1	A LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT ON CARBON CAPTURE AND
2	SOLIDIFICATION METHOD ON SHIPS
3	Haibin Wang, Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Marine Engineering,
4	University of Strathclyde, UK
5	Peilin Zhou, Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Marine Engineering, University
6	of Strathclyde, UK
7	Merchant Marine College, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai, China
8	*Corresponding author; e-mail: <u>haibin.wang.100(a)strath.ac.uk</u> , phone: +44(0)1415484047
9	
10	
11	Abstract
12	Greenhouse gas reduction has become a severe topic in the shipping industry and researchers
13	are striving to investigate different GHG reduction technologies to determine their feasibility
14	especially on the environment impact. However, there is no specific evaluation process
15	currently available so this paper presents a Life Cycle Assessment for a carbon emission
10	vehich can guide policy makers to evaluate the performances and help ship owners to select
17 18	which can guide policy makers to evaluate the performances and help ship owners to select suitable reduction technologies. The carbon reduction method proposed by authors was proved
10	to be cost effective in provious works and this paper applies life cycle analysis focusing on all
19 20	stages of ship life to investigate determine and compare the feasibility of this method. The
20	environmental impacts are considered to be the most significant standard for the assessment
22	From the results of the assessment, the proposed reduction method meets the carbon reduction
23	target and can lead to a lower global warming potential while leveling up the carbon reduction
24	target. This paper also indicates, to achieve carbon reduction target set up by regulations, a
25	marginal target will be necessary due to the energy requirement and efficiency of the
26	method/system as well as the consideration of activities in different life stages. It is also
27	recommended that the evaluation of carbon reduction method could apply Life Cycle
28	Assessment so that policy makers and ship owners are provided with comparable results for
29	reasonable decision makings.
30	Keyword Life cycle assessment, global warming, carbon emission reduction, carbon
31	solidification
32	
33	
34	1. Introduction
35	The global warming has been attractive to researchers from all over the world for decades. It
36	is because the global warning effect is actually influencing human beings' living environment.
37	For instance, sea level arising is one of the most significant impact due to the accumulation of
38	global warming gases (greenhouse gases), especially carbon dioxide which is known to be the
39	largest contributor of global warming. ICCT has been considering the reduction of Greenhouse
40	Gas Emissions from ships since 2011 [1]. The consideration is not only focused on the emission
41	abatement but also the costs due to the abasement. Nowadays researchers are striving to
42	develop and investigate novel and efficient carbon reduction methods and techniques in order
43	to mitigate the severe impacts of global warming.
44	I here are large numbers of new developed carbon reduction methods with evaluations:
43 16	and ECAs to analysis the anaroy officiancy of a ship. Their results indicate using
40 17	and ECAS to analysis the energy enficiency of a snip. Their results indicate using suitable payigation stratagies will belp reduce emissions from this [2]. We as and Char
+/ 48	also investigate the strategy of refuelling sailing and containership deployment and
49	how they could affect the emissions, especially carbon emissions, from ship. The

how they could affect the emissions, especially carbon emissions, from ship. The
 research work shows there are many different factors affecting the emissions released

51 and it also considered the cost associated with these factors such as the impacts of fuel 52 price, container transportation quantity, carbon credits, etc [3]. Chen's team evaluate 53 the impact of shipping route on the emissions from ships with data between Asia and 54 Europe. As a fact of emission restriction in ECA area, ships are intended to changing 55 route to go around the area which means the optimal route for fuel saving will not be optimal any more [4]. Demirel et al. [5] developed a CFD model to estimate the 56 57 variation of plate roughness in different coating types in order to reduce the hull 58 roughness and increase the energy efficiency. An experimental study was also carried 59 out by Demirel et al. [6] to determine the relationship between bio-fouling and ship 60 resistance for an oil tanker and an LNG carrier. Their CFD results were validated with experiments by Owen et al. [7]. 61

However, these methods are proposed and evaluated by different researchers and the standard or criteria applied are varied based on these researchers' proposal. It is essential to develop and validate a comprehensive approach with standard processes and criteria to help both policy makers and ship owners to evaluate and select the suitable carbon reduction methods.

Life cycle assessment is a popular method which has been widely used in many different 66 67 disciplines. Styles' team used LCA to quantify the growing of willow on river buffer zones and 68 results showed the benefit of willow cultivation on these area [8]. In fishing industry, Vázquez-Rowe's research group investigated the edible protein energy return on investment (ep-EROI) 69 70 in Spain and LCA was to assess the energy consumption and environmental impact. These 71 results were expected to provide recommendations for EU's Common Fisheries Policy [9]. 72 LCA is also applied to evaluate the power systems, both state-of-art and under developed, by 73 Fredga and Maler, especially on biofuel. A full scope of LCA model considering both emission 74 released and resource required is established in order to provide comprehensive analysis and 75 retrieve precise results [10]. There are also many valuable LCA works and researches in the 76 field of shipping industry: Blanco-Davis's works have applied LCA to aid the shipyards to 77 evaluate retrofitting performances of innovative ballast water treatment system and fouling release coating [11][12]. The performance of fuel cell and diesel engines for marine 78 79 applications has been investigated and compared by Alkaner and Zhou with the help of LCA 80 [13]. Strazza's research team applied LCA to evaluate the environmental impact of paper 81 stream on a cruise ship with implementation of different green practices [14]. In addition, 82 Nicolai's team investigated the environmental impact related to commercial ships by 83 optimization of raw material and energy consumption, and recycle processes using LCA [15]. two case ship studies have been carried out by Ling-Chin and Roskilly to compare the hybrid 84 power system with the conventional marine engine systems in a comprehensive ship life cycle 85 86 phases - namely, construction, operation, maintenance, and scrapping [16][17]. With 87 inspiration from these researches, the authors have also carried out two case studies which help shipyards and ship-owners to determine the optimal propulsion system for a short-routed 88 89 hybrid ferry and for an off-shore tug vessel from the perspective of economic and 90 environment[18][19].

91 Since the evaluation processes are different from different research works, the main aim of this 92 paper is to develop a life cycle assessment model which provide a standard and comprehensive 93 evaluation model by considering four stages of ship: construction, operation, maintenance and 94 scrapping and a large scope of activities in these stages.

- 95 96
- 97 **2.** Methodology
- 98 2.1. Life cycle assessment
- 99

- 100 Life cycle assessment is an evaluation approach, considering all the activities from cradle to
- grave of a system or product [20]. The definition of 'from cradle to grave' is that starting from 101
- the raw material exploiting, all the processes related to the system or product are covered, such 102
- 103 as manufacturing, transportation, utilization, maintenance and disposal and recycle at the end 104 of life. Through including and assessing the impact of all these processes and activities in the
- life cycle of the system or product, LCA provides a comprehensive view of product/system as 105
- 106 well as relevant activities from the perspective of environmental impact. With these views and
- 107 insights, all the participants in the life cycle of the product/system will have a clear and precise
- 108 understanding about the overall environmental performance which will enable them make 109 reasonable decisions at the design and operation stages.
- Basically, to carry out a LCA analysis, there are four main parts interactive with each other 110 111 (Figure 1): goal and scope definition, life cycle inventory analysis, life cycle impact analysis
- 112 and the interpretation of different parts. It is obvious that the first part is to set up goal and
- define the scope which also means the target and the boundaries. Then the next step is to 113
- 114 evaluate the life cycle inventory which are basically considering and identifying the quantities
- 115 of substances related to environmental potentials (i.e. emission groups) in all the life phases of
- 116 the system/product, such as energy consumption, material investment, emission released and
- 117 waste generation. In order to evaluate the environmental impacts, a normalization database will be selected and used such as CML 2001, ReCiPe, ILCD and TRACI [21][22][23][24]. Only
- 118 119 after the normalization, the environmental potentials due to different energy consumption, raw
- 120 materials, emissions and wastes could be converted into a same key unit (key function). For
- global warming potential (GWP), the equivalent carbon dioxide is the key unit but for other 121
- 122 potential, the key unit will be different. For example, for acidification potential (AP), the key
- 123 unit is equivalent sulphur dioxide [21].
- Sensitivity analysis is also an essential part of LCA which will indicate the consequence of 124 125 input data changing. As there are many data involved in one LCA analysis, the analysis usually
- 126
- focuses on the most fluctuated data and also the ones clients cared most. After changing the
- 127 value of one input data, a series of results will be obtained which will illustrate how the life
- 128 cycle assessment results changing with the varying of data.
- 129



130 131

Figure 1 Life cycle assessment framework

133 Apart from the framework of LCA, the processes consideration in an analysis is also significant.

- 134 Usually, the life cycle is comprised of four consecutively phases: raw material acquisition,
- 135 manufacturing, use/reuse/maintenance and recycle. In this paper, the target is about ships in

the shipping industry, the phases considered will be constrained and modified into a more relevant life cycle to ships (Figure 2): construction, operation/maintenance and scrapping.



138 139

140

Figure 2 A general flowchart of ship life cycle

141 **2.2. Carbon solidification**

142

For carbon reduction method, there are many different technologies as mentioned previously focusing on different parts of ships, for examples, coating applications, route optimizations, speed optimizations and after treatment. This paper tests authors' previous work, carbon solidification on ship, and applies LCA model to evaluate the results in order to compare with the results from previous work.

The carbon solidification method applies chemical substances to absorb and solidify carbon
content from the exhaust gases. The chemical reactions are listed as following (Zhou & Wang,
2014):

151

152 153

154 155

$$CO_{2} (g) + 2NaOH (l) = Na_{2}CO_{3} (l) + H_{2}O (l) - \Delta H_{1}$$
(1)

 $Na_{2}CO_{3}(l) + Ca(OH)_{2}(s) = CaCO_{3}\downarrow(s) + 2NaOH(l) - \Delta H_{2}$ (2)

A schematic diagram is presented in Figure 3 to indicate how these reactions are involved and 156 157 applied for carbon solidification. Also the pre-treatment and after treatment are also shown in 158 this figure. According to the flow diagram, the exhaust gas will be partially extracted from 159 funnel connected with the main engines. The removals of SOx and NOx are to increase the 160 carbon reduction efficiency because the alkaline solution (NaOH solution) will be degraded due to the presences of these acid gases. After the purification, the gas will be transported in to 161 162 a physical separation process which applies membrane system to increase the purity of CO2. In this process, water, oxygen and nitrogen will be separated from CO2 to obtain high 163 concentration gas which is certainly preferred for absorption reaction. The absorption reaction 164 165 with alkaline solution will take place when the gas feeding starts and after the absorption, the 166 Na2CO3 solution who contains carbon content captured will be transported for precipitation. Based on the second reaction, the sediment CaCO3 will be generated which is well known in 167 168 many industries as raw material, such as building industry and medicine industry. After 169 filtration and drying, the CaCO3 powders will be stored on ship and will be traded when arrival 170 at the destination.





Figure 3 Schematic of chemical processes for carbon solidification on ships

To test the feasibility and evaluate the efficiency of the solidification processes, an experiment

test rig was constructed (Figure 4) [25] and a series of experiments were carried out with promising results (Table 1). These results were applied in the case ship study in previous works

- and also will be used in the LCA modelling.



Table 1Experiment results

Measurements	Results
CO2 Absorption Rate	67.85%
NaOH Regeneration Rate	85.37%
CaCO3 Filtration Efficiency	82.17%

188 **3.** LCA modelling

Based on the methodology in previous section, this section will present the LCA model built
with GaBi 5, a LCA software, covering the main phases of ships and a large scope of activities.
In Figure 5, the processes of ship life are presented in the schematic diagram. From this figure,
three main phases are considered. Maintenance phase is one important phase but the data for
maintenance are difficult to derive and the data vary for different ships.

195 The first phase considered in the ship life span is the construction, where we considered engines 196 and the CCS system. The engines are considered due to the power requirement will be increased 197 after installing the CCS system. The fuel consumption in the operation phase will be related to 198 engine specification from the construction phase. Therefore, the purchase, transportation and 199 installation of engines are included. In the operation phase, there are two different cycles: one 200 for engine operation and one for CCS system operation. During the operation of engines, there will be fuel consumptions due to power requirement and accumulated over operation hours. In 201 202 this LCA model both fuel oil and lubricating oil are considered. While the operation of CCS 203 system, there are chemical substances consumptions related to the carbon reduction target and 204 quantity of engine exhaust gas generation. The connection between engine and CCS cycle is 205 that the engine power requirement will be increased due to application of CCS system, such as separation, transportation, stirring, filtration and heating. While the carbon reduction target is 206 207 changed, the power required will be varied so that the engine output will be charged 208 respectively. The last phase involved is the scrapping of the engine and CCS system. Three 209 factors are considered here: dismantling energy consumption, transportation and recycle 210 energy consumption.

211



212 213

214

Figure 5 Schematic diagram of LCA scope and processes

215 **3.1.** Goal and scope definition

216

The goal of the life cycle assessment is to evaluate the environmental impacts of application of CCS system on ship. The main impact considered is the global warming potential which is used to assess all the energy, emission and material flows on their contributions to the global 220 warming impact. As the application of CCS system will have an effect on engine output, the scope of the study is limited to engines and CCS system. The rest parts of the ship and its 221 activities will not be impacted greatly. To initialize the life cycle assessment, several 222 223 assumptions are made due to lack of data and also reduce the model complexity: 224

- a) Carbon factor of HFO is 3.114 kg CO2/ kg fuel consumed [26];
 - b) GWP factor of significant emissions are listed in the following table [21];
- 225 226 227

Type of Pollutant Symbol GWP (kg CO2 equiv.) Carbon dioxide CO₂ 1 Carbon monoxide CO 0.027 Dinitrogen oxide N2O3 265 25 Methane CH4

Table 2 Global warming potentials of emissions

228 229

c) Case ship specification is presented in the following two tables:

230 231

Table 3 Case ship specification

	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
Туре	Bulk Carrier	•
LOA	292	m
LBP	283.5	m
Breadth	45	m
Depth	24.8	m
Draught	16.5	m
Gross	94,360	ton
DWT	157,500	ton
Water ballast	78,000	m ³
Fuel type	HFO	

232 233

	able 4 Engine s	pecification
--	-----------------	--------------

Main Engine	MAN B&W: 6870MC-C7				
No. of main engine		[
MCR	18,660	kW			
SFOC	174	g/kWh			

234 235

d) To consider the scrapping of engines, engine materials are listed in the following table [27]:

236 237 238

Table 5 Engine co	ontents
Engine Material	Weight ratio (%)
Steel	40
Cast iron	46
Aluminium [Al]	8
Copper [Cu] and Zinc [Zn]	0.2
Lead [Pb]	0.1
Other	5.7
Total	100

239 240

e) Energy requirements for different material scrapping are presented below [16]:

Item			Steel and cast iron	Cu	Zn	Pb	
Energy	MJ	Electricity	1.71	0.1	-	0.7	-
		Natural gas	0.62	10.22	-	0.3	-
Emission	kg	CO2	1.05E-01	5.45E-01	2.00E-01	-	2.00E-01
		CO	2.40E-03	8.83E-04	1.50E-05	-	1.50E-04

Table 6 Energy requirements of engine materials in scrapping phase

- f) The transportation distances for engine and CCS after purchasing and before scrapping
 are assumed. The distance between engine retailer and ship yard is assumed to be
 1000km and the distance between CCS system retailer and shipyard is assumed to be
 200km. The distance to scrapping shipyard is assumed to be 500km;
 - g) Emissions from transportation and fuel/lubricating oil productions are based on GaBi database. Emissions from transportation are related to the distance and weight of cargos. To derive the emission from fuel productions, the quantity of fuel/lubricating oil required is required and will be provided by calculation of consumptions by engines in operation phase [28];
 - h) The specific consumptions of NaOH and CaO are calculated based on engine specifications, carbon factor, absorption target and chemical reaction equilibrium. Based on the engine specification, the specific fuel oil consumption (SFOC) is 174g/kWh and specific lubricating oil consumption (SLOC) is 0.65g/kWh [29]. The carbon factor (CF) of HFO is 3.114kg CO2/ kg fuel consumed. The emission reduction target is 20%. The molar masses of chemicals are listed below:

Chemical names	Formula	Molar mass (g/mol)
Carbon dioxide	CO2	44
Sodium hydroxide	NaOH	40
Calcium oxide	CaO	56

Table 7 Molar masses of chemicals

With all these information, the specific consumptions of NaOH and CaO (SNC and SCC) can be derived based on power generation:

$$SNC = SFOC * CF * 20\% * 80/44 = 197g/kWh$$
 (3)

SCC = SFOC *CF * 20% * 56/44 = 138g/kWh (4)

i) The additional energy consumption is assumed to be proportional to the absorption target;

- j) The life span of the ship is assumed to be 30 years which means the operation phase
 will last 30 years. The construction phase is done in year 1 and the scrapping phase will
 be carried out in year 31.
- **3.2.** Life cycle inventory assessment

With the LCA schematic diagram and all these assumptions in Section 4.1, a full LCA model was established with the software, GaBi 5. The flows in the model are presented in Figure 6 considering material flows and energy flows. The blue arrows indicate all the material flows, such as, engine, CCS system, fuel oil, lubricating oil, NaOH and CaO. The black arrows present the diesel oil used in transportation. The red arrows show the electricity flow in construction

- and scrapping phases. The green arrow indicates the flow of nature gas which is only used in
- 281 scrapping phase.
- 282 To evaluate the Global warming potential, CML 2001 is applied to normalize all the emissions
- involved. CML 2001 converts different emissions in to the unit of kg CO2 equivalent applying
- 284 different normalization factors.
- 285

CCS system

Process plan:Reference quantities The names of the basic processes are shown.



286 287

288

Based on this model, the emissions from three phases are determined and presented in Table 8. From this table, it is apparent that most of the global warming effect is generated from operation phase. It is because the ship is continuously consuming fuel and release emissions during its operation. After accumulation of 30 years, the amount becomes significant. The potential results from construction and scrapping phases are extremely small because the details in these phases are not considered. It is because for the same ship, majority of the processes in these two phases are identical. In this model, only the different parts of construction and scrapping are covered.

- 296 297
- 298

Table 8	Emission	inventory
---------	----------	-----------

Phase	Quantity	Unit
Construction	240	
Operation	6.75E+09	kg CO2 equivalent
Scrapping	988	
Total	6.75E+09	

302 **3.3.** Life cycle impact assessment

303

Results from LCA model for CCS are derived and presented in Figure 7. There are 9 different scenarios considered with different carbon reduction targets from 0% to 50%. From the figure, it is obvious that with higher reduction target, the lower total life cycle GWP value is. The reason is that the extra emissions generated from the application of CCS system is far less than the absorbed emissions by the system.

309



310 311

312

313 **3.4.** Sensitivity analysis on reduction targets

To examine the impact of the emissions from CCS system application to the real emission reduction rate. One table is generated to present the quantities of released and absorbed GWP under different emission reduction targets which are listed in Table 9. After evaluation, the actual reduction rates are derived and listed in the last row of the table. It illustrates that to reach a certain amount of reduction targets, there will be more emission generated due to the application of the CCS system. For example, if the reduction target is 20%, after 20% of emission is absorbed there will be extra emission generated due to the absorption.

Tuble 9 Curbon reduction rule under different reduction turgets									
Reduction targets	0%	10%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%
Released GWP (10 ⁹ kg CO2	8.13	7.44	6.75	6.41	6.07	5.72	5.38	5.03	4.69
equiv.)									
Absorbed GWP (10 ⁹ kg CO2	0	0.702	1.4	1.76	2.11	2.46	2.81	3.16	3.51
equiv.)									
Total GWP (10 ⁹ kg CO2 equiv.)	8.13	8.142	8.15	8.17	8.18	8.18	8.19	8.19	8.2
Real reduction rate	0%	9%	17%	22%	26%	30%	34%	39%	43%

Table 9 Carbon reduction rate under different reduction targets

Rate difference	0%	1%	3%	3%	4%	5%	6%	6%	7%

4. Discussions

324 325 326

327 As the objective of this paper is to provide a comprehensive method to evaluate current and 328 under developed carbon reduction method, LCA technique and software are applied to 329 established a model considering a large scope in a ship's life span. From this paper, different 330 regulation levels, ranging from 0% to 50% reduction targets, are considered and the 331 environmental impacts are presented in this paper. With these assessments, the performances 332 of the selected methods could be determined and compared from the perspective of 333 environment. The LCA evaluation processes are recommended to policy maker and ship owners so some advantages of the LCA evaluation processes are listed as following: 334

- a) Large scope can be considered in the life span: 335 336 A large scope makes difference results when the new installations or retrofits in one 337 phase have an indirect relationship with different stages. In this case study, the CCS 338 system is considered from construction to scrapping phase and in previous study, only 339 operation phase was involved.
- 340 b) Quantities of individual flow can be tracked: 341 For transportation as an example, the energy flows for different transportation activities are traceable in the LCA model. Similarly, the energy flows of electricity and natural 342 343 gas can be tracked.
- 344 c) Environmental impact assessment: 345 Environmental impacts are determined and compared. Future work will be done to 346 consider the economic impact.
- d) Reduce repeated works: 347
- The system includes many sub-models which could be modified and reused for other 348 349 system. 350
 - e) Comparable results:
- 351 Since the evaluations go through the same processes, the results are comparable and it could help make reasonable decisions. 352 353

354 5. Conclusions

355

356 This paper presents a comprehensive LCA assessment on carbon solidification system with 357 evaluations on its environment impact. The results are compared with previous work and the results have a good agreement. With this validated LCA model, the impact of different 358 359 emission reduction targets is evaluated. The results indicate although the targeted quantity of 360 emission could be absorbed, there are extra emissions generated due to the application of the 361 system. Therefore, in order to achieve certain emission reduction target, a higher target should 362 be set up. The results present a high target will have a higher profit due to saving from carbon 363 credits and trading of final products.

- 364 As an initial GHG reduction strategy will be adopted in 2018, this paper also recommends 365 shipping industry to apply the LCA method to evaluate the candidates of carbon reduction technologies and strategies. It will provide an overall view of the system covering the 366 installation, operation, maintenance and decommission phases from the aspects of 367 368 environmental protection. Apart from policy maker, this LCA evaluation processes will be in 369 favours of ship designers and ship owner because it will provide a detail review on the financial 370 feasibility of the candidate method.
- 371

372 6. Acknowledgment

Authors are grateful to the Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Marine Engineering of University of Strathclyde for the support on the project. We also appreciate the Scottish Environmental Technology Network for providing laboratory facilities and useful advices. We also wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

381 7. Reference

382

380

ICCT, (2012). Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Ships - Cost Effectiveness
 of Available Options. The International Council on Clean Transportation. White paper number
 11, July 2011.

386[2]Perera LP, Mo B, (2016). Emission Control Based Energy Efficiency Measures in Ship387Operations.AppliedOceanResearch60(2016)29-46.388https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apor.2016.08.006

Wang C, Chen J, (2017). Strategies of refueling, sailing speed and ship deployment of
containerships in the low-carbon background. Computers & Industrial Engineering 114 (2017)
142-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2017.10.012

[4] Chen L, Yip TL, Mou J, (2017). Provision of Emission Control Area and the impact on
shipping route choice and ship emissions. Transportation Research Part D, In Press (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2017.07.003

395 [5] Demirel Y, Khorasanchi M, Turan O, Incecik A, Schultz M, (2014). A CFD model for
 396 the frictional resistance prediction of antifouling coatings. Ocean Engineering 89 (2014) 21 397 31.

398 [6] Demirel Y, Uzun D, Zhang Y, Fang HC, Day AH, Turan O, (2017). Effect of barnacle
399 fouling on ship resistance and powering. Biofouling, 33:10, (2017) 819-834.

400 [7] Owen D, Demirel Y, Oguz E, Tezdogan T, Incecik A, (2018). Investigating the effect
401 of biofouling on propeller characteristics using CFD. Ocean Engineering. ISSN 0029-8018,
402 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.01.087.

403 [8] Styles D, Börjesson P, D'Hertefeldt T, (2016). Climate regulation, energy provisioning
404 and water purification: Quantifying ecosystem service delivery of bioenergy willow grown on
405 riparian buffer zones using life cycle assessment. Ambio (2016) 45: 872.
406 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0790-9

407 [9] Vázquez-Rowe P, Villanueva-Rey MT, (2014). Edible Protein Energy Return on
408 Investment Ratio (ep-EROI) for Spanish Seafood Products. AMBIO (2014) 43: 381.
409 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-013-0426-2

[10] Fredga K, Mäler KG, (2010). Life Cycle Analyses and Resource Assessments. AMBIO
(2010) 39(Suppl 1): 36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-010-0063-y

412 [11] Blanco-Davis E, del Castillo F, Zhou P, (2014). Fouling release coating application as
413 an environmentally efficient retrofit: a case study of a ferry-type ship. International Journal of
414 Life Cycle Assessment (2014) 19:1705 - 1715, DOI 10.1007/s11367-014-0780-8.

[12] Blanco-Davis E, Zhou P, (2014). LCA as a tool to aid in the selection of retrofitting
alternatives. Ocean Engineering Vol 77: Page 33 - 41. ISSN 0029-8018,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2013.12.010.

418 [13] Alkaner S, Zhou P, (2006). A comparative study on life cycle analysis of molten carbon

419 fuel cells and diesel engines for marine application. Journal of power sources 158 (1), (2006)

420 188-199.

- [14] Strazza C, Borghi A, Gallo M, Manariti R, Missanelli E, (2015). Investigation of green
 practices for paper use reduction onboard a cruise ship a life cycle approach. International
 Journal of Life Cycle Assessment (2015) 20:982 993, DOI 10.1007/s11367-015-0900-0.
- 424 [15] Nicolae F, Popa C, Beizadea H, (2014). Applications of life cycle assessment (LCA) in
- shipping industry, 14th International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference SGEM 2014,
 Section name: Air Pollution and Climate Change.
- Ling-Chin J, Roskilly A, (2016). Investigating a conventional and retro?t power plant
 on-board a Roll-on/Roll-off cargo ship from a sustainability perspective A life cycle
 assessment case study. Energy Conversion and Management 117 (2016) 305-318.
- Ling-Chin J, Roskilly A, (2016). Investigating the implications of a new-build hybrid
 power system for Roll-on/Roll-off cargo ships from a sustainability perspective A life cycle
 assessment case study. Applied Energy 181 (2016) 416-434.
- 433 [18] Wang H, Oguz E, Jeong B, Zhou P, (2018). Optimisation of operational modes of short-
- route hybrid ferry: A life cycle assessment case study. Maritime Transportation and Harvesting
 of Sea Resources Guedes Soares, Teixeira (Eds) © 2018 Taylor, Francis Group, London,
- 436 ISBN 978-0-8153-7993-5.
- 437 [19] Oguz E, Wang H, Jeong B, Zhou P, (2018). Life cycle and cost assessment on engine
 438 selection for an offshore tug vessel. Maritime Transportation and Harvesting of Sea Resources
 439 Guedes Soares, Teixeira (Eds) © 2018 Taylor, Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-8153-
- 439 Ouedes Soares, Teixena (Eds) © 2018 Taylor, Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-8135 440 7993-5.
- 441 [20] Curran MA, (2006). Life Cycle Assessment: Principles and Practice. Scientific
 442 Applications International Corporation (SAIC) May 2006.
- 443 [21] CML (Institute of Environmental Sciences), (2016). CML-IA Characterisation Factors.
- 444 https://www.universiteitleiden.nl/en/research/research-output/science/cml-ia-characterisation445 factors Accessed on 27 Nov. 2017.
- 446 [22] RIVM (The Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment) (2011),
 447 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) /LCIA: the ReCiPe model.
 448 http://www.rivm.nl/en/Topics/L/Life Cycle Assessment LCA/ReCiPe Accessed on 27 Nov.
- 449 2017.
- 450 [23] Wolf MA, Pant R, Chomkhamsri K, Sala S, Pennington D, (2012). The international
 451 reference life cycle data system (ILCD) handbook. Institute for Environment and Sustainability,
 452 Luxembourg, 2012. ISSN: 1831-9424. doi: 10.2788/85727.
- 453 [24] IERE (The Institute for Environmental Research and Education) (2012), TRACI
- 454 Characterization Factors https://iere.org/programs/earthsure/TRACI-factors.htm Accessed on 455 27 Nov. 2017.
- [25] Zhou P, Wang H, (2014). Carbon capture and storage-Solidi?cation and storage of
 carbon dioxide captured on ships. Ocean Engineering 91 (2014) 172-180.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2014.09.006
- [26] IMO, (2015). Third IMO Greenhouse Gas Study 2014. London: International Maritime
 Organization 2015.
- 461 [27] Scania, (2016). Operator's manual Marine engine, en-GB 2 557 734.
- 462 [28] GaBi, (2017), Software version 2017. Available at: http://www.GaBi-software.com 463 (accessed on 21th June 2017)
- 464 [29] MAN Diesel & Turbo, (2011). Life cycle cost analysis D2842 LE 211_COP 1800 rpm.