



The University of Manchester Research

Debunking Brazilian Exceptionalism in its Africa Relations

DOI: 10.1080/13600826.2020.1722617

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA):

Dye, B., & Alencastro, M. (2020). Debúnking Brazilian Exceptionalism in its Africa Relations: Evidence from Angola and Tanzania. *Global Society*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600826.2020.1722617

Published in: Global Society

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



Debunking Brazilian Exceptionalism in its Africa Relations: Evidence from Angola and Tanzania

1.0 Introduction

Over the last two decades, Brazil's engagement in Africa has swung markedly. Trade rose from \$4.2billion in 2000 to a peak of \$27.6billion in 2011 (Stolte 2015). Meanwhile diplomatic links exploded. During Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva (Partido dos Trabalhadores/Worker's Party [PT]) 2003-2010 presidency, 520 official government-togovernment agreements were signed¹ whilst embassies rose from 17 in 2005 to 37 in 2010 (Stolte 2015, 2012; Alves 2013). Brazil also became a leading South-South Development Cooperation partner (Carmody 2011), with 60% of Brazil's Development Cooperation Agency's (ABC) spending allocated to Africa². Former Brazilian President Luiz Inácio "Lula" da Silva rhetorically-elevated the importance of these ties, for instance proclaiming in 2006 that "Today, Africa is an indisputable priority"³. However, this zenith proved shortlived. The rapid acceleration collapsed from 2014 under the shadow of Brazil's economic recession (Stolte 2015, 159) and political tumult initiated by Operação Lava Jato, a major corruption investigation implicating much of the political-business elite. Although now seemingly consigned to Brazil's past, detailed accounts of this period remain sparse. Those that do exist tend to emphasise the pre-eminence of the Brazilian state in forging this trajectory. To some extent this perpetuates narratives asserted by the Worker's Party (PT) that Brazil-Africa relations were exceptional: They would not replicate Africa's past

¹ Itamaraty Official Africa-Department Statistics

² Total government South-South cooperation spending rose from \$120million in 2006 to \$1.2billion in 2010

³ Opening speech of Africa-South America summit, Abuja, Nigeria, November 30, 2006 (*Discursos Selecionados Do Presidente Luiz Inacio Lula Da Silva* 2008).

international relations which tended to create structural dependency and exploitation for the benefit of elites. Rather, Brazil presented itself as an equal, a true brother to Africa enabling both Brazil and the continent's economic rise (Hurrell 2013). This rhetoric of South-South Cooperation is not unique to Brazil, being rooted in the Third World, Non-Aligned Movement that formally began in 1955 and pledges to uphold mutual support between developing countries (Carmody 2011; Mawdsley 2012). President Lula and other Brazilian government officials took this rhetoric a step further. They claimed to have unparalleled knowledge of Africa given certain climatic similarities and the influence of the continent, through the slave trade, on Brazil's contemporary cultural milieu. In contrast to businessorientated, extractive-focused world powers such as China and America, Brazil would export its 'Brazilian dream', its set of economic and socially-progressive policies that increased growth whilst reducing poverty, hunger and health issues like HIV/AIDS.

This article critiques such assertions of exceptionalism, showing the difference between rhetoric and practice. Using the broader literature on Africa's engagement with the international system as a theoretical basis, we make three key contributions. First, we suggest that Brazil's ties, whilst having their own individuality, represent continuity with, rather than exception from, the continent's norm. Brazil has reinforced Africa's structural economic position in the global economy, frequently characterised as one of extraversion, whereby countries on the continent tend to exchange primary commodities for manufactured goods and services. Second, rather than privileging Brazilian actors, as in much of the literature on Brazil-Africa (e.g. Stolte 2015; Alves 2013; de Freitas Barbosa, Narciso, and Biancalana 2009; A. Garcia and Kato 2015), we demonstrate the strong influence of governments in Africa, drawing on the literature stressing the role of African agency in the continent's international relations, even when in positions of apparent weakness. Third, building on others who have sought to differentiate Brazil as a homogenous player in Africa (Milhorance

2

2019; Nogueira and Ollinaho 2013), we assert the importance of the country's companies in pushing forward relations, especially those in the construction and extractive sectors, demonstrating the degree to which state and private-sector actors joined forces to build international engagement. We therefore use mainstream African-studies analysis of the continent's international relations as a theoretical lens through which to view Brazil-Africa ties, demonstrating the degree to which Brazil fitted into Africa's typical patterns. This argument also evidences the way in which Brazil exported its state-business model to Africa. Particularly from Lula's second term (starting in 2006) a corporate turn occurred whereby the PT government closely engaged with large mining, infrastructure and agriculture firms to ensure domestic political survival and the implementation of their policy vision. This argument is demonstrated by case studies of Angola and Tanzania, chosen because they capture the range of Brazil's new 21st century ties. Angola is Brazil's longest-lasting African partner, with post-colonial ties starting at the country's 1975 independence. In Angola, state power, especially after President José Eduardo Dos Santos' accession to the Presidency in 1979, increasingly flowed away from formal channels to the Angolan presidency.⁴ Dos Santos and his close entourage -referred to by Angolans as the Futungo after a presidential compound in the outskirts of Luanda- formed the country's political and business elite. Certain Brazilian actors were well-integrated into this group, especially construction firm Odebrecht, who were tasked with early investment in sensitive areas during and after Angola's 1975-2002 civil war. Indeed, by 2002 the company was already the biggest donor

⁴ The internal evolution of the MPLA regime is beyond the remit of this thesis but see, for instance, the work of Christine Messiant, 'The Eduardo Dos Santos Foundation: Or, How Angola's Regime is Taking Over Civil Society', *African Affairs*, vol. 100, no. 399, 2001, pp. 287–309; Ricardo Soares de Oliveira, *Magnificent and Beggar Angola: Angola Since the Civil War* (Hurst and Oxford University Press, 2015).

of the Eduardo dos Santos Foundation, a supposedly philanthropic organisation that served as a revenue generator for the Angolan elite and it was this same institution that hosted Lula after his departure from office⁵. In contrast to this historic underpinning and the shared-Portuguese language, Tanzania represented an essentially new engagement for Brazil, as it had little experience or knowledge of the country. Until 2017, its politics also contrasted with Angola as although dominated by one-party, CCM, significant fractures between rival politicbusiness groups in the country's ruling elite created a different political and policymaking reality. Therefore, the two case studies enabled this article's analysis to capture important dimensions of the breadth of Brazil-Africa relations.

This article is based on extensive primary research. It utilized elite interviews, defined as conversations with informants who were chosen for the position they occupy and knowledge of internal politics. In Angola, research was undertaken between 2009-2012 in Luanda and the Lunda diamond-mining provinces with businessman and party cadres that gravitated around the family of former president dos Santos (Maussion 2019). In Tanzania fieldwork between 2015-2016 involved over 60 semi-structured interviews in Dar-es-Salaam with both senior officials (directors, former ministers and CEOs) in government ministries and agencies and with Brazilian companies and diplomats. In addition, both authors have carried out over 53 interviews in Rio de Janeiro, Sao Paulo and Brasilia with senior diplomats, directors in the Federal Ministries, researchers, NGOs and company officials between 2012-2019. This article has also benefited from insights from one author's position as senior advisor to the secretary of strategic affairs during the second Dilma Rousseff government (2015-2016), which provided direct access to those civil servants carrying out the Africa strategy. To triangulate their findings, we reviewed grey literature and news reports. Conclusions were

⁵ The event hosted by Odebrecht itself

built using an inductive, theory building approach⁶ that used 'Africanist' literature on the continent's global position. We found interviews with government officials and private sector employees particularly illuminating as they demonstrated the bargaining power of African elites in negotiations with Brazilian actors, orientating foreign investment towards their own political priorities, as well as the ability of Brazilian business to influence decisions at the top of government.

2: Africa, the Emerging Powers and the International System

Not unjustifiably, texts on Brazil-Africa (Stolte 2015; Alves 2013; de Freitas Barbosa, Narciso, and Biancalana 2009; Marcondes and Mawdsley 2017) have frequently stressed the role of Lula and the PT. In contrast, a longstanding strand of Africanist literature has sought to dispel myths of the continent as helpless recipient. For instance, researching the World Bank and IMF's 1980s structural adjustment programmes, Mosley et.al.'s (1995) classic text demonstrates the power of governments in Africa to shape negotiations, even when heavily indebted. Whitfield and Fraser (2009) have similar conclusions about aid negotiations, showing the significant agency governments in Africa have to shape the terms of international engagement, even if not all utilise this power. This literature informs this article's conceptual approach. Even if a relationship appears exploitative for citizens and wider societal interests, national elites can benefit. The concept of extraversion (Bayart and Ellis 2000; Cooper 1981) is one commonly-used way of explaining this. It refers to a deliberative strategy of international economic dependency where a state's wealth, and its political elite's power, is almost exclusively garnered through exports. This dis-incentivises the creation of a domestically resilient economy with its own manufacturing base. Rather

⁶ Comparatively detailed by Gerring (2017)

than being the defenceless, exploited continent, this approach re-balances understandings of agency in favour of African elites.

We use these analytical insights to understand Brazilian business relations in-Africa. Particularly in more centralised authoritarian states with less transparent legal frameworks and in which the rule of law can be overridden, personal relations with key members of government are vital. Overall, this dynamic has contributed to the domination of primary commodities in Africa's exports (Taylor 2014; Cooper 1981). Such trends have become an important debating point in the literature on China, India and Brazil in Africa. Initially, discussion of these countries' increasing role tended towards doom-laden pronouncements about exploitation. Commentaries frequently paint China as a ravenous and indiscriminate force plundering Africa's resources (Power, Mohan, and Tan-Mullins 2012, 6). Numerous scholars (Mawdsley 2012; Power, Mohan, and Tan-Mullins 2012; Taylor 2014; Mohan 2015) then sought to counter these claims, partly through more empirically-evidenced research, but also by showing the hypocrisy of singling out the so-called emerging powers when western companies undertook the same activities. Such claims have also been countered by the assertion of African agency (Mohan 2015; Mohan and Power 2008; Alden, Large, and Oliveira 2008).

Alongside this progress, the literature on the emerging powers in Africa remains more focused on governmental, not corporate, actors. In the China-Africa case, this partly arises from the prominence of state-owned corporations whose heads effectively rank as cabinetlevel positions in the communist party. Similarly, much of the India-Africa literature stresses the state's role in initiating foreign policy, whilst recognising the importance of the diaspora's independent activity in Africa (E.g. Dubey and Biswas 2016; or Chaturvedi and Mulakala 2016). In contrast, Taylor et.al. (2016) assert that large Indian firms, from Tata to Vedanta and Bharti Airtel, dominate India's engagement in Africa. As Carmody (2011)

6

evidences, such strong corporate agency is also typical of European and American engagements; the 2000s' commodity price boom attracted BHP Billiton and Rio Tinto, Exxon Mobil and Total to Africa. Indeed, British-based firm BG was the leader of Tanzania's offshore gas discoveries mentioned below. In contrast to emerging-power companies, analyses do not link these firms to nation states and yet they frequently shape Western foreign policy. Indeed, the last decade has seen 'Western' companies' growing role in aid. According to Mawdsley (2018), this is part of a 'southernisation' of aid, where development spending supports domestic corporate interest along the same modalities as South-South engagements. This is evident in the UK's Department for International Development which has increasingly enrolled the private sector in the delivery of development (Mawdsley 2015). However, the literature on Brazil-Africa has largely overlooked companies' roles. With greater attention to party politics in Brazil and African countries, this article shows the importance of this oversight, demonstrating the extent to which Brazilian companies forged the country's Africa policy and undertook its implementation. Using this literature as a theoretical lens, the article debunks ideas of Brazil in Africa as exceptional, demonstrating how it fits a typical structural pattern of international engagement.

3: Brazil's assertion of exceptionalism and its Corporate Turn

Existing literature covers many important features of Brazil-Africa relations. Andres Malamud (2011), for example, frames the global significance of Africa ties for Brazil's geopolitics and Oliver Stuenkel (2015) additionally assesses Africa's role in consolidating the BRICS group on the international stage. Marcondes, Kim, and Mawdsley (2017), meanwhile, show the degree of Presidentialism in Brazil's international relations and, alongside a number of other texts (e.g. Milhorance 2019; Cabral and Leite 2015; Cabral 2016; Nogueira and Ollinaho 2013), analyse the rhetoric of South-South-Cooperation and its often-problematic

translation into projects on the ground. This article builds on these scholars' insights into rhetoric versus practise and their attention on the different actors that make-up Brazil-Africa relations. However, it makes a significant advancement in paying closer attention to Brazil's elite-level politics, showing the degree to which companies were plugged in to the highest levels of government, shaping and implementing the PT's evolving Africa policy.

3.1 Optimism, Solidarity and Brotherhood in the Early Years

The PT's Africa policy was built on limited, yet sustained, Brazil-Africa engagement (Stolte 2015). In the 1970s, military regimes recognised a number of African liberation movements and supported Brazilian corporations' internationalisation (de Freitas Barbosa, Narciso, and Biancalana 2009), including through government-owned banks like Banco de Brazil (Garcia and Karina 2015). Attention from the state, and the private sector, lessened during the 1980s and 1990s democratic governments, with a number of embassies closed and a diplomatic focus centred on the USA (Seibert 2019a). However, corporate activities continued whilst South-South Cooperation increased under the auspices of the ABC development agency, inaugurated in 1987. During this period, relations with Africa were also secondary for the Worker's Party. Since its creation in the 1980s, the party had generally been aligned with mainstream social-democratic positions on African politics. Party documents from that period show a consistent discursive focus on defending liberation movements and, after the rise of post-Apartheid South Africa, on the promotion of democracy and social justice⁷. Indeed, the Brazilian left often rhetorically linked the ANC and the PT's rise to power as well as the leaderships of Nelson Mandela and Lula.⁸ The PT's political contacts in Africa were

⁷ Resolutions of PT's II National Congress, Belo Horizonte, 28 November 1999, p.14 (http://csbh.fpabramo.org.br/uploads/resolucoesIIcongresso.pdf)

⁸ For example, the PT's President, Gleisi Hoffman compared Lula to Mandela in 2018 (Soucheyre 2019)

maintained mainly via individuals including political exiles or via its union, the Central Única dos Trabalhadores, which kept an active partnership with the Congress of South African Trade Unions. Despite this strong rhetoric, the PT did not have a specific Africa agenda when it started governing in 2003.

However, parts of the political coalition behind this electoral victory did have an African interest. Social movements, NGOs and leftist academics started to gravitate around the Worker's Party in the 1980s before becoming fully aligned with its political project in the 1990s, in a process that marked the beginning of the PTs transformation from a militant to a bureaucratic party in the 2000s (Secco 2015). These groups stressed the moral imperative of building Africa ties given the continent's poorer status and given connections through slavery (Gala 2007; Seibert 2019a). Other rationales for greater Africa relations stemmed from cultural links. Atlantic Africa strongly influences Brazilian food, music, dance and art, particularly in the country's north. Additionally, religious links were growing. In contrast to the Catholic Church Brazilian neo-Pentecostal churches such as the Igreja Universal do Reino de Deus promoted themselves across the South and particularly in Lusophone Mozambique and Angola (Visentini 2019).

Allied to these ideas was an intellectual foreign policy position known as globalismo (Seibert 2019a). Rooted in Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) ideas and popular amongst leftist academics. It asserted that Brazil could better serve its international interests on the world stage through alliances with other developing countries (Saraiva 2011). As one senior official explained, whilst prior governments had "explicitly formulated and defended (the) ... [idea that the] path to autonomy was through linking [Brazil] to major political powers [i.e. European and North American countries]", the PT rejected "defining Brazil's position in the world in relation to the dominant centre". Instead, it argued that the country's international

9

political "niche" would stem from South-South relations⁹. This argument was strengthened by the rise of the emerging powers like China, India and Korea over the 2000s, and their increasing influence on international relations¹⁰. Thus, inheriting Brazil's low-level of engagement, Lula and key PT officials sought to appropriate and redefine Brazil's Africa policy.

Such interests shaped the government's initial guiding principles. In one of his first interviews the Foreign Affairs Minister, Celso Amorim, announced that "Brazil would rediscover Africa" (Rangel 2003). PT politicians followed suit, seeing a turn towards Africa as an extension of their key domestic policy of integrating the Afro-Brazilian population¹¹. Moreover, Bolsa Familia, the signature policy for such integration, was claimed to be a "technology that could be exported to Africa".¹² Brazilian officials also asserted the narrative of 'Southern' countries as equals, as victims of the powerful north and therefore uniquely placed to offer mutual support for one another. In such rhetoric, Brazil's duty was to be the 'brother' to Africa in a win-win relationship (de Renzio et al. 2014); a non-interventionist, "champion of the south"¹³. As a senior diplomat explained, Brazil preferred engagement and openness to interventionist policies: We "have a view that our presence is better than having an isolated country"; "It is not our way of doing things, isolation, we think the best thing is to promote dialogue"¹⁴.

This rhetoric fits with global ideas of South-South Cooperation, but Brazilian officials additionally asserted their exceptionalism in contrast to other countries, including the

10

⁹ Interview with Senior PT Official, 2016

¹⁰ For instance in the creation of the G20 and the BRICS group

¹¹ Interview with Senior PT official, 2015; Amilcar 2014

¹² Interview with Itamaraty diplomat, 2015

¹³ Interview, Journalist, 2016

¹⁴ Interview with Senior PT Official, 2016

emerging powers, they presented themselves as supposedly benign and non- exploitative. As one senior PT official stated "the fact is we opened ourselves to Africa [and] (this is) ... what is different to other countries"¹⁵. Another added that Brazil operated in contrast to Westerners, who "arrive and act in fundamentally extractive ways"; they didn't have developmental aims¹⁶. Lula asserted this publicly on a number of occasions. On a 2010 trip in Tanzania, for instance, he claimed that China brings less benefits, for example through employment, than Brazil (Uchôa 2010a). Underpinning these statements was a 'common destiny' thesis based on the conviction that Brazil had the answers for Africa, that the PT's socially inclusive project was not only compatible, but also most appropriate for Africa because of shared conditions. Having been joined in Gondwanaland, Brazil has comparable plant species to West Africa and its north contains Savannah zones with a similar climate to parts of Africa. This is particularly illustrated by ProSavana, a "mega-program" carried out by the Japanese international Cooperation Agency (JICA), the Brazilian Cooperation Agency (ABC) and the Mozambican Ministry of Agriculture (MINAG). It attempted to replicate the Cerrado agricultural and development model in Mozambique's northern Nacala Corridor (Cabral and Leite 2015, 435–45). Brazil also has deep cultural connections with Africa through the Atlantic slave trade. Interviewed officials used this to assert that Brazil's north where the majority of descendants from Africa live, was comparable to Africa whether in terms of agriculture or the nature of poverty.

The PT therefore presented engagement with Africa as the logical conclusion of its progressive social agenda and reconciliation with Brazil's history. As reflected in numerous

¹⁵ Interview with Senior PT Official, 2016

¹⁶ Interview with Official, Itamaraty, 2015

interviews with government officials¹⁷, researchers¹⁸ and company officials¹⁹, Brazilian experiences of poverty and medical issues at home bred confidence in their ability to diagnose Africa's development solutions: the continent was a known unknown. As Foreign Minister Celso Amorim used to say, "for every African problem there is a Brazilian solution" (Dye 2018, 131). Similarly, a metaphor attributed to Lula was that Africa was thirsty for Brazil, "like rain falling on dry ground ... [you can see] how quickly it gets absorbed"²⁰. Ultimately, by linking Brazil's history and environment to Africa, the PT government aimed to unify its domestic and foreign policy. Extending the same policies domestically and internationally would serve progressive social goals but would also advance Brazil on the global stage, securing its destined great power status as a champion of the Global South. With such unification, Lula's ritual inauguration of grandiose projects across Angola, Mozambique and Ghana not only served to justify his Africa policy, but also underline the success of his national project. Given these assumptions, it is arguably unsurprising that we find little effort to understand local contexts in Africa in the export of Brazilian policies.

3.2 2006: The Corporate Turn

However, this wasn't merely the responsibility of government. The PT and their associated social movements founded the Africa policy with lofty progressive ideas but, with the agency of Brazilian companies and African governments, the policy radically shifted overtime resulting in closer replication of Africa's typical international engagements. A key trigger for this change was the 2005 "Mensalão scandal", involving the revelation that PT paid members of congress to approve legislation. After this, to keep sufficient support in the perennially-

¹⁷ Interviews with Senior and Junior Itamaraty Diplomats, 2015-2016

¹⁸ Interviews with Academics and Researchers, 2016

¹⁹ Interviews with Senior Officials, Odebrecht, Queiroz Galvão, Electrobras, 2015-2016

²⁰ Interview with Senior PT Official, 2016

fragmented legislature, Lula's government became more pragmatic, forming governing coalitions with more conservative parties²¹ and building close ties with big business. This represented a departure, as previously, the PT resisted such firms' campaign contributions. However, as Garcia (2008) shows, corporate financing for Lula's re-election campaign exploded between 2002 and 2006. Indeed, according to Filgueiras and Gonçalves (2007, 78-80) "the largest financiers of Lula's campaign have a leading role in the economy [...] the companies of the primary sector are commanding the global insertion of the country via the commodity market". Thus, PT politicians and businessmen built an alliance whereby corporate 'donations' ensuring the party's political survival were exchanged for concessional government lending. Africa became the ideal ground for building this new coalition, causing the PT's loosely-affiliated intellectual activist and social movement backers to be replaced by major corporations as key influencers of Brazil's Africa policy. Consistently rising budgets tempered internal governmental contestation over this transition, as did the way companies increased the capacities of development agencies and diplomats to implement the grand Africa vision. Central here were two firms, Odebrecht and Vale. As the drive for commodities reached its peak, mineral-rich, infrastructure-constructing African countries were important markets for both firms. In exchange for their support for his domestic agenda and campaign finance, Lula put his political weight behind business deals for both firms, notably including Vale's bid for coalmines in the latter's Tete province, beating Chinese competition (Rossi 2015). Such close relations increasingly rendered PT's evolving Africa policy an informal public-private partnership; left-wing Lula built an intimate relationship with Roger Agnelli and Emilio Odebrecht, the billionaire CEOs of Vale and Odebrecht respectively.

²¹ Notably with the PMDB

As well as campaign donations and Lula's lobbying activity, which continued after his Presidency, the President's speeches evidence this corporate turn. Whilst continuing to assert South-South Cooperation, rhetoric shifted to accommodate a greater role for Brazilian business. Whereas initial speeches closely referenced Brazil's black movement and ideas about how to empower Africa, by the end of the 2000s, they increasingly focused on benefits to Brazilian business²². One researcher who interviewed him in 2015 remarked that he "didn't want to talk about solidarity" but rather said "he was happy when companies succeed (in Africa)" as they "should replace European countries" because "Brazilian companies could put in place the solidarity idea"; they were more "ethical"²³. Government therefore sought to justify the corporate turn through narratives of Brazil's exceptionalism. As an interviewed senior diplomat claimed, Brazil was unlike China which "has its own way of working ... [where] they have little local employment". He stated that one African President reported that "we like the Brazilians because they don't bring the bread, they teach us how to make it"²⁴. Similarly, an interviewed corporate official stated that "Brazilian companies are a different level (to Chinese) ... [there are] some principals [they] don't like to break", indicating that they would only build good quality infrastructure in contrast to others. Thus, Brazil's exceptional status was rhetorically extended to the country's companies.

To cement this corporate shift, the government increased finance for African engagement, something particularly important for infrastructure projects as they typically require concessional credit. Initially, Banco de Brasil provided this but, as regulations were limiting its volume of international lending, the PT government turned to Brazil's Development Bank, BNDES (Capi and Viana 2016; Corkin, Viana, and Naidin 2012). Historically, BNDES had

²² Interview with Senior Itamaraty Diplomat, 2016

²³ Interview with Investigative Journalist, 2016

²⁴ Interview with Senior PT Official, 2016

largely shunned this international role given their narrow remit of supporting domestic development (Viana 2016). However, the PT managed to persuade BNDES's then-head, Luciano Coutinho, that it was needed to overcome unfair global competition. As Miguel Peres, the head of Odebrecht's Mozambique operations, explained: "when the topic is the access to credit for exports and services, it is as though the Chinese played in the first league, while us [Brazilians are] in the second" (Góes 2014, 1). Agreeing with this international threat, BNDES changed its financing rules in 2005. Consequently, and alongside a dramatic domestic expansion, international lending for construction companies grew 1185% in ten years²⁵.

This level of state support continued under Lula's PT successor, President Dilma (2010-2016), who slightly shifted Brazil's Africa Policy; something Siebert and Visentini (2019) call 'continuity without priority'. In brief, Dilma reduced resources for South-South Cooperation projects, which dropped by half in her first three years, and did not engage in Lula's level of Presidential diplomacy. Conversely, the level of corporate support, for instance through the CAMEX, increased (Seibert 2019b). Dilma's tenure thus consolidated the corporate character of Brazil-Africa relations. Thus, a foreign policy drive that started with principles about reversing, or at least attenuating, the extractive character of Brazil-Africa relations had profoundly changed. However, literature has largely overlooked this, instead stressing the overwhelming importance of the Brazilian government, and particularly Lula, as the dominant Africa policy driver (Alves 2013; Stolte 2012, 2015). As the above narrative demonstrates, the PT's social base and established diplomats like Celso Amorim were indeed key to initiating a state-led push to the continent. However, this section argues for an additional appreciation of the agency of companies. As exposed by the Lava Jato and

²⁵ 2003-2007 BNDES extended US\$742 for African exports compared to \$5.4 billion between 2007-2015 (Seibert 2019b)

FBI police investigations, Brazil's corporations became increasingly entwined in policy making at home and abroad as the PT's tenure wore on.

Such a strong corporate role is not new in Brazilian foreign policy. Private firms, and Odebrecht and Vale in particular, were entwined in successive Brazilian governments, and thereby, their foreign policy (A. Garcia and Kato 2015). Such relations were established in the 1970s when the Brazilian military regime, faced with the need to increase exports and spur economic growth, built a close relationship with a set of companies styled as the 'national champions' and provided support with government contracts, subsidised finance and significant backing for international trade (Campos 2014; Musacchio and Lazzarini 2014). Thus, the change that was cemented by 2006, perhaps best signified in the aforementioned 1185% increase in BNDES lending to construction firms, was in the relationship between the PT and a handful of large Brazilian companies. The influence of leftist-'globalismo'- internationalists, social movements and Afro-Brazilian groups within the PT apparatus initiated the first Africa policy grounded on principles of solidarity and mutually-beneficial South-South Cooperation. However, such groups influence waned as, in a bid to shore-up domestic political power, the PT responded to ongoing demands from Odebrecht, Vale and others including large agribusinesses (Milhorance 2019) to be involved in the formulation and implementation of relations with Africa – and indeed economic policy more widely. As foreign minister Celso Amorim stated in 2010, "business is business" increasingly defined the Africa policy²⁶. Therefore, although marking an important change within the PT, the corporate turn, in many ways represented a return to the norm. The article now turns to the cases of Angola and Tanzania to demonstrate the way Brazil's engagement replicated these countries' typical international relations.

²⁶ Uchôa 2010b

4.0: Demonstrating Corporate and African Agency in Angola and Tanzania

4.1: Angola: The Myth of "Odebrecht's Empire"

4.1.1 Brazilian Agency

Despite strong cultural and historic ties, oil drove Brazilin President Ernesto Geisel's first engagement with Agostinho Neto's MPLA in independent Angola, then an internationally isolated pariah embroiled in a longstanding civil war²⁷. As a strategic ally of the Brazilian regime, Odebrecht was seen as the most capable company with the technical resources and managerial skills to succeed in unstable Angola. Thus, Petrobras- the state-owned oil company-created an account linked to Angolan oil in 1985 to pay for exports for the Capanda Dam. The project was Brazil's first "oil for infrastructure" loan and this modality became the preferred mechanism for Brazil-Angola cooperation (Alencastro 2019). Throughout the 1990s, Odebrecht, whose influence in Brasilia survived the transition to democracy, unremittingly lobbied Brazilian presidents to visit Angola. Even those without a specific African policy, such as President Fernando Collor, went to Angola's provinces to express support for Odebrecht²⁸. This helped increase diplomatic ties, which expanded particularly under President Fernando Henrique Cardoso (1995-2003). Even with such prominence, Lula brought a significant step change in relations. Supported by the global commodity boom and a series of oil field discoveries in the South Atlantic, Angola's strategic value grew²⁹. Lula travelled to Luanda as often as to European capitals over his two terms and asserted that

²⁷ E.g. Demonstrated by Minister of Planning Delfim Netto's welcome of a Soviet suggestion to explore business opportunities in Angola during a Moscow trip (Interview with former Senior Advisor to Delfim Netto, 2018)

²⁸ Fernando Collor de Mello visited the Capanda kimberlite-mining site in September 1991, three months after the short-lived Bicesse peace agreement.

²⁹ Interview with Senior advisor to Lula, 2016

Brazil could provide Angola with a socio-economic model. Prominent, political, cultural and media figures close to Lula frequently engaged with Angola, helping to create a narrative in Brazil of Angola, a highly illiberal regime (Soares de Oliveira 2015), as a democracy in-waiting, and President Dos Santos as a man of peace: The first time Dos Santos talked publicly about leaving office was in an interview with Franklin Martins (2013), Lula's former communications official. However, the most substantive impact came from the extension of government credit to Brazilian companies. Despite scarce information (after the Minister of Development, Fernando Pimentel, declared BNDES' Angola operations confidential in 2012) under Lula, 437 of 571 BNDES loans for service exports went to Odebrecht; US\$7.7.9 billion of US\$12.6 billioncc. Lending was particularly directed at Angola, which took 20% of this export portfolio between 2007 and 2014, making it BNDES' largest international country recipient. In August 2019, a major investigation by the federal audit office showed that BNDES had approved Angolan financing in an almost automatic way, with little regard for detail³⁰.

4.1.2 The Symbiosis between Odebrecht and the Angolan Ruling Elite

Rather than seeing this diplomatic activity and government lending as solely PT's initiative, we assert that in Angola, Odebrecht and the MPLA led Brazilian relations. Starting with the Capanda Dam, Odebrecht earned the MPLA's respect during Angola's civil war. Then in the 1990s, Odebrecht supported the military take-over of Lunda Sul's Kimberlite diamond mine by helping establish the Catoca consortium to run it. Outside the oil industry, Catoca became the most economically-valuable project in Angola and aided the defeat of UNITA (the

³⁰ Federal Court of Accounts, report number 017.469/2016-3, published on 28 August 2019 (<u>https://portal.tcu.gov.br/data/files/FD/F0/8B/68/02EDC6103FD7BCC6F18818A8/017.469-2016-3%20-%20ASC%20-%20BNDES%20paises%20estrangeiros%20obras.pdf</u>). This report also shows BNDES commonly overpaid.

MPLA's enemy) who had relied on diamond wealth. Odebrecht's special relationship allowed it to join the *empresários de confiança*, a secretive group mostly comprised of oil companies who influenced key economic policies (Messiant 2001, 287-309). Moreover, the company served as the founding donor for the Eduardo dos Santos Foundation, a revenue generator for the ruling MPLA masked as a philanthropic institution. In a 2016 plea deal former Odebrecht CEO Emilio Odebrecht provided unpresented descriptions of this relationship, relating that by the end of the civil war in 2002, Dos Santos asked Odebrecht to help "convert generals into entrepreneurs" - to make sure that members of the military apparatus could take part in Odebrecht's business ventures so that they would "not disturb political stability"³¹. Indeed, most of the company's Angolan business consisted of joint ventures with companies that, short of financial resources and technical expertise, functioned mainly as vehicles to channel rents to elite MPLA members tied to the Dos Santos presidency³². Moreover, Emilio Odebrecht stated that in 2009 when oil exports collapsed given the global financial crisis, Dos Santos reached out to the Odebrecht family to obtain a Brazilian government line of credit. Whilst these plea deals should not be taken at face value, its narrative corroborates other evidence here to underline the status of Odebrecht in Angola. Such intensity meant that by the time Lula arrived in power, Brazilian diplomats role was more ceremonial: Angola chose Odebrecht to manage Brazilian relations. The company had direct access to President Dos Santos' office and Odebrecht's CEO held annual meetings with him since 1980. Odebrecht did increase operations after the civil war and during the PT era. Given the company's symbiotic relationship with the Angolan regime, by 2014, it was Angola's largest private-sector employee and the leading benefactor of the Angolan state's

³¹ Brazil's Federal Prosecution Service, file number: 52614/2017-GTLJ, released on 20 July 2017. Available at: http://www.mpf.mp.br/pgr/documentos/pet-6738/view

³² Despite severely limited public data, Croese (2017, 80–100) demonstrates that Angolan-state agents owned 'private sector' housing projects like Zango, alongside Odebrecht.

public infrastructure investments (Alencastro 2019). Odebrecht received about 10% of Angola's public works' budget between 2003 and 2012 undertaking housing projects, petrochemical stations, hydroelectric dams, road paving and supermarket distribution (Alencastro 2014). This increased the company's leverage and made it a de facto extension of the Ministry of Public Works. Nevertheless, Odebrecht wasn't merely a constructor of infrastructure as it also influenced policymaking. For instance, several signature MPLA projects, such as large-scale housing schemes, drew partially on Odebrecht's Brazilian experience³³. The company also connected Brazilian political advisors with the Angolan government, even paying for a number of political consultants. For instance João Santana, the organiser of President dos Santos and President Dilma Rousseff campaigns, admitted that Odebrecht paid him for Angola work. Odebrecht also orchestrated vast corruption schemes, as revealed by the Lavo Jato investigation³⁴. Because of its complete absence of accountability, Odebrecht considered running Brazilian bribery operations from Angola, although this was abandoned for technical reasons (Alencastro 2019). Thus, after its introduction in 1985, and with the Brazilian state's financial and diplomatic backing, Odebrecht' forged engagements in Angola, generating a symbiotic relationship that made it the key political channel between the two countries.

However, in emphasising the importance of Odebrecht, it is important to avoid presenting Angola as a passive recipient, as a subject in Odebrecht's "empire". Indeed media commentaries (e.g. Fellet, 2012) and Odebrecht itself have asserted this neo-colonial narrative: one interviewee stated that in the 1980s and 1990s, Angola saw Odebrecht and Brazilian politicians' arrival "as something of a miracle"³⁵. We refute this, demonstrating that

³³ Interview with senior Odebrecht official in the Lula years, March 2019.

³⁴ Foremost of which, Odebrecht's corruption department, officially the Cayman-based Department for Structural Relations

³⁵ Interview with senior Odebrecht official, 2019

despite the Angolan government's technical limitations, it directed Odebrecht and Brazil's activities to serve its own political interests. For one, the Angolan government had complete say on who got Brazil's oil-for-infrastructure lines-of-credit, making Odebrecht's monopolistic position dependent on Dos Santos' regime (Alencastro 2019)³⁶. Moreover, Odebrecht's activity wholly formed part of Angola's statebuilding strategy of expanding control across the country and building a loyal middle class (Soares de Oliveira 2015). In paving thousands of kilometres of roads, erecting schools and hospitals, establishing supermarket chains and making agricultural plantations Odebrecht therefore "[acted as an] advanced platform of the Angolan state"³⁷; "O Estado está aqui" (the state is here), often adorned its projects.

4.2 Tanzania: The Recipient's Ability to Define Who, Where, What and When

The case study of Tanzania, through an analysis of its elite-level politics, further demonstrates the importance of companies and African agency in Brazil's ties with the continent.

4.2.1 Brazilian Agency

However, the balance between the Brazilian state and corporate actors was different in Tanzania, with Lula's government having a more important role. This partly stems from the fact that Tanzania, as an Anglophone, East African country, was relatively politically, socially and culturally unfamiliar to Brazil. This absence rendered diplomats the key initiating interlocutors, particularly in development cooperation projects. Brazil opened an embassy in 2005 in Dar-es-Salaam and Tanzania followed suit in 2007. This proved important as the second ambassador appointed to the country, Ambassador Luiz, initiated a step-change in relations, starting a number of cooperation projects around biofuels and HIV-

³⁶ Interview with senior Odebrecht official, 2016

³⁷ Interview with senior Odebrecht official, 2019

AIDS training and education(Uchôa 2010a). Additionally, Brazil chose Tanzania as the location to spend money won in a WTO settlement, for cotton projects in Africa. Brazilian diplomats' also initiated business opportunities. This fitted the wider principle that Brazil should use its "soft power advantages, especially in infrastructure"³⁸. Consequently, diplomats, after an invitation from Tanzania's President to bring investment, "identified energy, mining and logistics"³⁹ as focal sectors and approached large Brazilian companies. This level of government involvement meant that Brazilian Ambassadors were crucial in lobbying senior officials and convening follow-up meetings with civil servants and ministers to plan infrastructure projects⁴⁰. The most prominent example here was the Stiegler's Gorge project, where Brazilian diplomats' are quoted or pictured in press releases between 2012-2015⁴¹. High-level visits were also key events building Brazil-Tanzania ties. Foreign Minister Celso Amorim made the first of these in 2009, bringing a trade delegation. President Lula then included Tanzania in a multi-country 2010 trip. He focused on signing cooperation deals and brokering meetings for government and private-sector projects⁴². This included Odebrecht, who reportedly met Tanzanian President Kikwete, the energy ministry and RUBADA, the agency leading numerous dam developments⁴³. This demonstrates how under the PT, the state provided strong support for infrastructure companies, using firms to stretch Brazil-Africa relations across the continent.

³⁸ Interview with Senior Itamaraty Diplomat, 2016

³⁹ Interview with Senior Itamaraty Diplomat, 2016

⁴⁰ Dye and Hartmann 2017; The East African 2011; Interviews, Senior Itamaraty Diplomat, 2016

⁴¹ Based on primary research searching through the English-language Tanzanian press and Dye (2019b); Dye and Hartmann (2017).

⁴² Uchôa 2010a; Interview, Senior Itamaraty Diplomat, 2016

⁴³ Interview with Senior Odebrecht Official, 2016

However, these corporations were not passive. Petrobras started operations before Brazil's 2005 embassy opening. They independently negotiated off-shore exploration licences and block-ownership. Moreover, showing the company's expansionist ambitions and capacity to deliver on the PT's Brazil Policy, Odebrecht was the first to respond to the Brazilian ambassador's call for interest in November 2009, before Lula's state visit. Influenced by high-level Brazilian and Tanzanian engagement, Odebrecht then chose Tanzania over Kenya as an East African base and made Stiegler's Gorge Dam their principal regional project. Following a formal MoU granting Odebrecht prerogative to build the dam in 2011, the company stepped in for RUBADA and paid for an initial EIA (Arms on Environment 2014). They also completed deign and feasibility studies and explored financing options, approaching several European governmental export banks and the World Bank⁴⁴, given that Tanzania's outstanding debt to Brazil precluded BNDES finance. Odebrecht also started negotiations on the expansion of Mtwara airport⁴⁵, with this project envisioned as a steppingstone increasing in-country experience and staff to enable dam construction. Following an invitation from the Tanzanian government (covered below), another Brazilian construction firm, Queiroz Galvão independently signed an MoU for the Mnyera hydropower project. It involves a large dam and a number of downstream hydropower plants. Queiroz Galvão opened a Dar-es-Salaam office in 2012 and began collecting hydrological data. The firm also autonomously produced feasibility and design studies alongside an impact assessment, later approved by the Tanzanian government. Queiroz Galvão also independently sought financing, meeting with the UK export-finance agency amongst others⁴⁶. Like

⁴⁴ Interviews with Senior World Bank Official, Itamaraty Diplomat and Odebrecht Official, 2016-2017

⁴⁵ Interviews, Senior Government Officials, Odebrecht, Tanzania, 2016; Masato 2012

⁴⁶ Interview with Senior Queiroz Galvão Official, 2016

Odebrecht, the company hoped to launch activities with an airport project, this time at Dodoma. Whilst receiving diplomatic support for the dams, negotiations around both airports were driven by each company⁴⁷. Any understanding of Brazil's engagement in Tanzania, what projects it was involved in and why, therefore requires appreciation of Odebrecht and Queiroz Galvão as individual agents that pushed engagements forward through their own investments. Thus, the Tanzanian case exemplifies how corporation and state acted in lockstep in pushing Africa ties.

4.2.2. The Tanzanian Government

However, the 2007-2016 blossoming of Brazil-Tanzania ties owes just as much to the Tanzanian state. In contrast to Dilma's absence, Tanzanian politicians consolidated Brazil's initial overtures with a series of high-level visits between 2010-2012. Foreign Minister Bernard Membe followed-up on Lula's trip in 2010. He conducted talks with Odebrecht and other companies in Sao Paulo. Stiegler's Gorge Dam, in particular, received a further boost from Prime Minister Pinda. He organised a cross-government group to advance the dam's planning and went on a 2011 trip with the Brazilian ambassador⁴⁸. This involved visiting Brazil's mega-dam Itaipu and "a meeting with Odebrecht and all the major companies"⁴⁹. With a significant power crisis in 2012 in Tanzania, a further push for power plants occurred. During a trip by the President to Brazil, "Odebrecht promised Kikwete to update the feasibility study" (Daily News 2012c, 2012b). President Kikwete also made an invitation to Queiroz Galvão to build the Mnyera hydropower project on this visit⁵⁰. This company wasn't

⁴⁷ Interviews with Brazilian diplomats in Tanzania and with Odebrecht and Interview with Senior Odebrecht Official, 2016

⁴⁸ (Dye 2018); Personal correspondence, Senior Government Official, Tanzania, 2018

⁴⁹ Interview, Senior Official, RUBADA, 2016

⁵⁰ Daily News 2012a; Interviews, Senior Officials, RUBADA, Queiroz Galvão, 2015-2016

included in Lula's original trip, meaning that their presence in Tanzania came from Kikwete's action.

Tanzanian agency is further underlined by the way these projects eventually stalled. A change in Energy Minister in mid-2012 precipitated a shift towards gas electricity-generation (Dye 2018). Abandoning previous commitments, this new minister gave no financial backing to Odebrecht or Queiroz Galvão, nor did he provide the agreements needed by the companies to raise private capital on the international market. Whilst the President and other ministers continued to make supportive statements about the project, progress stalled by 2014. This demonstrates the degree of fragmentation in Tanzania's ruling party, where decision-making didn't flow from centralised plans made by the President, but was rather divided between ministerial fiefdoms (Jacob 2017). Hopes were further dashed by post-2015 President Magufuli, who has dismantled RUBADA and ignored its deals with Odebrecht and Queiroz Galvão. Rather, he has handed contracts for Stiegler's Gorge Dam to Egyptian companies (Dye 2019). It was therefore Tanzanian officials who directed Brazilian companies and its government. With firms' wide-ranging capabilities and the PT's broad support for Brazilian business and development cooperation, the Tanzanian state proved decisive, directing Brazilian actors to specific projects and determining when, or if, they would reach completion.

5. Progressive Development Impacts?: Debunking Exceptionalism

Thus, whilst very different contexts, both Angola and Tanzania prove the relevance of analysing African elites and Brazilian corporations as, alongside the state, they determined the spread, intensity and success of Brazil-Africa relations. But what of Brazil's claims to exceptionalism and progressive socio-economic outcomes? Both case studies question this. For instance, failure to complete infrastructure projects in Tanzania was widespread: China's Sinohydro, the African Development Bank, USA's Power Africa and UK firm Aldwych

25

concurrently invested in dam studies and lengthy project negotiations without reaching implementation (Dye 2018, Chapter Four). Concurrently in Angola, whilst many projects were completed, few of Odebrecht's projects met expectations of development. From the Capanda hydroelectric plant to the sugar-cane plantation Biocom and the supermarket chain Nosso Super, the majority of Odebrecht's initiatives experienced significant cost overruns whilst delivering less benefit than planned. Because of this, a number of projects were sold to other foreign investors. Most notably, Odebrecht sold the Catoca diamond mine to Chinese LL International Holding BV in January 2018⁵¹. This undermines the idea of Brazil having a fully progressive influence or unique understanding of 'Africa', its governments and business environment, especially given struggles in Tanzania to secure finance or sustained, crossgovernmental buy-in for projects. The agency of political elites in Africa is crucial to explaining this. The case of Tanzania shows that its politicians directed projects whilst determining implementation prospects⁵². Additionally, the Tanzanian government followedup on Brazilian corporate interest and also involved Queiroz Galvão's. Meanwhile, in Angola, the Brazilian government utilised Odebrecht, who remained the Angolan government's preferred interlocutor for Brazilian relations until 2015. The Angolan government used Odebrecht for its political needs, whether undertaking statebuilding infrastructure, spreading illicit wealth amongst key families or maintaining relations with the Brazilian state. Therefore, it was a change in the Angolan government with the end of President Dos Santos' 38-year rule, that primarily caused Odebrecht's more recent collapse in Angola. Political crisis and corruption scandals in Brazil, alongside a decrease in financial support post-PT, compounded the issue, but Angolan actors were the key players here. This

⁵¹ https://www.dw.com/pt-002/angola-joão-lourenço-confirma-sa%C3%ADda-da-odebrecht-da-minade-catoca/a-42095633

⁵² This is also true for the Victoria Cotton project that was delayed because of limited Tanzanian support

political change in 2015 shocked Odebrecht and meant that a number of construction projects and contracts ended whilst from 2016, the company started to be treated like any other. Our analytical lens therefore shows that Brazil-Africa relations followed typical patterns in the continent's international ties, with African agency helping to shape a narrowly beneficial concentration of investment in infrastructure, mining and fossil fuels that dwarfed development cooperation spending. Brazil's Tanzanian footprint was primarily corporate, even in development cooperation projects given Cotton Victoria's emphasis on enhancing Brazilian agricultural businesses. The largest successful activity was Petrobras' investment in Tanzania's offshore gas. Dams also followed an extractive model. The Tanzanian elite planned them and stood to gain the most, given that only 32.7% of Tanzanians are gridconnected⁵³ and electricity tariffs make power expensive for the rural smallholder majority. Moreover, the Stiegler's Gorge and Mnyera projects have significant, negative impacts. Both are on the Rufiji River, which runs through the Selous UNESCO World Heritage Site and ends in a delta protected by the Ramsar-Convention. The Stiegler's Gorge Dam is just above the biodiverse heartland of the Selous' ecologically-outstanding area. Importantly, the river's annual flood underpins agriculture, fishing and the biodiversity of both protected sites (Dye and Hartmann 2017; Hoag and Öhman 2008). Both dams will therefore carry serious environmental and social trade-offs that would be almost exclusively borne by rural smallholder farmers in marginalised parts of the country. A similar pattern emerged in Angola. The article has recounted Odebrecht's major levels of corruption which saw millions of dollars diverted to the political-economic elite. Moreover, Odebrecht's operations included diamond extraction that replicated the Chinese and Western enclave operations of oil whereby elites accrue an place's wealth without spreading benefits, whether through jobs or

⁵³ USAID: Power Africa Tanzania Fact Sheet

direct and indirect revenue sharing. There have also been allegations of human slavery in Odebrecht's Angolan business (Fellet 2012).

Thus, Brazil exported the inequalities of its domestic development and infrastructure mission more than its progressive, poverty-reducing model. Dam building and highway development on both sides of the Atlantic were underpinned by the same bank, BNDES, and involved the same companies. In projects like the Stiegler's Gorge Dam, Brazil became embroiled in similar socio-environmental controversies to those surrounding Amazonian dams given their destruction of globally-important habitat and/or the resources of local agricultural livelihoods⁵⁴. Odebrecht was also conscious of this mirroring. It hoped for a similar intertwining of private companies with the state in African countries. Complete replication didn't take place however, with Angolan elites appropriating elements of Brazilian policies to the extent that they fit the regime's objectives. For instance, Odebrecht hired policy experts, media strategists and campaign managers to legitimise Angola's contested and authoritarian elections. Rather than the initial rhetoric of brotherhood with Africa -of advancing the continent's development- in practice, Brazil's corporations fitted into the political economy norms of the states they engaged with. Far from fulfilling Lula's heralded bettering of Chinese or Western companies Brazilian firms therefore seem thoroughly average. Activities primarily involved the extractive and construction sectors, which are also the largest areas invested in by Chinese companies (Bräutigam 2011; Power, Mohan, and Tan-Mullins 2012). Indeed they are typically the largest economic sectors in the majority of countries in Africa and subject to the biggest international investment flows (Taylor 2014; Carmody 2011). In contrast to the rhetoric asserting an alternative, more progressive pattern of development diversifying African economies, Brazil has, in concert with most international agents in Africa, reinforced long-established patterns of export dependence.

⁵⁴ E.g. the Belo Mote Dam

Conclusion

The cases of Angola and Tanzania therefore demonstrate that Brazil's Africa engagement was not exceptional. Lula's dramatic push invoked strident rhetoric about Brazil's benign intentions, its belief in the country's relevance to Africa and the importance of re-making the global system in solidarity with partners on the continent. The PT promised to export the 'Brazilian miracle' of poverty and hunger reduction, the expansion of liberal values and political stability. However, this Brazilian vision quickly met a different African reality. Although development cooperation did increase, Brazil's footprint was primarily corporate and focused on the extractive and infrastructure sectors. Similarly, the majority of Brazil-Africa relations followed elite-brokered projects, a pattern common in South-South and Western-based global corporation engagements. Moreover, Angola and Tanzania demonstrate a number of controversial projects primarily benefiting elites at the cost of the environment and average citizen. Brazil-Africa therefore tended to replicate typical economic patterns on the continent, often reinforcing extraversion where investment and economic wealth was focused on primary resources and their extraction to the international market. Brazil's activities additionally parallel a trend in international development, reviewed above, whereby countries in the global north increasingly use aid to support their domestic firms. A rhetorical striving for exceptionalism was not born out on the ground.

A key reason for this was the PT's corporate turn. Although a strong role for corporations in foreign policy represents something of a return to the Brazilian political norm, and whilst not wanting to overstate the schism of 2005's "Mensalão scandal", the resulting alliance for the PT's political survival brought a handful of large corporations increasingly into policymaking. This had notable implications for the direction and implementation of the PT's Africa policy. Although not initiating the Africa agenda, and despite being more reliant on the state in 'newer' places like Tanzania, companies notably Vale, Odebrecht and other

29

infrastructure and agribusiness firms, increasingly influenced the distribution of resources and shifted the rhetoric of Brazil-Africa relations during the PT's rule. Emphasis on finance for infrastructure and Brazilian corporate projects increased. This article's demonstration of these companies' agency therefore unpacks 'Brazil' as a monolithic entity in Africa and underlines the importance of treating private firms as foreign-policy actors.

But alongside this domestic engagement of companies, the article asserts the crucial role of African agency in creating these outcomes. The principle of rejecting the notion of African actors as mere passive recipients is well-established in the Africanist, area-studies literature, but has often been overlooked in Brazil-Africa and elsewhere in studies on the emerging powers in Africa (e.g. Burgis 2015). In contrast, evidence here shows that African political elites ensured that Brazil's push to Africa was not exceptional; they incorporated Brazilian actors into their pre-existing patterns of politics and business. This is also true for corruption which, despite being so loudly decried in the Brazilian media, is very much the norm in Mozambique and Angola: Mozambique, for instance, has suffered far more from the 2012 looting of US Bank loans, which it hid from the IMF. Finally, in spite of its record, the perception of Brazil in Africa appears to be relatively positive. The majority of African leaders, for instance, supported Lula in 2016 after his arrest. Equally, anecdotal generalisations amongst Brazil-Africa scholars indicate that where there is an opinion, Brazil tends to be held in a positive light. However, whether the Brazilian state and non-state actors will be able to utilise this in coming decades remains to be seen.

References

Alden, Chris, Daniel Large, and Ricardo Soares de Oliveira, eds. 2008. China Returns to Africa: A Rising Power and a Continent Embrace. London: Hurst.
Alencastro, Mathias. 2014. 'Diamond Politics in the Angolan Periphery: Colonial and Postcolonial Lunda 1917–2002'. DPhil, Department of Politics and International Relations, Oxford, UK: University of Oxford. https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:85a838a6-8a33-471e-a563-

c29d18264fbc/download_file?file_format=pdf&safe_filename=THESIS01&type_of_work=Thesis.

- 2019. 'Brazilian Corruption Overseas: The Case of Odebrecht in Angola'. In Corruption in Latin America: How Politicians and Corporations Steal from Citizens., edited by Robert I Rotberg, 109–23. Cham: Springer.
 - http://public.eblib.com/choice/publicfullrecord.aspx?p=5560026.
- Alves, Ana Christina. 2013. 'Brazil in Africa: Achievements and Challenges'. In *Emerging Powers in Africa- Special Report*, edited by Nicholas Kitchen, SR016 ed. LSE IDEAS. London: London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE).
- Amilcar, Araujo Pereira. 2014. "'O Mundo Negro" a Constituicao Do Movimento Negro Contemporaneo No Brasil". PhD Thesis, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Federal Fluminense University. http://www.historia.uff.br/stricto/td/1254.pdf.
- Arms on Environment. 2014. 'Environmental Impact Assessment for the Proposed Mnyera Hydro-Electrical Power Generation in Rufiji Basin'. National Environmental Management Council.
- Bayart, Jean-François, and Stephen Ellis. 2000. 'Africa in the World: A History of Extraversion'. *African Affairs* 99 (395): 217–67.
- Bräutigam, Deborah. 2011. *The Dragon's Gift: The Real Story of China in Africa*. 1st publ. in pbk. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Burgis, Tom. 2015. The Looting Machine: Warlords, Oligarchs, Corporations, Smugglers, and the Theft of Africa's Wealth. First edition. New York, NY: Public Affairs.
- Cabral, Lídia. 2016. 'Brazil's Tropical Solutions for Africa: Tractors, Matracas and the Politics of "Appropriate Technology". *The European Journal of Development Research* 28 (3): 414–30. doi:10.1057/ejdr.2016.13.
- Cabral, Lídia, and Iara Leite. 2015. 'Pro SAVANA and the Expanding Scope of Accountability in Brazil's Development Cooperation'. *Global Policy* 6 (4): 435–45. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12274.
- Campos, Pedro Henrique Pedreira. 2014. 'Estranhas Catedrais': As Empreiteiras Brasileiras e a Ditadura Civil-Militar, 1964-1988. Niterói, RJ: Editora da UFF.
- Capi, Eliza, and Natalia Viana. 2016. 'Em Angola, a Odebrecht No Espelho'. *Publica*, Especial Angola edition. https://apublica.org/2016/02/em-angola-a-odebrecht-no-espelho/.
- Carmody, Pádraig Risteard. 2011. *The New Scramble for Africa*. Cambridge, UK ; Malden MA: Polity Press.
- Chaturvedi, Sachin, and Anthea Mulakala, eds. 2016. *India's Approach to Development Cooperation*. 1st Edition. Routledge Contemporary South Asia Series 113. London; New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis.
- Cooper, Frederick. 1981. 'Africa and the World Economy'. *African Studies Review* 24 (2/3): 1. doi:10.2307/523902.
- Corkin, Lucy, Manuela Trindade Viana, and João Moura E. M. Naidin. 2012. 'Emerging Economic and Export Promotion Mechanisms: A Case Study of Brazil and China's Operations in Angola'. *BRICS Policy Centre: Policy Brief*.
- Croese, Sylvia. 2017. 'State-Led Housing Delivery as an Instrument of Developmental Patrimonialism: The Case of Post-War Angola'. *African Affairs* 116 (462): 80–100. doi:10.1093/afraf/adw070.
- Daily News. 2012a. 'Mnyera Power Plan in Progress'. *Daily News (Tanzania)*, 24th September 2012 edition. http://allafrica.com/stories/201209240088.html.
 - . 2012b. 'President's Visits Brazil Set to Improve Power Supply'. *Daily News* (*Tanzania*), 25th October 2012 edition.
 - http://allafrica.com/stories/201210250170.html.

 2012c. 'U.S. \$1 Billion Power Deal With Brazil'. *Daily News (Tanzania)*, 1st October 2012 edition. http://allafrica.com/stories/201210250170.html.

- *Discursos Selecionados Do Presidente Luiz Inacio Lula Da Silva*. 2008. Brasilia, Brazil: Fundação Alexandre de Gusmão.
- Dubey, Ajay Kumar, and Aparajita Biswas, eds. 2016. *India and Africa's Partnership: A Vision for a New Future*. India Studies in Business and Economics. New Delhi: Springer.
- Dye, Barnaby Joseph. 2018. 'The Politics of Dam Resurgence: High Modernist Statebuilding and the Emerging Powers in Africa'. Oxford: University of Oxford.
- 2019. 'Stiegler's Gorge Dam, Tanzania'. In *Heritage Dammed: Water Infrastructure Impacts on World Heritage Sites and Free Flowing Rivers*, edited by Eugene Simonov, 23–29. Rivers Without Borders; World Heritage Watch. http://www.transrivers.org/pdf/20190430%20Heritage%20Dammed%20Report%20 Draft.pdf.
- Dye, Barnaby Joseph, and Joerg Hartmann. 2017. 'The True Cost of Power: The Facts and Risks of Building the Stiegler's Gorge Hydropower Dam in the Selous Game Reserve, Tanzania'. Gland, Switzerland: World Wildlife Fund International.
- Fellet, Joao. 2012. 'Com BNDES e Negócios Com Políticos, Odebrecht Ergue Império Em Angola'. BBC Brazil, September 22. http://g1.globo.com/mundo/noticia/2012/09/com-bndes-e-negocios-com-politicosodebrecht-ergue-imperio-em-angola.html.
- Filgueiras, Luiz Antonio Mattos, and Reinaldo Gonçalves. 2007. *A Economia Política Do Governo Lula*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Contraponto.
- Freitas Barbosa, Alexandre de, Thais Narciso, and Marina Biancalana. 2009. 'Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent?' *Politikon* 36 (1): 59–86. doi:10.1080/02589340903155401.
- Gala, Irene Vida. 2007. 'A Política Externa Do Governo Lula Para a África: A Política Externa Como Instrumento de Ação Afirmativa... Ainda Que Não Só'. Curso de Altos Estudos (CAE), Itmaraty, Brasilia, Brazil: Instituto Rio Branco.
- Garcia, Ana, and Karina Kato. 2015. 'The Story of Hunter and the Hunted? Brazil's Role in Angola and Mozambique'. In *BRICS: An Anti-Capitalist Critique*, edited by Patrick Bond and Ana Garcia, 117–34. London: Pluto Press.
- Garcia, Cyro. 2008. 'Partido Dos Trabalhdores: Da Ruptura Com a Logica Da Diferenca a Sustentacao Da Ordem'. PhD Thesis, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Federal Fluminense University. http://www.historia.uff.br/stricto/teses/Tese-2008_GARCIA_Cyro-S.pdf.
- Gerring, John. 2017. *Case Study Research: Principles and Practices*. Second edition. Strategies for Social Inquiry. Cambridge, United Kingdom New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Góes, Por Francisco. 2014. 'Odebrecht Tem Projeto de Zona Franca e Industrial'. *Valor*, June 23. https://valor.globo.com/empresas/coluna/odebrecht-tem-projeto-de-zona-franca-e-industrial.ghtml.
- Hoag, Heather J., and May-Britt Öhman. 2008. 'Turning Water into Power: Debates over the Development of Tanzania's Rufiji River Basin, 1945–1985'. *Technology and Culture* 49 (3): 624–51.
- Hurrell, Andrew. 2013. 'Narratives of Emergence: Rising Powers and the End of the Third World?' *Revista de Economia Política* 33 (2): 203–21. doi:10.1590/S0101-31572013000200001.
- Jacob, Thabit. 2017. 'Competing Energy Narratives in Tanzania: Towards the Political Economy of Coal'. *African Affairs* 116 (463): 341–53. doi:10.1093/afraf/adx002.

- Malamud, Andrés. 2011. 'A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy'. *Latin American Politics and Society* 53 (3): 1–24. doi:10.1111/j.1548-2456.2011.00123.x.
- Marcondes, Danilo, Sung-Mi Kim, and Emma Mawdsley. 2017. 'Political Leadership and "Non-Traditional" Development Cooperation'. *Third World Quarterly* 38 (10): 2171– 86. doi:10.1080/01436597.2017.1333416.
- Marcondes, Danilo, and Emma Mawdsley. 2017. 'South–South in Retreat? The Transitions from Lula to Rousseff to Temer and Brazilian Development Cooperation'. *International Affairs* 93 (3): 681–99. doi:10.1093/ia/iix076.
- Martins, Franklin. 2013. 'Presidentes Africanos: Entrevista Com José Eduardo Dos Santos'. Sao Paulo, Brazil: Cine Group.
- Masato, Masato. 2012. 'Brazilian Construction Firm Eyes Mtwara Special Zone'. *Daily News* (*Tanzania*), April 24. https://allafrica.com/stories/201204240186.html.
- Maussion, Estelle. 2019. La dos Santos Company: mainmise sur l'Angola. France: Karthala.
- Mawdsley, Emma. 2012. From Recipients to Donors: Emerging Powers and the Changing Development Landscape. London; New York: Zed Books.
- 2018. 'The "Southernisation" of Development?' Asia Pacific Viewpoint 59 (2): 173– 85. doi:10.1111/apv.12192.
- Messiant, Christine. 2001. 'The Eduardo Dos Santos Foundation: Or, How Angola's Regime Is Taking over Civil Society'. *African Affairs* 100 (399): 287–309. doi:10.1093/afraf/100.399.287.
- Milhorance, Carolina. 2019. New Geographies of Global Policy-Making: South-South Networks and Rural Development Strategies. New York ; London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Mohan, Giles. 2015. 'Queuing up for Africa: The Geoeconomics of Africa's Growth and the Politics of African Agency'. *International Development Planning Review* 37 (1): 45–52. doi:10.3828/idpr.2015.5.
- Mohan, Giles, and Marcus Power. 2008. 'New African Choices? The Politics of Chinese Engagement'. *Review of African Political Economy* 35 (115): 23–42. doi:10.1080/03056240802011394.
- Mosley, Paul, Jane Harrigan, and J. F. J. Toye. 1995. *Aid and Power: The World Bank and Policy-Based Lending*. 2nd ed. London; New York: Routledge.
- Musacchio, A., and Sérgio G. Lazzarini. 2014. *Reinventing State Capitalism: Leviathan in Business, Brazil and Beyond*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Nogueira, I, and O Ollinaho. 2013. 'From Rhetoric to Practice in South-South Development Cooperation: A Case Study of Brazilian Interventions in the Nacala Corridor Development Program'. Working Paper. Geneva, Switzerland: University of Geneva.
- Power, Marcus, Giles Mohan, and May Tan-Mullins. 2012. *China's Resource Diplomacy in Africa: Powering Development?* International Political Economy Series. Houndmills, Basingstoke ; New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rangel, Lia. 2003. 'Para Celso Amorim, Brasil Vai Redescobrir a África'. *Agência Brasil*. http://www.itamaraty.gov.br/pt-BR/discursos-artigos-e-entrevistas-categoria/7590entrevista-do-ministro-de-estado-das-relacoes-exteriores-embaixador-celso-amorima-agencia-brasil-para-celso-amorim-brasil-vai-redescobrir-a-africa.
- Renzio, Paolo de, Jurek Seifert, Geovana Zoccal Gomes, and Manaíra Assunção. 2014.
 'Solidarity Among Brothers? Brazil in Africa: Trade, Investment and Cooperation'. BRICS Policy Centre: Policy Brief 4 (65).

- Rossi, Amanda. 2015. *Moçambique: O Brasil é Aqui*. 1<u>a</u> edição. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Record.
- Saraiva, Miriam Gomes. 2011. 'Brazilian Foreign Policy: Causal Beliefs in Formulation and Pragmatism in Practice'. In *Latin American Foreign Policies: Between Ideology and Pragmatism*, edited by Gian Luca Gardini and Peter Lambert, 53–66. New York: Palgrave Macmillan US. doi:10.1057/9780230118270_4.
- Secco, Lincoln. 2015. *História do PT*. 4a edição revista e ampliada. Cotia, SP: Ateliê Editorial.
- Seibert, Gerhard. 2019a. 'Brazil-Africa Relations from the Sixteenth to the Twentieth Century'. In *Brazil-Africa Relations: Historical Dimensions and Contemporary Engagements from the 1960s to the Present*, edited by Gerhard Seibert and Paulo G. Fagundes Visentini, 11–46. Woodbridge, Suffolk ; Rochester, NY: James Currey.
- Seibert, Gerhard, and Paulo G. Fagundes Visentini. 2019. 'Brazil-Africa Relations after Lula: Continuity Without Priority'. In *Brazil-Africa Relations: Historical Dimensions and Contemporary Engagements from the 1960s to the Present*, edited by Gerhard Seibert and Paulo G. Fagundes Visentini, 197–220. Woodbridge, Suffolk ; Rochester, NY: James Currey.
- Soares de Oliveira, Ricardo. 2015. *Magnificent and Beggar Land: Angola since the Civil War*. London: Hurst & Company.
- Soucheyre, Aurelien. 2019. 'Libérer Lula Est Aussi Important Que Le Combat Pour Libérer Mandela'. *L'Humanité*, April 7. At: https://www.humanite.fr/bresil-liberer-lula-est-aussi-important-que-le-combat-pour-liberer-mandela-670502.
- Stolte, Christina. 2012. 'Brazil in Africa: Just Another BRICS Country Seeking Resources?' Briefing Paper. London: Chatham House.
 - —. 2015. *Brazil's Africa Strategy: Role Conception and the Drive for International Status*. New York City: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Stuenkel, Oliver. 2015. *The BRICS and the Future of Global Order*. Lanham, Maryland ; Boulder, New York: Lexington Books.
- Taylor, Ian. 2014. *Africa Rising?: BRICS Diversifying Dependency*. African Issues. Oxford: James Currey.
- Taylor, Ian, Justin van der Merwe, and Nicole Dodd. 2016. 'Nehru's Neoliberals: Draining or Aiding Africa?' In *Emerging Powers in Africa*, edited by Justin van der Merwe, Ian Taylor, and Alexandra Arkhangelskaya, 107–28. Cham: Springer International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40736-4_6.
- The East African. 2011. 'Brazil Moves into Tanzania and Will Help Increase Power Generation Five-Fold'. *The East African*, 14th November 2011 edition.
- Uchôa, Pablo. 2010a. 'De Olho Em Negócios, Lula Promove Vale e Petrobras Na Tanzânia'. *BBC Brazil*, 7th June 2010 edition. https://www.bbc.com/portuguese/noticias/2010/07/100707_lula_negocios_tanzania_p u_cq.shtml.
- ———. 2010b. 'Amorim Defende Relação Com Guiné Equatorial: "Negócios São Negócios". *BBC Brazil*, July 5.

https://www.bbc.com/portuguese/noticias/2010/07/100705_amorim_guine_pu_aw.

Viana, Natalia. 2016. 'A Equação Brasileira'. *Publica*, February 22. https://apublica.org/2016/02/a-equacao-brasileira/.

- Visentini, Paulo G. Fagundes. 2019. 'Brazil-Africa Relations under Globalisation: From Adaption to Consolidation'. In *Brazil-Africa Relations: Historical Dimensions and Contemporary Engagements from the 1960s to the Present*, edited by Gerhard Seibert and Paulo G. Fagundes Visentini, 47–78. Woodbridge, Suffolk ; Rochester, NY: James Currey.
- Whitfield, Lindsay, and Alastair Fraser. 2009. 'Negotiating Aid'. In *The Politics of Aid: African Strategies for Dealing with Donors*, edited by Lindsay Whitfield, 1–27. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press.