



LJMU Research Online

Shubbar, AAF, Jafer, H, Abdulredha, M, Al-Khafaji, ZS, Nasr, MS, Al Masoodi, Z and Sadique, MM

Properties of cement mortar incorporated high volume fraction of GGBFS and CKD from 1 day to 550 days

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/12437/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Shubbar, AAF, Jafer, H, Abdulredha, M, Al-Khafaji, ZS, Nasr, MS, Al Masoodi, Z and Sadique, MM (2020) Properties of cement mortar incorporated high volume fraction of GGBFS and CKD from 1 day to 550 days. Journal of Building Engineering. 30. ISSN 2352-7102

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Properties of cement mortar incorporated high volume fraction of GGBFS**
2 **and CKD from 1 day to 550 days**

3 **Ali Abdulhussein Shubbar ^{a, b *}, Hassnen Jafer ^b, Muhammad Abdulredha ^c, Zainab S.**
4 **Al-Khafaji ^d, Mohammed Salah Nasr ^e, Zainab Al Masoodi ^f, Monower Sadique ^a**

5 ^a Department of Civil Engineering, Liverpool John Moores University, Henry Cotton Building,
6 Webster Street, Liverpool L3 2ET, UK.

7 ^b Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Babylon, Babylon,
8 Iraq

9 ^c Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Kerbala, Kerbala,
10 Iraq

11 ^d Department of Civil Engineering, Al- Mustaqbal University College, Babylon, Iraq

12 ^e Babylon Technical Institute, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, 51015 Babylon, Iraq.

13 ^f Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Warith Alanbiyaa,
14 Kerbala, Iraq

15

16 * Corresponding author:

17 A.A.SHUBBAR@2014.LJMU.AC.UK, alishubbar993@gmail.com (A.A.Shubbar)

18

19

20

21 **Abstract**

22 This study aims to investigate the effect of cement replacement with high volume fraction of
23 ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) and cement kiln dust (CKD) on mechanical,
24 durability and microstructural properties of cement mortar from 1 day to 550 days. Compressive
25 strength and ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) were used to evaluate the mortars' performance.
26 Besides, statistical analyses were conducted to predict mortars' mechanical and durability
27 performance as well as investigate the influence of mortars' properties (mixture and curing
28 time) on their performance. The results indicated that replacing the cement with up to 60%
29 GGBFS and CKD showed a comparable behavior to the cement after 28 days of curing onward.
30 The statistical analysis revealed that the developed models achieved high level of agreement
31 between the predicted and observed results with a coefficient of determination (R^2) of more
32 than 0.97. The findings in this study announced on the development of promising binder that
33 can be used in different construction sectors with the benefits of reducing the CO₂ emissions.

34 **Keywords:** Compressive strength; cement kiln dust; early and later ages; high volume fraction;
35 ground granulated blast furnace slag; multiple regression.

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 **1. Introduction**

44 At the beginning of the twenty-first century, about a hundred and eighty-nine countries around
45 the world were adopting the Declaration of United Nation Millennium creating Millennium
46 Development Goals (MDGs) when they decided to work together for the new generation future
47 [1]. MDGs set an ambitious list of goals to increase worldwide progress on social development,
48 economic development and sustainability of environment [1]. Sustainability in the construction
49 industry focuses on the materials selection, preparation and durability, ongoing efforts to
50 produce a new sustainable solutions to replace well-known materials fully or partially.

51 Global warming related directly to the Greenhouse Gasses (GHGs) phenomena considered as
52 one of the main risks humanity facing nowadays as a result of its detrimental impact on the
53 planet [2]. CO₂ emissions are among the gasses that contributing considerably to GHGs [3].
54 Cement is the most important building material that has been used worldwide as a binder (glue)
55 in numerous construction activities like bridges, buildings, roads, etc. [4, 5]. However, the
56 cement usage has many financial and environmental disadvantages. Van Ruijven et al., [6]
57 indicated that cement production is an intensive power consumer sector with about 15% of the
58 worldwide industrial power consumption following steel sector. It is also estimated that the
59 production of one tonne of cement requires nearly 1500 kg of quarry material, leading to the
60 production of roughly 1000 kg of CO₂ emissions [7, 8].

61 Cement manufacturing has therefore become one of the world's main issues and it is very
62 important to transform the building materials sector towards sustainable production by seeking
63 alternative resources to substitute or decrease the use of cement. Consequently, the search for
64 alternative products, like waste or/and by-product products that are usually referred to as
65 supplementary cementitious products (SCMs), to be utilised as cement substitute in the
66 construction field could be an important effort as a sustainable solution to reduce the production

67 of cement and GHGs, in order to achieve an environmentally friendly sector. These materials
68 have a main role in meeting the objective of achieving sustainable construction materials.

69 Large amounts of SCMs are produced daily from various industries around the world. Also,
70 they have a harmful impact on the environment and sustainable resources owing to the
71 possibility of contaminations depletion to the soil and groundwater (if heavy metals are
72 discovered in their chemical composition) as well as the cost of disposal [4, 9]. Consequently,
73 the reuse of SCMs from variant resources could therefore be useful in terms of sustainability
74 and could be utilised as cement substitutes that contribute to the decrease of GHGs and the
75 price of building products by offering similar efficiency to that of conventional cement [9, 10].

76 Several studies were conducted utilising SCMs to substitute a part of the cement in binders for
77 use in various building industries like concrete building, rigid pavements and stabilizing the
78 soft soil [11-13]. The use of these products in the cement sector has increased the advantages
79 by decreasing the clinker quantity, which in turn decreases the adverse environmental impact
80 of cement and could improve several characteristics of cement [10].

81 The composition of SCMs contain several minerals, where some SCMs contain calcium with
82 high to moderate components such as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) and
83 cement kiln dust (CKD) in their chemical composition. These products have the characteristic
84 of self-cementing at varying concentrations depending on the availability of free lime in their
85 chemical compositions [14, 15]. When such materials activated with other products like
86 cement, lime and (other kinds of fly ashes as pozzolanic activators) these materials can play a
87 good role in the process of hydration [14, 16].

88 In many researches, GGBFS has been used as a cement substitute to create fresh low-carbon
89 binders. Roughly, all investigations indicated that GGBFS could be a precious substitute for
90 cement with binder efficiency similar to cement performance alone. Nevertheless, it has been

91 observed that GGBFS needs activation with other chemical components like alkaline materials
92 to enhance its efficiency as stated by Karthik et al. [17] and Sargent et al. [18]. Generally
93 speaking, GGBFS has two phases: the first phase which is in charge of the GGBFS hydration
94 called as the crystalline phase, while the second phase is called glassy phase, which is
95 responsible for the GGBFS cementitious properties [19]. Compared to cement, many
96 researchers have found that GGBFS has many benefits, like enhancing the bond between
97 particles, thereby decreasing concrete permeability and improving durability [20, 21].
98 However, due to the aforementioned advantages of utilizing GGBFS in the construction
99 industry, it is expected that the price of GGBFS will be increased.

100 There is therefore a need for various, inexpensive waste products that can be utilised in addition
101 to GGBFS to partly substitute conventional cement. This waste material could be cement kiln
102 dust (CKD), a waste material generated during the process of cement manufacturing. CKD is
103 an extremely alkaline, fine-grained by-product material that presents around 3% to 4% of the
104 complete cement generated during the manufacture of cement and has a chemical compositions
105 comparable to that of conventional cement [22]. CKD is classified as a safe (non-hazardous)
106 solid waste materials according to The Agency of Environmental Protection [23]. The CKD is
107 normally rich with compositions of alkaline like Na_2O and K_2O [23]. Additionally, the CKD
108 has extremely high pH value (around 12) and that could be responsible for the natural alkaline
109 activator to GGBFS [24, 25].

110 Ternary mixed binder manufacturing could considerably enhance concrete efficiency
111 compared to conventional Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) or binary mixed cements (OPC-
112 GGBFS cements). This is attributed to the mixing procedure where homogeneous nucleation
113 may occur between various particle sized fractions leading to the development of a dense
114 microstructure of hardened product with enhanced durability [26, 27].

115 Additionally, scholars have shown a particular interest in the development of statistical models
116 for experimental research as it significantly helps researchers to optimise, reproduce or
117 redesign their experiments [28-30]. For example, Jafer et al. [31] used statistical modelling to
118 investigate the influence of several experimental parameters on the strength of stabilised soil,
119 while Alattabi et al. [32] employed multiple linear regression to predict the performance of
120 two-stage settling sequencing batch reactor based on the parameters of the treated wastewater.
121 Statistical modelling also helps to view the causes and the effects for any experiment from
122 multiple perspectives to identify potential consequences and implement changes to reduce
123 defects or errors [33]. Thus, a part of this research has been devoted to building numerical
124 models that assess the influence of the curing time and mixture on the mechanical and
125 durability performance of the developed mortars.

126 Limited studies were considered evaluating the performance of cement mortars incorporating
127 high volume fraction of supplementary cementitious materials at both early and later ages
128 (beyond one year). Therefore, the novelty of this research is utilizing and evaluating the
129 performance of GGBS/CKD combinations as supplementary cementitious materials at high
130 volume fractions in cement mortar from 1 day to 550 days. Accordingly, this research aims
131 firstly to prepare a cheap and eco-friendly binder with a high volume fraction of SCMs by
132 partial substitution of OPC with a mixture of GGBS and CKD. To achieve this aim, mortar
133 mixtures were made and their mechanical, durability and microstructural characteristics were
134 evaluated from 1 day to 550 days. The other aim of this research is to build numerical models
135 that assess the influence of the curing time and mixture on the mechanical and durability
136 performance of the developed mortars.

137

138

139 **2. Research Significance**


140 The rise in global population has resulted in an increase in demand for the use of construction
141 materials, mainly cement. The cement industry emits significant amounts of greenhouse gases
142 and contributes towards global warming. In addition, the cement industry depletes natural
143 resources and affects the development of sustainability. This study aims to reduce this
144 environmentally harmful effect through decreasing the cement content in the mixture by
145 partially replacing it with a high volume fraction of industrial wastes/by-products (GGBS/CKD
146 combinations). The results obtained in this study are promising as cement content can be
147 reduced by 60% without affecting its different properties.

148 **3. Materials and Methodology**

149 **3.1. Materials**

150 **3.1.1 Sand**

151 In this project, the sand was passed through a 3.35mm IS sieve. The sand has a particle size
152 distribution as demonstrated in Fig. 1 with a specific gravity of 2.62.



153

154

Fig. 1: The sand's Particle size distribution.

155 **3.1.2 Water**

156 Normal tap water was utilised for mixing and curing of samples.

157 **3.1.3 Binder Materials**


158 In this research, three materials have been utilised in ternary blended binder production. The
159 materials were Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) and Ground
160 Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBFS). The cement utilized during the research was OPC
161 kind CEM-II / A / LL 32.5-N that conforms to the BS EN 197-1 [34]. CEMEX Quality
162 Department, Warwickshire, UK supplied the OPC and CKD while Hanson Heidelberg Cement
163 Group, Scunthorpe, UK supplied the GGBFS.

164 So as to identify the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) of the OPC, GGBFS and CKD, a Beckman
165 Coulter laser particle size analyser was utilised. Additionally, the specific surface area (SSA)
166 of the OPC, GGBFS and CKD was also measured utilizing Blaine air-permeability apparatus.
167 The curves of PSD for the OPC, GGBFS and CKD are presented in Fig. 2 as gained from the
168 laser particle size analyser. Table 1 demonstrates the variances in d_{50} , the SSA and the specific
169 gravity of the OPC, GGBFS and CKD.

170 Table 1: The variances in d_{50} , SSA and specific gravity of the binder materials.

Detail	OPC	GGBFS	CKD
d_{50} (μm)	12.660	7.523	26.95
SSA (cm^2/g)	4296	6931	3566
Specific gravity	2.936	3.05	2.983

171



172

173

Fig. 2: The accumulative distribution of particle size for OPC, CKD and GGBFS.

174

The PSD and SSA of the binder materials have a considerable effect on the mortar's

175

compressive strength. It has been stated by Celik et al. [35] that higher strength of concrete was

176

obtained for concrete made with finer SCMs as partial substitute to cement. Fig. 2 and Table 1

177

clearly shows that the finest particles were GGBFS particles in comparison with the other

178

binder materials. On the other hand, the largest particles were CKD particles relative to OPC,

179

and that could retard the mortars' performance during hydration reactivity [36, 37].

180

The binder materials (OPC, CKD and GGBFS) were chemically analysed utilizing Shimadzu

181

EDX-720 an Energy Dispersive X-ray Florescence Spectrometer (EDXRF). The chemical

182

compositions of the binder materials (OPC, CKD and GGBFS) are obtainable in Table 2. It

183

could be observed from Table 2 that GGBFS has high proportions of CaO and SiO₂ while the

184

CKD has higher proportion of CaO relative to GGBFS. Additionally, the CKD has higher alkali

185


(K₂O %) and sulphates (SO₃%) relative to OPC.

Table 2: Chemical analysis for OPC, HCFA and GGBFS.

Detail	OPC	GGBFS	CKD
CaO %	65.21	42.51	57.23
Al ₂ O ₃ %	1.70	5.12	4.2
Fe ₂ O ₃ %	1.64	-	3.8
SiO ₂ %	24.56	41.06	16.52
MgO %	1.30	4.25	0.8
Na ₂ O %	1.34	3.09	0.23
K ₂ O %	0.82	0.69	6.72
SO ₃ %	2.62	1.27	4.31
pH	12.73	11.02	12.75

187

188 Fig. 3 shows the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of the candidate materials used
 189 to produce the new binder in their powder un-hydrated state. The SEM testing of the powder
 190 material revealed that the particle shape of both OPC and GGBFS is irregular and angular while
 191 the particles of CKD are coagulated and agglomerated as shown in Fig. 3.



192

193

Fig. 3. SEM images of the candidate materials used in the study.

194 **3.2 Mixing Proportions**

195 Dhir et al. [38] replaced the cement by a constant percentage (35% of the total binder) of
 196 GGBS/CKD combinations. Five blends were made in which the GGBS to CKD ratios were
 197 varied from 0 to 100%. They concluded that the optimum performance was achieved at a
 198 GGBS/CKD ratio of 3 and the difference in the compressive strength in comparison with
 199 samples made with GGBS/CKD ratio of 2 was negligible. Therefore, in this study the
 200 GGBS/CKD ratio was chosen as 2 in order to increase the usage of CKD in the mixture, thus
 201 improving the environmental and economic performance of the new developed binder. The
 202 GGBFS and CKD were initially blended to prepare binary blended binder material (BBBM),
 203 then the BBBM was utilised to partially replace OPC to produce ternary blended binders. Table
 204 3 shows the ratios of mixing for the ternary blending mixes. During this research, the ratios of
 205 water/binder (W/B) and sand/binder (S/B) were fixed as 0.4 and 2.5, respectively.

206

207 Table 3: Mix proportion details of the mortar prepared with different proportions of OPC,
 208 GGBFS and CKD.

Mix ID	OPC (g)	GGBFS (g)	CKD (g)	Sand (g)	Water (g)
REF	150	0	0	375	60
T20	120	20	10	375	60
T40	90	40	20	375	60
T60	60	60	30	375	60
T80	30	80	40	375	60

209

210 The equivalent alkalinity ($\text{Na}_2\text{O} + 0.658 \text{K}_2\text{O}$) of T20, T40, T60 and T80 mixtures were 2.29,
211 2.69, 3.10 and 3.51% respectively. It was evident that these percentages have exceeded the
212 limits ($\text{Na}_2\text{O} + 0.658 \text{K}_2\text{O} \leq 0.6\%$) specified by the international standards for OPC to prevent
213 the potential damage caused by alkali-silica reactivity (ASR). However, according to Hester et
214 al. [39], up to 50% substitution of cement with GGBFS, the GGBFS concretes had very low
215 expansion levels (at equivalent alkalinity loads of 5 and 6 kg/m³). They attributed that
216 reduction to that, at high substitution levels, the GGBFS suppressed the transport of hydroxyl
217 ions (OH) to such an extent that it counteracted the potential adverse effect of the increased
218 alkalinity. Therefore, this is another reason of mixing the GGBFS with CKD at GGBFS/CKD
219 ratio of 2 in this research to overcome such a problem.

220 ***3.3 Testing Programme***

221 ***3.3.1 Setting time and Standard consistency***

222 The fresh characteristics (setting time and standard consistency) of the ternary paste mixtures
223 were tested utilizing the Vicat apparatus in accordance with BS EN 196-3 [40]

224 ***3.3.2 Compressive strength***

225 Compression testing was conducted by a compression machine brand Control Automax 5
226 according to BS EN 196-1 [41]. This apparatus features a precise load rate implementation and
227 the data of the failure can be acquired and downloaded to a machine-connected computer. The
228 data is displayed directly in both stresses and loads. Specimens of every ternary mixes were
229 tested after subjecting to various curing phases; 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 56, 90 and 550 day except
230 the samples for mixture T20 that were cured until the age of 28 days. Two specimens with
231 dimensions of 40x40x160 mm were produced for every blending ratio at every curing period.
232 Each specimen was broken into two part by three points loading of the prism specimens and
233 an average of four parts were taken to represent the final compressive strength values.

234 **3.3.3 Test of Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV)**

235 This test is one of the non-destructive tests used to assess the durability of mortar and/or
236 concrete specimens [42]. A Proceq ultrasonic pulse machine was utilised to determine the
237 transit time required for ultrasonic waves to travel from the transducer and receive the
238 transducer through the interposed mortar specimens in accordance with BS 1881-203: 1986
239 [43]. For this purpose, four cubic specimens that have dimensions of 100X100X100 mm were
240 prepared and subjected to similar curing periods as compressive strength testing (1, 2, 3, 7, 14,
241 21, 28, 56, 90 and 550 day) except the samples for mixture T20 that were cured until the age
242 of 28 days. The results of this experiment could be useful to offer an indication of durability
243 by evaluating the reduction of the voids through the healing moment as the velocity rises with
244 the decrease of the voids inside the tested specimen owing to the rise in density resulting in a
245 compact and denser structure.

246 **3.3.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy**
247 **(EDX) Analyses**

248 SEM is the most commonly utilised method for analysing the morphology of hardened products
249 and evaluating the degree of hydration in the cement studies sector [44]. Though SEM is a
250 method that is susceptible to the surface, it could still provide a lot of data about the surface of
251 the specimens that is of particular importance since the surface of the specimen is the first
252 location that will accommodate any reactions [45]. After 1, 3, 7, 28, 90 and 550 days of curing,
253 SEM testing was conducted to assess the morphology of each raw material in its powder state
254 and optimal ternary mixed binder material paste. Additionally, EDX analysis was also
255 performed in this study for the optimal ternary mixed binder material paste after 1, 3, 7 and 28
256 days to analyse its elemental composition. Both tests were performed utilizing a 5-20 kV
257 accelerating voltage for EDX Oxford Inca x-act sensor, a FEI SEM model Inspect S and a

258 Quanta 200. The specimens were coated with a gold layer utilizing a sputter coater to increase
259 visibility before the tests were performed.

260 *3.4 Numerical analysis*

261 The experimental results were numerically analysed to investigate the influence of the
262 experimental parameters (curing time and mixture) on the mechanical and durability properties
263 of the mortars, using the SPSS-26 package. The results for the ternary mixture T20 were not
264 included in the numerical analysis due to the shortage in data after the age of 28 days that
265 significantly affect the results of the numerical analysis model. Multiple regression (MR), a
266 family of techniques used to model the relationship between several explanatory variables
267 (EVs) and a response variable (RV) by fitting a linear equation as shown in Equation (1) [30,
268 33, 46], has been employed in this study. This technique was selected owing to its simple
269 algorithm [30, 47], wide application [46, 48] and achievable validation [33, 49].

$$K = m_0 + \sum_{i=1}^j m_i x_i + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

270 where K is the predicted RV, m_i are the regression coefficients, x_i are the EVs, j is the number
271 of EVs and ε is a residual error coefficient.

272 Researchers [50-53] stated that mortars' properties are functions of the experimental
273 parameters e.g. curing time, curing type and materials. In the current study, two models were
274 developed to assess the influence of experimental parameters on the compressive strength and
275 the durability of the mortars. Based on this, to develop reliable MR models, it is essential to
276 meet the assumptions of MR technique [33, 46, 49] namely type of variables, dataset size,
277 normality of continues variables (EVs and RV) collinearity among EVs and presence of outliers
278 in addition to assess the EVs contribution and model's prediction accuracy [54, 55] .

279 Laerd Statistics [49] stated that RV must be a continuous variable and the EVs should be either
280 continuous or nominal variables in order to employ MR technique for production analysis. In
281 addition, Pallant [46] and Abdulredha et al. [30] indicated that the following equation can be
282 used, taking into account the number of EVs, to determine the minimum required dataset size
283 to build a generalizable MR model:

$$284 \quad n \geq 50 + 8 * j \quad (2)$$

285 where n is the size of the experimental dataset and j is the number of EVs.

286 The production of the developed MR is more robust if the distribution of the continues variables
287 is normal [33, 46]. Normality can be assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov's test [33, 49],
288 where the statistical significance (p) of the latter test must be greater than 0.05 [46]. If
289 otherwise, many transformation methods are available in the literature depending on the
290 direction and the extent of the skewness from the normal distribution e.g. logarithmic
291 transformations [33, 49].

292 Collinearity of EVs and outlying cases are important assumptions need to be investigated in
293 the preliminary stages of developing MR models [33]. Collinearity refers to the existence of a
294 strong relation between two EVs, which can be solved by excluding one of the related EVs [46,
295 49]. Collinearity can be identified by calculating the tolerance value for each EV, where a value
296 of 0.1 or less confirms the presence of collinearity in the used dataset [30, 33]. Outliers, on the
297 other hand, are cases with extreme values, which make the outcome of the MR model invalid
298 [49]. Outliers can be spotted by calculating the standardised residuals; any case with a residuals
299 value out the range of ± 3.3 must be considered as an outlier and excluded from the analysis if
300 deemed necessary [30, 33, 46].

301 After checking the MR assumptions have been met, it is essential to assess the contribution of
302 the EVs and the performance of the prediction model [47]. The relative importance of each EV

303 contribution can be assessed by determining the regression coefficient (m) and statistical
304 significance (p) [46]. The regression coefficient shows the contribution of each EV on the
305 variation in the predicted score of RV. The contribution varies from tangible to negligible
306 according to the statistical significance of each EV [33, 49]. A p -value of less than 0.05
307 suggests that the EV has a tangible contribution to the developed prediction model [49].

308 The performance of each developed MR model in explaining the association between the EVs
309 and the RV can be assessed through calculating the coefficient of determination (R^2) [30, 33,
310 47]. The latter coefficient (Equation (3)) shows the concordance between the predicted score
311 of the RV and observed score of the RV, where R^2 of 1 or closer to 1 suggests that the model
312 can produce a reliable prediction [46, 49].

$$313 \quad R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i - \bar{Y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - \bar{Y})^2} \quad (3)$$

314 where \bar{Y} is the mean of the RV, P_i are the predicted scores of the RV, O_i are the observed scores
315 of the RV and n is the size of the experimental dataset.

316 **4. Results and discussion**

317 ***4.1. Consistency and setting time***

318 Table 4 presents the results of the consistency and initial and final setting times of all the ternary
319 mixtures along with the reference mixture (REF). The standard consistency test depends mainly
320 on the water to binder ratio, rate of hydration reactions and fineness of the binder materials
321 [51]. From Table 4, it can be recognized that the standard consistency (water demand)
322 increased with the increase in the materials replacing OPC to produce T20, T40, T60 and T80.
323 The highest value of consistency was recorded for the mixture T80 which contains the lowest
324 content of cement while T20 indicated a lower consistency among the other ternary mixtures.
325 This behaviour could be attributed to the higher specific surface area of GGBFS relative to

326 OPC and the high alkalinity, sulphate, volatile salts and free lime content of CKD along with
 327 the coarseness, particle size irregularity and high void spaces that all illustrated the high water
 328 demand of CKD as can be seen from the SEM image of the CKD in Fig 3 [51, 56-58].

329 Table 4. Standard consistency and setting times


Mixture ID	Consistency (%)	Initial setting time (min)	Final setting time (min)
REF (100% OPC)	33	270	290
T20	34	271	297
T40	35	275	305
T60	36	285	310
T80	37	290	325

330
 331 As per the results of setting times shown in Table 4, the results indicated increments in both
 332 initial and final setting times of the binder paste after incorporating the replacement materials
 333 (GGBFS and CKD). There were gradual increments in the initial setting times with the increase
 334 of the GGBFS and CKD content with a higher increase for the ternary mixture T80 (290
 335 minutes which is approximately 7.5% longer than that for REF). A similar behaviour was
 336 observed for the final setting time. However, a noticeable increase in the final setting time was
 337 found in T80 in comparison to that for the reference cement and T60. This behaviour could be
 338 attributed to the reduction occurred in the free lime content after the incorporation of GGBFS
 339 and CKD as cement replacement [59].

340 **4.2 Compressive strength**

341 The results of compressive strength of mortar samples of different mixtures subjected to
 342 different curing periods are presented in Fig. 4. Generally, there were significant reductions in

343 the compressive strength after incorporating GGBFS and CKD as cement replacements
 344 particularly at the early ages of curing. This reduction can be recognized clearly with the
 345 increase of the OPC replacement content. This was due to the slow acquisition of strength at
 346 initial curing ages for the mixes containing 40% or higher GGBS [60, 61]. Surprisingly, after
 347 the first day of curing, the ternary mixture T40 indicated a compressive strength higher than
 348 that of the reference mortar (8.4 and 9.6MPa for the reference cement and T40 respectively).
 349 This may be due to high alkalinity provided by sufficient amount of cement for activating the
 350 GGBFS quickly. After 7 days of curing, all mixes showed significant developments in the
 351 compressive strength; the strength developments achieved were 141%, 170%, 211%, 2.35%
 352 and 236% for REF, T20, T40, T60 and T80 respectively (at age of 7 days in referring to age of
 353 3 days). This indicated that as the replacement of cement increased, the progression of the
 354 compressive strength increased. This behavior could be attributed to the alkaline activation of
 355 the CKD to the grains of GGBFS resulting in compacted structure of the mortars which led to
 356 the significant development in the compressive strength [62].



357

358 Fig. 4. Development of mortars compressive strength at different ages of curing


359 For the samples cured for longer than 7 days, the evolution of the compressive strength of the
360 mortars contained GGBFS and CKD became higher than that for the reference cement. This
361 evolution in the compressive strength is attributed to the the formation of additional C-S-H gel
362 from both the chemical reaction occurring between the lime from the CKD and amorphous
363 silica provided by GGBS together with the high alkalinity environment of CKD that enhanced
364 the dissolution of the glassy phases of GGBS producing additional C-S-H gel [26, 63, 64]. This
365 gel tends to fill pores and grow into capillary spaces, resulting in a more impermeable, dense
366 and higher-strength structure [63-65].

367 Interestingly, the mortars prepared using T40 and T60 indicated compressive strengths closed
368 to that of REF after 28 days of curing onwards. Moreover, ternary mixture T60 indicated a
369 compressive strength comparable to the reference cement after 28 days curing (98.6% of the
370 compressive strength of the mortars prepared from OPC alone). However, a significant
371 reduction in compressive strength was recorded with the use of T80. At the curing age of 550
372 days, a noticeable increment was observed for the samples prepared from binders T40 and T60
373 with an acceptable compressive strength of T60 in comparison with that of the reference
374 mortars (T60 indicated a compressive strength equal to 96% of that of OPC). This behavior
375 could be due to the enhancement of the pozzolanic reaction of the GGBFS particles in the
376 presence of the alkaline materials and sulphates produced from CKD at the later ages of curing.
377 Therefore, the use of ternary blending of OPC, GGBFS and CKD can be promising as a
378 construction material in different fields of civil engineering which can perform similar to the
379 conventional binder (OPC).

380 ***4.3 Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) Test***

381 The results of UPV test performed on cubic mortars of 100X100X100mm prepared from
382 different ternary blended binders in addition to the reference binder (REF) at different curing

383 ages are presented in Fig. 5. Generally, the results obtained from UPV test were consistent with
 384 those obtained from the compressive strength test. For the early ages of curing (1, 2 and 3
 385 days), there were significant reduction in the measured velocity after the incorporation of
 386 GGBFS and CKD as cement replacement materials. After 7 days of curing, the results obtained
 387 from the UPV test became close to each other for all mixtures including the reference binder.
 388 After the first week of curing, the increase in the velocity of the reference sample was marginal
 389 indicating the slowdown of the mechanical properties evolution. The velocity measured from
 390 the UPV test of the reference sample was 4238 m/s at 14 days age while it became 4304 m/s at
 391 28 days. The development was more pronounced with respect to the samples prepared using
 392 the ternary blended mixtures. For example, the velocity measured from the samples prepared
 393 using T60 was 4087 m/s at 14 days age and became 4161 m/s at 28 days. Negligible reduction
 394 and no change were recorded between 21 and 28 days of curing for mixtures T40 and T80
 395 respectively.



396
397 Fig. 5. Development of velocity obtained from UPV test.

398 From Fig. 5, it can be seen that ternary mixtures T20, T40 and T60 exhibited a performance
 399 closed to each other over all curing periods and the performance of both was comparable to the

400 reference binder. A Similar behavior was reported by Najim et al. [23] after using CKD as a
401 cement replacement to produce concrete samples. They attributed the reduction in UPV values
402 with the increase in CKD content replacing OPC to the increase in the porosity and the decrease
403 in the density of the tested samples. Mohseni et al. [42] reported that mortar has good durability
404 when its UPV value is in the range of 3660–4575 m/s and according to Fig. 5 the mixture T40
405 and T60 have UPV value higher than 3660 m/s after 3 days of curing. Therefore, T40 and T60
406 could be considered as durable mortar [42].

407 According to the results of the mechanical and durability performance of cement mortars, the
408 mixture T60 was considered as the optimum mixture as it has shown comparable mechanical
409 and durability performance relative to the control mixture (REF) and at the same time it
410 incorporated higher amount of GGBFS and CKD relative to T40.

411 ***4.4 Numerical analysis and modelling***

412 ***4.4.1 Testing the Assumption of MR***

413 Two MR models were developed in this study; the first model employed experimental
414 parameters (curing time and mixture) as EVs to predict the mechanical performance of the
415 mortars (RV) while the second model uses the same parameters predicted the durability
416 performance of the mortars (RV). Researchers [66, 67] confirmed that the rate of mechanical
417 and durability performance development after seven days curing time is lower than that with
418 seven days age or less. Thus, the data set were divided into two sets of seven days age or less
419 and more than seven days for numerical analysis purposes.

420 To conduct MR analysis, issues surrounding assumptions MR need to be met [30, 33, 49]. The
421 RV should be one scale variable and EVs should be two or more variables measured at a
422 continuous or nominal level [33, 46]. The RVs are scale variables and the mixture is a nominal
423 variable with four categories (REF, T40, T60 and T80). These variables meet the assumption

424 of MR. However, the curing time variable is ordinal with 10 categories, which violates this
425 assumption. Tabachnick and Fidell [33] and [49] stated that the ordinal variable can be treated
426 as a scale variable or as a nominal variable during MR; thus, the curing time was treated as a
427 nominal with 10 categories during analysis. Besides, Tabachnick and Fidell, [33] recommend
428 the use of dummy variable coding to find linear relationships between nominal variables and
429 continues (scale) variables. Dummy coding is the conversion of a discrete variable with more
430 than two categories into a series of dichotomous ones (one fewer than its categories) that takes
431 the value 0 or 1 to indicate the absence or presence of the categorical effect [46, 49]. Thus,
432 three dichotomous variables were created to represent the four categories of mixtures and nine
433 dichotomous variables were created to represent the ten categories of curing time. Thus, the
434 design assumption of MR has been met.

435 The sample size should be substantial to produce a generalizable prediction model [33, 46].
436 According to Eq. (2), the smallest sample size to develop a generalizable prediction model
437 using MR technique is 66 observations, tacking in to account that two variables (curing time
438 and mixture) were used as EVs [30, 33]. This requirement was met as 160 observations were
439 collected for mortars' mechanical performance analysis and similar dataset size was used for
440 the durability performance analysis.

441 Additionally, the existence of collinearity among all dummy variables that represent both EVs
442 was examined by calculating the tolerance value for each dummy variable. The results of
443 collinearity test showed that all dummy variables met the collinearity assumptions as the values
444 of tolerance were greater than 0.1 (Table 5).

445 However, the outcome of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for both RVs (mechanical and
446 durability performance) showed that these variables do not follow a normal distribution (the p-
447 value was 0.000 for both RVs) which is less than the threshold value of 0.05 [46, 47]. The

448 inverse square root function [33, 49] has been used to mathematically normalised both RVs to
449 increase the p-values to a minimum of 0.069 which in turn confirms the normality.

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

Table 5: summary of statistical analysis

Model	Parameters' dummies	Tolerance	P-value	Presence of outliers		Maximum Mahalanobis Distance
				Cases' number	Standardised residuals	
Compressive strength	REF ^a	-	-	27	4.223	7.917
	T40	0.667	0.000			
	T60	0.667	0.000			
	T80	0.667	0.000			
	CT1 ^a	-	-			
	CT2	0.556	0.000			
	CT3	0.556	0.000			
	CT7	0.556	0.000			
	CT14 ^a	-	-			
	CT21	0.556	0.001			
	CT28	0.556	0.000			
	CT56	0.556	0.000			
	CT90	0.556	0.000			
	CT550	0.556	0.000			
Durability	REF ^a	-	-	14 35 36	7.773 3.348 3.308	5.906
	T40	0.667	0.000			
	T60	0.667	0.000			
	T80	0.667	0.000			
	CT1 ^a	-	-			
	CT2	0.556	0.000			
	CT3	0.556	0.000			
	CT7	0.556	0.000			
	CT14 ^a	-	-			
	CT21	0.556	0.000			
	CT28	0.556	0.000			
	CT56	0.556	0.000			
	CT90	0.556	0.000			
	CT550	0.556	0.000			

a. This is a reference category for comparison purposes.

469 Additionally, Table 5 shows that there is one outlier within the mechanical performance model
 470 (residual exceeded the range of ± 3.3) and three cases within the durability performance model.
 471 Tabachnick and Fidell [33] recommend the uses of Mahalanobis Distance and Cooks' Distance
 472 to understand the influence of these cases on the outcome of developed models. Pallant [46]
 473 stated that the outlying cases must be excluded if the Mahalanobis Distance and Cooks'
 474 Distance exceed the threshold values of 13.82 and 1, respectively; otherwise, the cases have an
 475 insignificant influence on the developed models. Thus, both distances were calculated to check
 476 whether or not the outlying cases in both models exert significant influences. Table 5 showed
 477 that the Mahalanobis Distance values for both models were below the threshold value,
 478 indicating that the outliers do not exert a significant influence. Besides, the Cooks' Distances
 479 were 0.226 and 0.448 for mechanical and durability performance models, respectively,
 480 confirming that the cases have a negligible influence on the predictability of the proposed MR
 481 models. Therefore, these cases were kept in the models due to their minor influence.

482 ***4.4.2 Contribution of EVs and Models' performance***

483 Based on the results of the statistical analyses, two MR models were developed to predict
 484 mortars' mechanical and durability performance. Equations (4) and (5) show the influence of
 485 the studied parameters (curing time and mixture) on the development of the mechanical and
 486 durability performance of the mortars.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Mechanical} = & \Omega(1.751 - 0.284 T40 - 0.320 T60 - 0.371 T80 + 0.239 CT2 \quad (4) \\
 & + 0.363 CT3 + 0.724 CT7)^4 \\
 & + \mathcal{L}(45.33 - (3.571 + 0.458 T40 + 0.655 T60 + 1.423 T80 \\
 & - 0.443 CT21 - 1.238 CT28 - 1.351 CT56 - 1.631 CT90 \\
 & - 2.389 CT550)^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Durability = & \Omega(4250 - (30.896 + 8.215 T40 + 8.835 T60 + 11.695 T80 \\
& - 11.971 CT2 - 16.804 CT3 - 24.098 CT7)^2) + \mathcal{L}(4261.77 \\
& - 161.35 T40 - 187.958 T60 - 300.714 T80 + 43.125 CT21 \\
& + 72.459 CT28 + 110.014 CT56 + 141.104 CT90 \\
& + 201.312 CT550)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$


487 Where Ω and \mathcal{L} are the combination factors, with values given in Equations (6) and (7):

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } CT \leq 7 \text{ days} \\ 0 & \text{when } CT > 7 \text{ days} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } CT \leq 7 \text{ days} \\ 1 & \text{when } CT > 7 \text{ days} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

488 The contribution of each EV to the outcome of the developed models was evaluated through
489 the use of the regression coefficient (m) and the statistical significance (p -value) [33, 46, 49].
490 Table 5 shows that all the employed EVs have p -values of 0.001 or less suggesting that the
491 effect of each EV to the RV is statistically significant. Besides, the regression coefficient
492 provides proper information about the effect of each individual EV to the RV [30, 33, 47]. It
493 can be clearly seen from equation 4 that the mechanical performance of the mortars increases
494 with the increase in the curing time (the coefficients of the curing time increase with the
495 increase in curing time). For example, the square root of the compressive strength is higher by
496 2.398 at age of 550 days compared to the age of 14 days; similarly, the fourth root of the
497 compressive strength is higher by 0.724 at age of 7 days compared to the age of one day.
498 Equation 5 also shows that curing time significantly influences the durability performance of
499 the mortars e.g. the durability is higher by 200 unit (m/s) at age of 550 days compared to 14
500 days of curing time.

501 Mixtures' materials influence varies according to the fractions of waste materials used.
502 Generally, it can be said that the use of waste materials lowers the mechanical and durability
503 performance of the mortars. However, the numerical analysis showed that both T40 and T60
504 mixtures achieve comparable mechanical and durability performance (the regression
505 coefficients are comparable for both mixtures) to that achieved by the control mixture despite
506 the fact that 40% and 60% of the OPC was replaced by waste materials, respectively. On the
507 other hand, mixture T80 show a significant drop in both mechanical and durability performance
508 compared to the control mixture, particularly after 7 days of curing time. The regression
509 coefficients of mixture T80 dramatically larger than that observed for other mixtures,
510 suggesting that the mechanical and durability performance of the mortars are dramatically
511 dropped. The numerical analysis results support the claim that T60 was the optimum mixture
512 as it has shown comparable mechanical and durability performance relative to the control
513 mixture and at the same time it incorporated 60% of waste materials.



514

515 Fig. 6: Relationships between predicted and observed mortars' properties: A) the mechanical
516 performance of the mortars and B) the durability performance of the mortars.

517 To evaluate the performance of the proposed production models, about 40% of each dataset
518 was randomly selected using random sampling function in SPSS-26 software to avoid
519 statistical bias. 67 cases were used to evaluate the performance of the compressive strength
520 prediction model while 57 cases were used to evaluate the performance of the durability
521 prediction model. Fig. 6 reveals both models achieved a high level of agreement between the
522 predicted and observed RV, the R^2 values for both models were higher than 0.97. This confirms
523 that both models were able to explain more than 97% of the variance in the mechanical and
524 durability performance of the mortars.

525 ***4.5 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX)***

526 ***Testing***

527 The micrographs of the hydrated pastes of the selected binder (T60) after they were subjected
528 to different curing periods are presented in Fig. 7. At the early ages of hydration (1, 3 and 7
529 days) the formation of needle shape particles (Ettringite) as well as the platy shape of
530 Portlandite (CH) can be observed easily which gives an indication of the reactivity of the used
531 binder to enhance the mechanical properties of the produced mortars [45, 57]. However, the
532 SEM image of the 3 and 7 days aged paste indicated the formation of the cementitious gel
533 (Calcium Silicate Hydrate (C-S-H)) that is the main strength-generating material responsible
534 for providing the binding and strengthening properties of the mix and it contributes the early
535 compressive gained along with the Ettringite [26]. Moreover, the pore voids at the early ages
536 of curing can be recognized in the morphology of the examined pastes. These findings are
537 agreed with those reported by Chaunsali and Peethamparan [68]. With the progression of the
538 hydration time, the microstructure of the T60 paste becomes denser and more coherent. As it
539 can be seen from Fig. 7, at 28 days of hydration, the hydration gel (C-S-H) is the dominant and
540 there is no presence for both the Ettringite and CH particles. A very dense and coherent

541 microstructure was gained after longer curing period (90 and 550 days) which indicated that
542 cementitious gel (C-S-H) almost covered the sample without any noticeable pore voids. This
543 can give an understanding about the development of the compressive strength of the produced
544 mortars using the binder T60 as well as the well durable performance after extended curing
545 period.

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556


557

558

559

560

561



562

563

Fig. 7. SEM images of the paste of binder T60 at different ages of curing.

564

565

566

567 Figs. 8-11 presented the EDX spectrum of C-S-H paste of the T60 mixture from 1 to 28 days
568 of curing. At all curing ages, the principle peaks were Ca and Si with trace amounts of Al, K,
569 Mg, Cl and S. The obtained EDX spectrum of the C-S-H phase were similar with the EDX
570 spectrum reported previously by Peethamparan et al. [69] and Harbec et al. [70]. Sadique and
571 Al-Nageim [71] reported that the concentration of the calcium in the C-S-H gel reflects the
572 density and stability of the cementitious product. It can be seen from Table 6 that the Ca content
573 was high at all curing ages and Na was only detected at the age of 3 days, thus the formed C-
574 S-H gel is very stable according to Sadique and Al-Nageim [71] who have reported that more
575 stable gel with less deleterious expansion forms at higher Ca content and lower K and Na
576 contents. Additionally, the availability of high Ca and Si contents of the T60 paste at different
577 ages of curing gives an indication about the continues formation of C-S-H gel that significantly
578 increases the strength and durability of the binder. This is in agreement with the improvement
579 in the strength and durability performance of the T60 mortar with increasing the period of
580 curing.

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609




Fig. 8. EDX spectrum of T60 paste at 1 day curing

610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629




Fig. 9. EDX spectrum of T60 paste at 3 days curing




Fig. 10. EDX spectrum of C-S-H paste at 7 days curing

650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669




Fig. 11. EDX spectrum of T60 paste at 28 days curing

Table 6. EDX analysis of T60 paste after different periods of hydration reaction

Element	1 day		3 days		7 days		28 days	
	Weight %	Atomic %	Weight %	Atomic %	Weight %	Atomic %	Weight %	Atomic %
C	-	-	3.97	6.79	6.04	10.07	-	-
O	52.89	71.85	53.85	69.07	52.52	65.65	54.95	72.32
Na	-	-	0.35	0.31	-	-	-	-
Mg	1.36	1.22	1.26	1.06	2.83	2.33	2.36	2.05
Al	2.43	1.95	2.5	1.9	3.47	2.57	3.93	3.07
Si	4.83	3.73	5.42	3.96	7.77	5.54	8.57	6.42
S	1.87	1.27	1.31	0.84	0.94	0.59	1.29	0.85
Cl	1.48	0.9	0.71	0.41	0.86	0.49	1.19	0.71
K	1.32	0.74	1.39	0.73	1.43	0.73	2.47	1.33
Ca	33.82	18.34	28.95	14.82	24.12	12.03	25.23	13.26
Fe	-	-	0.28	0.1	-	-	-	-

671

672

673

674

675 **5. Conclusion**

676 This research project was devoted to study the effect of cement substitution with two different
677 by-product materials (GGBFS and CKD) on some of the mechanical, durability and
678 microstructural properties of cement mortar. Based on the results obtained from different
679 experimental and statistical works performed in this research study, the following conclusions
680 can be drawn:

- 681 • Increasing the GGBFS and CKD content resulted in increasing the water demand and
682 extending the initial and final setting times relative to the control mixture.
- 683 • At early ages (1, 2, 3, 7 days), increasing the GGBFS and CKD content caused a
684 significant reduction in the compressive strength and durability of cement mortar.
685 However, at the age of 28 days onwards, replacing the cement with up to 60% GGBFS
686 and CKD resulted in comparable compressive strength and durability performance
687 relative to the control mixture.
- 688 • Replacing the cement with 80% GGBFS and CKD caused a considerable reduction in
689 the mechanical and durability performance of the mortars relative to the control mortar.
- 690 • The optimum synthesis of binder materials in this research was chosen to be (40% OPC:
691 40%GGBFS: 20% CKD).
- 692 • The SEM and EDX analysis of the optimum synthesis of binder materials (T60)
693 confirmed the results of the compressive strength and durability tests.
- 694 • The results of the numerical analysis were well in agreement with the results from the
695 experimental work with a coefficient of determination (R^2) of more than 0.97.
- 696 • Regarding all tests considered in this study, it can be concluded that replacing the
697 cement by 60% GGBFS and CKD will contribute to produce a sustainable mortar with
698 comparable durability and mechanical performance and at the same time it can

699 significantly contributes to decrease the cost of construction materials and reduce the
700 CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere.

701 **Conflict of interest**

702 None.

703 **Acknowledgments**

704 The laboratory support for this research provided by Liverpool John Moors University, UK is
705 gratefully acknowledged.

706 **References**

- 707 [1] Gaffey, M.F., J.K. Das, and Z.A. Bhutta, *Millennium Development Goals 4 and 5: Past and future*
708 *progress*. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med, 2015. **20**(5): p. 285-92.
- 709 [2] Mehta, A. and D.K. Ashish, *Silica fume and waste glass in cement concrete production: A*
710 *review*. Journal of Building Engineering, 2019: p. 100888.
- 711 [3] Specht, E., T. Redemann, and N. Lorenz, *Simplified mathematical model for calculating global*
712 *warming through anthropogenic CO 2*. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 2016. **102**:
713 p. 1-8.
- 714 [4] Karim, M.R., M.F.M. Zain, M. Jamil, and F.C. Lai, *Fabrication of a non-cement binder using slag,*
715 *palm oil fuel ash and rice husk ash with sodium hydroxide*. Construction and Building Materials,
716 2013. **49**: p. 894-902.
- 717 [5] Saedi, M., K. Behfarnia, and H. Soltanian, *The effect of the blaine fineness on the mechanical*
718 *properties of the alkali-activated slag cement*. Journal of Building Engineering, 2019. **26**: p.
719 100897.
- 720 [6] van Ruijven, B.J., D.P. van Vuuren, W. Boskaljon, M.L. Neelis, D. Saygin, and M.K. Patel, *Long-*
721 *term model-based projections of energy use and CO2 emissions from the global steel and*
722 *cement industries*. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2016. **112**: p. 15-36.
- 723 [7] Shubbar, A.A., M. Sadique, H.K. Shanbara, and K. Hashim, *The Development of a New Low*
724 *Carbon Binder for Construction as an Alternative to Cement*, in *Advances in Sustainable*
725 *Construction Materials and Geotechnical Engineering*. 2020, Springer. p. 205-213.
- 726 [8] Younes, M., H. Abdel-Rahman, and M.M. Khattab, *Utilization of rice husk ash and waste glass*
727 *in the production of ternary blended cement mortar composites*. Journal of Building
728 Engineering, 2018. **20**: p. 42-50.
- 729 [9] Aprianti, E., P. Shafiqh, S. Bahri, and J.N. Farahani, *Supplementary cementitious materials*
730 *origin from agricultural wastes – A review*. Construction and Building Materials, 2015. **74**: p.
731 176-187.
- 732 [10] Aprianti S, E., *A huge number of artificial waste material can be supplementary cementitious*
733 *material (SCM) for concrete production – a review part II*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017.
734 **142**: p. 4178-4194.

- 735 [11] Kotwica, Ł., W. Pichór, E. Kapeluszna, and A. Różycka, *Utilization of waste expanded perlite as*
736 *new effective supplementary cementitious material*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017. **140**:
737 p. 1344-1352.
- 738 [12] Horpibulsuk, S., C. Phetchuay, A. Chinkulkijniwat, and A. Cholaphatsorn, *Strength*
739 *development in silty clay stabilized with calcium carbide residue and fly ash*. Soils and
740 Foundations, 2013. **53**(4): p. 477-486.
- 741 [13] McNally, C. and E. Sheils, *Probability-based assessment of the durability characteristics of*
742 *concretes manufactured using CEM II and GGBS binders*. Construction and Building Materials,
743 2012. **30**: p. 22-29.
- 744 [14] Ghosh, A. and C. Subbarao, *Strength Characteristics of Class F Fly Ash Modified with Lime and*
745 *Gypsum*. Journal Of Geotechnical And Geoenvironmental Engineering, 2007. **133**(7): p. 757-
746 766.
- 747 [15] Shubbar, A.A., M. Sadique, P. Kot, and W. Atherton, *Future of clay-based construction*
748 *materials – A review*. Construction and Building Materials, 2019. **210**: p. 172-187.
- 749 [16] Shubbar, A., H.M. Jafer, A. Dulaimi, W. Atherton, and A. Al-Rifaie, *The Development of a Low*
750 *Carbon Cementitious Material Produced from Cement, Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag*
751 *and High Calcium Fly Ash*. International Journal of Civil, Environmental, Structural,
752 Construction and Architectural Engineering, 2017. **11**(7): p. 905-908.
- 753 [17] Karthik, A., K. Sudalaimani, and C.T. Vijaya Kumar, *Investigation on mechanical properties of*
754 *fly ash-ground granulated blast furnace slag based self curing bio-geopolymer concrete*.
755 Construction and Building Materials, 2017. **149**: p. 338-349.
- 756 [18] Sargent, P., P.N. Hughes, M. Rouainia, and M.L. White, *The use of alkali activated waste*
757 *binders in enhancing the mechanical properties and durability of soft alluvial soils*. Engineering
758 Geology, 2013. **152**(1): p. 96-108.
- 759 [19] Hawileh, R.A., J.A. Abdalla, F. Fardmanesh, P. Shahsana, and A. Khalili, *Performance of*
760 *reinforced concrete beams cast with different percentages of GGBS replacement to cement*.
761 Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, 2017. **17**(3): p. 511-519.
- 762 [20] Divsholi, B.S., T.Y.D. Lim, and S. Teng, *Durability Properties and Microstructure of Ground*
763 *Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Cement Concrete*. International Journal of Concrete Structures
764 and Materials, 2014. **8**(2): p. 157-164.
- 765 [21] Duan, P., Z. Shui, W. Chen, and C. Shen, *Enhancing microstructure and durability of concrete*
766 *from ground granulated blast furnace slag and metakaolin as cement replacement materials*.
767 Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 2013. **2**(1): p. 52-59.
- 768 [22] Najim, K.B., Z.S. Mahmood, and A.-K.M. Atea, *Experimental investigation on using Cement Kiln*
769 *Dust (CKD) as a cement replacement material in producing modified cement mortar*.
770 Construction and Building Materials, 2014. **55**: p. 5-12.
- 771 [23] Najim, K.B., I. Al-Jumaily, and A.M. Atea, *Characterization of sustainable high*
772 *performance/self-compacting concrete produced using CKD as a cement replacement*
773 *material*. Construction and Building Materials, 2016. **103**: p. 123-129.
- 774 [24] Mosa, A.M., A.H. Taher, and L.A. Al-Jaberi, *Improvement of poor subgrade soils using cement*
775 *kiln dust*. Case Studies in Construction Materials, 2017. **7**: p. 138-143.
- 776 [25] Amin, M.S. and F.S. Hashem, *Hydration characteristics of hydrothermal treated cement kiln*
777 *dust–sludge–silica fume pastes*. Construction and Building Materials, 2011. **25**(4): p. 1870-
778 1876.
- 779 [26] Sadique, M., H. Al Nageim, W. Atherton, L. Seton, and N. Dempster, *A new composite*
780 *cementitious material for construction*. Construction and Building Materials, 2012. **35**: p. 846-
781 855.
- 782 [27] Khalil, E.A.B. and M. Anwar, *Carbonation of ternary cementitious concrete systems containing*
783 *fly ash and silica fume*. Water Science, 2015. **29**(1): p. 36-44.

- 784 [28] Hashim, K.S., A. Shaw, R. Al Khaddar, M. Ortoneda Pedrola, and D. Phipps, *Defluoridation of*
785 *drinking water using a new flow column-electrocoagulation reactor (FCER) - Experimental,*
786 *statistical, and economic approach.* J Environ Manage, 2017. **197**: p. 80-88.
- 787 [29] Hashim, K.S., A. Shaw, R. Al Khaddar, M.O. Pedrola, and D. Phipps, *Iron removal, energy*
788 *consumption and operating cost of electrocoagulation of drinking water using a new flow*
789 *column reactor.* J Environ Manage, 2017. **189**: p. 98-108.
- 790 [30] Abdulredha, M., R. Al Khaddar, D. Jordan, P. Kot, A. Abdulridha, and K. Hashim, *Estimating*
791 *solid waste generation by hospitality industry during major festivals: A quantification model*
792 *based on multiple regression.* Waste Management, 2018. **77**: p. 388-400.
- 793 [31] Jafer, H.M., K.S. Hashim, W. Atherton, and A.W. Alattabi, *A Statistical Model for the*
794 *Geotechnical Parameters of Cement-Stabilised Hightown's Soft Soil: A Case Study of Liverpool,*
795 *UK.* International Journal of Civil, Environmental, Structural, Construction and Architectural
796 Engineering, 2016. **10**(7): p. 885 - 890.
- 797 [32] Alattabi, A.W., C.B. Harris, R.M. Alkhaddar, K.S. Hashim, M. Ortoneda-Pedrola, and D. Phipps,
798 *Improving sludge settleability by introducing an innovative, two-stage settling sequencing*
799 *batch reactor.* Journal of Water Process Engineering, 2017. **20**: p. 207-216.
- 800 [33] Tabachnick, B.G. and L.S. Fidell, *Using multivariate statistics.* 2013, Boston: Pearson Education.
- 801 [34] BSI, *BS EN 197-1: 2011. Cement, Composition, Specifications and Conformity Criteria for*
802 *Common Cements.* London, England: British Standard Institution (BSI), 2011.
- 803 [35] Celik, O., E. Damci, and S. Paskin, *Characterisation of fly ash and its effect on the compressive*
804 *strength properties of portland cement.* Indian Journal of Engineering & Materials Science
805 2008. **15**(5): p. 433-440.
- 806 [36] Jafer, H.M., W. Atherton, M. Sadique, F. Ruddock, and E. Loffill, *Development of a new ternary*
807 *blended cementitious binder produced from waste materials for use in soft soil stabilisation.*
808 *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 2018. **172**: p. 516-528.
- 809 [37] Shubbar, A.A., H. Jafer, A. Dulaimi, K. Hashim, W. Atherton, and M. Sadique, *The development*
810 *of a low carbon binder produced from the ternary blending of cement, ground granulated blast*
811 *furnace slag and high calcium fly ash: An experimental and statistical approach.* Construction
812 and Building Materials, 2018. **187**: p. 1051-1060.
- 813 [38] Dhir, R., T. Dyer, and J. Halliday, *Activation and acceleration of Portland cement/GGBS blends*
814 *using cement kiln dust (CKD), in Modern Concrete Materials: Binders, Additions and*
815 *Admixtures.* 1999, Thomas Telford Publishing. p. 361-370.
- 816 [39] Hester, D., C. McNally, and M. Richardson, *A study of the influence of slag alkali level on the*
817 *alkali-silica reactivity of slag concrete.* Construction and Building Materials, 2005. **19**(9): p.
818 661-665.
- 819 [40] British Standard Institution, *Method of testing cement. Determination of setting time and*
820 *soundness, BS EN 196-3 and A1.* 2008, British Standard Institution.: London
- 821 [41] BSI, *Methods of testing cement-Part 1: Determination of strength.* 2005, British Standard
822 Institute: London.
- 823 [42] Mohseni, E., F. Naseri, R. Amjadi, M.M. Khotbehsara, and M.M. Ranjbar, *Microstructure and*
824 *durability properties of cement mortars containing nano-TiO₂ and rice husk ash.* Construction
825 and Building Materials, 2016. **114**: p. 656-664.
- 826 [43] BSI, *BS 1881-203: Part 203: Recommendations for measurement of velocity of ultrasonic pulses*
827 *in concrete.* 1986, BSI: LONDON.
- 828 [44] Salih, M.A., N. Farzadnia, A.A. Abang Ali, and R. Demirboga, *Development of high strength*
829 *alkali activated binder using palm oil fuel ash and GGBS at ambient temperature.* Construction
830 and Building Materials, 2015. **93**: p. 289-300.
- 831 [45] Dodds, L., *Microstructure Characterisation of Ordinary Portland Cement Composites for the*
832 *Immobilisation of Nuclear Waste,* in *Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences.* 2012,
833 University of Manchester: UK.

- 834 [46] Pallant, J., *SPSS Survival Manual 4th edition: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS*
835 *version 18: Maidenhead, Berkshire*. Open University Press. Retrieved on, 2011. **10**(05): p.
836 2012.
- 837 [47] Hashim, K.S., R. Al Khaddar, N. Jasim, A. Shaw, D. Phipps, P. Kot, M.O. Pedrola, A.W. Alattabi,
838 M. Abdulredha, and R. Alawsh, *Electrocoagulation as a green technology for phosphate*
839 *removal from river water*. Separation and Purification Technology, 2019. **210**: p. 135-144.
- 840 [48] Thanh, N.P., Y. Matsui, and T. Fujiwara, *Household solid waste generation and characteristic*
841 *in a Mekong Delta city, Vietnam*. J Environ Manage, 2010. **91**(11): p. 2307-21.
- 842 [49] Laerd Statistics. *Binomial logistic regression using spss statistics 2015*; Available from:
843 <https://statistics.laerd.com/premium/spss/blr/binomial-logistic-regression-in-spss-15.php>
- 844 [50] Shubbar, A.A., A. Al-Shaer, R.S. AlKizwini, K. Hashim, H. Al Hawesah, and M. Sadique,
845 *Investigating the influence of cement replacement by high volume of GGBS and PFA on the*
846 *mechanical performance of cement mortar*, in *International Conference on Civil and*
847 *Environmental Engineering Technologies, Kufa University, Iraq, 23-24 April*. 2019.
- 848 [51] Dave, N., Misra, A. K., Srivastava, A. & Kaushik, S. K.,, *Experimental analysis of strength and*
849 *durability properties of quaternary cement binder and mortar*. Construction and Building
850 Materials, 2016. **107**: p. 117-124.
- 851 [52] Barnett, S.J., M.N. Soutsos, S.G. Millard, and J.H. Bungey, *Strength development of mortars*
852 *containing ground granulated blast-furnace slag: Effect of curing temperature and*
853 *determination of apparent activation energies*. Cement and Concrete Research, 2006. **36**(3):
854 p. 434-440.
- 855 [53] Chindapasirt, P. and S. Rukzon, *Strength, porosity and corrosion resistance of ternary blend*
856 *Portland cement, rice husk ash and fly ash mortar*. Construction and Building Materials, 2008.
857 **22**(8): p. 1601-1606.
- 858 [54] Intharathirat, R., P. Abdul Salam, S. Kumar, and A. Untong, *Forecasting of municipal solid*
859 *waste quantity in a developing country using multivariate grey models*. Waste Manag, 2015.
860 **39**: p. 3-14.
- 861 [55] Azadi, S. and A. Karimi-Jashni, *Verifying the performance of artificial neural network and*
862 *multiple linear regression in predicting the mean seasonal municipal solid waste generation*
863 *rate: A case study of Fars province, Iran*. Waste Manag, 2016. **48**: p. 14-23.
- 864 [56] Siddique, R. and A. Rajor, *Strength and microstructure analysis of bacterial treated cement kiln*
865 *dust mortar*. Construction and Building Materials, 2014. **63**: p. 49-55.
- 866 [57] Sadique, M., H. Al-Nageim, W. Atherton, L. Seton, and N. Dempster, *Mechano-chemical*
867 *activation of high-Ca fly ash by cement free blending and gypsum aided grinding*. Construction
868 and Building Materials, 2013. **43**: p. 480-489.
- 869 [58] Marku, J., I. Dumi, E. Lico, T. Dilo, and O. Cakaj, *The characterization and the utilization of*
870 *cement kiln dust (CKD) as partial replacement of Portland cement in mortar and concrete*
871 *production*. Zaštita materijala, 2012. **53**(4): p. 334-344.
- 872 [59] Siddique, R., *Utilization of cement kiln dust (CKD) in cement mortar and concrete—an*
873 *overview*. Resources, conservation and recycling, 2006. **48**(4): p. 315-338.
- 874 [60] Attari, A., C. McNally, and M.G. Richardson, *A probabilistic assessment of the influence of age*
875 *factor on the service life of concretes with limestone cement/GGBS binders*. Construction and
876 Building Materials, 2016. **111**: p. 488-494.
- 877 [61] Limbachiya, V., E. Ganjian, and P. Claisse, *Strength, durability and leaching properties of*
878 *concrete paving blocks incorporating GGBS and SF*. Construction and Building Materials, 2016.
879 **113**: p. 273-279.
- 880 [62] Rahman, A.A., S. Abo-El-Enein, M. Aboul-Fetouh, and K. Shehata, *Characteristics of Portland*
881 *blast-furnace slag cement containing cement kiln dust and active silica*. Arabian Journal of
882 Chemistry, 2016. **9**: p. S138-S143.

- 883 [63] Jafer, H., W. Atherton, M. Sadique, F. Ruddock, and E. Loffill, *Stabilisation of soft soil using*
884 *binary blending of high calcium fly ash and palm oil fuel ash*. Applied Clay Science, 2018. **152**:
885 p. 323-332.
- 886 [64] Wild, S., J.M. Kinuthia, G.I. Jones, and D.D. Higgins, *Effects of partial substitution of lime with*
887 *ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) on the strength properties of lime-stabilised*
888 *sulphate-bearing clay soils*. Engineering Geology, 1998. **51**: p. 37-53.
- 889 [65] Blanco, F., M.P. Garcia, J. Ayala, G. Mayoral, and M.A. Garcia, *The effect of mechanically and*
890 *chemically activated fly ashes on mortar properties*. Fuel, 2006. **85**(14-15): p. 2018-2026.
- 891 [66] Al Hawesah, H., A. Shubbar, and R.L. Al Mufti. *Non-destructive assessment of early age mortar*
892 *containing stainless steel powder*. in *The 17th Annual International Conference on Asphalt,*
893 *Pavement Engineering and Infrastructure 21st -22nd February*. 2018. Liverpool, UK.
- 894 [67] Beushausen, H., M. Alexander, and Y. Ballim, *Early-age properties, strength development and*
895 *heat of hydration of concrete containing various South African slags at different replacement*
896 *ratios*. Construction and Building Materials, 2012. **29**: p. 533-540.
- 897 [68] Chaunsali, P. and S. Peethamparan, *Influence of the composition of cement kiln dust on its*
898 *interaction with fly ash and slag*. Cement and Concrete Research, 2013. **54**: p. 106-113.
- 899 [69] Peethamparan, S., J. Olek, and J. Lovell, *Influence of chemical and physical characteristics of*
900 *cement kiln dusts (CKDs) on their hydration behavior and potential suitability for soil*
901 *stabilization*. Cement and concrete research, 2008. **38**(6): p. 803-815.
- 902 [70] Harbec, D., A. Tagnit-Hamou, and F. Gitzhofer, *Waste-glass fume synthesized using plasma*
903 *spheroidization technology: Reactivity in cement pastes and mortars*. Construction and
904 Building Materials, 2016. **107**: p. 272-286.
- 905 [71] Sadique, M. and H. Al-Nageim, *Hydration kinetics of a low carbon cementitious material*
906 *produced by physico-chemical activation of high calcium fly ash*. Journal of Advanced Concrete
907 Technology, 2012. **10**(8): p. 254-263.

908