

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen. Please attend to these matters and return this form with your proof.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query References	Query	Remarks
Q1	Please confirm that given names (blue) and surnames/family names (vermilion) have been identified and spelled correctly.	
Q2	Please check the edits made to the article title.	
Q3	Please check if link to ORCID is correct.	
Q4	Please define "CCSD(T)" (if any).	
Q5	Please provide the "volume number" for reference 9.	
Q6	Please provide the "pubYear, city location of publisher, name of the publisher" for reference 15.	
Q7	References 6,15 and 24,25 were identical, and hence removed the references 15 and 25, and the corresponding citations were renumbered. Please check.	
Q8	The supplied figures 2, TOC are in Low resolution. Kindly provide us the better version. Please refer to http://media.wiley.com/assets/7323/92/electronic_artwork_guidelines.pdf for the guidelines on how to produce good figures.	

Funding Info Query Form

Please confirm that the funding sponsor list below was correctly extracted from your article: that it includes all funders and that the text has been matched to the correct FundRef Registry organization names. If no FundRef Registry organization name has been identified, it may be that the funder was not found in the FundRef registry, or there are multiple funders matched in the FundRef registry. If a name was not found in the FundRef registry, it may not be the canonical name form, it may be a program name rather than an organization name, or it may be an organization not yet included in FundRef Registry. If you know of another name form or a parent organization name for a “not found” item on this list below, please share that information.

Funding Agency	FundRef Organization Name
Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy	“Not Found”

FULL PAPER

Resolving a puzzling anomaly in the spin-coupled generalized valence bond description of benzene

Lu T. Xu¹ | David L. Cooper² | Thom H. Dunning Jr¹ 

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

²Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Correspondence

David L. Cooper, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZD, UK.
Email: dlc@liverpool.ac.uk

Thom H. Dunning Jr, Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195.
Email: thdjr@uw.edu

Funding information

Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy

Abstract

In an earlier study of benzene, Small and Head-Gordon found that the spin-coupled generalized valence bond (SCGVB) wave function for the π system predicted a distorted (non- D_{6h}) geometry, one with alternating CC bond lengths. However, the variations in the energy were very small and the predictions were made using a very small basis set (STO-3G). We re-examined this prediction using a much larger basis set (aug-cc-pVTZ) to determine the dependence of the energy of benzene on the distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{CXC}$ ($\Delta\theta_{CXC} = 0^\circ$ corresponds to the D_{6h} structure). We also found a distorted geometry with the optimum $\Delta\theta_{CXC}$ being 0.31° with an energy $0.040 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ lower than that for the D_{6h} structure. In the optimum geometry, adjacent CC bond lengths are 1.3861 \AA and 1.4004 \AA . Analysis of the SCGVB wave function led us to conclude that the cause of the unusual non- D_{6h} geometry predicted by the SCGVB calculations seems to be a result of the interaction between the Kekulé and Dewar components of the full SCGVB wave function. The addition of doubly ionic configurations to the SCGVB wave function leads to the prediction of a D_{6h} geometry for benzene and a dependence on $\Delta\theta_{CXC}$ essentially the same as that predicted by the complete active space self-consistent field wave function.

KEYWORDS

benzene, Dewar structures, Kekulé structures, non- D_{6h} structure, SCGVB

1 | INTRODUCTION

The concept of aromaticity is one of the key concepts in organic chemistry and has profound implications for the structures and reactivities of organic molecules. The prototype aromatic molecule, benzene, is planar with all of the CC bonds of equal length—a D_{6h} structure. Traditionally, the D_{6h} structure of benzene is attributed to aromaticity, that is, resonance between the two Kekulé structures of benzene. More recently, there has been a spirited debate in the literature about the role played by the σ and π bonds in determining the symmetric structure of benzene. Shaik and coworkers have argued that the electrons in the π orbitals of benzene favor a geometry with unequal bond lengths and that the hexagonal structure of benzene is a result of the σ bonds (see the summary of these discussions in Shaik et al.^[1]). Given the importance of benzene in organic chemistry, it is

not surprising that others addressed this question, reporting evidence to the contrary; see, for example, Glendening et al.^[2] However, definitive statements as to the role of the σ and π bonds in determining the structure of benzene requires their contributions to the total energy to be cleanly separated and, even for the simplest level of theory, that is, Restricted Hartree-Fock (RHF) theory, there are terms in the energy that couple the σ and π orbitals ($J_{\sigma\pi}$, $K_{\sigma\pi}$).

Against this backdrop, Small and Head-Gordon^[3] reported that a spin-coupled generalized valence bond (SCGVB) wave function that kept the σ orbitals doubly occupied but included all possible spin functions for the six electrons in the six SCGVB π orbitals predicted a structure for benzene that has alternating CC bond lengths. However, the basis set used in that study was very small (STO-3G) as was the predicted energy lowering (less than $0.05 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). Nonetheless, this is a surprising result given that the SCGVB wave function for the

1 electrons in the π orbitals of benzene includes the two Kekulé spin
 2 coupling modes plus the three Dewar (para-bonded) spin coupling
 3 modes, which, taken together, treat the CC bonds symmetrically.
 4 Thus, it is surprising that the SCGVB wave function predicts a non-
 5 D_{6h} structure for benzene. We note that the topic of symmetry break-
 6 ing in the benzene molecule has also been investigated for a variety of
 7 SCGVB-related methodologies by Van Voorhis and Head-Gordon,^[4,5]
 8 Lawler, Beran, and Head-Gordon,^[6] and Parkhill and Head-Gordon.^[7]

9 The current article reports high level calculations on the benzene
 10 molecule using the RHF, SCGVB, complete active space self-
 11 consistent field (CASSCF), and CCSD(T) methods. For the latter
 12 method, calculations are reported for correlating the electrons in the π
 13 system as well as the full valence ($\sigma + \pi$) system. A much larger basis
 14 set was used in these studies than in Small and Head-Gordon^[3]: the
 15 aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets for the carbon and hydrogen atoms.^[8,9] The
 16 aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets are expected to yield results close to the com-
 17 plete basis set limit for the RHF, SCGVB, and CASSCF methods.

20 | 2 | THEORETICAL METHODS

21 The SCGVB wavefunction considered here for the six electrons in the
 22 π system of the benzene molecule is:

$$\Psi_{\text{SCGVB}} = \hat{a} \varphi_{C\pi_1} \varphi_{C\pi_2} \varphi_{C\pi_3} \varphi_{C\pi_4} \varphi_{C\pi_5} \varphi_{C\pi_6} \Theta_{0,0}^6 \quad (1)$$

23 The σ orbitals are taken to be doubly occupied in the wave func-
 24 tion and are not listed in Equation (1). The set of orbitals in Equa-
 25 tion (1), $\{\varphi_{C\pi_i}\}$, are the six singly occupied carbon π orbitals, one for
 26 each electron. The spin function for the six electrons in the six carbon
 27 π orbitals, $\Theta_{0,0}^6$, is a linear combination of the five linearly independent
 28 ways that the spins of these six electrons can be coupled to yield a
 29 state with $S = 0$ and $M_S = 0$.^[10] Using the Rumer spin functions, these
 30 spin couplings correspond to the two Kekulé spin couplings and the
 31 three Dewar (para-bonded) spin couplings. In the SCGVB calculation
 32 all of the orbitals, including the doubly occupied σ orbitals, as well as
 33 the coefficients of the five spin functions are fully optimized.

34 We also considered three additional wave functions for the elec-
 35 trons in the π orbitals: (a) the RHF wave function,^[11] which restricts
 36 the π orbitals to be doubly occupied; (b) the CASSCF wave
 37 function,^[12] which includes all of the configurations generated by dis-
 38 tributing the six electrons in the six π orbitals (i.e., full configuration
 39 interaction in that space); and (c) a CCSD(T) wave function^[13,14] corre-
 40 lating only the electrons in the π system, leaving the orbitals in the σ
 41 system doubly occupied. We also report the results of CCSD(T) calcu-
 42 lations for all of the valence electrons to help establish the “ground
 43 truth” for the variation of the energy of benzene with the distortion
 44 angle.

45 Following the approach used by Small and Head-Gordon^[3] see
 46 also Lawler et al.,^[6] we define a deformation angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, which is a
 47 measure of the deviation from the D_{6h} structure of benzene. A value
 48 of $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ corresponds to the D_{6h} structure, while a nonzero value
 49 of $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$ gives adjacent bond angles of $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}^+} = (60^\circ + \Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}})$ and




FIGURE 1 Definition of geometrical parameters for benzene
 [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

$\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}^-} = (60^\circ - \Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}})$; see Figure 1. The resulting adjacent CC bond
 lengths are given by $R_{\text{CC}^+} = 2R_{\text{CX}}\sin(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\text{CXC}^+})$ and $R_{\text{CC}^-} = 2R_{\text{CX}}\sin$
 $(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\text{CXC}^-})$. Note that for D_{6h} geometries, $R_{\text{CC}} = R_{\text{CX}}$.

Most of the calculations presented in this study were performed
 with the Molpro suite of quantum chemical programs (version
 2010.1).^[15,16] In particular, the CASVB module in Molpro was used to
 perform most of the SCGVB calculations^[17,18] with additional results
 obtained using an older program.^[19]

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Table 1, we list the CC and CH bond lengths, total energies, and
 energy differences, relative to the SCGVB energy, for the aug-cc-
 pVTZ basis sets at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$. The first four calculations in this table
 refer to calculations on the π system of benzene; the last calculation
 includes all of the electrons in the valence orbitals. For each of the
 methods of interest we optimized R_{CX} and R_{CH} for $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$, with
 $R_{\text{CC}} = R_{\text{CX}}$.

The variation in R_{CH} is very modest for all of the methods that
 treated only the π system: RHF (1.0733 Å), SCGVB (1.0732 Å), CAS-
 SCF (1.0733 Å), and CCSD(T) (1.0734 Å). As expected, the variation in
 R_{CX} was somewhat larger, with the RHF method predicting
 $R_{\text{CX}} = 1.3829$ Å and the SCGVB and CASSCF methods predicting
 1.3931 Å and 1.3921 Å, respectively. The CCSD(T) calculations on the
 π system predicted a value of R_{CX} in between these three values,
 1.3888 Å, that is, a longer bond than predicted by the RHF calcula-
 tions and a shorter bond than predicted by the SCGVB and CASSCF
 calculations. The CCSD(T) calculations for the full valence space,
 ($\sigma + \pi$), predicted larger values for both R_{CH} (1.0839 Å) and R_{CX}
 (1.3980 Å).

The energy of the RHF wave function is 39.4 kcal mol⁻¹ higher
 than that of the SCGVB wave function. This is a measure of the non-
 dynamical correlation energy in the π system of benzene. The CASSCF

TABLE 1 Structure and energies for the RHF, SCGVB, CASSCF, and CCSD(T) wave functions of benzene (in Hartrees) at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$. Distances (R_{CC} , R_{CH}) are in Ångstroms, and total energies (E_{h}) are in Hartrees. Except for the last row, the relative energies are with respect to the SCGVB energy and are in kcal mol⁻¹. Basis set: aug-cc-pVTZ

	Method	$R_{\text{CC}} = R_{\text{CX}}$	R_{CH}	E_{h}	ΔE
π -System Only ^a	RHF	1.3829	1.0733	-230.782351	39.40
	SCGVB	1.3931	1.0732	-230.845140	0.0
	CASSCF	1.3921	1.0733	-230.852789	-4.80
	CCSD(T)	1.3888	1.0734	-230.899220	-33.94
Full valence	CCSD(T)	1.3980	1.0839	-231.820465	-578.09 ^b

^aAll of the electrons were included in the π -System Only calculations, although the σ orbitals were kept doubly occupied.

^bRelative to the CCSD(T) calculations for the π -System Only.




FIGURE 2 Contour plots of one of the six SCGVB π orbitals for benzene: (a) top view of the orbital and (b) side view of the orbital. Contours are shown from 0.05 to 0.25 in increments of 0.05 [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

energy, on the other hand, is only 4.8 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than the SCGVB energy. Thus, the SCGVB wave function accounts for 89.1% of the difference between the CASSCF and RHF energies, very similar to the 89.6% reported by Karadakov and Cooper for the 6-311G(d,p) basis set.^[20] The CCSD(T) calculations for the π system of benzene yielded an energy 33.9 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than the SCGVB energy. This is a measure of the dynamical correlation energy of the benzene π system. Thus, in this case the non-dynamical correlation energy is slightly larger than the dynamical correlation energy. For comparison, the CCSD(T) calculations on the full valence ($\sigma + \pi$) system gives an energy that is 578.1 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than the CCSD(T) calculation on the π system alone.

One of the SCGVB π orbitals in benzene is plotted in Figure 2. The other five orbitals can be obtained by rotating this orbital around the ring in 60° increments. This orbital is very similar to the SCGVB orbitals reported earlier for benzene by the Gerratt group.^[21–23] As can be seen, the SCGVB π orbital is largely localized on one of the carbon atoms, but is polarized toward the carbon atoms on each side of that atom. In this way, the SCGVB π orbitals of benzene reflect the bonding motif found in the Kekulé spin couplings, where each carbon atom is bonded to both neighboring carbon atoms in the combined Kekulé spin couplings.

Figure 3 is a plot of the relative energies of the distorted benzene molecule, referenced to the energy at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ (D_{6h} symmetry) for the RHF, SCGVB, CASSCF, and CCSD(T) calculations on the π system




FIGURE 3 Variation of ΔE with the distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, for the RHF, SCGVB, CASSCF, and CCSD(T) wave functions for the π system. (R_{CX} , R_{CH}) have been fixed at the optimum values for each method at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

with the values of R_{CX} and R_{CH} fixed at the optimum values for $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$. Note that the curves from the RHF and CASSCF calculations are virtually on top of one another. We also carried out full valence CCSD(T) calculations as a function of $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$; the resulting curve is essentially indistinguishable from that for the CCSD(T) calculations on the π system only and, therefore, is not shown. The first feature to note is that the energies of the RHF, CASSCF, and CCSD(T) wave functions increase monotonically with increasing $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, that is, the optimum geometries are for $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$, yielding a D_{6h} geometry for benzene. The curves for the RHF and CASSCF calculations lie essentially on top of one another, while that for the CCSD(T) calculations is only slightly higher. The energy of the SCGVB wave function, on the other hand, initially decreases with increasing $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, having a minimum of -0.040 kcal mol⁻¹ at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0.31^\circ$. The resulting optimum bond lengths are: $R_{\text{CC}+} = 1.4004$ Å and $R_{\text{CC}-} = 1.3861$ Å, that is, a difference of $\Delta R_e = 0.0143$ Å. This is in basic agreement with the




FIGURE 4 The difference in the CASSCF and SCGVB energies as a function of the distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$. (R_{CX} , R_{CH}) have been fixed at the optimum values for each method at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$

findings of Small and Head-Gordon,^[3] who reported a minimum of approximately $-0.047 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} \approx 0.39^\circ$.

We also carried out SCGVB calculations that optimized R_{CX} and R_{CH} for each distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$. The changes in R_{CX} and R_{CH} were sufficiently small, for example, $\sim 10^{-4} \text{ \AA}$ for R_{CX} and $\sim 10^{-6} \text{ \AA}$ for R_{CH} at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0.31^\circ$, that any changes from the results described above were negligible.

To gain insights into the underlying reason or reasons that the SCGVB calculations predict a non- D_{6h} structure for benzene, we first calculated the difference between the energies of the CASSCF and SCGVB wave functions as a function of $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$. This difference is plotted in Figure 4 and provides no obvious reason for the unusual dependence of the SCGVB energy on distortion angle: the energy difference increases steadily from $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ to $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 1^\circ$, increasing nearly linearly in the vicinity of $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0.31^\circ$.

Next, we calculated separately the energies of the Kekulé and Dewar components of the SCGVB wave function using the optimum SCGVB orbitals for each distortion angle. The energies of the resulting SCGVB(Kekulé) and SCGVB(Dewar) wave functions increase with increasing $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, predicting a D_{6h} geometry for benzene, although their behavior near $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ is certainly unusual (see Figure 5). Given that, individually, the two components of the full SCGVB wave function predict that benzene has a D_{6h} geometry, we are led to conclude that the cause of the unusual non- D_{6h} geometry predicted by the SCGVB calculations seems to be a result of the interaction between the Kekulé and Dewar components of the full SCGVB wave function.

We then calculated the interaction between the Kekulé and Dewar components of the SCGVB wave function (H_{12}) as well as the overlap of these components (S_{12}). These results, as a function of the distortion angle, are plotted in Figure 6. Again, there is little hint in these plots about the cause of the non- D_{6h} geometry of benzene




FIGURE 5 Variation of ΔE with the distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, for the SCGVB wave function and its Kekulé and Dewar components. The optimum orbitals from the full SCGVB calculations are used in all calculations




FIGURE 6 Dependence on distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, of the variation in the interaction energy, H_{12} (solid line), and overlap, S_{12} (dashed line), for the Kekulé and Dewar components of the benzene SCGVB wave function

predicted by the SCGVB wave function, although the magnitude of the overlap of the Kekulé and Dewar components (0.992–0.980) is surprisingly large and may be implicated in the unusual prediction of the non-symmetric structure for benzene. With this in mind, we also examined the energy of the orthogonal complement to the Kekulé space and the value of the corresponding off-diagonal Hamiltonian matrix element. We found for both quantities that the variation with




FIGURE 7 Variation of ΔE with the distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, for the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function with SCGVB orbitals (blue, dashed) and SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function with optimized orbitals (red, solid) [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, is smooth and monotonic with no unusual features near either $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ or $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0.31^\circ$.

Finally, we investigated the addition of ionic terms to the SCGVB wave function, a topic explored earlier by Van Voorhis and Head-Gordon.^[5] As first noted by Coulson and Fischer,^[24] the SCGVB wave function largely accounts for singly ionic structures, so that the first ionic configurations of importance are the doubly ionic ones. If we simply add the doubly ionic configurations to the SCGVB wave function, we obtain the dashed curve in Figure 7, which has a remarkable resemblance to the corresponding curve for the Kekulé wave function in Figure 5. The energy of this wave function is $0.47 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ higher than the CASSCF energy. If we optimize the orbitals for the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function, the energy decreases to -230.852311 Eh , which is $0.30 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ higher than the CASSCF energy. Furthermore, the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function predicts that benzene has a D_{6h} geometry. In fact, the dependence of the energy on distortion angle for the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function, see Figure 7, is essentially identical to that for the CASSCF wave function, differing by just $0.009 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ at $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 1^\circ$. Clearly, higher ionic configurations make only minor improvements to the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function. Further numerical experiments indicated that the $ion = 2$ structures in which the negative charges are *para* to one another are of particular importance in reproducing the correct dependence of the energy on $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Small and Head-Gordon^[3] found that the SCGVB wave function for benzene predicted a non- D_{6h} geometry, a surprising result given that

the combinations of the Kekulé and Dewar spin couplings in the SCGVB wave function can describe all CC bonds equally. The distortion from a D_{6h} geometry was very small ($\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0.39^\circ$) as was the energy lowering, $\Delta E = -0.047 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. Since those calculations used a very small basis set for the carbon and hydrogen atoms (STO-3G), we decided to repeat this study, obtaining similar results with an aug-cc-pVTZ basis set, namely, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0.31^\circ$ and $\Delta E = -0.040 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. The aug-cc-pVTZ basis set should provide results very close to the complete basis set limit for the SCGVB method, so this puzzling anomaly is an inherent feature of the SCGVB description of benzene.

In an attempt to understand the reason for this unusual prediction by the SCGVB method, we separated the full SCGVB wave function into its two components, the two Kekulé and three Dewar modes of spin coupling, and determined the dependence of the energies of these two components on the distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, using the optimum SCGVB orbitals for that angle. We found that the Kekulé and Dewar energies increased with increasing $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, predicting that benzene has a D_{6h} geometry, although the behavior of the resulting curves near $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}} = 0^\circ$ was unusual and unexpected. These results indicate that the non- D_{6h} geometry predicted by the SCGVB calculations could be a result of the interaction between the Kekulé and Dewar components of the full SCGVB wave function. However, examination of the dependence of this interaction, as well as the overlap of the two components, did not provide any insights into the underlying cause of this curious anomaly in the SCGVB description of benzene.

In agreement with Van Voorhis and Head-Gordon,^[5] we found that adding the doubly ionic configurations to the SCGVB wave function (the singly ionic configurations are already largely subsumed in the SCGVB wave function) led to the prediction of a D_{6h} geometry for benzene. In fact, if the orbitals in the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function are optimized, the total energy as well as the energies as a function of distortion angle, $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$, are essentially identical to those of the CASSCF wave function. The addition of the doubly excited configurations to the SCGVB wave function clearly corrects the deficiencies in the SCGVB description of benzene, although the orbitals must be reoptimized. Analyzing the doubly ionic configurations contributing to the SCGVB+ ($ion = 2$) wave function, we found that those configurations with the negative charges *para* to one another were of particular importance in reproducing the correct dependence of the energy on $\Delta\theta_{\text{CXC}}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Center for Scalable Predictive Methods for Excitations and Correlated phenomena (SPEC), which is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, as part of the Computational Chemical Sciences Program at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. We thank one of the reviewers for bringing the work of Van Voorhis and Head-Gordon to our attention.

1 ORCID

2 Thom H. Dunning Jr  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3290-6507>

4 REFERENCES


- 5 [1] S. Shaik, A. Shurki, D. Danovich, P. C. Hiberty, *J. Molec. Struct. (Theochem.)* **1997**, 398–399, 155.
- 6 [2] E. D. Glendening, R. Faust, A. Streitwieser, K. P. C. Vollhardt, F. Weinhold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 10952.
- 7 [3] D. W. Small, M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, 13, 19285.
- 8 [4] T. Van Voorhis, M. Head-Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, 112, 5633.
- 9 [5] T. Van Voorhis, M. Head-Gordon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2000**, 317, 575.
- 10 [6] K. V. Lawler, G. J. O. Beran, M. Head-Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, 128, 024107.
- 11 [7] J. A. Parkhill, M. Head-Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, 133, 024103.
- 12 [8] T. H. Dunning Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, 90, 1007.
- 13 [9] R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning Jr., R. J. Harrison, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, 6796.
- 14 [10] R. Pauncz, *Spin Eigen Functions, Construction and Use*, Springer US, Boston **1979**.
- 15 [11] C. C. J. Roothaan, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1951**, 23, 69.
- 16 [12] B. O. Roos, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **1987**, 69, 399.
- 17 [13] R. J. Bartlett, M. Musial, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2007**, 79, 291.
- 18 [14] K. Raghavachari, G. W. Trucks, J. A. Pople, M. Head-Gordon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, 157, 479.
- 19 [15] *Molpro, Version 2010.1, a Package of ab Initio Programs*, H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, R. D. Amos, A. Bernhardsson, A. Berning, P. Celani, D. L. Cooper, M. J. O. Deegan, A. J. Dobbyn, F. Eckert, C. Hampel, G. Hetzer, T. Korona, R. Lindh, A. W. Lloyd, S. J. McNicholas, F. Manby, W. Meyer, M. E. Mura, A. Nicklass, P. Palmieri, R. Pitzer, G. Rauhut, M. Schütz, U. Schumann, H. Stoll, A. J. Stone, R. Tarroni, T. Thorsteinsson, M. Wang, see <http://www.molpro.net>.
- 20 [16] H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby, M. Schütz, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2011**, 2, 242.
- 21 [17] T. Thorsteinsson, D. L. Cooper, J. Gerratt, P. B. Karadakov, M. Raimondi, *Theoret. Chim. Acta* **1996**, 93, 343.
- 22 [18] D. L. Cooper, T. Thorsteinsson, J. Gerratt, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **1997**, 65, 439.
- 23 [19] D. L. Cooper, J. Gerratt, M. Raimondi, M. Sironi, T. Thorsteinsson, *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1993**, 85, 261.
- 24 [20] P. B. Karadakov, D. L. Cooper, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2014**, 133, 1421.
- 25 [21] D. L. Cooper, J. Gerratt, M. Raimondi, *Nature* **1986**, 323, 699.
- 26 [22] G. Raos, J. Gerratt, D. L. Cooper, M. Raimondi, *Chem. Phys.* **1994**, 186, 233.
- 27 [23] G. Raos, J. Gerratt, P. B. Karadakov, D. L. Cooper, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1995**, 91, 4011.
- 28 [24] C. A. Coulson, I. Fischer, *Philos. Mag.* **1949**, 40, 386.

How to cite this article: Xu LT, Cooper DL, Dunning Jr TH. Resolving a puzzling anomaly in the spin-coupled generalized valence bond description of benzene. *J Comput Chem.* 2020; 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.26185>

Uncorrected Proof

Graphical Abstract

The contents of this page will be used as part of the graphical abstract of html only.
It will not be published as part of main.



23 A puzzling anomaly in the SCGVB description of benzene. One of the six SCGVB π orbitals of benzene.

Uncorrected Proofs