATALASE3 Function in Abscisic Acid-Mediated Signaling and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Homeostasis in Stomatal Guard Cells under Drought Stress. The Plant Cell **27**, 1445-1460.

# Table 1 Moonlighting proteins in plants

Protein	Function	Location	Moonlighting function	Reference
PUMPKIN	Plastid UMP kinase	plastid	RNA binding Plastid transcript introns	(Schmid <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
WHIRLY1	Nuclear encoded transcription factor involved in pathogen response	Nucleus/ plastid	RNA processing in the plastid	(Foyer <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Isemer <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
PEX2	Ubiquitin E3 ligase	Peroxisome membrane/ nucleus?	<i>ted3</i> gain of function mutant suppresses photomorphogenesis mutant <i>det1</i> and evidence for interaction with Hy5 TF in nucleus but mechanism/function unknown	(Desai <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Hu <i>et al.</i> , 2002)
Catalase	Antioxidant enzyme	Peroxisome matrix, cytosol	Hijacked to nucleus by plant pathogens to modulate cell death but mechanism unknown	(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
MSH1	Required for organelle genome stability	Plastid and mitochondrial targeted	Alteration in nuclear DNA methylation	(Virdi <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
pdNAD-MDH	NAD-dependent malate dehydrogenase	Plastid	Activity independent stabilisation of FtsH12 component of inner envelope membrane protease AAA-ATPase complex. Essential for viability	(Schreier <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
AROGENATE DEHYDRATASE2/5	Phenyl alanine Biosynthesis	Stroma and stromules	Interaction with chloroplast division machinery. ADT5 isoform located in nucleus	(Bross <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
GAPDH isoforms	Glycolysis Calvin cycle	cytosol	Redox sensitive protein accumulating in the nucleus under stress conditions	(Zaffagnini <i>et al.</i> , 2013) (Yang and Zhai, 2017).
LSD1	Forms redox dependent interaction with a suite of proteins affecting cell division vs cell death	Cytosol nucleus	Transcriptional activator	(Czarnocka <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
ACO1, aconitase	Citrate metabolism, mRNA binding	Cytosol	TCA cycle enzyme and mRNA binding protein to promote translation of CSD2	(Moeder <i>et al.</i> , 2007)