



This is a repository copy of *Rasch model of the child perceptions questionnaire in multi-country data*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/156484/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Stamm, T.A., Omara, M., Bakerc, S.R. et al. (16 more authors) (2019) Rasch model of the child perceptions questionnaire in multi-country data. *Journal of Dentistry*. 103267. ISSN 0300-5712

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2019.103267>

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Rasch model of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire in multi-country data.

Stamm TA, Omara M, Baker SR, Foster Page L, Thomson WM, Benson PE, Broomhead T, Aguilar-Diaz F, Do L, Gibson BJ, Hirsch C, Marshman Z, McGrath C, Mohamed A, Robinson PG, Traebert J, Turton B, Salzberger T, Bekes K

Abstract: number of words 250; Clinical significance: number of words 49; body of text (excluding Abstract, Acknowledgments, References, Figures and Tables): number of words 3443; number of tables: 3; Number of figures: 1

Keywords: patient-reported outcome measures, measurement accuracy, cross-border care, psychometric properties, item response theory

Abstract

Objective: To be fit-for-purpose, oral health-related quality of life instruments must possess a range of psychometric properties which had not been fully examined in the 16-item Short Form Child Perceptions Questionnaire for children aged 11 to 14 years (CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16). We used advanced statistical approaches to determine the CPQ's measurement accuracy, precision, invariance and dimensionality and analyzed whether age range could be extended from 8 to 15 years.

Methods: Fit to the Rasch model was examined in 6648 8-to-15-year-olds from Australia, New Zealand, Brunei, Cambodia, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Thailand, Germany, United Kingdom, Brazil and Mexico.

Results: In all but two items, the initial five answer options were reduced to three or four, to increase precision of the children's selection. Items 10 (*Shy/embarrassed*) and 11 (*Concerned what others think*) showed an 'extra' dependency between item scores beyond the relationship related to the underlying latent construct represented by the instrument, and so were deleted. Without these two items, the CPQ was unidimensional. The three oral symptoms items (4 *Food stuck in teeth*, 3 *Bad breath* and 1 *Pain*) were required for a sufficient person-item coverage. In three out of 14 items (21%), Europe and South America showed regional differences in the patterns of how the answer options were selected. No differential item functioning was detected for age.

Conclusion: Except for a few modifications, the present analysis supports the combination of items, the cross-cultural validity of the CPQ with 14 items and the extension of the age range from 8 to 15 years.

Clinical significance: The valid, reliable, shortened and age-extended version of the CPQ resulting from this study should be used in routine care and clinical research. Less items and a wider age range increase its usability. Symptoms items are needed to precisely differentiate between children with higher and lower quality of life.

Introduction

Patient-reported outcomes constitute an essential part of health outcome measurement. Valid and precise instruments are a substantial requirement. Health-related quality of life is among the most important domains that can be assessed using self-reported outcome measures; oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) is one of its core elements. Self-reported instruments for measuring OHRQoL have been specifically developed for children and adults. The most frequently used instrument in children is the Child Perceptions Questionnaire₁₁₋₁₄ (CPQ₁₁₋₁₄) [1-3]. The CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ was first developed as a 37-item instrument derived from an item pool from different countries and cultures. Items were grouped into four main domains of oral symptoms, functional limitations, emotional and social well-being [2]. Subsequently, a 16-item-short version, the Short Form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ (CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16), with four items from each of the four main domains was established [4, 5]. A later secondary analysis of data from a subnational sample of 5804 children proposed that the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ worked well with two domains, symptoms/function and emotional/social well-being [5].

To be fit-for-purpose, patient-reported outcome measures must possess a range of psychometric properties that ensure adequate precision and accuracy of measurement, as well as comparability of findings. So far, the psychometric properties of the CPQ have been examined only sufficiently according to classical test theory (CTT) [1, 2, 4, 5]. CTT focuses on overall, sample-based statistics, such as correlations and reliability which provide little insight into how individual items actually work [5]. Furthermore, CTT makes assumptions, such as normally distributed populations and interval-scaled response data, that are rarely met in practice.

An alternative psychometric approach based on the Rasch model for measurement [6] overcomes some limitations of CTT and provides insight at the item level. For this reason, the Rasch model has gained popularity in health measurement in recent years. The Rasch model focuses on the response of an individual to an item which is modeled as a logistic function of item parameters (referred to as the item's difficulty/probability to be affirmed or item location) and a person parameter (the person measure is what we are ultimately interested in). Item and person parameters are expressed in the

same metric scale and are directly comparable. When the fit of the data to the Rasch model and its requirements are investigated, a range of possible misfits might exist. A basic test compares actual responses to the expected responses based on parameter estimates. Other tests check explicitly whether parameter invariance holds true in the data. This is particularly important if measures from potentially different groups of patients (e.g. females versus males or patients from different countries or regions) are to be compared. A violation of invariance is referred to as differential item functioning [7]. It means that the expected response to an item differs between two respondents from different countries even though they have the same person location.

Another fundamental requirement of measurement is unidimensionality. Whenever a response string is summarized by one measure, such as a total (sum) score, unidimensionality is, at least implicitly, assumed meaning that a single underlying latent construct accounts for the variation in the responses of the participants [8]. In concepts comprising multiple aspects or sub-domains, unidimensionality is typically an unrealistic assumption. However, if these aspects are sufficiently related to one another, unidimensionality can still hold true and a single total score adequately measures the latent construct. Related to unidimensionality is local dependency. Local dependency is an 'extra' dependency between item scores beyond the relationship related to the same underlying latent construct, which is measured by the instrument. Local dependency distorts the metric of the measures and is investigated by examining item residual correlations.

Another aspect of a fit-for-purpose instrument is adequate measurement precision; this is referred to as 'targeting' in the Rasch model. It means the extent to which item locations match person locations. In a properly targeted instrument, there is a close match between item and person locations. In poorly targeted instruments, items are too 'easy' (too likely to be observed) or too 'hard' (too unlikely to be observed). A few items that show strong floor or ceiling effects are, generally speaking, unproblematic as they capture extreme person locations. However, if the vast majority of items is affected, the instrument would be poorly targeted to the sample and precision would be very low [9]; the validity of such an instrument is hard to support. Furthermore, the Rasch model allows for a logistic

transformation of an ordinal into a metric scale with a score from 0 to 100. This is particularly relevant in subsequent statistical analyses that require metric data.

Objectives

Except for an initial Rasch analysis using a dataset from Germany [10], the above-described fundamental principles of measurement have not yet been tested for the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16. Likewise, these principles have not been sufficiently examined in other oral health questionnaires [11, 12]. To date, little is known to what extent the instrument works in different countries and whether measures are cross-nationally comparable. Accordingly, the aim of our study was to use the Rasch model approach to investigate the psychometric properties (fit, invariance, unidimensionality) of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 in a diverse set of countries comprising Australia, New Zealand, Brunei, Cambodia, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Thailand, Germany, United Kingdom, Brazil and Mexico. Another aim was to examine the potential for extending the age range of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 from 8 to 15 years. Such an extension would enhance the clinical usefulness of the instrument and facilitate longitudinal assessments.

Materials and methods

A psychometric analysis was conducted using multi-national epidemiological samples of 6648 children aged 8 to 15 years who completed the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄. in 11 countries covering the regions of Australia/New Zealand, Europe, Asia and South America (Supplementary Appendix Figure I). Three hundred seventy-two children were from Australia (data collected in 2002/3; 8-to-13-year-olds), three samples (with 352, 202 and 429 children) from New Zealand (data collected in 2008/10; 12-to-13-year-olds), 423 from Brunei (data collected in 2010; 10-to-14-year-olds), 423 from Cambodia (data collected in 2012; 8-to-14-year-olds), 542 from Hong Kong (data collected in 2001; 12-year-olds), 439 from Malaysia (data collected in 2007; 12-to-13-year-olds), two samples (261, 506) from Thailand (data collected in 2009/11; 10-to-14-year-olds), two samples (88, 374) from UK (data collected in 2003/7/8; 11-to-14-year-olds), 1498 from Germany (data collected in 2007/8; 10-to-15-year-olds), 335 from Mexico (data collected in 2007; 12-to-13-year-olds) and 404 from Brazil (data collected in 2009; 11-to-14-year-olds) (Supplementary Appendix 1). All but the Cambodian and two UK samples were representative at the

national or regional level. Boys (n=3277, 49%) and girls (n=3371, 51%) were represented almost equally. All studies had used either the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 [4] or the 37-item version [2] which also includes the 16 short form items. Response options and scores for each item were as follows: 'Never' (scoring 0); 'Once or twice' (1); 'Sometimes' (2); 'Often' (3); and 'Every day or almost every day' (4).

Fit to the Rasch measurement model

Overall and item-based fit to the Rasch model was explored in a series of analyses using partial credit models suitable for polytomous response data [13]. We used raw scores without weighting [14] to precisely calibrate the scale and to transform the raw scores into a metric scale. As fit statistics are inflated by high sample sizes and simulation studies [15, 16] revealed that sample sizes of 500 appear to be optimal (i.e., not too sensitive while still sufficiently powerful), we analyzed individual item fit in a region-stratified random sample of 125 participants per region (500 in total); we repeated this analysis three times with a different, independent random draw to validate our findings and to check for parameter invariance. This approach has been used in recent, similar studies [17, 18]. Furthermore, we examined for each item whether the locations of the thresholds between the response options were properly ordered. Disordered thresholds indicate that the response scale does not work as intended. In the event of disordered thresholds, we first rescored each item by collapsing the five answer options either into four or three categories (whichever pattern fitted better depending on the inspection of the category probability curves as well as the clinical meanings of the items). Local dependency between items was assessed using residual correlations based on a cut-off of 0.2 above the mean [19].

To assess the instrument's item-based internal consistency and reliability, we compared Cronbach's alpha with the person separation index (PSI). The PSI refers to the reproducibility of relative measure location and indicates whether a scale is able to distinguish between people with higher and lower oral health related quality of life [9]; a PSI ≥ 0.7 indicates that the instrument is suitable for group comparisons, whereas a PSI value ≥ 0.85 demonstrates a good person separation for individual use.

Misfitting and locally dependent items were deleted, if their overall fit statistics, reliability measures and clinical meaningfulness based on the information gained from these items were not violated.

Unidimensionality

To test unidimensionality, we used an approach proposed by Smith [20] and combined principal component analysis of the item residuals with a series of t-tests to assess whether subsets of residuals which loaded positively or negatively resulted in different estimates of person parameters. These sets of items were chosen as a way to maximize the contrast between them. These item sets were then most likely to violate the assumption of unidimensionality.

Differential item functioning

Countries were collapsed into the following four regions, namely Australia/New Zealand, Europe, Asia and South America. Differential item functioning was assessed for region and gender, separately for each item by comparing person parameter estimates between different regions. If differential item functioning was apparent for an item, we determined the nature of those differences occurred using post hoc analysis of the residual means. Due to the heterogeneity of the age ranges covered in the datasets from the different countries and the fact that the full age range was not covered in all countries, we assessed differential item functioning for age in an age-stratified random sub-sample of 240 children (30 children for each age group; in years) and repeated this analysis three times using each time a different, independent random draw.

Person-item targeting

Person-item targeting was inspected graphically using person-item map and person-item threshold distribution.

Transformation to a metric interval scale

Based on the above described adaptations, the ordinal total CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ raw scores were transformed to a metric scale. If differential item functioning existed, we split the specific item to separate the regions which was different from the others. This approach resulted in region-specific transformation scales. All analyses were performed with RUMM2030 and the eRm package in R (www.r-project.org).

Results

Total CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 raw scores had a mean of 11.5 (SD 8.6), a median of 10 and a range of 0 to 54. The right-skewed distribution of the total CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 raw sum scores of the German data indicated that the majority of the German population had a score of zero (no self-reported oral health-related problems and high OHRQoL; Supplementary Appendix Figure II) and affected the interpretation of fit statistics and person-item targeting. Mean Decayed-Missing-Filled Teeth (DMFT) scores of the German data were also numerically lower compared to the other three regions (Supplementary Appendix Figure III). For these reasons, analyses were conducted with and without the data from Germany.

Diagnosis of measurement problems and scale repair

Model fit statistics first showed a considerable initial misfit of the data to the Rasch model, a discrepancy between PSI and Cronbach's alpha, and a significant violation of unidimensionality in the total data set (model 1 in Table 1). Furthermore, in model 1, all but two items had significantly deviating F-tests with item-based fit residuals being below -2.5 or above +2.5 and all but one item showed significantly deviating chi-squared values; all except two items (Item 2 *Sores* and Item 4 *Food stuck in teeth*) produced disordered thresholds. We therefore collapsed and rescored the answer options as depicted in Table 2 in the column named 'rescored'.

Thereafter, model 2 was fitted without the German data (n= 5150). However, this model also showed a considerable misfit (Table 1) with significantly deviating item-based F-tests and chi-squared values. To achieve a better model fit, we drew a smaller region-stratified random sample (model 3 in Table 1) and repeated this procedure three times. We examined the results and similar item locations were produced which are depicted in the Supplementary Appendix Table A. Consequently, item fit residuals in model 3 were numerically smaller (Table 2).

Since local dependency was detected in two items, namely Item 10 *Shy/embarrassed* and Item 11 *Concerned what others think*, it was decided to first delete one of those two items to lose as little information as possible. Because the respective remaining item produced further local dependences with other items, we decided to delete both items. After this procedure, six items still had significantly

deviating F-test and chi-squared values (marked in bold letters in Table 2), despite their item fit residuals being in an acceptable range (between +2.5 and -2.5). Because the deletion of further items also decreased the PSI, we assumed that important discriminative information would be lost and decided not to delete any further items. For this reasons, we also decided not to delete any further items which showed DIF, but to adjust for DIF by generating criterion-specific metric transformation scales. Model 3 showed locally independent items, a unidimensional scale and no differential item functioning by gender. Likewise, none of the age-stratified random samples exhibited differential item functioning for age (Supplementary Appendix Tables B[a] to [c]). However, three items, namely Item 15 *Other kids teased*, Item 8 *Difficulty eating/drinking hot/cold foods* and Item 5 *Taken longer than others to eat*, still had differential item functioning for region in model 3.

When further exploring the differential item functioning for region, the *post hoc* analysis revealed that the children in Europe responded differently to item 8 than in the other three regions. For items 5 and 15, not only Europe, but also South America were different from the other two regions, as well as from each other. Therefore, we split the three items for Europe and South America and transformed the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 raw scores into separate, region-specific metric scales, except for Australia/New Zealand and Asia, which were kept together because no differential item functioning between those two regions was observed in the *post-hoc* analysis (Table 3).

Person item targeting

From the graphical inspection of the person-item map (Figure 1), it is evident that a large number of children had a high probability for a low score, even without the right-skewed German data (lower scores represent better OHRQoL). Furthermore, the three oral symptoms items (Item 4 *Food stuck in teeth*, Item 3 *Bad breath* and Item 1 *Pain*) are needed for a sufficient person-item targeting and to accurately differentiate between children with different levels of OHRQoL. The items on psychosocial consequences showed a similar likelihood to be affirmed to each other and thus, represented only a small proportion of the children. Moreover, including some more new 'easy' (more likely to be

affirmed) items on psycho-social consequences would result in an even better person item targeting and a better discrimination by the instrument.

Discussion

Our study used advanced statistical approaches, namely the Rasch model, to investigate the psychometric properties of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 when applied to children from 8-15 years. This is the first study that explored fundamental principles of measurement, including accuracy, precision, invariance and dimensionality in the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 covering the regions of Australia/New Zealand, Europe, Asia and South America. Our analysis addressed each item of the instrument and proposed slight improvements that increase its precision and clinical meaningfulness of the questionnaire; with minor adaptations, the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 was fit for purpose.

In respect of the dimensionality of the instrument, one recent study investigated the factor structure of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 using confirmatory factor analysis and proposed two subscales (symptoms/function and well-being) [5]. In the same direction, another study in the German dataset suggested the same previously mentioned subscales [10]. Our diagnostic findings also revealed that the unidimensionality of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 was violated. However, after deleting items 10 *Shy/embarrassed* and 11 *Concerned what others think* which showed an 'extra' dependency between the item scores beyond the relationship related to the same underlying latent construct that is measured by the instrument, unidimensionality as a single latent trait was no longer violated. This can be interpreted that the items, factors and/or domains of an instrument follow a common latent trait, namely, in our case, OHRQoL [21]. Consequently, the total score of the instrument has a clinically meaningful interpretation and our findings support, in principle, the successful combination of items of this instrument. For practical purposes, the use of only 14 items instead of 16 would also be more time-efficient for the children. Marshman et al. also found that young people had difficulties to score double items, e.g. item 10 *Shy/embarrassed* [22].

Currently, there are two separate CPQ versions for two age groups, namely the 8–10 and 11–14 ones. However, the use of two measures limits the ability of the CPQ to be used in prospective, longitudinal

studies, that follow individuals throughout childhood. Having a single measure which can be used with children over a ten-year age span would be a considerable advantage [23]. Accordingly, an important finding from our study is that the unified 14-item short form can be used for assessing OHRQoL in a wider age range than has been previously reported. Instead of those two different age-related instruments, our findings support the use of only one unified instrument for both age groups, extending from 8 to 15 years of age. A future approach could be investigating the utility of the 14-item version for adolescents above 15 years of age.

Fayers et al. argued that symptoms were causal indicators and consequently should not be included in OHRQoL instruments [24]. However, our findings suggest that items addressing oral symptoms showed better targeting and coverage of the population than the other items. Thus, oral symptoms items are likely to be an essential part of OHRQoL instruments.

Patient-reported outcome measures should cover what matters to patients, rather than asking only about what health professionals and scientists who developed these instruments considered to be important [25]. Moreover, the frequency, severity and importance of impacts of a health condition should be included in our assessment to capture the value for patients. The Rasch model partly addresses this issue by providing evidence for how the items target the perspectives of the patients who filled in the questionnaire performed. However, further qualitative studies are needed to explore whether the patient-reported outcome measures, including the CPQ, fully cover the perspective of the patients [26-28].

Within all but two items, answer options were collapsed, meaning that the initial five response options were reduced to three or four options, whichever pattern fitted better, to increase the precision of the selection of response options by the children. Collapsing answer options does not necessarily change the layout and format of the questions. It rather represents an algorithm for calculating the total score. The format and layout of the revised version of the CPQ could then look like the current CPQ form - with two items less. Moreover, our findings indicate that the translation and cultural adaptation process was accurate for most items. No differential item functioning was detected in respect to

gender, and only three of the 14 items (21%) showed differential item functioning by region. Those three items were *taken longer than others to eat* (item 5), *difficulty eating/drinking hot/cold foods* (item 8), and *teased by other kids* (item 15). Accordingly, transforming the raw scores into a metric scale specific and separate for each region would allow an accurate comparison of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ scores across international data-sets and when needed, in cross-border care. Moreover, this could facilitate future multi-country studies and support dentists in applying a precise OHRQoL instrument for use with children in their daily practice and/or community setting.

One limitation of this study is that not all samples were representative at the national level. Some data-sets were representative only at regional level or less. Furthermore, the full age range was not covered in all countries. To overcome this limitation, we used region- and an age-stratified random samples for differential item functioning analysis and performed our analysis with and without the data from Germany.

Conclusion

Except for the deletion of two items, the collapsing of the answer options for the calculation of the total score and the region-specific transformation tables, the findings support the combination of items and the cross-cultural validation of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ ISF-16 within the range of included countries. Furthermore, our analysis provides evidence that the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ with 14 items is unidimensional and can be used in children aged 8 to 15 years.

References

- [1] A. Jokovic, D. Locker, B. Tompson, G. Guyatt, Questionnaire for measuring oral health-related quality of life in eight-to ten-year-old children, *Pediatric dentistry* 26(6) (2004) 512-518.
- [2] A. Jokovic, D. Locker, M. Stephens, D. Kenny, B. Tompson, G. Guyatt, Validity and reliability of a questionnaire for measuring child oral-health-related quality of life, *Journal of dental research* 81(7) (2002) 459-463.
- [3] F. Gilchrist, H. Rodd, C. Deery, Z. Marshman, Assessment of the quality of measures of child oral health-related quality of life, *BMC oral health* 14(1) (2014) 40.
- [4] A. Jokovic, D. Locker, G. Guyatt, Short forms of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire for 11–14-year-old children (CPQ 11–14): development and initial evaluation, *Health and quality of life outcomes* 4(1) (2006) 4.
- [5] W.M. Thomson, L.A. Foster Page, P.G. Robinson, L.G. Do, J. Traebert, A.R. Mohamed, B.J. Turton, C. McGrath, K. Bekes, C. Hirsch, F. Del Carmen Aguilar-Diaz, Z. Marshman, P.E. Benson, S.R. Baker, Psychometric assessment of the short-form Child Perceptions Questionnaire: an international collaborative study, *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 44(6) (2016) 549-556.

- [6] G. Rasch, On General Laws and Meaning of Measurement in Psychology, Proceedings of the Fourth Berkley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability 4 (1961) 321-333.
- [7] G. Tutz, G. Schauberger, A penalty approach to differential item functioning in Rasch models, *Psychometrika* 80(1) (2015) 21-43.
- [8] M. Heene, A. Kyngdon, P. Sckopke, Detecting Violations of Unidimensionality by Order-Restricted Inference Methods, *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics* 2 (2016) 3.
- [9] A. Tennant, P.G. Conaghan, The Rasch measurement model in rheumatology: what is it and why use it? When should it be applied, and what should one look for in a Rasch paper?, *Arthritis care & research* 57(8) (2007) 1358-1362.
- [10] M. Omara, T. Stamm, M. Boecker, V. Ritschl, E. Mosor, T. Salzberger, C. Hirsch, K. Bekes, Rasch model of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire for oral health-related quality of life: A step forward toward accurate outcome measures, *The Journal of the American Dental Association* (2019).
- [11] P.E. Benson, S.J. Cunningham, N. Shah, F. Gilchrist, S.R. Baker, S.J. Hodges, Z. Marshman, Development of the Malocclusion Impact Questionnaire (MIQ) to measure the oral health-related quality of life of young people with malocclusion: part 2 - cross-sectional validation, *J Orthod* 43(1) (2016) 14-23.
- [12] H.M. Wong, C.P. McGrath, N.M. King, Rasch validation of the early childhood oral health impact scale, *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology* 39(5) (2011) 449-457.
- [13] A. Tennant, J. Pallant, Unidimensionality matters!(A Tale of Two Smiths?). *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 20 (1), 1048–1051, 2006.
- [14] D.J. Caplan, G.D. Slade, S.A. Gansky, Complex sampling: implications for data analysis, *Journal of public health dentistry* 59(1) (1999) 52-59.
- [15] A.B. Smith, R. Rush, L.J. Fallowfield, G. Velikova, M. Sharpe, Rasch fit statistics and sample size considerations for polytomous data, *BMC medical research methodology* 8(1) (2008) 33.
- [16] P. Hagell, A. Westergren, Sample Size and Statistical Conclusions from Tests of Fit to the Rasch Model According to the Rasch Unidimensional Measurement Model (Rumm) Program in Health Outcome Measurement, *Journal of applied measurement* 17(4) (2016) 416-431.
- [17] M. Armstrong, C. Morris, M. Tarrant, C. Abraham, M.C. Horton, Rasch analysis of the Chedoke-McMaster Attitudes towards Children with Handicaps scale, *Disabil Rehabil* 39(3) (2017) 281-290.
- [18] W.J. Taylor, K. Parekh, Rasch analysis suggests that health assessment questionnaire II is a generic measure of physical functioning for rheumatic diseases: a cross-sectional study, *Health and quality of life outcomes* 16(1) (2018) 108.
- [19] D. Andrich, *Rasch models for measurement*, Sage1988.
- [20] E.V. Smith Jr, Understanding Rasch measurement: Detecting and evaluating the impact of multidimensionality using item fit statistics and principal component analysis of residuals, *Journal of applied measurement* (2002).
- [21] M.J. Coppes, S.A. Fisher-Owens, *Oral Health in Children, An Issue of Pediatric Clinics of North America E-Book*, Elsevier Health Sciences2018.
- [22] Z. Marshman, B.J. Gibson, P.E. Benson, Is the short-form Child Perceptions Questionnaire meaningful and relevant to children with malocclusion in the UK?, *Journal of orthodontics* 37(1) (2010) 29-36.
- [23] M. Wilson-Genderson, H.L. Broder, C. Phillips, Concordance between caregiver and child reports of children's oral health-related quality of life, *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology* 35 (2007) 32-40.
- [24] P.M. Fayers, D.J. Hand, K. Bjordal, M. Groenvold, Causal indicators in quality of life research, *Quality of life research* 6(5) (1997) 393-406.
- [25] D. Locker, F. Allen, What do measures of 'oral health-related quality of life' measure?, *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology* 35(6) (2007) 401-411.
- [26] T. Stamm, G.F. Van der, C. Thorstensson, E. Steen, F. Birrell, B. Bauernfeind, N. Marshall, B. Prodinger, K. Machold, J. Smolen, M. Kloppenburg, Patient perspective of hand osteoarthritis in relation to concepts covered by instruments measuring functioning: a qualitative European multicentre study, *Ann.Rheum.Dis.* 68(9) (2009) 1453-1460.

- [27] T.A. Stamm, V. Nell, M. Mathis, M. Coenen, D. Aletaha, A. Cieza, G. Stucki, W. Taylor, J.S. Smolen, K.P. Machold, Concepts Important to People with Psoriatic Arthritis are not Adequately Covered by Standard Measures of Functioning, *Arthritis Rheum* 57(3) (2007) 487-494.
- [28] M.A. Stoffer, J.S. Smolen, A. Woolf, A. Ambrozic, F. Berghea, A. Boonen, A. Bosworth, L. Carmona, M. Dougados, M. de Wit, J. Erwin, V. Fialka-Moser, R. Ionescu, A.M. Keenan, E. Loza, R.H. Moe, R. Greiff, P. Olejnik, I.F. Petersson, A.C. Rat, B. Rozman, B. Strombeck, L. Tanner, T. Uhlig, T.P. Vlieland, T.A. Stamm, W.P.E.P. eumusc.net, Development of patient-centred standards of care for osteoarthritis in Europe: the eumusc.net-project, *Ann Rheum Dis* 74(6) (2015) 1145-9.

Tables

Table 1. Model fit statistics. Mean item log residual test of fit, item-trait interaction chi-square statistics, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) and the Akaike-Information-Criterion (AIC) were calculated to assess model fit.

	Model fit statistics						Unidimensionality analysis					
	Mean item location (\pm SD)	Mean item fit residual (\pm SD)	Mean person location (\pm SD)	Mean person fit residual (\pm SD)	Person separation index (PSI)	Cronbach's α	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)	Akaike-Information-Criterion (AIC) - smaller is better	Number of significant t tests	Sample	% PST	Lower bound of 95% CI
Model 1.												
Total dataset	0	-2.74	-1.58	-0.3	0.79	0.85	0.19	165,166	519	6648	7.8%	7.3%
(\pm SD)	-0.47	6.35	1.11	1.12								
Model 2.												
Without the German data	0	-1.17	-1.32	-0.24	0.79	0.83	0.15	156,120	331	5150	6.4%	5.8%
(\pm SD)	0.45	5.81	1	1.16								
Model 3.												
500 region-stratified random sample	0	-0.28	-1.38	-0.24	0.76	0.81	0.04	9,508	23	500	4.6%	2.7%
(\pm SD)	0.53	1.38	0.97	1.08								

Due to the different sample sizes, differences in AIC between model 1 and 3 as well as between 2 and 3 need to be interpreted with caution.

Table 2. Item fit statistics sorted in a descending order according to item location in the randomly reduced, region-stratified dataset of model 3. If the data fit the Rasch model, the hierarchy of items based on their location parameters can be interpreted. A small (negative) item location implies that the items represent a small amount of the concept of interest ('easy' to affirm items are more likely to be observed and positive responses [affirmations] are in general more likely for 'easy' than for 'hard' items), whereas high (positive) items are 'hard' items in which positive affirmations are more unlikely to be observed. Furthermore, a person with a low person location/estimate is expected to score lower on 'hard' items than a person with a high person estimate. **Fit residuals between -2.5 and +2.5 with non-significant F-tests represented individual item fit. Non-significant chi-squared values were interpreted as fit to the latent trait.** Significances are highlighted in bold letters. * indicates trend/borderline: item 15 had a significant F-test value as well as a chi-squared p-value of 0.073.

Item number		Location	Standard error	Fit residual	F-stat	p-value	ChiSq	p-value	Rescored	DIF
4	<i>Food stuck in teeth</i>	-0.83	0.05	1.66	2.52	0.015	17.21	0.016		
3	<i>Bad breath</i>	-0.81	0.06	2.63	1.42	0.197	11.26	0.128	0-1-2-3-3	
1	<i>Pain</i>	-0.50	0.06	-0.69	0.73	0.643	5.15	0.642	0-1-2-3-3	
5	<i>Taken longer than others to eat</i>	-0.39	0.08	0.86	0.83	0.561	6.17	0.52	0-1-1-2-2	region
9	<i>Irritable/frustrated</i>	-0.26	0.06	-1.72	3.85	>0.001	22.7	0.002	0-1-2-3-3	
8	<i>Difficulty eating/drinking hot/cold foods</i>	-0.19	0.08	-0.31	1.85	0.077	12	0.1	0-1-1-2-2	region
14	<i>Argued with other kids</i>	-0.07	0.08	-0.21	0.55	0.801	3.93	0.788	0-1-1-2-2	
12	<i>Been upset</i>	0.14	0.08	-2.07	4.50	>0.001	25.69	0.001	0-1-1-2-2	
6	<i>Difficulty chewing</i>	0.22	0.09	-1.18	1.8	0.085	11.35	0.124	0-1-1-2-2	
2	<i>Sores</i>	0.25	0.06	1.26	2.22	0.031	15.97	0.025		
15	<i>Other kids teased</i>	0.3	0.09	-1.13	2.05	0.047	12.95*	0.073	0-1-1-2-2	region
7	<i>Difficulty saying words</i>	0.5	0.09	-0.65	0.74	0.642	5.34	0.619	0-1-1-2-2	
13	<i>Avoided smiling/laughing</i>	0.7	0.09	-0.88	0.64	0.721	4.75	0.69	0-1-1-2-2	
16	<i>Other kids asked questions about teeth</i>	0.94	0.10	-1.49	3.04	0.003	17.37	0.015	0-1-1-2-2	
10	<i>Shy/embarrassed</i>	<i>Deleted due to local dependency with item 11</i>								
11	<i>Concerned what others think</i>	<i>Deleted due to local dependency with item 12 and 13 (after deleting item 10)</i>								

Table 3. Transformation of raw scores into region-specific metric scales. Similar to the findings of our recent study [10], CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ total raw scores from Europe might not be precisely transformable to a metric scale at the lower end of the scores due to their right skewed distribution.

Raw score	<i>Logit</i> Australia. New Zealand and Asia	<i>Transformed</i> Australia. New Zealand and Asia	<i>Logit</i> Europe	<i>Transformed</i> Europe	<i>Logit</i> South America	<i>Transformed</i> South America
0	-4.249	0	-4.391	0	-4.235	0
1	-3.441	10	-3.576	9	-3.428	10
2	-2.884	16	-3.01	16	-2.872	16
3	-2.5	21	-2.616	20	-2.489	21
4	-2.199	24	-2.307	24	-2.19	24
5	-1.948	27	-2.047	27	-1.941	27
6	-1.73	30	-1.822	29	-1.725	30
7	-1.535	32	-1.619	32	-1.533	32
8	-1.357	34	-1.434	34	-1.357	34
9	-1.192	36	-1.263	36	-1.195	36
10	-1.038	38	-1.103	37	-1.043	38
11	-0.891	40	-0.951	39	-0.9	39
12	-0.751	41	-0.806	41	-0.762	41
13	-0.615	43	-0.666	42	-0.63	43
14	-0.484	44	-0.53	44	-0.501	44
15	-0.354	46	-0.397	45	-0.375	46
16	-0.227	47	-0.267	47	-0.251	47
17	-0.1	49	-0.137	48	-0.128	48
18	0.027	50	-0.008	50	-0.005	50
19	0.154	52	0.122	51	0.118	51
20	0.283	53	0.254	53	0.243	53
21	0.414	55	0.387	54	0.37	54
22	0.548	56	0.523	56	0.499	56
23	0.686	58	0.663	58	0.633	57
24	0.829	60	0.809	59	0.772	59
25	0.979	62	0.96	61	0.917	61
26	1.137	63	1.12	63	1.071	63
27	1.305	65	1.29	65	1.234	65
28	1.486	67	1.473	67	1.411	67
29	1.684	70	1.674	69	1.606	69
30	1.906	72	1.897	72	1.824	72
31	2.162	75	2.155	75	2.076	75
32	2.468	79	2.463	78	2.38	78
33	2.861	84	2.858	83	2.771	83
34	3.429	90	3.428	89	3.338	89
35	4.253	100	4.253	100	4.163	100

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Person item map from the randomly reduced, region-stratified dataset of model 3. The grey bars in the top of the graph refer to the frequencies of the estimated levels of the oral health-related quality of life of the children (person parameters). The black line for each item shows the range of person parameters that this item 'covers'. The numbers below the lines refer to the thresholds between the answer options. The black dot in each line represents the item location. As we rescored all items with had initially disordered thresholds, only ordered thresholds are shown in this graph.

Supplementary figure legends


Supplementary Appendix Figure I. Data were collected in eleven countries (marked in dark blue).

Supplementary Appendix Figure II. Histogram of the total CPQ sum scores with (a) and without the German data (b). With the German data included, the European data depict a right skewed distribution.

Supplementary Appendix Figure III. Decayed-Missing-Filled Teeth (DMFT) scores in the different regions. The figure depicts means with standard errors.

Figures


Figure 1. Person item map from the randomly reduced, region-stratified dataset of model 3. The grey bars in the top of the graph refer to the frequencies of the estimated levels of the ability/oral health-related quality of life of the children. The black line for each item shows the range of person parameters that this item “covers”. The numbers on the lines refer to the thresholds between the answer options. The black dot in each line represents the item location. As we rescored all items with had initially disordered thresholds, only ordered thresholds are shown in this graph.




Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Appendix Figure I and Graphical Abstract


The Short Form Child Perceptions Questionnaire's three oral symptoms items (4, 3 and 1) were required for a sufficient person-item coverage. The grey bars at the top of the graph refer to the frequencies of the estimated levels of the oral health-related quality of life of the children (person parameters). The black line for each item shows the range of person parameters that this item 'covers'. The numbers below the lines refer to the thresholds between the answer options. The black dot in each line represents the item location.




Supplementary Appendix Figure II. Histogram of the total CPQ sum scores with (a) and without the German data (b).a.



b.



Supplementary Appendix Figure III. Decayed-Missing-Filled Teeth (DMFT) scores in the different regions.



Supplementary Appendix 1: Details of the individual studies

Australia

A cross-sectional epidemiological study was conducted involving a sample of 8-to-13-year-old schoolchildren in South Australia in 2002/03. All participants completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄. Information on dental caries experience was obtained from the School Dental Services electronic data management system. Ethical approval was given by The University of Adelaide Human Research Ethics Committee. Further details of the study may be found in Do *et al* (2008)¹.

Brazil

In 2009, a cross-sectional study was conducted of 11-to-14-year-old schoolchildren in public and private schools from 13 municipalities in the Midwest Region of the Brazilian Southern State of Santa Catarina. All participants completed the short-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using standard oral epidemiological methods² (World Health Organization, 1997). The reproducibility of clinical diagnosis was tested through duplicate examinations on 10% of the sample by each of the examiners; this showed kappa values (both intra- and inter-examiner) greater than 0.8, calculated on a tooth-by-tooth basis. The project obtained approval from the Ethics Committee of the Universidade do Oeste de Santa Catarina. Further details of the study may be found in Traebert *et al* (2012)³.

Brunei

A cross-sectional epidemiological survey of Year-6 schoolchildren (aged 10 to 14) attending the nine Government primary schools in Brunei Zone II (Brunei-Muara district) was conducted in 2010. A Malay version of the short-form CPQ was derived through a forward–backward translation process, then piloted and adapted. All participants completed the Malay short-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using the WHO protocol. For intra-examiner reliability, the intraclass correlation coefficient for DMFS was 0.99; for inter-examiner reliability, it was 0.99. Ethical approval was obtained from the Medical and Health Research and Ethics Committee of the Brunei Ministry of Health. Further details of the study may be found in Mohamad *et al* (2013)⁴.

Cambodia

A consecutive clinical convenience sample was obtained of 8-to-14-year-old children who received treatment from One-2-One charitable trust's mobile dental clinics in four provinces (Battambang, Phnom Penh, Takeo, and Kampong Thom). All participants completed the short-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using the WHO protocol. For intra-examiner reliability, the intraclass correlation co-efficient for DMFT was 0.98; for inter-examiner reliability, it was 0.98. Ethics approval was granted by the Universiti Malaya ethics committee. Further details of the study may be found in Turton *et al* (2015)⁵.

Germany

During the annual dental public health examinations conducted from September 2007 until April 2008, 1,498 11-14-year-old students were recruited from a midsize town in Germany (Wernigerode in Saxonia-Anhalt). All participants completed the German long-form version of the CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using the WHO protocol. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Leipzig. Further details of the study may be found in Bekes *et al* (2012)⁶.

Hong Kong

The data were collected in an oral health survey conducted by the Department of Health of the Hong Kong SAR Government in 2001 in order to assess the oral health of 12-year-old school children, using a random sample of 542 individuals. A total of 26 schools was systematically selected from all local secondary schools in a database provided by the Education Department, and 18 schools agreed to participate. All children had been born in 1988 and were 12 years old. A maximum of 50 children were selectively sampled from each of the selected schools. All participants completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined by a trained and calibrated examiner using the WHO survey protocol. For dental caries experience, the kappa value was 0.94. Further details of the study may be found in Lau *et al* (2009)⁷.

Malaysia

The data came from a cohort study of 12-13-year-old children examined at secondary schools in Banting district, Selangor. Multistage probability sampling was used to sample the children. All participants completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined by a trained and calibrated examiner using the WHO survey protocol. The project was approved by the University of Sheffield and

the Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister's Office, Government of Malaysia. Further details of the study may be found in Baker *et al* (2010)⁸.

Mexico

A cross-sectional study was conducted of 12-to-14-year-old schoolchildren attending public schools in a peri-urban community in a low-income area. All participants completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄. The examiners used the WHO criteria and obtained a kappa of 0.87 for the presence of dental caries. Ethical approval was given by the Dental School of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (Mexico City). Further details of the study may be found in del Carmen Aguilar-Diaz *et al* (2013)⁹.

New Zealand 1

A cross-sectional epidemiological survey was conducted of all 12- and 13-year-old children attending intermediate schools in Dunedin in 2010. All participants completed the short-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using the WHO protocol. For intra-examiner reliability, the intraclass correlation coefficient for DMFS was 0.96; for inter-examiner reliability, it was 0.97. Ethical approval was obtained from the Lower South Ethics Committee. Further details of the study may be found in Foster Page *et al* (2013)¹⁰.

New Zealand 2

A cross-sectional epidemiological survey was conducted of all 12- and 13-year-old children attending schools in Northland in 2008. All participants completed the short-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using the WHO protocol. For intra-examiner reliability, the intraclass correlation coefficient for DMFS was 1.00; for inter-examiner reliability, it was 0.98. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Northern Y Regional Ethics Committee. Further details of the study may be found in Foster Page *et al* (2008)¹¹.

New Zealand 3

A simple random sample of children in their 8th year of schooling (and who were enrolled with the Taranaki school dental service) was selected from the four intermediate schools and invited to participate in 2003. All participants completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined using the

WHO protocol. For intra-examiner reliability, the intraclass correlation coefficient for DMFS was 0.94; for inter-examiner reliability, it was 0.93. Ethical approval was obtained from the Taranaki Ethics Committee. Further details of the study may be found in Foster Page *et al* (2005)¹².

Thailand 1

This was a sample of children (10-14 years) attending schools in Sriracha district, Chonburi province. Eight schools were purposively sampled to yield a range of social and economic groups and rural and urban locations. All children within the age range at each school were invited to participate and completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄; they were examined using the WHO protocol. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee for Research in Human Subjects: Ministry of Public Health, Thailand. Further details of the study may be found in Gururatana *et al* (2014)¹³.

Thailand 2

These data were obtained from the baseline sample in a randomised control trial involving children (10-12 years old) examined at randomly selected primary schools in Khonkaen. All children within the age range at each school were invited to participate and completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄; they were examined using the WHO protocol. The project was approved by the University of Sheffield and the Ethical Review Committee for Research in Human Subjects, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand. Further details of the study may be found in Nammontri *et al* (2012)¹⁴.

United Kingdom 1

In Sheffield in 2003, a cross-sectional survey was conducted of children (11 and 14 years) attending for an examination at the orthodontic and paediatric dentistry clinics at a Dental Hospital and one General Dental Practice. A consecutive sample of children completed the long-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄ and were examined by calibrated examiners. Dental caries status was assessed at the D3 threshold using the British Association for the Study of Community Dentistry criteria (Pine *et al*, 1997). The project was approved by the South Sheffield Research Ethics Committee. Further details of the study may be found in Marshman *et al* (2005)¹⁵.

United Kingdom 2

Baseline data were obtained from a longitudinal epidemiological survey conducted in 2007-08 with a convenience sample of schoolchildren aged 11-12 years attending seven publicly-funded schools in England. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the School of Health and Related Research Ethics Committee on behalf of the University of Sheffield (February 2006), and permission was also obtained from the Local Education Authority of each area sampled. All participants completed the short-form CPQ₁₁₋₁₄. Caries experience was assessed by two examiners who were BASCD trained and calibrated (Pine *et al*, 1997)¹⁶. Further details of the study may be found in Benson *et al* (2015)¹⁷.

References

1. Do LG, Spencer AJ. Evaluation of oral health related quality of life questionnaires in a general child population. *Community Dent Health* 2008;25:205-10.
2. World Health Organization. *Oral health surveys: Basic Methods*. 4th edn. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1997.
3. Traebert J, Telino de Lacerda J, Foster Page LA, Thomson WM, Bortolluzzi M. Impact of traumatic dental injuries on the quality of life of school children. *J Dent Traumatol* 2011;28:423-8.
4. Mohamed AR, Thomson WM, Foster Page LA. Epidemiological validation of a Malay version of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ₁₁₋₁₄) in Brunei. *Brunei Darussalam J Health* 2013;5:56-69.
5. Turton BJ, Thomson WM, Foster Page LA, Saub R, Razak IA. Validation of an Oral Health–Related Quality of Life Measure for Cambodian Children. *Asia-Pacific J Public Health* 2015; 27:NP2339-NP2349.
6. Bekes K, John MT, Zyriax R, Schaller HG, Hirsch C: The German version of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ-G11-14): translation process, reliability, and validity in the general population. *Clin Oral Invest* 2012;16:165–71.
7. Lau AWH, Wong MCM, Lam KF, McGrath C. Confirmatory factor analysis on the health domains of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2009;37:163-70.
8. Baker SR, Mat A, Robinson PG. What psychosocial factors influence adolescents’ oral health? *J Dent Res*. 2010;89:1230-5.
9. del Carmen Aguilar-Diaz F, Foster Page LA, Thomson WM, Borges-Yanez SA. Differential item functioning of the Spanish version of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire. *J Invest Clin Dent* 2013;4:34-8.

10. Foster Page LA, Thomson WM, Ukra A, Farella M. Factors influencing adolescents' oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL). *Int J Paed Dent* 2013;23:415-23.
11. Foster Page LA, Thomson WM, Jokovic A, Locker D. Epidemiological evaluation of short-form versions of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire. *Euro J Oral Sci* 2008;116:538-44.
12. Foster Page LA, Thomson WM, Jokovic A, Locker D. Validation of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ₁₁₋₁₄). *J Dent Res* 2005;84:649-52.
13. Gururatana O, Baker SR, Robinson PG. Determinants of children's oral health related quality of life over time. *Community Dentistry Oral Epidemiol* 2014;42:206-15.
14. Nammontri O, Robinson PG, Baker, SR. Enhancing oral health via sense of coherence: a cluster randomized trial. *J Dent Res*. 2013;92:26-31.
15. Marshman Z, Rodd H, Stern M, Mitchell C, Locker D, Jokovic A, Robinson PG. An evaluation of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire in the UK. *Community Dent Health*. 2005;22:151-5.
16. Pine CM, Pitts NB, Nugent ZJ. British Association for the Study of Community Dentistry (BASCD) guidance on the statistical aspects of training and calibration of examiners for surveys of child dental health. A BASCD coordinated dental epidemiology programme quality standard. *Community Dent Health*. 1997;14(Suppl 1):18-29.
17. Benson PE, Da'as T, Johal A, Mandall NA, Williams AC, Baker SR, Marshman Z. Relationships between dental appearance, self-esteem, socio-economic status, and oral health-related quality of life in UK schoolchildren: A 3-year cohort study. *Eur J Orthod* 2015;37:481-90.

Supplementary Appendix Table. A, B and C refers to the three times random draws and the three subsequent analyses. A small (negative) item location implies that the items represent a small amount of the concept of interest (“easy” to affirm items are more likely to be observed and positive responses [affirmations] are in general more likely for “easy” than for “hard” items), whereas high (positive) items are “hard” items in which positive affirmations are more unlikely to be observed.

Item	Item number	Location A	Rank A	Location B	Rank B	Location C	Rank C
Food stuck in teeth	4	-0.833	1	-0.259	1	-0.399	1
Bad breath	3	-0.807	2	-0.167	2	-0.306	2
Pain	1	-0.504	3	0.073	3	0.196	5
Taken longer than others	5	-0.384	4	0.327	4	0.158	3
Irritable/frustrated	9	-0.255	5	0.26	5	0.16	4
Difficulty eating/drinking hot/cold foods	8	-0.192	6	0.264	6	0.355	6
Argued with other kids	14	-0.068	7	0.379	7	0.371	7
Been upset	12	0.138	8	0.495	8	0.557	9
Difficulty chewing	6	0.215	9	0.704	10	0.487	8
Sores	2	0.25	10	1.112	14	1.159	14
Other kids teased	15	0.303	11	0.602	9	0.725	10
Difficulty saying words	7	0.496	12	1.016	13	0.955	13
Avoided smiling/laughing	13	0.696	13	0.717	11	0.918	12
Other kids asked questions about teeth	16	0.944	14	0.976	12	0.913	11