

Canterbury Research and Theses Environment

Canterbury Christ Church University's repository of research outputs

http://create.canterbury.ac.uk

Please cite this publication as follows:

Marlow, J. and Uphill, M. A. (2017) Exploring the nature of counterfactual thinking and their perceived consequences in an elite sporting context: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. The Sport Psychologist, 31 (4). pp. 369-381. ISSN 0888-4781.

Link to official URL (if available):

https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2017-0011

This version is made available in accordance with publishers' policies. All material made available by CReaTE is protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Contact: create.library@canterbury.ac.uk



1	Running head: COUNTERFACTUALS IN ELITE SPORT
2	ACCEPTED by THE SPORT PSYCHOLOGIST as an Applied Research article
3	Title: Exploring the Nature of Counterfactual Thinking and Their Perceived Consequences in
4	an Elite Sporting Context: An Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis.
5	Authors: Jack A. G. Marlow, & Mark Uphill.
6	Affiliations: School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University,
7	Canterbury, United Kingdom.
8	
9	Abstract
10	This study explored the characteristics, contextual factors and consequences of
11	counterfactual thoughts in seven elite athletes using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis
12	(IPA). Counterfactuals were experienced regularly with self-directed and upward
13	counterfactuals (cognitions about how things could be better) being most frequent. These
14	upward counterfactuals typically occurred following performance that was below participants'
15	goals and expectations These thoughts were perceived by participants to have a negative affect
16	initially, and that they then led to facilitative behavioral consequences around learning and
17	development. Some elements of counterfactual thinking could be used as a useful reflective
18	tool to encourage elite athletes to problem solve and motivate cognitive, emotional and
19	behavioral change to enhance future performance.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

Introduction

27 Success when defined by outcome is often determined by small margins. This is 28 accentuated in elite sport where athletes' continual search for peak performance often means 29 that the differences between competitors' performances are minimal. It is therefore unsurprising that imagining how a performance could have been different is a common 30 31 occurrence. These thoughts about what might have been or alternatives of what has happened in the past are labelled as 'counterfactuals' (Mandel, Hilton, & Catellani, 2005). Counterfactual 32 thinking is defined as "mental representations of alternatives to past occurrences, features and 33 34 states" (Roese, Sanna, & Galinsky, 2005, p.138). Counterfactuals can be both evaluative and reflective in nature and alternatives can either be notably better or worse than actuality. Better 35 36 alternatives are labelled upward counterfactuals; whereas worse alternatives are labelled as 37 downward counterfactuals (Epstude & Roese, 2008; for reviews, see Bryne, 2016).

Research has typically shown that counterfactual thoughts are experienced in situations 38 39 that are unusual and unexpected and that do not reflect previous experience (Kahneman & 40 Miller, 1986). Counterfactuals are also generated where there is a closeness between of the 41 actual outcome and a desired outcome such as losing a match to an opponent's last minute score (Meyers-Levy & Maheswaran, 1992; Roese & Hur, 1997; Sanna & Turley, 1996; Sanna 42 et al., 2003¹). Violation of personal expectation and norms can elicit sensations of surprise or 43 44 frustration and lead to counterfactual thoughts that focus individuals' attention on what could 45 have been done differently (Kahneman & Tversky, 1982; Mandel, 2003). In accordance with the norm theory, the primary cognitive role of counterfactuals in this sense is to facilitate the 46 learning from mistakes or underperformance by producing achievable alternatives (Kahneman 47 48 & Miller, 1986; Roese, 1994).

49 Recognizing the potential value of counterfactual thinking, the functional perspective
50 (Epstude & Roese, 2008) emphasizes the usefulness and beneficial impact of counterfactuals

for behavior change. The functional theory suggests counterfactuals are closely related to goal directed thoughts (Epstude & Roese, 2008). This approach suggests that when a goal is not achieved, athletes will form alternatives about what could have been done to achieve said goal. Similar to norm theory, the primary role of counterfactuals is problem solving. Specifically, performance below a reference value drives intention for corrective behavior change to address underperformance, forming a regulatory loop.

57 Epstude and Roese (2008) describe two mechanisms underlying the consequences of 58 counterfactuals: Contrast effects are where the factual outcome seems worse or better than the 59 alternative outcome (e.g., Roese, 1994), and causal inference effects are where counterfactuals 60 may emphasize causal links between antecedent behaviors and outcomes (Roese, 1997). This 61 led to a distinction between content-specific and content-neutral pathways regarding the goals 62 and intentions to inform behavior change.

63 In sport, previous research has sought to characterize counterfactual thinking in terms 64 of its direction, structure and content (Dray & Uphill, 2009). Following a close competitive 65 event, individuals tend to gravitate towards upward counterfactual thoughts directed at how 66 things could have turned out better compared to downward counterfactual thoughts directed at 67 how things could have turned out worse (Summerville & Roese, 2008). The structure of 68 counterfactual thoughts can differ in terms of the addition (e.g., if only I had given that pass) 69 or subtraction (e.g., if I hadn't had given that pass we would have failed; Dray & Uphill, 2009). 70 Sanna and Turley (1996) suggest that additive counterfactuals are a product of unexpected 71 failure, whereas subtractive counterfactuals are a product of success.

Additionally, the content or target of counterfactual thoughts refers to what is being altered that results in a change of the factual outcome. This can include the environment and behaviors, thoughts or emotions experienced by athletes themselves and others (Dray & Uphill, 2009). In relation to improving performance through behavior change, it would be straightforward to say that counterfactuals focused on one's own actions as opposed to others or the environment may more likely be functional and self-improving. However, counterfactuals focused on others might inform one's behavior change through vicarious learning (Epstude & Roese, 2008). Accordingly, individuals utilize counterfactuals to identify and alter thoughts, emotions and behaviors that are atypical to expectation and norms (Kahneman & Tversky, 1982) as well as goals (Markman, Gavanski, Sherman, & McMullen, 1995).

An extensive body of literature has outlined the consequences of counterfactual 83 84 thoughts for affect and subsequent behavior (for reviews, see Epstude & Roese, 2008; Sanna, 85 Carter, & Small, 2006; Roese & Olson, 1995). Early research around counterfactual thinking 86 tended to focus on the contrast effect of counterfactuals (e.g., Roese, 1994; Boninger, Gleicher, 87 & Strathman, 1994). This is where imagining how things could have been better is associated 88 with negative emotions (e.g., anger, frustration, guilt, worry) and imagining how things could 89 have been worse is associated with positive emotions (e.g., happy, relief, satisfaction). This led 90 to a view of downward counterfactuals serving a functional purpose to regulate emotions 91 (Markman et al., 1993; Roese, 1994). However, McMullen and Markman (2002) suggest that 92 both upward and downward counterfactual thoughts are also associated with assimilation 93 effects where upward counterfactuals can be associated with positive emotions and downward 94 counterfactuals can be associated with negative emotions. Thus, the Reflection and Evaluation 95 Model (REM: Markman & McMullen, 2003) suggests that reflective upward counterfactuals 96 (i.e., where one imagines the better alternative) lead to positive affect, whereas evaluative 97 upward counterfactuals (i.e., where one contrasts the actual – worse – reality with the better 98 alternative) lead to negative affect. This pattern is reversed for downward counterfactuals 99 where reflection is associated with negative affect and evaluation with positive affect 100 (Markman & McMullen, 2003).

COUNTERFACTUALS IN ELITE SPORT 5

101 In terms of behavioral consequences, previous research has found that upward 102 counterfactuals lead to greater intention to prepare, greater task effort and improved 103 performance as the functional perspective predicts (Markman et al., 1993; Markman, 104 McMullen, & Elizaga, 2008; Roese, 1994). Thus, counterfactuals identify key behaviors that are required to improve (Epstude & Roese, 2008; Roese, 1994; Roese & Olson, 1993; 1995) 105 106 and this results in more motivation to improve (Markman & McMullen, 2003; Markman et al., 107 2008). Sherman and McConnell (1995) summarize these consequences into three broad 108 categories: Affect regulation, preparation for the future, and feelings of controllability.

Besides some positive affects associated with counterfactual thinking, there might also be some less helpful implications. For example, more intense and excess upward counterfactual usage is associated with greater distress and depression (Davis et al., 1995; Gilbar & Hevroni, 2007; Lecci, Okun, & Karoly, 1994; Markman & Miller, 2006). With elite sport having so much at stake (i.e., funding, sponsorship, medals) and with outcomes being determined by small margins, excessive use of counterfactuals might occur and mental health issues might arise.

116 Although literature points to a range of factors influencing the incidence, characteristics 117 and consequences of counterfactual thinking, understanding of *athletes*' experience and use of 118 counterfactual thinking is currently limited in several respects. First, the majority of the 119 counterfactual research demonstrating emotional, motivational and behavioral consequences 120 has primarily focused on non-sporting populations in a laboratory setting. Second, although 121 there is previous research exploring counterfactuals in Olympic athletes (e.g., Medvec, Madey, & Gilovich, 1995; McGraw, Mellers, & Tetlock, 2005), studies exploring counterfactuals 122 123 experienced by competing elite athletes and their perceived consequences is scarce. Third, vignette methodologies that use hypothetical scenarios to elicit and measure the production of 124 125 counterfactuals are typically employed. This method can serve to constrain the counterfactuals 126 reported and has ecological validity (Uphill & Dray, 2009). It is therefore important to explore 127 counterfactuals that are generated from real world environments and are recently experienced. 128 In sum, generating counterfactual thoughts about learning experiences has been found 129 to motivate behavioral intention and hence facilitate task performance (e.g., Chan, Caputi, 130 Jayasuriya, & Browne, 2013). As elite athletes are required to perform within a goal orientated 131 environment, how they experience and utilize counterfactuals might provide an insight into 132 their importance in enhancing and maintaining high levels of performance, and/or contributing 133 to experiences of distress. For example, counterfactuals might be integrated into reflective 134 practice processes and allow the athlete and psychologist to build an effective working alliance through empathetic understanding (Dryden, 2006), or help practitioners develop interventions 135 136 to manage disappointment associated with a "near miss".

To redress limitations in the extant literature, this study used a phenomenological approach to explore elite athletes' experiences regarding a) the nature, content and characteristics, b) the contextual factors associated with counterfactual thinking, and c) the consequences of counterfactuals.

141

Methodology

142 **Participants**

143 Seven athletes (4 male, 3 Female) were recruited to take part in the study. They 144 represent a range of different sports (Rifle Shooting, 3; Athletics, 1; Football, 1; Fencing, 1; 145 Swimming, 1) and have all competed at professional, national or international level (including Woman's Premier South, World Championships, World Cups, European Championships, 146 British Championships, Commonwealth games, and Paralympics games). In accordance with 147 148 Swann and colleagues (2015), participants therefore represented *competitive-elite* and 149 successful-elite athlete populations. Participants were aged 20 - 68 years (M=33.29, SD= 16.40) and were selected from a range of sports to provide and explore different contexts of 150

151 counterfactuals. To provide free recollections of counterfactuals, participants were also152 required to be involved in regular training and have recently competed.

153 Design

154 A qualitative interview methodology was used to explore the nature of counterfactuals 155 and their perceived consequences in elite athletes. Semi-structured interviews were conducted 156 to gather participant data underpinned by a phenomenological perspective, which aims to understand the athlete's personal lived experience of a phenomenon (Smith & Osborn, 2003). 157 158 In relation to the phenomenological approach, an Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis 159 (IPA; Smith, 1996) was used to analyze the data obtained as it "offers psychologists the opportunity to learn from the insights of the experts - research participants themselves" (Reid, 160 161 Flowers, & Larkin, 2005, p. 20).

162 Interview Schedule

The semi-structured interview schedule was constructed to suit the use of IPA and follow the guidelines set by Smith (1995) and Smith and Osborn (2003). The interviews were designed to allow the interviewer to frame questions in a broad and open manner and to prompt for more detail if needed. Using suggestions by Smith and Osborn (2003), the first section of the interview was designed to build rapport with participants, through the discussion of their history in their particular sport, their season so far and then a discussion of a recent competitive event to explore the use of counterfactuals and their perceived consequences.

Participants were asked to recall their personal experience of counterfactuals and their perceived consequences around a recent event. A general open question 'Tell me about your personal experience of a recent positive/negative event that you thought could have turned out differently...' was used to get a detailed outline of the event and the counterfactuals experienced. From this, the interviewer used probing questions to get more details on the counterfactual's type (e.g., upward or downward), content, direction (e.g., self or others), structure (e.g., additive or subtractive), controllability and their perceived consequences (e.g., thoughts, behaviors and emotions). These probing questions were designed to be a 'gentle nudge from the interviewer' (Smith & Osborn, 2003) to reduce researcher bias and avoid leading the participant to a pre-determined conclusion. As most of the initial counterfactuals were of an upward nature, the process was repeated to explore downward counterfactuals during the specified events. A draft of the interview schedule was piloted in an interview carried out with a novice squash player. This informed the final draft of the interview schedule.

183 **Procedure**

184 Following institutional ethical approval, participants were recruited opportunistically 185 via email through relevant gatekeepers and from previous professional contact. Prior to the interviews, the participants were given an information sheet about the study, a copy of the 186 187 Institution's Research Code of Ethics along with a consent form. The interviews took place at 188 the research institution (N=3) or another location suitable to the participant that ensured 189 comfort and anonymity (N=4). The beginning of each interview involved the participant filling 190 out a demographic sheet which allowed the interviewer to chat and build rapport with the 191 participant before recording. The interviews were then carried out and recorded using the 192 'Voice Memo' App on the iPhone. Interviews lasted between 32 and 48 minutes (M= 44.39, 193 SD= 6.01) during which participants gave their personal accounts on the use of counterfactual 194 thinking and their perceived consequences.

195 Analysis

All interviews were transcribed verbatim by the first author to allow for detailed analysis. Interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA) was conducted as it takes into account the idiographic nature of participants' experiences and perspectives (Reid et al., 2005). Although IPA aims to gain the unique perspective of participants through their verbalizations, these are often not obvious and require a degree of interpretation from the researchers (Smith, 1995). To ensure interpretation was an accurate reflection of participants' experiences as they were described, the researchers utilized active listening techniques (e.g., paraphrasing, summarizing) to outline their understanding and sense of the participant's perspective to which the participant agreed or elaborated in more detail until an agreed understanding was reached (Reid et al, 2005).

206 As recommended by Smith, Jarman, and Osborn (1999), the transcripts were read and 207 reread several times which allows for in-depth familiarization with the data before analyzing themes and collating quotes. On the left margin, statements from the transcript were reworded, 208 209 summarized and commented upon to ensure the researcher had understood the accounts 210 provided by the participants. Using these preliminary notes, the right margin was used to document themes and associations of the use of counterfactuals and their perceived 211 212 consequences. Counterfactuals and their themes were identified as the number of times an 213 athlete refers to any possible alternative to what happened in reality. These themes were then 214 grouped and categorized into similar constructs which were later labelled master themes. These 215 were then divided further in to subthemes. These themes were collected and analyzed using the 216 first transcript, which then informed the analysis of the subsequent transcripts. Any new themes 217 in subsequent transcripts where then added and all transcripts were then revisited with the full 218 set of master themes and subthemes.

219

Results and Discussion

Interviews with participants focused on gathering information in three key areas: The content and characteristics of counterfactual thoughts they have experienced, the context surrounding their elicitation and the consequences of these thoughts. Once master themes were identified in the analysis, sub-themes were determined and key examples were drawn from the transcripts. Data were then organized and presented in a hierarchical tree structure to demonstrate the process of analysis.

226 Content and Characteristics of Counterfactuals

When discussing upward and downward counterfactuals experienced by the participants, four main themes emerged: Comparing oneself to a previous self (including physical and technical abilities, psychological skills, and performances), others' actions (including competitors and support staff), situational demands (including environmental demands, equipment, and organizational demands) and personal performance (see figure 1). Although master themes were similar between both upward and downward counterfactuals, themes and content did vary due the difference in their possible function.

234 Contextual Factors Prompting Counterfactual Generation

When discussing experience surrounding counterfactuals and the possible contextual factors influencing them, four main themes emerged for both upward and downward counterfactuals: Goals set prior to competition, their expectations going into the competition, their experience (whether it was their first exposure to issue/competition or not) and personality (see figure 2).

240 **Consequences of Counterfactuals**

241 When discussing participants' experienced consequences for both upward and downward counterfactual thoughts, several main themes emerged: Personal growth, emotional 242 243 change and behavioral change (see figure 3). Personal growth refers to participant's 244 development as a person and athlete through learning, sharing and reflection to aid problem 245 solving and motivation. The emotional influence of counterfactuals included emotions directed 246 to self and others including competitors and support staff as well as regulation strategies to cope with emotional demands of competition. Behavioral influences were identified by how 247 248 counterfactuals influence behavior change to enhance competition and training practices. Other 249 key themes include cognitive change where the participants discussed how counterfactuals retrospectively influenced cognition. For example, attentional change where counterfactuals 250

led to a shift in their attention and attitude change where participants displayed favor or disfavorto a person, event or object.

253 Narrative Results

To facilitate the reporting of a clear and accurate reflection of the counterfactuals experienced and their perceived consequences, participants were asked to reflect on a recent competitive event. During these reflections, participants demonstrated and expressed generalized counterfactuals, for example: "*Things could have turned out differently had I approached the fight somewhat differently*" (Participant 6).

259 **Content and characteristics of counterfactuals.** During these reflections, participants 260 discussed various characteristics regarding the key themes around content of counterfactuals 261 as well as when and where these counterfactuals took place. Traditionally, counterfactuals are 262 retrospective thoughts as demonstrated by participant 1: "*After the competition is the time to* 263 *look back*", and participant 6: "*Immediately it was there, it was sort of I knew what had* 264 *happened straight away so I was able to reflect on that*".

These also took place when athletes had the appropriate time and space to reflect deeply on these thoughts: "*Cool down is when you relax and just work through everything then you go back and have a chat with your coach no more than an hour after*" (Participant 7). However, participant 7 reflected on how these thoughts are short lived and are forgotten when they have fulfilled their purpose: "*I think about them after when I've done the race and I'm done when I don't have to think about it anymore*".

One common theme regarding the generation of the counterfactuals was following poor performance outcomes. In the study, this is represented by the master theme of 'underperformance' and supports research suggesting counterfactuals are most common following a negative interpretation of an event (Epstude & Roese, 2008). When asked to reflect on outcomes, many counterfactuals referred to how performance could have been 'better', for example: "I shot 625.5 and I'd shot 626 so another point five what I had already shot, I would
have been selected to go to Poland" (Participant 3).

Another common theme for both upward and downward counterfactuals was comparing oneself in relation to a previous self, for example: "*I've noticed how my game is so different to back then*" (Participant 5). Participants had also reflected on recently significant events such as traumatic injury and how they might influence the frequency and severity of counterfactuals: "*Before that accident I was a player that never gave up. If I went down, it was straight back up*" (Participant 5). However, reflecting on a previous self might be used as a coping mechanism in adverse situations:

- I was able to win a particular fight, I can take that experience forward [...] I would be
 able to use that experience and say 'hey come on you can do it, you can do it' and take
 that forward from there. (Participant 6)
- When reflecting on a particular event, participants not only generate counterfactuals directed at oneself but also towards or in relation to others who directly influence their performance including coaching decisions:
- Put me in my position and I'll show you what I can do, don't put me in a position I
 never play in [...]. If I'm not going to get that then I'll be selective about how much I
- 293 *put myself through you know stress in terms of travel you know.* (Participant 5)
- 294 Counterfactuals directed towards the self in relation to others were common among 295 elite athletes. This includes comparing oneself to other competitors and the standard they are 296 competing at:
- 297 Before a race er... (I) think, well have my competitors had that, have they, are they 298 going to be able to have the edge because they know I've had time out of the water so 299 I let that sit on my mind a little bit. (Participant 7)

300 In addition to this, reflection on others' capabilities allows athletes to adapt their301 behavior for strategic reasons:

- *I always look back at my previous races and think... I always look at the winner of the race and think where they positioned throughout and then over time it becomes ingrained in me the winner is normally positioned here during the race.* (Participant 4)
 In response, these counterfactuals aim to achieve cognitive and behavioral change to
 overcome the issue or problem behaviors that leads to underperformance:
- 307 I'd get better at thinking, right, I can't get scared of this or go practice the event before
 308 so I've done it in a training session when it didn't matter and then come into a race
 309 knowing that I was going to make it to the end and not let any thoughts or anybody
 310 else's opinions get into my race progress or my race plan. (Participant 7)
- The final theme includes situational factors that were not ideal and had a perceived effect on performance and outcome. Some situational factors related to others' decisions such as a coaching decision based on formation and positioning:
- 314 One, I didn't know what I was doing in my position anyway [so my positioning], two, I
- 315 wasn't really in it motivation wise and three I haven't got a cracking clue what is going

316 *on with this formation right now, who's doing what?* (Participant 5)

317 However, counterfactuals directed solely at the situation can be unhelpful:

318 *I try not to blame the equipment and I try not to blame like the rifle, me stuff, my frame*

- 319 because to me if you start blaming all those sorts of things that just makes you a bad
- 320 *shooter all together*. (Participant 3)

It is evident from the analysis of the interviews that the frequency of upward counterfactuals is higher than downward counterfactuals. However, the key themes are relatively similar in their content outlined above. Those who perceived to over achieve in reference to their expectations experienced downward counterfactual thoughts (Epstude & Roese, 2008). The difference of downward counterfactuals seems to depend on the outcome and significance of others (e.g., competitors) as suggested by participant 4: "*I managed to get second so I wouldn't have been able to do that if it wasn't for the person behind me who was there*" and the contextual factors associated such as the goals and expectations set prior to competing, for example: "*I ended up finishing with a Bronze but I was happy with and I wasn't. I'd hit the score but it didn't feel like a good shoot*" (Participant 3).

331 Contextual factors prompting counterfactual generation. Participants were asked about their prior goals and expectations to gather contextual information linked to the 332 333 generation and content of counterfactuals. As Epstude and Roese (2008) suggest, mental 334 simulations such as counterfactuals are deeply connected to goals and expectations. Following analysis of interviews there seemed to be a strong association between the goals they set and 335 336 the content and characteristics of counterfactuals. For example, thinking too much affected 337 participant 1's perceived ability to achieve his outcome goal of attending the Paralympics: "I 338 wanted to hit my MOS there [...]. But, obviously my, I was thinking about it too much and that 339 altered my performance as well [...] then I probably would have got selected for Paralympics". Goals were individualized with some participants focusing more on the process of 340

performing: "*I've got the strength to stay up and I can keep going and keep working hard er... that's really the focus I kept for that game.*" (Participant 5).

Expectations are different to goals as they are the belief that something *will* or *should* happen rather than the *desire* for something to happen. Expectations can come from what one experiences in the lead up to competition: "*I was doing well in training, I thought, kept thinking to myself 'I'm going to hit this score the way I'm shooting*"" (Participant 1). However, most of the expectations participants had prior to competition seemed to be based on previous outcome and performance measures related to goals, for example: "*Well I know what my PB's are... Er,* at the international I was just below MQS for both events which was a shame [...] I didn't do
half as well as I thought I would" (Participant 2).

351 As predicted, elite athletes seemingly have a high expectation of their abilities and in 352 turn performance which means they would be more likely to experience performance that does 353 not meet their expectations, eliciting more upward compared to downward counterfactual 354 thoughts (Kahneman & Tversky, 1982; Epstude & Roese, 2008). From this study, expectations 355 seem to be based on previous experience or others' (e.g., coaches, family and individuals within 356 the organization) views on how they *should* be performing. Goals are set in the context of how 357 an athlete *could* be performing in relation to a desired performance. These inform the generation, direction, intensity, content and characteristics of counterfactuals experienced 358 359 hence the resulting master themes.

Another contextual theme that emerged from the analysis was an athlete's prior experience of a situation. Counterfactuals were less frequent and resulted in reduced consequences when the athlete had prior experience of the situation, as suggested by participant 4: *"I'd been in that situation one hundred times before where you're looking around thinking these are the people I'm up against I beat them before, I've raced them before, it wasn't anything new"*. Whereas, upward counterfactuals were more frequent and had more impact following a situation the athlete had little to no experience with:

367 I couldn't see where my groups were to sight in. And, anyway it completely threw me
368 because I wasn't expecting it [...] everything that an experienced shooter will probably

just take in their stride but [...] *I was a virgin to these things.* (Participant 2)

Recent research suggests that personality dimensions such as extraversion, neuroticism and openness are related to the direction and intensity of counterfactuals (Allen, Greenlees, & Jones, 2014). When asked to describe themselves as an athlete, participant 2 stated: *"I'm quite analytical so I like to think back over and say right what did I do right or wrong there as well"*. Although this example suggests conscientiousness may be associated with the content and consequences of counterfactuals, participant 3 reflected on how a neurotic personality might affect his perception of events and their associated counterfactuals: "*I'm quite down on myself anyway even if I was to shoot fantastically*". In relation to personality, he went on to explain how perception of past and future seems inherent and stable: "*I can't tell you why, I just started thinking 'ah well if I don't hit this score then I'm not going to do well' and all this that and the other*".

381 When discussing consequences, these contextual factors seem to influence the impact 382 of consequences associated with growth and development. Although counterfactuals are 383 mostly generated from negative outcomes, counterfactuals that elite athletes experience are 384 aligned with problem solving and the attainment of future goals, consistent with a functional 385 perspective. Along with the goals set prior to competition, another contextual influence 386 surrounding the generation of counterfactuals and the functional consequences is the athlete's 387 previous experience of the counterfactual or more specifically lack of experience. Thus, 388 counterfactuals generated after a novel experience aid the learning and development of robust 389 behavioral and cognitive coping mechanisms to guide performance enhancement. This relates 390 to the literature suggesting counterfactual reasoning develops early in childhood as this is 391 where most of the early experiences in life occur and most of our learning takes place (Harris, 392 German, & Mills, 1996; Baillargeon, Scott, & Bian, 2016). However, prolonged exposure and 393 negative experiences where upward counterfactuals are generated can lead to negative longer 394 term emotional consequences if learning does not occur.

395 **Consequences.** In discussing the perceived consequences of counterfactuals, several 396 key themes emerged describing the emotional, behavioral and cognitive change experienced 397 by participants. However, in line with the functional perspective, there was an underlying 398 consequence of development and growth of one's abilities that seemed most prominent 399 (Epstude & Roese, 2008; Markman et al., 1993; Markman et al., 2008; Roese, 1994), for
400 example:

- 401 Looking back on a bad race, looking back on a good race I always think what made
 402 me, what made me do that, how do I not do that again sort of thing. So, that influences
 403 how I train which then influences how my race is going to go. (Participant 4)
- 404 Once a lesson is identified, it can then inform specific changes in emotion, behavior

405 and cognition to enhance performance in training and competition, for example:

406 I knew back then just relax and if anyone goes in front of me just let them go in front
407 and hang back on them, saving energy, so that race there speaks volumes for me
408 because it's all about learning from that and not being able to make that mistake again

409 *and I definitely, I've definitely put that into my running and my training all the time.*

410 (Participant 4)

411 Learning seems to occur not only after but during the competition to problem solve and 412 overcome obstacles when performing. Participant 5 reported identifying what happened and 413 then using counterfactuals to change behavior:

414 'Why did I miss that goal?' and I'll play it through my mind 'I missed it because I didn't
415 open up my body' or 'I missed it because I didn't think about placement or I didn't look
416 to where I was kicking it or kicked and didn't see myself, surroundings' so then when I

417 get the ball again I'm like 'focus, placement, relax'.

Elite athletes seem to use their extensive network of professionals (e.g., coaches, psychologists) to facilitate the learning and reflective process through collaboration following competition (Ferraro, 2000). For example, sharing her counterfactuals with her coach to inform future coaching decisions, participant 7 remarked: 422 We go to coach feedback and have a chat then we go to cool down and it normally 423 happens in cool down so about twenty minutes after I start to think through and you 424 start to think about what you did and how you could do it better and what effected it.

This development through learning and sharing provide motivation to improve and perform (Markman & McMullen, 2003; Markman et al., 2008): "*Well, you always know you can do better and you push yourself to do better. Well, that's why we're here isn't it [and] It's quite exciting*" (Participant 2).

429 Along with developmental consequence, themes included counterfactuals directed 430 toward the self or others and mediation of emotional change experienced by the participants. Athletes mentioned several negative emotions previously linked to counterfactuals in the 431 432 literature including guilt, regret and disappointment (Niedenthal, Tangney, & Gavanski, 1994; 433 Zeelenberg et al., 1998) as well as anger, frustration, sadness, happiness, gratitude, pride and 434 relief: "The emotion I actually felt after that competition was gutted [...] 'oh you should have done better because I would have been going abroad'" (Participant 2). The majority of the 435 436 emotional effects were self-directed, for example: "Frustration and annovance because you can't get it right" (Participant 6). However, athletes do experience emotional effects directed 437 438 at others too: "You know anger in me it's like why am I doing this for you?" (Participant 5).

When discussing emotional change experienced for upward counterfactuals, participants expressed a contrast effect (e.g., Roese et al., 2005; Roese, 1994; Boninger et al., 1994). Indeed, the most prominent emotion experienced was anger and frustration in the aftermath of competition: "*I'd be angry with the way it was sort of, maybe defeated if I'd run terrible in myself*" (Participant 4).

In contrast, downward counterfactuals resulted in more positive emotions such as
gratitude, *"That was pretty much looking back on it now kind of joke about it"* (Participant 4),

relief, "*It was more of a relief for me.*" (Participant 4), and pride, "*I was proud of myself for not giving up*" (Participant 7).

448 Athletes also experience both positive and negative emotions close together in short 449 space of time. This suggests emotions switch back and forth rapidly based on their perception 450 of the situation (Apter, 1989; Uphill & Jones, 2007):

451 I didn't do what I thought I could do, I thought I was capable of, what my coach thought
452 I was capable of so a lot of disappointment but I was quite pleased with the way I
453 executed my better events and the way the race progressed. (Participant 7)

454 Within the elite population, this study suggests emotions are short lived and fleeting. 455 However, these can be long lasting if the issue identified from counterfactuals are not resolved 456 through learning. Emotion experiences were largely self-focused, but external-focused (e.g., 457 person or equipment) experiences were present when the social and physical environment was 458 appraised as being influential (Lambie & Marcel, 2002). Subsequent emotions in relation to 459 contextual goals around growth and development lead to an assimilation effect suggested by 460 Markman and McMullen (2003) whereby upward counterfactuals in the context of learning 461 can lead to positive emotions. This supports the Reflection and Evaluation Model (REM; 462 Markman & McMullen, 2003) that explains that reflection on an event and what could have been different will lead to an assimilation consequence whereas evaluating an event through 463 464 thoughts on how things could have been different will yield a contrasting consequence. For 465 example, upward counterfactuals lead to more positive emotions when attention is focused on 466 the potential of future performance rather than the outcome (McMullen & Markman, 2002).

In discussing the emotions associated with counterfactuals, athletes outlined how the emotional consequences lead to the learning of coping mechanisms aimed at regulating future emotion (McMullen & Markman, 2002). For example: "*I think to myself like that, the game is done, next game, focus, I don't [want] to feel like that again and I don't want to experience* *those emotions*" (Participant 5). Other participants reflected on how coping mechanisms are
based on a reflection of previous experience or self: "*I shouldn't have let myself be scared of swimming, I've done it my entire life*" (Participant 7).

474 Part of emotion regulation is the cognitive change that comes from counterfactuals and 475 learning (Kahneman & Miller, 1986; Roese, 1994). Upward counterfactuals were found to have 476 a negative effect on thoughts when evaluated in relation to their goals and expectations. For 477 example, participant 3 stated: "*If I don't hit the scores then I will be in that frame of mind of* 478 *thinking 'well what's the point' which leads to thoughts of [...] 'are you sure you're this good?* 479 *You should be better...'*". However, these negative thoughts led to the learning of further 480 coping mechanisms to overcome these thoughts:

- 481 Don't kick yourself if you don't have a good game because of anxiety, so it's just a lot 482 of self-talk, encouraging myself to keep going, keep working hard and believing you 483 know like how strong you [are] and so a lot of self-talk. (Participant 5)
- Whereas, downward counterfactuals result in positive cognitive change where it allows athletes to gain perspective (Davis, Conklin, Smith, & Luce 1996) on what has happened within context of the past and the environment:
- 487 I made it through, I didn't give up, not giving up was quite a, like, I give up quite easily
 488 when I don't want to do something so yeah not giving up was quite a prominent thing
 489 that I thought about. (Participant 7)

490 Counterfactuals were also found to facilitate behavior change to impact performance.
491 Upward counterfactuals regarding the physical demands of performance were found to
492 influence training behaviors to improve and develop: *"I'm going to do a bit more training on*493 *my last six hundred meters and I'm really going to hit that harder*" (Participant 4).

494 Upward counterfactuals were also found to influence change in competitive behaviors
495 before competition, for example: "*Go through my race plan and go through my pre-race prep*496 *instead of gossiping about things I can't change*" (Participant 7).

497 Analysis of interviews suggested that counterfactuals have both a direct and indirect 498 influence on behavioral consequences associated with performance enhancement. In 499 accordance with the functional perspective (Epstude & Roese, 2008), elite athletes utilize 500 counterfactuals to identify and reflect on causal inferences relating to the outcome of the event 501 using the content-specific pathway which in turn influences intention to perform corresponding 502 behaviors. The content-neutral pathway accounts for the contrast and assimilation effects as it explains how elite athletes utilize the intellectual information of counterfactuals to influence 503 504 behavior through cognitive strategies to regulate emotions and behaviors associated with 505 performance. This is reflective in the problem solving and regulation themes that correlates to 506 Epistude and Roese's (2008) term 'mind-set' where athletes utilize cognitive and attentional 507 shifts to enhance performance behaviors and motivational effects to drive intention and change 508 in behavior. Athletes who think about what could have turned out differently create intentions 509 to participate in training activities that will improve future performance. In turn, this intention 510 helps athletes prepare for the future by influencing their decision making in similar subsequent 511 situations (Byrne, 2016).

512

Conclusion

513 This study has presented an interpretive phenomenological analysis of elite athletes' 514 personal experiences of counterfactual thoughts and their perceived consequences. The 515 analysis revealed that counterfactuals are prevalent in the sporting domain as previously found 516 (e.g., Dray & Uphill, 2009). However, the frequency of downward counterfactual thoughts was 517 far fewer than upward counterfactuals (Summerville & Roese, 2008; Allen et al., 2014). Thus, the counterfactuals explored and reflected upon gave a greater representation of the contentand nature of upward counterfactuals than downward counterfactuals.

520 Counterfactuals regularly occur following performance that fell below athletes' 521 expectation or their predetermined goals. These thoughts may elicit negatively valenced 522 emotions (contrast effect; e.g., Roese, 1994; Boninger et al., 1994), but within the context of 523 goals lead to facilitative behavioral consequences around learning and development and hence positive emotion (assimilation effect; e.g., McMullen & Markman, 2002). Thus, 524 525 counterfactuals seem to be a useful reflective tool to encourage elite athletes to problem solve 526 and motivate cognitive, emotional and behavioral change to enhance future performance in accordance with the functional perspective (for reviews, see Epstude & Roese, 2008; Markman 527 528 et al., 1993; Roese, 1994).

529 Although there was a rich quality of data collected, due to its non-experimental design causality cannot be determined. In addition, ambiguities are inherent in language and some 530 examples drawn from the analysis were interpreted as 'implied' counterfactuals (e.g., 'I did not 531 532 do what I wanted') compared to clearly stated counterfactuals (e.g., Ziegeler, 2000). Different "types" of counterfactuals other than conditional "if...then..." counterfactuals have been 533 534 identified and are often implied through language (Byrne, 2016). Further exploration of how 535 counterfactuals are represented in athletes' language may help the identification and potential 536 modification of such thinking. It is also important to note that from a philosophical stand point, 537 a participant's view on 'facts' about an event or historical self could be questionable as this 538 would also be a subjective interpretation on reality.

It is acknowledged that the small sample size limits its generalizability. The study aimed to gather similar numbers of both male and female athletes to ensure both populations were represented. However, gaining an equal number of participants depending on type of sport (e.g., individual vs team, closed vs open skills) was not a priority. In this study, one athlete

COUNTERFACTUALS IN ELITE SPORT 23

participated in a team sport and there was evidence that counterfactuals would be influenced by contextual factors such as being part of a group. For example, a team athlete might experience more counterfactuals directed at others' behaviors that influence outcome. This might be seen as less controllable and might influence the consequences associated with the counterfactuals experienced. Future research might aim to explore these differences and the possible gender differences in the generation and experience of counterfactuals and their perceived consequences regarding elite athletes.

In using semi-structured interviews to explore athletes' counterfactuals, it is plausible that memory decay and poor reconstructive processes may have influenced participants' responses (Brewer, Van Raalte, Linder, & Van Raalte 1991; Smith, Leffingwell, & Ptacek, 1999). Reporting on significant past events or capturing in the moment counterfactual thoughts, think out load protocols could be applied in future (Nicholls & Polman, 2008). However, both think out loud and semi-structured interview protocols are researcher prompted. Alternative methods such as archival methods might be used to capture self-generated counterfactuals.

557 Despite the limitations, the use of currently competing elite athletes provided 558 ecologically validity to the representation of counterfactuals experienced and their perceived 559 consequences. Findings from this study could be of value for those in the applied settings 560 working with both elite athletes and less experienced athletes. Upward counterfactuals present 561 themselves when performance does not reach a certain level set by expectations or goals. It is 562 therefore important to monitor each athlete's expectations and ensure the athlete's goals are 563 flexible to alleviate negative emotional consequences that could be debilitative to performance 564 or motivation. This may be shaped by the cultural environment and their goals. Goals around 565 process and development may lead to an assimilation effect where motivation and performance can be enhanced. In relation to the REM (Markman & McMullen, 2003), upward 566 567 counterfactual thoughts would be best used in a reflective process following an event to inform 568 goals and intention for future practice and competitive behavior change. These could also be 569 useful for significant others (e.g., coaches, physiotherapist, support staff) to inform how they 570 might deal with issues brought up by the athlete that they might influence. For example, if there 571 is conflict between two athletes then a coach might use each athlete's counterfactuals using 572 reflective practice to come up with a management strategy to overcome the issue. Also, 573 counterfactuals could be used to gain perspective on these goals and protect an athlete's selfesteem following failure (McCrea, 2008). Beyond the scope of elite athletes, individuals who 574 575 participate in performance related activities (e.g., business, sport & exercise practitioners, 576 health services) would benefit from lessons learned from elite athletes to understand and suitably utilize counterfactuals to facilitate cognitive, emotional and behavioral change to 577 578 enhance their practice.

579 This study taps into the experiences elite athletes have of counterfactuals. It also provides an insight into how elite athletes cope with the negatives effects of counterfactuals as 580 581 well as utilize the positive effects of counterfactuals to improve and sustain high performance. 582 Future research on elite athletes' counterfactual thoughts using experimental and longitudinal 583 studies would be beneficial to investigate the antecedents and consequences of counterfactual 584 thinking on training and performance. The use of an elite population could be a useful source 585 for understanding high performance athletes and how they experience thoughts, emotions and 586 behaviors. By understanding how elite athletes generate, experience and reflect on 587 counterfactuals, it is hoped other athletes can utilize counterfactuals to identify causal 588 inferences and deploy accompanying changes to enhance both performance and motivation to succeed making sport more fulfilling and enjoyable. 589

590	Reference
591	Allen, M. S., Greenlees, I., & Jones, M. V. (2014). Personality, counterfactual thinking, and
592	negative emotional reactivity. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 15(2), 147-154.
593	Apter, M. J. (1989). Reversal theory: Motivation, emotion and personality. Taylor &
594	Frances/Routledge.
595	Baillargeon, R., Scott, R. M., & Bian, L. (2016). Psychological reasoning in infancy. Annual
596	Review of Psychology, 67, 159-186.
597	Boninger, D. S., Gleicher, F., & Strathman, A. (1994). Counterfactual thinking: From what
598	might have been to what may be. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67,
599	297-307.
600	Brewer, B. W., Van Raalte, J. L., Linder, D. E., & Van Raalte, N. S. (1991). Peak performance
601	and the perils of retrospective introspection. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology,
602	8, 227-238.
603	Byrne, R. M. (2016). Counterfactual thought. Annual Review of Psychology, 67, 135-157.
604	Chan, A. Y., Caputi, P., Jayasuriya, R., & Browne, J. L. (2013). Counterfactual thinking and
605	anticipated emotions enhance performance in computer skills training. Behaviour &
606	Information Technology, 32(4), 387-396.
607	Davis, M. H., Conklin, L., Smith, A., & Luce, C. (1996). Effect of perspective taking on the
608	cognitive representation of persons: a merging of self and other. Journal of Personality
609	and Social Psychology, 70(4), 713.
610	Dray, K., & Uphill, M. A. (2009). A survey of athletes' counterfactual thinking: Precursors,
611	prevalence, and consequences. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews, 5(1), 16-26.
612	Dryden, W. (2006). Counselling in a nutshell. London: Sage Publications.
613	Epstude, K. & Roese, N.J. (2008). The functional theory of counterfactual thinking.
614	Personality and Social Psychology Review, 12, 168–192.

- 615 Ferraro, J. M. (2000). Reflective Practice and Professional Development. ERIC Digest.
- Gilbar, O., & Hevroni, A. (2007). Counterfactuals, coping strategies and psychological distress
 among breast cancer patients. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 20*, 382-392.
- Harris, P. L., German, T., & Mills, P. (1996). Children's use of counterfactual thinking in causal
 reasoning. *Cognition*, *61*(3), 233-259.
- Kahneman, D., & Miller, D. T. (1986). Norm theory: Comparing reality to its alternatives. *Psychological Review*, *93*(2), 136.
- 622 Kahneman, D. & Tverksy, A. (1982). The simulation heuristic. In A. Tverksy, P. Slovic & D.
- 623 Kahneman (Eds.), Judgement under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases (pp.201–208).
- 624 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lambie, J. A., & Marcel, A. J. (2002). Consciousness and the varieties of emotion experience:
 a theoretical framework. *Psychological Review*, *109*(2), 219.
- Lecci, L., Okun, M. A., & Karoly, P. (1994). Life regrets and current goals as predictors of
 psychological adjustment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *66*, 731-741.
- Mandel, D.R. (2003). Counterfactuals, emotions and context. *Cognition and Emotion*, *17*(1),
 139–159.
- Mandel, D.R., Hilton, D.J. & Catellani, P. (2005) *The psychology of counterfactual thinking*.
 London: Routledge.
- Markman, K.D., Gavanski, I., Sherman, S.J., & McMullen, M.N. (1993). The mental
 simulation of better and worse possible worlds. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 29, 87–109.*
- Markman, K.D, Gavanski, I., Sherman, J.W. & McMullen, M.N. (1995). The impact of
 perceived control on the imagination of better and worse possible worlds. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 29, 87–109.

- Markman, K.D., & McMullen, M.N. (2003). A reflection and evaluation model of comparative
 thinking. *Personality and Social Psychology Review 7*, 244-267.
- Markman, K. D., McMullen, M. N., & Elizaga, R. A. (2008). Counterfactual thinking,
 persistence, and performance: A test of the reflection and evaluation model. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *44*(2), 421-428.
- Markman, K. D., & Miller, A. K. (2006). Depression, control and counterfactual thinking:
 Functional for whom? *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, *25*, 210-227.
- 647 consequences for self-esteem and future motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social*648 *Psychology*, 95(2), 274.

646

McCrea, S. M. (2008). Self-handicapping, excuse making, and counterfactual thinking:

- McGraw, M.T., Mellers, B.A. & Tetlock, P.E. (2005). Expectations and emotions of Olympic
 athletes. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *41*, 438–446.
- McMullen. M.N. & Markman, K.D. (2002). Affective impact of close counterfactuals:
 Implications of possible futures for possible pasts. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 38, 64-70.
- Meyers-Levy, J. & Maheswaran, D. (1992). When timing matters: The influence of temporal
 distance on consumers' affective and persuasive responses. *Journal of Consumer Research, 19*, 424–433.
- Medvec, V.H., Madey, S.F. & Gilovich, T. (1995). When less is more: Counterfactual thinking
 and satisfaction among Olympic medalists. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(4), 603–610.
- Niedenthal, P.M., Tangney, J.P. & Gavanski, I. (1994). 'If only I weren't' versus 'if only I
 hadn't': Distinguishing shame and guilt in counterfactual thinking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67, 585–595.

- Nicholls, A.R. & Polman, R.C.J. (2008). Think aloud: Stressors and coping strategies during
 golf performances. *Anxiety, Stress and Coping, 21*, 283–294.
- Reid, K., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2005). Exploring lived experiences. *The Psychologist*, 18,
 20–23.
- Roese, N.J. (1994). The functional basis of counterfactual thinking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *66*(5), 805–818.
- 669 Roese N.J. (1997). Counterfactual thinking. *Psychological Bulletin*, 121, 133–148.
- Roese, N.J. & Hur, T. (1997). Affective determinants of counterfactual thinking. *Social Cognition, 15*, 274–290.
- Roese, N.J. & Olson, J.M. (1993). The structure of counterfactual thought. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *19*, 312–319.
- Roese, N.J. & Olson, J.M. (1995). Counterfactual thinking: A critical overview. In N.J. Roese
 & J.M. Olson (Eds.), *What might have been: The social psychology of counterfactual thinking*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Roese, N.J., Sanna, L.J. & Galinsky, A.D. (2005). The mechanics of imagination: Automaticity
 and control in counterfactual thinking. In R.R. Hassin, J.S. Uleman & J.A. Bargh (Eds.),

The new unconscious (pp.138–170). New York: Oxford University Press.

- Sanna, L. J., Carter, S. E., & Small, E. M. (2006). The road not taken: Counterfactual thinking
 over time. In L. J. Sanna & E. C. Chang (Eds.), *Judgments over time: The interplay of thoughts, feelings, and behaviors* (pp. 163-181). New York: Oxford University Press.
- 683 Sanna, L.J., Parks, C.D., Meier, S., Chang, E.C., Kassin, B.R., Lechter, J.L. et al. (2003). A
- game of inches: Spontaneous use of counterfactuals by broadcasters during major
 league baseball play- offs. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *33*, 455–475.

- Sanna, L.J. & Turley, K.J. (1996). Antecedents to spontaneous counterfactual thinking: Effects
 of expectancy violation and outcome valence. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 22*, 906–919.
- Smith, R. E., Leffingwell, T. R., & Ptacek, J. T. (1999). Can people remember how they coped?
 Factors associated with discordance between same-day and retrospective reports. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *76*(6), 1050.
- Smith, J. A., Jarman, M., & Osborn, M. (1999). Doing interpretative phenomenological
 analysis. In M. Murray, & K. Chamberlain (Eds.), *Qualitative health psychology: Theories and methods* (pp. 218–240). London, England: Sage.
- Smith, J. A., & Osborn, M. (2003). Interpretative phenomenological analysis. In J. A. Smith
 (Ed.), *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods* (pp. 51–80).
 Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications Inc.
- 698 Smith, J. A. (1995). Semi-structured interviewing and qualitative analysis. In J. A. Smith, R.
- 699 Harre, & L. van Langenhove (Eds.), Rethinking methods in psychology. London: Sage.
- 700 Smith, J. A. (1996). Beyond the divide between cognition and discourse: using interpretative
- phenomenological analysis in health psychology. *Psychology and Health*, *11*, 261–271.
- Summerville, A. & Roese, N.J. (2008). Dare to compare: Fact-based versus simulation-based
 comparison in daily life. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *44*, 664–671.
- Swann, C., Moran, A., & Piggott, D. (2015). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of
 expert performance in sport psychology. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *16*, 3-14.
- Uphill, M. A., & Dray, K. (2009). Giving yourself a good beating: appraisal, attribution,
 rumination, and counterfactual thinking. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*,
 8(CSSI3), 5.

- Uphill, M. A., & Jones, M. V. (2007). Antecedents of emotions in elite athletes: A cognitive
 motivational relational theory perspective. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 78(2), 79-89.
- 712 Zeelenberg, M., van Dijk, W.W., van der Pligt, J., Manstead, A.S.R., van Empelen, P. &
- 713 Reinderman, D. (1998). Emotional reactions to the outcomes of decisions: The role of
- counterfactual thought in the experience of regret and disappointment. *Organizational*
- 715 *Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 75(2), 117–141.
- 716 Ziegeler, D. (2000). What *almost* can reveal about counterfactual inferences. *Journal of*
- 717 *Pragmatics*, 32, 1743-1776.

718	Footnote
719	¹ We would like to thank an anonymous reviewer for alerting us to the retraction of a number
720	of articles by Lawrence Sanna. To date, we are not aware of any proceedings around
721	retraction of the articles we cite.