4-3-2012

Negative curves on algebraic surfaces

Thomas Bauer

Brian Harbourne

Andreas Leopold Knutsen

Alex Kuronya

Stefan Muller-Stach

See next page for additional authors

Authors

Thomas Bauer, Brian Harbourne, Andreas Leopold Knutsen, Alex Kuronya, Stefan Muller-Stach, Xavier Roulleau, and Tomasz Szemberg

arXiv:1109.1881v2 [math.AG] 4 Apr 2012

Negative curves on algebraic surfaces

Th. Bauer, B. Harbourne[*], A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya[†], S. Müller-Stach, X. Roulleau[‡], T. Szemberg[§]

April 3, 2012

Abstract

We study curves of negative self-intersection on algebraic surfaces. In contrast to what occurs in positive characteristics, it turns out that any smooth complex projective surface X with a surjective non-isomorphic endomorphism has bounded negativity (i.e., that C^2 is bounded below for prime divisors C on X). We prove the same statement for Shimura curves on Hilbert modular surfaces. As a byproduct we obtain that there exist only finitely many smooth Shimura curves on a given Hilbert modular surface. We also show that any set of curves of bounded genus on a smooth complex projective surface must have bounded negativity.

1 Introduction

In recent years there has been a lot of progress in understanding various notions and concepts of positivity [17]. In the present note we go in the opposite direction and study negative curves on complex algebraic surfaces. By a negative curve we will always mean a reduced, irreducible curve with negative self-intersection.

The results we present here were motivated by the study of an old folklore conjecture, sometimes referred to as the Bounded Negativity Conjecture, that we may state as follows.

Conjecture 1.1 (Bounded Negativity Conjecture)**.** *For each smooth complex projective surface X there exists a number $b(X) \geqslant 0$ such that $C^2 \geqslant -b(X)$ for every negative curve $C \subset X$.*

The origins of this conjecture are unclear, but it has a long oral tradition. (M. Artin mentioned it to the second author no later than about 1980, and we recently learned that F. Enriques had mentioned the conjecture to his last student, A. Franchetta, who in turn mentioned it to his student, C. Ciliberto. Ciliberto also recalls Franchetta discussing the problem with E. Bombieri during a trip to Naples many years ago.) For recent references to the conjecture, see [9], [12, Conjecture

[*]Brian Harbourne was partially supported by National Security Agency Grant/Cooperative agreement H98230-11-1-0139, and thus the United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints notwithstanding any copyright notice.

[†]Alex Küronya was partially supported by the DFG-Forschergruppe 790 “Classification of Algebraic Surfaces and Compact Complex Manifolds”, and the OTKA Grants 77476, 77604, and 81203 by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

[‡]Xavier Roulleau is a member of the project Geometria Algebrica PTDC/MAT/099275/2008 and was supported by FCT grant SFRH/BPD/72719/2010.

[§]Tomasz Szemberg was partially supported by NCN grant UMO-2011/01/B/ST1/04875.

1.2.1], and [13, Question p. 24]. While the occurence of smooth complex surfaces having curves of arbitrarily negative self-intersection still remains mysterious, we present here related results that arose from our attempts to decide the validity of the conjecture.

It has been known for a long time that there are algebraic surfaces with infinitely many negative curves, the simplest examples being the projective plane blown up in the base locus of a general elliptic pencil or certain elliptic K3 surfaces. In the first example all negative curves have self-intersection -1, in the second example the self-intersection is -2, but in both cases the negative curves all are rational. In characteristic $p > 0$, surfaces with negative curves of arbitrarily negative self-intersection have also been known for some time (see [14, Exercise V.1.10]), but the curves in these examples all have the same genus, and result from surjective endomorphisms (coming from powers of the Frobenius) of the surface containing them.

At this point the following questions appear to be quite natural.

(1) Can one construct examples over the complex numbers of surfaces with surjective endomorphisms that result in negative curves of arbitrarily negative self-intersection?

(2) More generally, what happens if we replace endomorphisms by correspondences?

(3) Is it possible to have a surface X with infinitely many negative curves C of bounded genus such that C^2 is not bounded from below?

(4) For which $d < 0$ (or $g \geqslant 0$) is it possible to produce examples of surfaces X with infinitely many negative curves C such that $C^2 = d$ (or such that C has genus g)?

(5) If there is a lower bound for the self-intersections of negative curves on a given surface X, is there also a lower bound for the self-intersections of reduced but not necessarily irreducible curves C on X? If so, how are the bounds related?

In Section 2 we answer the first and third questions. We show that over the complex numbers a surface with a non-invertible surjective endomorphism must have bounded negativity. In addition, we point out that bounding the genus of a set of curves on a given complex surface of non-negative Kodaira dimension immediately leads to a lower bound on their self-intersections. (The latter result was first proved in [5].)

In Section 3 we study the second question in the particular case of quaternionic Shimura surfaces, which, as is well known, carry a large infinite algebra of Hecke correspondences. We prove that the negativity of Shimura curves on quaternionic Shimura surfaces of Hilbert modular type is bounded and that there exist only finitely many negative curves. This implies immediately a result that seems to have escaped the attention so far, namely, that there are only finitely many smooth Shimura curves on any Shimura surface of Hilbert modular type.

In Section 4 we address the fourth question above; we verify (see Theorem 4.3) that for each integer $m > 0$ there is a smooth projective complex surface containing infinitely many smooth irreducible curves of self-intersection $-m$, whose genus can be prescribed when $m \geqslant 2$.

Finally, in Section 5 we address Question 5, by giving a sharp lower bound on the self-intersections of reduced curves, for surfaces for which the self-intersections of negative curves are bounded below.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Jan Hendrik Bruinier, Lawrence Ein, Friedrich Hirzebruch, Annette Huber-Klawitter, Jun-Muk Hwang, Nick Shepherd-Barron and Kang Zuo for useful discussions. Special thanks go to Fritz Hörmann, who discovered that an earlier version of this manuscript relied on a published result that turns out to be false. This collaboration grew out of interactions during the Oberwolfach mini-workshop "Linear Series on Algebraic Varieties". We thank the MFO for excellent working conditions. We also thank SFB/TRR 45 for financial support for visits among some of us and for the 2009 summer school in Kraków, where some initial consideration of these problems occurred.

2 Bounded Negativity

In positive characteristic there exist surfaces carrying a sequence of irreducible curves with self-intersection tending to negative infinity (see [14, Exercise V.1.10]). These curves are constructed by taking iterative images of a negative curve under a surjective endomorphism of the surface.

In more detail, the construction goes as follows. Let C be a curve of genus $g \geqslant 2$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p, let $X = C \times C$ be the product surface with $\Delta \subset X$ the diagonal. Furthermore let $F : C \to C$ be the Frobenius homomorphism, defined by taking coordinates of a point on C to their p-th powers. Then $G = id \times F$ is a surjective endomorphism of X. The self-intersections in the sequence of irreducible curves $\Delta, G(\Delta), G^2(\Delta), \dots$ tend to negative infinity.

We now show that in characteristic zero it is not possible to construct a sequence of curves with unbounded negativity using endomorphisms as above. In fact we prove an even stronger statement: the existence of a non-trivial surjective endomorphism implies a bound on the negativity of self-intersections of curves on the surface.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X a smooth projective complex surface admitting a surjective endomorphism that is not an isomorphism. Then X has bounded negativity, i.e., there is a bound $b(X)$ such that*

$$C^2 \geqslant -b(X)$$

for every reduced irreducible curve $C \subset X$.

Proof. It is a result of Fujimoto and Nakayama ([10] and [21]) that a surface X satisfying our hypothesis is of one of the following types:

(1) X is a toric surface;
(2) X is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle;
(3) X is an abelian surface or a hyperelliptic surface;
(4) X is an elliptic surface with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 1$ and topological Euler number $e(X) = 0$.

In cases (1) and (2) the assertion is clear as X then carries only finitely many negative curves. In case (3) bounded negativity follows from the adjunction formula (cf. [5, Prop. 3.3.2]). Finally, bounded negativity for elliptic surfaces with Euler number zero will be established in Proposition 2.2. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a smooth projective complex elliptic surface with $e(X) = 0$. Then there are no negative curves on X.*

Proof. Let $\pi : X \to B$ be an elliptic fibration, where B is a smooth curve, and let F be the class of a fiber of π. By the properties of $e(X)$ of a fibered surface (cf. [2, III, Proposition 11.4 and Remark 11.5]), the only singular fibers of X are possible multiple fibers, and the reduced fibers are always smooth elliptic curves. In particular, X must be minimal and its fibers do not contain negative curves.

Aiming at a contradiction, assume that $C \subset X$ is a negative curve. Then, by the above, the intersection number $n := C \cdot F$ is positive. This means that π restricts to a map $C \to B$ of degree n. Taking an embedded resolution $f : \widetilde{X} \to X$ of C, we get a smooth curve $\widetilde{C} = f^*C - \Gamma$, where the divisor Γ is supported on the exceptional locus of f. The Hurwitz formula, applied to the induced covering $\widetilde{C} \to B$, yields

$$2g(\widetilde{C}) - 2 = n \cdot (2g(B) - 2) + \deg R \ , \qquad (1)$$

where R is the ramification divisor.

Let $m_1F_1, \ldots, m_kF_k$ denote the multiple fibers of π. The assumption $e(X) = 0$ implies via Noether's formula that $K_X \equiv_{\text{num}} (2g(B) - 2)F + \sum(m_i - 1)F_i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_X \cdot C &= n(2g(B) - 2) + \sum(m_i - 1)F_i \cdot C \\ &= n(2g(B) - 2) + \sum(m_i - 1)f^*F_i \cdot f^*C \\ &= n(2g(B) - 2) + \sum(m_i - 1)f^*F_i \cdot \widetilde{C} \\ &\leqslant n(2g(B) - 2) + \deg R. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\widetilde{C}) - 2 = \widetilde{C}^2 + K_{\widetilde{X}} \cdot \widetilde{C} &= (f^*C - \Gamma)^2 + (f^*K_X + K_{\widetilde{X}/X})(f^*C - \Gamma) \\ &= C^2 + \Gamma^2 + K_X \cdot C - K_{\widetilde{X}/X} \cdot \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (1), we obtain

$$C^2 \geqslant K_{\widetilde{X}/X} \cdot \Gamma - \Gamma^2.$$

The subsequent lemma yields the contradiction $C^2 \geqslant 0$. ☐

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f : Z \to X$ be a birational morphism of smooth projective surfaces, and let $C \subset X$ be any curve, with proper transform $\widetilde{C} = f^*C - \Gamma_{Z/X}$ on Z. Then*

$$K_{Z/X} \cdot \Gamma_{Z/X} - \Gamma_{Z/X}^2 \geqslant 0.$$

Proof. As f is a finite composition of blow-ups, this can be seen by an elementary inductive argument. For the convenience of the reader we briefly indicate it. Suppose that f consists of k successive blow-ups. For $k = 1$ the assertion is clear, since then $K_{Z/X}$ is the exceptional divisor E, and Γ is the divisor mE, where m is the multiplicity of C at the blown-up point. For $k > 1$ we may decompose f into two maps

$$Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} X.$$

One has proper transforms

$$C' = h^*C - \Gamma_{Y/X} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{C} = g^*C' - \Gamma_{Z/Y} = f^*C - \Gamma_{Z/X}.$$

The equalities

$$\begin{aligned} K_{Z/X} &= K_{Z/Y} + g^*K_{Y/X} \\ \Gamma_{Z/X} &= \Gamma_{Z/Y} + g^*\Gamma_{Y/X} \end{aligned}$$

then imply

$$\begin{aligned} K_{Z/X} \cdot \Gamma_{Z/X} - \Gamma^2_{Z/X} &= (K_{Z/Y} + g^*K_{Y/X})(\Gamma_{Z/Y} + g^*\Gamma_{Y/X}) - (\Gamma_{Z/Y} + g^*\Gamma_{Y/X})^2 \\ &= (K_{Z/Y} \cdot \Gamma_{Z/Y} - \Gamma^2_{Z/Y}) + (K_{Y/X} \cdot \Gamma_{Y/X} - \Gamma^2_{Y/X}), \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows by induction. □

We now consider Question 3 of the introduction. The first general result known to us answering this question is due to Bogomolov. It says that on a surface X of general type with $c_1^2(X) > c_2(X)$ curves of a fixed geometric genus lie in a bounded family. This implies of course that their numeric invariants, in particular their self-intersections, are bounded. An effective version of Bogomolov's result was obtained by Lu and Miyaoka [16, Theorem 1 (1)]. Their proof relies on Corollary 2.5. We state here a more general result due to Miyaoka [19, Theorem 1.3 i), ii)], as we need it anyway in the next section.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a surface of non-negative Kodaira dimension and let C be an irreducible curve of geometric genus g on X. Then*

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{2}(C^2 + 3CK_X - 6g + 6) - 2\alpha(CK_X - 3g + 3) + 3c_2 - K_X^2 \geqslant 0 \qquad (2)$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover, if $C \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, and $K_XC > 3g - 3$ then

$$2(K_XC - 3g + 3)^2 - (3c_2 - K_X^2)(C^2 + 3CK_X - 6g + 6) \leqslant 0. \qquad (3)$$

Putting $\alpha = 1$ in (2), we recover the classical logarithmic Miyaoka-Yau inequality (see also [5, Appendix] for a complete direct proof).

Corollary 2.5 (Logarithmic Miyaoka-Yau inequality)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective surface of non-negative Kodaira dimension and let C be a smooth curve on X. Then*

$$c_1^2(\Omega^1_X(\log C)) \leqslant 3c_2(\Omega^1_X(\log C)),$$

equivalently $(K_X + C)^2 \leqslant 3\,(c_2(X) - 2 + 2g(C))$.

We recall here a statement that is numerically slightly weaker than the result of Lu and Miyaoka [16, Theorem 1 (1)] but which has a simpler proof. This result appeared first in [5, Proposition 3.5.3], and we refer to that article for a more detailed exposition.

Theorem 2.6 (Proposition 3.5.3 of [5])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(X) \geqslant 0$. Then for every reduced, irreducible curve $C \subset X$ of geometric genus $g(C)$ we have*

$$C^2 \geqslant c_1^2(X) - 3c_2(X) + 2 - 2g(C). \qquad (4)$$

The proof is a combination of Corollary 2.5 and the following simple lemma on the behavior of (4) under blow ups.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a smooth projective surface, $C \subset X$ a reduced, irreducible curve of geometric genus $g(C)$, $P \in C$ a point with $m := \operatorname{mult}_P C \geqslant 2$. Let $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the blow up of X at P with the exceptional divisor E. Let $\widetilde{C} = \sigma^*(C) - mE$ be the proper transform of C. Then the inequality*

$$\widetilde{C}^2 \geqslant c_1^2(\widetilde{X}) - 3c_2(\widetilde{X}) + 2 - 2g(\widetilde{C})$$

implies

$$C^2 \geqslant c_1^2(X) - 3c_2(X) + 2 - 2g(C).$$

Proof. This follows by direct computation using the facts that $C^2 = \widetilde{C}^2 + m^2$, $c_1^2(X) = c_1^2(\widetilde{X}) + 1$, $c_2(X) = c_2(\widetilde{X}) - 1$ and $g(C) = g(\widetilde{C})$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Taking an embedded resolution $f : \widetilde{X} \to X$ of C and applying Lemma 2.7 to every step, we reduce to proving the assertion for C smooth.

The latter case easily follows from Corollary 2.5. Indeed, our assumption $\kappa(X) \geqslant 0$ implies that $K_{\widetilde{X}} + \widetilde{C}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$–effective. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2(X) + 2C\cdot(K_X + C) - C^2 &= c_1^2(\Omega_X^1(\log C)) \\ &\leqslant 3c_2(\Omega_X^1(\log C)) = 3c_2(X) - 6 + 6g(C). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms and using the adjunction formula, we arrive at (4). □

A closer analysis of Corollary 2.5 allows one to ease the assumption of X being of non-negative Kodaira dimension by the assumption of X being of non-negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension, see [18, Corollary 1.2].

Aside 2.8 (Strong Logarithmic Miyaoka-Yau inequality)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective surface and C a smooth curve on X such that the adjoint line bundle $K_X + C$ is $\mathbb{Q}$–effective, i.e., there is an integer $m > 0$ such that $h^0(m(K_X + C)) > 0$. Then*

$$c_1^2(\Omega_X^1(\log C)) \leqslant 3c_2(\Omega_X^1(\log C)),$$

equivalently $(K_X + C)^2 \leqslant 3\,(c_2(X) - 2 + 2g(C))$.

So one gets the same bound (4) as in Theorem 2.6, for all curves C such that $K_X + C$ is $\mathbb{Q}$–effective.

3 Negativity of Shimura curves on Hilbert modular surfaces

3.1 Smoothness of Shimura curves and Hecke translates

We begin by giving a criterion for a Shimura curve to be smooth on a quaternionic Hilbert modular surface and indicating why its Hecke translates might fail to remain smooth. In the next subsection we will show that the worst scenario actually happens.

We recall first how (quaternionic) Shimura surfaces are defined. For a complete reference on their construction see [8], and for particularly interesting examples see [11] and [22]. Let A be a ramified quaternion algebra over a totally real number field k. Let $\mathcal{O}_A$ be a maximal order of A and let

$$\Gamma(1) = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_A : \ \operatorname{nr}(\gamma) = 1\},$$

where nr denotes the reduced norm. Suppose that A splits over exactly two places in $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., there exist two embeddings $\sigma_i : k \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the tensor products $A \otimes_{k^{\sigma_i}} \mathbb{R}$ over these places are isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, while for all the other embeddings the tensor product is isomorphic to the Hamiltonian quaternions.

We fix such isomorphisms, giving rise to a representation

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \rho: & A & \to & M_2(\mathbb{R}) \times M_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ & \gamma & \to & (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \end{array} .$$

The morphism ρ maps $A^\times$ into $GL_2(\mathbb{R})^2$. Let A^+ be the sub-group of elements γ of A such that $\det(\gamma_i) > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. The group A^+ acts on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ by

$$\gamma \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\gamma_1 \cdot z_1, \gamma_2 \cdot z_2)\,,$$

where, for $\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\gamma_i \cdot z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}\,.$$

Let us denote by Γ a sub-group of A^+ commensurable to $\Gamma(1)$, i.e., $\Gamma(1) \cap \Gamma$ has finite index in both $\Gamma(1)$ and Γ. With these hypotheses, the quotient $X = \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ is a compact algebraic surface (see [8]), called a Shimura surface (or a compact Hilbert modular surface).

Let us suppose in addition that Γ is torsion free or, equivalently, that X is smooth. The surface X is then minimal of general type with $c_1^2 = 2c_2$, $q = 0$. We denote by $\pi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \to X$ the quotient map.

A Shimura curve is, in particular, a totally geodesic curve in X. Let C_1' be such a Shimura curve on X and let

$$\mathbb{H}_1 \subset \pi^{-1} C_1' \subset \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$$

be a subspace isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$ so that

$$\Lambda_1 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \ \ \gamma \mathbb{H}_1 = \mathbb{H}_1\}$$

is a lattice in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_1)$. Then $C_1 = \mathbb{H}_1/\Lambda_1$ is a smooth compact curve whose image under the generically one-to-one map $C_1 \to X$ we call C_1'.

Proposition 3.1. *The Shimura curve C_1' is smooth if and only if $\mathbb{H}_1 \cap \gamma\mathbb{H}_1 = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda_1$.*

Proof. The map $C_1 \to X$ is an immersion because the map $\mathbb{H}_1 \to X$ is so. Thus singularities on C_1' can occur if and only if there are two distinct points $\Lambda_1 t$, $\Lambda_1 u$ on C_1 (with $u, t \in \mathbb{H}_1$) mapped onto the same point by the generically one-to-one map $C_1 \to C_1'$. For such points we have $\Gamma t = \Gamma u$, i.e., there exist $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $t = \gamma u$. As $\Lambda_1 t \neq \Lambda_1 u$, we have $\gamma \in \Gamma - \Lambda_1$ and the intersection of the upper-halfplanes $\mathbb{H}_1$ and $\gamma\mathbb{H}_1$ is not empty. Conversely, if the intersection of the upper-halfplanes $\mathbb{H}_1$ and $\gamma\mathbb{H}_1$ is not empty, there are two distinct points on C_1 that have the same image on C_1', and thus there is a singularity on C_1'. ☐

For $h \in A^+$, set $\mathbb{H}_h := h(\mathbb{H}_1)$ and let

$$\Lambda_h = \{\lambda \in \Gamma : \ \ \lambda\mathbb{H}_h = \mathbb{H}_h\}\ .$$

The group Λ_h is equal to the lattice $h\Lambda_1 h^{-1} \cap \Gamma$. Let $C_h = \mathbb{H}_h/\Lambda_h$ and let C_h' be the image of C_h in X under the natural map. Again, C_h' is a Shimura curve.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that the curve C_1' is smooth. Then the Shimura curve C_h' is smooth if and only if $\mathbb{H}_1 \cap \gamma\mathbb{H}_1 = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in h^{-1}\Gamma h \setminus \Gamma$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 3.1 to C_h'. The curve C_h' is smooth if and only if $\mathbb{H}_h \cap \gamma\mathbb{H}_h = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda_h$. Suppose that the curve C_h' is singular. There exist then $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_1$ (whence $hz_1, hz_2 \in \mathbb{H}_h$) and $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda_h$ such that $hz_1 = \gamma(hz_2)$. Then $z_1 = h^{-1}\gamma h z_2$. As C_1' is smooth, we have two possibilities: either $h^{-1}\gamma h \in \Lambda_1$ and $h^{-1}\gamma h \notin \Gamma$ or for $\gamma' = h^{-1}\gamma h \in h^{-1}\Gamma h \setminus \Gamma$ we have $\mathbb{H}_1 \cap \gamma\mathbb{H}_1 \neq \emptyset$. The first possibility is impossible because $\Lambda_h = h\Lambda_1 h^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ and we assumed that $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda_h$. Therefore the second possibility holds. For the converse statement, we remark that all the above arguments are in fact equivalences. □

As we will remark below, each element h of A^+ defines a Hecke correspondence T_h, and the curve C_h' is an irreducible component of the image of C_1' by T_h. We have $T_h = T_{h'}$ if and only if $\Gamma h = \Gamma h'$. When varying Γh in $\Gamma \setminus A^+$, we see by the above Proposition 3.2 that in order to keep C_h' smooth, the half-plane $\mathbb{H}_1$ must avoid more and more half-planes $\gamma\mathbb{H}_1$. Our next result (Proposition 3.5) shows that this is only possible in finitely many cases.

Let us now explain how Hecke correspondences come into the game. For $h \in A^+$, let

$$\Gamma_h = \Gamma \cap h^{-1}\Gamma h,$$

which is a subgroup of finite index m in Γ. Let $t_1 = 1, t_2, \ldots, t_m$ be a full set of coset representatives of G with respect to Γ_h. Denote by X_h be the Shimura surface $X_h = \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_h$.

There are two étale maps of degree m,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_h & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_2}\downarrow & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

where $\pi_1(\Gamma_h.z) = \Gamma.z$ and $\pi_2(\Gamma_h.z) = \Gamma h.z$. We need to check that π_2 is well defined. Let $\tau := h^{-1}\gamma h \in \Gamma_h$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z' := \tau z$. Then

$$\Gamma h.z' = \Gamma h\tau.z = \Gamma h h^{-1}\gamma h.z = \Gamma\gamma h.z = \Gamma h.z\,,$$

and therefore the map π_2 does not depend on the choice of a representative in $\Gamma_h.z$. The Hecke operator T_h is defined by $T_h = \pi_{2*}\pi_1^*$. We have $\pi_1^{-1}\Gamma z = \Gamma_h t_1.z + \cdots + \Gamma_h t_m.z$ and

$$T_h(\Gamma.z) = \Gamma h t_1.z + \cdots + \Gamma h t_m.z\,.$$

It follows that $T_h C_1' = C_h' + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_t$ for some irreducible curves $Y_2, \ldots, Y_t$.

Remark 3.3. Let X be a smooth Picard surface, i.e., $X = \mathbb{B}_2/\Gamma$ is a quotient of the unit complex 2-dimensional ball $\mathbb{B}_2$ by a co-compact torsion free group $\Gamma \subset PU(2,1)$. It is possible to obtain the same results (smoothness criteria, smoothness of the Hecke translates) for a Shimura curve $C = \mathbb{B}_1/\Lambda$ on X. Again, the main idea is that in order for an irreducible component of the translate T_hC of a Shimura curve to be smooth, the ball $h\mathbb{B}_1$ must avoid more and more balls when h varies.

3.2 Finiteness of smooth Shimura curves.

Let X be a compact Hilbert modular surface. As we will see, the self-intersection of a smooth Shimura curve C on X is very negative, in particular $C^2 = -(2g(C)-2) < 0$. On the other hand, the set of Shimura curves on X is preserved by Hecke correspondences. It is therefore very natural to hope to obtain a counterexample to the bounded negativity conjecture by taking the images of a Shimura curve by Hecke correspondences. We will see however that there is only a finite number of Shimura curves (smooth or not) with $C^2 < 0$.

Let C be a curve on X of geometric genus g. The difference

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2}(K_X \cdot C + C^2 - 2g + 2) \tag{5}$$

where K_X is the canonical divisor of X, is a positive integer. If the curve is nodal, then this equals the number of nodes on C. We recall the following important Theorem from [4].

Theorem 3.4 (Hirzebruch-Höfer Proportionality Theorem)**.** *For a Shimura curve C on a quaternionic Hilbert modular surface X we have*

$$K_X C = 4(g-1) \quad \textit{and} \quad K_X C + 2C^2 = 4\delta\,.$$

Although we will not use this fact, it is interesting to notice that the curve C in Theorem 3.4 is nodal.

The main result of this section is

Proposition 3.5. *For a Shimura curve C on X we have the following inequalities*

$$g \leqslant 1 + c_2 + \sqrt{c_2^2 + c_2\delta} \quad \textit{and} \quad C^2 \geqslant -6c_2\ .$$

In particular, if C is smooth, then $g \leqslant 1 + 2c_2$.

Moreover, there is only a finite number of Shimura curves with $C^2 < 0$, since for $\delta \geqslant 3c_2$, the curve C satisfies $C^2 \geqslant 0$.

Proof. The idea is to show that for $\delta \geqslant 3c_2$, the Shimura curve C satisfies $C^2 \geqslant 0$. Computing g from (5) and inserting it into (2) we obtain

$$P(\alpha) = \alpha^2(3\delta - C^2) + \alpha(CK_X + 3C^2 - 6\delta) + 3c_2 - K_X^2 \geqslant 0$$

for $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$. Using the second equality in Theorem 3.4 and since $K_X^2 = 2c_2$ for compact Hilbert modular surfaces, we get

$$P(\alpha) = \alpha^2(3\delta - C^2) + \alpha(C^2 - 2\delta) + c_2 \geqslant 0.$$

If $C^2 \geqslant 2\delta$, then obviously $C^2 \geqslant 0$. If $C^2 < 2\delta$, the minimum of $P(\alpha)$ is attained for

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{2\delta - C^2}{2(3\delta - C^2)}.$$

Note that $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$. Evaluating the condition $P(\alpha_0) \geqslant 0$, we obtain

$$2c_2 + 2\sqrt{c_2^2 + \delta c_2} \geqslant 2\delta - C^2 \geqslant 2c_2 - 2\sqrt{c_2^2 + \delta c_2}. \tag{6}$$

For $C^2 < 2\delta$, we get the lower bound

$$C^2 \geqslant 2\delta - 2c_2 - 2\sqrt{c_2^2 + \delta c_2}\,.$$

Hence, if $\delta \geqslant 3c_2$, we indeed have $C^2 \geqslant 0$.

Suppose now that $C^2 < 0$. Then $\delta < 3c_2$, and therefore $-2\sqrt{c_2^2 + \delta c_2} > -4c_2$. We get from (6) that

$$C^2 \geqslant 2\delta - 2c_2 - 2\sqrt{c_2^2 + \delta c_2} \geqslant 2(\delta - 3c_2)$$

and consequently $C^2 \geqslant -6c_2$.

Miyaoka's formula (3) with $C^2 + K_X C = 2g - 2 + 2\delta$ implies

$$(K_X C - 3g + 3)^2 - c_2(K_X C + \delta - 2g + 2) \leqslant 0 .$$

As $K_X C = 4g - 4$, we get

$$(g-1)^2 - 2c_2(g-1) - c_2\delta \leqslant 0$$

and therefore

$$g - 1 \leqslant c_2 + \sqrt{c_2^2 + c_2\delta} .$$

Now for $C^2 < 0$, we know that $\delta < 3c_2$ and thus we have $g \leqslant 3c_2 + 1$. Since $K_X C = 4g - 4$, the intersection number $K_X C$ is bounded from above. An infinite number of Shimura curves with bounded geometric genus g and bounded intersection with K_X must be in a finite number of families of curves, thus these Shimura curves must deform and satisfy $C^2 \geqslant 0$, therefore the number of Shimura curves with $C^2 < 0$ must be finite. □

Corollary 3.6. *There are only finitely many smooth Shimura curves on a compact Hilbert modular surface.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 3.5, as smooth Shimura curves have a negative self-intersection by the second equality in Theorem 3.4. □

Remark 3.7. It is easy to see that the compactness of X was not used in the course of the proof of Proposition 3.5. The same statement holds therefore for open Hilbert modular surfaces. An important ingredient of the proof was however the Proportionality property 3.4. In fact the Proportionality holds also for modular curves (i.e., those passing through the cusps of a Hilbert modular surface) [20, Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 combined], hence the statement of Proposition 3.5 remains valid for such curves.

Since the numerics are different for ball quotients, we do not know if there is a bound similar to that of Proposition 3.5 for ball quotients.

4 Surfaces with infinitely many negative curves of fixed self-intersection

The well-known example of $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown-up at nine points shows that there are surfaces containing infinitely many (-1)-curves. Along similar lines, we point out here that one can exhibit surfaces with infinitely many negative curves of any given (fixed) negative self-intersection.

Theorem 4.1. *For every integer $m > 0$ there are smooth projective complex surfaces containing infinitely many smooth irreducible curves of self-intersection $-m$.*

Proof. Let E be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, and let A be the abelian surface $E \times E$. We denote by F_1 and F_2 the fibers of the projections and by Δ the diagonal in A. It is shown in [7, Proposition 2.3] that every elliptic curve on A that is not a translate of F_1, F_2 or Δ has numerical equivalence class of the form

$$E_{c,d} := c(c+d)F_1 + d(c+d)F_2 - cd\Delta,$$

where c and d are suitable coprime integers, and conversely, that every such numerical class corresponds to an elliptic curve $E_{c,d}$ on A. In our construction we will make use of a sequence (E_n) of such curves, for instance taking $E_n = E_{n,1}$ for $n \geqslant 2$. No two of the curves E_n are then translates of each other.

Fix a positive integer t such that $t^2 \geqslant m$. For each of the elliptic curves E_n, the number of t-division points on E_n is t^2, and these points are among the t-division points of A. (Actually, the latter is only true if E_n is a subgroup of A, but this can be achieved by using a translate of E_n passing through the origin.) Since the number of t-division points on A is finite – there are exactly t^4 of them – there must exist a subsequence of (E_n) having the property that all curves E_n in the subsequence have the same set of t-division points, say $\{e_1, \dots, e_{t^2}\}$.

Consider now the blow-up $f : X \to A$ at the set $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$. The proper transform C_n of E_n is then a smooth irreducible curve on X with

$$C_n^2 = E_n^2 - m = -m,$$

as claimed. □

Remark 4.2. Note that the proof yields a one-dimensional family of surfaces, and that the constructed surfaces are of Picard number $m + 3$.

For each $m \geqslant 1$, the proof above gives a surface X with infinitely many curves of genus 1 of self-intersection $-m$. This raises the question of whether for each $m \geqslant 1$ and each $g \geqslant 0$ there is a surface X with infinitely many curves of genus g of self-intersection $-m$. We now show that the answer is yes at least for $m > 1$.

Theorem 4.3. *For each $m > 1$ and each $g \geqslant 0$ there exists a smooth projective complex surface containing infinitely many smooth irreducible curves of self-intersection $-m$ and genus g.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to B$ be a smooth complex projective minimal elliptic surface with section, fibered over a smooth base curve B of genus $g(B)$. Then X can have no multiple fibers, so that by Kodaira's well-known result (cf. [2, V,Corollary 12.3]), K_X is a sum of a specific choice of $2g(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ fibers of the elliptic fibration. Let C be any section of the elliptic fibration f. By adjunction, $C^2 = -\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Take X to be rational and f to have infinitely many sections; for example, blow up the base points of a general pencil of plane cubics. Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$, so that $C^2 = -1$ for any section C.

Pick any $g \geqslant 0$ and any $m \geqslant 2$. Then, as is well-known [15], there is a smooth projective curve C of genus g and a finite morphism $h : C \to B$ of degree m that is not ramified over points of B over which the fibers of f are singular. Let $Y = X \times_B C$ be the fiber product. Then the projection $p : Y \to C$ makes Y into a minimal elliptic surface, and each section of f induces a section of p. By the property of the ramification of h, the surface Y is smooth and each singular fiber of f pulls back to m isomorphic singular fibers of p. Since $e(Y)$ is the sum of the Euler characteristics of the singular fibers of p (cf. e.g. [2, III, Proposition 11.4]), we obtain from Noether's formula that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = e(Y)/12 = me(X)/12 = m\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = m$. Therefore, for any section D of p, we have $D^2 = -m$; i.e., Y has infinitely many smooth irreducible curves of genus g and self-intersection $-m$. □

Question 4.4. Is there for each $g > 1$ a surface with infinitely many (-1)-curves of genus g?

5 Negativity of reducible curves

When asking for bounded negativity of curves, it is necessary to restrict attention to reduced curves. Irreducibility, however, is not an essential hypothesis, since by [5, Proposition 3.8.2], bounded negativity holds for the set of reduced, irreducible curves on a surface X if and only if it holds for the set of reduced curves on X. Here we improve this result by obtaining a sharp bound on the negativity for reducible curves, given a bound on the negativity for reduced, irreducible curves.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a smooth projective surface (over an arbitrary algebraically closed ground field) for which there is a constant $b(X)$ such that $C^2 \geqslant -b(X)$ for every reduced, irreducible curve $C \subset X$. Then*

$$C^2 \geqslant -(\rho(X) - 1) \cdot b(X)$$

for every reduced curve $C \subset X$, where $\rho(X)$ is the Picard number of X.

Proof. Consider the Zariski decomposition $C = P + N$ of the reduced divisor C. Then $C^2 = P^2 + N^2 \geqslant N^2$, as P is nef and P and N are orthogonal. So the issue is to bound N^2. The negative part N is of the form $N = a_1C_1 + \cdots + a_rC_r$, where the curves C_i are among the components of C and the coefficients a_i are positive rational numbers. Note that $a_i \leqslant 1$ for all i, because C is reduced. Since the intersection matrix of N is negative definite, we have $r \leqslant \rho(X) - 1$. Thus

$$C^2 \geqslant N^2 \geqslant a_1^2C_1^2 + \cdots + a_r^2C_r^2 \geqslant -r \cdot b(X) \geqslant -(\rho(X) - 1) \cdot b(X),$$

as claimed. □

Example 5.2. Here is an example of a surface of higher Picard number, for which equality holds in the inequality $C^2 \geqslant -(\rho(X)-1) \cdot b(X)$ that was established above. Consider a smooth Kummer surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with 16 disjoint lines (or with 16 disjoint smooth rational curves of some degree) as in [3] or in [6]. The generic such surface has $\rho(X) = 17$, we have $b(X) = -2$, and if C is the union of the 16 disjoint curves, then $C^2 = 16 \cdot (-2)$.

Example 5.3. A more elementary example is given by the blow up X of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at $n \leqslant 8$ general points, so $\rho(X) = n + 1$. Since $-K_X$ is ample, it follows by adjunction for any reduced, irreducible curve C that $C^2 \geqslant -1$, so $b(X) = 1$. But if E is the union of the exceptional curves of the n blown up points, then $E^2 = -n = -(\rho(X)-1) \cdot b(X)$.

References

[1] Autissier P., Chambert-Loir A., Gasbarri C.: On the canonical degrees of curves in varieties of general type, arXiv:1003.3804v2

[2] Barth, W. P., Hulek, K., Peters, C. A. M., Van de Ven, A.: Compact complex surfaces. Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[3] Barth, W., Nieto, I.: Abelian surfaces of type $(1, 3)$ and quartic surfaces with 16 skew lines. J. Algebraic Geometry 3, 173–222 (1994).

[4] Barthel G., Hirzebruch F., Höfer T.: Geradenkonfigurationen und Algebraische Flächen. Aspects of Math. D4, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig (1987).

[5] Bauer, Th., et al.: Recent developments and open problems in linear series. To appear in "Contributions to Algebraic Geometry", Impanga Lecture Notes Series. arXiv:1101.4363.

[6] Bauer, Th.: Smooth Kummer surfaces in projective three-space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125, 2537–2541 (1997).

[7] Bauer, Th., Schulz, C.: Seshadri constants on the self-product of an elliptic curve. Journal of Algebra 320, 2981–3005 (2008).

[8] Deligne, P.: Travaux de Shimura. Séminaire Bourbaki, 23ième année (1970/71), Exp. No. 389, pp. 123-165. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 244, Springer, Berlin, 1971.

[9] Fontanari, C.: Towards bounded negativity of self-intersection on general blown-up projective planes. To appear in Comm. Algebra. arXiv:1012.4989v2 [math.AG]

[10] Fujimoto, Y.: Endomorphisms of smooth projective 3-folds with non-negative Kodaira dimension. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 38 (2002), 33–92

[11] Granath, H.: On quaternionic Shimura surfaces. Thesis (Ph.D.), Chalmers Tekniska Hogskola (Sweden), 2002.

[12] Harbourne, B.: Global aspects of the geometry of surfaces. Ann. Univ. Pedagog. Crac. Stud. Math. 11 (2010), 5–41.

[13] Harris, J.: The interpolation problem. Talk delivered at the workshop *Classical Algebraic Geometry Today*, MSRI, Berkeley, January 26-30, 2009. Available online at the webpage http://jessica2.msri.org/attachments/13549/13549.pdf.

[14] Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic Geometry. Graduate texts in mathematics (52), New York, Springer-Verlag 1977.

[15] Hurwitz, A.: Über Riemannsche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten. Math. Ann. 103 (1891), 1–60.

[16] Lu, S.-S., Miyaoka, Y.: Bounding curves in algebraic surfaces by genus and Chern numbers. Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995), 663–676.

[17] Lazarsfeld, R.: Positivity in Algebraic Geometry. I.-II. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vols. 48–49., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[18] Miyaoka, Y.: The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants. Math. Ann. 268 (1984), 159–171

[19] Miyaoka, Y.: The orbibundle Miyaoka-Yau-Sakai inequality and an effective Bogomolov-McQuillan theorem. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 44 (2008), no. 2, 403–417.

[20] Müller-Stach, S., Viehweg, E., Zuo, K.: Relative Proportionality for subvarieties of moduli spaces of K3 and abelian surfaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly, Vol 5, Nr. 3, Special Issue: In honor of Friedrich Hirzebruch (2009), 1161–1199.

[21] Nakayama, N.: Ruled surfaces with non-trivial surjective endomorphisms. Kyushu J. Math. 56 (2002), 433–446.

[22] Shavel, I.: A class of algebraic surfaces of general type constructed from quaternion algebras, Pacific J. Math. 76 (1978), no. 1, 221–245.

Thomas Bauer, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Straße, D-35032 Marburg, Germany.

E-mail address: tbauer@mathematik.uni-marburg.de

Brian Harbourne, Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588-0130, USA.

E-mail address: bharbour@math.unl.edu

Andreas Leopold Knutsen, Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, Johs. Brunsgt. 12, N-5008 Bergen, Norway.

E-mail address: andreas.knutsen@math.uib.no

Alex Küronya, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Mathematical Institute, Department of Algebra, Pf. 91, H-1521 Budapest, Hungary.

E-mail address: alex.kuronya@math.bme.hu

Current address: Alex Küronya, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut, Eckerstraße 1, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany.

Stefan Müller-Stach, Institut für Mathematik (Fachbereich 08) Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz Staudingerweg 9 55099 Mainz, Germany.

E-mail address: stach@uni-mainz.de

Xavier Roulleau, Départemento de Mathematica, Instituto Superior Técnico, Avenida Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal.

E-mail address: roulleau@math.ist.utl.pt

Tomasz Szemberg, Instytut Matematyki UP, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland.

E-mail address: tomasz.szemberg@uni-due.de