



*Citation for published version:*

Cumming, S, Searle, C, Hemsley, J, Haswell, F, Edwards, H, Ryan, D, Scott, S, Gross, A, Lewis, J, White, P, Mitchell, S & Malina, RM 2018, 'Biological maturation, relative age and self-regulation in male professional academy soccer players: A test of the underdog hypothesis', *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, vol. 39, pp. 147-153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.007>

*DOI:*

[10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.007)

*Publication date:*

2018

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

*Publisher Rights*

CC BY-NC-ND

## University of Bath

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Biological maturation, relative age and self-regulation in male professional academy soccer  
players: A test of the underdog hypothesis

**Key words:** *Soccer, puberty, adolescence, talent, development*

## Introduction

The primary objectives of professional soccer academies are to identify and develop talented youth players (Carling, le Gall, Reilly, & Williams, 2009; Reilly, Williams, Nevill, & Franks, 2000). Early recruitment is the first step in the aforementioned processes (le Gall, Carling, Williams, & Reilly, 2010; Meylan, Cronin, Oliver, & Hughes, 2010) and can be linked to both competitive and financial gains (Reilly, Bangsbo, & Franks, 2000; Vaeyens, Lenoir, Williams, & Philippaerts, 2008). As a consequence, academy players are scouted and recruited at young ages and assessed on the basis of their technical, tactical and physical attributes for the sport.

Individual differences in biological maturation and relative age are related to player selection, evaluation, and performance in youth soccer (Lovell, et al., 2015). Biological maturation refers to progress towards the adult or mature state and can be defined in terms of status, timing and tempo or rate (Malina, Bouchard, & Bar-Or, 2004). Children of the same chronological age vary substantially in status (state of maturation at the time of observation) and timing (chronological age at which specific maturation events occur) of maturity, with some individuals maturing in advance or delay of their peers (Malina, Rogol, Cumming, Silva, & Figueiredo, 2015). A child with a chronological age of 12, for example, could have a biological age anywhere between 9-15 years (Borms, 1986). It should be noted that the process of biological maturation, though related, does not encompass cognitive, emotional, social and/or motor development. Rather, these processes are more closely aligned with age and experience.

Relative age refers to the differences in chronological age that exist among players within a competitive age group and are associated with birth date and cut-off date for the group (Wattie, Copley, & Baker, 2008). Within a typical one year age band, players can vary in age by up to a maximum of one year (Wattie, Schorer, & Baker, 2015). The relative age effect (RAE) describes a phenomenon whereby those players born early in the selection year are more

26 likely to be represented and succeed in youth sports programmes. RAEs are common across a  
27 range of sports, including soccer, and are frequently attributed to the direct and indirect  
28 advantages afforded by advanced maturity (Baker, Schorer, & Cobley, 2010; Musch &  
29 Grondin, 2001). That is, relatively older players are assumed to be biologically more mature  
30 and, thus, physically and functionally superior (i.e., greater size, strength, speed and power) in  
31 comparison to their relatively younger peers (Wattie, et al., 2008). While the ‘maturational  
32 hypothesis’ is intuitively appealing, relative age does not necessarily imply more advanced  
33 maturity. Whereas relative age is determined by birth and age group cut-off dates, maturation  
34 is largely governed by inheritable or genotypic factors (Malina et al., 2004). A child who is the  
35 oldest in his age group could, by virtue of their genetic inheritance, also be the least mature  
36 player within the group. For similar reasons, the youngest player could also be the most mature.

37         Research suggests that relative age is, at most, weakly correlated with maturational  
38 status in young athletes (Hirose, 2009; Lovell, et al., 2015; Skorski, Skorski, Faude, Hammes,  
39 & Meyer, 2016; Whiteley, Johnson, & Farooq, 2017). The independent nature of these  
40 constructs is further evidenced in the age at which their respective selection biases emerge and  
41 the manner in which these biases change with age (Cumming, Lloyd, Oliver, Eisenmann, &  
42 Malina, 2017). In soccer, RAEs can be observed from as early as six years (Helsen, Starkes, &  
43 Van Winckel, 1998) and remain stable through late childhood and adolescence (Whiteley, et  
44 al., 2017). In contrast, the selection bias towards early maturing boys emerges at approximately  
45 11 to 12 years and increases with age; coinciding with pubertal gains in both size and functional  
46 capacity (Malina, 2003; Whiteley, et al., 2017). The presence of the RAE prior to puberty also  
47 suggests that this phenomenon is more likely to arise from factors that are more closely aligned  
48 with age than maturation, including playing experience, neural, motor, social and/or cognitive  
49 development (Blakemore, 2014).

50

51           The athletic advantages associated with being relatively older and/or more advanced in  
52 maturation are well documented in youth soccer (Whiteley et al., 2017). Relatively younger  
53 and/or late maturing players may, however, hold the greatest potential for success at the adult  
54 level. Labelled ‘the underdog hypothesis’ (Gibbs, Jarvis, & Dufur, 2012), this argument was  
55 first advanced by Krogman (1950) in the context of little league baseball and then by Gibbs  
56 and colleagues in the context of ice hockey. Specifically, the underdog hypothesis contends  
57 that to be competitive and/or be retained in youth sports programmes, relatively younger and/or  
58 late maturing players must either possess and/or develop superior technical, tactical and  
59 psychological skills. That is, comparatively greater challenge is experienced by relatively  
60 younger and later maturing players is thought to necessitate and/or encourage the development  
61 of these attributes (Gibbs et al., 2012). While superior psychological and/or technical/tactical  
62 skills might be masked through childhood and adolescence, they become more salient in late  
63 adolescence and early adulthood when age and/or physical maturity are attenuated and/or  
64 reversed (Lefevre, Beunen, Steens, Claessens, & Renson, 1990). Further, it can be argued that  
65 late maturing players benefit from spending a longer period of time in childhood and  
66 adolescence, developmental stages that are optimised for learning and motor skill development  
67 (Kirk, 2005). The underdog hypothesis will, however, only be realised if relatively younger  
68 and/or later maturing youth are selected into and/or retained within the sport system.

69           The importance of challenge and the need to possess adaptive psychological and  
70 behavioural skills have been long established as requisites for developing excellence in sport  
71 (Gould, Dieffenbach, & Moffett, 2002; Orlick & Partington, 1988; Toering, Elferink-Gemser,  
72 Jordet, & Visscher, 2009). In support of the underdog hypothesis, a longitudinal study of Swiss  
73 elite junior soccer players found that boys delayed in maturation possessed superior adaptive  
74 and technical skills (Zuber, Zibung, & Conzelmann, 2016). Despite these advantages, the late  
75 maturing players failed to successfully transition to the national or regional talent squads.

76 Rather, these positions were given to players who were early maturing and athletic, yet less  
77 technically and/or psychologically gifted. It should be acknowledged that the methods used to  
78 derive biological maturity in this study may impact upon the accuracy of these findings;  
79 biological maturity was derived using Mirwald's equation and questions have been raised  
80 relative to the validity and reliability of this method (Malina & Koziel, 2014). While some  
81 studies have found athletes born late in the competitive year to be equally likely, if not more  
82 likely, to be represented at the adult level, an equal number of studies have found the RAE to  
83 persist into adulthood (Jones, Lawrence, & Hardy, 2017; Nakata, 2017). Data addressing the  
84 maturity status of youth players who persist in soccer at the adult level are limited (Le Gall,  
85 Carling, Williams & Reilly, 2010; Malina, Silva, Figueiredo, Carling, & Beunen, 2012;  
86 Ostojic, Castagna, Calleja-Gonzalez, Jukic, Idrizovic & Stojanovic, 2014; Figueiredo, Coelho-  
87 e-Silva, Sarmiento & Malina, under review; Figueiredo, Coelho-e-Silva, Cumming & Malina,  
88 under review).

89         If relatively younger and/or later maturing boys are to be selected or retained in  
90 academy soccer then it would benefit them to possess and/or develop more adaptive  
91 psychological attributes. Self-regulation refers to processes enabling individuals to control  
92 their thoughts, feelings, and actions, including self-initiated processes to convert mental  
93 abilities into physical skills in the learning process (Zimmerman, 2006). Individuals who self-  
94 regulate also approach tasks with a high level of effort and possess increased levels of self-  
95 efficacy in general task situations (Zimmerman, 2006). In youth soccer, self-regulation has  
96 been shown to assist effective learning, development potential, and differentiate between  
97 successful and less successful players (Toering, Elferink-Gemser, Jordet, & Visscher, 2009).  
98 Players who engage in self-regulated learning have been shown to use planning to improve  
99 performance, evaluate training outcomes, and reflect upon these processes (Toering, et al.,  
100 2009). Whereas planning refers to the establishment of learning objectives and strategies;

101 evaluation refers to the process of determining whether or not these objectives have been  
102 achieved (Toering, Jordet, & Ripegutu, 2013). In contrast, reflection encompasses the  
103 consideration of ones strengths and weaknesses and of ways in which they can be developed  
104 (Toering et al., 2013). Elite youth soccer players report more adaptive self-regulation than non-  
105 elite players, suggesting that self-regulation contributes towards success in this sport (Toering,  
106 et al., 2009). Elite players reported engaging in higher levels of reflection and effort, i.e., they  
107 appeared more willing to invest effort into task execution and were capable of adapting their  
108 knowledge and actions in order to execute skills (Toering, et al., 2009). Failure to engage in  
109 self-regulated learning has been shown to negatively impact performance outcomes in sport  
110 (Kitsantas & Zimmerman, 2002). With respect to the underdog hypothesis, relatively younger  
111 and/or later maturing players may also need to possess and/or develop more adaptive self-  
112 regulatory skills if they are to remain competitive within their age groups. At present, however,  
113 no research has examined the associations between biological maturation, relative age and self-  
114 regulation in young athletes.

115 In light of the previous discussion, the purpose of this study is to test the underdog  
116 hypothesis within the context of academy soccer. It specifically investigates the independent  
117 and interactive effects of both relative age and biological maturity status upon self-regulation.  
118 Assuming that relatively younger and/or later maturing athletes will possess and/or develop  
119 more adaptive self-regulatory skills, it is predicted that relative age and maturation will be  
120 inversely associated with self-regulated learning. The study will also explore potential  
121 interactions between relative age and maturation upon self-regulation. Specifically, it is  
122 predicted that associations between maturation and self-regulation might be accentuated or  
123 mitigated by variance in relative age.

124

125

126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150

## Method

**Participants:** Participants were 171 academy soccer players 11–16 years of age from four English professional clubs. Academy soccer players represent a talented and select group who have been identified as having the most potential for success at the adult level. Data collection occurred within the academies during the 2015-2016 season. Players completed assent forms with academy managers acting 'in loco parentis' for players under 18. Parents were informed of the research by the club and asked to provide passive consent (i.e., contact the clubs/researchers if they did not wish their child to participate). Those individuals who did not wish to participate in the study or who were not in attendance or available on the data collection days were not included in the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the host institution's ethical review board for research.

**Demographic, anthropometric and psychological data:** Demographic and anthropometric data included date of birth, height (cm), weight (kg) and height of biological parents (cm). Academy sports science practitioners trained in the requisite anthropometric dimensions and estimation of maturity status through the Premier Leagues' Elite Player Performance Plan, measured player heights and weights using a standardised protocol. Parent heights were either measured in centimetres by academy staff or self-reported by the parents in feet and inches. Although previous studies show a high correlation between self-reported heights and actual heights ( $r=.95-.96$ ; Himes & Roche, 1982), self-reported parent heights were adjusted for over-estimation (Epstein, Valoski, Kalarchian, & McCurley, 1995).

**Relative Age:** Relative age was calculated on the basis of date of birth and the cut-off date for inclusion within a specific age group (August 31<sup>st</sup>). The difference between these dates was then divided by the number of days within a year and expressed as a decimal. Relative age was thus expressed as value between 0 and .99 year with the values representing the youngest and oldest players, respectively, within an age group. Relative age was also classified



151 into birth quartiles to allow readers to compare the RAE observed in the current study with  
152 those presented in previous literature (Q1 = September, October and November, Q2 =  
153 December, January and February, Q3 = March, April and May and Q4 = June, July, August).

154 **Maturity status:** Percentage of predicted adult height (PPAH) at the date of assessment  
155 was used as the indicator of maturity status. This method assumes that players who are closer  
156 to their adult stature for their age are more advanced in somatic maturity status. A 12 year old  
157 boy who has attained 85% of his predicted adult height, for example, would be considered more  
158 mature than a boy of the same age who has attained 75% of his predicted mature height. Adult  
159 height was predicted with the method of Khamis and Roche (Khamis & Roche, 1994) based  
160 on a middle class sample of Ohio children in the United States. The prediction equation requires  
161 the current age, height and weight of the player, and the mean height of his biological parents  
162 (mid-parent height). The median error bound between actual and predicted mature height is 2.2  
163 cm in males between the ages of 4 and 17.5 years (Khamis & Roche, 1994). Maturity status  
164 was then expressed as a z-score relative to age and sex specific reference values based on a  
165 longitudinal series of boys from the Berkeley Growth Study (Bayer & Bailey, 1959). The  
166 Khamis-Roche (KR) method has demonstrated concurrent and predictive validity in samples  
167 of US, British and Portuguese youth (Cumming, Battista, Standage, Ewing, & Malina, 2006;  
168 Cumming, Sherar, Esliger, Riddoch, & Malina, 2014; Malina, Morano, Barron, Miller, &  
169 Cumming, 2005; Malina, et al., 2006; Sweet, Dompier, Stoneberg, & Ragan, 2002) and has  
170 been validated relative to an established indicator of maturity status (skeletal age) in American  
171 youth soccer players (Malina, Dompier, Powell, Barron, & Moore, 2007) and Portuguese  
172 soccer players (Malina, Silva, Figueiredo, Carling, & Beunen, 2012).

173 **Psychological data:** Participants were presented with a questionnaire that included  
174 some demographic questions (e.g., date of birth, age group) and a copy of the Football-specific  
175 self-regulated learning questionnaire (FSSRLQ). Participants received a brief introduction to

176 the questionnaire and were guided through an example question to ensure full clarification.  
177 Where data were missing (.003% of items), mean item replacement was used. This method has  
178 been shown to be a good representation of the original data, and mean item replacement is a  
179 legitimate method with proven reliability (Downey & King, 1998).

180         ***Football-specific self-regulated learning questionnaire (FSSRLQ)***: The FSSRLQ  
181 (Toering et al., 2013) is a psychometric instrument that assesses self-regulated learning in elite  
182 soccer players, aged 13 years and older. It consists of 22 items representing three sub-scales,  
183 planning (example item: I have a clear goal for each practice session), evaluation (example  
184 item: After each practice session I think back and evaluate whether I did the right things to  
185 reach my practice goal) and reflection (example item: During each practice session I check  
186 whether I make progress in my football skills), and a composite score for adaptive self-  
187 regulation. The FSSRLQ had adequate reliability and validity within a sample of elite Dutch  
188 youth soccer players (123 boys, 81 girls) aged 13–16 years (Toering, et al., 2013). The items  
189 on the planning subscale were developed from the self-regulatory inventory designed by Hong  
190 and O’Neil Jr. (2001) and were scored on a 4-point Likert rating scale anchored by (1) *almost*  
191 *never* to (4) *almost always*. The subscales of evaluation (6 items) and reflection (9 items) were  
192 scored on a 5-point Likert rating scale. In accordance with the original scales, evaluation ranged  
193 from (1) *never* to (5) *always*, and reflections ranged from (1) *strongly agree* to (5) *strongly*  
194 *disagree*. Before data analysis, reflection scores were reversed to make them correspond to the  
195 scores on the other subscales. The mean item score for each subscale was calculated for each  
196 participant. The scale showed acceptable internal consistency in the current sample of youth  
197 soccer players (Cronbach’s alphas = reflection =0.86, evaluation =0.81, planning =0.74). The  
198 overall consistency of the scale was also high (Cronbach alpha = .91), indicating strong internal  
199 consistency.

200           **Statistical analysis:** Descriptive statistics were calculated for the variables of interest.  
201 Pearson product moment correlations were calculated for the following variables: estimated  
202 maturity status, percentage of predicted adult height, relative age, height, weight, chronological  
203 age, self-regulation, reflection, evaluation and planning. Hierarchical regression analysis was  
204 used, controlling for whole year age (i.e., participant’s age in single year units at point of  
205 assessment), to evaluate the main and interactive effects of relative age and maturity status  
206 upon overall self-regulation across the three subscales. Centring the variables of interest and  
207 then multiplying the centred values produced an interaction term for relative age and maturity  
208 status. SPSS (IBM SPSS 22) was used for all analyses. At the request of the reviewers and on  
209 the recommendation of the editor, partial correlations were conducted to estimate the effect  
210 sizes associated with statistically significant associations.

211

212

## Results

213           **Descriptives Statistics:** The descriptive statistics for estimated biological maturity,  
214 predicted adult height, relative age, height, weight, and self-regulation, including reflection,  
215 planning and evaluation are presented in *Table 1*. Mean values for height, weight and maturity  
216 increased across the age groups. The mean value for relative age was above .50 years in all age  
217 groups and did not appear to increase or decrease with age. Among the total sample of 171  
218 participants, 47% were born in Q1, 27% in Q2, 17% in Q3, and 9% in Q4.

219           **Correlational Analysis:** Correlations among the variables of interest are summarized  
220 in *Table 2*. Advanced maturation was positively and strongly associated with height and  
221 weight, while delayed maturation was associated with greater self-regulation, planning,  
222 reflection and evaluation. Relative age was unrelated to biological maturity status, self-  
223 regulation, planning and evaluation, yet was positively associated with reflection. Relative age

224 was positively correlated with height and weight, though the magnitude of the correlations was  
225 small.

226 **Regression Analysis:** *Table 3* presents a 3-step hierarchical regression model  
227 predicting self-regulation, whereby ‘age’ is entered at Step 1 (Model 1), biological maturation  
228 and relative age are added at Step 2 (Model 2), and the interaction between biological maturity  
229 and relative age is added at Step 3 (Model 3). The final regression model for self-regulation  
230 was statistically significant,  $F(3,167) = 3.41, p < .05$ , explaining three percent of the variance  
231 in self-regulation. Adjusted correlations were conducted to determine the magnitude of  
232 statistically significant main and interactive effects. The main and interaction effects revealed  
233 a statistically significant main effect for biological maturation upon self-regulation.  
234 Specifically, later maturation was associated with higher self-regulation scores. The magnitude  
235 of this association was, however, small (*adjusted r* = .17). Age, relative age, and the interaction  
236 between maturation and relative age did not predict self-regulation.

237 Regression models were also conducted for the three self-regulation subscales (Tables  
238 4, 5, & 6). The final regression model predicting planning was statistically non-significant,  $F$   
239  $(3,167) = 1.86, p > .05$ , however, the models for evaluation,  $F(3,167) = 3.05, p < .05$ , and  
240 reflection,  $F(3,167) = 5.39, p < .05$ , achieved statistical significance, explaining two and five  
241 percent of the variance in their respective subscales.. Closer inspection of the main and  
242 interactive effects for the latter models revealed later maturation to be predictive of greater  
243 engagement in reflection and evaluation, though the magnitude of these associations was small  
244 (*evaluation: adjusted r* = .16; *reflection: adjusted r* = .21). Age, relative age, and the interaction  
245 between relative age and maturation, did not serve as significant predictors of reflection and  
246 evaluation.

247

248

249

## **Discussion**

250 This is the first study to examine relations among relative age, biological maturity status and  
251 self-regulation in English professional academy soccer players. Consistent with previous  
252 research, a RAE and bias towards selecting players advanced in maturation was observed in  
253 the distributions of players within specific age groups. The RAE was present before 12 years  
254 and was stable with age. The selection bias for early maturing players was comparatively small  
255 in the youngest age groups (U12, U13) yet, as with previous research, demonstrated an  
256 increasing trend with age. Of note, relative age was unrelated to maturity status in the current  
257 sample; by inference, older age within an age group did not imply more advanced maturity.  
258 These findings fail to support the maturation hypothesis, in which the RAE is viewed as  
259 resulting from differences in biological maturity status (Baker, Janning, Wong, Cobley &  
260 Schorer, 2014). Rather, they suggest that relative age and maturity selection biases exist and  
261 operate independently in English academy soccer.

262 In support of the underdog hypothesis, later maturing players reported more adaptive  
263 engagement in self-regulated learning, in particular self-evaluation and reflection. More  
264 adaptive learning skills may help mitigate some of the physical and functional disadvantages  
265 associated with later maturation (e.g., smaller size, inferior strength, speed, power. Malina et  
266 al., 2015). They may also provide an athletic advantage in adulthood, when maturity associated  
267 differences in size and function have attenuated or, in some cases, reversed (Lefevre et al.,  
268 1990). This advantage will only be realized, however, if later maturing players are selected into  
269 and retained within the academy system. Whether late maturing players possess a more  
270 adaptive skill set out of necessity (i.e., late maturing players without these skills are not selected  
271 or retained in the system) or whether these abilities develop as a response to greater challenge  
272 is, as of yet, unclear. Though statistically significant, it is important to note that the associations  
273 between maturation and self-regulation were small in magnitude. A marginally more adaptive

274 self-regulation profile, though desirable in the long term, may not be sufficient to offset the  
275 physical disadvantages associated with later maturation and/or guarantee progression to the  
276 most senior levels. In support of this contention, Zuber et al., (2016) found that late maturing  
277 Swiss soccer players, despite being psychologically and technically more gifted, were  
278 underrepresented and failed to enter the most elite level programs (Zuber et al., 2016). Thus,  
279 further strategies may required to ensure that talented, yet less mature, academy players are are  
280 not overlooked to excluded from the academy systems.

281         Relative age and the interaction between relative age and biological maturity status did  
282 not predict engagement in self-regulatory learning in academy soccer players. This suggests  
283 that relative age does not contribute towards an underdog effect in this specific context, at least  
284 with respect to self-regulation and the current sample. It should be noted, however, that the  
285 players in the current study represent a highly select group of adolescent athletes. Differences  
286 in relative age may exert greater influence upon self-regulated behavior in childhood and/or at  
287 the grassroots level. Younger relative age may still afford an underdog advantage in attributes  
288 not included in this study; including motivation, decision making, resiliency, and/or technical  
289 and tactical ability. Future research may wish to consider studying the underdog effect in  
290 relation to these constructs.

291         The present study's findings have important implications for the selection and  
292 development of young soccer players. As relative age and maturation biases exist and operate  
293 independent of one another, practitioners should design separate strategies to address their  
294 respective biases. Ideally, these strategies should also be implemented at different levels and  
295 stages of athlete development. Strategies designed to combat RAEs should be introduced to  
296 combat maturity selection biases and are best delivered at the onset of adolescence, when  
297 maturity associated differences in size and function first emerge, and within an academy  
298 context. The results of the current study suggest that underdog effects in soccer may be more

299 likely to result from variance in maturation than relative age. This observation may be  
300 explained by a greater potential for variance in maturation than relative age within competitive  
301 age groups. Players of the same chronological age have been shown to vary by as much as 5 to  
302 6 years in skeletal age. In contrast, the maximum potential for variance in relative age within a  
303 competitive age group is 0.99 years. Accordingly, the least mature players within an age group  
304 may have a greater need to possess superior technical/tactical or psycho-behavioral skills than  
305 those who are the youngest. In line with this reasoning, Whitely et al., (2017) found maturation  
306 to exert a much greater impact upon player selection and retention in academy soccer than  
307 relative age.

308         While it is valuable to highlight the potential benefits of later maturation in soccer, it  
309 is equally important to consider and address any possible disadvantages associated with early  
310 maturity. Pressures to succeed and/or avoid being released may encourage early maturing  
311 players to rely on their physical and functional advantages at the expense of their psychological  
312 and technical/tactical development. Due to the transient nature of physical and athletic  
313 advantages, early maturing players will also be unable able to rely upon these attributes at the  
314 adult level. It is therefore imperative that academies create learning environments that  
315 encourage early maturing boys to develop the more adaptive skill sets and not to rely on their  
316 physicality. Strategies such as bio-banding (Cumming, Lloyd, Oliver, Eisenmann, & Malina,  
317 2017), in which players are periodically grouped by maturity status rather than chronological  
318 age, have been shown to expose early maturing males to greater challenge and to provide the  
319 same learning conditions that late maturing players experience on a regular basis (Cumming,  
320 Brown, et al., 2017; Reeves, Enright, Dorling, & Roberts, 2018). When competing in bio-  
321 banded formats, early maturing males report being less able to rely on their physical and  
322 functional attributes and are forced to use their technical, tactical and psychological skills.  
323 Further, early maturing players also benefit from playing with, and being mentored by, older

324 peers. Sports psychologists can support early maturing boys in such contexts by aligning their  
325 psychological provision; teaching early maturing players how to use more adaptive self-  
326 regulatory skillsets, optimising their physical, psychological, technical and tactical  
327 development.

328         Although later maturing players reported greater engagement in self-regulation, a  
329 selection bias towards players advanced in maturity was still evident in the current sample.  
330 This suggests that adaptive psychological skills, while desirable, may not be sufficient to  
331 overcome the physical and functional disadvantages associated with later biological  
332 maturation. By limiting maturity-associated variation in size and function, bio-banding affords  
333 late maturing players greater opportunity to use and demonstrate their technical, physical and  
334 psychological attributes. Moreover, evidence suggests that bio-banding as a grouping strategy  
335 encourages a less physical and more technical and tactical style of play (Cumming, Brown, et  
336 al., 2017). A recent comparison of technical and physical performance across bio-banded and  
337 age grouping strategies revealed twice as much passing and dribbling in the maturity-matched  
338 format (Thomas, Oliver, Kelly, & Knapman, 2017). Bio-banding also provides coaches, scouts  
339 and academy managers with the opportunity to evaluate early and later maturing players in  
340 scenarios where maturity-associated differences in size and function are less pronounced,  
341 making differences in psychological, technical and tactical ability more detectable. Strategies  
342 such as average age teams and relative age and/or maturity ordered numbered bibs may also  
343 help coaches and scouts better recognise and account for differences associated with variation  
344 in maturity status and relative age when evaluating player performance (Mann & van  
345 Ginneken, 2017).

346         The present study is not without its limitations. First, measures of parent height were  
347 either measured directly or self-reported. While measures of true parental height are ideal, the  
348 latter method relies on accurate self-reporting and the associated adjustment equations for



349 overestimation. Differences in physical size (i.e., height and weight) may have played an  
350 additional role in relation to the selection and/or development of players with specific  
351 psychological profiles. For example, self-regulation may be equally important for boys who  
352 are constitutionally small yet early maturing. As noted, the cross-sectional nature of this study  
353 also makes it difficult to ascertain whether later maturing players had always possessed a more  
354 adaptive self-regulatory skills set or if it developed as a result of the greater challenges that  
355 they had faced. Future studies should employ longitudinal designs to better understand how  
356 self-regulatory skills develop and the role that they play in relation to the processes of selection  
357 and retention. Finally, it should be noted that this study assessed only one aspect of the players'  
358 maturational status (i.e., physical) and that cognitive, social, and/or motor development are  
359 more closely associated with age than biological maturity.

360         In conclusion, the results of the present study partially support the underdog hypothesis.  
361 Although an apparent selection bias towards relatively older players was found, the results  
362 indicated no association between relative age and self-regulation. In contrast, later maturing  
363 players appeared to possess a psychological advantage as evidenced in greater self-regulation,  
364 specifically self-evaluation and reflection. This study is the first of its kind in youth soccer and,  
365 thus, the results must be considered and interpreted with caution. Further research is required.  
366 Nevertheless, the results of the current study highlight the importance of retaining late maturing  
367 players within academy systems and challenging players who are advanced in maturation.

## References

- Baker, J., Schorer, J., & Cobley, S. (2010). Relative age effects. *Sportwissenschaft*, 40(1), 26-30.
- Baker, J., Janning, C., Wong, H., Cobley, S., & Schorer, J. (2014). Variations in relative age effects in individual sports: Skiing, figure skating and gymnastics. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 14, S183-S190.
- Bayer, L. M., & Bailey, N. (1959). *Growth diagnosis: Selected methods for interpreting and predicting development from one year*. Chicao, Il. : Chicago University Press.
- Blakemore, S. J. (2014). Brain Development in Adolescence. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 85.
- Borms, J. (1986). The child and exercise: An overview. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 4, 3-20.
- Carling, C., le Gall, F., Reilly, T., & Williams, A. M. (2009). Do anthropometric and fitness characteristics vary according to birth date distribution in elite youth academy soccer players? *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 19, 3-9.
- Cumming, S. P., Battista, R. A., Standage, M., Ewing, M. E., & Malina, R. M. (2006). Estimated maturity status and perceptions of adult autonomy support in youth soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24, 1039-1046.
- Cumming, S. P., Brown, D. J., Mitchell, S., Bunce, J., Hunt, D., Hedges, C., Crane, G., Gross, A., Scott, S., & Franklin, E. (2017). Premier League academy soccer players' experiences of competing in a tournament bio-banded for biological maturation. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1-9.

- Cumming, S. P., Lloyd, R. S., Oliver, J. L., Eisenmann, J. C., & Malina, R. M. (2017). Bio-banding in sport: applications to competition, talent identification, and strength and conditioning of youth athletes. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 39, 34-47.
- Cumming, S. P., Lloyd, R. S., Oliver, J. L., Eisenmann, J. C., & Malina, R. M. (2017). Bio-banding in Sport: Applications to Competition, Talent Identification, and Strength and Conditioning of Youth Athletes. *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, 39, 34-47.
- Cumming, S. P., Sherar, L. B., Esliger, D. W., Riddoch, C., & Malina, R. M. (2014). Concurrent and prospective associations among biological maturation, and physical activity at 11 and 13 years of age. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 24.
- Downey, R. G., & King, C. V. (1998). Missing data in Likert ratings: A comparison of replacement methods. *The Journal of general psychology*, 125, 175-191.
- Epstein, L. H., Valoski, A. M., Kalarchian, M. A., & McCurley, J. (1995). Do children lose and maintain weight easier than adults: a comparison of child and parent weight changes from six months to ten years. *Obesity*, 3, 411-417.
- Figueiredo A. J, Coelho-e-Silva M. J, Sarmiento H & Malina R. M. (under review). Adolescent characteristics of young adult regional and national soccer players.
- Figueiredo A. J, Coelho-e-Silva M. J, Cumming S. P & Malina R. M. (under review). Relative age effect: Characteristics of youth soccer players by birth quarter and subsequent playing status.
- Gibbs, B. G., Jarvis, J. A., & Dufur, M. J. (2012). The rise of the underdog? The relative age effect reversal among Canadian-born NHL hockey players: A reply to Nolan and Howell. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 47, 644-649.

- Gould, D., Dieffenbach, K., & Moffett, A. (2002). Psychological characteristics and their development in Olympic champions. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 14*, 172-204.
- Helsen, W. F., Starkes, J. L., & Van Winckel, J. (1998). The influence of relative age on success and dropout in male soccer players. *American Journal of Human Biology, 10*, 791-798.
- Himes, J. H., & Roche, A. F. (1982). Reported versus measured adult statures. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 58*, 335-341.
- Hirose, N. (2009). Relationships among birth-month distribution, skeletal age and anthropometric characteristics in adolescent elite soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 27*, 1159-1166.
- Hong, E., & O'Neil Jr, H. F. (2001). Construct validation of a trait self-regulation model. *International Journal of Psychology, 36*, 186-194.
- Jones, B. D., Lawrence, G. P., & Hardy, L. (2017). New evidence of relative age effects in “super-elite” sportsmen: a case for the survival and evolution of the fittest. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 1-7*.
- Khamis, H. J., & Roche, A. F. (1995). Predicting Adult Stature without Using Skeletal Age - the Khamis-Roche Method. *Pediatrics, 94*, 504-507.
- Kirk, D. (2005). Physical education, youth sport and lifelong participation: the importance of early learning experiences. *European Physical Education Review, 11*, 239-255.
- Kitsantas, A., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Comparing self-regulatory processes among novice, non-expert, and expert volleyball players: A microanalytic study. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 14*, 91-105.

- Krogman, W. M. (1959). Maturation age of 55 boys in the Little League World Series, 1957. *Research Quarterly. American Association for Health, Physical Education and Recreation*, 30, 54-56.
- le Gall, F., Carling, C., Williams, M., & Reilly, T. (2010). Anthropometric and fitness characteristics of international, professional and amateur male graduate soccer players from an elite youth academy. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13, 90-95.
- Lefevre, J., Beunen, G., Steens, G., Claessens, A., & Renson, R. (1990). Motor-Performance during Adolescence and Age 30 as Related to Age at Peak Height Velocity. *Annals of Human Biology*, 17, 423-435.
- Lovell, R., Towlson, C., Parkin, G., Portas, M., Vaeyens, R., & Cobley, S. (2015). Soccer Player Characteristics in English Lower-League Development Programmes: The Relationships between Relative Age, Maturation, Anthropometry and Physical Fitness. *Plos One*, 10.
- Malina, R. M. (2003). Growth and maturity of young soccer (football) players. In T. Reilly & A. M. Williams (Eds.), *Science and soccer* (pp. 287-306). London: Routledge.
- Malina R. M. (2011). Skeletal age and age verification in youth sport. *Sports Med*, 41, 925-47.
- Malina, R. M., Bouchard, C., & Bar-Or, O. (2004). *Growth, maturation, and physical activity* (2nd ed.). Champaign, Ill: Human Kinetics.
- Malina, R. M., Dompier, T. P., Powell, J. W., Barron, M. J., & Moore, M. T. (2007). Validation of a Noninvasive maturity estimate relative to skeletal age in youth football players. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 17, 362-368.
- Malina, R. M., & Koziel, S. M. (2014). Validation of maturity offset in a longitudinal sample of Polish boys. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 32(5), 424-437.

- Malina, R. M., Morano, P. J., Barron, M., Miller, S. J., & Cumming, S. P. (2005). Growth status and estimated growth rate of youth football players: A community-based study. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, 15*, 125-132.
- Malina, R. M., Morano, P. J., Barron, M. A., Miller, S. J., Cumming, S. P., & Kontos, A. P. (2006). Incidence and player risk factors for injury in youth football. *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine, 3*, 214-222.
- Malina, R. M., Rogol, A. D., Cumming, S. P., Silva, M. J. C. E., & Figueiredo, A. J. (2015). Biological maturation of youth athletes: assessment and implications. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 49*, 852-859.
- Malina, R. M., Silva, M. J. C. E., Figueiredo, A. J., Carling, C., & Beunen, G. P. (2012). Interrelationships among invasive and non-invasive indicators of biological maturation in adolescent male soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 30*, 1705-1717.
- Mann, D. L., & van Ginneken, P. J. M. A. (2017). Age-ordered shirt numbering reduces the selection bias associated with the relative age effect. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 35*, 784-790.
- Meylan, C., Cronin, J., Oliver, J., & Hughes, M. (2010). Talent Identification in Soccer: The Role of Maturity Status on Physical, Physiological and Technical Characteristics. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 5*, 571-592.
- Musch, J., & Grondin, S. (2001). Unequal competition as an impediment to personal development: A review of the relative age effect in sport. *Developmental Review, 21*, 147-167.
- Nakata, H. (2017). Relationship between the relative age effect and lengths of professional careers in male Japanese baseball players: A retrospective analysis. *Sports Medicine Open, 3*, 21.

- Orlick, T., & Partington, J. (1988). Mental links to excellence. *The sport psychologist*, 2, 105-130.
- Ostojic, S.M., Castagna, C., Calleja-Gonzalez, J., Jukic, I., Idrizovic, K., & Stojanovic, M. (2014). The biological age of 14 year old boys and success in adult soccer: Do early maturers predominate in the top-level game. *Research in Sports Medicine: An International Journal*, 22, 398-407.
- Reeves, M.J., Enright, K.J., Dowling, J., & Roberts S.J. (2018) Stakeholders' understanding and perceptions of bio-banding in junior-elite football training. *Soccer and Society*, 1-17.
- Reilly, T., Bangsbo, J., & Franks, A. (2000). Anthropometric and physiological predispositions for elite soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18, 669-683.
- Reilly, T., Williams, A. M., Nevill, A., & Franks, A. (2000). A multidisciplinary approach to talent identification in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18, 695-702.
- Skorski, S., Skorski, S., Faude, O., Hammes, D., & Meyer, T. (2016). The relative age effect in elite German youth soccer: implications for a successful career. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*, 11, 370-376.
- Sweet, S. L., Dompier, T. P., Stoneberg, K. N., & Ragan, B. G. (2002). Self-reported parent stature is acceptable in estimates of maturity status in youth soccer players. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 4, S-129.
- Thomas, C. H., Oliver, J., Kelly, A., & Knapman, H. (2017). A pilot study of the demands of chronological age group and bio-banded match play in elite youth soccer. *Graduate Journal of Sport Exercise and Physical Education Research*, 1, s10.

- Toering, T., Jordet, G., & Ripegut, A. (2013). Effective learning among elite football players: The development of a football-specific self-regulated learning questionnaire. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 31*, 1412-1420.
- Toering, T. T., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Jordet, G., & Visscher, C. (2009). Self-regulation and performance level of elite and non-elite youth soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 27*, 1509-1517.
- Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., Williams, A. M., & Philippaerts, R. M. (2008). Talent identification and development programmes in sport - Current models and future directions. *Sports Medicine, 38*, 703-714.
- Wattie, N., Cobley, S., & Baker, J. (2008). Towards a unified understanding of relative age effects. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 26*, 1403-1409.
- Wattie, N., Schorer, J., & Baker, J. (2015). The Relative Age Effect in Sport: A Developmental Systems Model. *Sports Medicine, 45*, 83-94.
- Whiteley, R., Johnson, A., & Farooq, A. (2017). Skeletal maturation status is a more powerful selection effect than birth quarter for elite youth academy football players. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 20*, e86.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2006). Development and Adaptation of Expertise: The Role of Self-Regulatory Processes and Beliefs.
- Zuber, C., Zibung, M., & Conzelmann, A. (2016). Holistic Patterns as an Instrument for Predicting the Performance of Promising Young Soccer Players - A 3-Years Longitudinal Study. *Frontiers in Psychology, 7*.