



University of Dundee

# The Qi Site of Cytochrome b is a Promiscuous Drug Target in Trypanosoma cruzi and Leishmania donovani

Wall, Richard J.; Carvalho, Sandra; Milne, Rachel; Bueren-Calabuig, Juan A.; Moniz, Sonia; Cantizani-Perez, Juan

Published in: **ACS Infectious Diseases** 

DOI: 10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00426

Publication date: 2020

**Document Version** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Wall, R. J., Carvalho, S., Milne, R., Bueren-Calabuig, J. A., Moniz, S., Cantizani-Perez, J., MacLean, L., Kessler, A., Cotillo, I., Sastry, L., Manthri, S., Patterson, S., Zuccotto, F., Thompson, S., Martin, J., Marco, M., Miles, T. J., De Rycker, M., Thomas, M. G., ... Wyllie, S. (2020). The Qi Site of Cytochrome b is a Promiscuous Drug Target in Trypanosoma cruzi and *Leishmania donovani*: ACS Infectious Diseases. *ACS Infectious Diseases*, 6(3), 515-528. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00426

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



pubs.acs.org/journal/aidcbc



ACS AUTHORCHOICI

# The Q<sub>i</sub> Site of Cytochrome *b* is a Promiscuous Drug Target in *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Leishmania donovani*

Richard J. Wall,<sup>∥</sup> Sandra Carvalho,<sup>∥</sup> Rachel Milne, Juan A. Bueren-Calabuig, Sonia Moniz, Juan Cantizani-Perez, Lorna MacLean, Albane Kessler, Ignacio Cotillo, Lalitha Sastry, Sujatha Manthri, Stephen Patterson, Fabio Zuccotto, Stephen Thompson, Julio Martin, Maria Marco, Timothy J. Miles, Manu De Rycker, Michael G. Thomas, Alan H. Fairlamb, Ian H. Gilbert, and Susan Wyllie\*



leishmaniasis are inadequate, and there is a pressing need for new therapeutics. Drug discovery efforts for both diseases principally rely upon phenotypic screening. However, the optimization of phenotypically active compounds is hindered by a lack of information regarding their molecular target(s). To combat this issue we initiate target deconvolution studies at an early stage. Here, we describe



comprehensive genetic and biochemical studies to determine the targets of three unrelated phenotypically active compounds. All three structurally diverse compounds target the  $Q_i$  active-site of cytochrome *b*, part of the cytochrome *bc1* complex of the electron transport chain. Our studies go on to identify the  $Q_i$  site as a promiscuous drug target in *Leishmania donovani* and *Trypanosoma cruzi* with a propensity to rapidly mutate. Strategies to rapidly identify compounds acting via this mechanism are discussed to ensure that drug discovery portfolios are not overwhelmed with inhibitors of a single target.

KEYWORDS: cytochrome b, Trypanosoma cruzi, Leishmania donovani, drug target, mechanism of action

N eglected tropical diseases (NTDs) are prevalent infectious diseases afflicting the world's poorest people, often living in extreme poverty earning less than \$2 per day. Consequently, it is hardly surprising that the economic drivers for the development of novel diagnostics, drugs, vaccines, and other interventions are sadly lacking. Among the "most neglected" NTDs are Chagas' disease (CD) infecting 7-8 million in Central and South America and visceral leishmaniasis (VL), with up to 100 000 new cases arising each year predominantly in rural India, Sudan, South Sudan, Kenya, Somalia, Ethiopia, and Brazil. Combined, these diseases are responsible for more than 40 000 fatalities annually and the loss of over 1.2 million disease adjusted life years.<sup>1,2</sup> The accompanying economic burden of these vector-borne diseases provides a major obstacle to improving human health.<sup>3</sup> Current treatments for both VL and CD suffer from a range of issues including severe toxic side effects<sup>4,5</sup> and acquired drug resistance.<sup>o</sup> To compound these difficulties, many of these chemotherapeutics also require prolonged treatment regimens and are prohibitively expensive. Thus, there remains a definite and urgent need to develop low-cost, safe, effective, oral, and short-course drugs to strengthen the range of treatment options. Unfortunately, there are currently no new therapeutics in advanced clinical development for either disease and relatively few in preclinical development.<sup>8</sup>

Drug discovery efforts for CD (caused by infection with the protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi*) and VL (caused by

Leishmania donovani or Leishmania infantum) are hampered by a dearth of well-validated molecular drug targets. This has severely curtailed target-focused drug discovery against these parasites, leaving drug discovery programs reliant upon whole cell (phenotypic) screening to identify effective start points.<sup>9</sup> This approach has proven somewhat effective. However, the downstream development and optimization of phenotypically active compounds is often hindered by a lack of information regarding their mechanism(s) of action and/or molecular target(s). Specifically, issues related to compound toxicity and poor pharmacokinetic properties can be considerably more difficult to overcome without knowledge of the target and the molecular context in which the compound is binding. As a consequence, compounds identified via phenotypic screening can suffer from disproportionately high attrition rates.<sup>10</sup>

One strategy to combat the high failure rates associated with the development of phenotypically active compounds is to initiate target deconvolution studies at a relatively early stage. The association of active compounds with defined molecular

Received: November 10, 2019 Published: January 22, 2020

#### **ACS Infectious Diseases**

pubs.acs.org/journal/aidcbc

targets during the development process can be extremely powerful. Toxic liabilities associated with the target can be assessed, and in cases where the target is structure-enabled, more selective and potent versions of compound series can be evolved. A more complete understanding of the compound mechanism of action (MoA) can also prevent enrichment of drug candidates against the same molecular target or the development of inhibitors with an unattractive or invalidated target, such as sterol 14 $\alpha$ -demethylase (CYP51) in *T. cruzi*.<sup>11,12</sup> Furthermore, this knowledge can inform future drug combination strategies, and may lead to the identification of novel drug targets that can be exploited by *de novo* target-based drug discovery.

Here, we describe our comprehensive genetic and biochemical studies to determine the MoA of 3 unrelated compounds that demonstrated promising *in vitro* activity against *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi*. Despite the structural diversity of these compounds, identified as a result of independent high-throughput screening initiatives, all 3 specifically target the  $Q_i$  active site of cytochrome *b*, part of the cytochrome *bc1* complex of the electron transport chain (ETC). Our studies identify the  $Q_i$  site of cytochrome *b* as a promiscuous drug target in *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi*. Strategies to rapidly identify compounds acting via this MoA to prevent drug discovery portfolios from becoming overwhelmed with cytochrome *b* inhibitors are discussed.

# RESULTS

Pyrazolopyrimidinone Compound Demonstrating Promising Activity against L. donovani and T. cruzi. High-throughput screening of GSK's 1.8 M diverse compound library against L. donovani, T. cruzi, and Trypanosoma brucei resulted in the identification of a significant number of compounds active against these parasites.<sup>13</sup> Among these hits, TCMDC-143087 was moderately active against T. cruzi intracellular amastigotes with an EC50 value of 250 nM. Using TCMDC-143087 as a start point, a hit-to-lead drug discovery program was initiated and resulted in the development of a compound series exemplified by DDD01542111 (compound 1, Figure 1). Compound 1 demonstrated promising potency against both the mammalian (intracellular amastigote) and insect (promastigote) stages of L. donovani with  $EC_{50}$  values of 1500 ± 400 and 19 ± 1 nM, respectively (Table 1). In addition, compound 1 was active against both developmental stages of T. cruzi (EC<sub>50</sub> values of  $210 \pm 7$  and  $20 \pm 3$  nM for epimastigotes and intracellular amastigotes, respectively). For T. brucei, this pyrazolopyrimidinone compound was also extremely potent against the procyclic stage of the parasite (EC<sub>50</sub> = 97  $\pm$  3 nM); however, there was a pronounced drop in activity of more than 3 orders of magnitude against the bloodstream form (EC<sub>50</sub> = 6600  $\pm$ 470 nM) (Table 1).

**Resistance Generation and Whole Genome Sequencing.** To investigate the MoA of compound 1, populations of *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* parasites resistant to this pyrazolopyrimidinone were selected. Clonal lines of drug-susceptible *L. donovani* promastigotes and *T. cruzi* epimastigotes were cultured *in vitro* in the continuous presence of compound 1 until significant levels of drug resistance emerged. *L. donovani* promastigotes were exposed for a total of 140 days until they were capable of growing in 2  $\mu$ M compound 1 (equivalent to 100× the established EC<sub>50</sub> value, Table 1). Resistance emerged more quickly in our *T. cruzi* cultures with epimastigotes



Figure 1. Chemical structures.

exposed to drug for just 70 days capable of growing in 10  $\mu$ M compound 1 (equivalent to >80× the established EC<sub>50</sub> value, Table 1). Following drug selection, resistant parasites were cloned by limiting dilution; the susceptibility of each cloned cell line to compound 1 was determined and compared to that of wild-type parasites (Figure 2). All cloned cell lines demonstrated considerable levels of resistance to compound 1 with *L. donovani* clones between 38- and 62-fold and *T. cruzi* between 12- and 32-fold less sensitive than wild-type parental cell lines (Figure 2). In each case the resistance demonstrated by these clones was stable over 20 passages in culture in the absence of drug.

Whole genome sequencing of all 6 L. donovani and T. cruzi clones resistant to compound 1 revealed mutations within the gene encoding Cytochrome b, part of the cytochrome bc1 complex (complex III) of the ETC (Figure 3A,B). All 3 T. cruzi clones analyzed shared the same L197F mutation in cytochrome b (Figure 3B). Interestingly, this specific mutation has previously been identified in T. cruzi epimastigotes that are resistant to GNF7686 (Figure 1), an established cytochrome binhibitor.<sup>14</sup> Compound 1-resistant L. donovani promastigotes maintained two separate mutations within cytochrome b, G37A in clones 1 and 2 and C222F in clone 3. Cytochrome b contains 2 discrete reaction sites involved in the Q cycle: a ubiquinone reduction center (Qi site) and a ubiquinol oxidation center (Q site) (Figure 3C). All of the mutations identified in our compound 1-resistant parasites map to the Qi center of cytochrome *b* (Figure 3C).

Our sequencing analysis, at a genome coverage of between 46- and 88-fold, indicates that in all cases these mutations are homozygous. It should be noted that no other consistent single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or copy number variations (CNVs) that could indicate an alternative mechanism of action and/or resistance were identified in these resistant clones (Tables S1 and S2 and Figure S2). In the kinetoplastids, *Cytochrome b* is encoded solely by kinetoplast DNA and specifically by maxi-circle DNA.<sup>15</sup> Mitochondrial networks can maintain up to 50 copies of maxi-circle DNA meaning that a single network encodes up to 50 copies of *Cytochrome b*. With this in mind, we hypothesize that these mutations are likely to

Article

Table 1. Compound Efficacy: Potencies of Compounds Were Determined against *L. donovani* Promastigotes (Pro), *L. donovani* Intramacrophage Amastigotes (Intra-MAC), *T. cruzi* Epimastigotes (Epi), *T. cruzi* Intra-Vero Cells (Intra-Vero), *T. brucei* Bloodstream Forms (BSF), *T. brucei* Procyclics (Pro), and HepG2 Cells<sup>a</sup>

	EC <sub>50</sub> values, nM						
	T. cruzi		L. donovani		T. brucei		
compound ID	Epi	Intra-Vero	Pro	Intra-MAC	BSF	Pro	HepG2
DDD01542111 (compound 1)	$210 \pm 7$	$20 \pm 3$	19 ± 1	$1500 \pm 400$	$6700 \pm 470$	97 ± 3	>50 000
DDD01716002 (compound 2)	$71 \pm 2$	$30 \pm 10$	$24 \pm 2$	$1100 \pm 600$	$8000 \pm 970$	$140~\pm~14$	>50 000
DDD00808408 (compound 3)	$320 \pm 12$	$150 \pm 46$	1640 ± 54	>50 000	$8700 \pm 470$	88 ± 2	$7300 \pm 60$
GNF7686	$710\pm17$	$150 \pm 30^{b}$	$570 \pm 51$	>50 000	>50 000	$520 \pm 13$	>50 000
antimycin A	$28 \pm 1$	ND <sup>c</sup>	$2 \pm 0.1$	ND	>50 000	18 ± 0.5	<1000

"All data are the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of at least two biological replicates ( $n \ge 2$ ) with each biological replicate composed of three technical replicates. In all cases, Hill slope values ranged between 1.0 and 6. "Reported previously." "ND: not determined."



Figure 2. Compound 1 resistance in vitro. Schematic representation of the generation of compound 1-resistant cell lines in Leishmania donovani (A) and Trypanosoma cruzi (B). Each passage of cells in culture (circles) is indicated with clones I, II, and III indicated in black, white, and gray, respectively. (C, D) EC<sub>50</sub> values for compound 1 were determined for WT (closed circles) and RES I, II, and IIIresistant cell lines (black, white, and gray squares, respectively). The curves are the nonlinear fits of data using a two-parameter EC<sub>50</sub> equation provided by GraFit. EC\_{50} values of 21.5  $\pm$  0.5 and 120  $\pm$  6 nM were determined for compound 1 against WT L. donovani and T. cruzi, respectively. EC<sub>50</sub> values for L. donovani RES I, II, and III were  $1300 \pm 14$ ,  $1300 \pm 96$ , and  $790 \pm 27$  nM. For *T. cruzi*, RES I, II, and III returned values of 1400  $\pm$  78, 2500  $\pm$  62, and 3800  $\pm$  160 nM, respectively.  $EC_{50}$  values are the weighted mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of at least two biological replicates  $(n \ge 2)$  with each biological replicate composed of three technical replicates.

have arisen from a point mutation on a single copy of maxicircle DNA before spreading to all copies during the process of compound selection. Collectively, these data identify cytochrome b as the putative target of compound 1 in both L. *donovani* and T. *cruzi*.

**Compound 1 Inhibits Complex III Activity and Respiration.** Located in the mitochondrial inner membrane, complex III of the ETC is composed of cytochrome *b* associated with a Rieske iron–sulfur protein and cytochrome *c*. Complex III accepts ubiquinol from complex II of the ETC; the  $Q_o$  and  $Q_i$  sites of cytochrome *b* then act in tandem to reduce cytochrome *c* by quinone-based electron bifurcation, sequentially oxidizing two ubiquinol molecules to ubiquinone, and then reducing one ubiquinone to ubiquinol.<sup>16</sup> Since *Cytochrome b* is encoded by kinetoplast DNA there is currently no technical way of editing these genes (~50 copies) to validate the role of resistance-associated mutations, either by CRISPR-cas9 or by other more traditional methods of gene editing. In addition, episomal expression of Cytochrome b would be unlikely to confer resistance as the overexpressed protein would be localized to the cytoplasm rather than in the mitochondrion where it is functionally required. Thus, to establish if compound 1 specifically inhibits complex III activity in L. donovani and T. cruzi, clarified cell lysates of both parasites that were enriched for mitochondria were prepared. Using decylubiquinol as a pseudosubstrate, the activity of complex III in the presence and absence of test compounds was determined by monitoring the reduction of cytochrome *c* at 550 nm. In the first instance, the assay was validated using established inhibitors of the Q<sub>i</sub> site of cytochrome b, antimycin A<sup>17</sup> and GNF7686<sup>14</sup> (Figure 1). As expected, both compounds were potent inhibitors of complex III activity in lysates of L. donovani and T. cruzi (Table 2, Figure S3). Antimycin A demonstrated relatively equal potency against complex III from both parasites with IC<sub>50</sub> values of  $25 \pm 2$  and  $14 \pm 3$  nM in *L*. donovani and T. cruzi lysates, respectively. In keeping with its development as a small molecule inhibitor of T. cruzi, GNF7686 was a more potent inhibitor of complex III derived from T. cruzi epimastigotes than L. donovani promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> values of 550  $\pm$  97 nM versus 1610  $\pm$  300 nM, respectively). Compound 1 also proved to be a potent inhibitor of complex III activity in both parasite lysates, returning IC<sub>50</sub> values of 44  $\pm$  7 and 72  $\pm$  16 nM for L. donovani and T. cruzi, respectively. Importantly, these IC<sub>50</sub> values correlate well with the established EC50 values for compound 1 against promastigote and epimastigote growth in vitro (Table 1) supporting our initial hypothesis that cytochrome b is the principal target of this pyrazolopyrimidinone compound. In further support of this hypothesis, complex III activity derived from a compound 1-resistant L. donovani clone demonstrated marked resistance to inhibition by compound 1 compared to the equivalent activity in lysates of WT parasites (Table S3).

During aerobic metabolism, the ETC mediates the transport of electrons, from electron donors such as NADH or FADH<sub>2</sub>, to the terminal electron acceptor, molecular oxygen. Thus, inhibition of cytochrome *b* should result in a measurable decrease in the consumption of  $O_2$  by parasites. To further confirm a role in inhibition of ETC function, the rate of  $O_2$ consumption of *T. cruzi* epimastigotes was determined using

# **ACS Infectious Diseases**

#### pubs.acs.org/journal/aidcbc

А

Compound	Clone	Cytochrome b mutation ( <i>L.donovani</i> )						
		G31A	G37A	S207P	C222F	F227I		
DDD01542111 (compound <b>1</b> )	RES1		х					
	RES2		х					
	RES3				Х			
DDD01716002 (compound <b>2</b> )	RES1			х				
	RES2	х						
	RES3					X		

В

Compound	Clone	Cytochrome b mutation ( <i>T. cruzi</i> )						
		G23D	G36C	L197 <mark>F</mark>	L197	F222L	F226l	
DDD01542111 (compound <b>1</b> )	RES1			Х				
	RES2			х				
	RES3			х				
DDD01716002 (compound <b>2</b> )	RES1					х	х	
	RES2	х				х		
DDD00808408 (compound <b>3</b> )	RES1		х		х			
	RES2		Х		Х			
	RES3		Х	Х				
GNF7686*	RES1			х				
	RES2			х				
	RES3			х				



**Figure 3.** Mutations in cytochrome *b* confer resistance to compounds 1-3. The tables illustrate the collated mutations within *L. donovani* (A) and *T. cruzi* (B) cytochrome *b* identified by WGS of clones resistant to compounds 1-3. (C) Secondary structure model of the *L. donovani* cytochrome *b* based on the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* enzyme.<sup>60</sup> Amino acids in cytochrome *b* that were mutated in cell lines resistant to compounds 1-3 are indicated by light blue circles (*L. donovani* only), dark blue circles (*T. cruzi* only), and light blue/dark blue circles (found in both parasites). Please note that the numbering of amino acids in this model is representative of *L. donovani* cytochrome *b*. Please note that the numbering of amino acids in *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* is equivalent to *L. donovani* residues -1.

\_\_\_\_

Table 2. Assessment of Compounds in Assays MeasuringComplex III Activity and Respiration $^{a}$ 

	complex III, IC <sub>50</sub>	values, nM	O <sub>2</sub> consumption, IC <sub>50</sub> values, nM		
compound ID	L. donovani	T. cruzi	T. cruzi		
DDD01542111 (compound 1)	44 ± 7	72 ± 16	$150 \pm 36$		
DDD01716002 (compound <b>2</b> )	99 ± 13	$100 \pm 24$	510 ± 119		
DDD00808408 (compound 3)	$13000 \pm 4800$	86 ± 39	190 ± 38		
GNF7686	$1600 \pm 300$	$550 \pm 97$	210 <sup>b</sup>		
antimycin A	$25 \pm 2$	$14 \pm 3$	$200 \pm 36$		

<sup>*a*</sup>Inhibition of complex III (cytochrome *c* reduction) activity was determined in lysates enriched with mitochondria isolated from *L. donovani* promastigotes and *T. cruzi* epimastigotes. For all complex III assays, Hill slope values ranged from 0.6 to 1.3 with the majority of values close to 1.0. Therefore, we do not believe that these Hill slope values are indicative of cooperativity. The respiration of *T. cruzi* epimastigotes ( $3 \times 10^6$ ) at a range of compound concentrations was determined using MitoXpress-Xtra probe (see Materials and Methods for details). All complex III assay data are the weighted mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of at least two biological replicates ( $n \ge 2$ ) with each biological replicate composed of three technical replicates. O<sub>2</sub> consumption data are the weighted mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of two biological replicates (n = 2). Representative data from both assays are shown in Figure S3. <sup>b</sup>Reported previously.<sup>14</sup>

the MitoXpress Xtra reagent in the presence of varying concentrations of compound 1 (Table 2). Antimycin A was once again utilized as a positive control in these assays and returned an  $IC_{50}$  value of  $200 \pm 36$  nM. Compound 1 was a similarly potent inhibitor of *T. cruzi* respiration with an  $IC_{50}$  value of  $150 \pm 36$  nM.

Multiple Cytochrome b Inhibitors Identified through High-Throughput Screening. At the same time the MoA of compound 1 was established, two unrelated compounds demonstrating potent antikinetoplastid activity were identified as a result of various high-throughput screening initiatives. Compound 2 was developed from an original hit identified from screening of an in-house 15 659 compound diversity library against L. donovani (Figure 1). Following optimization, this thienopyridine compound maintained its activity against L. donovani and also proved to be a low nM inhibitor of T. cruzi (epimastigotes and intracellular amastigotes, Table 1). Compound 3 originated from a hit identified from screening of a Medivir library of compounds. An iterative process of optimization then led to the development of compound 3 (Figure 1). While compound 3 demonstrated promising activity against T. cruzi (EC<sub>50</sub> values of 320  $\pm$  12 and 150  $\pm$ 46 nM for epimastigotes and intracellular amastigotes, respectively), it was largely inactive against both developmental stages of L. donovani. Interestingly, both compounds demonstrated the same pronounced drop-off in activity against bloodstream form compared to procyclic T. brucei as seen with compound 1. This pattern of compound potency is particularly striking since procyclics are considered the more robust form of T. brucei and are generally more resilient to drug treatment. In the case of compound 1, this pattern of potency is entirely consistent with our hypothesis that compound 1 is a cytochrome b inhibitor. Procyclic parasites are dependent upon a classical ETC, including cytochrome b, for ATP production; while bloodstream forms rely almost entirely on glycolysis and do not express cytochrome  $\dot{b}$ .<sup>18</sup> Indeed, the same differential activity against procyclic and bloodstream T. brucei was observed with the established cytochrome b inhibitors GNF7686 (EC<sub>50</sub> values of 521 versus >50 000 nM) and



Figure 4. Cross-resistance relationships. Resistant clones bearing specific cytochrome *b* mutations were assessed for their response to GNF7686 (light gray), compound 1 (white), compound 2 (dark gray), and compound 3 (black).  $EC_{50}$  values were determined and compared to the  $EC_{50}$  values established with these compounds against wild-type parasites. (A) Data generated with *L. donovani*-resistant promastigotes. (B) Data generated with *T. cruzi* epimastigotes. All data are the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of at least two biological replicates ( $n \ge 2$ ) with each biological replicate composed of three technical replicates. (C, D) Data from a single biological replicate.



**Figure 5.** Docking and druggability of the  $Q_i$  active sites of *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b*. (A) Sequence alignments of the  $Q_i$  site of cytochrome *b* from *L. donovani*, *T. cruzi*, human, and *Gallus gallus*, generated using Jalview version.<sup>61</sup> (B) Binding mode of antimycin A (green), compound 1 (pink), compound 2 (violet), and compound 3 (cyan) in the  $Q_i$  active site of cytochrome *b* (light-yellow cartoon). The structures shown correspond to the *L. donovani* cytochrome *b* homology model except for the compound 3 complex where the *T. cruzi* model is displayed. Residues establishing the more relevant interaction with the ligands are displayed as sticks. Haem (b<sub>H</sub>) is shown in red at the back of the cavity. Please note that the numbering of amino acids in *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* are equivalent to *L. donovani* residues -1. (C) Physicochemical properties of the cytochrome *b*  $Q_i$  active site. Hydrophobic (yellow), hydrogen-bond donor (blue), and hydrogen-bond acceptor (red) maps of the  $Q_i$  active site are annotated. Antimycin A (green sticks) is docked into the  $Q_i$  site of cytochrome *b*.

antimycin A (EC<sub>50</sub> values of 18 versus >50 000 nM) (Table 1). Collectively, these observations reinforce cytochrome *b* as the likely molecular target of compound 1 and raise the possibility that compounds 2 and 3 may also specifically target this key enzyme of the ETC.

L. donovani and/or T. cruzi cell lines resistant to compounds 2 and 3 were generated in vitro, as described above (Figure S1). Whole genome and in-house Sanger sequencing revealed several homozygous point mutations within the Cytochrome b genes of these resistant clones (summarized in Figure 3A-C; see also Figure S2 and Table S4). Both compounds were confirmed as potent inhibitors of complex III activity in parasite cell lysates (Table 2 and Figure S3). Compound 2 inhibited complex III isolated from L. donovani and T. cruzi parasites (IC<sub>50</sub> values of 99  $\pm$  13 and 100  $\pm$  24 nM, respectively), while compound 3 inhibited complex III from T. cruzi epimastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> value of 86  $\pm$  39 nM) but showed little significant inhibition of complex III from L. donovani promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> > 13000 nM). As expected, both compounds also inhibited the respiration  $(O_2 \text{ consumption})$ of wild-type T. cruzi epimastigotes with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 510  $\pm$ 120 and 190  $\pm$  38 nM for compounds 2 and 3, respectively (Table 2). This confirms that these apparently structurally unrelated compounds (2 and 3), derived from independent high-throughput screens, target the same molecular target as compound 1.

**Complex Cross-Resistance Relationships.** We next determined the relative sensitivity of each resistant line to compounds 1-3 and GNF7686 (Figure 4). This analysis revealed a complex pattern of susceptibility that appears to be entirely driven by the specific cytochrome b mutations represented in the respective clones. In L. donovani (Figure 4A), our resistant clones generated through selection with compound 1 maintained either a G37A or C222F mutation. Promastigotes bearing the G37A mutation demonstrated hypersensitivity to compound 2, relative to wild-type parasites, while remaining sensitive to GNF7686. In contrast, parasites with the C222F mutation were markedly cross-resistant to GNF7686 and hypersensitive to compound 2. Three separate point mutations (G31A, C207P, and F227I) were identified in the three L. donovani-resistant clones generated with compound 2. These individual cell lines responded very differently to treatment with compound 1 and GNF7686, ranging from hypersensitivity to decreased susceptibility depending on the mutations encoded in cytochrome b. Importantly, these observations were replicated when the L. donovani-resistant lines were assessed against compounds 1 and 2 as intracellular amastigotes within macrophages (Table S5). Our T. cruzi-resistant clones also exhibited stark, mutation-driven variability in their response to these various cytochrome *b* inhibitors (Figure 4B).

**Molecular Modeling.** In order to rationalize the role of specific mutations in decreased susceptibility and/or hypersensitivity to compounds 1–3, homology models of the *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* were generated using the crystallographic structure of the avian (*Gallus gallus*) homologue as a template.<sup>19</sup> The Q<sub>i</sub> active sites of *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome exhibit 38% and 45% sequence identity compared to the avian sequence, respectively (Figure 5A). Each model was built with ubiquinone bound in the active site. Ramachandran plots indicated the robustness of the *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* models with 89% and 87% of the residues in the most favored orientations, respectively.

Modeling results obtained from docking calculations and refined using molecular dynamic (MD) simulations predict that compounds 1-3 share a similar mode of binding in the Q<sub>i</sub> active site (Figure 5B). Indeed, all 3 ligands exploit the same hydrophobic pocket as the well-established Q<sub>i</sub> site inhibitor antimycin A (Figure 5B,C, Figures S4 and S5). With regard to our model with compound 1, the planar pyrazolo-pyrimidinone moiety binds between haem  $(b_H)$  and Phe223. The carbonyl group and the pyrazole N form two water-bridged hydrogen bonds with the conserved D231 while hydrophobic interactions are established between the bicycle ring and residues L20, L201, and F223. In addition, the chloro-phenyl ring extends toward G37, establishing hydrophobic contacts with L198 and a stacking interaction with F34. This predicted mode of binding goes some way to explaining the molecular role of the G37A mutation identified in L. donovani clones resistant to compound 1. The methyl group of the alanine sidechain could cause a steric clash with the chloro-phenyl moiety resulting in a drop in potency. Similarly, the L197F mutation identified in compound 1-resistant T. cruzi would likely hinder the binding of the ligand due to a possible steric clash with the phenyl ring.

In our model of compound 2 (Figure 1), the planar thienopyridine bicycle also binds close to the haem  $(b_{\rm H})$ , with the tetrahydroquinoline moiety extending out of the binding pocket toward the interface of transmembrane helices TM-A and TM-D (Figure 5B). A water-mediated hydrogen bond is established between D231 and the thienopyridine acceptor N, and a stacking interaction is established with F223. In addition, the dimethyl group fits neatly into a hydrophobic pocket formed by residues 1194, F195, and L198. Interestingly, and in direct contrast to compound 1, a G37A mutation in the L. donovani enzyme (equivalent to G36C in T. cruzi) results in hypersensitivity to compound 2. Based on our current model, this can be rationalized by the mutated alanine causing a general increase in the overall hydrophobicity of the binding pocket that accommodates the dimethyl group of compound 2. Mutations in L. donovani cytochrome b directly associated with resistance to compound 2 include G31A, S207P, and F227I. G31 is in close proximity to the conserved water molecule that bridges D231 with the ligand. Bulkier residues in this position would disrupt this interaction notably affecting the binding of the compounds. S207 is located within loop 2 at the back of the hydrophobic pocket where the planar moiety of compound 2 binds. Mutation of S207 to proline is likely to impact the loop conformation and the shape of the binding pocket. F227 within the hydrophobic pocket defines the conformation of F223 responsible for forming a stacking interaction with the ligand. A F227I mutation in L. donovani cytochrome b alters the conformation of the F223 thus limiting the binding of the ligand.

In MD simulations, the binding of compounds 1 and 2 to the  $Q_i$  site of both *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* demonstrates a high degree of stability (Figures S6 and S7). However, simulations with compound 3 illustrate a significant divergence in behavior between the two parasite enzymes that may explain the comparatively poor antileishmanial activity of this compound. In complex with the *T. cruzi* enzyme, compound 3 demonstrates notable stability forming numerous ligand-protein interactions (Figure 5B). In contrast, the binding of compound 3 to *L. donovani* cytochrome *b* is considerably less stable due to the absence of key interactions (Figure S8). Of particular note, in the *T. cruzi* complex, amino

520

### **ACS Infectious Diseases**

acid M21 stabilizes the acetanilide moiety of compound **3** through van der Waals interactions. These contacts are not maintained with the *L. donovani* enzyme where M21 corresponds to T22. In binding to *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b*, the substituted cyclohexyl ring of compound **3** extends out of the binding cavity in close proximity to residue G36, establishing further hydrophobic contacts with residues F33, L37, and L197. These interactions play a key role in resistance to compound **3** in *T. cruzi* with mutations G36C, L197I, and L197F identified in resistant clones. Mutation of G36 or L197 to bulky residues, such as C or I, will induce a steric clash with the isopropyl group of compound **3** that accounts for the reduced potency observed against clonal lines bearing these mutations.

Assessing the Druggability of the L. donovani and T. cruzi Q<sub>i</sub> Site. In an attempt to explain the apparent promiscuity of the Q<sub>i</sub> site as a drug target in L. donovani and T. cruzi, the binding site recognition software SiteMap was used to assess "druggability" of the pocket.<sup>20</sup> In both parasites, the Q<sub>i</sub> site is predominantly hydrophobic in nature and is of considerable size (volume =  $394 \text{ Å}^3$ ) (Figure 5C). The SiteMap analysis characterizes the Q<sub>i</sub> site as highly druggable. The computed value of the SiteScore and Druggability Score for the  $Q_i$  site was 1.1, well above the cutoff value of 0.8 that is used to discriminate between drug-binding and non-drugbinding cavities. Calculation of the exposure and enclosure of putative binding sites can provide an estimate of how open any given pocket is to solvent. Highly druggable sites tend to display low values of exposure (<0.49) and high values of enclosure (>0.78). The calculated exposure and enclosure scores for the Q<sub>i</sub> site of L. donovani and T. cruzi were 0.35 and 0.87, respectively, and confirm the highly druggable properties of the pocket. In conclusion, the SiteMap calculations showed that the Q<sub>i</sub> site of cytochrome b from T. cruzi and L. donovani displays a combination of features that are optimal for ligand binding: a large pocket volume, an extended hydrophobic pocket, high druggability scores, a low cavity exposure, and a high cavity enclosure. This combination of physicochemical features confirms the highly druggable nature of this pocket and may go some way to explaining the significant numbers of Q<sub>i</sub> inhibitors identified in our phenotypic screens.

Strategy to Identify Cytochrome b Inhibitors at an Early Stage of the Drug Discovery Pipeline. Our studies indicate that a diverse range of chemotypes are capable of targeting the Q<sub>i</sub> site of cytochrome b in both L. donovani and T. cruzi. This structural diversity effectively precludes the use of structure-based methods to predict cytochrome b inhibitors at present. In order to prevent our drug discovery portfolios from becoming enriched with compounds that inhibit this single molecular target, strategies to rapidly and efficiently identify cytochrome b inhibitors at an early stage of development are required. With this mind, resistant clones bearing a range of representative cytochrome b mutations were selected to form a cytochrome *b*-resistant cell line panel. Hits identified in high-throughput screens (single-point and cidal potency assays) against T. cruzi intracellular amastigotes were then screened against our panel of resistant parasites. Inhibitors specifically targeting the Q<sub>i</sub> site of cytochrome b were identified by marked shifts in their potency against panel cell lines compared to wild-type parasites. To date, 54 primary screening hits have been assessed in this assay with 11 cytochrome *b* inhibitors positively identified representing a remarkable hit rate of almost 20%.

Three representative cytochrome b inhibitors identified via this screen are shown in Figure 6A and once again illustrate the



**Figure 6.** Cytochrome *b*-resistant cell line panel. (A) Three representative compounds identified as inhibitors of *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* as a result of screening against our resistant cell line panel (also see Figures S3 and S4). (B) Early stages of our adapted *in vitro* screening cascade for *T. cruzi* with addition of the cytochrome *b*-resistant cell line panel.

structural diversity of compounds capable of targeting the Q<sub>i</sub> active site of this enzyme. Indeed, all 11 compounds identified by this secondary screen are chemically diverse heterocycles that do not share an obvious pharmacophore and are also structurally distinct from compounds 1-3. The three representative compounds chosen for further study elicited a range of responses from the 5 representative resistant cell lines in the panel, from hypo- to hypersensitivity (Figure 6A, Figure S9). All 3 compounds were subsequently confirmed as inhibitors of complex III activity in T. cruzi epimastigote lysates (Figure S10). The cytochrome b-resistant panel now forms a fundamental part of our screening cascade for T. cruzi<sup>21</sup> (Figure 6B) and sits alongside a high-throughput biochemical assay designed to identify specific inhibitors of CYP51,<sup>22</sup> another promiscuous drug target in *T. cruzi*. We also intend to introduce an equivalent cytochrome b resistance panel into our L. donovani screening cascade.

#### DISCUSSION

The past decade has seen a renewed focus on the discovery of novel therapeutics for the treatment of kinetoplastid diseases. In the absence of robustly validated molecular targets to support target-based studies, large-scale phenotypic screens have been used to identify start points for drug discovery. This approach not only allows the efficient identification of parasite growth inhibitors but also has the potential to identify new and exploitable molecular targets when coupled to drug target deconvolution studies. Regrettably, the actual number of new molecular targets identified via this approach for the kinetoplastids has been somewhat limited to date.<sup>14,23-26</sup> There are likely to be several reasons why primary hits from phenotypic screens are failing to interact with a diverse range of molecular targets. However, our current study suggests that the presence of promiscuous and readily druggable targets within these parasites may well be masking the identification of novel targets.

The  $Q_i$  site of cytochrome *b* is certainly not the only highly druggable target to be identified as a result of the growing number of high-throughput screens now being carried out against kinetoplastids. Recent screening initiatives against T. cruzi have identified a preponderance of sterol  $14\alpha$ demethylase (CYP51) inhibitors, thus earmarking this enzyme as another promiscuous target in T. cruzi.<sup>13,27</sup> Indeed, the proportion of "hits" from our in-house primary phenotypic screens demonstrating some level of CYP51 inhibition has been placed as high as 80% (Dr. Manu De Rycker, personal communication). These findings required steps to be taken to prevent an enrichment of candidates against this single molecular target, particularly in light of the recent failure of posaconazole, an established inhibitor of CYP51, in phase II clinical trials for CD.<sup>11,12</sup> Rapid identification of CYP51 inhibitors is now achieved by triaging primary screening hits in a high-throughput fluorescence-based CYP51 inhibition assay (Figure 6B). Our studies indicate that similar action is now required to prevent the overenrichment of VL and CD drug development pipelines with compounds targeting the Q<sub>i</sub> site of cytochrome b. The diversity of chemotypes found to inhibit the  $Q_i$  site of cytochrome b has so far prevented the development of computational solutions to identify the overrepresentation of such compounds in our screening libraries. It is hoped that the cumulative data sets generated by the triaging assays now in place for both promiscuous targets can be used to develop predictive algorithms. Undoubtedly, such computational tools would assist in the design of more diverse compound libraries.

Micro-organisms are often heavily dependent upon oxidative phosphorylation for cell maintenance and replication, such that inhibition of respiratory enzymes, including cytochrome b, can have dire consequences. Drugs specifically targeting these enzymes are seen as having significant potential for pathogen control. With this in mind, the past few years have seen the development of numerous cytochrome b inhibitors as antimicrobials.<sup>28</sup> Overwhelmingly, these compounds target the  $Q_{o}$  active site of cytochrome b, with the antimalarial atovaquone perhaps the best known example. Indeed, the Qo active site of cytochrome b could rightly be considered as something of a promiscuous drug target in Plasmodium spp and Mycobacterium tuberculosis.<sup>29-32</sup> To our knowledge, there is currently only one Qi-targeting drug on the market: cyazofamid is an antifungal agent that is used to treat potato blight (Phytophthora infestans).<sup>33</sup> Thus, it is highly unusual for the Q<sub>i</sub> active site of the kinetoplastids to be targeted in this way.

The range of cytochrome *b* mutations identified in the course of this study is noteworthy. As previously discussed, in kinetoplastids the Cytochrome b gene is encoded by the maxicircle DNA of the kinetoplast, equivalent to the mitochondrial DNA of other eukaryotes. In vertebrates, mutation rates for mitochondrial-encoded genes have been reported as between 5 and 50 times higher than for genes encoded by nuclear DNA.<sup>34</sup> This higher rate has been attributed to DNA damage resulting from exposure to reactive oxygen species released as byproducts of oxidative phosphorylation as well as the low efficiency of DNA repair pathways. The mutation rates for kinetoplastid-encoded genes have yet to be established but could be similarly high. Developing inhibitors against a molecular target with an intrinsically high rate of mutation clearly has the potential to deliver drugs with a particularly high resistance potential. However, it should be noted that

malaria parasites bearing mutations in cytochrome b and resistant to atovaquone are not transmissible by mosquitoes.<sup>35</sup> The apparent loss of fitness of atovaquone-resistant parasites in the mosquito has been associated with the higher respiratory rate required at this stage of the lifecycle. The failure of these mutated parasites to be transmitted effectively limits the spread of atovaquone resistance in the field. It remains to be seen if a similar fitness cost will be associated with *T. cruzi* and *L. donovani* parasites bearing Q<sub>i</sub> site mutations. Regardless, future treatment strategies for VL and CD are likely to focus on the development of combination therapies that should limit the propensity for resistance to emerge.

Our current study once again illustrates the vital importance of MoA studies at an early stage of the drug discovery process. Such studies have the potential to positively impact drug discovery in numerous ways. Here, the identification of multiple compounds targeting cytochrome b enabled the most promising of these series to be prioritized, while the development of others was efficiently terminated. Target identification allowed these series to be ranked primarily on the basis of selective toxicity versus human cytochrome b. In conclusion, MoA studies have the potential to guide key aspects of drug discovery and ultimately play an important role in the delivery of more effective drugs for NTDs to the clinic.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Test Compounds.** Test compounds 1 and 2 were synthesized by medicinal chemists within the GlaxoSmithKline and the University of Dundee collaboration funded by the Wellcome Trust. Compound 3 was developed as part of the Trypobase Consortium funded under the European Union's seventh Framework Programme.<sup>36</sup> The details of the chemical syntheses of all 3 compounds will be provided in subsequent publications.

**Purchased Compounds.** Antimycin A was purchased from Sigma. GNF7686 was purchased from Vitas. On receipt, the purity of each purchased compound was verified by LCMS and NMR and confirmed to be >85%.

Cell Lines and Culture Conditions. The clonal Leishmania donovani cell line LdBOB (derived from MHOM/SD/62/1S-CL2D) was grown as promastigotes at 28 °C in modified M199 media.<sup>37</sup> *T. cruzi* epimastigotes from the Silvio strain (MHOM/BR/78/Silvio; clone X10/7A<sup>38</sup>) were grown at 28 °C in RTH/FBS [RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with trypticase (0.4%), 25  $\mu$ M hemin, 17 mM Hepes (pH7.4), and 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, PAA Laboratories; now GE Healthcare)].<sup>39</sup> Bloodstream T. brucei bloodstream form "single marker" S427 (T7RPOL TETR NEO) were cultured in the presence of G418 (15  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) at 37 °C in HMI9-T media in the presence of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>40</sup> Procyclic form *T. brucei* 427 strain containing T7 RNA polymerase and Tet repressor protein, under control of G418 (15  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and hygromycin (50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, were grown at 28 °C without  $CO_2$  in SDM-79 medium<sup>41</sup> containing 15% (v/v) heat-inactivated FBS, 2 g L<sup>-1</sup> sodium bicarbonate, 2 mM glutamax (Invitrogen), and 22.5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> hemin.

**Drug Sensitivity Assays (Extracellular Parasites).** *T. cruzi Epimastigotes.* To examine the effects of test compounds on growth, mid log epimastigotes were seeded into 96-well plates at a cell density of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. Cells were exposed to test compounds over a range of concentrations (2-fold serial dilutions). Cells were incubated for 4

days, after which 5 mM resazurin was added to each well, before measuring fluorescence (excitation of 528 nm and emission of 590 nm), after a further 24 h incubation. Data were processed using GRAFIT (Erithacus software) and fitted to a 2-parameter equation, where the data are corrected for background fluorescence, to obtain the effective concentration inhibiting growth by 50% (EC<sub>50</sub>):

$$y = \frac{100}{1 + \left(\frac{[I]}{EC_{50}}\right)^m}$$

In this equation, [I] represents inhibitor concentration, and m is the slope factor. Experiments were repeated at least two times, and the data are presented as the mean plus standard deviation.

*L. donovani Promastigotes.* To examine the effects of test compounds on growth, triplicate promastigote cultures were seeded with  $5 \times 10^4$  parasites mL<sup>-1</sup>. Parasites were grown in 10 mL cultures in the presence of drug for 72 h, after which 200  $\mu$ L aliquots of each culture were added to 96-well plates; 500  $\mu$ M resazurin was added to each well and fluorescence (excitation of 528 nm and emission of 590 nm) measured after a further 3 h incubation. Data were processed using GRAFIT as described above.

*T. brucei Bloodstream Form.*  $EC_{50}$  values for test compounds were determined as previously described.<sup>40</sup>

*T.* brucei Procyclics. To examine the effects of test compounds on growth, mid log procyclics were seeded into 96-well plates at a cell density of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. Cells were exposed to test compounds over a range of concentrations (2-fold serial dilutions). Following 72 h of incubation in the presence of drug, 500  $\mu$ M resazurin was added to each well and fluorescence measured after a further 2 h incubation.

**Drug Sensitivity Assays (Intracellular Parasites).** *T. cruzi* and *L. donovani* intracellular amastigote drug sensitivity assays were conducted as previously described.<sup>42,43</sup>

**Generation of Drug-Resistant Parasites.** Compoundresistant cell lines were generated by subculturing clones of wild-type *L. donovani* or *T. cruzi* in the continuous presence of test compounds. Starting at sublethal concentrations, drug concentrations in 3 independent cultures were increased in a stepwise manner, usually by 2-fold. When parasites were able to survive and grow in concentrations of drug equivalent to 20 times the established  $EC_{50}$  value, the resulting cell lines were cloned by limiting dilution in the presence of compound. Three clones (RES I–III) were selected for further biological study.

Sequencing. Genomic DNA was isolated from resistant parasites ( $\sim 5 \times 10^8$ ) using a standard alkaline lysis protocol. Whole genome sequencing was performed using a HiSeq4000 next-generation sequencing platform (Beijing Genomics Institute, Hong Kong). Sequencing reads were aligned to the Leishmania donovani BPK282A1 genome (v39, tritrypDB) with maxi-circle (CP022652.1, NCBI) or Trypanosoma cruzi Silvio X10-1 genome (v39, tritrypDB) with maxi-circle (FJ203996.1, NCBI) using Bowtie2<sup>44</sup> and Samtools<sup>45</sup> software. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and indels were called using Samtools and Bcftools<sup>46</sup> where overall quality score (QUAL) was >100 when compared with the wild-type starter clone. Chromosome and gene copy number variation (CNV) analysis, as well as manual confirmation of putative SNPs, was performed using Artemis.<sup>47</sup> All associated data sets have been deposited with the European Nucleotide Archive under the

following accession numbers: *L. donovani* compound 1resistant clones (PRJEB32040), *T. cruzi* compound 1-resistant clones (PRJEB32041), and *L. donovani* compound 2-resistant clones (PRJEB32039). The *Cytochrome b* genes from *T. cruzi*resistant clones generated with compounds 2 and 3 were sequenced directly in-house using the following primers: 5'-GAGAGAGAGTTTCGAGAGGGA- 3' (forward) and 5'-TCTAAATTCGCCCAAATTCCTCTTA-3' (reverse). *Cytochrome b* sequences required RNA editing within the coding region prior to translation, as previously described.<sup>48</sup>

Intramacrophage Drug Sensitivity Assays (Resistant Cell Lines). Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from buffy coat supplied by the Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service (SNBTS) from anonymized donors under agreement with the SNBTS Committee for Governance of Blood and Tissue Samples for Nontherapeutic Use. Human PBMCs were isolated from 2 donors/assay using Leucosep tubes filled with Ficoll-Paque plus, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Greiner Bio-One). CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes were subsequently purified using CD14 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and differentiated for 7 days using human M-CSF (Bio-Techne), as previously described.<sup>23</sup> Macrophages were then incubated with metacyclic promastigotes (either wild-type or resistant cell lines) at a multiplicity of infection of 5 for 16-24 h at 37 °C 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Extracellular parasites were removed by washing three times with fresh culture media. Adherent PBMCs were detached from Petri dishes using a cell scraper following incubation with Versene, plated at  $5 \times 10^4$  cells per well into 96-well plates prestamped with 500 nL test compounds, and incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 96 h. Plates were processed as previously described.<sup>23</sup> Images were analyzed using Columbus image analysis software (PerkinElmer) and compound potencies calculated in XLFit. The data were fitted to the following sigmoidal model:

$$y = D + \frac{Rx^n}{x^n + E^n}$$

Here, y = total number of amastigotes per well, D = minimumvalue of y, R = range of y, x = drug concentration,  $E = \text{EC}_{50}$ , and n = slope factor.

Complex III Assay. Measurement of complex III activity was performed as described in Khare et al., 2015,<sup>14</sup> with minor changes. Briefly, L. donovani promastigotes and T. cruzi epimastigotes were harvested by centrifugation (1600g, 10 min, RT) and washed in Buffer A [(10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.23 M mannitol, 0.07 M sucrose, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.2% BSA, 0.5 nM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. The pellet was resuspended at 10 mg protein mL<sup>-1</sup> (equivalent to  $\sim 1 \times$  $10^9$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) in Buffer A containing 0.1 mg digitonin mg<sup>-1</sup> protein and incubated at 26 °C, for 10 min. Lysates were centrifuged (13 000g, 5 min, RT); the resulting pellets were washed and finally resuspended in Reaction Buffer (25 mM potassium phosphate, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> BSA, pH 7.2). Pellet suspensions (3.6  $\mu$ L, equivalent to 36  $\mu$ g) were added to 491.4 µL of Reaction Buffer plus 1 mM KCN, 0.6 mM maltoside, and 0.1 mM yeast cytochrome c and incubated with a specific concentration of test compound for 8 min. Following incubation, absorbance at 550 nm was monitored for a further 2 min (UV-2401 PC, Shimadzu). Reactions were initiated by the addition of decylubiquinol (80  $\mu$ M final concentration), and the change in absorbance at 550 nM was monitored for 2 min. For  $IC_{50}$  determinations, the decylubiquinol-dependent rate of cytochrome *c* reduction was calculated by subtracting the rate achieved prior to decylubiquinol addition. DMSO (0.2%) was used as a negative control.  $IC_{50}$  values were obtained using the 2-parameter fit (GraFit 7, Erithacus software).

**Measurement of** *T. cruzi* Epimastigote Respiration. Compounds were predispensed (150 nL well<sup>-1</sup>) into 384-well assay plates using an Echo liquid handler (Labcyte Inc.). For potency determinations, 11-point dilution curves were generated with a top concentration of 25  $\mu$ M. The negative control for this assay was 0.2% DMSO, equivalent to the final concentration of solvent used in drug dilutions. The positive control in this assay was antimycin A at 25  $\mu$ M.

Our O<sub>2</sub> consumption assay was an adaptation of the assay described by Khare and colleagues.<sup>14</sup> Briefly, assay buffer (250 mM sucrose, 15 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EGTA, 30 mM  $K_2$ HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.4; 25  $\mu$ L) was added to 384-well assay plates and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. Mid log T. cruzi epimastigotes were harvested (1600g for 10 min at 4 °C), washed three times with buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 230 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.2% bovine serum albumin, 0.5 mM PMSF), and finally resuspended at  $1.5 \times 10^8$  epimastigotes mL<sup>-1</sup>. Following resuspension,  $3 \times 10^6$  epimastigotes (20 µL) were dispensed into each well followed by MitoXpress-Xtra probe (15  $\mu$ L, Agilent) resulting in a final assay volume of 60  $\mu$ L. Plates were gently shaken, and 30  $\mu$ L of HS mineral oil (Agilent) was then added to each well to prevent oxygen exchange between the assay buffer and air. Plates were incubated for 90 min at 37 °C, and fluorescence was then measured using an EnVision MultiMode plate reader (PerkinElmer) using excitation and emission wavelengths of 380 and 650 nm, respectively.

Data were normalized to percent of biological response by using positive (i.e., highest response achieved using a chemical tool compound,  $R_{actinomycinA}$ ) or negative (i.e., lowest response achieved in the absence of any testing compound,  $R_{DMSO}$ ) controls by using the following equation:

response (%) = 
$$\frac{|R_{\text{DMSO}} - R_x|}{|R_{\text{DMSO}} - R_{\text{actinomycinA}}|} \times 100$$

where  $R_x$  is the assay response measured in the presence of test compounds.

**Homology Modeling.** The homology models of *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* in complex with ubiquinone bound to the Q<sub>i</sub> site were built using Modeler (Version 9.20).<sup>49,50</sup> The X-ray structure of avian cytochrome *bc1* ubiquinone complex was used as a template for both models (PDB code 1BCC, chain C).<sup>19</sup> The Q<sub>i</sub> sites of *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome exhibit 38% and 45% sequence identity compared to the template sequence. The sequence alignments were performed using the T-Coffee algorithm<sup>51</sup> (see sequence alignments shown in Figure 5). The geometries of the homology models were verified using PROCHECK.<sup>52</sup> The resulting Ramachandran plots indicate a good model quality with 89% and 87% of the residues in the most favored regions.

In Silico Docking Studies. Molecular docking was performed using the homology models of cytochrome *b* from *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* built with ubiquinone bound in the  $Q_i$  site. Compounds 1–3 were docked into the  $Q_i$  site of both structures using GLIDE,<sup>53</sup> in the standard precision (SP) mode. The center of the grid was defined using ubiquinone in

the Q<sub>i</sub> site as a reference. Crystal structures of cytochrome *b* bound to ubiquinone or Q<sub>i</sub> site inhibitors revealed a conserved water molecule bridging the ligands with residues on transmembrane helices TM-A and TM-E (Figure S5). This water molecule was maintained in the docking calculations and binding mode studies performed in this work. This approach was validated by predicting the binding pose of antimycin A, a well-known inhibitor of cytochrome *b* binding to the Q<sub>i</sub> site: docking of antimycin A to *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* cytochrome *b* reproduced the binding poses observed in the crystal structures from different species (avian and bovine, PDB codes 3H1I and 1PPJ, respectively)<sup>19,54</sup> (RMSD = 1.6 and 1.5 Å) (Figure S6). The resulting complexes of cytochrome *b* bound to compounds 1–3 were further refined by means of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (see details below).

Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulations. The stability of each complex was examined by performing three independent 100 ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using Desmond.<sup>55</sup> Periodic boundary conditions were used. OPLS3e force field<sup>56</sup> was used for proteins and ligands, and the simple point charge (SPC) method water model was applied.<sup>57</sup> The systems were neutralized by adding the appropriate number of counterions. The cutoff distance for the nonbonded interactions was 9 Å. The SHAKE algorithm was applied to all bonds involving hydrogens, and an integration step of 2.0 fs was used throughout.  $^{58}$  The systems were simulated at constant temperature (300 K) and pressure (1 atm) for 100 ns. Cytochrome b is a component of the cytochrome *bc1* complex formed by multiple subunits embedded in the inner mitochondrial membrane. However, the simulated systems display a unique cytochrome b subunit. Hence, to prevent any major conformational changes within the cytochrome b structure as a result of the absence of the neighboring subunits, positional restraints (5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>2</sup>) were applied on the backbone atoms of cytochrome b during simulations.

**Q**<sub>i</sub> **Site Physicochemical Properties.** The site recognition software SiteMap was used to interrogate the Q<sub>i</sub> sites of cytochrome *b* from *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* in terms of physicochemical properties (hydrophobic/hydrophilic nature), volume, exposure, and enclosure.<sup>20</sup> Based on those properties, an overall "SiteScore" and "Druggability" score (Dscore) were generated providing an estimate value of the druggability of the pocket. Using the default settings, scores >1.0 indicate a very promising druggable area while scores of 0.8 define the limit between drug-binding and non-drug-binding cavities.

Cytochrome b-Resistant Cell Line Panel. T. cruzi X10/7 epimastigotes (wild-type and representative cytochrome bresistant lines) were maintained at 28 °C in RTH media. Test compounds were dispensed using a LabCyte ECHO into Greiner 384-well assay plates (Greiner 781080), including four standard control curves (nifurtimox, posaconazole, DDD00770854<sup>59</sup> and DDD01012248<sup>24</sup>), a 0% effect vehicle control (DMSO in columns 11 and 23), and a 100% effect control (50  $\mu$ M nifurtimox in columns 12 and 24). Cell suspension (25  $\mu$ L at a density of 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cell mL<sup>-1</sup>) was dispensed into each well of the assay plates and left to settle at room temperature for 40 min, followed by incubation at 28 °C for 96 h. Parasite growth was assessed with the BacTiter-Glo luminescence reagent (25  $\mu$ L well<sup>-1</sup>) using a PerkinElmer Victor 3 or BMG Labtech PHERAstar plate reader. All raw data were normalized to percent inhibition based on the raw data values for the 0% and 100% effect controls. For potency determinations curve fitting was carried out using the following 4-parameter equation:

$$y = A + \frac{B - A}{1 + \left(\frac{10^{C}}{x}\right)^{D}}$$

with A = minimum y value, B = maximum y value, C = log EC<sub>50</sub>, D = slope factor, y = % inhibition, and x = concentration [M].

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **1** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00426.

Additional data and figures including resistance generation *in vitro*; analysis for *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* clones resistant to compounds **1** and compound **2**; representative complex III and  $O_2$  consumption assay data; X-ray structures of cytochrome *b*; binding mode of antimycin A in the cytochrome *b* Q<sub>i</sub> site; structural analyses; cytochrome *b*-resistant panel screen; complex III assays; whole genome sequencings for *L. donovani* and *T. cruzi* clones resistant to compound **1**; compounds **1** and **2** in complex III assays with WT and resistant cell lysates; whole genome sequencing for *L. donovani* clones resistant to compound **2**; and compound potencies against wild-type and resistant *L. donovani* cell lines in intramacrophage assays (PDF)

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Susan Wyllie – Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom; ◎ orcid.org/0000-0001-8810-5605; Phone: (44)1382 38 5761; Email: s.wyllie@dundee.ac.uk

#### Authors

Richard J. Wall – Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom

Sandra Carvalho – Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom

Rachel Milne – Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom

Juan A. Bueren-Calabuig – Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom

Sonia Moniz – Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom

Juan Cantizani-Perez – Global Health R&D,

GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos 28760, Spain Lorna MacLean – Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom

- Albane Kessler Global Health R&D, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos 28760, Spain
- Ignacio Cotillo Global Health R&D, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos 28760, Spain
- Lalitha Sastry Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom
- Sujatha Manthri Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom

Stephen Patterson – Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom

- Fabio Zuccotto Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom
- Stephen Thompson Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom
- Julio Martin Global Health R&D, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos 28760, Spain
- Maria Marco Global Health R&D, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos 28760, Spain
- Timothy J. Miles Global Health R&D, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos 28760, Spain; © orcid.org/0000-0001-7407-7404
- Manu De Rycker Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom; Ocid.org/ 0000-0002-3171-3519
- Michael G. Thomas Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, United Kingdom
- Alan H. Fairlamb Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0001-5134-0329
- Ian H. Gilbert Drug Discovery Unit, Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 SEH, United Kingdom; Ocid.org/ 0000-0002-5238-1314

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00426

# **Author Contributions**

<sup>II</sup>R. J. Wall and S. Carvalho contributed equally. Author contributions were as follows: R. J. Wall, S. Carvalho, J. A. Bueren-Calabuig, I. Cotillo, L. MacLean, S. Thompson, F. Zuccotto, M. G. Thomas, A. H. Fairlamb, I. H. Gilbert, and S. Wyllie designed the research. R. J. Wall, S. Carvalho, R. Milne, J. A. Bueren-Calabuig, S. Moniz, J. Cantizani-Perez, L. MacLean, A. Kessler, I. Cotillo, L. Sastry, S. Manthri, and S. Wyllie performed the research. F. Zuccotto, S. Thompson, J. Martin, M. Marco, T. J. Miles, M. De Rycker, M. G. Thomas, I. H. Gilbert, and S. Wyllie supervised the research. R. J. Wall, S. Carvalho, J. A. Bueren-Calabuig, I. Cotillo, F. Zuccotto, J. Martin, M. De Rycker, A. H. Fairlamb, and S. Wyllie analyzed data. A. Kessler, S. Patterson, S. Thompson, and M. G. Thomas provided reagents. S. Wyllie wrote the paper.

# Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Wellcome Trust (Grants: 092340 and 105021) for funding. We would like to acknowledge Dr. Al Ivens, University of Edinburgh, for his advice regarding the analysis of our whole genome sequencing data. We would also like to thank the members of the Trypobase Consortium for providing access to compound 3.

# ABBREVIATIONS

Chagas' disease, CD; CNV, copy number variation; CYP51, sterol 14 $\alpha$ -demethylase; ETC, electron transport chain; GSK, GlaxoSmithKline; MD, molecular dynamics; MoA, mode of action; NTDs, neglected tropical diseases; Q<sub>i</sub>, ubiquinone reduction center; Q<sub>o</sub>, ubiquinol oxidation center; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; VL, visceral leishmaniasis

#### REFERENCES

(1) Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTD) World Health Organization (2015) Status of endemicity of visceral leishmaniasis worldwide. www.who.int/leishmaniasis/burden/Status\_of\_ endemicity\_of\_VL\_worldwide\_2015\_with\_imported\_cases.pdf?ua= 1.

(2) Hay, S. I. (2017) Global, regional, and national disabilityadjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 390 (10100), 1260–1344.

(3) Stuart, K., Brun, R., Croft, S., Fairlamb, A., Gurtler, R. E., McKerrow, J., Reed, S., and Tarleton, R. (2008) Kinetoplastids: related protozoan pathogens. *J. Clin. Invest.* 118 (4), 1301–10.

(4) Aldasoro, E., Posada, E., Requena-Mendez, A., Calvo-Cano, A., Serret, N., Casellas, A., Sanz, S., Soy, D., Pinazo, M. J., and Gascon, J. (2018) What to expect and when: benznidazole toxicity in chronic Chagas' disease treatment. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 73 (4), 1060– 1067.

(5) Soto, J., and Soto, P. (2006) Miltefosine: oral treatment of leishmaniasis. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* 4 (2), 177–85.

(6) Croft, S. L., Sundar, S., and Fairlamb, A. H. (2006) Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 19 (1), 111-26.

(7) Rijal, S., Ostyn, B., Uranw, S., Rai, K., Bhattarai, N. R., Dorlo, T. P., Beijnen, J. H., Vanaerschot, M., Decuypere, S., Dhakal, S. S., Das, M. L., Karki, P., Singh, R., Boelaert, M., and Dujardin, J. C. (2013) Increasing failure of miltefosine in the treatment of Kala-azar in Nepal and the potential role of parasite drug resistance, reinfection, or noncompliance. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 56 (11), 1530–8.

(8) DNDi Diseases and Projects. https://www.dndi.org/diseases-projects/.

(9) Don, R., and Ioset, J. R. (2014) Screening strategies to identify new chemical diversity for drug development to treat kinetoplastid infections. *Parasitology 141* (1), 140–6.

(10) Gilbert, I. H. (2013) Drug discovery for neglected diseases: molecular target-based and phenotypic approaches. *J. Med. Chem.* 56 (20), 7719–26.

(11) Molina, I., Salvador, F., and Sanchez-Montalva, A. (2014) Posaconazole versus benznidazole for chronic Chagas' disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 371 (10), 965.

(12) Molina, I., Gomez i Prat, J., Salvador, F., Trevino, B., Sulleiro, E., Serre, N., Pou, D., Roure, S., Cabezos, J., Valerio, L., Blanco-Grau, A., Sanchez-Montalva, A., Vidal, X., and Pahissa, A. (2014) Randomized trial of posaconazole and benznidazole for chronic Chagas' disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 370 (20), 1899–908.

(13) Pena, I., Pilar Manzano, M., Cantizani, J., Kessler, A., Alonso-Padilla, J., Bardera, A. I., Alvarez, E., Colmenarejo, G., Cotillo, I., Roquero, I., de Dios-Anton, F., Barroso, V., Rodriguez, A., Gray, D. W., Navarro, M., Kumar, V., Sherstnev, A., Drewry, D. H., Brown, J. R., Fiandor, J. M., and Julio Martin, J. (2015) New compound sets identified from high throughput phenotypic screening against three kinetoplastid parasites: an open resource. *Sci. Rep. 5*, 8771.

(14) Khare, S., Roach, S. L., Barnes, S. W., Hoepfner, D., Walker, J. R., Chatterjee, A. K., Neitz, R. J., Arkin, M. R., McNamara, C. W., Ballard, J., Lai, Y., Fu, Y., Molteni, V., Yeh, V., McKerrow, J. H., Glynne, R. J., and Supek, F. (2015) Utilizing Chemical Genomics to Identify Cytochrome b as a Novel Drug Target for Chagas Disease. *PLoS Pathog.* 11 (7), No. e1005058.

(15) Lukes, J., Guilbride, D. L., Votypka, J., Zikova, A., Benne, R., and Englund, P. T. (2002) Kinetoplast DNA network: evolution of an improbable structure. *Eukaryotic Cell* 1 (4), 495–502.

(16) Buckel, W., and Thauer, R. K. (2018) Flavin-Based Electron Bifurcation, A New Mechanism of Biological Energy Coupling. *Chem. Rev.* 118 (7), 3862–3886.

(17) di Rago, J. P., and Colson, A. M. (1988) Molecular basis for resistance to antimycin and diuron, Q-cycle inhibitors acting at the Qi site in the mitochondrial ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263 (25), 12564–70.

(18) Clarkson, A. B., Jr., Bienen, E. J., Pollakis, G., and Grady, R. W. (1989) Respiration of bloodstream forms of the parasite Trypanosoma brucei brucei is dependent on a plant-like alternative oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264 (30), 17770–17776.

(19) Zhang, Z., Huang, L., Shulmeister, V. M., Chi, Y. I., Kim, K. K., Hung, L. W., Crofts, A. R., Berry, E. A., and Kim, S. H. (1998) Electron transfer by domain movement in cytochrome bc1. *Nature* 392 (6677), 677–84.

(20) Schrödinger. (2018) Schrödinger Small Molecule Drug Discovery suite, Schrödinger, New York.

(21) MacLean, L. M., Thomas, J., Lewis, M. D., Cotillo, I., and Gray, D. W. (2018) Development of Trypanosoma cruzi in vitro assays to identify compounds suitable for progression in Chagas' disease drug discovery. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 12 (7), e0006612.

(22) Riley, J., Brand, S., Voice, M., Caballero, I., Calvo, D., and Read, K. D. (2015) Development of a Fluorescence-based Trypanosoma cruzi CYP51 Inhibition Assay for Effective Compound Triaging in Drug Discovery Programmes for Chagas Disease. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 9 (9), No. e0004014.

(23) Wyllie, S., Thomas, M., Patterson, S., Crouch, S., De Rycker, M., Lowe, R., Gresham, S., Urbaniak, M. D., Otto, T. D., Stojanovski, L., Simeons, F. R. C., Manthri, S., MacLean, L. M., Zuccotto, F., Homeyer, N., Pflaumer, H., Boesche, M., Sastry, L., Connolly, P., Albrecht, S., Berriman, M., Drewes, G., Gray, D. W., Ghidelli-Disse, S., Dixon, S., Fiandor, J. M., Wyatt, P. G., Ferguson, M. A. J., Fairlamb, A. H., Miles, T. J., Read, K. D., and Gilbert, I. H. (2018) Cyclindependent kinase 12 is a drug target for visceral leishmaniasis. *Nature 560* (7717), 192–197.

(24) Wyllie, S., Brand, S., Thomas, M., De Rycker, M., Chung, C. W., Pena, I., Bingham, R. P., Bueren-Calabuig, J. A., Cantizani, J., Cebrian, D., Craggs, P. D., Ferguson, L., Goswami, P., Hobrath, J., Howe, J., Jeacock, L., Ko, E. J., Korczynska, J., MacLean, L., Manthri, S., Martinez, M. S., Mata-Cantero, L., Moniz, S., Nuhs, A., Osuna-Cabello, M., Pinto, E., Riley, J., Robinson, S., Rowland, P., Simeons, F. R. C., Shishikura, Y., Spinks, D., Stojanovski, L., Thomas, J., Thompson, S., Viayna Gaza, E., Wall, R. J., Zuccotto, F., Horn, D., Ferguson, M. A. J., Fairlamb, A. H., Fiandor, J. M., Martin, J., Gray, D. W., Miles, T. J., Gilbert, I. H., Read, K. D., Marco, M., and Wyatt, P. G. (2019) Preclinical candidate for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis that acts through proteasome inhibition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116 (19), 9318–9323.

(25) Wall, R. J., Rico, E., Lukac, I., Zuccotto, F., Elg, S., Gilbert, I. H., Freund, Y., Alley, M. R. K., Field, M. C., Wyllie, S., and Horn, D. (2018) Clinical and veterinary trypanocidal benzoxaboroles target CPSF3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115 (38), 9616–9621.

(26) Khare, S., Nagle, A. S., Biggart, A., Lai, Y. H., Liang, F., Davis, L. C., Barnes, S. W., Mathison, C. J., Myburgh, E., Gao, M. Y., Gillespie, J. R., Liu, X., Tan, J. L., Stinson, M., Rivera, I. C., Ballard, J., Yeh, V., Groessl, T., Federe, G., Koh, H. X., Venable, J. D., Bursulaya, B., Shapiro, M., Mishra, P. K., Spraggon, G., Brock, A., Mottram, J. C., Buckner, F. S., Rao, S. P., Wen, B. G., Walker, J. R., Tuntland, T.,

Molteni, V., Glynne, R. J., and Supek, F. (2016) Proteasome inhibition for treatment of leishmaniasis, Chagas disease and sleeping sickness. *Nature* 537 (7619), 229–233.

(27) Sykes, M. L., and Avery, V. M. (2015) Development and application of a sensitive, phenotypic, high-throughput image-based assay to identify compound activity against Trypanosoma cruzi amastigotes. *Int. J. Parasitol.: Drugs Drug Resist.* 5 (3), 215–28.

(28) Fisher, N., and Meunier, B. (2008) Molecular basis of resistance to cytochrome bc1 inhibitors. *FEMS Yeast Res. 8* (2), 183–92.

(29) Abrahams, K. A., Cox, J. A., Spivey, V. L., Loman, N. J., Pallen, M. J., Constantinidou, C., Fernandez, R., Alemparte, C., Remuinan, M. J., Barros, D., Ballell, L., and Besra, G. S. (2012) Identification of novel imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine inhibitors targeting M. tuberculosis QcrB. *PLoS One* 7 (12), No. e52951.

(30) Foo, C. S., Lupien, A., Kienle, M., Vocat, A., and Benjak, A. (2018) Arylvinylpiperazine Amides, a New Class of Potent Inhibitors Targeting QcrB of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *mBio* 9 (5), e01276.

(31) Dong, C. K., Urgaonkar, S., Cortese, J. F., Gamo, F. J., Garcia-Bustos, J. F., Lafuente, M. J., Patel, V., Ross, L., Coleman, B. I., Derbyshire, E. R., Clish, C. B., Serrano, A. E., Cromwell, M., Barker, R. H., Jr., Dvorin, J. D., Duraisingh, M. T., Wirth, D. F., Clardy, J., and Mazitschek, R. (2011) Identification and validation of tetracyclic benzothiazepines as Plasmodium falciparum cytochrome bc1 inhibitors. *Chem. Biol.* 18 (12), 1602–10.

(32) Nam, T. G., McNamara, C. W., Bopp, S., Dharia, N. V., Meister, S., Bonamy, G. M., Plouffe, D. M., Kato, N., McCormack, S., Bursulaya, B., Ke, H., Vaidya, A. B., Schultz, P. G., and Winzeler, E. A. (2011) A chemical genomic analysis of decoquinate, a Plasmodium falciparum cytochrome b inhibitor. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 6 (11), 1214–22.

(33) Mitani, S., Araki, S., Yamaguchi, T., Takii, Y., Ohshima, T., and Matsuo, N. (2002) Biological properties of the novel fungicide cyazofamid against Phytophthora infestans on tomato and Pseudoperonospora cubensis on cucumber. *Pest Manage. Sci. 58* (2), 139–45. (34) Lynch, M. (2007) *The origins of genome architecture, Sinauer,* 

Sunderland, Massachusetts.

(35) Goodman, C. D., Siregar, J. E., Mollard, V., Vega-Rodriguez, J., Syafruddin, D., Matsuoka, H., Matsuzaki, M., Toyama, T., Sturm, A., Cozijnsen, A., Jacobs-Lorena, M., Kita, K., Marzuki, S., and McFadden, G. I. (2016) Parasites resistant to the antimalarial atovaquone fail to transmit by mosquitoes. *Science (Washington, DC,* U. S.) 352 (6283), 349–53.

(36) Trypobase Consortium. http://www.ipb.csic.es/trypobase/index.html.

(37) Goyard, S., Segawa, H., Gordon, J., Showalter, M., Duncan, R., Turco, S. J., and Beverley, S. M. (2003) An in vitro system for developmental and genetic studies of Leishmania donovani phosphoglycans. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 130 (1), 31–42.

(38) Roberts, A. J., Torrie, L. S., Wyllie, S., and Fairlamb, A. H. (2014) Biochemical and genetic characterization of Trypanosoma cruzi N-myristoyltransferase. *Biochem. J.* 459 (2), 323–32.

(39) Hunter, K. J., Le Quesne, S. A., and Fairlamb, A. H. (1994) Identification and biosynthesis of N1,N9-bis(glutathionyl)-aminopropylcadaverine (homotrypanothione) in Trypanosoma cruzi. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 226 (3), 1019–1027.

(40) Jones, D. C., Hallyburton, I., Stojanovski, L., Read, K. D., Frearson, J. A., and Fairlamb, A. H. (2010) Identification of a kappaopioid agonist as a potent and selective lead for drug development against human African trypanosomiasis. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 80 (10), 1478–86.

(41) Brun, R., and Schonenberger (1979) Cultivation and in vitro cloning or procyclic culture forms of Trypanosoma brucei in a semidefined medium. Short communication. *Acta tropica* 36 (3), 289–292.

(42) De Rycker, M., Thomas, J., Riley, J., Brough, S. J., Miles, T. J., and Gray, D. W. (2016) Identification of Trypanocidal Activity for Known Clinical Compounds Using a New Trypanosoma cruzi Hit-Discovery Screening Cascade. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 10 (4), No. e0004584. (43) De Rycker, M., Hallyburton, I., Thomas, J., Campbell, L., Wyllie, S., Joshi, D., Cameron, S., Gilbert, I. H., Wyatt, P. G., Frearson, J. A., Fairlamb, A. H., and Gray, D. W. (2013) Comparison of a high-throughput high-content intracellular Leishmania donovani assay with an axenic amastigote assay. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57 (7), 2913–22.

(44) Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9 (4), 357–9.

(45) Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25 (16), 2078–9.

(46) Li, H. (2011) A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 27 (21), 2987–93.

(47) Carver, T., Harris, S. R., Berriman, M., Parkhill, J., and McQuillan, J. A. (2012) Artemis: an integrated platform for visualization and analysis of high-throughput sequence-based experimental data. *Bioinformatics* 28 (4), 464–9.

(48) Sturm, N. R., and Simpson, L. (1990) Kinetoplast DNA minicircles encode guide RNAs for editing of cytochrome oxidase subunit III mRNA. *Cell* 61 (5), 879–84.

(49) Sali, A., and Blundell, T. L. (1993) Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. *J. Mol. Biol.* 234 (3), 779–815.

(50) Webb, B., and Sali, A. (2016) Comparative Protein Structure Modeling Using MODELLER. *Current protocols in bioinformatics* 54, 1–37.

(51) Notredame, C., Higgins, D. G., and Heringa, J. (2000) T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J. Mol. Biol.* 302 (1), 205–17.

(52) Laskowski, R. A., MacArthur, M. W., Moss, D. S., and Thornton, J. M. (1993) PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 26 (2), 283–291.

(53) Friesner, R. A., Banks, J. L., Murphy, R. B., Halgren, T. A., Klicic, J. J., Mainz, D. T., Repasky, M. P., Knoll, E. H., Shelley, M., Perry, J. K., Shaw, D. E., Francis, P., and Shenkin, P. S. (2004) Glide: a new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 1. Method and assessment of docking accuracy. J. Med. Chem. 47 (7), 1739–49.

(54) Huang, L. S., Cobessi, D., Tung, E. Y., and Berry, E. A. (2005) Binding of the respiratory chain inhibitor antimycin to the mitochondrial bc1 complex: a new crystal structure reveals an altered intramolecular hydrogen-bonding pattern. *J. Mol. Biol.* 351 (3), 573–97.

(55) Schrödinger. (2018) Schrödinger Desmond Molecular Dynamics System, Schrödinger.

(56) Harder, E., Damm, W., Maple, J., Wu, C., Reboul, M., Xiang, J. Y., Wang, L., Lupyan, D., Dahlgren, M. K., Knight, J. L., Kaus, J. W., Cerutti, D. S., Krilov, G., Jorgensen, W. L., Abel, R., and Friesner, R. A. (2016) OPLS3: A Force Field Providing Broad Coverage of Druglike Small Molecules and Proteins. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 12 (1), 281–96.

(57) Berweger, C. D., van Gunsteren, W. F., and Müller-Plathe, F. (1995) Force field parametrization by weak coupling. Re-engineering SPC water. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 232 (5), 429–436.

(58) Ryckaert, J.-P., Ciccotti, G., and Berendsen, H. J. C. (1977) Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* 23 (3), 327–341.

(59) Brand, S., Ko, E. J., Viayna, E., Thompson, S., Spinks, D., Thomas, M., Sandberg, L., Francisco, A. F., Jayawardhana, S., Smith, V. C., Jansen, C., De Rycker, M., Thomas, J., MacLean, L., Osuna-Cabello, M., Riley, J., Scullion, P., Stojanovski, L., Simeons, F. R. C., Epemolu, O., Shishikura, Y., Crouch, S. D., Bakshi, T. S., Nixon, C. J., Reid, I. H., Hill, A. P., Underwood, T. Z., Hindley, S. J., Robinson, S. A., Kelly, J. M., Fiandor, J. M., Wyatt, P. G., Marco, M., Miles, T. J., Read, K. D., and Gilbert, I. H. (2017) Discovery and Optimization of 5-Amino-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide Series against Trypanosoma cruzi. J. Med. Chem. 60 (17), 7284-7299.

(60) Ding, M. G., di Rago, J. P., and Trumpower, B. L. (2006) Investigating the Qn site of the cytochrome bc1 complex in Saccharomyces cerevisiae with mutants resistant to ilicicolin H, a novel Qn site inhibitor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281 (47), 36036–43.

(61) Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009) Jalview Version 2-a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* 25 (9), 1189–1191.