1	Using Electronic Health Record Systems in Nursing Research: Exploring the Challenges
2	
3	Background: Electronic Health Records (EHR) provide an interesting potential data set for nursing
4	research but can present challenges for data collection and data quality, as health care IT systems are
5	often not designed with research in mind.
6	Aim: To present an example of data collection using Electronic Health Records (EHR), conducted as part
7	of a research study into the role of the school nurse in child protection.
8	Methods: Descriptive analysis of quantitative, secondary data.
9	Discussion: Data were successfully obtained from Electronic Health Records (EHR) to understand school
10	nursing caseloads and interventions with vulnerable children and young people. Major limitations
11	included variances in record systems, such as different 'labels' used for interventions. These limitations
12	were addressed during data collection by reviewing organisational record keeping guidance and a
13	working knowledge of the different EHR systems.
14	Conclusion: Conducting research using Electronic Health Records (EHR) has provided important
15	learning about the potential of this type of data and the promise it holds for future research.
16	Implications for Practice: Organisations who wish to engage in research using existing data might
17	consider embedding pathways for data collection that are easy for potential researchers to navigate.
18	Electronic Clinical Record (EHR) systems need to be sensitive for research, but not at the expense of
19	efficiency in clinical practice.
20	
21	Keywords: Health Records, Clinical Records, Nursing Records, Administrative Data, Secondary Analysis.
22	
23	Introduction:
24	Electronic Health Records (EHR) are systems designed to electronically store and organise data on
25	patient care. Documented components can include diagnoses, patient notes, nursing care plans, test

26 results and clinician diaries (Hayrinen *et al.* 2008). A number of EHR systems are used within health

27 services in the UK and internationally, and in the UK the NHS hope to move towards paperless patient records by 2020 (National Information Board, 2014). Using EHR in research has gained increased 28 29 interest in recent years because it allows for the collection of broad health information across a large 30 population (Cowie et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018). The collection of this data is often performed by 31 running system reports on administrative data from pre-set templates or analysing electronic patient 32 notes (Castillo et al. 2015; Connelly et al. 2016). Patients are informed about how their confidential health data may be used in research or service improvement, and since May 2018 NHS patients in 33 34 England have been able to 'opt-out' of this arrangement (NHS England, 2018). All health and care 35 organisations in England must introduce similar opt-out processes by 2020. Using results from EHR in 36 research is defined as secondary analysis of existing data, which is differentiated from primary data 37 analysis. Secondary analysis of existing data encompasses data collected for other purposes (such as 38 birth and death registries) and data originally collected as part of a different research study (Cheng and 39 Phillips, 2014). This article presents an example of using EHR for research, as part of a research study 40 into the role of the school nurse in child protection. An overview of the advantages and disadvantages 41 of using EHR for research is given, followed by a description of data collection, limitations and 42 recommendations for future practice.

43

#### 44 Introduction to the Study

45 Data collection for a PhD study into the role of the school nurse in child protection took place between 46 June 2016-January 2018 and was conducted in three school nursing services (from different health 47 organisations) across England. Ethical approval was obtained from the affiliated university and the 48 Health Research Authority (HRA) for England. The study was designed in two stages; stage one involved 49 the analysis of data from EHR, and stage two involved semi-structured interviews with a sample of 25 50 school nurses. Data from EHR were collated from school nurses' electronic diaries to understand their patient caseload, and the type and frequency of appointments offered to vulnerable children and young 51 52 people. Electronic diaries were a routine part of clinical practice for school nurses, who used them to

53 record times/dates of appointments with children, the interventions offered to them and the outcome 54 of these appointments. These records, as with hand-written nursing notes, are evidence of nursing care 55 and can be used as a legal document (Stevens and Pickering, 2010). EHR in this study were 'owned' by 56 the NHS rather than individual schools, who had no access to them. Therefore, one data set (per study 57 site) represented school nursing activity to support all schools covered by the NHS school nursing 58 service in that county. Schools and school nursing services had different policies around information 59 sharing and confidentiality, thus maintained different record-keeping systems. To maintain 60 confidentiality in this study, data was collected and anonymised by a designated professional within 61 each health organisation.

62

#### 63 Data Collection

64 A data request sheet was developed according to the research team's knowledge of EHR and the 65 information that might best address the research objectives. The data request items were linked to the 66 aims and objectives of the research study and a systematic review of school nursing literature (Author 67 et al. 2019). One of the research objectives was to understand the type and scope of school nursing interventions offered to vulnerable children and young people. The data request sheet contained a list 68 69 of information to be obtained by running reports on school nursing activity from EHR (Table 1), and this 70 was securely emailed to an identified contact within the service management team for each 71 organisation, for feedback and initial advice. In addition, one member of the research team was a 72 practising school nurse with a working knowledge of EHR.

73

Data was requested for the previous two academic years, 2015/6 and 2016/7, although most items of data could only be provided for the 2016/7 academic year. Reasons given for this were in relation to time constraints of the parties involved in collating the data, a recent changeover of health provider in one organisation (meaning they could not access data owned by the previous provider) and the persons collating the data only having permission to view the latest information for the last reporting year.

79 Although these were not direct issues with the EHR systems themselves, they were part of the wider 80 complexities of conducting research in a large, dynamic health organisation. A member of the service 81 management team returned the final data set on Microsoft Excel spreadsheets or the completed data 82 request sheet, and by means of a secure, encrypted email. To comply with ethical approval of the study, 83 all names of school nursing staff, patients and any other identifiable information were removed by this 84 nominated person. A telephone call or face-to-face visit was offered to a member of the service 85 management team in each organisation, to talk through the data request sheet and raise any issues or 86 concerns. Each study site accepted an initial visit to discuss data collection and the data request sheet. 87 This was to promote trust and good communication, which can be central to positive collaboration 88 between and within agencies (Williams, 2011).

89

### 90 Table 1: Data Request Sheet, School Nursing Activity Data

Jata Request
1. What is the total school nursing caseload size?
2. What is the total child protection caseload size?
3. What is the total child in need caseload size?
4. What is the total team around the child/family caseload size?
5. What is the total number of referrals made to social care by school
nurses in the last academic year?
5. What is the range of risk assessment tools used by school nurses to
safeguard children and young people?
7. What is the total number of contacts/interventions with all children
by the school nursing team in the last academic year?
3. What is the total number of contacts/interventions with children
with a safeguarding or child protection alert (on their clinical records)
by the school nursing team in the last academic year?
9. What is the average total time spent on interventions relating to
all children by the school nursing team in the last academic year?
0. What is the average total time spent on interventions relating to
1 2 3 1 5 r 5 s 7 k 3 v k 9 a

children with a safeguarding or child protection alert (on their clinical
records) by the school nursing team in the last academic year?
11. What is the range and type of interventions provided by school
nurses relating to all children in the last academic year?
12. What is the range and type of interventions provided by school
nurses relating to children with a safeguarding or child protection alert
in the last academic year?

92

# 93

### 94 Data Management

95 Data were managed on Microsoft Excel, to produce descriptive statistics on school nursing caseloads 96 and school nursing interventions. Microsoft Excel was deemed sufficient by the research team to 97 produce descriptive statistics and was a familiar programme to the organisations providing the data. 98 The researcher attended a university course on using Microsoft Excel for data management, in June 99 2016. Data were aggregated within each organisation and focused on the activity of the school nursing service, rather than individual school nurses. Organisational data were transferred onto one single 100 101 master spreadsheet, as this aided comparisons between each service across the three organisations. 102 Additionally, each organisation sent two to three spreadsheets or templates each in response to the 103 data request, and one used a pivot table (an interactive table that generates specific data from the 104 spreadsheet), so it was necessary to extract the required information and combine these into a more 105 manageable format. The master spreadsheet contained tabs for each school nursing service, and a tab 106 to present comparable data between the services.

107

108 <u>Discussion</u>

109 Despite acknowledging the challenges of using data from EHR in research, in this study it provided an 110 insight into annual school nursing activity across multiple study sites. It allowed the research team to 111 begin to understand and compare the size of school nurses' patient caseloads and the frequency and 112 type of interventions offered to vulnerable children and young people. It was a method that did not

- require school nurses to complete additional data collection tools in order to inform the research.
- 114

115 It is known that data from EHR systems has potential in research, as it allows for the collection of large 116 amounts of information on a population and does not rely on participant responses to other methods 117 of primary data collection (Castillo et al. 2015; Connelly et al. 2016; Cowie et al. 2017). Collecting data 118 from readily available electronic databases can be more cost-effective than attempting to collect similar 119 data through primary data collection methods and reduces the burden on potential participants 120 (Administrative Data Liaison Service, 2010; Zhang et al. 2018). Additionally, the recording of data usually 121 follows consistent pro-forma and is subject to audit, as was true of the school nursing data in this study 122 (Administrative Data Liaison Service, 2010; Nursing and Midwifery Council, NMC, 2015). Audit is defined 123 as a process of comparing current practice against a specified organisational standard (such as 124 contemporaneous record keeping) and is not for the purposes of testing or answering a research 125 question (The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority, 2018). Data can therefore be presumed 126 to be unbiased in relation to any future research use as it is collected for clinical purposes only 127 (Appleton and Cowley, 1997). However, the use of clinical records by health providers and researchers 128 for evidence of care provision may be in conflict with the perspective of some nurses, who find the 129 amount of record keeping activities increasingly overwhelming and distracting from direct time with 130 patients (Cunningham et al. 2012).

131

In this study, it was essential to have the co-operation of a designated professional within each organisation to collect and anonymise the data from the different systems, and investment by the primary researcher in maintaining communication, support and gratitude to this person was valuable. It is known that working at the boundaries between organisations, such as health and academia, can have challenges and it can be important to communicate well, build trust and set out a common vision for the outcome of the project (Williams, 2011). In research, investment in support and liaison with key

138 stakeholders at regularly points throughout the lifetime of a research study can improve engagement,

as stakeholders feel included in the decision-making processes (Phillipson, Lowe and Ruto, 2012).

140

Obtaining data from EHR had several anticipated limitations and despite attempting to control for these, some of the results highlighted the complications of using a system not designed for research purposes. The major limitations involved the difference in the size and definition of the term *'school nurse caseload'* and the presence of possible recording discrepancies, such as 1 recording of a *'new birth visit'*, despite school nurses working solely with children 5-19 years. It has been acknowledged in critical analyses of research using large sets of administrative data that recording discrepancies are unavoidable as part of everyday *'human error'* (Sivarajah *et al.* 2017; Zhang *et al.* 2018).

148

149 In administrative data such as EHR, clinicians may mis-classify interventions at the point of selecting 150 pre-set options and distractions in the clinical environment may impact on the time and concentration 151 required for record keeping (Brouwer, Policastri and Moga, 2015; Castillo et al. 2015). Comparing data 152 across different services and organisations may be a challenge if they use different EHR systems, and different labels for interventions (Castillo et al. 2015; Connelly et al. 2016). These limitations exist 153 154 because most EHR systems were not designed with research in mind and are primarily for supporting 155 clinical care and providing evidence for commissioners about the performance of a service against 156 financial targets (Brouwer, Policastri and Moga, 2015; Cowie et al. 2017). EHR systems are usually 157 designed and supported by a sub-contractor who bids to provide such services to a health provider 158 through a tendering process. Although EHR systems are considered efficient, timely and cost effective 159 (Ozair et al. 2015), the tendering process means systems used across the country and between local 160 health services are often different and information held about a patient can be fragmented.

161

162 In this study, the EHR data itself used many non-descript labels to define interventions, and it was not163 always clear the type of nursing care that had been delivered e.g. *'school nurse clinic appointment'*. In

addition, attempting to combine data from three different EHR systems with differing formats and which used different labels was complex. Not all organisations could provide the full data set on the original request as the EHR system did not have the required sensitivities. The system either did not record the level of accuracy needed to answer the specific item in the data request, or it was not possible to run a report on the system to collate the information required. In addition to lack of sensitivity of the EHR system, one organisation felt it was too time consuming to investigate how they may alter the EHR system to run these reports, due to long-term staff sickness.

171

Obtaining the data from each school nursing service was a lengthy process (approximately ten months) and involved negotiation with multiple parties within the organisations, particularly due to the need for a third party to collect and anonymise the data to be sent to the research team. The local record keeping guide for each service was obtained from the lead for school nursing, and this helped to understand how school nurses might categorise their interventions and to compare similar interventions across the different services. This proved particularly important as each school nursing service defined types of interventions differently.

179

A reflection on the process of working with EHR deemed it to be an important learning activity, especially as there is increasing interest in this type of research. Health research using existing data sets, sometimes referred to as 'Big Data Research', is thought to provide the potential to understand research questions on a population level (Bates *et al.* 2014; Zhang *et al.* 2018). This interest is driven in part by the increasing implementation of EHR internationally and the general improvements in computing technology (Bates *et al.* 2014, Jin *et al.* 2015).

186

187 <u>Conclusion</u>

188 Data from EHR allowed for an overview of school nursing practice across a large area to be formed,189 using data that was expected to be recorded contemporaneously and in real-time. Challenges of this

approach included liaising with multiple stakeholders and the lack of sensitivity of EHR systems to
 answer detailed research questions. Improved liaison between research institutions and health
 organisations internationally could clarify pathways for researchers to access health data, and
 potentially improve EHR systems in the future.

#### Recommendations for Practice

If school nursing services (and indeed other health and social care organisations) are going to be examined and compared nationally and want to be used as evidence of the impact of school nursing care, consistent and comparable EHR systems are important. Organisations who wish to engage in future EHR research might consider pathways that are easy to navigate for researchers to obtain data, considering systems that are amenable to research as well as service audits and key performance indicators. Systems should of course be efficient for practice, as nurses can find the amount of record keeping activities increasingly overwhelming and distracting from direct time with patients (Cunningham et al. 2012, Royal College of Nursing, 2018). Organisations who do not already involve front-line practitioners and staff with research expertise in the design and implementation of record-keeping systems might consider this as a way of promoting systems that are fit for the future of health research.

## 219 <u>Reference List</u>

- 220
- Administrative Data Liaison Service (2010). What is Administrative Data and Why Use it for Research?
  Available at: http://www.adls.ac.uk/ (Accessed: 27/09/2018).
- 223
- Appleton, J V., and Cowley, S. (1997). 'Analysing Clinical Practice Guidelines. A Method of
   Documentary Analysis', *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 25, pp. 1008-1017.
- 226
- 227 Authors (2019). 'The Role of the School Nurse in Protecting Children and Young People from
- Maltreatment: An Integrative Review of the Literature', *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 92
  (1), pp. 60-72.
- 230
- Bates, D W., Saria, S., Ohno-Machado, L., Shah, A., and Escobar, G. (2014). 'Big Data in Health Care:
  Using Analytics to Identify and Manage High-Risk and High-Cost Patients', *Health Affairs*, 33 (1), pp. 7.
- Brouwer, E S., Policastri, A. and Moga, D C. (2015) 'Using Administrative Data for your Research
  Project: 10 Considerations Before You Begin', *American Journal of Health System Pharmacy*, 72 (1),
  pp. 184-187.
- 230 pp
- Castillo, E G., Olfson, M., Pincu, H., Vawdrey, D., and Stroup, T S. (2015). 'Electronic health records in
  mental health research: a framework for developing valid research methods', *Psychiatric Services*, 66
  (2), pp. 193-196.
- 242 Cheng, H G., and Phillips, M R. (2014). 'Secondary analysis of existing data: opportunities and
  243 implementation'. *Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry*, 26 (6), pp. 371-5.
- 244

- Connelly, R., Playford, C J., Gayle, V., and Dibben, C. (2016). 'The role of administrative data in the big
  data revolution in social science research', *Social Science Research*, 59 (1), pp. 1-12.
  247
- Cowie, M R., Blomster, J L., Curtis, L H., Duclaux, S., Ford, I., Fritz, F., Goldman, S., Janmohamed, S.,
  Kreuzer, J., Leenay, M., Michel, A., Ong, S., Pell, J P., Southworth, M R., Stough, W G., Thoenes, M.,
  Zannad, F., and Zalewski, A. (2017). 'Electronic health records to facilitate clinical research'. *Clinical Research Cardiology*, 106 (1), pp. 1-9.
- 252
- Cunningham L., Kennedy, J., Nwolisa, F., Callard, L., and Wike, C. (2012). 'Patients Not Paperwork –
   Bureaucracy affecting nurses in the NHS', UK: NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement.
- Hayrinen, K., Saranto, K., and Nykanen, P. (2008). 'Definition, structure, content, use and impacts of
  electronic health records: A review of the research literature', *International Journal of Medical Informatics,* 77 (5), pp. 291-304.
- 259
- Jin, X., Wah, B., Cheng, X., and Wang. Y. (2015). 'Significance and Challenges of Big Data Research', *Big Data Research*, 2 (2), pp. 59-64.
- 261
- 262 National Information Board (2014). Personalised Health and Care 2020 Using Data and Technology to
   263 Transform Outcomes for Patients and Citizens, Leeds: NIB.
- 264

- 266 https://www.england.nhs.uk/digitaltechnology/connecteddigitalsystems/health-and-care-data/
- **267** (Accessed: 01/01/2019).
- 268

<sup>265</sup> NHS England (2018). Health and Care Data. Available at:

- 269 Nursing and Midwifery Council (2010). *Record Keeping: Guidance for Nurses and Midwives,* London:270 NMC.
- 271

274

Ozair, F., Jamshed, N., Sharma, A., Aggarwal, P. (2015). 'Ethical issues in electronic health records: A
general overview', *Perspectives in Clinical Research*, 6 (2), pp. 73-76.

- Phillipson, J, Lowe, P, Proctor, A and Ruto, E. (2012) 'Stakeholder Engagement and Knowledge
  Exchange in Environmental Research', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 95 (1), pp. 56-65.
- 277
  278 Royal College of Nursing (RCN, 2018). *Staffing for Safe and Effective Care: Nursing on the Brink,*279 London: RCN.
- 280
- Sivarajah, U., Kamal, M M., Irani, Z. and Weerakkody, V. (2017) 'Critical Analysis of Big Data Challenges
  and Analytical Methods', *Journal of Business Research*, 70 (1), pp. 262-286.
- 283
  284 Stevens, S and Pickering, D. (2010) 'Keeping Good Nursing Records', *Community Eye Health*, 23 (74),
  285 pp. 44-45.
- 286
- 287 The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority (RQIA, 2018). *Audit vs. Research,* Belfast: RQIA.288
- Williams, P. (2011) 'The Life and Times of the Boundary Spanner', *Journal of Integrated Care*, 19
  (3), pp.26-33.

291

- 292 Zhang, L., Wang, H., Li, Q., Zhao, M-H. and Zhan, Q-M. (2018) 'Big Data and Medical Research in
- 293 China', *BMJ*, (360). doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j5910