



Kobori, O., Sawamiya, Y., Yoshinaga, N., Rowe, A. C. M., & Wilkinson, L. L. (2020). Investigation of Attachment Orientation, and Affect Regulation: Use of a Novel Affect Regulation Mapping Tool in Japanese Athletes. *PSYCHOLOGIA*, *62*(1), 63-76. https://doi.org/10.2117/psysoc.2020-B005

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.2117/psysoc.2020-B005

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via PSYCHOLOGIA at https://doi.org/10.2117/psysoc.2020-B005 . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

1	Investigation of Attachment Orientation, and Affect Regulation: Use of a Novel Affect
2	Regulation Mapping Tool in Japanese Athletes
3	Osamu Koboriª, Yoko Sawamiyaʰ, Naoki Yoshinagaʿ, Angela C. Roweª and Laura L. Wilkinsone
4	
5	^a Department of Psychology, International University of Health and Welfare, Japan.
6	^b College of Psychology, School of Human Science, University of Tsukuba, Japan.
7	^c Organization for Promotion of Tenure Track, University of Miyazaki, Japan.
8	^d School of Experimental Psychology, University of Bristol, U.K.
9	^e Department of Psychology, Swansea University, U.K.
10	* Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Osamu Kobori, Department of
11	Psychology, International University of Health and Welfare, 4-1-26, Akasaka, Minato-ku, Tokyo
12	1078402, Japan. E-mail: O.Kobori@iuhw.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-3-5574-3900.
13	
14	Acknowledgement
15	We would like to thank Mr. Yohei Nakamura and Ms. Mana Kuroki for their help with
16	data entry and analysis. Please contact Dr Laura Wilkinson for a copy of DARM
17	<l.l.wilkinson@swansea.ac.uk></l.l.wilkinson@swansea.ac.uk>
18	Investigation of Attachment Orientation, and Affect Regulation: Use of a Novel Distance Affect
19	Regulation Mapping Tool in Japanese Athletes
20	
21	Introduction
22	Emotions in sport
23	Emotions are important as they have physiological, motivational, and cognitive
24	consequences for performance and wellbeing in sport (Babkes Stellino, Partridge, & Moore,
25	2012; Chen et al, 2019; Uphill & Jones, 2012). Whether it is anxiety about returning after an
26	injury, embarrassment about making a mistake, anger at a contentious decision by a referee, or

27 excitement at the prospect of winning, athletes experience a range of emotions prior to, during,

and after competitive sports events (Hanin, 2000; Lewis, Knight & Mellalieu, 2017; Uphill & 28 Jones, 2007). Cerin (2003) showed that emotions such as interest/excitement, enjoyment, 29 30 sadness, guilt, and self-hostility are important for athletes' sport performance. For example, 31 Woodman et al (2009) found that anger was associated with enhanced gross muscular peak 32 force performance and that hope yielded faster football-related reaction times in football players. In addition, Uphill et al (2014) showed that basketballers' happiness can predict 33 34 successful game involvement, while anger and embarrassment were significant predictors of 35 unsuccessful game involvement.

36 Researchers have shown that athletes also report fluctuations in the intensity and 37 frequency of different positive and negative emotions prior to, during, and after competitions 38 (Cerin & Barnett, 2006; Robazza et al., 2012). Emotions are not only an intrinsic part of 39 competitive sports, they are also widely believed to influence sport performance. There is a large literature that considers the antecedents and outcomes of various emotions in sport and 40 41 athletes' perceptions of the functionality of emotions for performance outcomes (e.g., Martinent, Campo, & Ferrand, 2012; Uphill & Jones, 2007). Thus, successful regulation of emotion is 42 43 considered to be an important psychological skill (Gould & Maynard, 2009; Jones, 2003; 44 Robazza, Pellizzari, & Hanin, 2004).

45

46 Affect regulations and its relationship to attachment orientation

Affect regulation refers to "processes by which individuals influence which emotions
they have, when they have them, and how they experience and express these emotions" (Gross,
1998, p. 275). An organizing framework of affect regulation considers processes aimed at
decreasing, maintaining, or increasing the intensity and duration of one's own emotional
experiences (i.e., affect and its regulation are a response to emotion and its regulation). Athletes
are believed to use a number of strategies to regulate their emotions and affect, including
distraction, redirection of attention, cognitive reappraisal, goal setting, self-talk, imagery,

relaxation, and suppression, and further, athletes' ability to regulate their emotions is
associated with successful performance (for a review, see Jones, 2012).

56 A framework often used for understanding emotion regulation and affect regulation is 57 attachment theory (Kobak, Holland, Ferenz-Gillies, Fleming, & Gamble, 1993; Mikulincer, 58 Florian, & Tolmacz, 1990). The attachment framework models cognitive representations of close relationships (benefits and reciprocal expectations) that we all possess, and which tend to 59 60 be abstracted from experiences in early relationships (Bowlby, 1969). Adult attachment 61 orientation is conceptualized in terms of two dimensions: attachment anxiety (characterized by 62 a fear of abandonment) and attachment avoidance (fear of intimacy). Low attachment anxiety 63 and avoidance indicates attachment security, whereas high attachment anxiety and/or 64 avoidance are associated with attachment insecurity (Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998). 65 Importantly, attachment orientation remains relatively stable across the lifespan, with any shifts occurring in response to major attachment-related events (Waters et al., 2000). 66

67 In terms of affect regulation, attachment orientation predicts the extent to which individuals rely on internal and/or external strategies to help them manage emotion (for a 68 69 review, see Mikulincer, 1998). For example, when distressed, securely attached individuals will seek proximity to or activate symbolic representations of an attachment figure in order to self-70 soothe (notably, they are also likely to engage in reappraisal in the first place; Karreman & 71 72 Vingerhoets, 2012). By contrast, individuals who are high in avoidant attachment respond to 73 distress by detaching themselves from its source (Bowlby, 1988; Faber, Dube, & Knauper, 74 2018). The attachment system deactivates, distress is not acknowledged, and there is no emotional response to manage (i.e., affect regulation). Individuals high in attachment anxiety 75 76 are relatively poor at managing their emotions. The attachment system in high attachment anxious individuals is hyperactivated, which means they become hypervigilant to distressing 77 cues in their environment (Mikulincer & Florian, 1998), and they will seek an external source of 78 79 comfort such as food (e.g., Faber et al, 2018; Wilkinson, Rowe, Bishop & Brunstrom, 2010;

Wilkinson, Rowe & Heath, 2013; Wilkinson, Rowe & Millings, 2019; Wilkinson et al, 2018) to
soothe, distract, or excite (Maunder & Hunter, 2001).

82

83 Measurement of affect regulation

A number of measures have been used to assess emotion regulation and affect 84 regulation in athletes, but two of the most commonly used are The Emotion Regulation 85 86 Questionnaire (ERQ; Gross & John 2003) which, as its name suggests, focuses on emotion 87 regulation and the COPE (Carver, Scheier, & Weintraub, 1989) which contains items relating to 88 both emotion regulation and affect regulation. The ERQ is the most frequently used tool to 89 measure individual differences in the use of cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression to 90 regulate emotion. While it is short, easy to administer, and frequently used in sport psychology, 91 it is also limited; it quantifies emotion regulation on two 'high-level' abstract dimensions 92 (reappraisal and suppression) only. The COPE inventory is a long questionnaire with 13 factors 93 assessed by four items each. These factors include (a) active coping, (b) planning, (c) suppression of competing activities, (d) restraint coping, (e) seeking social support for 94 95 instrumental reasons, (f) seeking social support for emotional reasons, (g) focusing on and venting of emotions, (h) behavioural disengagement, (i) mental disengagement, (j) positive 96 97 reinterpretation and growth, (k) denial, (1) acceptance, and (m) turning to religion. It has generally good psychometric properties (Carver et al., 1989) but is lengthy and time-consuming 98 99 to administer and complete. Furthermore, findings resulting from the administration of the ERQ 100 and COPE are limited in terms of fully capturing the intra- and inter-individual variability in 101 strategies used by athletes. For example, activities that are central to a person's coping strategy may not be included in these questionnaires because they are, for example, relatively new (e.g., 102 specific apps) and/ or were previously more taboo (e.g., changes in attitude towards sexual 103 104 activities).

A novel tool has been developed, underpinned by attachment theory, to capture the
breadth of information associated with *affect regulation*, this is the 'distance affect regulation

mapping' (DARM) tool (Wilkinson & Rowe, 2016). The DARM is based on the pictorial 107 108 hierarchical mapping tool (HMT; Rowe & Carnelley, 2005), which is a validated way to assess 109 the content and structure of attachment networks. However, rather than quantifying 110 attachment networks alone, the DARM also allows for the mapping of a range of internal and 111 external affect regulation strategies including seeking proximity to people (e.g., romantic partner), practices (e.g., meditation), substances (e.g., food), or anything else used to manage 112 113 affect in times of stress. The DARM could have advantages over other measurement tools such as ERQ and COPE. First, the DARM allows participants to specify their own regulation strategies, 114 in other words, the strategies are not limited to those proposed by the measure, as is the case 115 116 with other tools. This is especially important when researchers investigate a specific group of 117 people such as athletes, because people who belong to the group may employ strategies that 118 other people outside the group may not. Second, similar diagrammatic measures are recognized as quick and easy to use and intuitive to complete (Kahn & Antonnucci, 1980; Rowe & Carnelley, 119 120 2005). Moreover, the pictorial hierarchical mapping tool can provide participants with the opportunity to reflect on what is assessed; items of the questionnaires such as the ERQ and 121 COPE can be abstract and hard to apply to participants' own lives, whereas the DARM is more 122 likely to engender self-reflection because participants complete it in a way that is meaningful to 123 them. For example, after they specify their own regulation strategies, participants are asked to 124 125 place the stickers representing each of affect regulation strategies into in the diagram, in 126 relation to the centre (labelled 'stressed me'), where closeness to the centre represents the likelihood of they use the strategy when stressed. This may facilitate their self-reflection on 127 128 what strategies they use and how often.

129

130 The purpose of this study

131 The first aim of this study was to quantify the full complement of athletes' existing affect 132 regulation strategies using the DARM in order to better understand the strategies college 133 athletes use and how often they are employed. In addition to the description of the affect

DISTANCE AFFECT REGULATION MAPPING IN ATHLETES

regulation strategies, this study explored whether completing the tool could be of some 134 135 practical benefit by helping athletes reflect on and identify ways to develop and improve their 136 affect regulation strategies. As the DARM allows participants to write down their own 137 regulation strategies, which other tools may not include, we hypothesised that: 138 1) Participants would report a range of affect regulation strategies, some of which may not be captured by existing scales (ERQ and COPE). 139 140 Additionally, as diagrammatic measures are recognized as easy to use and intuitive to complete (Kahn & Antonnucci, 1980; Rowe & Carnelley, 2005), we also hypothesised that: 141 142 2) Participants would have positive views about the DARM. 143 As discussed above, attachment orientation predicts the extent to which individuals rely 144 on internal and/or external strategies to help them manage affect. When distressed, securely 145 attached individuals will seek proximity to or activate symbolic representations of an attachment figure in order to self-soothe (Karreman & Vingerhoets, 2012), while individuals 146 high in attachment anxiety become hypervigilant to distressing cues in their environment 147 (Mikulincer & Florian, 1998), and they will seek an external source of comfort such as food (e.g., 148 Faber et al, 2018; Wilkinson, Rowe, Bishop & Brunstrom, 2010; Wilkinson, Rowe & Heath, 2013; 149 Wilkinson, Rowe & Millings, 2019; Wilkinson et al, 2018). Based on this, a secondary aim was to 150 151 offer some evidence of construct validity associated with the use of the DARM. Considering the 152 theoretical antecedents of the DARM tool (attachment orientation) and the subject being 153 assessed (affect regulation), we reasoned that establishing construct validity using published measures of attachment orientation would be of value. As individuals who have secure 154 155 attachment orientations would seek proximity to someone, we first hypothesised: 3) Participants who included affect regulators to seek proximity to someone in the DARM 156 (i.e., interpersonal relationships relied on for affect regulation), as opposed to external 157 affect regulators (such as food or drink), would be more attachment secure than those 158 159 who did not.

DISTANCE AFFECT REGULATION MAPPING IN ATHLETES

160	Finally, as the distance between the centre of the DARM (labelled 'stressed me') and the strategy
161	placed on the diagram represents how frequently the participants use the affect regulation
162	strategy, we also hypothesised that:
163	4) Among those who included affect regulators involving proximity to someone, the
164	distance of the strategy to the centre of the DARM would be related to higher secure
165	attachment orientation.
166	
167	Method
168	Participants
169	A total of 96 college athletes were recruited to participate in this study. The sample
170	consisted of 36 female athletes and 59 male athletes with a mean age of 18.93 years old (SD
171	= .46), and 91% of them identified as Japanese. The remaining 9% were Asian, White, or Mixed.
172	Their average practice hours per week was 14.18 hours (<i>SD</i> = 7.74), and the average career
173	history as an athlete was 9.78 years ($SD = 3.8$). They competed in different sports, including
174	football, tennis, dancing, swimming, basketball, badminton, volleyball, handball, lacrosse,
175	gymnastics, kendo, table tennis, judo, dive, baseball, and track and field.
176	Standardized Measures
177	Experiences in Close Relationships Scale – Short version (ECR-S; Wei, Russell,
178	Mallinckrodt, & Vogel, 2007). The ECR-S is a 12-item self-report questionnaire used to
179	measure an athlete's attachment orientation by assessing how they generally experience close
180	relationships. The ECR-S possesses the psychometric properties of the long version of the ECR
181	(Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998). The ECR-S is composed of two 6-item sub-scales, the anxious
182	subscale (e.g., "I worry that others won't care about me as much as I care about them") and the
183	avoidant subscale (e.g., "I want to get close to my partners, but I keep pulling back"). The items
184	were rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (<i>disagree strongly</i>) to 7 (<i>agree strongly</i>). Several
185	items were reverse scored before scores for anxious and avoidant attachment were computed.
186	Because the Japanese version of ECR-S was not available, we translated the items into Japanese

using standard back-translation procedures recommended by Brislin (1970, 1986). Cronbach's
alphas for the current study were .70 for the avoidant items and .72 for the anxious items.

189 DARM tool (Wilkinson and Rowe, 2016). The Japanese version of the DARM was 190 developed using standard back-translation procedures recommended by Brislin (1970, 1986). Participants were asked to think about the different ways that they might cope with stress. A list 191 192 of examples was provided that included items that might be considered embarrassing or illegal. However, they were told that coping strategies they might use might not be on that list but could 193 194 still be used as part of the task. Participants were then provided with round, blank, sticky labels 195 on which to write their chosen coping strategies (unlimited number). Participants are instructed in the following ways. 196

Below is a diagram, the centre of which represents 'stressed you'. Different people engage in
various activities to cope with stress. We represent some but not all of these in the list below.
Please recall times when you have been stressed. It may be that you engage in some of the
activities from the list to cope with stress or you may engage in activities that are not on the
list".

- Please write the behaviours YOU turn to when stressed on the stickers provided (YOU
 SHOULD HAVE ONE BEHAVIOUR PER STICKER).
- 204 2) Place the stickers representing each of these activities you chose into in the diagram
 205 below in relation to the centre, where closeness to the centre represents the likelihood of
 206 you engaging in this activity when stressed.
- 207 3) Once you are happy with the arrangement of your stickers, please stick them in this
 208 arrangement onto the diagram.
- 209

210 **Procedure**

The questionnaire was administrated only in the Japanese language. At the end of a
psychology lecture, college athletes were asked to complete a questionnaire that included the
ECR-S, and DARM. The DARM was analyzed using the following steps. First, the number of

stickers each participant used was recorded. Second, the distance between the centre of the
diagram (i.e., bull's eye) and each sticker (i.e., centre of the round sticker) was measured in
millimeters and recorded. Finally, participants were asked to briefly write down their comments
on the DARM.

218 Using content analysis (Krippendorff, 2004), affect regulation strategies written on the stickers were grouped into categories according to their similarities, and each category was 219 220 assigned a name by the first author. The audit was conducted by consulting the third author about the accuracy of the name of the strategy categories and comments that did not fit in any 221 222 category or that could belong to more than one category. Differences in opinions were resolved 223 via discussion. Finally, the inter-rater reliability (Cohen's kappa coefficient) between the first 224 and second authors was calculated with 10% of the all items (Lombard, Snyder-Duch & Bracken, 225 2005). The same procedure was employed for the analysis of participants' brief comments on DARM. 226

227

228

Results

In total, 1135 stickers were used (on average 11.81 per person), and one-hundred 229 230 twenty regulators were excluded from the analysis either because (1) they were not specific enough (e.g., "Mobile," "Play," "Grumble") or (2) the category consisted of less than five stickers 231 and could not be collated to any other categories (e.g., "Save money," "Stargazing," "Haircut"). As 232 a result of content analysis, the regulators written on the stickers were classified into 23 groups. 233 234 The inter-rater reliability suggests almost perfect agreement (kappa coefficient =.907) between 235 the two independent raters. Table 1 illustrates the names of the categories, the number of 236 stickers that belong to the category, and the mean distance (in cm) between the centre of the 237 diagram and stickers.

238

[Insert Table 1 about here]

Almost all participants (*N* = 95) provided their feedback on the DARM, and comments
were classified into six categories (Table 2). The inter-rater reliability suggests substantial

DISTANCE AFFECT REGULATION MAPPING IN ATHLETES

241	agreement (kappa coefficient =.744) between the two independent raters. The results suggest
242	that the majority of participants found the DARM to be a helpful way to understand the
243	strategies that were effective for them in coping with stress, to identify more strategies, to
244	understand themselves, and to discover the need to increase or change their existing strategies.
245	Some participants found it to be fun and interesting to map their strategies on the diagram.
246	[Insert Table 2 about here]
247	For the validation of DARM, we first compared the scores between participants who
248	included seeking proximity to someone in the affect regulation strategies such as "Call my
249	boyfriend" or "Talk to my mother" and participants who did not include such strategies, but
250	included strategies that they can do on their own, such as "Listen to music" or "Take a bath"
251	(Table 3). Relative to participants who did not include seeking proximity to someone in the
252	DARM, those who did scored significantly lower on the total scores of ECR-S, and ECR-S Anxiety,
253	suggesting they were more secure. They also included a higher number of stickers on the DARM,
254	reflecting a broader range of affect regulation strategies.
255	[Insert Table 3 about here]
256	The correlations between attachment orientations and DARM are presented in Table 4.
257	The total score of ECR-S was negatively correlated with the average number of stickers they
258	used for DARM. In addition, the total scores of ECR-S and ECR-S Anxiety were positively
259	correlated with DARM Proximity.
260	[Insert Table 4 about here]
261	
262	Discussion
263	The first aim of this study was to investigate the strategies that college athletes use to
264	regulate their affect (specifically stress) and how these relate to attachment orientation. We
265	were also interested in how the DARM was received by athletes, and particularly, whether they
266	thought the DARM was helpful in improving affect regulation. The mapping of the common
267	regulation strategies (Table 1) suggests that athletes utilize a range of strategies, some of which

may not be captured by existing scales. Moreover, college athletes use both exercise, activities
not related to their sport (e.g., "Cycling," "Bowling," "Walking") and training, activities related to
their sport (e.g., "Running," "Weight training," "Throwing"), which may be an athlete-specific
division in strategies. In addition, some strategies are associated with Japanese culture, such as
Karaoke. These results suggest that the DARM is able to obtain rich data regarding affect
regulation strategies that are specific to the target group and culture, how popular each strategy
is, and how often each strategy is used.

275 The second aim of this study was to validate the DARM with athletes. The results suggest that those who included seeking proximity to someone in their affect regulation strategies have 276 277 more secure attachment orientations, and they have more options to relieve stress. This is 278 consistent with the literature on attachment and affect regulation, confirming the validity of the 279 DARM. Security of attachment is associated with the ability to trust and depend on others to help the self in times of stress, whereas attachment insecurity is associated with problems 280 281 relying on others (Mikulincer, 1998). Moreover, athletes with secure attachment orientations had a more comprehensive plan for coping with stress; they had strategies that included 282 somebody *in addition to* strategies that they can do on their own. The result of correlations, 283 among those who included seeking proximity to someone in their responses, revealed that the 284 more secure attachment orientation they had, the closer the sticker (strategy)was placed to the 285 286 centre of the diagram. This suggests that athletes with secure attachment orientations are more 287 likely to utilize strategies that include someone.

The comments suggest that the majority of participants had positive views about the DARM, suggesting the preliminary acceptability of the use of the tool with this participant group. Moreover, their feedback suggests that the DARM may help the athletes to identify, reflect on, and further develop their affect regulation techniques. Participants found it helped them identify the effective strategies they used to cope with stress, to discover more strategies, to understand themselves, and to discover the need to increase usage of or change their existing strategies. As athletes train 14.18 hours per week on average, their time for reflecting on stress coping is limited compared to other college students. Thus, it can be a good opportunity for
college athletes to reflect on the effective activities they use to cope with stress by using a tool
such as the DARM.

298

299 Limitations, future directions, and practical implications

The present study has a couple of limitations. First, the study investigated college 300 301 athletes. Consequently, future studies need to explore if the present findings generalize to professional athletes and youth athletes who may face different stress and pressure as 302 303 compared to college athletes. Further, this study asked what athletes *generally* do when they are 304 stressed. Future studies may need to assess what athletes do when they are stressed *during the* 305 *competition* such as briefly talking to coaches, shouting, smashing their racket, and so on, as this 306 may have an effect on their performance as well as their well-being. Hence, the results of the correlation analyses cannot be interpreted in a causal sense. Following that, the study employed 307 308 a cross-sectional design, and it was administered only in the Japanese language. Hence, the 309 results of the correlation analyses cannot be interpreted in a causal sense, and some participants might have struggled to read and write in the Japanese language. Future studies 310 need to address such language barriers, and employ longitudinal designs such as week-to-week 311 312 assessments (e.g., Sherry et al., 2012) to examine whether attachment orientation predicts 313 affect regulation strategies and well-being. Most importantly, although the participants of this 314 study had positive views about the DARM, we need to investigate whether administration of the DARM can actually improve athletes' affect regulation strategies. 315

Second, the DARM has its own limitations. For example, the reliance on self-report makes more readily accessible strategies that athletes are consciously aware of attempting and may be less adept in "tapping into" strategies that can be more automatic. Moreover, the DARM aims to assess how individuals cope with stress, which is only one example of affect regulation, and it does not include other attempts such as ones to enhance positive emotions. Despite our efforts to reassure participants that they may be honest when completing the DARM, participants might hesitate to report maladaptive strategies because such a strategy may beillegal or embarrassing.

324 Also notable is that the number of stickers, rather than the number of categories, were 325 analysed in relation to attachment orientation. Clinically speaking, the numbers of regulation 326 strategies, even in the same category, may be more important than the number of categories. If a person can talk to his or her father as well as mother to relieve stress, s/he has more options 327 328 when one of them is not available or when the relationship with one of them becomes bad. 329 Therapists usually try to help the client to discover similar but slightly different strategy to the 330 ones s/he already uses, or help the client to modify and improve the ones they already use. This 331 can be more cost-effective and achievable than suggesting completely different strategies. 332 In future studies, the instructions to the participants and the coding of the strategies 333 could be more specific, in order to better reflect complex behaviours and their contribution to affect regulation. For example, our understanding and interpretation of 'seeking proximity to 334 335 someone' was that this intention is clearly reflected in the reported behaviour, i.e., calling, meeting or talking to someone in order to relieve stress. However, this may be overly simplistic 336 as proximity seeking may underly other activities too (e.g., to sing, drink, travel). In other words, 337 many activities that people use to cope with stress may involve a social component that 338 constitutes proximity seeking (e.g., 'drinking' may actually mean 'drinking with a friend'). In 339 340 these cases it can be difficult to disentangle stress relieving components. Importantly, due to the 341 tools' inherent flexibility, the DARM may be uniquely placed to reflect such complexity – indeed, more conventional questionnaires often include broad categories and fail to reflect 'compound' 342 behaviours. One way that the DARM tool could be delivered to capture this kind of nuanced 343 information is to explicitly instruct participants to split compound activities or to indicate 344 whether they involve a social element (if it is not obvious) and indicate the importance of each 345 element (e.g. 'for me, it would be meeting a friend and it is unimportant to me whether it is over 346 an alcoholic drink or a coffee as long as it helps me to cope by talking to my friend" or 'for me it 347 348 might be very important that alcohol is a part of an interaction').

This study has some more practical and clinical implications. For example, by keeping a 349 350 copy of their completed DARM for future reference, and sharing it with friends, family, 351 teammates and their coach, they could potentially develop better strategies and better ways of 352 attaining the interpersonal support they need. Such sharing can be done as a team-building activity in which junior athletes may discover and learn new affect regulation strategies from 353 senior athletes and replace ineffective strategies with more effective ones. This could enhance 354 355 their expectancy that they can regulate their own negative moods, which may in turn improve their athletic performance (Totterdell & Leach, 2001). As discussed above, the DARM can be 356 357 used in clinical settings in which clients are sometimes stressed by filling a lot of "conventional" 358 forms. Moreover, working on the DARM with the therapist can contribute to building a 359 collaborative relationship between therapist and client. The therapist then will have a better 360 understanding of the client, as the diagram informs not only a potential attachment orientation of the client, but also protective factors such as who is close to the client and what the client 361 362 likes to do. In addition, the therapist could ask what the client has stopped doing since the onset of the psychological problem (e.g., depression), which may give them a short-term goal of re-363 activating such behaviour. 364

365	References
366	Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. 1978. Patterns of attachment: Assessed in
367	the strange situation and at home. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
368	Babkes Stellino, M., Partridge, J., & Moore, K. 2012. Social influence on emotion in sport. In J.
369	Thatcher, M. Jones, & D. Lavallee (Eds.), <i>Coping and emotion in sport</i> (pp. 118–142). New
370	York, NY: Routledge.
371	Bowlby, J. 1969/1982. Attachment and loss (Vol. 1, 2nd ed.). New York, NY: Basic Books.
372	Bowlby, J. 1988. A secure base: Clinical applications of attachment theory. London, UK: Routledge.
373	Brennan, K., A., Clark, C., L, Shaver, P., R. 1998. Self-report measurement of adult attachment: An
374	integrative overview. New York, NY: Guildford Press.
375	Brislin, R. W. 1970. Back-translation for cross-cultural research. Journal of Cross-Cultural
376	<i>Psychology, 1(3),</i> 185–216.
377	Brislin, R. W. 1986. The wording and translation of research instruments. In W. J. Lonner & J. W.
378	Berry (Eds.), Field methods in cross-cultural research (pp. 137–164). Thousand Oaks, CA:
379	Sage.
380	Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. 1989. Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically
381	based approach. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 56(2), 267.
382	Cerin, E. 2003. Anxiety versus fundamental emotions as predictors of perceived functionality of
383	pre-competitive emotional states, threat, and challenge in individual sports. <i>Journal of</i>
384	Applied Sport Psychology, 15(3), 223–238.
385	Cerin, E., & Barnett, A. 2006. A processual analysis of basic emotions and sources of concerns as
386	they are lived before and after a competition. <i>Psychology of Sport and Exercise</i> , 7(3), 287–
387	307.
388	Chen, T.T., Wang, K.P., Cheng, M.Y., Chang, Y.T., Huang, C.J. and Hung, T.M., 2019. Impact of
389	emotional and motivational regulation on putting performance: a frontal alpha
390	asymmetry study. <i>PeerJ, 7</i> , e6777.

- 391 Faber, A., Dube, L., & Knaeuper, B. 2018. Attachment and eating: A meta-analytic review of the
- relevance of attachment for unhealthy and healthy eating behaviors in the general
 population. *Appetite*, *123*, 410-438.
- Gould, D., & Maynard, I. 2009. Psychological preparation for the Olympic Games. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *27(13)*, 1393–1408.
- Gross, J. J. 1998. The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(3), 271–299.
- 398 Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. 2003. Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes:
- implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *85(2)*, 348–362.
- 401 Hamilton, C. E. 2000. Continuity and discontinuity of attachment from infancy through
- adolescence. *Child Development*, 71(3), 690–694.
- 403 Hanin, Y. L. 2000. *Emotions in sport*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. 1987. Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *52*(3), 511–524.
- 406 Jones, M. V. 2003. Controlling emotions in sport. *The Sport Psychologist*, *14*, 471–486.
- Jones, M. 2012. Emotion regulation and performance. In S. Murphy (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of sport and performance psychology* (pp. 154–172). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 409 Kahn, R. L., & Antonucci, T. C. 1980. Convoys over the life course: Attachment, roles, and social
- 410 support. *Life-span Development and Behavior*, *3*, 253–286.
- 411 Kobak, R., R., Cole, H., E., Ferenz-Gillies, R., Fleming, W. S., & Gamble W. 1993. Attachment and
- 412 emotion regulation during mother-teen problem solving: A control theory analysis. *Child*413 *Development*, 64(1), 231–245.
- Krippendorff, K. 2004. *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (2nd ed.). Thousand
 Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

416	Lombard, M., Snyder-Duch, J., & Bracken, C. C. 2005. Practical resources for assessing intercoder
417	reliability in content analysis research projects. Working paper. Retrieved October 23,
418	2007, from http://www. temple. edu/mmc/reliability.

- Lewis, F.R., Knight, C.J. and Mellalieu, S.D., 2017. Emotional experiences in youth tennis.
 Psychology of Sport and Exercise, , pp.69-83.
- 421 Martinent, G., Campo, M., & Ferrand, C. 2012. A descriptive study of emotional process during
 422 competition: nature, frequency, direction, duration and co-occurrence of discrete
 423 emotions. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *13(2)*, 142–151.
- Maunder, R. G., & Hunter, J. J. 2001. Attachment and psychosomatic medicine: Developmental
 contributions to stress and disease. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 63(4), 556–567.
- 426 Mikulincer, M. 1998. Adult attachment style and affect regulation: Strategic variations in self427 appraisals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *75(2)*, 420–435.
- 428 Mikulincer, M., & Florian, V. (Eds.). 1998. *The relationship between adult attachment styles and*429 *emotional and cognitive reactions to stressful events*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 430 Mikulincer, M., Florian, V., & Tolmacz, R. 1990. Attachment styles and fear of personal death: A
- 431 case study of affect regulation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58(2), 273–
 432 280.
- Robazza, C., Gallina, S., D'Amico, M. A., Izzicupo, P., Basccelli, A., Di Fonso, ... & Di Baldassarre, A.
 2012. Relationship between biological markers and psychological states in elite
 basketball players across a competitive season. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *13(4)*,
 509–517.
- Rowe, A. C., & Carnelley, K. B. 2005. Preliminary support for the use of a hierarchical mapping
 technique to examine attachment networks. *Personal Relationships*, *12(4)*, 499–519.
- 439 Sherry, S. B., MacKinnon, A. L., Fossum, K.-L., Antony, M. M., Stewart, S. H., Sherry, D. L., ... &
- 440 Mushquash, A. R. 2012. Perfectionism, discrepancies, and depression: Testing the
- 441 perfectionism social disconnection model in a short-term, four-wave longitudinal study.
- 442 *Personality and Individual Differences*, 54(6), 692–697.

- Totterdell, P., & Leach, D. 2001. Negative mood regulation expectancies and sports performance:
 an investigation involving professional cricketers. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 2(4),
 249–265.
- 446 Uphill, M., Groom, R., & Jones, M. 2014. The influence of in-game emotions on basketball
 447 performance. *European journal of sport science*, *14(1)*, 76-83.
- Uphill, M. A., & Jones, M. V. 2007. Antecedents of emotions in elite athletes: A cognitive
 motivational relational theory perspective. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*,
 78(2), 79–89.
- 451 Uphill, M., & Jones, M. 2012. The consequences and control of emotions in elite athletes. In J.
- 452 Thatcher, M. Jones, & D. Lavallee (Eds.), *Coping and emotion in sport* (2nd ed.). (pp. 213–
- 453 235) New York, NY: Routledge.
- 454 Wei, M., Russell, D. W., Mallinckrodt, B., & Vogel, D. L. 2007. The experiences in close
- 455 relationship scale (ECR)-short form: Reliability, validity, and factor structure. *Journal of*456 *Personality Assessment*, 88(2), 187–204.
- 457 Wilkinson, L.L. & Rowe, A.C. (2016). The 'Distance Affect Regulation Mapping' (DARM) tool: A
- 458 holistic approach to assessing individuals' affect regulation strategies. figshare.
- 459 doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.3502187.v1
- 460 Wilkinson, L. L., Rowe, A. C., Bishop, R. J., & Brunstrom, J. M. 2010. Attachment anxiety,
- 461 disinhibited eating, and body mass index in adulthood. *International Journal of Obesity*,
 462 *34(9)*, 1442–1445.
- Wilkinson, L. L., Rowe, A. C., & Heath, G. H. 2013. Eating me up inside: Priming attachment
 security and anxiety, and their effects on snacking. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, *30(6)*, 795–804.
- Wilkinson, L. L., Rowe, A. C., Robinson, E., & Hardman, C. A. 2018. Explaining the relationship
 between attachment anxiety, eating behaviour and BMI. *Appetite*, *127*, 214-222.
- 468 Wilkinson, L. L., Rowe, A. C., & Millings, A. 2019. Disorganized attachment predicts body mass
- 469 index via uncontrolled eating. *International Journal of Obesity, 1.*

- 470 Woodman, T., Davis, P. A., Hardy, L., Callow, N., Glasscock, I., & Yuill-Proctor, J. 2009. Emotions
- 471 and sport performance: An exploration of happiness, hope, and anger. *Journal of Sport*
- 472 *and Exercise Psychology*, *31(2)*, 169-188.

473 Table 1

474 *Category Name, Examples, Number of Stickers and Percentage, and the Mean Distance (in centimeter) from the centre.*

475

			Number of		Mean
Category name	Definition	Examples	stickers	%	distance
People	Seeking proximity to someone by meeting, calling, or talking to them	"Call my boyfriend", "Talk to my mother"	117.00	11.82	39.21
Exercise	Physical activities not related to their sport	"Take a walk", "Bowling"	57.00	5.76	49.46
Training	Physical activities related to their sport	"Running", "Muscular workout"	56.00	5.66	45.71
Internet Browsing	Going online to read something or to do some search	"Web surfing", "Googling"	14.00	1.41	38.50
SNS	Engagement in social networking services	"Twitter", "LINE"	14.00	1.41	39.93
Music	Listening to music	"Listen to music", "Listen to songs"	68.00	6.87	33.00
Watching video/TV	Watching videos online or watching TV	"Watch films", "Watch TV"	99.00	10.00	41.28
Gaming	Playing video games or mobile games	"Gaming", "Mobile games"	33.00	3.33	38.36
Housekeeping	Activities to keep the house clean and tidy	"Cleaning", "Washing"	31.00	3.13	46.35
Releasing Emotions	Behaviours to release and reduce the intensity of the emotions	"Cry", "Shout"	35.00	3.54	42.03
Reading	Reading books, magazines, or mangas	"Read books", "Read manga"	38.00	3.84	47.97
Alcohol	Drinking alcoholic drinks	"Drink alcohol", "Sake"	12.00	1.21	57.25
Non-alcohol drink	Drinking non-alcoholic drinks	"Coffee", "Drink something cold"	14.00	1.41	38.14
Eating	Eating food without cooking	"Eat something sweet", "Eat out"	94.00	9.49	32.40
Cooking	Cooking food to eat or to serve	"Cooking", "Baking"	20.00	2.02	52.15
Shopping	Going shopping to buy something	"Shopping", "Buy cloths"	52.00	5.25	47.56
Bath	Taking a bath or going to hot springs	"Take bath", "Onsen"	41.00	4.14	46.20
Sleep	Going to sleep or sleeping longer than usual	"Sleep", "Sleep a lot"	85.00	8.59	25.66
Singing/Kareoke	Singing a song or going to Karaoke shop	"Sing a song", "Go to Karaoke"	41.00	4.14	43.24
Sex	Having a sexual intercourse or masturbating	"Have a sex", "Masturbating"	7.00	0.71	42.57
Destructive behaviour	Venting negative emotions in socially unacceptable manners	"Becoming violent", "Smashing stuff"	28.00	2.83	52.86
Being lazy	Stopping activities or engaging activities that need little effort	"Do nothing", "Daydreaming"	29.00	2.93	26.70
Traveling	Traversing or going somewhere far from the current location	"Go back to hometown", "Go on a trip"	31.00	3.13	54.13

477 Table 2

Category Name, Definition, Number of Comments, and Examples

Name	Definition	Ν	Examples of comments
Understanding my strategies	Participants found the DARM to be helpful to understand what strategies are effective for them	46	"I re-discovered that my best strategy is to talk to my friends. I think I like to interact with people."
More strategies	Participants found the DARM to be helpful to discover more strategies	11	<i>"After writing them down I was surprised to discover many strategies."</i>
Understanding myself	Participants found the DARM to be helpful to understand themselves	8	"I felt that I understood myself better."
Interesting tool	Participants found the DARM to be interesting to map the strategies	15	<i>"It was interesting to visualize how I behave by writing them down and put stickers."</i>
Understanding the need to change	Participants found the DARM to be helpful to discover the need to change or increase my strategies	12	"I discovered that I have only a few things to do when I'm stressed. I'd like to try something different from now on."
Not helpful	Participants found the DARM not to be helpful	3	"Nothing special."

	Total		Participa seeking p to somed cope with (<i>N</i> = 60)	Participants with seeking proximity to someone to cope with stress (<i>N</i> = 60)		Participants without seeking proximity to someone to cope with stress (N = 35)		One-way ANOVA	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F(1, 94)	р	
ECR-S Total	6.08	1.87	5.59	1.60	6.91	2.02	12.392	.001	
ECR-S Avoidance	2.88	1.13	2.72	1.11	3.15	1.12	3.389	.069	
ECR-S Anxiety	3.18	1.31	2.88	1.01	3.69	1.53	9.485	.003	
DARM Number of Stickers	11.81	4.99	13.00	5.30	9.83	3.71	9.897	.002	
DARM Proximity (in millimeters)			32.07	16.17					

480 Table 3481 Descriptive Statistics for Each Scale between Groups

482 *Note.* ECR-S = Experiences in Close Relationships Scale–Short version.

484 Table 4

Correlations between Attachment Orientations, and DARM

	ECR-S Avoidance	ECR-S Anxiety	DARM Number of Stickers	DARM Proximity $(N = 60)$
ECR-S Total	.730**	.806**	205*	.333**
ECR-S Avoidance		.184	193	.223
ECR-S Anxiety			130	.269*
DARM Number of Stickers				.187

Note. ECR-S = Experiences in Close Relationships Scale–Short version.

N = 90, except for the DARM Proximity column.

*p < .05, **p < .01.