



The University of Manchester Research

Critical review on proteotypic peptide marker tracing for six allergenic ingredients in incurred foods by mass spectrometry

DOI: 10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108747

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA):

Pilolli, R., Nitride, C., Gillard, N., Huet, A-C., van Poucke, C., de Loose, M., Tranquet, O., Larré, C., Adel-Patient, K., Bernard, H., Mills, E. N. C., & Monaci, L. (2020). Critical review on proteotypic peptide marker tracing for six allergenic ingredients in incurred foods by mass spectrometry. *Food Research International*, *128*, 108747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108747

Published in:

Food Research International

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



1 CRITICAL REVIEW ON PROTEOTYPIC PEPTIDE MARKER

2 TRACING FOR SIX ALLERGENIC INGREDIENTS IN INCURRED

3 FOODS BY MASS SPECTROMETRY

4

5 Rosa Pilolli¹, Chiara Nitride², Nathalie Gillard³, Anne- Catherine Huet³, Christof van Poucke⁴,

6 Marc de Loose⁴, Olivier Tranquet⁵, Collette Larré⁵, Karine Adel-Patient⁶, Hervé Bernard⁶, E.

7 N. Clare Mills², and Linda Monaci^{1*}

Institute of Sciences of Food Production, National Research Council of Italy (ISPA CNR), via Giovanni Amendola 122/O - 70126 Bari, Italy;

School of Biological Sciences, Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory
 Medicine, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester Institute of
 Biotechnology, The University of Manchester, UK;

13 3 CER Groupe, Rue du point du Jour, 8, 6900 Marloie, Belgium;

144Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Brusselsesteenweg15370, 9170 Melle, Belgium;

16 5 UR1268 BIA, Rue de la Géraudière, BP 71627, 44316 Nantes, France;

17 6 INRA-CEA, Service de Pharmacologie et d'Immunoanalyse, Laboratoire d'Immuno-18 Allergie Alimentaire, Bât. 136-CEA de Saclay, 91191 - Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

19

20 * corresponding author: <u>linda.monaci@ispa.cnr.it</u>

- 21 Tel: +39 080 592 9343
- 22

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 Peptide marker identification is one of the most important steps in the development of a mass spectrometry (MS) based method for allergen detection, since the robustness and sensitivity of 25 the overall analytical method will strictly depend on the reliability of the proteotypic peptides 26 tracing for each allergen. The European legislation in place issues the mandatory labelling of 27 fourteen allergenic ingredients whenever used in different food formulations. Among these, six 28 allergenic ingredients, namely milk, egg, peanut, soybean, hazelnut and almond, can be 29 prioritized in light of their higher occurrence in food recalls for undeclared presence with serious 30 risk decision. 31

In this work, we described the results of a comprehensive evaluation of the current literature on 32 MS-based allergen detection aiming at collecting all available information about proteins and 33 peptide markers validated in independent studies for the six allergenic ingredients of interest. 34 35 The main features of the targeted proteins were commented reviewing all details available about known isoforms and sequence homology particularly in plant-derived allergens. Several 36 critical aspects affecting peptide markers reliability were discussed and according to this 37 evaluation a final short-list of candidate markers was compiled likely to be standardized and 38 implemented in MS methods for allergen analysis. 39

40

41 **Keywords**: food allergens, mass spectrometry, peptide markers, ThRAII, incurred foods.

43 **1. Introduction**

44 IgE-mediated food allergies are steadily on the rise representing a huge health concern worldwide. Although firm prevalence data are lacking, there are extensive investigations 45 suggesting that food allergies have increased and rates as high as approximately 10 % have 46 been documented, with main prevalence in industrialized countries, and in children compared 47 to adults (Scott et al. 2018). There are many manifestations of food allergy with different 48 severities, and individual investigations rely on specific study populations, specific foods, and 49 different methodologies, thus impairing the determination of undoubted statistics. Moreover, 50 further constrains in obtaining solid prevalence data, arise from geographic variations, diet 51 exposure effects, differences according to age, race, and ethnicity, and myriad other factors. 52 Like all chronic disease, onset of food allergy is influenced by genetics, environment and 53 genome-environment interactions including epigenetics effects. Its current management is 54 based on allergen containing food avoidance. Food labelling legislative provisions are available 55 56 in several countries and require a detailed declaration of allergen inclusion in foods, with number and types of priority allergens differing among countries and depending on specific 57 dietary habits and allergy prevalence. On the other hand, a precautionary labeling system is 58 also widespread, representing a safety measure put in place from industries to protect from any 59 accidental cross-contamination likely to occur in foods expected to be allergen-free and that 60 can take place at whatever stage along the food chain. All this raised the urgent need to develop 61 reliable methodologies to trace allergens in foods with the highest confidence. Mass 62 spectrometry (MS) techniques have been widely exploited in the last ten years to meet this goal 63 and were specifically applied to food allergen detection, and to the food allergen identification 64 and characterization, as well. Despite the need for expensive equipment and trained personnel, 65

the chance to provide multiplexing and unequivocal allergen identification accounts for the overall strength of the MS based approaches compared to previously established methods, such as immunoassays and DNA based methods. Nonetheless some gaps in the development of MS-based quantitative methods still need to be addressed. The review paper authored by Monaci et al., 2018 provides a comprehensive overview of the MS based methods developed so far for allergen detection and also highlights the need of harmonization in method development and validation.

73 In this frame, the European project ThRAII (Thresholds and Reference method for Allergen 74 detection method) titled "Detection and quantification of allergens in foods and minimum eliciting doses in food allergic individuals", funded by the European Food Safety Agency will 75 actively contribute to the advancement in harmonization of MS-based method for food allergen 76 detection by developing a prototype quantitative reference method for the multiple detection of 77 food allergens in standardized incurred food matrices (Mills et al. 2019). Five main food 78 79 ingredients causing severe IgE-mediated reactions have been prioritized in light of their occurrence in food recalls (Turner et al., 2015; Worm et al., 2014; Bucchini et al., 2016). Milk, 80 soybean, tree nuts, egg, and peanuts were selected as responsible for most alerts notified on 81 the RASFF (Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed) portal for undeclared presence with serious 82 risk decision. A recent notice from the European Commission (Commission Notice of 83 13.7.2017) relating to the provision of information on substances or products causing allergies 84 or intolerances as listed in Annex II of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 provides specifications 85 about how the Annex II of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 should be implemented. In particular, 86 as for milk and egg ingredients the notice clarifies that the legislation should be applied to milk 87 from all farmed animals and egg from all farmed birds. Given the timing of the ThRAII project, 88

and the urgent demand for harmonization of current analytical methods, the Consortium agreed 89 to prioritize cow's milk and hen's egg allergens, as first targets, also in light of their main role in 90 the primary production. Indeed according to recent statistical data (FaoStat, 2017), cow's milk 91 production represented in 2017 the 97% of total milk production in Europe and the 81% of the 92 production worldwide. Similarly, in the same year the hen's eggs accounted for the 99% of total 93 egg production in Europe and for the 82% worldwide. Whether the developed approach would 94 be applicable to all farmed animals/birds will be specified depending on the final validated 95 96 analytical markers. As for tree nuts allergens, two representative ingredients have been 97 selected, hazelnut and almond, which are widely used in food manufacturing and represent foods of public health importance in Europe, causing severe reactions (Worm et al., 2014). 98

The allergenic ingredients will need to be incorporated into the food before being processed to 99 mimic as closely as possible the manufacturing process. Two model matrices will need to be 100 produced ad hoc in a food pilot plant, namely a chocolate bar and a broth powder. These latter 101 102 are the representative food commodities responsible for recalls, namely baked goods, confectionary products and complex multiphase foods and pose specific challenges in MS 103 based analytical detection. Both matrices were selected because they are extremely complex, 104 with different features, having chocolate high contents of fat and polyphenols whilst broth 105 powder is an extensive processed food (cooking, boiling and drying), enriched with proteins. 106

One of first tasks scheduled in the ThRAII project (Objective 1) concerns the identification of protein and peptide markers for the targeted allergenic ingredients. As a fact, markers identification is one of the most important steps in the method development. Different workflows are available to accomplish this objective and they have been reviewed in a recent paper

authored by Downs & Johnson in 2018. All issues besetting such choice including, various 111 ingredients formulations, extraction yield in thermally processed foods, and incomplete genomic 112 and proteomic sequence information, as well as all common issues associated with MS-based 113 protein quantitation were critically discussed. The main point raised by Downs & Johnson was 114 that the target selection cannot be accounted by *in-silico* only approach. Methodological details, 115 such as matrix composition, protein extraction and digestion, should be taken into consideration 116 for marker selection, affecting both the specificity and sensitivity of the final analytical method. 117 118 The assessment on a model matrix is required firstly for specificity issue because interfering 119 peaks from the matrix background may cause false-positives; in addition the processing degree of the investigated food matrix may also differently affect the target protein/peptide detection 120 resulting in false-negative which can pose safety risk for the allergic consumer. None of these 121 issues can be tackled by the solely *in-silico* based approach, thus the need for an empirical 122 validation of the candidate peptide markers in incurred foods (Monaci et al., 2018). 123

124 An alternative approach could rely on the comprehensive assessment of the allergen detection literature, supported by the experimental evidence that if the same peptides for given allergenic 125 proteins have been detected in independent studies and in multiple matrices, they can likely be 126 standardized (Croote & Quake, 2016). In order to promote this approach, an open-source 127 repository of discovery and targeted MS data on allergen detection and quantitation has been 128 developed and made available as public bioinformatic tool (Allergen Peptide Browser, 2018). 129 The database has been structured around proteins recognized by the World Health 130 Organization and International Union of Immunological Societies (WHO/IUIS) as food allergens. 131

After a proper screening of the different available options, the partners of ThRAII consortium 132 agreed on undertaking peptide selection by applying a dual approach with different time scale: 133 (i) critical evaluation of the peptide markers already reported in the existing literature; (ii) 134 validation of the candidate peptide list by discovery analysis on the specific incurred matrices 135 under investigation (chocolate bars and broth powder). Herein, we will describe the results of 136 the first point, namely the comprehensive evaluation of the literature, providing a thorough 137 discussion about the application of general acceptance criteria for harmonization of the markers 138 139 selection step. The goal of this work is to draw a preliminary list of reliable peptide markers, 140 which will be validated later on by untargeted HR-MS/MS analysis of incurred matrices, representing the bases for the selected reaction monitoring (SRM) based reference method 141 under development within the ThRAII project. 142

143

144 **2. Literature review based marker peptide selection**

The analytical workflow displayed in Fig. 1 was designed to provide the comprehensive 145 evaluation of the current literature. It consists of five main steps aimed at the critical evaluation 146 of the information gathered from previous works and the proper selection of reported signature 147 peptides according to specific criteria. We collected all information about the six allergens 148 selected as targets in the ThRAII project (cow's milk, hen's egg, peanut, soybean, hazelnut, 149 and almond) (step 1). Afterwards, the list of reported signature peptides have been evaluated 150 and filtered according to specific features, namely sequence length, matrix similarity with the 151 ThRAII project, kind of investigation (discovery only or targeted analysis) and occurrence of 152 amino acid residues prone to endogenous and exogenous modifications (step 2). The third step 153

of the workflow consisted in retrieving all the information available for the relevant allergenic 154 proteins (relative abundance, post-translational modification sites, protein isoforms and 155 variants), from different informatics sources detailed in Figure 1. At step 4 peptide specificity 156 was assessed by sequence alignment with other species searching not only for exact matches 157 of tryptic peptides (performed for all candidate markers of the six allergenic ingredients) but 158 also disclosing potential interference from sequence homology with single amino acid 159 mismatches (performed only on the four plant-derived allergenic ingredients). Finally as last 160 161 step of the analytical workflow, for the refined peptide list we collected experimental details 162 about the MS-based detection, such as the instrumental platform, the precursor ions, and the selected transitions. Indeed, the peptide ionization efficiency and reproducibility, as well as the 163 relative intensity of fragmentation pattern might be affected also by instrumental parameters 164 which need to be assessed case-by-case. For the comprehensive assessment of the allergen 165 detection literature (step 1 in Fig.1) we exploited the Allergen Peptide Browser (APB) database 166 167 available online, and collected all information about the six allergens selected for the ThRAII project. The gathered information also integrated data fed by other studies based on MS/MS 168 analysis with either ESI or MALDI ionization, encompassing the most recent publications not 169 itemized yet on APB. A total of 89 papers were reviewed, published in the last twenty-five years, 170 which resulted in an exhaustive list of tryptic peptides for milk (total 42 peptides), egg (total 62 171 peptides), peanut (total 50 peptides) and soybean (total 146 peptides), and a shorter, but still 172 reasonable list for hazelnut (total 26 peptides) and almond (total 29 peptides) allergens (see 173 Table S1). In the second step the full list was narrowed down according to specific constraints. 174 A range of 7-20 amino acids (AA) in length was applied as good compromise between peptide 175 specificity, ionization yield and reproducibility. About 84% of reported peptides were included 176

in this range. Afterwards, we searched for matrix similarity with the ThRAII project, and marker
peptides that were never validated in either chocolate or highly processed incurred matrices
were rejected. The resulting peptides list is displayed in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 (and itemized in Table
S2) together with details about the total number of citing papers per each peptide, and the kind
of investigation (targeted vs discovery approaches), marked with different color (orange and
blue, respectively).

The marker selection should comply with specific requirements that value the quantitative 183 information retrieved. Indeed, the allergen quantification depends on the concentration of 184 peptide markers, based on the assumption that the peptide is released completely from the 185 original protein with a specific stoichiometric ratio. Any unpredictable distribution of the released 186 peptide into different precursor ions, for example due to amino acid modification or multiple 187 ionization states, should be traced and susceptible peptides should be excluded, in case of low 188 reproducibility. Concerning the exclusion of signature peptides containing labile amino acids 189 190 prone to modifications, it deserves to be noted that the number of potential endogenous and exogenous post-translational modifications is huge, but their occurrence is highly variable; 191 therefore, it is not a realistic goal neither to evaluate nor to exclude them all strictly, rather an 192 empirical validation of the specific case study should be preferable. In this investigation, we 193 focused on the occurrence of specific amino acids of major concern, such as methionine, 194 asparagine, glutamine, and cysteine, excluding only peptide sequences containing methionine 195 residues prone to processing-induce oxidation, and asparagine-glycine motifs prone to 196 deamidation (Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006). We deemed important for the robustness of the 197 analytical method to avoid M containing peptides as analytical targets, because such residue 198 would be highly prone to oxidation, in thermally processed matrices, in barely predictable 199

proportions. The NG motifs could be subjected to spontaneous deamidation *in-vitro*, however, 200 in case of need the asparagine deamidation might be forced in order to convert all asparagine 201 residues into aspartic acid. As for cysteine residues, most digestion protocols included a 202 reduction/alkylation step (mainly based on dithiothreitol and iodoacetamide), preliminary to 203 actual enzymatic proteolysis, which is specifically designed to break disulfide bridges and block 204 irreversibly the cysteine residues by carbamidomethylation. Common sense is to consider this 205 modification as complete however, in the perspective to avoid source of uncertainty, C 206 207 containing peptides should be preferentially excluded unless the reliability of the peptide is duly 208 assessed experimentally.

As third step of the analytical workflow reported in Fig. 1, starting from the refined list of peptide markers, we sought for additional information available on the target proteins, which could affect the detection sensitivity and reliability, such as relative abundance, natural post-translational modification (PTM), protein isoforms and variants, gathering data from different sources (either scientific publications or on-line databases, i.e. Uniprot, Allergome, WHO/IUIS).

Peptide specificity was assessed by BLAST search against the most common protein 214 databases disclosing also potential detection interferences in plant-derived proteins (single 215 amino acid mismatches) (step 4). The APB Database queried for this review of peptide markers, 216 already provided information about sequence specificity, retrieved by means of BLAST 2.2.31+ 217 218 (BLAST DB, Sep 20, 2016). Only hits with 100% identity and no gaps were retained for a given peptide, and the following constrains were applied to exclude hits: (i) bacterial super kingdom; 219 (ii) absent species name or kingdom; (iii) species / common name entry containing: 'synthetic 220 construct' or 'vector'; (iv) title containing 'partial' or 'fragment'. Since it was not clear whether 221

they considered or not the site specific trypsin cleavage, we also double-checked the specificity 222 for all the six allergenic ingredients by means of the Protein Prospector tool developed by the 223 University of California San Francisco (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/prospector/mshome.htm, accessed 224 on October 24th 2018). In particular, the MS-Homology option searching for 100% identity of 225 tryptic peptides within the main databases, NCBInr.2013.6.17 and UniProtKB.2017.11.01, was 226 applied. In addition, for plant-derived allergens, protein homology among different taxonomies 227 needs to be considered very carefully. Besides the previously discussed full matches (100% 228 229 identity), in low-resolution MS detection potential interferences might raise also under particular conditions, from peptides with single amino acid mismatches. Therefore, further BLAST search 230 against non-redundant protein database was carried out (access in July 2018), seeking for 231 single amino acid substitutions, which could result in differences of peptide molecular weight 232 within ±1 Da (for example, I/L, D/N, E/Q). These kind of substitutions might generate interfering 233 precursor ions isolated and activated at least partially in the set m/z window, and might provide 234 several transitions, which are not distinguishable from the targeted peptides. Finally, as step 5 235 of the workflow we retrieved experimental details about the MS platform, the isolated ions and 236 the monitored transitions. As a fact, most tryptic peptides feature favorable ionization 237 properties, which provide high ionization efficiency and typically result in either double or triple 238 charged ions due to the occurrence of basic amino acid residues. However, some peptide 239 sequences may present multiple ionization routes resulting in a loss of sensitivity due to the 240 distribution of the total amount of released peptide in different precursor ions, each 241 characterized by a specific fragmentation pattern. Since we use as a calibrant the synthetic 242 natural version of the peptide and use as an anchor the ration measurement to the isotopic 243 labelled version of the peptide, the distribution among different charge state is accounted and 244

thereof can be considered negligible. From the quantitative point of view, by selecting the most intense precursor ions loss of sensitivity can be limited. Besides the amino acid sequence, several experimental features may affect this ionization behavior, e.g. the electrospray source parameters, the MS platform, the composition of the food matrix, dragging competing species to the ionization step. Therefore it is crucial that the theoretical selection of candidate markers, based either on the *in-silico* approach or on the literature review approach should be confirmed by experimental validation on the specific MS platform and on the main matrices of interest.

In the sections below, we present the results of the analytical workflow reviewing all informationcollected for the six allergens of interest.

254

2.1. Proteins and peptide markers reported for cow's milk and hen's egg

The inclusion of milk from domesticated mammalian animals, mainly cattle, buffalo, sheep, goat 255 and camel, boasts a very long tradition in the human diet. According to the FAO data on primary 256 livestock production in 2017, about 96% of the world's fresh milk comes from cows and 257 buffaloes, while the remaining 4.0% is produced by goats, sheep and camels (FAOStat, 2017). 258 Noteworthy, milk from all ruminant species contains homologous proteins, which share the 259 same structural, functional, and biological properties (Monaci et al., 2006). Cow's milk contains 260 about 3 g of proteins per 100 mL and includes at least 25 different proteins classified in two 261 main categories according to their solubility at pH 4.6 and 20°C (Fox, 2001); the insoluble 262 protein fraction that precipitates are caseins (α S1-casein, α S2-casein, β -casein, κ -casein), 263 while the soluble fraction constitutes the serum characterized by whey proteins (β-lactoglobulin, 264 α -lactalbumin, bovine lactoferrin, bovine serum albumin, and immunoglobulins), corresponding 265 to 80% and 20%, respectively. All the caseins present genetic polymorphisms, which account 266

for the high heterogeneity of such proteins with several identified variants. The latter are characterized by single amino acid substitution, by deletions of peptides fragments and by occurrence of post-translational modifications, such as glycosylation, phosphorylation, or partial hydrolysis, which may affect their specific allergenicity (Fox, 2001; Wal, 2001) and peptide utility in LC-MS assays. The main allergenic proteins of whey are the globular proteins β -lactoglobulin and α -lactalbumin, which are not phosphorylated and contain intramolecular disulfide bonds that stabilize their structure.

Besides the direct consumption of raw milk, a wide range of derived food products can be manufactured from either raw milk or its condensed forms, such as cheese, butter products, cream products, powdered formulations; in addition, casein and whey protein fractions can also be used independently as ingredients in several commodities, including cheese, bakery products and glues. Therefore, the design of robust methods of analysis tailored to detect potential milk contaminations requires the selection of specific markers tracking independently the two main milk fractions, caseins and whey.

The critical evaluation of data displayed in Fig. 2, pointed out that milk has not been only the 281 most investigated source of food allergens, but also the allergenic food where the highest 282 consensus was achieved in the selection of signature peptides. The milk proteotypic peptides 283 FFV, YLG and HQG (see Table S2 for peptides full sequences) belong to the αS1-casein (Bos 284 d 9), which is considered the most abundant protein in milk. They have been validated in many 285 independent studies for targeted analysis, including several kind of matrices, such as liquid 286 matrices (wine, ice cream), bakery products (bread, cookie, muffin), high fat and polyphenol 287 matrices (chocolate), acidic formulation (tomato sauce), high protein matrices (meat products) 288

etc. In addition, a few signature peptides were also reported for β -casein (Bos d 11), α S2-casein 289 (Bos d 10), and κ-casein (Bos d 12), in the perspective to monitor two different allergenic 290 proteins tracking for the same ingredient. As for whey proteins, main reliable signature peptides 291 292 belonged to the β-lactoglobulin (β-LG, MW=18.3 kDa), which is the most abundant protein in whey. This protein belongs to the lipocalin superfamily and is one of the best characterized 293 lipid-binding proteins. It possesses three disulfide bridges and is present in two main variants 294 with punctual mutations. β-LG occurs naturally as a mixture of monomers and dimers, but the 295 296 proportion of monomers increases after heating to 70°C (Monaci et al., 2006). TPE, LVL and 297 LSF were highlighted as the most common signature peptides, already validated into incurred matrices. Noteworthy, the LSF peptide corresponding to the protein C-terminal, despite 298 featuring a cysteine residue, has been selected for its robustness. In addition, some peptide 299 markers were proposed also for α -lactalbumin (VGINYWLAHK, FLDDDLTDDIMCVK, 300 DDQNPHSSNICNISCDK, LDQWLCEK, CEVFR), but none of them was validated in either 301 chocolate-based matrix or thermally processed incurred matrices; therefore, they were 302 303 excluded from the list of candidate markers at the first selection stage. The specificity of all reported milk peptide markers was confirmed, and some of the sequences showed 304 conservation among proteins from other farmed species, still with no specificity issues with 305 other allergenic food ingredients. 306

Eggs are, together with milk the most common allergenic foods affecting European children. Hen's eggs are very common in the human diet as inexpensive source of high quality proteins and they represent a key ingredient in many food products, given its nutritional value and unique functional properties, such as emulsifying, foaming and gelling. Several egg-based formulations have been involved in food manufacturing, such as whole egg, egg white, lysozyme isolate,

egg yolk, all containing a different degree of allergenic potential (Benedé et al., 2015). The main 312 egg white allergenic proteins are ovomucoid (Gal d 1), ovalbumin (Gal d 2), lysozyme (Gal d 4) 313 and ovotransferrin (Gal d 3), all listed in Table 1, with specific mention to the known post-314 translational modifications. Yolk, globally, it is significantly less allergenic than albumen, 315 containing two main allergenic proteins, namely Gal d 5 and Gal d 6, both belonging to the 316 livetins fraction. The latter accounts for about 30% of the yolk proteins, however, relative 317 abundance of Gal d 5 and Gal d 6, is hard to define. Gal d 5 is the main α -livetin, whereas Gal 318 319 d 6 (protein YPG 42) derives from major β -livetins as C-terminal fragment of vitellogenin 1.

320 Ovomucoid is one of the most important allergen in the egg white, however it was rarely selected as reporter for egg contamination, in MS based methods. Indeed we retrieved 321 information about a single peptide marker (AFNPVCGTDGVTYDNECLLCAHK, Montowska & 322 Fornal, 2018) that was never validated in either chocolate-based matrix or thermally processed 323 incurred matrices, thus excluded from the list of candidate markers at the first stage of the 324 325 literature review. Ovalbumin, as most abundant protein in egg white, is the most common protein used as marker forhen's egg contamination. It is a phosphoglycoprotein with a 326 molecular mass of 45 kDa belonging to the serpin superfamily, with an intra-molecular disulfide 327 bond between C residues in positions 74 and 121. Noteworthy, besides the most cited peptide 328 GGL, which was monitored in several independent investigations, eight of them including hard-329 to analyze matrices, all the other peptides LTE, ELI and YPI contain amino acids prone to 330 modifications, methionine, asparagine (NG motif) and cysteine, respectively (Fig. 2). In 331 particular, the peptide LTE was alternatively detected either in its native or in the M-oxidized 332 forms depending on the matrix (De Angelis et al., 2017b; Pilolli et al., 2017a; Pilolli et al., 2017b; 333 Pilolli et al., 2018). The peptide ELI contains the dipeptide NG prone to spontaneous asparagine 334

deamidation. The peptide YPI contains the cysteine residue in position 121 involved in the
disulfide bridge. Alternative ovalbumin signature peptides have been also reported, such as
HIA and ISQ all displayed in Fig. 2.

Similarly to milk fractions, different egg-derived formulations used in food manufacturing require 338 specific markers to be selected for each part of the allergenic ingredient that could eventually 339 contaminate food commodities. Thus, in addition to egg white markers, it is important to select 340 specific markers of egg lysozyme, industrially applied as protein isolate for its antimicrobial 341 properties, and egg yolk. Only a limited percentage of the collected studies considered this 342 point. As for direct lysozyme detection, we disclosed three main markers, FES, NTD and GTD, 343 which have been validated at least once into incurred baked products, such as cookies and 344 muffins. The egg yolk has been rarely investigated so far, indeed only six studies were collected 345 detecting specific egg yolk proteins, four of them developing a targeted approach (Planque et 346 al, 2016; Planque et al., 2017b; New et al., 2018; Planque et al., 2019), and two presenting 347 348 only the identification of potential markers by HR-MS discovery analysis (Lee & Kim, 2010; Gavage et al., 2019). Luckily, most of them already tested a wide diversity of food matrices, 349 with different complexity degree, such as cookie, bread, chocolate, salad dressing, spices, ham 350 (see Table S2 for further details), also taking into consideration the ingredient inclusion before 351 food processing. Noteworthy, Gavage et al., 2019 proposed a systematic investigation of 352 potential egg markers (selected for both egg white and egg yolk), in raw and processed egg-353 based commodities, promoting the use of a dual enzyme digestion protocol, which benefits 354 from the use of the lysyl endopeptidase in addition to trypsin to provide the same site-specific 355 cleavage with higher proteolytic yield. Focusing on the four targeted investigations (Plangue et 356 al., 2016; Planque et al., 2017b; New et al., 2018; Planque et al., 2019), we disclosed that none 357

of the reported signature peptides traced directly the allergenic protein YGP42, which is the carboxy-terminal portion (284 AAs) cleaved from the primary translation product of vitellogenin-1. Indeed, the peptides YLL and ALL both belong to the amine terminal chain of vitellogenin-1, known as lipovitellin-1. Three additional peptides included in the allergenic protein YGP42 were proposed by Gavage et al., 2019, TVI, NVN, ATA, by mean of the dual enzyme digestion protocol, which could be considered for further assessment in the development of targeted investigations.

The sequence specificity control by BLAST proved that none of the reported peptide markers was common to other allergenic ingredients, however some of them (especially lysozyme and vitellogenin-2 peptides) presented conserved sequence in proteins from bacteria and from other animal species, unlikely to occur in food commodities.

Further non-allergenic proteins were also proposed to trace for egg yolk contamination, such as the vitellogenin-2, the apolipoprotein B and the apovitellenin-1.

371 **2.2.** *Proteins and peptide markers for legumes: peanut and soybean.*

Peanut and soybean are both members of the Fabaceae or Leguminosae family. Legumes 372 represent 27% of the primary crop production worldwide, highly diffused in the human diet as 373 excellent sources of proteins, water soluble fibers, numerous micronutrients, and 374 375 phytochemicals (Smýkal et al., 2015). Peanut is the best characterized legume in regard to its 376 allergenic content, having sixteen proteins, mainly seed storage proteins, classified as allergens with specific isoforms identified and listed in Table 1. As for soybean, the number of 377 characterized allergens is relatively limited, with eight allergens officially registered by the 378 WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Subcommittee (Allergen Nomenclature, accessed in 379

September 2018). Legume allergens can be grouped into a restricted number of families and 380 superfamilies, and the most relevant allergens are seed storage proteins belonging to the cupin 381 and prolamin superfamilies. The cupin superfamily share a conserved β-barrel structural core 382 domain, which can be single or double; the seed storage proteins 7S and 11S globulins are 383 both bicupins. The prolamin superfamily includes proteins with conserved cysteine residues at 384 specific positions, sharing a common tridimensional structure with four α-helices stabilized by 385 disulfide bonds. This superfamily includes the 2S albumins and non-specific lipid transfer 386 proteins (ns-LTPs). In addition, minor allergens belong to the profilins and Bet v (Betula 387 388 verrucosa) 1-like superfamilies, which are associated with pollen allergy, the defensins, involved in plant defense against pathogens, and the oleosins, structural proteins of intracellular 389 lipid storage organelles. 390

Current methods for MS-based peanut detection mainly target Ara h 1 (7S-globulin, vicilin-type), 391 Ara h 2 (2S albumin, conglutin) and Ara h 3 (11S globulin, glycinin) proteins. All peptides 392 393 reported for these three proteins are highly specific, being conserved only among specific peanut related taxonomies (Arachis ipaensis (130454), Arachis hypogaea (3818), Arachis 394 duranensis (130453)). Ara h 1 is a glycoprotein that makes up 12-16% of the total protein 395 content and forms stable trimers held by non-covalent interactions (Palladino & Breiteneder, 396 2018). Two isoforms has been identified, encoded by two different clones 41 B (Ara h 1.0101) 397 and P17 (Ara h 1 clone P 17), with a sequence identity higher than 90%. Both proteins have an 398 399 N-terminal 25 amino acid residue signal peptide and a single glycosylation site. The signal peptide drives the nascent protein to the storage vacuole where both the signal itself and a 400 specific portion of the N-terminal is cleaved-off to yield the mature Ara h 1 found in peanut 401 (Palladino & Breiteneder, 2018). All the Ara h 1 marker peptides reported so far are shared 402

between the two isoforms, and none of them include the glycosylation site (asparagine residue
at position 521 of clone 41B and position 516 of clone P17, see Fig. S1 for details). DLA, VLL
and GTG are the most frequently selected, already tested in several incurred and hard-to
analyze matrices.

Ara h 2 represents the 5.9-9.2% of the total protein content and can be expressed from different 407 genes into two isoforms Ara h 2.01, and Ara h 2.02, the latter differing for the insertion of a 12 408 amino acid motif at position 75 in comparison to the Ara h 2.01. In addition, the Allergome 409 410 database further distinguishes the two isoforms in four variants (Ara h 2.0101, Ara h 2.0102, 411 Ara h 2.0201, Ara h 2.0202), which, besides the signal sequence, differ for a single amino acid mismatch (E vs D) close to the C-terminal portion of the full sequence (position 163 in the Ara 412 h 2.0201 sequence). Furthermore, Ara h 2 undergoes proteolytic processing by peanut 413 proteases resulting in the removal of the C-terminal dipeptide RY. Consequently, the Ara h 2 414 can be found as a mixture of all the four variants as well as their slightly truncated forms. Four 415 416 intra-molecular disulfide bonds hold the tridimensional structure of the Ara h 2 and three proline residues are present in their hydroxylated form (see Fig. S2). Interestingly, none of the markers 417 reported in Fig. 3 for Ara h 2 contain the 4-hydroxylproline residues, however all of them contain 418 cysteine residues directly involved in the formation of the four disulfide bridges. In addition, the 419 CMC also contained two methionine residues, susceptible to oxidation. The first three peptides, 420 namely CCN, NLP and CMC are shared among all the isoforms (see Fig. S2), whereas the 421 peptide CDL includes the position affected by single amino acid substitution, thus both the 422 variants CDLEVESGGR (reported peptide) and CDLDVESGGR (potential alternative 423 sequence) can be found in nature with unpredictable proportions. 424

Ara h 3 is a glycinin-like protein (11S) with a molecular mass of 60 kDa for the monomer and 425 occurs in peanuts as hexamer of 360 kDa (Palladino & Breiteneder, 2018). The monomer is 426 post-translationally cleaved in 43 kDa acidic and 28 kDa basic subunits, covalently linked by a 427 single disulphide bond. Ara h 3 and Ara h 4, initially considered as different allergenic proteins, 428 have been identified as variants of the same gene, thus they were renamed as isoforms Ara h 429 3.01 and Ara h 3.02. These are the only two isoforms officially listed by the WHO/IUIS Allergen 430 Nomenclature Subcommittee, whereas further Uniprot entries are classified as Ara h 3 in the 431 432 Allergome database. A genomic clone encoding Ara h 3 (AF10854) (Viquez et al., 2004) was 433 identified as having four exons. The deduced protein (538 AA in length) showed 93% and 91% identity with the Ara h 3 (isoform Ara h 3.01) and Ara h 4 (isoform Ara h 3.02) (Palladino & 434 Breiteneder, 2018), respectively. Furthermore, an additional isoform named iso-Ara h 3 was 435 reported as sharing only 70-85% of identity with previously cited sequences (Boldt et al., 2005). 436 Several peptide markers tracing for Ara h 3 protein were reported so far (see Fig. 3), however, 437 438 surprisingly for this particular case, the criterion of sequence sharing among known isoforms 439 has not been valued properly in the current literature (see Fig. S3). Indeed, some of proposed markers were not conserved among protein variants, and according to common sense, they 440 should have been excluded, for their limited representativeness. For example, the peptide SPD, 441 which is cited in 13 investigations, is encrypted only in the Ara h 3.01 isoform (and in the 442 443 genomic clone). Quite surprising was also the selection of the peptide TAN<u>ELNLLILR</u> in two very recent papers (Plangue et al., 2017a; Plangue et al., 2017b), which was not encoded by 444 the officially recognized isoforms Ara h 3.01 and Ara h 3.02, but only by the Ara h 3 genomic 445 clone. Noteworthy, the peptide LNA is the only marker fully conserved across the isoforms 446

while, the peptides RPF, QQP are common only to the two main isoforms Ara h 3.01 and Arah 3.02.

As previously stated, soybean is another edible legume belonging to the *Fabaceae* family, widely consumed worldwide for its high protein content (approximately 38-40 %). It finds also wide applicability as ingredient in meat/poultry products, bakery and pastry products, dairy products, and edible spreads, as well as additive for a variety of pharmaceutical and industrial applications (Verma et al., 2013). As such, the number of occurring soy based formulations is very high, ranging from raw to highly processed and/or hydrolyzed commodities and this makes the absolute quantification of soy contamination in complex matrices quite challenging.

The WHO/IUIS recognized eight soybean proteins as official allergens, including hydrophobic 456 protein (Gly m 1), defensin (Gly m 2), soy profilin (Gly m 3), pathogenesis-related protein (Gly 457 m 4), β-conglycinin (Gly m 5, vicilin, 7S globulin), glycinin (Gly m 6, legumin, 11S globulin), 458 459 seed biotinylated proteins (Gly m 7) and the 2S albumin protein group (Gly m 8). The major 460 storage proteins, β-conglycinin and glycinin, both belonging to the cupin superfamily, represent alone the 70–80% of the total seed globulin fraction (De Angelis et al., 2017a). β-Conglycinin 461 is 7S globulin glycoprotein containing 5% of carbohydrate moieties and occur as trimers with 462 molecular masses of approximately 180 kDa. Therefore, the Gly m 5 allergen name refers to 463 464 the complex of three β -conglycinin subunits that were not characterized at the time the name was assigned (Pomés et al., 2018). The three subunits were first described by Holzhauser et 465 al. (2009) labeled as α (67 kDa), α ' (71 kDa) and β (50 kDa), and were assessed to combine at 466 different ratios to form the multimeric complexes. The α and α' subunits are approximately 82% 467 identical, whereas the β -subunit is only 76% identical to the two α subunits. The individual 468

subunits were named as isoallergens Gly m 5.01, Gly m 5.02 and Gly m 5.03 in 2009, with 469 minor variants (e.g. Gly m 5.0301 and Gly m 5.0302 presenting two amino acid mismatches 470 L/F at positions 16 and 198). Interestingly, the *in-silico* simulation of tryptic digestion showed 471 that the isoallergens Gly m 5.01, Gly m 5.02 and Gly m 5.03 do not share any tryptic peptide 472 with minimum length of 7 AA, which could be selected as a common marker for the β -473 conglycinin subunits. This issue makes the absolute quantification of soybean allergen by 474 synthetic peptide analysis guite challenging since the required conversion factors should base 475 476 on the knowledge of the specific combination of α , α' and β -subunits occurring in the sample 477 under investigation. As expected by *in-silico* prediction, none of the reported peptide markers for Gly m 5 displayed in Fig. 3 were conserved among the three isoallergens (see Fig. S4). 478 Interestingly, an alternative solution, in this case, would be to select unique markers for each 479 subunit in order to disclose the specific combination of α , α' and β -monomers within the trimeric 480 complex. In this frame, the peptide LIT would uniquely trace for Gly m 5.01, the peptides QQQ 481 and DSYNLQ would uniquely trace for Gly m 5.02, and the peptide DSYNLH would uniquely 482 483 trace for Gly m 5.03 (noteworthy, the latter is conserved between the two variants Gly m 5.0301 and Gly m 5.0302). Attention should be paid to the peptide AIV, even if theoretically unique for 484 Gly m 5.01, since an homologous peptide sequence is expressed in both variants of Gly m 485 5.03, with a single amino acid substitution L/I at position P19, which could not be discriminated 486 487 by SRM acquisition. None of the aforementioned peptides contain amino acid residues with naturalpost-translational modification. 488

In most soybean varieties, the glycinin accounts for over 50% of seed storage proteins representing the predominant protein fraction (De Angelis et al., 2017a). Glycinin has a complex hexameric structure with a molecular weight ranging between 320 to 360 kDa. At least five

genes encode the monomeric subunits (Nielsen et al., 1989) and the Gly m 6 allergen can be 492 an arrangement of these five proteins, which have individual IgE binding properties (Holzhauser 493 et al., 2009). Each monomer consists of two specific polypeptide chains, one acidic (40 kDa) 494 (A) and one basic (20 kDa) (B), linked together by disulfide bonds. Each monomer can be one 495 of five subunits, namely glycinin G1 (A_{1a}B_{1b}), glycinin G2 (A₂B_{1a}), glycinin G3 (A_{1b}B_{1a}), glycinin 496 G4 (A₅A₄B₃) and glycinin (A₃B₄) (Wang et al., 2014), being renamed as five isoallergens Gly m 497 6.0101, Gly m 6.0201, Gly m 6.0301, Gly m 6.0401 and Gly m 6.0501, respectively, in 2009. 498 499 Similarly to what was already discussed for the quantification of Gly m 5 allergen, the 500 unpredictable composition of the Gly m 6 hexameric complex makes general conversion factors a hard task to achieve. The peptide marker selection carried out so far in current literature 501 completely neglected the different sequence expression in known isoallergens. Indeed, the 502 most frequently used markers, VFD, VLI, and EAF, are expressed uniquely in Gly m 6.0101, 503 whereas the peptide SQS, cited in 8 independent investigations, is conserved across the three 504 505 isoforms Gly m 6.0101, Gly m 6.0201, Gly m 6.0301, and the peptide IST (cited in 7 independent 506 investigations) is common to the isoforms Gly m 6.0401 and Gly m 6.0501 (see Fig. S5).

507

2.3. Proteins and peptide markers for tree nuts: hazelnut and almond

Hazelnut and almond allergens were selected in this project as most relevant members of the tree nut category according to the European legislation. Similar to peanut and soybean, the main proteins involved in tree nut allergy belong to cupin (legumins-11S globulin and vicilin-7S globulin) and prolamin (conglutin-2S albumin and ns-LTPs) superfamilies. Several hazelnut allergens have been identified and are already partly applied in component resolved diagnosis (Geiselhart et al., 2018). However, only partial information have been collected so far about the 514 occurrence of potential allergen isoforms and their complete sequencing, even if their existence 515 is likely, given the high genetic diversity of plant organisms. Even the limited information 516 available for almond allergens, the provided following discussion about the peptide marker 517 selection was driven to the best of the current knowledge for these two allergens, and relevant 518 homologous plant proteins.

WHO/IUIS database included eight hazelnut allergens all listed in Table 1. According to the 519 EuroPrevall population-based survey, including allergic subjects from twelve European cities, 520 521 the predominance of specific hazelnut allergens is correlated to the geographical area (Pastorello et al., 2002), as well as to the age of the sensitized individuals (Verweij et al., 2012). 522 In the Mediterranean areas, hazelnut allergy is mainly linked to Cor a 8, Cor a 14, Cor a 9, Cor 523 a 11 (Schocker et al., 2004; Blanc et al., 2015). Cor a 8 is a nsLTP type 1, as mature protein, 524 consisting of a unique polypeptide chain of 92 amino acid residues with eight strictly conserved 525 cysteines forming four intramolecular disulfide bridges. Cor a 14 (2S albumin) is a heterodimeric 526 527 protein with characteristic structural features, including four α -helices and eight cysteine residues engaged in four disulfide bridges (Pfeifer et al., 2015). The precursor protein is post-528 translationally cleaved into a large and a small subunits linked by disulfide bonds. The N-529 terminal glutamine shows the cyclization to pyroglutamic acid and the small subunit is variably 530 truncated at the C-terminal, leading to a high micro-heterogeneity (Pfeifer et al., 2015). Cor a 531 9, as 11S legumin, exhibits some homology with other tree nut allergens, such as cashew Ana 532 o 2, peanut Ara h 3 and the soybean glycinin (Gly m 6). The monomer consists of two 533 polypeptide chains (acidic and basic chains), cleaved post-translationally by site specific 534 endopeptidases, and linked together by a single intermolecular disulfide bond. The WHO/IUIS 535 recorded a single isoform of the Cor a 9 protein corresponding to the Uniprot accession 536

Q8W1C2 and labelled as Cor a 9.0101, first identified in 2002 as IgE-binding protein by 2D-537 Western blotting, using sera of hazelnut allergic patients (Beyer et al., 2002). In 2013, a new 538 isoform of the same protein, with a 514 AA full-length sequence, was registered (Uniprot 539 accession A0A0A0P7E3) sharing 96.5% homology (Grishina et al., 2013). This isoform has 540 been added to the Allergome database with the general label of Cor a 9. Additionally, at least 541 another 55 kDa protein, with high IgE-reactivity only in the alkaline chain (20.7 kDa), has been 542 identified by Nitride et al. (2013). In this investigation, shotgun proteomics allowed de novo 543 544 sequencing of six peptides of the basic chain and their assignment to 11S protein isoform 545 sharing high homology with 11S globulin-like proteins from several plant organisms, including the canonical hazelnut one. Starting from this background, we reviewed the current literature 546 tracing the Cor a 9 selected markers. The peptide WLQ should be excluded by any further 547 discussion since conserved sequence among different tree nut species (hazelnut, pistachio, 548 pecan, and walnut). Besides this, we assessed that all the proposed signature peptides shared 549 550 100% identity between the official Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2) sequence and its registered isoform A0A0A0P7E3 (see Fig. S6). However, considering the further potential isoform only partially 551 sequenced by Nitride et al. (2013), three out of the seven reported peptides might be excluded, 552 as non-conserved regions and, noteworthy, this exclusion would involve two of the most cited 553 peptides INT and ALP. Therefore, a wider characterization of most common isoform occurring 554 by natural genetic diversity is urgently needed. In addition, the peptide VQV contains the NG 555 motif, which makes the asparagine residues highly susceptible to deamidation. 556

557 Cor a 11 is a 7S globulin like protein, featuring a trimeric structure of MW about 150/190kDa 558 with subunits of about 50kDa. The WHO/IUIS lists a single isoform labelled as Cor a 11.0101, 559 corresponding to the Uniprot entry Q8S4P9, which includes a single glycosylation site occurring

at the asparagine residue. Three peptide markers have been reported for this protein, namely
 LLS, AFS, and ELA, and none of them includes amino acid residues prone to modifications.

As for almond, six identified almond allergens, Pru du 3 (ns-LTP), Pru du 4 (profilin), Pru du 5 562 (60 S ribosomal protein), and Pru du 6 (legumin), are included in the WHO-IUIS allergen list. 563 The specificity in the analytical detection of this allergen is particularly challenging due to both 564 a limited availability of full-length sequences in official database and due to the high homology 565 of almond proteins (taxonomy *Prunus dulcis*) within the *Prunus* taxonomy genus, mainly *Prunus* 566 persica (peach) (Inman et al., 2018). For example, the almond allergen Pru du 3.0101 displays 567 99% amino acid sequence identity to a nsLTP from peach (UniProtKB M5W0S9, not listed in 568 the IUIS) and 57% to two other peach nsLTP isoforms, Pru p 3.0101 and Pru p 3. 0102. Two 569 native isoforms have been identified for the almond profilin (Pru du 4), but its relevance is mainly 570 restricted to pollen-associated almond allergy. Pru du 5 has been identified as an 11.4 kDa 60S 571 ribosomal protein involved in protein biosynthesis (Abolhassani & Roux, 2009); nevertheless, 572 573 the clinical relevance of this allergen is unknown and requires further research. Finally, Pru du 6, a legumin-like protein, also called amandin, is the first and best biophysically characterized 574 allergen in almond to date (Geiselhart et al., 2018). Two cDNA clones encoding almond 575 legumins named prunin-1 (61.0 kDa) and prunin-2 (55.9 kDa) have been isolated, both included 576 in the WHO/IUIS database as Pru du 6.0101 and Pru du 6.0201. By in-silico simulation of the 577 enzymatic digestion with trypsin specific cleavage, it was assessed that no tryptic peptide with 578 minimum length of 7 AA presents full identity between the two isoforms Pru du 6.0101 and Pru 579 du 6.0201 (see Fig. S7). In addition, Allergome database also includes two variants of Pru du 580 6.0101 and Pru du 6.0201, registered with the Uniprot entries Q43607 and Q43608, 581 respectively, which share 99% identity with the relevant official allergen isoform, featuring only 582 26

few amino acid substitutions (see Fig. S7). In Fig. 3, we presented the signature peptides 583 reported so far for almond detection by SRM analysis all belonging to the Pru du 6 protein, 584 either to the Pru du 6.0101 or to the Pru du 6.0201 isoallergens. Noteworthy, most of the 585 selected peptides highlighted with asterisks presented specificity issues, since the BLAST 586 search against non-redundant protein database showed 100% sequence identity with 587 homologous proteins from Prunus persica, Prunus mume or Prunus avium, (see Fig. S8 and 588 S9). In light of this, we kept in the list reported in Fig. 3 also the two markers QQG both VQV, 589 590 even if they were not validated in incurred or chocolate based matrices, as only candidates, 591 together with TDE, which feature uniqueness for *Prunus dulcis* species. Interestingly, none of the reported peptides included cysteine residues involved in the disulfide bridges, whereas both 592 the unique peptides VQV and TDE contain the motif NG that make them susceptible to 593 spontaneous deamidation. In addition, the sequences VQVVNENGDPILDDEVR and 594 ALPDEVLQNAFR, belonging to the Pru du 6.0201 isoform were not conserved in the alternative 595 596 allergen variant registered as Q43608 in Uniprot database, both presenting single amino acid substitutions (D/N and N/T, respectively) and the peptide variant VQVVNENGDPILNDEVR is 597 shared with *Prunus persica* (see sequence alignment in Fig. S9). 598

599

2.4. List of candidate markers agreed by ThRAII partners

The thorough discussion presented in the previous section allowed the selection of a restricted list of candidate signature peptides that can be fruitfully employed for allergen detection in highly complex and processed foods with a high-confidence on identification accuracy. This list representing the consensus table of the consortium is reported in Table 2 and will be further validated for its reliability in the model matrices selected within the ThRAII project by discovery

experiments by untargeted HR-MS/MS analysis. As already mentioned, the preliminary marker 605 selection here performed by means of the critical evaluation of current literature represents only 606 the first step of the dual approach devised for the ThRAII project. The experimental validation 607 of the candidate markers by MS/MS analysis is a mandatory step in method development 608 because several empirical features, such as matrix composition, extraction/digestion 609 conditions, and instrumental parameters might affect both the specificity and sensitivity of the 610 final analytical method. Interferences in the chromatographic analysis from complex food 611 612 background and information about processing effects on the ingredient itself or finished food 613 products should be traced to avoid false-negative and false-positives. Such information cannot be disclosed merely by any *in-silico* based approach. 614

615 The Table 2 was populated with all the information about sequence sharing among 616 isoforms/variants per each discussed peptide.

617 As for the protein homology check among different taxonomies carried out on plant-derived allergens, we concluded that according to the current knowledge of full-length protein 618 sequences, none of the signature peptides selected for plant-derived allergens (Table 2) is 619 affected by homology issues. To the best of the current knowledge, the refined list of peptides 620 provided very high detection specificity. For sake of clarity in Table S3, we reported a short list 621 622 of peptide markers identified that might experience this kind of interference from other homologous proteins, disclosing both the targeted and the interfering precursor ions, as well as 623 the shared transitions. 624

As for Pru du 6 peptides, with high sequence identity with homologous proteins from *Prunus persica*, *Prunus mume* and *Prunus avium*, only two peptide markers were kept, including the unique peptide TDE, even if encrypting the NG motif, since it can still be useful for confirmativepurposes.

Finally, in Table 2 we also collected information about the reported precursor ions and the 629 transitions monitored. Both the preferential ionization state of the target peptide and its typical 630 fragmentation pattern depend not only on the specific amino acid sequence, but also on 631 instrumental parameters such as the ionization source, the MS platform, and the activation 632 mode; therefore, after proper marker selection, the ionization and fragmentation features need 633 to be assessed on the specific MS platform involved in the study. From a general point of view, 634 we highlighted that most of the selected signature peptides were detected as double charged 635 ions, which indeed are very stable and reproducible both in their ionization yield and in the 636 fragmentation pattern, whereas an heterogeneous scenario was disclosed as for transitions 637 selection. Either two or three transitions per peptide were acquired, in some cases the two 638 monitored fragments are simply two different ionization states of the same transition (yn+ and 639 yn++). This behavior is typical of proline containing peptides that upon collisional induced 640 fragmentation preferentially break the peptide bond at the N-terminal proline site, releasing the 641 same transition as both single and double charged ions (Ma & Johnson, 2012). This transition 642 is usually very sensitive, but the ratio (y_n^+/y_n^{++}) between the two ions is not fixed, and may 643 change upon different instrumental conditions. Additionally, retrieving the SRM instrumental 644 details for the reported papers we also disclosed that in some investigations guite small 645 fragments have been selected, namely y_1^+ , b_2^+ , a_2^+ , notwithstanding their low selectivity and 646 susceptibility to interference from the background. 647

In light of the harmonization of detection method, and given the heterogeneous picture retrieved 648 for instrumental set up, we will select at least three transitions for each marker, preferably 649 belonging to the yn and bn series. Indeed, y- and b- ion series together would allow read out of 650 the full peptide sequence from the MS/MS spectrum in the two directions starting from the C-651 and the N-terminus, respectively (Ma & Johnson, 2012); in addition, the two series together 652 would account for most of the MS/MS spectrum ion intensity in both HCD and CID 653 fragmentations (Michalski et al., 2012), with very high stability of the y-type transitions. 654 655 Noteworthy, the monitored fragments should actually correspond to different transitions with a 656 minimum fragment length of 3 amino acids, given the minimum length for peptide markers of 7 amino acids, in order to guarantee the maximum specificity and sequence coverage to the final 657 developed method. 658

Future development of this work, will be the experimental validation of the reported preliminary list of candidate markers. In particular, discovery high resolution MS/MS analysis will be carried out directly on the two matrices, namely chocolate bar and broth powder as model hard-to analyze food matrices, produced in a food pilot plant and incurred at the high contamination level. The experimental list of identified peptides belonging to the allergenic ingredients will be compared with the literature based selection either confirming or proposing new options for the SRM set up.

666 **3. Conclusions**

In this work we described the results of our comprehensive evaluation of the existing literature
 on food allergen detection by MS based methods, concerning the selection of peptide markers.
 We collected all information about the six allergens selected for the ThRAII project, namely

cow's milk, hen's egg, peanut, soybean, hazelnut and almond. The selected peptides were 670 critically discussed according to specific considerations and recommended criteria for marker 671 selection that we promote in perspective harmonization of analytical methods development. 672 The in-depth analysis of current knowledge allowed the selection of a restricted list of candidate 673 signature peptides, already validated in several independent analyses, which could be 674 monitored with high confidence. This preliminary list will be validated in the two model matrices 675 selected within the ThRAII project, in a separate investigation for its final confirmation by specific 676 677 discovery experiments with untargeted HR-MS/MS analysis.

678 Acknowledgements

This project has received financial support from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Grant GP/EFSA/AFSCO/2017/03 and the UK Food Standard Agency (FSA), Grant FS101206 Development of Quality Control Materials for Food Allergen Analysis The present paper, however, is under the sole responsibility of the authors. The positions and opinions presented in this article are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily represent the views/any official position or scientific works of EFSA. To find out more about EFSA guidance documents and other scientific outputs of EFSA, please consult its website at: <u>http://www.efsa.europa.eu</u>.

The authors are thankful to Dr. Michal Walker (from LGC) for the fruitful exchanges on this topic.

688 Ethical approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by anyof the Authors.

691 Competing interests

692 The Authors declare they have no competing interest.

693 **FIGURE AND TABLE CAPTIONS**

Figure 1. Analytical workflow describing step-by-step the literature review and the critical evaluation of the proposed peptide markers in order to compile a final list of highly reliable signature peptides to be tested for the ThRAII project.

Figure 2. Citation overview of the peptides markers used for milk and egg allergens, which where validated at least once in hard-to-analyze matrices, highlighting the kind of investigation (targeted approach or discovery only approach) and the presence of amino acids prone to modifications. *peptide without C, M, E, N, or Q amino acid.

Figure 3. Citation overview of the peptides markers used for peanut, soybean, hazelnut and almond, which where validated at least once in hard-to-analyze matrices, highlighting the kind of investigation (targeted approach or discovery only approach) and the presence of amino acids prone to modifications. *peptide without C, M, E, N, or Q amino acid.

Table 1. Summary of the food allergens proteins recorded for the six allergen under
 investigations. *Acronyms*: PTM, post-translational modifications; IUIS, International Union of
 Immunological Societies. *information gathered from Uniprot Database
 (https://www.uniprot.org/, Accessed on September 19th 2018).

Table 2. Preliminary list of signature peptides selected by the Consortium according to the analytical workflow presented in Figure 1, which have been monitored in targeted investigations with relevant information on allergens isoforms/variants, peptide specificity, and acquisition related technical details (MS platform, precursor ion and selected transitions). The presence of amino acid residues prone to modification was highlighted with a different font (bold and underlined). The transition selected as quantifier ion (when specified) was marked with an

asterisk. *Acronyms*: QqQ, triple quadrupole; IT, ion trap; QToF, quadrupole time-of flight; QOrbitrap, quadrupole-Orbitrap, LIT, linear ion trap.

718 **REFERENCES**

- Abolhassani, M., & Roux, K. H. (2009). cDNA cloning, expression and characterization of an
 allergenic 60s ribosomal protein of almond (Prunus dulcis). *Iranian Journal of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology, 8,* 77–84. https://doi.org/08.02/ijaai.7784
- Allergen Nomenclature, WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Sub-Committee. (2018).
 http://www.allergen.org/ Accessed in July-September 2018.
- Allergen Peptide Browser. (2018). <u>https://www.allergenpeptidebrowser.org/</u> Accessed July
 2018.
- Allergome. (2018). <u>https://www.allergome.org/</u> Accessed July-September 2018.
- Ansari, P., Stoppacher, N., Rudolf, J., Schuhmacher, R., & Baumgartner, S. (2011). Selection
 of possible marker peptides for the detection of major ruminant milk proteins in food by liquid
 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *399*,
 1105–1115 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-4422-0.
- Ansari, P., Stoppacher, N., & Baumgartner, S. (2012). Marker peptide selection for the determination of hazelnut by LC–MS/MS and occurrence in other nuts *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *402*, 2607–2615. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5218-6.
- Azarnia, S., Boye, J. I., Mongeon, V., & Sabik, H. (2013). Detection of ovalbumin in egg white,
 whole egg and incurred pasta using LC–ESI-MS/MS and ELISA. *Food Research International*,
 52, 526–534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.02.039.
- Benedé, S., López-Expósito, I., Molina, E., & López-Fandiño, R. (2015). Egg proteins as
 allergens and the effects of the food matrix and processing. *Food and Function, 6,* 694–713.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4FO01104J.
- Beyer,K., Grishina, G., Bardina, L., Grishin, A., Sampson, H., A. (2002). Identification of an 11S
 globulin as a major hazelnut food allergen in hazelnut induced systemic reaction. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 110,* 517-523. https://doi.org/10.1067/mai.2002.127434.
- Bignardi, C., Elviri, L., Penna, A., Careri, M., & Mangia, A. (2010). Particle-packed column
 versus silica-based monolithic column for liquid chromatography–electrospray-linear ion trap-

tandem mass spectrometry multiallergen trace analysis in foods. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1217, 7579–7585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.10.037.

Bignardi, C., Mattarozzi, M., Penna, A., Sidoli, S., Elviri, L., Careri, M., & Mangia, A. (2013). A
Rapid Size-Exclusion Solid-Phase Extraction Step for Enhanced Sensitivity in Multi-Allergen
Determination in Dark Chocolate and Biscuits by Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass
Spectrometry. *Food Analytical Methods, 6*, 1144–1152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-0129521-4

- Blanc, F., Bernard, H., Ah-Leung, S., Przybylski-Nicaise, L., Stahl Skov, P., Purohit, A., de Blay,
 F., Ballmer-Weber, B., Fritsche, P., Fernandez Rivas, M., Reig, I., Sinaniotis, A., Vassilopoulou,
 E., Hoffmann-Sommergruber, K., Vieths, S., Rigby, N., Mills, E. N. C., & Adel-Patient, K. (2015).
 Further studies on the biological activity of hazelnut allergens. *Clinical and Translational Allergy*.
- 756 5. 26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13601-015-0066-7.
- Boldt, A., Fortunato, D., Conti, A., Petersen, A., Ballmer-Weber, B., Lepp, U., Reese, G., &
 Becker, W. M. (2005). Analysis of the composition of an immunoglobulin E reactive high
 molecular weight protein complex of peanut extract containing Ara h 1 and Ara h 3/4. *Proteomics, 5,* 675–686. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200401150.
- Boo, C. C., Parker, C. H., & Jackson, L. S. (2018). A Targeted LC-MS/MS Method for the
 Simultaneous Detection and Quantitation of Egg, Milk, and Peanut Allergens in Sugar Cookies. *Journal of AOAC International, 101,* 108-117. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0400.
- Bucchini, L., Guzzon, A., Poms, R., Senyuva, H. (2016). Analysis and critical comparison of 764 food allergen recalls from the European Union, USA, Canada, Hong Kong, Australia and New 765 Zealand. Food Additives Contaminants: Part Α. 33. 760-771. 766 and https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2016.1169444. 767
- Careri, M., Costa, A., Elviri, L., Lagos, J. B., Mangia, A., Terenghi, M., Cereti, A., & Garoffo, L. 768 P. (2007). Use of specific peptide biomarkers for guantitative confirmation of hidden allergenic 769 peanut proteins Ara h 2 and Ara h 3/4 for food control by liquid chromatography-tandem mass 770 771 spectrometry. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 389. 1901–1907. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-007-1595-2. 772

Careri, M., Elviri, L., Maffini, M., Mangia, A., Mucchino, C., & Terenghi, M. (2008). Determination
 of peanut allergens in cereal-chocolate-based snacks: metal-tag inductively coupled plasma
 mass spectrometry immunoassay versus liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization
 tandem mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 22,* 807–811.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.3427.

Chassaigne, H., Nørgaard, J. V., & van Hengel, A. J. (2007). Proteomics-Based Approach To
Detect and Identify Major Allergens in Processed Peanuts by Capillary LC-Q-TOF (MS/MS). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *55*, 4461–4473. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf063630e.

Chen, S., Yang, C.T., Downs, M. L. (2019). Detection of Six Commercially Processed Soy
 Ingredients in an Incurred Food Matrix Using Parallel Reaction Monitoring. *Journal of Proteome Research, 18,* 995–1005. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00689.

Costa, J., Ansari, P., Mafra, I., Oliveira, M. B., & Baumgartner, S. (2014). Assessing hazelnut
allergens by protein- and DNA-based approaches: LC-MS/MS, ELISA and real-time PCR. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 406, 2581–2590. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-0147679-x.

- Croote, D., & Quake, S. R. (2016). Food allergen detection by mass spectrometry: the role of
 systems biology. *NPJ Systems Biology Applications*, 2, 16022.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/npjsba.2016.22.
- Cryar, A., Pritchard, C., Burkitt, W., Walker, M., O'Connor, G., Burns, D. T., & Quaglia, M.
 (2013). Towards absolute quantification of allergenic proteins in food--lysozyme in wine as a
 model system for metrologically traceable mass spectrometric methods and certified reference
 materials. *Journal of AOAC International, 96,* 1350-1361. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.12438.
- De Angelis, E., Pilolli, R., Bavaro, S. L., & Monaci, L. (2017a). Insight into the gastro-duodenal
 digestion resistance of soybean proteins and potential implications for residual immunogenicity.
 Food and Function, 8, 1599-1610. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6fo01788f.
- De Angelis, E., Pilolli, R., & Monaci, L. (2017b). Coupling SPE on-line pre-enrichment with
 HPLC and MS/MS for the sensitive detection of multiple allergens in wine. *Food Control, 73,*814-820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.09.031.

Downs, M. L., & Johnson, P. (2018). Target selection strategies for LC-MS/MS food allergen
methods. *Journal of AOAC International, 101*, 146-151. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.170404.

European Commission, COMMISSION NOTICE of 13.7.2017 relating to the provision of information on substances or products causing allergies or intolerances as listed in Annex II of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 on the provision of food information to consumers.

- Eyers, C. E., Lawless, C., Wedge, D. C., Lau, K. W., Gaskell, S. J., & Hubbard, S. J. (2011).
 CONSeQuence: prediction of reference peptides for absolute quantitative proteomics using
 consensus machine learning approaches. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics, 10,*M110.003384. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M110.003384.
- FAOSTAT (2017), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations,
 http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QL, accessed 9 January 2019.
- Figeys, D., van Oostveen, I., Ducret, A., & Aebersold, R. (1996). Protein identification by capillary zone electrophoresis/microelectrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry at the subfemtomole level. *Analytical Chemistry*, *68*, 1822-1828. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac960191h.
- Fox, P. (2001). Milk proteins as food ingredients. *International Journal of Dairy Technology, 54,*41–55. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-0307.2001.00014.x.
- Gavage, M., Van Vlierberghe, K., Van Poucke, C., De Loose, M., Gevaert, K., Dieu, M., Renard,
 P., Arnould, D. H., & Gillard, N. (2019). Selection of egg peptide biomarkers in processed food
 products by high resolution mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1584*, 115-125.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.11.036.
- Geiselhart, S., Hoffmann-Sommergruber, K., & Bublin, M. (2018). Tree nut allergens. *Molecular Immunology, 100,* 71–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.03.011.
- Gomaa, A., & Boye, J. (2015). Simultaneous detection of multi-allergens in an incurred food
 matrix using ELISA, multiplex flow cytometry and liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
 (LC-MS). *Food Chemistry, 175,* 585–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.017.
- Grishina, G., Beyer, K., Bardina, L., Sampson, H. H. (2013). Isoform of a Major hazelnut food
 allergen Cor a 9 (11S Globulin). EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ Databases.

Groves, K., Cryar, A., Walker, M., & Quaglia, M. (2018). Assessment of Recovery of Milk Protein
Allergens from Processed Food for Mass Spectrometry Quantification. *Journal of AOAC International, 101*, 152-161. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0214.

Gu, S., Chen, N., Zhou, Y., Zhao, C., Zhan, L., Qu, L., Cao, C., Han, L., Deng, X., Ding, T.,
Song, C., & Ding, Y. (2018). A rapid solid-phase extraction combined with liquid
chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for simultaneous screening of multiple allergens
in chocolates. *Food Control, 84,* 89-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.07.033.

Heick, J., Fischer, M., Kerbach, S., Tamm, U., & Popping, B. (2011a). Application of a liquid
chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous detection of seven
allergenic foods in flour and bread and comparison of the method with commercially available
ELISA test kits. *Journal of AOAC International, 94,* 1060-1068.

- Heick, J., Fischer, M., & Pöpping, B. (2011b). First screening method for the simultaneous
 detection of seven allergens by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1218,* 938–943. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.12.067.
- Hoffmann, B., Münch, S., Schwägele, F., Neusüß, C., Jira, W. (2017). A sensitive HPLCMS/MS screening method for the simultaneous detection of lupine, pea, and soy proteins in
 meat products. *Food Control, 71,* 200-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.06.021.

Holzhauser, T., Wackermann, O., Ballmer-Weber, B., Bindslev-Jensen, C., Scibilia, J., PeronoGaroffo, L., Utsumi, S., Poulsen, L. K., & Vieths, S. (2009). Soybean (Glycine max) allergy in
Europe: gly m 5 (beta-conglycinin) and Gly m 6 (glycinin) are potential diagnostic markers for
severe allergic reactions to soy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 123,* 452–458.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.09.034.

- Houston, N. L., Lee, D. G., Stevenson, S. E., Ladics, G. S., Bannon, G. A., McClain, S., Privalle,
 L., Stagg, N., Herouet-Guicheney, C., MacIntosh, S. C., & Thelen, J. J. (2011). Quantitation of
 Soybean Allergens Using Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Proteome Research, 10,*
- 855 763–773. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr100913w.
- Huschek, G., Bönick, J., Löwenstein, Y., Sievers, S., & Rawel, H. (2016). Quantification of allergenic plant traces in baked products by targeted proteomics using isotope marked

- 858 peptides. LWT Food Science and Technology, 74, 286-293.
 859 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.07.057.
- Inman, S. E., Groves, K., McCullough, B., Quaglia, M., & Hopley, C. (2018). Development of a
 LC-MS method for the discrimination between trace level Prunus contaminants of spices. *Food Chemistry*, 245, 289–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.101.
- Ji, J., Zhu, P., Pi, F., Sun, C., Sun, J., Jia, M., Ying, C., Zhang, Y., & Sun, X. (2017). 863 Development of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for simultaneous 864 the detection of main milk allergens. Food Control. 74, 79-88. 865 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.11.030. 866
- Ke, X., Zhang, J., Lai, S., Chen, Q., Zhang, Y., Jiang, Y., Mo, W., & Ren, Y. (2017). Quantitative 867 analysis of cow whole milk and whey powder adulteration percentage in goat and sheep milk 868 products by isotopic dilution-ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass 869 870 spectrometry. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 409. 213-224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9987-9. 871
- Korte, R., Lepski, S., & Brockmeyer, J. (2016a). Comprehensive peptide marker identification
 for the detection of multiple nut allergens using a non-targeted LC–HRMS multi-method. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 408,* 3059-3069. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-0169384-4.
- Korte, R., & Brockmeyer, J. (2016b). MRM3-based LC-MS multi-method for the detection and
 quantification of nut allergens. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 408, 7845-7855.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9888-y.
- Korte, R., Oberleitner, D., Brockmeyer, J. (2019). Determination of food allergens by LC-MS:
 Impacts of sample preparation, food matrix, and thermal processing on peptide detectability
 and quantification. *Journal of Proteomics, 196,* 131–140.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2018.11.002.
- Lamberti, C., Acquadro, E., Corpillo, D., Giribaldi, M., Decastelli, L., Garino, C., Arlorio, M.,
 Ricciardi, C., Cavallarin, L., & Giuffrida, M. G. (2016). Validation of a mass spectrometry-based
 method for milk traces detection in baked food. *Food Chemistry*, *199*, 119–127.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.11.130.

- Lee, J. Y. & Kim, C. J. (2010). Determination of Allergenic Egg Proteins in Food by Protein-,
 Mass Spectrometry-, and DNA-Based Methods *Journal of AOAC International*, 93, 462-477.
- Li, B., Gorman, E. M., Moore, K. D., Williams, T., Schowen, R. L., Topp, E. M., & Borchardt, R.
 T. (2005). Effects of acidic N+1 residues on asparagine deamidation rates in solution and in the
 solid state. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 94,* 666-675.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.20263.
- Losito, I., Introna, B., Monaci, L., Minella, S., & Palmisano, F. (2013). Development of a Method
 for the Quantification of Caseinate Traces in Italian Commercial White Wines Based on Liquid
 Chromatography–Electrospray Ionization–Ion Trap–Mass Spectrometry *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61, 12436–12444. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf4034909.
- Lutter, P., Parisod, V., & Weymuth, H. (2011). Development and Validation of a Method for the
 Quantification of Milk Proteins in Food Products Based on Liquid Chromatography with Mass
 Spectrometric Detection. *Journal of AOAC International, 94,* 1043-1059.
- Ma, B., & Johnson, R. (2012). De novo sequencing and homology searching. Molecular &
 Cellular Proteomics, 11, O111.014902. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.O111.014902.
- Mattarozzi, M., Milioli, M., Bignardi, C., Elviri, L., Corradini, C., & Careri, M. (2014). Investigation
 of different sample pre-treatment routes for liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry
 detection of caseins and ovalbumin in fortified red wine. *Food Control, 38,* 82-87.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.10.015.
- Mills, E.N.C., Adel-Patiet, K., Bernard, H., De Loose, M., Gillard, N., Huet, A.-C., Larré, C.,
 Nitride, C., Pilolli, R., Tranquet, O., Van Poucke, C., Monaci, L. (2019). Detection and
 Quantification of Allergens in Foods and Minimum Eliciting Doses in Food-Allergic Individuals
 (ThRAII). Journal of AOA C International, 102, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.19-0063.
- Monaci, L., Tregoat, V., van Hengel, A. J., & Anklam, E. (2006). Milk allergens, their
 characteristics and their detection in food: a review. *European Food Research and Technology*,
 223, 149–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-0178-8.
- Monaci, L., Losito, I., Palmisano, F., & Visconti, A. (2010a). Identification of allergenic milk proteins markers in fined white wines by capillary liquid chromatography–electrospray

- ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1217, 4300–4305.*https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.04.035.
- Monaci L., Nørgaard, J.V., & van Hengel, A. J. (2010b). Feasibility of a capillary LC/ESI-Q-TOF
 MS method for the detection of milk allergens in an incurred model food matrix. *Analytical Methods, 2*, 967–972. https://doi.org/10.1039/C0AY00151A.
- Monaci, L., Losito, I., Palmisano, F., Godula, M., & Visconti, A. (2011). Towards the quantification of residual milk allergens in caseinate-fined white wines using HPLC coupled with single-stage Orbitrap mass spectrometry. *Food additives and Contaminants: Part A*, 28, 1304-1314. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2011.593191.
- Monaci, L., Losito, I., De Angelis, E., Pilolli, R., & Visconti, A. (2013). Multi-allergen
 quantification of fining-related egg and milk proteins in white wines by high-resolution mass
 spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 27, 2009–2018.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6662.
- Monaci, L., Pilolli, R., De Angelis, E., Godula, M., & Visconti, A. (2014). Multi-allergen detection
 in food by micro high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to a dual cell linear ion trap
 mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1358,* 136–144.
 https://doi/org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.06.092.
- Monaci, L., De Angelis, E., Montemurro, N., & Pilolli, R. (2018). Comprehensive overview and
 recent advances in proteomics MS based methods for food allergens analysis. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 106*, 21-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.06.016.
- Montowska, M., & Fornal, E. (2018). Detection of peptide markers of soy, milk and egg white
 allergenic proteins in poultry products by LC-Q-TOF-MS/MS. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, 87, 310-317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.08.091.
- Montowska, M., & Fornal, E. (2019). Absolute quantification of targeted meat and allergenic
 protein additive peptide markers in meat products. *Food Chemistry*, *274*, 857–864.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.131.

- New, L. S., Schreiber, A., Stahl-Zeng, J., & Liu, H. F. (2018). Simultaneous Analysis of Multiple
- Allergens in Food Products by LC-MS/MS. *Journal of AOAC International, 101,* 132-145.
 https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0403.
- Newsome, G. A., & Scholl, P. F. (2013). Quantification of allergenic bovine milk α(S1)-casein
 in baked goods using an intact ¹⁵N-labeled protein internal standard. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *61*, 5659–5668. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3015238.
- Nielsen, N. C., Dickinson, C. D., Cho, T. J., Thanh, V. H., Scallon, B. J., Fischer, R. L., Sims,
 T. L., Drews, G. N., Goldberg, R. B. (1989). Characterization of the glycinin gene family in
 soybean. *The Plant Cell, 1,* 313-328. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.1.3.313.
- Nitride, C., Mamone, G., Picariello, G., Mills, E.N.C., Nocerino, R., Berni Canani, R., & Ferranti,
 P. (2013). Proteomic and immunological characterization of a new food allergen from hazelnut
 (Corylus avellana). *Journal of proteomics, 86,* 16–26.
- 953 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2013.05.001.
- Palladino, C., & Breiteneder H. (2018). Peanut allergens. *Molecular Immunology, 100,* 58–70.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.04.005.
- Parker, C. H., Khuda, S. E., Pereira, M., Ross, M. M., Fu, T.J., Fan, X., Wu, Y., Williams, K. M.,
 DeVries, J., Pulvermacher, B., Bedford, B., Zhang, X., Jackson, L. S. (2015). Multi-allergen
 Quantitation and the Impact of Thermal Treatment in Industry-Processed Baked Goods by
 ELISA and Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *63*, 10669–10680. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04287.
- Pastorello, E. A., Vieths, S., Pravettoni, V., Farioli, L., Trambaioli, C., Fortunato, D., Lüttkopf, 961 D., Calamari, M., Ansaloni, R., Scibilia, J., Ballmer-Weber, B. K., Poulsen, L. K., Wütrich, B., 962 Hansen, K. S., Robino, A. M., Ortolani, C., & Conti, A. (2002). Identification of hazelnut major 963 allergens in sensitive patients with positive double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge 964 results. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 109, 563-570. 965 https://doi.org/10.1067/mai.2002.121946. 966
- 967 Pavón-Pérez, J., Henriquez-Aedo, K., Aranda, M. (2019). Mass Spectrometry Determination of
- 968 Fining-Related Allergen Proteins in Chilean Wines. *Food Analytical Methods, 12*, 827–837.
- 969 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-018-01416-0.

Pedreschi R., Nørgaard, J., & Maquet, A. (2012). Current Challenges in Detecting Food
Allergens by Shotgun and Targeted Proteomic Approaches: A Case Study on Traces of Peanut
Allergens in Baked Cookies. *Nutrients, 4,* 132-150. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu4020132.

Pfeifer, S., Bublin, M., Dubiela, P., Hummel, K., Wortmann, J., Hofer, G., Keller, W., Radauer,
C., & Hoffmann-Sommergruber, K. (2015). Cor a 14, the allergenic 2S albumin from hazelnut,

- is highly thermostable and resistant to gastrointestinal digestion. *Molecular Nutrition & Food*
- 976 *Research, 59, 2077–2086.* https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201500071.
- Pilolli, R., De Angelis, E., Godula, M., Visconti, A., & Monaci, L. (2014). Orbitrap[™] monostage
 MS versus hybrid linear ion trap MS: application to multi-allergen screening in wine. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 49,* 1254–1263. https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.3453.
- Pilolli, R., De Angelis, E., & Monaci, L. (2017a). Streamlining the analytical workflow for
 multiplex MS/MS allergen detection in processed foods. *Food Chemistry*, *221*, 1747–1753.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.10.110.
- Pilolli, R., Chaudhari, R., Palmisano, F., & Monaci, L. (2017b). Development of a mass
 spectrometry immunoassay for unambiguous detection of egg allergen traces in wines. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 409,* 1581-1589. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-0160099-3.
- Pilolli, R., De Angelis, E., & Monaci, L. (2018). In house validation of a high resolution mass
 spectrometry Orbitrap-based method for multiple allergen detection in a processed model food. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 410,* 5653-5662. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-0180927-8.
- Planque, M., Arnould, T., Dieu, M., Delahaut, P., Renard, P., & Gillard, N. (2016). Advances in
 ultra-high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry for
 sensitive detection of several food allergens in complex and processed foodstuffs. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1464, 115–123.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.08.033.
- Planque, M., Arnould, T., Renard, P., Delahaut, P., Dieu, M., & Gillard, N. (2017a) Highlight on
 Bottlenecks in Food Allergen Analysis: Detection and Quantification by Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of AOA International, 100,* 1-5. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0005.

- Planque, M., Arnould, T., Dieu, M., Delahaut, P., Renard, P., & Gillard, N. (2017b). Liquid 998 chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry fordetecting ten allergens in complex 999 1000 and incurred foodstuffs. Journal of Chromatography Α. 1530. 138-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.11.039. 1001
- Planque, M., Arnould, T., Delahaut, P., Renard, P., Dieu, M., & Gillard, N. (2019). Development 1002 of a strategy for the quantification of food allergens in several food products by mass 1003 spectrometry in routine laboratory. Food Chem. 274. 35-45. 1004 а https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.095. 1005
- Pomés, A., Davies, J. M., Gadermaier, G., Hilger, C., Holzhauser, T., Lidholm, J., Lopata, A. 1006 L., Mueller, G. A., Nandy, A., Radauer, C., Chan, S. K., Jappe, U., Kleine-Tebbe, J., Thomas, 1007 W. R., Chapman, M. D., van Hage, M., van Ree, R., Vieths, S., Raulf, M., Goodman, R. E., & 1008 WHO IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Sub-Committee. (2018). WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature: 1009 Providing Molecular Immunology 100, 1010 а common language. 3–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.03.003. 1011
- Qi, K., Liu, T., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., Yin, J., Ding, X., Qinc, W., Yang, Y. (2019). A rapid
 immobilized trypsin digestion combined with liquid chromatography Tandem mass
 spectrometry for the detection of milk allergens in baked food. *Food Control, 102,* 179–187.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.03.017
- Sayers, R. L., Johnson, P. E., Marsh, J. T., Barran, P., Brown, H., & Mills, E. N. C. (2016). The
 effect of thermal processing on the behaviour of peanut allergen peptide targets used in multiple
 reaction monitoring mass spectrometry experiments. *Analyst, 141,* 4130–4141.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c6an00359a.
- Sayers, R. L., Gethings, L. A., Lee, V., Balasundaram, A., Johnson, P. E., Marsh, J. A., Wallace,
 A., Brown, H., Rogers, A., Langridge, J. I., & Mills, E. N. C. (2018). Microfluidic separation
 coupled to mass spectrometry for quantification of peanut allergens in a complex food matrix. *Journal of Proteome Research*, *17*, 647–655. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00714.
- Schocker, F., Lüttkopf, D., Scheurer, S., Petersen, A., Cisteró-Bahima, A., Enrique, E., San
 Miguel-Moncín, M., Akkerdaas, J., Van Ree, R., Vieths, S., & Becker, W. M. (2004).
 Recombinant lipid transfer protein Cor a 8 from hazelnut: a new tool for in vitro diagnosis of

- potentially severe hazelnut allergy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 113,* 141–147.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2003.09.013.
- Scott, H., Sicherer, M.D., High, A., Sampson, M.D. (2018). Food allergy: A review and update
 on epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, prevention, and management. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 141, 41-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2017.11.003.
- Shefcheck, K. J., Callahan, J. H., & Musser, S. M. (2006). Confirmation of Peanut Protein Using
 Peptide Markers in Dark Chocolate Using Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry
 (LC-MS/MS). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 54,* 7953-7959.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf060714e.
- 1036 Smýkal, P., Coyne, C.J., Ambrose, M.J., Maxted, N., Schaefer, H., Blair, M. W., Berger, J.,
- 1037 Greene, S. L., Nelson, M. N., Besharat, N., Vymyslický, T., Toker, C., Saxena, R. K., Roorkiwal,
- 1038 M., Pandey, M. K., Hu, J., Li, Y. H., Wang, L. X., Guo, Y., Qiu, L. J., Redden, R. J., & Varshney,
- 1039 R. K. (2015). Legume Crops Phylogeny and Genetic Diversity for Science and Breeding. *Critical*
- 1040 *Reviews in Plant Sciences, 34,* 43-104. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2014.897904.
- Tolin, S., Pasini, G., Simonato, B., Mainente, F., & Arrigoni, G. (2012a). Analysis of commercial
 wines by LC-MS/MS reveals the presence of residual milk and egg white allergens. *Food Control, 28,* 321-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.05.015.
- Tolin, S., Pasini, G., Curioni, A., Arrigoni, G., Masi, F., Mainente, F., & Simonato, B. (2012b).
 Mass spectrometry detection of egg proteins in red wines treated with egg white. *Food Control,*23, 87-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.06.016.
- Turner, P. J., Gowland, M. H., Sharma, V, Ierodiakonou, D., Harper, N., Garcez, T., Pumphrey,
 R., & Boyle, R. J. (2015). Increase in anaphylaxis-related hospitalizations but no increase in
 fatalities: an analysis of United Kingdom national anaphylaxis data, 1992-2012. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 135*, 956-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.10.021.
- Vandekerckhove, M., Van Droogenbroeck, B., De Loose, M., Taverniers, I., Daeseleire, E.,
 Gevaert, P., Lapeere, H., & Van Poucke, C. (2017). Development of an LC-MS/MS method for
 the detection of traces of peanut allergens in chili pepper. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 409, 5201–5207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0506-4.

- Verma, A. K., Kumar, S., Das, M., & Dwivedi, P. D. (2013). A Comprehensive Review of
 Legume Allergy. Clinical Review in Allergy and Immunology, 45, 30–46.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-012-8310-6.
- Verweij, M. M., Hagendorens, M. M., Trashin, S., Cucu, T., De Meulenaer, B., Devreese, B.,
 Bridts, C. H., De Clerck, L. S., & Ebo, D. G. (2012). Age-dependent sensitization to the 7Svicilin like protein Cor a 11 from hazelnut (Corylus avellana) in a birch-endemic region. *Journal of Investigational Allergology and Clinical Immunology, 22,* 245-251.
- Viquez, O. M., Konan, K. N., & Dodo, H. W. (2004). Genomic organization of peanut allergen
 gene, Ara h 3. *Molecular Immunology, 41,* 1235–1240.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2004.06.033.
- 1065 Wal, J. (2001). Structure and function of milk allergens. *Allergy, 56,* 35-38. 1066 https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1398-9995.2001.00911.x
- Wang, T., Qin, G.-X., Sun, Z.-W., & Zhao, Y. (2014). Advances of Research on Glycinin and β Conglycinin: A Review of Two Major Soybean Allergenic Proteins. *Critical Review in Food Science and Nutrition, 54*, 850–862. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.613534.
- Worm, M., Moneret-Vautrin, A., Scherer, K., Lang, R., Fernandez-Rivas, M., Cardona, V.,
 Kowalski, M. L., Jutel, M., Poziomkowska-Gesicka, I., Papadopoulos, N. G., Beyer, K.,
 Mustakov, T., Christoff, G., Bilò, M. B., Muraro, A., Hourihane, J. O., & Grabenhenrich, L. B.
 (2014). First European data from the network of severe allergic reactions (NORA). *Allergy, 69,*1397-404. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12475.
- Yang, W., Liqing, W., Fei, D., Bin, Y., Yi, Y., Jing, W. (2014). Development of an SI-traceable
 HPLC–isotope dilution mass spectrometry method to quantify β-lactoglobulin in milk powders.
 Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, *62*, 3073–3080. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf4054337.
- Zhang, J., Hong, Y., Cai, Z., Huang, B., Wang, J., Ren, Y. (2019). Simultaneous determination
 of major peanut allergens Ara h1 and Ara h2 in baked foodstuffs based on their signature
 peptides using ultraperformance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytical Methods, 11*, 1689-1696. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ay00256a.

FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1 (color online version only)



1089

1090





EGG - YOLK



1091

Apovitellenin-1

Apolipoprotein B

to show telephere

1092 Figure 3



Table 1

Allergenic ingredient	Protein	Allergen Name	MW (kDa)	Number of known PTMs*	Isoforms and Variants	IUIS (YES/NO)	GenBank Protein	UniProt
Milk	αS1-Casein	Bos d 9	23.6	n° 9 Ser phosphorylationsphosphoryl ations	Bos d 9.0101	Yes	NP_851372	P02662
	αS2-Casein	Bos d 10	25.2	n° 13 Ser phosphorylationsphosphoryl ations	Bos d 10.0101	Yes	NP_776953	P02663
	β-Casein	Bos d 11	24	n° 5 Ser phosphorylationsphosphoryl ations	Bos d 11.0101	Yes	XP_005902099	P02666
	κ-Casein	Bos d 12	19	n°1 N-terminal Gln cyclization to pyroglutamate n° 3 Disulfide Bonds n° 3 Ser phosphorylationsphosphoryl ations n° 1 Thr phosphorylation n° 6 Thr glycosylations n° 2 Ser glycosylations	Bos d 12.0101	Yes	NP_776719	P02668
	α-Lactalbumin	Bos d 4	14.2	n° 4 Disulfide Bonds n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Bos d 4.0101	Yes	AAA30615	P00711
	β-Lactoglobulin	Bos d 5	18.3	n° 3 Disulfide Bonds	Bos d 5.0101	Yes	CAA32835	P02754
	Bovine serum albumin	Bos d 6	66.3	n° 17 Disulfide Bonds n° 6 Ser phosphorylations n° 4 Thr phosphorylations n° 2 N6-succinyllysine n° 1 Lys methylation	Bos d 6.0101	Yes	AAA51411	P02769
	Immunoglobulins	Bos d 7	160		Bos d 7.0101	Yes		
Egg	Ovalbumin	Gal d 2	44	Initial Met Removal n°1 Gly acetylation n° 2 Ser phosphorylations n° 1 Disulfide Bond n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Gal d 2.0101	Yes	CAA23682	P01012
	Ovomucoid	Gal d 1	28	n° 9 Disulfide Bonds n° 5 Asn glycosylations	Gal d 1.0101	Yes	P01005	P01005
	Ovotransferrin	Gal d 3	78	n° 15 Disulfide Bonds n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Gal d 3.0101	Yes	CAA26040	P02789
	Lysozyme C	Gal d 4	14	n° 4 Disulfide Bonds	Gal d 4.0101	Yes	CAA23711	P00698
	Serum Albumin	Gal d 5	69	n° 17 Disulfide Bonds n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Gal d 5.0101	Yes	CAA43098	P19121
	YGP42	Gal d 6	35	n° 3 Asn glycosylations	Gal d 6.0101	Yes	BAA13973	chain 1628-1912 of the P87498
	Myosin light chain 1f	Gal d 7	22	n° 1 Ala trimethylation	Gal d 7.0101	Yes	K02608.1, K02609.1 and K02610.1	P02604
	alpha-parvalbumin	Gal d 8	11.8	NA	Gal d 8.0101	Yes	CAX32963	C1L370
	Beta-enolase	Gal d 9	50	n°1 Ser acetylation	Gal d 9.0101	Yes	NP_990450	P07322

	Aldolase	Gal d 10		NA	Gal d 10.0101	Yes		
Peanut	Vicilin-type, 7S globulin (Cupin)	Arah 1	64	n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Ara h 1.0101 (clone P41B)	Yes	AAB00861	P43238
					Ara h 1 - Clone P17	No	AAA60336	P43237
	Conglutin, 2S albumin	Arah 2	17	n° 3 Pro hydroxylation	Ara h 2.0101	Yes	AAK96887	
	(Prolamin)			n° 4 Disulfide Bonds	Ara h 2.0102	No	see Allergome	
					Ara h 2.0201	Yes	AAN77576	
					Ara h 2.0202	No	see Allergome	
	Legumin-type, Glycinin, 11S	Arah 3	60, 37	NA	Ara h 3.0101	Yes	AAC63045	O82580
	globulin (Cupin)		(fragment)		Ara h 3.0201	Yes	AAD47382	Q9SQH7
					Ara h 3 genomic	No	AAM46958	
					Iso Ara h 3	No	AAT39430	
1	Profilin	Arah 5	15	NA	Ara h 5 0101	Yes	AAD55587	Q9SQ19
	Conglutin, 2S albumin (Prolamin)	Ara h 6	15	n° 5 Disulfide Bonds	Ara h 6.0101	Yes	AAD56337	Q647G9
	Conglutin, 2S albumin	Arah 7	15	NA	Ara h 7.0101	Yes	AAD56719	Q9SQH1
	(Prolamin)				Ara h 7.0201	Yes	ABW17159	B4XID4
					Ara h 7.0301	Yes	AAU21496	Q647G8
	Pathogenesis-related	Arah 8	17	NA	Ara h 8.0101	Yes	AAQ91847	Q6VT83
	protein, PR-10, (Bet v 1 like)				Ara h 8.0201	Yes	ABP97433	B0YIU5
	Nonspecific lipid-transfer	Ara h 9 9.8		Disulfide bond	Ara h 9.0101	Yes	ABX56711	B6CEX8
	protein type 1 (Prolamin)				Ara h 9.0201	Yes	ABX75045	B6CG41
	16 kDa oleosin (Glycosyl	Ara h 10	16	NA	Ara h 10.0101	Yes	AAU21499	Q647G5
	transferase GT-C)				Ara h 10.0102	Yes	AAU21500	Q647G4
	14 kDa oleosin (Glycosyl	Arah 11	14	NA	Ara h 11.0101	Yes	AAZ20276	Q45W87
	transferase GT-C)				Ara h 11.0102	Yes	AAZ20277	Q45W86
	Defensin (Scorpion toxin-like knottin)	Ara h 12	8 kDa (reducing), 12 kDa (non- reducing), 5.184 kDa (mass)	NA	Ara h 12.0101	Yes		
	Defensin (Scorpion toxin-like	Arah 13	8 kDa	NA	Ara h 13.0101	Yes		
	knottin)		(reducing), 11 kDa (non- reducing), 5.472 kDa (mass)		Ara h 13.0201	Yes		
	Oleosin (Glycosyl	Arah 14	17.5	NA	Ara h 14.0101	Yes	AAK13449	Q9AXI1
	transferase GT-C)				Ara h 14.0102	Yes	AAK13450	Q9AXI0
					Ara h 14.0103	Yes	AAT11925	Q6J1J8
	Oleosin (Glycosyl transferase GT-C)	Ara h 15	17	NA	Ara h 15.0101	Yes	AAU21501	Q647G3
	non-specific Lipid Transfer Protein 2 (Prolamin)	Ara h 16	8.5 (reducing)	NA	Ara h 16.0101	Yes		
	non-specific Lipid Transfer Protein 1 (Prolamin)	Ara h 17	11 (reducing)	NA	Ara h 17.0101	Yes		
Hazelnut		Cor a 1	17	Initial Met Removal	Cor a 1.0101	Yes	CAA50327	Q08407

	Pathogenesis-related				Cor a 1.0102	Yes	CAA5032	Q08407
	protein, PR-10, (Bet v 1 like)				Cor a 1.0103	Yes	CAA50325	Q08407
					Cor a 1.0104	Yes	CAA50326	Q08407
					Cor a 1.0201	Yes	CAA96548	Q39453
					Cor a 1.0301	Yes	CAA96549	Q39454
					Cor a 1.0401	Yes	AAD48405	Q9SWR4
					Cor a 1.0402	Yes	AAG40329	Q9FPK4
					Cor a 1.0403	Yes	AAG40330	Q9FPK3
					Cor a 1.0404	Yes	AAG40331	Q9FPK2
	Profilin	Cor a 2	14	NA	Cor a 2.0101	Yes	AAK01235	Q9AXH5
					Cor a 2.0102	Yes	AAK01236	Q9AXH4
	Non-specific lipid transfer	Cor a 8	9	n° 4 Disulfide Bonds	Cor a 8.0101	Yes	AAK28533	Q9ATH2
	protein type 1 (Prolamin)							
	Leaumin-like, 11S alobulin	Cor a 9	40	Disulfide bond	Cor a 9.0101	Yes	AAL73404	Q8W1C2
	(Cupin)				-	No	AHA36627	A0A0A0P7E3
	Vicilin-like, 7S globulin	Cor a 11	48	NA	Cor a 11.0101	Yes	AAL86739	Q8S4P9
	(Cupin)							
	Oleosin	Cor a 12	17	NA	Cor a 12.0101	Yes	AAO67349	Q84T21
	Oleosin	Cor a 13	14-16	NA	Cor a 13.0101	Yes	AAO65960	Q84T91
	2S albumin (Prolamin)	Cor a 14	10	NA	Cor a 14 0101	Yes	AC056333	D0PWG2
Almond	Non-specific lipid transfer	Pru du 3	9	Disulfide bond	Pru du 3 0101	Yes	ACN11576	
	protein 1 (Prolamin)		•			100		
	Profilin	Pru du 4	14	NA	Pru du 4.0101	Yes	AAL91662	Q8GSL5
					Pru du 4.0102	Yes	AAL91664	Q8GSL5
	60s acidic ribosomal prot. P2	Pru du 5	10	NA	Pru du 5.0101	Yes	ABH03379	Q8H2B9
	Legumin-like, Amandin, 11S	Pru du 6	ca. 360	Disulfide bond	Pru du 6.0101	Yes	ADN39440	E3SH28
	globulin (Cupin)				Pru du 6.01	No		
					variant		CAA55009	Q43607
					Pru du 6.0201	Yes	ADN39441	E3SH29
					Pru du 6.02	No		
					variant		CAA55010	Q43608
Soybean	Profilin	Gly m 3	14	Initial Met Removal	Gly m 3.0101	Yes	CAA11756	O65809
					Gly m 3.0102	Yes	CAA11755	O65810
	Pathogenesis-related	Gly m 4	17	NA	Gly m 4.0101	Yes	CAA42646	P26987
	protein, PR-10, (Bet v 1 like)							
	Vicilin, β-conglycinin, 7S	Gly m 5	63	NA	Gly m 5.0101	Yes	BAA23360	O22120
	globulin (Cupin)		65		Gly m 5.0201	Yes	BAA74452	Q9FZP9
			48	n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Gly m 5.0301	Yes	AAB23463	P25974 (variant
					,			F36L V51G
								F197L)
			48	n° 1 Asn glycosylation	Gly m 5.0302	Yes		P25974 (variant
								V51G)
	Legumin, Glycinin, 11S	Gly m 6	54	n° 2 Disulfide Bonds	Gly m 6.0101	Yes	AAA33966	P04776
	globulin (Cupin)			n° 2 Propeptides			BAC78522	
	_ 、 、 ,		52	n° 2 Disulfide Bonds	Gly m 6.0201	Yes	BAA00154	P04405
				n° 1 Propeptide	-			
			52	n° 2 Disulfide Bonds	Gly m 6.0301	Yes	CAA33217	P11828
				n° 1 Propeptide	-			

		61	Disulfide bond	Gly m 6.0401	Yes	BAA74953	Q9SB11
		56	n° 2 Disulfide Bonds	Gly m 6.0501	Yes	BAB15802	Q7GC77
Seed biotinylated protein	Gly m 7	76	NA	Gly m 7.0101	Yes	ACS49840	C6K8D1
2S albumin (Prolamin)	Gly m 8	14	Disulfide Bond	Gly m 8.0101	Yes	AAB71140	P19594
. ,	-		n° 1 Propeptide	-			

Table 2

Target	Peptide Sequence	Specificity (Tax ID)	MS platform	Precursor	Transi	tion 1	Tra	ansition 2	Trans	ition 3	References
protein	Isoallergens/variants	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
Bos d 9 -	FEVAPEPEVEGK	Bos taurus (9913)	QnQ	693 3 (+2)	920.8	Vo ⁺	676.6	Ve ⁺	267.3	a2 ⁺	Ansari et al 2011
α-S1-Casein	Bos d 9 0101	Bos mutus (72004)	QnQ	692.9 (+2)	920.3	Vo ⁺	991.3	Vo ⁺	-	-	Heick et al 2011a
	200 4 0.0 10 1	Bubalus bubalis (89462)	QnQ	692.9 (+2)	920.3	V8 ⁺	991.3	Vo ⁺	-	-	Heick et al. 2011b
				692.9 (+2)	920.5	yo ⁺	991.5	yo ⁺	1090.6	V10 ⁺	Lamberti et al. 2016
			IT IT	1384 6 (+1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Losito et al. 2013
			Orbitrap	692 9 (+2)		_	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al 2013
			Orbitrap	692 9 (+2)		_	-	_	-	-	Monaci et al. 2011
			Q-ToF	692 6 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al. 2010a
			Q-ToF	692 9 (+2)	920.5	Vo ⁺	676.4	Ve ⁺	295.2	h ₂ +	Monaci et al. 2010b
			Dual I IT	692.9 (+2)	920.5	yo Vo ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	-	-	Monaci et al. 2014
			QqQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	1090.6	V10 ⁺	Newsome & Scholl 2013
			QnQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5*	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	1090.6	V10 ⁺	Parker et al 2015
			Dual I IT / Orbitrap	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	-	-	Pilolli et al 2014
			Dual LIT	692.9 (+2)	920.5*	y°+	991.5	Vo ⁺	545.8	V10 ⁺⁺	Pilolli et al. 2017a
			QqQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	676.4	Ve ⁺	Planque et al 2016
			QnQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	676.4	Ve ⁺	Planque et al 2017a
			QnQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	676.4	Ve ⁺	Planque et al 2017b
			QaQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	676.4	Ve ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
			QnQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	1090.6	V10 ⁺	Boo et al 2018
			QaQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	-	-	-	-	Groves et al., 2018
			QuQ	692.9 (+2)	295.1*	b ₂ +	394.2	b3 ⁺	-	-	Ke et al., 2017
			Q-Orbitrap	692.9 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2018
			Dual LIT	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	1090.6	V10 ⁺	De Angelis et al 2017b
			QqQ	692.9 (+2)	920.5	V8 ⁺	991.5	Vo ⁺	-	-	Planque et al 2019
			QaQ	692.9 (+2)	460.8*	V8 ⁺⁺	496.3	V9 ⁺⁺	-	-	Qi et al., 2019
			QaQ	692.8 (+2)	1090.6	V10 ⁺	676.4	V6 ⁺	450.3	V4 ⁺	Montowska & Fornal, 2019
			~~~~	002.0 ( 2)		<b>J</b> 10	0.0.1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,	
	YLGYLEQLLR	Bos taurus (9913)	QaQ	634.8 (+2)	991.7	V8 ⁺	771.8	V6 ⁺	249.4	a2 ⁺	Ansari et al., 2011
	Bos d 9.0101	Capra hircus (9925)	QqQ	634.3 (+2)	991.3	V8 ⁺	249.2	a2 ⁺			Heick et al., 2011a
		Ovis aries (9940)	QqQ	634.3 (+2)	991.3	V8 ⁺	249.2	a2 ⁺			Heick et al., 2011b
		Bubalus bubalis (89462)	IT	634.4 (+2)	991.6	V8 ⁺	771.5	V6 ⁺	658.4	V5 ⁺	Lamberti et al., 2016
		Bos motus (72004)	IT	1267.6 (+1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Losito et al., 2013
			LIT	634.8 (+2)	991.8	V8 ⁺	771.4	V6 ⁺			Mattarozzi et al., 2014
			Orbitrap	634.4 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al., 2013
			Orbitrap	634.4 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al., 2011
			Q-ToF	634.2 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al., 2010a
			Q-ToF	634.3 (+2)	991.6	y8+	771.5	Y6 ⁺	249.2	a ₂ +	Monaci et al., 2010b
			Dual LIT	634.4 (+2)	991.6	y8 ⁺	771.5	У6 ⁺	-	-	Monaci et al., 2014
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6	y ₈ +	771.5	y6 ⁺	-	-	Newsome & Scholl, 2013
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6*	y ₈ +	771.5	y6 ⁺	658.4	y5 ⁺	Parker et al., 2015
			Dual LIT / Orbitrap	634.4 (+2)	991.6	y8 ⁺	771.5	У6 ⁺	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2014
			Dual LIT	634.4 (+2)	991.6	y ₈ +	771.5	y ₆ +	658.4	y5 ⁺	Pilolli et al., 2017a
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	934.5	y ₇ +	771.5	y ₆ +	658.4	y5 ⁺	Planque et al., 2016
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	934.5	y ₇ +	771.5	y ₆ +	658.4	y5 ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
			QqQ-ToF	634.6 (+2)	991.3	У8 ⁺	249.2	a ₂ +	-	-	Ji et al., 2017
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6	У8 ⁺	771.5	У6 ⁺	658.4	У5 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6	У8 ⁺	771.5	У6 ⁺	658.4	У5 ⁺	Boo et al., 2018
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6	У8 ⁺	249.2	a2 ⁺	-	-	New et al., 2018
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6	У8 ⁺			-	-	Groves et al.,2018
			Q-Orbitrap	634.4 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2018
			Dual LIT	634.4 (+2)	991.6	У8 ⁺	771.5	У6 ⁺	658.4	У5 ⁺	De Angelis et al., 2017b
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	934.5	<b>y</b> 7 ⁺	771.5	У6 ⁺	-	-	Planque et al., 2019
			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	552.8	y9++	249.1	b4++	-	-	Qi et al., 2019

			QqQ	634.4 (+2)	991.6	y ₈ +	771.5	У6 ⁺	529.3	y4 ⁺	Montowska & Fornal, 2019
			QqQ	634.6 (+2)	991.8	У8 ⁺	771.4	У6 ⁺			Pavón-Pérez et al., 2019
Bos d 10 -	NAVPITPTLNR	Bos taurus (9913)	Q-ToF	1195.7 (+1)	911.4	y ₈ ⁺	701.4	y6 ⁺	600.3	У5 ⁺	Gomaa & Boye, 2015
αS2-Casein	Bos d 10.0101	Bubalus bubalis (89462)	QqQ	598.3 (+2)	911.4	y8 ⁺	158.3	a2 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
		Bos motus (72004)	QQQ	598.3 (+2)	911.4	<b>y</b> 8'	158.3	a ₂ '	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
				598.3 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al., 2013
				598.3 (+2)	911.5	- Vo ⁺	456.3	- Vo ⁺⁺	285.2	- b ₂ +	Planque et al. 2016
			QnQ	598 3 (+2)	911.5	yo Va ⁺	456.3	yo V8 ⁺⁺	285.2	b3 ⁺	Plangue et al 2017b
			QqQ	598.3 (+2)	911.5	V8 ⁺	701.4	V6 ⁺	600.3	V5 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
			QqQ	598.3 (+2)	912.0	y ₈ +	285.5	b ₃ +	-	-	Ke et al., 2017
			QqQ	598.3 (+2)	911.5	y ₈ +	285.2	b3+	-	-	Planque et al., 2019
			QqQ	598.4 (+2)	911.6*	y8 ⁺	456.3	y8 ⁺⁺	-	-	Qi et al., 2019
	FALPQYLK	Bos taurus (9913)	QqQ	490.3 (+2)	120.1	a1 ⁺	648.4	y5 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
	Bos d 10.0101	Bos motus (72004)	QqQ	490.3 (+2)	120.1	a1+	648.4	y5 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
				979.3 (+1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Losito et al., 2013
			QqQ	490.1 (+2)	761.5	<b>y</b> 6	648.4"	y5	-	-	Lutter et al., 2011
				490 3 (+2)	-	-	648.4	- 	-	-	Guetal 2018
				490.3 (+2)	761.5	y6 Ve ⁺	210.2	y5	423.5	y3	Ke et al. 2017
			QaQ	490.3 (+3)	648.4	yo V5 ⁺	219.1	b2 b2 ⁺	-	-	Qi et al. 2019
Bosd5-β-	TPEVDDEALEK	Bos taurus (9913)	QqQ	623.3 (+2)	918.4	V8 ⁺	819.4*	V7 ⁺	-	-	Lutter et al., 2011
Lactoglobulin	Bos d 5.0101	Bubalus bubalis (89462)	Dual LIT	623.3 (+2)	572.8	y ₁₀ ⁺⁺	819.4	y ₇ +	-	-	Monaci et al., 2014
-		Bos mutus (72004)	QqQ	623.3 (+2)	572.8	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺⁺	819.4	y7 ⁺	918.4	y8+	Parker et al., 2015
			QqQ	623.3 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yang et al., 2014
			QqQ-ToF	623.3 (+2)	1048.2	<b>y</b> 9 ⁺	199.2	b ₂ +			Ji et al. 2017
			QqQ	623.3 (+2)	572.8	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺⁺	819.4	y7 ⁺	918.4	y ₈ ⁺	Boo et al., 2018
			Q-Orbitrap	623.3 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2018
		Bos tourus (0012)		523.5 (+2) 523.6 (+2)	572.8	<b>y</b> 10	619.4	y ₇	-	-	Figure et al., 2018
	Bos d 5 0101	Bubalus hubalis (89462)		533.3 (+2)	- 853.4*	- V7 ⁺	-	- Ve ⁺		-	Lutter et al. 2011
	200 0 0.0101	Capra hircus (9925)	QaQ	533.3 (+2)	853.4	V7 ⁺	754.4	V6 ⁺	641.3	V5 ⁺	Parker et al., 2015
		Ovis aries musimon (9938)	QqQ	533.3 (+2)	853.4	V7 ⁺	754.4	V6 ⁺	641.3	V5 ⁺	Plangue et al., 2016
		Ovis aries (9940)	QqQ	533.3 (+2)	853.4	y7 ⁺	754.4	Y6 ⁺	641.3	y5 ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
		Rangifer tarandus tarandus	QqQ	533.3 (+2)	853.4	y7 ⁺	754.4	y6 ⁺	-	-	Planque et al., 2019
		(86329)									
		Bos indicus (9915)									
		Bos grunniens (30521)									
		Ovis sp (9939)									
		Bos taurus (9913)	OnO	771 5 (+3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Figevs et al. 1996
	Bos d 5.0101	Bubalus bubalis (89462)	QaQ	771.8 (+3)	912.0	V16 ⁺⁺	790.9	V14 ⁺⁺	627.9	V11 ⁺⁺	Plangue et al., 2016
		Bos grunniens (30521)	QqQ	771.8 (+3)	912.0	V16 ⁺⁺	790.9	V14 ⁺⁺	627.9	V11 ⁺⁺	Plangue et al., 2017b
		Bos motus (72004)									•
	LSFNPTQLEEQ <b>C</b> HI	Bos taurus (9913)	QqQ	858.4 (+2)	928.4	y ₇ +	815.3	y6 ⁺	627.8	y ₁₀ **	Parker et al., 2015
	Bos d 5.0101	Bubalus bubalis (89462)	QqQ	858.4 (+2)	928.4	y7*	1254.6	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	627.8	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺⁺	Planque et al., 2016
			QqQ	858.4 (+2)	1254.6	y10 ⁺	815.3	y6 ⁺	627.8	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
				858.4 (+2)	928.4	y7'	627.8	<b>y</b> ₁₀	-	-	воо et al., 2018 Карана, 2017
				000.0 (+2) 858 4 (+2)	402.2	D4	627.8	y11	-	-	Planque et al. 2010
Gald 2 -		Gallus gallus (9031)	O-ToF	-	1204.0	<b>y</b> 10	021.0	<b>y</b> 10	1_	+	Δzarnia et al. 2013
Ovalbumin	Gald 2 0101	Alcaligenes xvlosoxvdans		844 4 (+2)	666.3	- V12 ⁺⁺	1331 7	V12 ⁺			Mattarozzi et al. 2014
- raivanni	24.42.0101	xvlosoxvdans (85698)	Orbitrap	844.4 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Monaci et al., 2013
		, ,	Dual LIT	844.4 (+2)	666.3	¥12 ⁺⁺	1121.5	<b>V</b> 10 ⁺	-	-	Monaci et al., 2014
			QqQ	844.4 (+2)	1007.5	y ₉ +	1121.5	<b>y</b> ₁₀ ⁺	860.4	y ₈ +	Parker et al., 2015
1			Dual LIT / Orbitrap	844.4 (+2)	666.3	y ₁₂ ⁺⁺	1331.7	y12 ⁺	1		Pilolli et al., 2014

			1								
1			Dual LIT	844.4 (+2)	666.3	V12 ⁺⁺	1121.5	V10 ⁺	732.4	V7 ⁺	Pilolli et al., 2017a
			000	811 1 (+2)	666.3	V40 ⁺⁺	1121 5	Vto ⁺	1331 7	Vio ⁺	Planque et al 2016
				044.4 (12)	000.5	<b>y</b> 12	1121.5	<b>y</b> 10	1551.7	<b>y</b> 12	
			Q-TOF	844.4 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Tolin et al., 2012b
			QqQ	844.4 (+2)	666.3	V12 ⁺⁺	-	-	-	-	Plangue et al., 2017a
			Orio .	844 4 (+2)	666.3	V10 ⁺⁺	1121 5	V10 ⁺	1331 7	Vio ⁺	Planque et al 2017h
					4007.5	y 12	4404.5	<b>y</b> 10	000 4	y 12	
			uqu	844.4 (+2)	1007.5	<b>y</b> 9'	1121.5	<b>y</b> 10	860.4	<b>y</b> 8	Boo et al., 2018
			Dual LIT	844.4 (+2)	666.3	<b>y</b> ₁₂ ⁺⁺	1121.5	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	732.4	y ₇ +	Pilolli et al., 2017b
			QaQ	844.4 (+2)	666.3	V12 ⁺⁺	1121.5	V10 ⁺	-	-	New et al., 2018
			O Orbitron	944 4 (+2)	000.0	<b>J</b> ¹²		<b>J</b> 10			Dilolli et al. 2019
			Q-Olbitiap	044.4 (+2)	-		-	-	-	-	Filolit et al., 2010
			Dual LII	844.4 (+2)	666.3	<b>y</b> 12 ⁺⁺	1121.5	<b>y</b> 11 ⁺	1331.7	<b>y</b> 12 ⁺	De Angelis et al., 2017b
			QaQ	844.4 (+2)	666.3	V12 ⁺⁺	1121.5	V11 ⁺			Planque et al., 2019
		Callus gallus (0021)	O ToF			<b>y</b> .=	-	<b>,</b>			Azornia at al 2013
			0-10	-	-	-	-		-	-	
	Gald 2.0101	Alcaligenes xylosoxydans	QQQ	673.4 (+2)	1095.6	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	223.2	a₂⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
		xylosoxydans (85698)	QqQ	673.4 (+2)	1095.6	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	223.2	a ₂ *	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
			Orbitran	6734 (+2)	-	-	-	_	-	-	Monaci et al. 2013
			0-0	440.2 (+2)	620.4*	+	506.0	+	600.0	h +	Derker et al., 2015
			QQQ	449.3 (+3)	039.4	<b>y</b> 5	520.5	<b>y</b> 4	000.5	D6	Parker et al., 2015
			Q-ToF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Tolin et al., 2012°
	ISQAVHAAHAEINEAGR	Gallus gallus (9031)	Q-ToF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Azarnia et al., 2013
	Gald 2 0101	Coturnix ianonica (03034)	Orbitran	887 4 (+2)	_	_	_	_	_	_	Monaci et al. 2013
				007.5 (12)	1100.0	· ·	1007 5	- +	006 5	+	
		Alcaligenes xylosoxydans	uqu	001.5 (+2)	1138.6	<b>y</b> 11	1067.5	<b>y</b> 10	990.5	<b>y</b> 9	Planque et al., 2016
		xylosoxydans (85698)	QqQ	887.5 (+2)	1138.6	<b>y</b> 11 ⁺	1067.5	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	996.5	y ₉ ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
Gald 4 -	FESNFNTQATNR	Gallus gallus (9031)	QaQ	714.8 (+2)	277.1	b ₂ +	-	-	-	-	Crvar et al., 2013
Lysozyma	Gald 4 0101	Coturnix jananica (03034)	Orbitran	71/ 8 (+2)			_	_		_	Monaci et al. 2013
Lysozyme C	Galu 4.0101		Orbitrap	714.0 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Rattus norvegicus (10116)	QQQ	714.8 (+2)	1152.5	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	951.5	y ₈ ⁺	804.4	<b>У</b> 7 ⁺	Parker et al., 2015
		Gallus lafavetii (9032)	QqQ	714.8 (+2)	589.3	V5 ⁺	690.4	V6 ⁺	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2014
		Gallus sonneratii (9033)	QiQ	714 8 (+2)	1152 5	V10 ⁺	951 5	Vo ⁺	804 4	V7 ⁺	Boolet al 2018
			Orbitron	977 4 (+2)		<b>y</b> 10	00110	<b>J</b> 0	00111	<b>j</b> /	Managi et al. 2012
	NIDGSTDTGILQINSK	Gallus gallus (9031)	Orbitrap	077.4 (+2)	-		-	-	-		Monaci et al., 2015
	Gal d 4.0101	Anas platyrhynchos (8839)	QqQ	585.3 (+3)	489.3	У4 ⁺	617.3	У5 ⁺	730.4	<b>У</b> 6 ⁺	Parker et al., 2015
		Catreus wallichii (9085)	Dual LIT / Orbitrap	877.4 (+2)	489.3	V4 ⁺	617.3	V5 ⁺	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2014
		Chrysolophus amherstiae (9088)	Dual LIT	877 4 (+2)	180 3	y.+	6173	Vc ⁺	730 /	Vo ⁺	De Angelis et al. 2017h
		Conturniu innersiae (9000)	DuarEn	011.4(12)	403.5	<b>y</b> 4	017.5	yo	750.4	yь	De Angelis et al., 2017b
		Columnx Japonica (93934)									
		Lophophorus impejanus (9040)									
		Lophura leucomelanos (140445)									
		Meleogris gollonovo (0102)									
		Favo cristatus (9049)									
		Phasianus colchicus colchicus									
1		Phasianus colchicus colchicus									
		Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Bhasianus versioolor (0055)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055)									
		Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii									
		Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cistatus (0040)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Collue Infauntii (0022)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) etti (2002)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus sonneratii (9033)									
		Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus sonneratii (9033) Alopochen aegyptiaca (30382)									
Vitellogenin-1	YLLDLLPAAASHR	Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus gallus (9031)	QqQ	480.6 (+3)	709.4	¥7*	582.3	¥11**	355.2	V7**	Planque et al., 2016
Vitellogenin-1	YLLDLLPAAASHR (linovitellin-1 chain)	Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus sonneratii (9033) Alopochen aegyptiaca (30382) Gallus gallus (9031)	QqQ QqQ	480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3)	709.4	¥7* v~*	582.3	¥11** V4.**	355.2 355.2	У7 ⁺⁺ У7 ⁺⁺	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al. 2017b
Vitellogenin-1	YLLDLLPAAASHR (lipovitellin-1 chain)	Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus sonneratii (9033) Alopochen aegyptiaca (30382) Gallus gallus (9031)	QqQ QqQ	480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3)	709.4 709.4 700.4	y7* y7*	582.3 582.3 255 2	Y11** Y11** Y11**	355.2 355.2	У7 ⁺⁺ У7 ⁺⁺	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b
Vitellogenin-1	YLLDLLPAAASHR (lipovitellin-1 chain)	Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus gallus (9031)	QqQ QqQ QqQ	480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3)	709.4 709.4 709.4	y7* y7* y7*	582.3 582.3 355.2	y11** y11** y7**	355.2 355.2 -	У7 ⁺⁺ У7 ⁺⁺ -	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b New et al., 2018
Vitellogenin-1	YLLDLLPAAASHR (lipovitellin-1 chain)	Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan satyra (9070) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus sonneratii (9033) Alopochen aegyptiaca (30382) Gallus gallus (9031)	QqQ QqQ QqQ QqQ	480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3)	709.4 709.4 709.4 709.4	y7* y7* y7* y7*	582.3 582.3 355.2 582.3	Y11** Y11** Y7** Y11**	355.2 355.2 -	У7** У7** -	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b New et al., 2018 Planque et al., 2019
Vitellogenin-1	YLLDLLPAAASHR (lipovitellin-1 chain)	Phasianus colchicus (9049) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Phasianus versicolor (9055) Syrmaticus soemmerringii (9067) Syrmaticus reevesii (9066) Tragopan temminckii (9071) Rattus norvegicus (10116) Pavo cristatus (9049) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus (9054) Phasianus colchicus colchicus (9057) Gallus lafayetii (9032) Gallus sonneratii (9033) Alopochen aegyptiaca (30382) Gallus gallus (9031)	QqQ QqQ QqQ QqQ QqQ QqQ	480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 480.6 (+3) 457.8 (+2)	709.4 709.4 709.4 709.4 709.4 617.4	У7* У7* У7* У5*	582.3 582.3 355.2 582.3 730.5	y11** y11*+ y7** y11*+ y7** y6*	355.2 355.2 -	У7** У7** -	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b New et al., 2018 Planque et al., 2019 New et al., 2018

		Galdieria sulphuraria (130081)									
		(110193)									
Vitellogenin-2	NIPFAEYPTYK (lipovitellin-1 chain)	Gallus gallus (9031) Larus argentatus (35669) Anas platyrhynchos (8839) Cuculus canorus (55661) Buceros rhinoceros silvestris (175836) Charadrius vociferus (50402) Merops nubicus (57421) Pelecanus crispus (36300) Mesitornis unicolor (54374) Colinus virginianus (9014) Meleagris gallopavo (9103) Callipepla squamata (9009) Haliaeetus albicilla (8969) Mesitornis unicolor (54374)	QqQ QqQ QqQ	671.8 (+2) 671.8 (+2) 671.8 (+2)	1115.5 1115.5 1115.5	y∍* y₂* y9*	508.3 508.3 558.3	y4* y4* y9**	558.3 558.3 -	y9** y9** -	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b Planque et al., 2019
	NIGELGVEK (lipovitellin-1 chain)	Gallus gallus (9031) Larus argentatus (35669) Anas platyrhynchos (8839) Nipponia nippon (128390) Calypte anna (9244) Nestor notabilis (176057) Buceros rhinoceros silvestris (175836) Charadrius vociferus (50402) Pelecanus crispus (36300) Merops nubicus (57421) Aptenodytes forsteri (9233) Patagioenas fasciata monilis (372326) Phalacrocorax carbo (9209) Antrostomus carolinensis (279965) Chlamydotis macqueenii (187382) Opisthocomus hoazin (30419)	QqQ QqQ QqQ	479.8 (+2) 479.8 (+2) 479.8 (+2)	731.4 731.4 731.4	y7* y7* y7* y7*	674.4 674.4 228.1	У6 ⁺ У6 ⁺ b₂ ⁺	545.3 545.3 -	y5* y5* -	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b New et al., 2018
	LPLSLPVGPR (lipovitellin-2 chain)	Gallus gallus (9031) Hydroprogne caspia (425641) Taeniopygia guttata (59729) Sterna hirundo (108405) Falco sparverius (56350) Larus argentatus (35669) Meleagris gallopavo (9103) Nipponia nippon (128390) Nestor notabilis (176057) Callipepla squamata (9009) Phaethon lepturus (97097) Falco sparverius (56350) Buceros rhinoceros silvestris (175836) Charadrius vociferous (50402) Amazona aestiva (12930) Ficedula albicollis (59894) Merops nubicus (57421) Aptenodytes forsteri (9233)	QqQ	524.8 (+2)	468.3	у9 ⁺⁺	725.4	у ⁷ *	-	-	New et al., 2018

		Patagioanas fasciata monilis									
		(3/2326)									
		Mesitornis unicolor (54374)									
		Balearica regulorum gibbericeps									
		(100784)									
		Phalacrocorax carbo (9209)									
		Antrostomus carolinensis									
		(279965)									
		Chlamydotis macqueenii									
		(10/302)									
		Colinus virginianus (9014)									
		Opisthocomus hoazin (30419)									
Arah 1	DLAFPGSGEQVEK	Arachis hypogaea (3818)	Q-ToF	688.9 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Chassaigne et al., 2007
Cupin	Ara h 1.0101 (clone P41B)	Arachis duranensis (130453)	QqQ	688.8 (+2)	930.6	V9 ⁺	300.2	a ₃ *	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
(Vicillin-Type,	Ara h 1 - clone P17		QuQ	688.8 (+2)	930.6	V9 ⁺	300.2	a3 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
7S Globulin)			OnO	688 8 (+2)	930.5	Vo ⁺	833.4	Vo ⁺	1077 5	V10 ⁺	Pedreschi et al. 2012
				688 8 (+2)	930.5	yo ⁺	833.4	yo ⁺	776.4	V7 ⁺	Savers et al. 2016
				688.8 (+2)	030.5	y9 Ve ⁺	833.4	yo Vo ⁺	776.4	y/ V- ⁺	Savers et al. 2018
				600.0 (12)	020.5	<b>y</b> 9	200.4	y8	220.4	y/	Chafeback at al. 2010
				000.9 (+2)	930.5	<b>y</b> 9	300.2	D3	229.1	D2	Sheicheck et al., 2006
			QQQ	688.8 (+2)	930.5	<b>y</b> 9	300.2	D ₃	-	-	New et al., 2018
			QqQ	688.8 (+2)	929.4	y₀⁺	447.2	b4 ⁺	-	-	Zhang et al., 2019
	VLLEENAGGEQEER	Arachis hypogaea (3818)	QqQ	786.9 (+2)	804.3	y7 ⁺	875.4	-	989.4	<b>y</b> 9 ⁺	Pedreschi et al., 2012
	Ara h 1.0101 (clone P41B)	Arachis duranensis (130453)	QqQ	786.9 (+2)	804.3	y ₇ +	875.4	y ₈ +	989.4	y ₉ +	Sayers et al., 2016
	Ara h 1 - clone P17		Dual LIT	786.9 (+2)	804.3	V7 ⁺	875.4	V8 ⁺	680.8	V12 ⁺⁺	Pilolli et al., 2017a
			QaQ	786.9 (+2)	804.3	V7 ⁺	875.4	V8 ⁺	989.4	V9 ⁺	Savers et al., 2018
			OnO	786 9 (+2)	804 4	V7 ⁺	213.2	b2 ⁺	989.4	Vo ⁺	Shefcheck et al 2006
			0-Orbitran	786.8 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pilolli et al 2018
				786.0 (+2)	804.4	V7 ⁺	1118 5	V/+ 0 ⁺	_	_	New et al. 2018
				700.3 (12)	004.4	y/	1110.5	<b>y</b> 10	-	-	Chapping at al. 2007
			Q-IOF	700.9 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Kerte et el 2016°
			LIT-Orbitrap	786.9 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Korte et al., 2016
	GIGNLELVAVR	Arachis hypogaea (3818)	QqQ	564.4 (+2)	686.6	<b>y</b> 6 ⁺	557.5	<b>y</b> 5 ⁺			Heick et al., 2011a
	Ara h 1.0101 (clone P41B)	Arachis duranensis (130453)	QqQ	564.4 (+2)	686.6	y6 ⁺	557.5	y5 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
	Ara h 1 - clone P17		QqQ	564.8 (+2)	686.4	<b>y</b> 6 ⁺	557.4	y5 ⁺	799.5	y7 ⁺	Parker et al., 2015
			QqQ	564.8 (+2)	686.4	¥6 ⁺	557.4	¥5 ⁺	444.3	¥4 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
			QqQ	564.8 (+2)	686.4	V6 ⁺	557.4	V5 ⁺	799.5	V7 ⁺	Boo et al., 2018
			Q-Orbitrap	564.8 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2018
Arah 2.		Arachis ingensis (130454)	000	807.0 (+2)	1050 5	Vo ⁺	-	_	-	_	Careri et al 2007
Condutin (2S	Ara h 2 0101	Arachis bypogaea (3818)		007.0(12)	1000.0	yo	_	-	_	_	Careri et al. 2008
Albumin)	Ara h 2.0201	Arachis Hypogaea (5010)		E76 2 (12)	020.2	h_†	007 4	Nr.+	660.2	+	Darker et al. 2000
Albumin	Ara h 2.0201	Arachis duranensis (150455)		062.0(+3)	920.3	D/	10505	y6	000.3	y5	Parker et al., 2013
	Ara n 2.0202			803.8 (+2)	807.4	y6'	1050.5	y8 1	000.3	y5'	Pedreschi et al., 2012
			QqQ	863.9 (+2)	1163.5	<b>y</b> 9	1050.5	y8	936.4	<b>y</b> 7	Sayers et al., 2016
			QqQ	863.8 (+2)	1163.5	y9⁺	1050.5	y8 ⁺	936.4	<b>У</b> 7 ⁺	Sayers et al., 2018
			QqQ	863.8 (+2)	1163.5	<b>y</b> 9 ⁺	1050.5	y8 ⁺	936.4	У7 ⁺	Boo et al., 2018
	NLPQQ <u>C</u> GLR	Arachis ipaensis (130454)	QqQ	543.3 (+2)	858.4	<b>у</b> 7 ⁺	761.4	y6 ⁺	633.3	y5 ⁺	Parker et al., 2015
	Ara h 2.0101,	Arachis hypogaea (3818)	QqQ	543.3 (+2)	858.4	¥7 ⁺	761.4	¥6 ⁺	633.3	¥5 ⁺	Pedreschi et al., 2012
	Ara h 2.0201	Arachis duranensis (130453)	QqQ	543.3 (+2)	858.4	V7 ⁺	761.4	V6 ⁺	633.3	V5 ⁺	Sayers et al., 2016
	Ara h 2.0202		QqQ	543.3 (+2)	858.4	V7 ⁺	761.4	V6 ⁺	633.3	V5 ⁺	Savers et al., 2018
			OnO	543 6 (+2)	858.2	V7 ⁺	429.8*	V7 ⁺⁺	-	-	Vandekerckhove et al 2017
			0n0	543 3 (+2)	858 4	V ₇ +	429 7	V7 ⁺⁺	633.3	Vs ⁺	Planque et al 2017b
			000	5/3 3 (+2)	959 /	y/	761 /	y'	633.3	35 V= ⁺	Roo at al. 2018
				5433(+2)	959 4	y7	101.4	y6	033.3	y5 -	Plangua at al 2010
				543.3 (+2)	000.4	<b>y</b> 7	429.7	y7	-		There et al., 2019
			uqu	543.8 (+2)	858.4	<b>y</b> 7	633.3	y5'	-	-	Znang et al., 2019
Gly m 5 - β-	I LITLAIPVNKPGR	Glycine max (3847)	QqQ	464.7 (+3)	767.5*	<b>y</b> 7 ⁺	583.4*	<b>y</b> 11 ⁺⁺	476.3*	<b>y</b> 9 ⁺⁺	Houston et al., 2011
Conglycinin		-				+	L EOO 4	++	1 470 0		
congrychini	Gly m 5.0101	Glycine soja (3848)	QqQ	464.6 (+3)	767.5	<b>y</b> 7 ⁺	583.4	<b>y</b> 11	476.3	<b>y</b> 9''	Planque et al., 2016
(Vicilin, 7S	Gly m 5.0101	Glycine soja (3848)	QqQ QqQ	464.6 (+3) 464.6 (+3)	767.5 767.5	У7 ⁺ У7 ⁺	583.4 583.4	y ₁₁ ⁺⁺ y ₁₁ ⁺⁺	476.3	y ₉ ** y ₉ **	Planque et al., 2016 Planque et al., 2017b

Consistent in gramme and large in the set of the set o			Chucing may (2017)	00	600.0 (10)	1107.6	t	070 E	+	610.4	+	Crustel 2019
Order Scale (A)         Operation (B)         Output (C)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -        -         -         -		QQQEEQPLEVR	Glycine max (3647)		092.3 (+2)	1127.0	<b>y</b> 9	870.5	y7	013.4	<b>y</b> 5	Gu et al., 2018
BisWall (SSDALR)         Glyane max (3847)         Org         688.1 + 20         863.5         w ⁻¹ 746.4         w ⁻¹ 613.5         y ⁻¹ Out el al. 2018           Oy m 6 100         Oym 7 at 3020         Opene max (3847)		Gly m 5.0201	Glycine soja (3848)	Q- Orbitrap	692.34 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Chen et al., 2019
By m 5 (20)         By m 2 (30)         Oppose sign (384)         Oppos		DSYNLQSGDALR	Glycine max (3847)	QqQ	669.8 (+2)	859.5	y8⁺	746.4	у ₇ +	618.3	<b>y</b> 6 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
DSYNLEFICADOR         Opcome mar (38/7)         <		Gly m 5.0201	Glycine soja (3848)									
Gly m 5.001, (by m 5.007)         Grad max (3847)		DSYNLHPGDAQR	Glvcine max (3847)	QaQ	458.2 (+3)	643.3	V6 ⁺	546.3	V5 ⁺	374.2	V3 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
Open B • 1 Gyr m 5.002 (Liggerm, 118 Globalin)         Open P • 1 (Liggerm, 118 Globalin)<		Glv m 5 0301	, , ,									,
Optime function         Optime max (3947)         Opdime max (3947)		Glv m 5 0302										
Orgentine in Concernance (Legentine, 1997)         Oxford (Legentine, 1997)	Chu		Chusing may (2847)	0.00	E7E 0 (10)	002.2	+	210.2	a *			Lieiek et al. 2011h
Up of m D/D		VFDGELQEGR	Glycine max (3647)	QQQ	575.2 (+2)	903.2	y8	219.2	a ₂	-	-	
Lit S disbuin, 13 S disbuin, N 15 Siboli, N 15	Giycinin	GIY m 6.0101		QQQ	575.2 (+2)	903.2	<b>y</b> 8	219.2	a ₂ '	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
115 Globulin Grad         Produce fall         Organization (Southerpoint)         Constraints         Strain (Caliform)         753 (Caliform)	(Legumin,			QqQ	575.3 (+2)	903.2	y8⁺	489.2	¥4 ⁺	788.5	y7 ⁺	Huschek et al., 2016
No. 100         0-00         75.3 (*2)         78.4         9/*         0.00         9/*         -         -         1         Plaque et al. 2019           SOSDNFEYVER         Glycine max (3847)         0-00         725.7 (*2)         381.2         y/*         1235.4         9/*         -         -         Header et al. 2019           Gly m 6.0201         0         0         725.6 (*2)         381.2         y/*         1235.4         9/*         -         -         Header et al. 2019           Gly m 6.0201         0         0         725.8 (*2)         y/*         77.6 (*3)         9/*         1235.4         y/*         1235.4         <	11S Globulin)			QqQ	575.3 (+2)	788.4	y7 ⁺	602.3	y5 ⁺	789.4	b7 ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
Image: state in the s				QqQ	575.3 (+2)	788.4	V7 ⁺	602.3	V5 ⁺	-	-	Plangue et al., 2019
Solom/Ervs/FK         Glycine max (3847)         Ord         725, 7(+2)         381.2         yr.         1         1235.4         yr.         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Gly m 6 2001, Gly m 6 2001         Glycine max (3847)         Ord         725, 7(+2)         381.2         yr.         716.8         Mix+217-HQ         480.3         yr.         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Gly m 6 2001, Gly m 6 2				Q-Orbitrap	575.28 (+2)	-	1	-	11	-	-	Chen et al., 2019
Sign is 2001.         Operational (2007)         Operational		SOSDNEEYVSEK	Glycine max (3847)	000	725 7 (+2)	381.2	V2 ⁺	1235.4	Vio ⁺	-	1_	Heick et al. 2011a
bill of grad 62007; Gyr m 62007; G					725.7 (+2)	201.2	ys	1200.4	y 10	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
Ling         Ling <td< th=""><th></th><th>Gly 11 0.0101,</th><th></th><th></th><th>725.7 (+2)</th><th>301.2</th><th><b>y</b>3</th><th>740.0</th><th></th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th></th></td<>		Gly 11 0.0101,			725.7 (+2)	301.2	<b>y</b> 3	740.0		-	-	
Gly m 6.0301         Cord (b)         72.8 (*)         77.2 (*)         %         643.3         %         480.3         %         Cale al. 2018           ISTURGUEDAR         Glycine max (3847)         GAC         GAC         669.9 (*)         884.6         %         7         783.6         %         7         Planda et al. 2019           Gly m 6.0501         Glycine max (3847)         GAC         669.9 (*)         884.6         %         870.4         %         783.6         %         Planda et al. 2019           FVLAONGEDERLK         Glycine max (3847)         GAC         669.9 (*)         383.8         %         7         1163.6         %         484.4         %         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Hotifitaan et al. 2017         Metowata et al. 2019         -         -         -         Hotifitaan et al. 2017         -         -         -         Hotifitaan et al. 2017         -         -         Hotifitaan et al. 2017         -         -         Hotifitaan et al. 2017         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -		GIY m 6.0201,		Dual LI	725.8 (+2)	381.2	<b>y</b> 3	/16.8	[MI+2H] -H2O	1235.4	<b>y</b> 10	Pliolil et al., 2017a
ISTUNSLTLPALR         Glycine max (3847)         Corpliating         7283 (2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -        -         -         -<		Gly m 6.0301		QqQ	/25.8 (+2)	112.4	<b>y</b> 6 ⁺	643.3	У5 ⁻	480.3	<b>y</b> 4 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
ISTUBULTEAR         Glyche max (3847)         Ord         Ord         Observation         Ord         Observation				Q-Orbitrap	725.83 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Chen et al., 2019
Glym 6.0401, Glym 6.0501         Glycine soja (3848) Glycine mar (3847)         Optima Glycine mar (3847)         Optima Coll         GB9.9 (+2) B89.9 (+2) B99.9 (+1) B99.9		ISTLNSLTLPALR	Glycine max (3847)	QqQ	699.9 (+2)	984.6	<b>y</b> 9 ⁺	870.5	¥8 ⁺	783.5	¥7 ⁺	Plangue et al., 2016
Giv m 6.0501         Given microphylia (45693)         O-Chaltap         699.9 (-2) (999.2 (-2)         107.7         yor         670.4         yor         456.4         yor         Montowska et al. 2019           FVLANDEDGEFLK Gy m 6.001, Gy m 6.0201         Glycine max (3847)         Dual LIT         73.9 (+2)         638.8         yr,"         1183.6         by"         208.1         by"         208.1         by"         208.1         by"         108.0         by"         208.1         by"         208.1         by"         108.0         by"         108.0         by"         208.1         by"         108.0         by"         108.0         by"         208.1         by"         108.0         by"         464.4         y"         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Giobulin (Legumin- Like)         INTVINSITPULR         Corylus aveilane (13451)         QqQ         72.0         101.3         y"         484.4         y"         -         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Like)         Applot/LANFOISR         Corylus aveilane (13451)         QqQ         72.0         101.3         y"         484.4         y"         -         -         -         Heick et al., 2016a           Cor a 9 /101 (Q8W/C2)         Corylus aveila		Gly m 6.0401,	Glycine soja (3848)	QqQQqQ	699.9 (+2)	984.6	V9 ⁺	870.5	V8 ⁺	783.5	V7 ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
Image: bit in the construction of the const		Glv m 6 0501	Glycine microphylla (45693)	O-Orbitran	699 9 (+2)	1097 7	V10 ⁺	670.4	Ve ⁺	456 4	V4 ⁺	Montowska et al. 2019
FYLARNOECEFLK Gym & ford, Gym &				d orbitrap	699.92 (+2)	-	-	_	-	_	-	Chen et al 2019
PTLDAVLEVEL         Olyme max (3947)         Dual L11         P33 (F2)         638 8         y11         1132.0         y10         1032.5         y1         Holdman teal         2017           Gyr m 50107,         Ogr m 50107,			Ohusing angew (20.17)	Dual LIT	702.0 (+0)	-	++	-	+	-	+	
Org         Org         Org         Pass (+2)         Obs         Ops         Pass (+2)         P		FYLAGNQEQEFLK	Glycine max (3847)	Dual LI	793.9 (+2)	638.8	<b>y</b> 11	1163.6	<b>y</b> 10 [°]	1092.5	<b>y</b> 9'	Piloili et al., 2017a
Gly m 6.0201         Cory 49 - 115         y         484.4         y         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Seed Storage Globulin (Legumin- Like)         Cor 8 9.0101 (Q8W7C2) Cor 8 9.0101 (Q8W7C2)         Confuls aveilana (13451)         OqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         ys ⁻¹ 484.4         ys ⁻¹ -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor 8 9.0101 (Q8W7C2)         OqQ         721.1 (+2)         1013.7         ys ⁻¹ 484.4         ys ⁻¹ 228.2         bs ⁻¹ Ansart et al., 2014           QqQ         721.1 (+2)         1013.6         ys ⁻¹ 484.4         ys ⁻¹ 228.2         bs ⁻¹ Costa et al., 2014           QqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         ys ⁻¹ 484.4         ys ⁻¹ 72.5         ys ⁻¹ Planteet al., 2017b           QqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         ys ⁻¹ 1015.5         ys ⁻¹ -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 0101 (Q8W7C2)         OqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         ys ⁻¹ 1019.5         ys ⁻¹ -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0AAAP7E3)         OqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys ⁻¹ </th <th></th> <th>Gly m 6.0101,</th> <th></th> <th>QQQ</th> <th>793.9 (+2)</th> <th>638.8</th> <th><b>y</b>11^{**}</th> <th>424.2</th> <th>D3⁺</th> <th>283.1</th> <th>a₂+</th> <th>Hoffmann et al., 2017</th>		Gly m 6.0101,		QQQ	793.9 (+2)	638.8	<b>y</b> 11 ^{**}	424.2	D3 ⁺	283.1	a ₂ +	Hoffmann et al., 2017
Cor a 9 - 115 (cr a 9 (17)NSNTLPVLR) (cr a 9 (AAAAAP7E3)         Cor/lus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         720,9 (+2)         1013.6         yi ^a 484.4         yi ^a -         Heick et al., 2011a           (Legumin- Like)         Cor a 9 (AAAAAP7E3)         -         -         Heick et al., 2014         -         -         Heick et al., 2014           QqQ         721.1 (+2)         1013.7         yi ^a 484.4         yi ^a 228.2         by ^a Ansari et al., 2012           QqQ         721.9 (+2)         1013.6         yi ^a 484.4         yi ^a 228.2         by ^a Ansari et al., 2014           QqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         yi ^a 484.3         yi ^a -         -         -         Nette al., 2016           Cor a 9 (ADAAAPTE3)         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         yi ^a 1019.5         yi ^a -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (ADAAAP7E3)         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yi ^a 1019.6         yi ^a -         -         -         -		Gly m 6.0201										
Seed Storage Globulin (Legumin- Like)         Cor a 9 (101 (Q8W1C2) Cor a 9 (A0A0A0PTE3)         Cor a 9 (101 (Q8W1C2) Cor a 9 (A0A0A0PTE3)         QqQ P(2)         721.9 (+2) P(2)         1013.7 P(1)         yi ⁻ (484.4         yi ⁻ (484.3	Cor a 9 - 11S	INTVNSNTLPVLR	Corylus avellana (13451)	QqQ	720.9 (+2)	1013.6	y9⁺	484.4	y4 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
Globulin Like)         Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)'         QqQ         721.1 (+2)         1013.7         yr         484.4         yr         228.2         br         Ansari et al., 2012           (Legumin- Like)         QqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         yr         899.5         yr         812.5         yr         New et al., 2014           QqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         yr         899.5         yr         812.5         yr         New et al., 2014           QqQ         720.9 (+2)         1013.6         yr         699.5         yr         812.5         yr         New et al., 2016           Cor a 9.0101 (08WrC2)         Cor s 0.0101 (08WrC2)         Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         OqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.6         yr         1019.5         yr         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         +Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         OqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yr         185.2         br<         175.2         yr         Prolimitet al., 2017a           OqO         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yr         185.6 (+2)         906.5         yr         1019.6         yr	Seed Storage	Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)		QqQ	720.9 (+2)	1013.6	<b>y</b> 9 ⁺	484.4	¥4 ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
Like)         Like         Like <t< th=""><th>Globulin</th><th>Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)</th><th></th><th>QqQ</th><th>721.1 (+2)</th><th>1013.7</th><th>V9⁺</th><th>484.4</th><th>V4⁺</th><th>228.2</th><th>b₂+</th><th>Ansari et al., 2012</th></t<>	Globulin	Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)		QqQ	721.1 (+2)	1013.7	V9 ⁺	484.4	V4 ⁺	228.2	b ₂ +	Ansari et al., 2012
Liko)         OqQ         720 (P2)         1013.6         yr         89.5         yr         Plaque et al. 2017b           ALPDDVLANAFQISR         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         yr         1019.5         yr         -         -         -         New et al. 2017b           Cor a 9 0101 (Q8W1C2)         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         yr         1019.5         yr         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yr         1019.5         yr         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yr         185.2         bz'         175.2         yr         Ansarie et al., 2014           Dual LIT         815.4 (+2)         906.5         yr         191.6         wi         723.4         yrs         Pilolii et al., 2017a           OqQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         yr         1019.6         wi         723.4         yrs         Pilolii et al., 2017a           Org Org Org/Us avellana (13451)         OqQ         578.3 (+2)         889.4	(Legumin-			QqQ	721.1 (+2)	1013.7	Vo ⁺	484.4	V4 ⁺	228.2	b ₂ +	Costa et al., 2014
ALLPOVLANAFQISR         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         yr         1013.6         yr         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         New et al. 2018         .         .         New et al. 2018         .         New et al. 2018         .         New et al. 2016         .         -         -         -         -         New et al. 2018         .         New et al. 2016         .         -         -         Heick et al. 2011a           Cor a \$ 0101 (Q&W1C2)         Cor a \$ 0.0010 (Q & 815.6 (+2)         906.6         yr         1018.5         yr         175.2         yr         Anseri et al. 2017         Octa et al. 2017a         Pilolitet al. 2017b         Pilolitet al. 2017b         Pilolitet al. 2019         Pilolitet al. 2017b	like)			OnO	720 9 (+2)	1013.6	Vo ⁺	899.5	Vo ⁺	812.5	V7 ⁺	Planque et al 2017b
ALPDVLANAFQISR         Conylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         yethold         -         -         -         Heikk et al., 2016*           Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)         Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         yethold         1019.5         yethold         -         -         Heikk et al., 2011a           QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yethold         1019.5         yethold         -         -         Heikk et al., 2011a           QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yethold         1019.5         bythold         -         -         Heikk et al., 2011a           QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         yethold         185.2         bythold         185.2         bythold         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Heikk et al., 2014           QuQ         676.3 (+2)         689.4         yethold         1019.6         yethold         723.4         ythillitet al., 2018         -					720.0 (+2)	1013.6	y5	18/ 3	y0	012.0	<i>y</i> ,	New et al. 2018
ALPDDVLANAFQISR         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         8125 (+2)         906.6         ys         1019.5         ys         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 0101 (Q8WTC2)         QqQ         815.5 (+2)         906.6         ys         1019.5         ys         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys         185.2         bz ² 175.2         yr         Costa et al., 2014           QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys         185.2         bz ² 175.2         yr         Costa et al., 2014           Dual LIT         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys         185.2         bz ² 175.2         yr         Costa et al., 2017a           QqQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         ys         1019.6         ys         723.4         Pilolie et al., 2017a           Plaque et al., 2019         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ys         852.5         yr         -         -         Heick et al., 2011b           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         UT         577.0 (+2)         689.4         ys         852.5         yr         -         -         Heick et al., 2016				UT Orbitron	720.3 (12)	1013.0	ya	404.5	y4	-	-	Kerte et al. 2016°
ALPUDULANAPOISY       Corylus aveilana (13451)       Corylus aveilana (13451)       Corylus aveilana (13451)       Corylus aveilana (13451)       QqQ       815.5 (+2)       906.6       ye       1019.5       ye       -       -       Heick et al., 2011a         Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)       QqQ       815.6 (+2)       906.5       ye       185.2       bz'       175.2       y'.       Ansari et al., 2012         QqQ       815.6 (+2)       906.5       ye       185.4       yr.       723.4       yr.s**       Pilolii et al., 2017a         Dual LIT       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ye       185.6       ye       723.4       yr.s**       Pilolii et al., 2017a         QqQ       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ye       185.6       ye       855.4       yr.*       723.4       yr.s**       Pilolii et al., 2017a         QqQ       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ye       185.6       ye       855.5       yr.*       1019.6       ye       723.4       yr.s**       Pilolii et al., 2017b         QqQ       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ye       1019.6       ye       723.4       yr.s**       Pilolii et al., 2017b         QqQ       676.3 (+2)       689.4       ye       852.5       yr.*			O = = (+= = = = (40.454)		720.9 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cor a 9 (101 (QBW1C2) Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)       QqQ       815.6 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 1019.5       ys [*] -       -       -       Heick et al., 2011b         QqQ       815.6 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 185.2       bs [*] 175.2       ys [*] Ansari et al., 2012         QqQ       815.6 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 185.2       bs [*] 175.2       ys [*] Ansari et al., 2014         Dual LIT       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 835.4       ys [*] 723.4       ys ^{**} Piloili et al., 2017a         QqQ       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 1019.6       ys [*] 723.4       ys ^{**} Piloili et al., 2017a         QqQ       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 1019.6       ys [*] 723.4       ys ^{**} Piloili et al., 2017b         QqQ       815.4 (+2)       906.5       ys [*] 1019.6       ys [*] -       -       -       Piloili et al., 2017b         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ys [*] 852.5       ys [*] -       -       -       Bignardi et al., 2011b         LIT       577.0 (+2)       689.4       ys [*] 567.3 <td< th=""><th></th><th>ALPDDVLANAFQISR</th><th>Corylus aveilana (13451)</th><th>QqQ</th><th>815.5 (+2)</th><th>906.6</th><th><b>y</b>8</th><th>1019.5</th><th>y9</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>Heick et al., 2011a</th></td<>		ALPDDVLANAFQISR	Corylus aveilana (13451)	QqQ	815.5 (+2)	906.6	<b>y</b> 8	1019.5	y9	-	-	Heick et al., 2011a
Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys [*] 185.2         bz [*] 175.2         ys [*] Ansari et al., 2012           QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys [*] 185.2         bz [*] 175.2         ys [*] Ansari et al., 2012           Dual LIT         815.4 (+2)         906.5         ys [*] 835.4         ys [*] 723.4         ys [*] Piloli et al., 2017           QcQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         ys [*] 1019.6         ys [*] 723.4         ys [*] Piloli et al., 2018           QcQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         ys [*] 1019.6         ys [*] -         -         -         -         -         Piloli et al., 2017           QcQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         ys [*] 1019.6         ys [*] -         -         Heick et al., 2011           Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W7C2)         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ys [*] 567.0         [M+2H] ^{*+} H ₂ O         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -		Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)		QqQ	815.5 (+2)	906.6	y ₈ ⁺	1019.5	y ₉ ⁺	-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
QqQ         815.6 (+2)         906.5         ys*         185.2         b*         175.2         y1*         Costa et al., 2014           Dual LT         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y6*         835.4         yr*         723.4         y1**         Pilolit et al., 2017a           QqQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y6*         835.4         yr*         723.4         y1**         Pilolit et al., 2017a           QqQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y6*         1019.6         ys*         723.4         y1**         Pilolit et al., 2017a           QqQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y6*         1019.6         ys*         723.4         y1**         Pilolit et al., 2018           Cor a 9 0/01 (Q8W/C2)         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y6*         852.5         yr*         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.0         y6*         567.0         [M+24]T*-H ₂ O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2013           Dual LIT         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y6*         587.3         yr*         588.3         ys*         Pilolit et al., 2018           QqQ         576		Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)		QqQ	815.6 (+2)	906.5	y8+	185.2	b ₂ +	175.2	y1*	Ansari et al., 2012
Dual LIT         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y ₉ *         835.4         y*         723.4         y ₁₃ **         Pilolite tal., 2017a           Q-Orbitrap         815.4 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolite tal., 2017a           Q-Q         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y ₈ *         1019.6         y ₉ *         723.4         y ₁₃ **         Pilolite tal., 2017a           ADIYTEOVGR         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         852.5         y ₇ *         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.0         y ₆ *         567.3         [M+2H]*+H_2O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017a           Dual LIT         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         567.3         [M+2H]*-H_2O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017a           Dual LIT         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         567.3         [M+2H]*+H_2O         -         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017a           Dual LIT         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         567.3				QqQ	815.6 (+2)	906.5	y8 ⁺	185.2	b ₂ +	175.2	y1 ⁺	Costa et al., 2014
O-Orbitrap QqQ         815.4 (+2) 815.4 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pliolitetal, 2018         Planue et al, 2019         Planue et al, 2010         Planue et al, 2016         Planue et al, 2016         Planue et al, 2017b         <				Dual LIT	815.4 (+2)	906.5	V8 ⁺	835.4	V7 ⁺	723.4	V13 ⁺⁺	Pilolli et al., 2017a
ADIYTEQVGR         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         815.4 (+2)         906.5         y ₆ *         1019.6         y ₉ *         723.4         y ₁₃ **         Planque et al., 2017b           ADIYTEQVGR         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         852.5         yr*         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (ADADADOPTE3)         LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         852.5         yr*         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         852.5         yr*         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (ADADADOPTE3)         LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.0         y ₆ *         567.0         [M+2H]*+H=0         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017b           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         852.4         yr*         588.3         y ₆ *         Planque et al., 2017b           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₆ *         852.4         yr*         588.3         y ₆ *         Planque et al., 2017b           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         - </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Q-Orbitrap</th> <th>815.4 (+2)</th> <th>  -</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>Pilolli et al., 2018</th>				Q-Orbitrap	815.4 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2018
ADIYTEQVGR         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₀ *         10100         y ₀ *         111010         Pilanque et al., 20119           ADIYTEQVGR         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₀ *         852.5         y ₇ *         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₀ *         852.5         y ₇ *         -         -         Heick et al., 2011b           LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.0         y ₀ *         567.0         [M+2H]**-H ₂ O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2010           Dual LIT         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₀ *         567.3         [M+2H]**-H ₂ O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017b           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₀ *         567.3         [M+2H]**-H ₂ O         -         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017b           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y ₀ *         852.4         y ₇ *         588.3         y ₅ *         Gu et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         - </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>000</th> <th>815 4 (+2)</th> <th>906 5</th> <th>Vo⁺</th> <th>1019.6</th> <th>Vo⁺</th> <th>723.4</th> <th>V12⁺⁺</th> <th>Planque et al 2017b</th>				000	815 4 (+2)	906 5	Vo ⁺	1019.6	Vo ⁺	723.4	V12 ⁺⁺	Planque et al 2017b
ADIYTEQVGR         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ye*         852.5         yr*         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 .0101 (Q8W1C2)         QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ye*         852.5         yr*         -         -         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.4         ye*         852.5         yr*         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2010           LIT         577.0 (+2)         689.4         ye*         567.0         [M+2H]*+H ₂ O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2013           Dual LIT         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ye*         567.3         [M+2H]*+H ₂ O         -         -         Bignardi et al., 2017a           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ye*         852.4         yr*         588.3         ye*         Gu et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolii et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -					815 4 (+2)	906.5	yo ⁺	1019.6	y9 Vo ⁺	120.1	<b>y</b> 13	Planque et al 2019
Construction       Conversion       Conversion<			Condus avellana (12451)		576 3 (±2)	680.4	<b>J</b> ∘ Ve ⁺	852.5	19 V= ⁺	_	+	Heick et al. 2011a
Cor a 9,0101 (QgW1C2)       LiT       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6       852.5       y7       -       -       Helck et al., 20110         Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)       LiT       577.0 (+2)       689.0       y6*       567.0       [M+2H]*+-H ₂ O       -       -       Bignardi et al., 2013         UIT       577.0 (+2)       689.0       y6*       567.3       [M+2H]*+-H ₂ O       -       -       Bignardi et al., 2017a         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Pilolli et al., 2017a         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Pilolli et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Pilolli et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       Pilolli et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       -       -       -       -       -       Pilolli et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       1689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       -       -			Corylus aveilaria (15451)		570.5 (+2)	009.4	<b>y</b> 6	052.5	y7	-	-	
Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)       L11       577.0 (+2)       689.0       y6*       567.0       [M+2H]*+-H_2O       -       -       -       Bignardi et al., 2010         L1T       577.0 (+2)       689.0       y6*       567.0       [M+2H]*+-H_2O       -       -       -       Bignardi et al., 2013         Dual L1T       577.0 (+2)       689.4       y6*       567.3       [M+2H]*+-H_2O       852.5       y7*       Planque et al., 2017a         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Planque et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Gu et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       New et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -		Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)		luqu	576.3 (+2)	689.4	<b>y</b> 6	852.5		-	-	Heick et al., 2011b
LIT       577.0 (+2)       689.0       ys*       567.0       [M+2H]**-H ₂ O       -       -       Bignardi et al., 2013         Dual LIT       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ys*       567.3       [M+2H]**-H ₂ O       852.5       y7*       Pilollinet al., 2017a         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Gu et al., 2017b         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Gu et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Gu et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       Pilolli et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       New et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       588.3       y5*       96*       New et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       Planque et al., 2019         QqQ       807.5 (+2)       1088.6       y10* <th></th> <th>Cor a 9 (AUAUAUP7E3)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>577.0 (+2)</th> <th>689.0</th> <th><b>y</b>6⁺</th> <th>567.0</th> <th>[M+2H]⁺⁺-H₂O</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>Bignardi et al., 2010</th>		Cor a 9 (AUAUAUP7E3)			577.0 (+2)	689.0	<b>y</b> 6 ⁺	567.0	[M+2H] ⁺⁺ -H ₂ O	-	-	Bignardi et al., 2010
Dual LIT       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       567.3       [M+2H]**-H ₂ O       852.5       y7*       Pilolli et al., 2017a         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Planue et al., 2017b         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       588.3       y5*       Planue et al., 2017b         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       Pilolli et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       New et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       -       -       -       -       -       New et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       -       -       -       -       -       New et al., 2018         LIT-Orbitrap       576.3 (+2)       689.4       y6*       852.4       y7*       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       Planue et al., 2018				LIT	577.0 (+2)	689.0	y6 ⁺	567.0	[M+2H] ⁺⁺ –H₂O	-	-	Bignardi et al., 2013
QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       852.4       yr*       588.3       ye*       Planque et al., 2017b         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       852.4       yr*       588.3       ye*       Gu et al., 2018         Q-Orbitrap       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       852.4       yr*       -       -       -       Planque et al., 2018         Q-Orbitrap       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       852.4       yr*       -       -       Planque et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       852.4       yr*       -       -       -       Planque et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       689.4       ye*       852.4       yr*       -       -       -       -       -       Planque et al., 2018         LIT-Orbitrap       576.3 (+2)       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       Planque et al., 2018         QqQ       576.3 (+2)       1088.6       y10*       874.6       ys*       -       -       -       -       -       Planque et al., 2019         QqQ       807.5 (+2)       1088.6       y10*       874.6       ys*       87				Dual LIT	576.3 (+2)	689.4	y ₆ +	567.3	[M+2H] ⁺⁺ –H ₂ O	852.5	y ₇ *	Pilolli et al., 2017a
QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ye*         852.4         yr*         588.3         ye*         Gu et al., 2018           Q-Orbitrap         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolli et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolli et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolli et al., 2018           ULIT-Orbitrap         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolli et al., 2018           QQQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         ye*         588.3         ys*         -         -         -         -         -         -         Pilolli et al., 2018           QQQ         576.3 (+2)         1088.6         ye*         588.3         ys*         -         -         -         Pilonque et al., 2019           QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10*         874.6         ys* </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>QqQ</th> <th>576.3 (+2)</th> <th>689.4</th> <th>¥6⁺</th> <th>852.4</th> <th>y7⁺</th> <th>588.3</th> <th>¥5⁺</th> <th>Planque et al., 2017b</th>				QqQ	576.3 (+2)	689.4	¥6 ⁺	852.4	y7 ⁺	588.3	¥5 ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				QqQ	576.3 (+2)	689.4	V6 ⁺	852.4	V7 ⁺	588.3	V5 ⁺	Gu et al., 2018
QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y6*         852.4         y7*         -         -         New et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         New et al., 2018           QqQ         576.3 (+2)         689.4         y6*         588.3         y5*         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Q-Orbitrap</th><th>576.3 (+2)</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>11</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>Pilolli et al., 2018</th></t<>				Q-Orbitrap	576.3 (+2)	-	-	-	11	-	-	Pilolli et al., 2018
ULT-Orbitrap QqQ         576.3 (+2) 576.3 (+2)         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -				OnO	576.3 (+2)	689.4	Ve ⁺	852.4	V7 ⁺	-	-	New et al 2018
Litro-formap         Orto-style         Orto-					576 3 (+2)	000.4	yo	502.7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Korte et al. 2016a
QGQVLTIPQNFAVAK         Corylus avellana (13451)         QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10 ⁺ 874.6         y8 ⁺ Heick et al., 2019           Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)         QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10 ⁺ 874.6         y8 ⁺ Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         QqQ         807.8 (+2)         874.6         y8 ⁺ 186.2         b2 ⁺ 314.2         b3 ⁺ Ansari et al., 2012           QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10 ⁺ 437.7         y8 ⁺⁺ -         -         Kote & Brockmeyer, 2016b					576.3 (+2)	680.4	- +	599.3	- +	-	-	Planguo et al. 2010
QGQVL IIPQNEAVAK         Corylus aveilana (13451)         QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10*         874.6         y8*         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)         QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10*         874.6         y8*         Heick et al., 2011a           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         QqQ         807.8 (+2)         874.6         y8*         186.2         b2*         314.2         b3*         Ansari et al., 2012           QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10*         437.7         y8**         -         -         Krick & Brockmeyer, 2016b           QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10*         437.7         y8**         -         -         Krick & Brockmeyer, 2016b				uqu Q	576.5 (+2)	009.4	<b>y</b> 6	300.3	<b>y</b> 5			
Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)         QqQ         807.5 (+2)         108.6         y10 ⁺ 874.6         y8 ⁺ Heick et al., 2011b           Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         QqQ         807.8 (+2)         874.6         y8 ⁺ 186.2         b2 ⁺ 314.2         b3 ⁺ Ansari et al., 2012           QqQ         807.8 (+2)         874.6         y8 ⁺ 186.2         b2 ⁺ 314.2         b3 ⁺ Costa et al., 2014           QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y10 ⁺ 437.7         y8 ⁺⁺ -         -         Kritelik et al., 2016b		QGQVLTIPQNFAVAK	Corylus avellana (13451)	QqQ	807.5 (+2)	1088.6	<b>y</b> 10	874.6	y8 [™]		1	Heick et al., 2011a
Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)         QqQ         807.8 (+2)         874.6         y ₈ *         186.2         b ₂ *         314.2         b ₃ *         Ansari et al., 2012           QqQ         807.8 (+2)         874.6         y ₈ *         186.2         b ₂ *         314.2         b ₃ *         Costa et al., 2014           QqQ         807.5 (+2)         1088.6         y ₁₀ *         437.7         y ₈ **         -         -         Kriet & Brockmeyer, 2016b		Cor a 9.0101 (Q8W1C2)		QqQ	807.5 (+2)	1088.6	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	874.6	y8 [™]		1	Heick et al., 2011b
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Cor a 9 (A0A0A0P7E3)		QqQ	807.8 (+2)	874.6	y8 ⁺	186.2	b2 ⁺	314.2	b3 ⁺	Ansari et al., 2012
QqQ 807.5 (+2) 1088.6 $y_{10}^+$ 437.7 $y_{8}^{++}$ Korte & Brockmeyer, 2016b							÷					
				QqQ	807.8 (+2)	874.6	y8⁺	186.2	b ₂ *	314.2	b3 ⁺	Costa et al., 2014
				QqQ QqQ	807.8 (+2) 807.5 (+2)	874.6 1088.6	y8 ⁺ y10 ⁺	186.2 437.7	b2 ⁺ y8 ⁺⁺	314.2 -	b3 ⁺ -	Costa et al., 2014 Korte & Brockmeyer, 2016b

			QqQ	807.5 (+2)	1088.6	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	987.6	У9 ⁺	874.5	y8 ⁺	Planque et al., 2017b
			LIT-Orbitrap	807.5 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Korte et al., 2016a
			QqQ	807.5 (+2)	1088.6	<b>y</b> 10 ⁺	874.5	y8 ⁺			Planque et al., 2019
			QqQ								Korte et al. 2019
Cor a 11 - 7S	LLSGIENFR	Corylus avellana (13451)	QqQ	524.9 (+2)	822.4	y7 ⁺	565.4	У4 ⁺	199.3	$a_2^+$	Ansari et al., 2012
Seed Storage	Cor a 11.0101		QqQ	524.9 (+2)	822.4	y7 ⁺	565.4	y4 ⁺	199.3	a2 ⁺	Costa et al., 2014
Globulin			QqQ	524.8 (+2)	822.4	y7 ⁺	227.2	b2 ⁺	-	-	New et al., 2018
(Vicilin-Like)	AFSWEVLEAALK	Corylus avellana (13451)	QqQ	682.7 (+2)	644.4	У6 ⁺	402.3	У4 ⁺	191.3	a ₂ +	Ansari et al., 2012
	Cor a 11.0101		QqQ	682.7 (+2)	644.4	y6 ⁺	402.3	y4 ⁺	191.3	a2 ⁺	Costa et al., 2014
			QqQ	682.4 (+2)	872.5	y8+	743.5	<b>у</b> 7 ⁺	-	-	New et al., 2018
Pru du 6 -	QQGQQEQQQER	Prunus dulcis (3755)	LIT	694.0 (+2)	677.0		685.0	[M+2H] ⁺⁺ –H ₂ O	-	-	Bignardi et al., 2010
Amandin, 11S	Pru du 6.0101										
Globulin	TDE <u>NG</u> FTNTLAGR	Prunus dulcis (3755)	QqQ	698.3 (+2)	936.5	У9 ⁺	879.5	У8 ⁺	732.4	y7*	Planque et al., 2017b
Legumin-Like	Pru du 6.0201		LIT-Orbitrap	698.3 (+2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Korte et al., 2016a
Protein			QqQ	698.3 (+3)	879.5	y8 ⁺	732.4	y7 ⁺	-	-	Planque et al., 2019