Review Article

Investig Clin Urol 2020;61 Suppl 1:S43-50. https://doi.org/10.4111/icu.2020.61.S1.S43 pISSN 2466-0493 • eISSN 2466-054X



The human gastrointestinal microbiota and prostate cancer development and treatment

Sybil Sha¹^(b), Liqiang Ni², Maria Stefil³, Matthew Dixon³, Vladimir Mouraviev^{4,†}

¹Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH, ²University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA, ³University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, ⁴Central Florida Cancer Institute, Davenport, FL, USA

The human gastrointestinal microbiome contains commensal bacteria and other microbiota that have been gaining increasing attention in the context of cancer development and response to treatment. Microbiota play a role in the maintenance of host barrier surfaces that contribute to both local inflammation and other systemic metabolic functions. In the context of prostate cancer, the gastrointestinal microbiome may play a role through metabolism of estrogen, an increase of which has been linked to the induction of prostatic neoplasia. Specific microbiota such as *Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Bacteroides massiliensis, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium rectalie*, and *Mycoplasma genitalium* have been associated with differing risks of prostate cancer development or extensiveness of prostate cancer disease. In this Review, we discuss gastrointestinal microbiota's effects on prostate cancer development, the ability of the microbiome to regulate chemotherapy for prostate cancer treatment, and the importance of using Next Generation Sequencing to further discern the microbiome's systemic influence on prostate cancer.

Keywords: Microbiota; Prostatic neoplasms

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The human microbiome describes the bacteria, archaea, fungi, and protozoa that reside in the epithelial surfaces of the body [1]. The microbiome affects many physiologic functions, such as cognitive abilities, hematopoiesis, inflammation, and metabolism [2]. There are five main bacterial phyla in the gastrointestinal (GI) mucosa: *Bacteroides, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Verrucomicrobia*, and *Firmicutes*; the most common anaerobes are *Bacteroides, Eubacteria, Bifidobacteria, Peptostreptococci, Clostridia*, and *Ruminococci* [3-5].

The host and the GI microbiota share a complex balanced relationship that is symbiotic. The intestinal microbiota has 10¹³ to 10¹⁴ microorganisms that have a large role in the metabolism of glycans, amino acids, and xenobiotics [6]. The composition of intestinal microbiota are dependent on various host factors such as colonization at birth, diet, smoking, drinking, and presence of disease [7-9]. This is a bidirectional relationship, as evidenced by the microbiome in turn affecting host: gut microorganisms are responsible for educating the immune system and promoting differentiation of regulatory T-cells, which are involved in anti-inflammatory processes [10].

Germ-free rodents that were fed vitamins that are normally supplied by commensal intestinal microbiota lived significantly longer than their conventionally-raised coun-

Received: 31 May, 2019 • Accepted: 16 June, 2019 Corresponding Author: Sybil Sha not https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0252-0336 Dartmouth Medical School, 1 Rope Ferry Road, Hanover, NH 03755, USA TEL: +1-407-876-6804, E-mail: Sybil.T.Sha.MED@dartmouth.edu [†]Deceased.

© The Korean Urological Association

www.icurology.org

Sha et al

ICUROLOGY

terpart rodents [11]. Studies with axenic (germ-free) rodents and those colonized with specific microbiota show that commensal microorganisms are required for a fully functioning immune system, and has local and systemic effects. When studying a similar hypothesis in humans, antibiotics were found to be associated with decreased progression-free survival in melanoma patients [12]. When environmental changes occur, the microbiome can be thrown into a state of dysbiosis which can lead to the promotion of inflammatory diseases and cancer through infiltration of the epithelial barrier [13].

There has been increasing interest in the microbiome's role in cancer development and progression, and studies show that distinct microbiota can both promote and inhibit tumor development [14-20]. The microbiome can influence the development of cancer as well as response to therapies, and this could be through both direct promotion of cancer as well as indirect mechanisms involving immune modulation, metabolic changes, and epithelial damage [21] Therefore, understanding the gut microbiome's effects on cancer is critical to potentially manipulating it therapeutically for cancer treatment.

There is still a limited pool of knowledge about prostate cancer and GI microbiome. In this review, we will explore the relationship between proposed etiologies of how the gastrointestinal microbiome affects prostate cancer development, specific bacteria implicated in pathogenesis, and the microbiome's impact on prostate cancer treatments.

MICROBIOME AND PROSTATE CANCER RELATIONSHIP

Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States and accounts for 1 in 5 new diagnoses in the male population [22]. The lifetime risk for prostate cancer is about 16%, with 276,000 new cases in 2018 [23]. Typical treatments for prostate cancer include androgen-based therapies; however, this does not take into account other risk factors for prostate cancer, such as bacterial infections, environmental stimuli, or inflammatory markers. Despite prostate cancer's high prevalence, these alternate risk factors have not been fully explored [24].

The composition of GI microbiome may influence the metabolism of certain compounds that may be associated with increased prostate risk [25]. Intake of calcium in dairy products [26], red meat [27], and fat [28] have been linked to increase prostate cancer risk or progression. This may relate to the microbiome's role in phytochemical digestion [29], dairy product digestion [30], and the generation of inflammatory molecules [31-33], which can influence neoplastic development.

Antibiotics select for certain resistant bacterial survival by increasing susceptibility of pathogenic bacterial proliferation. A reduced diversity profile can lead to an overgrowth of bacteria that promote inflammation and neoplasia. Studies have shown that antibiotic usage increases likelihood of bacterial infections from Clostridium difficile and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus [34]. These bacterial species are typically present in the GI microbiome, but are able to proliferate under conditions of microbial disruption. The association between prostate cancer risk has been investigated in the context of antibiotic exposure. Tulstrup et al. [8] described that antibiotic-induced changes in microbiota form changes in intestinal permeability, introducing risk of neoplastic changes. Boursi et al. [35] hypothesized that an antibiotic would cause a change in the bacterial diversity of the GI and induce chronic inflammation. He found that the risk of prostate cancer increased moderately with the use of penicillins, quinolones, sulphonamides, and tetracyclines.

When describing how the microbiome affects distant carcinogenesis from the GI, as in the case of prostate cancer, Plottel and Blaser [36] postulated a functional estrobiome, or enteric bacterial genes that are able to metabolize estrogen. β-Glucuronidases and β-glucuronides are particularly important in the metabolism of estrogen by conjugation and deconjugation. Estrogen has been reported to be elevated in patients with prostate cancer compared to healthy controls [37]. Estrogen promotes carcinogenesis by activating polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) which involve the formation of carcinogenic metabolites, diol epoxides and radical cations. Diol epoxides and radical cations react with DNA that can lead to cancer-promoting mutations. This estrogen mechanism is linked to Plottel's hypothesis of the estrobiome, or estrogen-metabolizing bacteria, and therefore when disturbed would cause an increase in serum estrogen.

In addition to the estrogen-driven carcinogenesis hypothesis, chronic inflammation has been proposed to create dysbiosis and subsequently increase cancer risk. Several studies have shown that there is an increased risk of prostate cancer in men with a history of prostatitis [38-42]. Poutahidis et al. [43] confirmed *in vivo* that GI tract bacterial infection is sufficient to enhance prostate intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) and microinvasive carcinoma. Induction of neoplasia was abrogated by the prior neutralization of inflammatory molecules such as tumor necrosis factor α , suggesting that GI microbial-based inflammation plays a large role in tumor formation and progression. Liss et al. [44] collected rectal swabs from men and sequenced their rectal microbi-

ome profiles prior to transrectal prostate biopsy. There were significant increases in proinflammatory *Bacteroides* and *Streptococcus* species in those diagnosed with prostate cancer. Inflammation may be related to neoplasia by inflicting cellular and genomic damage, triggering a cascade of cell repair, angiogenesis, and tissue repair on a larger level [45]. Furthermore, it has been hypothesized that reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species are released through immune cells during times of inflammation, directly damaging cells and DNA [46]. This oxidative damage and cellular death is the cause of proliferative inflammatory atrophy, which is identified as a precursor to prostatic neoplasia, PIN and potentially adenocarcinoma [47].

Probiotics are a potential adjuvant for cancer treatment given more knowledge of the gut microbiome. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG* (LGG) is often administered as a complement to traditional colorectal cancer treatment to promote symbiosis of the GI microbiome [18]. LGG has been observed to be anti-inflammatory and result in increased tumor regression in animal models [18]. Probiotic administration after cancer therapy has been shown in multiple trials to alleviate GI-related stress and re-populate the commensal microbiota [48]. This probiotic has not yet been investigated in the context of prostate cancer.

There are certain microbes that have shown to increase the risk of prostate cancer in vivo. Campylobacter jejuni was found to induce cell cycle arrest, chromatin fragmentation, and cell death from its toxin termed cytolethal distending toxin [17]. Clostridium was found to convert glucocorticoids in the gut to androgens by side-chain cleavage, which could contribute to prostate cancer development [49]. Escherichia coli is common in the human gut and is typically in symbiosis with the host; however, Cuevas-Ramos et al. [50] noted that in vivo infection of E. coli induced DNA damage response with signs of incomplete DNA repair. In addition, E. coli has been found to be associated with prostate inflammation. Elkahwaji et al. [51] infected mice with E. coli bacteria or a control buffer. Each of the E. coli-infected mice developed bacterial prostatitis and many developed dysplastic changes; zero of the control mice developed prostate infections or inflammation.

Liss et al. [44] further hypothesized that bacteria related to carbohydrate metabolic pathways had a higher relative abundance in those diagnosed with prostate cancer compared to healthy controls. However, research in folate and prostate cancer has shown inconsistent results; Figueiredo et al. [52] found that men randomized to folic acid supplementation had a 26 times risk of being diagnosed with prostate cancer compared to their placebo counterparts. However, high dietary folate intake was associated with a decreased risk of prostate cancer. Liss et al. [44] noted microbiota involved in folate production were increased in men without prostate cancer; therefore, there seems to be a difference between endogenous folate production and folate supplementation. This could have implications for preventative medicine by encouraging men to use probiotics for natural folate production and discourage use of folate supplements. The complexity of the folate pathway, microbiota, and prostate cancer reveal that larger metatranscriptomic studies are needed to further understand their relationship with each other.

SPECIFIC MICROBIAL BACTERIA AND PROSTATE CANCER

With an increasing understanding of microbial effects on carcinogenesis, studies have been conducted exploring specific GI microbes and prostate cancer outcomes.

As mentioned previously, Liss et al. [44] found enrichments of *Bacteroides* and *Streptococcus* in prostate cancer cases as compared to the healthy controls. However, the fecal microbiome of the cohort of men undergoing prostate biopsy did not have significant differences between prostate and non-prostate cancer groups.

Alanee et al. [53] conducted a prospective study to determine the association between fecal microbiota and prostate cancer diagnosis and found that patients with prostate cancer had a higher relative abundance of *Bacteroides*; however, fecal clustering patterns were not significantly associated with Gleason score staging of those with prostate cancer.

Golombos et al. [54] found a higher relative abundance of Bacteroides massiliensis in prostate cancer cases compared to healthy controls; Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Eubacterium rectalie were in higher relative abundance in controls. F. prausnitzii had shown to be protective in numerous studies, having anti-inflammatory and symbiotic properties [55,56]. F. prausnitzii functions to metabolize acetate into butyrate, which is a primary source of energy for colonocytes, and is an anti-inflammatory compound [57]. F. prausnitzii demonstrated other mechanisms of anti-inflammation unrelated to butyrate in Crohn disease patients. E. rectalie, the other bacteria elevated in controls compared to prostate cancer patients, also produces anti-inflammatory butyrate [58].

Miyake et al. [59] found that the rate of extensive prostate disease was higher in those with *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection compared to those who did not have *M. genitalium* infection. *M. genitalium* is a clinically important sexually transmitted pathogen, which causes diseases that

Sha et al

ICUROLOGY

induce inflammation such as chronic prostatitis and urethritis. This inflammation can translate to neoplastic changes in the prostate.

Sfanos et al. [60] reported a greater alpha diversity in those without prostate cancer compared to those with prostate cancer. Alpha diversity refers to how divergent the species within the microbiome are in a specific landscape. A decrease in gut microbiota diversity has been established as a risk factor in certain other diseases [61]. This warrants further exploration of microbial diversity and risk factor for prostate cancer.

The studies exploring the specific microorganism and prostate cancer risk discussed above are summarized in Table 1 [44,53,54,59,60].

PROSTATE CANCER TREATMENT

It is well established that the GI microbiota influence both the local and systemic immune [14]. Paulos et al. [14] found that microbial translocation to the GI increases the function of CD8+ T cells via TLR4 signaling. This microbial translocation operates under the notion that particular bacteria and their products can activate the innate immune system which can trigger tumor regression. Since Paulos' findings, there has been increasing interest in further exploring the microbiome's relationship with prostate cancer treatment.

Growing evidence has shown that gut microbiota modulates how the host responds to chemotherapy drugs in a systemic fashion, such as in prostate cancer [62-64]. These studies have shown that gut microbiota have an intimate relationship with certain chemotherapies such as methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, irinotecan, antiprogrammed death-ligand 1 and anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyteassociated protein 4. Alexander et al. [65] proposes three main clinical outcomes from microbial influence: 1) facilitation of drug efficacy, abrogation of anticancer effects, and mediation of toxicity. From others' data, Alexander et al. [65] proposed a framework for how gut microbiota mechanistically influence chemotherapeutic pharmacologic effects: "TIMER," which stands for Translocation, Immunomodulation, Metabolism, Enzymatic degradation, and Reduced diversity. For translocation, Viaud et al. [62] discussed how a chemotherapy drug cyclophosphamide can cause a shortening of the villi in the gut intestinal wall, which allows microbes to cross and enter secondary lymphoid organs such as lymph nodes, tonsils, and the spleen. Viaud et al. [62] therefore hypothesized that cyclophosphamide's efficacy is due in part to their ability to stimulate antitumor immune responses of gut microbiota from lymphoid organ infiltration. For immunomodulation, intestinal microbiota facilitate immunomodulation of chemotherapeutic drugs [65]. For metabolism and enzymatic degradation, bacteria in the GI engage in metabolic processes such as reduction, hydrolysis, dihydroxylation, and dealkylation, which can be taken into consideration when thinking about chemotherapeutics. For reduced diversity, chemotherapy can cause changes to the microbiome which can lead to adverse outcomes such as colitis or diarrhea from proliferation of pathogenic mirobiota [65]. Montassier et al. [66] found that fecal samples collected after chemotherapy contained a decreased abundance of Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and increases in Proteobacteria compared to the patients' samples prior to chemotherapy.

It has also been reported that *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* can metabolize the prostate cancer drug Gemcitabine into an inactive metabolite, therefore decreasing the efficacy of the drug [67]. This may be important in the personalizing of treatment for those who have an increased relative abundance of *M. hyorhinis*.

The microbial composition of the GI is changed by an-

Study	Results	Bacteria involved
Liss et al. [44] (2018)	Rectal swabs were taken and found an increase in <i>Bacteroides</i> and <i>Streptococcus</i> in those with prostate cancer compared to controls.	Bacteroides, Streptococcus
Alanee et al. [53] (2019)	Bacteroides from fecal samples was highly associated with prostate cancer diagnosis.	Bacteroides
Golombos et al. [54] (2018)	<i>Bacteroides massiliensis</i> was in higher relative abundance in prostate cancer cases, while <i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i> and <i>Eubacterium rectalie</i> was in higher relative abundance in controls.	<i>B. massiliensis, F. prausnitzi. E. rectalie</i>
Miyake et al. [59] (2019)	Men with more extensive prostate cancer disease (T2c-3b) had a higher rate of <i>Mycoplasma genitalium</i> infection compared to those who had benign prostate hyperplasia.	M. genitalium
Sfanos et al. [60] (2018)	Alpha diversity of the microbiome was greater in those without prostate cancer as compared to those with prostate cancer.	NA

Table 1. Studies discussed about specific gastrointestinal microbiota and prostate cancer

NA, not applicable.

drogen receptor axis-targeted therapies (ATT), the most common line of prostate cancer treatment [13]. Cimadamore et al. [13] showed that Ruminococcaceae spp. and Akkermansia muciniphila, which are both involved in steroid hormone biosynthesis, were linked to a more favorable response to anti-programmed death-1 (PD-1) immunotherapy. In patients who had *Ruminococcaceae* spp., antibiotic therapy was correlated with an increased risk of progressive disease. Sfanos found similar results, with a distinct difference in the GI microbiota of those on ATT compared to those without prostate cancer. In prostate cancer patients taking ATT, there was a higher relative abundance of A. muciniphila and Ruminococcaceae spp., which Cimadamore et al. [13] had described to be more favorable for anti-PD-1 immunotherapy [60]. Oral hormonal therapy for prostate cancer may influence GI micriobiota and have an effect on clinical responses and the antitumor effects of immunotherapy.

NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING

In the past decade, we have seen a revolution of sequencing technology that has already enabled us to understand many concepts in genetics and genome biology [68]. Historically, genomic sequencing has been used primarily in the context of the tumor DNA to determine mutations such as BRCA or other somatic mutations [69]. To supplement this, Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) has been demonstrated in different phase I and II trials to extend our knowledge of the GI microbiome. This profile report by NGS contains information about the commensal and pathogenic GI bacteria detected, bacterial load, and resistance to different antibiotics detected.

This may allow for personalized treatments depending on their patient's unique microbial profile [70]. On a larger level, genomic data may shed light on the heterogeneity of microbial change of the cancer process to ultimately generate evidence between neoplasia and microbiota [71]. This can elucidate prostate cancer tumor genesis pathways and alterations of these pathways by individually distinct microbiome signatures. In addition, the implementation of NGS will lead to a decreased consumption of antibiotics by discerning microbiomes that are resistant. This will have implications for patient side-effects and a preventing growing resistance.

CONCLUSIONS

The relationship between the GI microbiome and prostate cancer is a small but growing body of knowledge. Currently, the exact relationship and mechanism of the microbiome's influence on prostate cancer is not known. Based on current literature, it seems that those who have prostate cancer and those who do not have distinct microbial profiles and different relative abundances of certain bacteria.

The proportion of directionality of the relationship between prostate cancer and GI microbiome is unclear: on one hand, the cancer changes the microbiome and leads to dysbiosis, and on the other hand, the dysbiosis itself induces neoplastic changes. The bacteria that live in the epithelial lining of the GI may influence inflammation and neoplastic events both as a local and systemic level. The local microorganism change has been implicated in GI-diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease and colitis.

The estrobiome has been postulated in describing the gut microbiome's role in systemic prostate carcinogenesis. Estrogen may promote neoplasia by activating PAHs which form carcinogenic metabolites and free radical cations.

There are certain microorganisms that are associated with increased risk of prostate cancer or more extensive prostate cancer disease. Microbes such as *Bacteroides*, *Streptococcus*, *B. massiliensis*, and *M. genitalium* were associated with greater risk, whereas *F. prausnitzii* and *E. rectalie* were higher in control groups. These particular GI bacteria should be further explored through NGS in the context of prostate cancer.

The studies presented in this review show that the GI microbiome plays a role in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer through systemic mechanisms. Understanding the specifics of gut microbiota in the context of prostate cancer is needed for the development of personalized treatments. It is critical to further explore and understand the relationships between bacteria and prostate cancer pathogenesis, development, and progression.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have nothing to disclose.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Research conception and design: Sybil Sha and Vladimir Mouraviev. Data acquisition: Sybil Sha. Statistical analysis: Liqiang Ni and Sybil Sha. Data analysis and interpretation: Liqiang Ni and Sybil Sha. Drafting of the manuscript: Sybil Sha, Matthew Dixon, and Maria Stefil. Critical revision of the manuscript: Sybil Sha and Vladimir Mouraviev. Obtaining funding: Vladimir Mouraviev. Administrative, technical, or material support: Vladimir Mouoraviev. Supervision: Vladimir Mouraviev. Approval of the final manuscript:

REFERENCES

- 1. Costello EK, Stagaman K, Dethlefsen L, Bohannan BJ, Relman DA. The application of ecological theory toward an understanding of the human microbiome. Science 2012;336:1255-62.
- 2. Roy S, Trinchieri G. Microbiota: a key orchestrator of cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2017;17:271-85.
- O'Hara AM, Shanahan F. The gut flora as a forgotten organ. EMBO Rep 2006;7:688-93.
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010;464:59-65.
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, et al. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 2005;308:1635-8.
- Gill SR, Pop M, Deboy RT, Eckburg PB, Turnbaugh PJ, Samuel BS, et al. Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. Science 2006;312:1355-9.
- Goodman B, Gardner H. The microbiome and cancer. J Pathol 2018;244:667-76.
- Tulstrup MV, Christensen EG, Carvalho V, Linninge C, Ahrné S, Højberg O, et al. Antibiotic treatment affects intestinal permeability and gut microbial composition in Wistar rats dependent on antibiotic class. PLoS One 2015;10:e0144854.
- Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, et al. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:11971-5.
- Haller D. The gut microbiome in health and disease. Basel: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
- 11. Smith K, McCoy KD, Macpherson AJ. Use of axenic animals in studying the adaptation of mammals to their commensal intestinal microbiota. Semin Immunol 2007;19:59-69.
- Elkrief A, El Raichani L, Richard C, Messaoudene M, Belkaid W, Malo J, et al. Antibiotics are associated with decreased progression-free survival of advanced melanoma patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Oncoimmunology 2019;8:e1568812.
- Cimadamore A, Santoni M, Massari F, Gasparrini S, Cheng L, Lopez-Beltran A, et al. Microbiome and cancers, with focus on genitourinary tumors. Front Oncol 2019;9:178.
- Paulos CM, Wrzesinski C, Kaiser A, Hinrichs CS, Chieppa M, Cassard L, et al. Microbial translocation augments the function of adoptively transferred self/tumor-specific CD8+ T cells via TLR4 signaling. J Clin Invest 2007;117:2197-204.
- 15. Olsson J, Drott JB, Laurantzon L, Laurantzon O, Bergh A, Elgh

F. Chronic prostatic infection and inflammation by Propionibacterium acnes in a rat prostate infection model. PLoS One 2012;7:e51434.

- Buti L, Spooner E, Van der Veen AG, Rappuoli R, Covacci A, Ploegh HL. Helicobacter pylori cytotoxin-associated gene A (CagA) subverts the apoptosis-stimulating protein of p53 (ASPP2) tumor suppressor pathway of the host. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:9238-43.
- Lara-Tejero M, Galán JE. A bacterial toxin that controls cell cycle progression as a deoxyribonuclease I-like protein. Science 2000;290:354-7.
- Vivarelli S, Salemi R, Candido S, Falzone L, Santagati M, Stefani S, et al. Gut microbiota and cancer: from pathogenesis to therapy. Cancers (Basel) 2019;11:E38.
- Hatakeyama M. Structure and function of helicobacter pylori CagA, the first-identified bacterial protein involved in human cancer. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci 2017;93:196-219.
- Lenoir M, Del Carmen S, Cortes-Perez NG, Lozano-Ojalvo D, Muñoz-Provencio D, Chain F, et al. Lactobacillus casei BL23 regulates Treg and Th17 T-cell populations and reduces DMHassociated colorectal cancer. J Gastroenterol 2016;51:862-73.
- 21. Porter CM, Shrestha E, Peiffer LB, Sfanos KS. The microbiome in prostate inflammation and prostate cancer. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2018;21:345-54.
- 22. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019;69:7-34.
- 23. The Global Cancer Observatory. Cancer fact sheets. Lyon: World Health Organization; 2019.
- 24. Wilson KM, Giovannucci EL, Mucci LA. Lifestyle and dietary factors in the prevention of lethal prostate cancer. Asian J Androl 2012;14:365-74.
- 25. Amirian ES, Petrosino JF, Ajami NJ, Liu Y, Mims MP, Scheurer ME. Potential role of gastrointestinal microbiota composition in prostate cancer risk. Infect Agent Cancer 2013;8:42.
- 26. Lampe JW. Dairy products and cancer. J Am Coll Nutr 2011;30(5 Suppl 1):464S-70S.
- 27. Punnen S, Hardin J, Cheng I, Klein EA, Witte JS. Impact of meat consumption, preparation, and mutagens on aggressive prostate cancer. PLoS One 2011;6:e27711.
- 28. Sonn GA, Aronson W, Litwin MS. Impact of diet on prostate cancer: a review. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2005;8:304-10.
- 29. Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M. Interactions between gut microbiota and host metabolism predisposing to obesity and diabetes. Annu Rev Med 2011;62:361-80.
- Masood MI, Qadir MI, Shirazi JH, Khan IU. Beneficial effects of lactic acid bacteria on human beings. Crit Rev Microbiol 2011;37:91-8.
- 31. Russell SL, Finlay BB. The impact of gut microbes in allergic diseases. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2012;28:563-9.

- Arthur JC, Perez-Chanona E, Mühlbauer M, Tomkovich S, Uronis JM, Fan TJ, et al. Intestinal inflammation targets cancer-inducing activity of the microbiota. Science 2012;338:120-3.
- 33. Graessler J, Qin Y, Zhong H, Zhang J, Licinio J, Wong ML, et al. Metagenomic sequencing of the human gut microbiome before and after bariatric surgery in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: correlation with inflammatory and metabolic parameters. Pharmacogenomics J 2013;13:514-22.
- 34. Hunter PA, Dawson S, French GL, Goossens H, Hawkey PM, Kuijper EJ, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens in animals and man: prescribing, practices and policies. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;65 Suppl 1:i3-17.
- 35. Boursi B, Mamtani R, Haynes K, Yang YX. Recurrent antibiotic exposure may promote cancer formation--Another step in understanding the role of the human microbiota? Eur J Cancer 2015;51:2655-64.
- Plottel CS, Blaser MJ. Microbiome and malignancy. Cell Host Microbe 2011;10:324-35.
- 37. Althuis MD, Fergenbaum JH, Garcia-Closas M, Brinton LA, Madigan MP, Sherman ME. Etiology of hormone receptordefined breast cancer: a systematic review of the literature. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13:1558-68.
- Dennis LK, Lynch CF, Torner JC. Epidemiologic association between prostatitis and prostate cancer. Urology 2002;60:78-83.
- Daniels NA, Ewing SK, Zmuda JM, Wilt TJ, Bauer DC; Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Research Group. Correlates and prevalence of prostatitis in a large community-based cohort of older men. Urology 2005;66:964-70.
- Bostwick DG, Burke HB, Djakiew D, Euling S, Ho SM, Landolph J, et al. Human prostate cancer risk factors. Cancer 2004;101(10 Suppl):2371-490.
- Cheng I, Witte JS, Jacobsen SJ, Haque R, Quinn VP, Quesenberry CP, et al. Prostatitis, sexually transmitted diseases, and prostate cancer: the California men's health study. PLoS One 2010;5:e8736.
- 42. Roberts RO, Bergstralh EJ, Bass SE, Lieber MM, Jacobsen SJ. Prostatitis as a risk factor for prostate cancer. Epidemiology 2004;15:93-9.
- Poutahidis T, Cappelle K, Levkovich T, Lee CW, Doulberis M, Ge Z, et al. Pathogenic intestinal bacteria enhance prostate cancer development via systemic activation of immune cells in mice. PLoS One 2013;8:e73933.
- 44. Liss MA, White JR, Goros M, Gelfond J, Leach R, Johnson-Pais T, et al. Metabolic biosynthesis pathways identified from fecal microbiome associated with prostate cancer. Eur Urol 2018;74:575-82.
- 45. Nakai Y, Nonomura N. Inflammation and prostate carcinogen-

esis. Int J Urol 2013;20:150-60.

- 46. De Marzo AM, Platz EA, Sutcliffe S, Xu J, Grönberg H, Drake CG, et al. Inflammation in prostate carcinogenesis. Nat Rev Cancer 2007;7:256-69.
- 47. De Marzo AM, Marchi VL, Epstein JI, Nelson WG. Proliferative inflammatory atrophy of the prostate: implications for prostatic carcinogenesis. Am J Pathol 1999;155:1985-92.
- 48. Redman MG, Ward EJ, Phillips RS. The efficacy and safety of probiotics in people with cancer: a systematic review. Ann Oncol 2014;25:1919-29.
- 49. Ridlon JM, Ikegawa S, Alves JM, Zhou B, Kobayashi A, Iida T, et al. Clostridium scindens: a human gut microbe with a high potential to convert glucocorticoids into androgens. J Lipid Res 2013;54:2437-49.
- 50. Cuevas-Ramos G, Petit CR, Marcq I, Boury M, Oswald E, Nougayrède JP. Escherichia coli induces DNA damage in vivo and triggers genomic instability in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:11537-42.
- 51. Elkahwaji JE, Hauke RJ, Brawner CM. Chronic bacterial inflammation induces prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia in mouse prostate. Br J Cancer 2009;101:1740-8.
- Figueiredo JC, Grau MV, Haile RW, Sandler RS, Summers RW, Bresalier RS, et al. Folic acid and risk of prostate cancer: results from a randomized clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2009;101:432-5.
- 53. Alanee S, El-Zawahry A, Dynda D, Dabaja A, McVary K, Karr M, et al. A prospective study to examine the association of the urinary and fecal microbiota with prostate cancer diagnosis after transrectal biopsy of the prostate using 16sRNA gene analysis. Prostate 2019;79:81-7.
- Golombos DM, Ayangbesan A, O'Malley P, Lewicki P, Barlow L, Barbieri CE, et al. The role of gut microbiome in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer: a prospective, pilot study. Urology 2018;111:122-8.
- 55. Miquel S, Martín R, Rossi O, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Chatel JM, Sokol H, et al. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and human intestinal health. Curr Opin Microbiol 2013;16:255-61.
- 56. Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, Lakhdari O, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Gratadoux JJ, et al. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:16731-6.
- 57. Sokol H, Seksik P, Furet JP, Firmesse O, Nion-Larmurier I, Beaugerie L, et al. Low counts of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii in colitis microbiota. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2009;15:1183-9.
- 58. Cockburn DW, Orlovsky NI, Foley MH, Kwiatkowski KJ, Bahr CM, Maynard M, et al. Molecular details of a starch utilization pathway in the human gut symbiont Eubacterium rectale. Mol Microbiol 2015;95:209-30.

Sha et al

- 59. Miyake M, Ohnishi K, Hori S, Nakano A, Nakano R, Yano H, et al. Mycoplasma genitalium infection and chronic inflammation in human prostate cancer: detection using prostatectomy and needle biopsy specimens. Cells 2019;8:E212.
- 60. Sfanos KS, Markowski MC, Peiffer LB, Ernst SE, White JR, Pienta KJ, et al. Compositional differences in gastrointestinal microbiota in prostate cancer patients treated with androgen axistargeted therapies. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2018;21:539-48.
- 61. Mosca A, Leclerc M, Hugot JP. Gut microbiota diversity and human diseases: should we reintroduce key predators in our ecosystem? Front Microbiol 2016;7:455.
- 62. Viaud S, Saccheri F, Mignot G, Yamazaki T, Daillère R, Hannani D, et al. The intestinal microbiota modulates the anticancer immune effects of cyclophosphamide. Science 2013;342:971-6.
- 63. Iida N, Dzutsev A, Stewart CA, Smith L, Bouladoux N, Weingarten RA, et al. Commensal bacteria control cancer response to therapy by modulating the tumor microenvironment. Science 2013;342:967-70.
- 64. Vétizou M, Pitt JM, Daillère R, Lepage P, Waldschmitt N, Flament C, et al. Anticancer immunotherapy by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. Science 2015;350:1079-84.
- 65. Alexander JL, Wilson ID, Teare J, Marchesi JR, Nicholson JK,

Kinross JM. Gut microbiota modulation of chemotherapy efficacy and toxicity. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;14:356-65.

- 66. Montassier E, Gastinne T, Vangay P, Al-Ghalith GA, Bruley des Varannes S, Massart S, et al. Chemotherapy-driven dysbiosis in the intestinal microbiome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;42:515-28.
- 67. Vande Voorde J, Vervaeke P, Liekens S, Balzarini J. Mycoplasma hyorhinis-encoded cytidine deaminase efficiently inactivates cytosine-based anticancer drugs. FEBS Open Bio 2015;5:634-9.
- 68. Meldrum C, Doyle MA, Tothill RW. Next-generation sequencing for cancer diagnostics: a practical perspective. Clin Biochem Rev 2011;32:177-95.
- Kamps R, Brandão RD, Bosch BJ, Paulussen AD, Xanthoulea S, Blok MJ, et al. Next-generation sequencing in oncology: genetic diagnosis, risk prediction and cancer classification. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:E308.
- 70. Mouraviev V, McDonald M. An implementation of next generation sequencing for prevention and diagnosis of urinary tract infection in urology. Can J Urol 2018;25:9349-56.
- Hamada T, Nowak JA, Milner DA Jr, Song M, Ogino S. Integration of microbiology, molecular pathology, and epidemiology: a new paradigm to explore the pathogenesis of microbiomedriven neoplasms. J Pathol 2019;247:615-28.