# Solubility Behavior and Thermodynamic Modelling of Inosine (Form $\beta$ ) in Four Cosolvency Systems at *T* = (278.15 to 323.15) K

Yuli Shi<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Haojian Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaodong Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, Fenghua Road 201, Ningbo

315016, Zhejiang, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup> Chemical and Materials Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE,

Scotland, United Kingdom

Corresponding author. Phone: + 86 574 88918259; Fax: + 86 574 88918259.

E-mail address: yuli\_shi@tju.edu.cn

**ABSTRACT:** The *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) as the main solvent with strong dissolving power was blended with four secondary solvents (ethanol, n-propanol, isopropanol, propylene glycol (PG)) with relatively weak dissolving power to form many new solvents. The dispersion index (Inosine (form  $\beta$ ) mole fraction) in five organic solvents such as DMF and in the newly formed solvent was also obtained one by one with static method commonly used in solid-liquid equilibrium. The temperature environment is that the high temperature was set at 323.15 K, and the low temperature was set at 278.15 K and the interval between each temperature was 5 K. The pressure environment was the atmospheric pressure in the natural state and the usual value was 101.0 kPa. In a mixed system, temperature was a non-negligible influencing factor from beginning to end and its increase often leaded the solute to the trend of high solubility. In addition, the proportion of the main solvent also dominated the solubilization trend of the inosine (form  $\beta$ ), the larger the proportion of DMF, the easier the dissolution process was. When both of the above factors were fixed at a certain point, the dispersing ability of the dispersing liquid composed of DMF and ethanol was undoubtedly the first. Three models (the Jouyban-Acree model, van't Hoff-Jouyban-Acree model and Modified Apelblat-Jouyban-Acree model) were used to correlate the solubility data. The largest *RAD* and *RMSD* were  $4.66 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $7.27 \times 10^{-4}$ . The dispersion data of inosine (form  $\beta$ ) obtained through this experimental process and related thermodynamic parameters obtained through thermodynamic calculations have important application significance for its industrial production and further purification.

## ■ INTRODUCTION

From the history of the development of chemicals, there are many methods for the purification of drugs, such as membrane separation, supercritical fluid technology and so on, but the dissolution crystallization technology is undoubtedly the most efficient and cheapest one and the most widely used one.<sup>1–5</sup> Especially for poorly water-soluble chemicals, how to increase the solubility is a

priority problem, because lack of good solubility will affect the development and design of its liquid dosage form, bioavailability, and synthesis of downstream products.<sup>6-10</sup> There are many ways to increase the solute dissolution, such as adding a cosolvent, grinding the solute particles, sonicating, adjusting the pH of the solution, adding a surfactant and so on.<sup>11–16</sup> Among the various methods mentioned above, the method of adding a non-toxic co-solvent to the solvent is currently widely used in industry due to its low cost, convenient operation and good results. In addition to the above-mentioned advantages, the in-depth study of the dissolution behavior of drugs in a new solvent formed by mixing two solvents provides a solid theoretical basis for the interaction between solvents and solutes.<sup>13,17</sup>

Inosine ( $C_{10}H_{12}N_4O_5$ , CAS No. 58-63-9, molecular weight 268.23 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>, IUPAC name 9-((2~(R),3~(R),4~(S),5~(R))-3,4-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)oxolan-2-yl)-3~(H)-purin-6-one, molecular structure shown in Figure 1 of supporting information) is known as hypoxanthin or hypoxanthine nucleoside that is a nucleoside deriving from a purine derivative antimetabolite, containing both a polar furan ring and an apolar aromatic group.<sup>18-24</sup> As we know, nucleoside derivatives have been extensively investigated due to their potential activity as antibiotics, enzyme inhibitors, anticancer, antiviral agents and ATP generation.<sup>25-28</sup> It is an important component of ribonucleic acids, with the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance of the molecule contributing to their conformational stability which has great impact role on a large number of various chemical and biological reactions and other areas.<sup>18,19,21</sup>

Up to date, two anhydrous polymorphic crystal forms (the orthorhombic  $\alpha$  form<sup>29</sup> and the monoclinic  $\beta$  form<sup>18</sup>) and a dehydrate<sup>30</sup> of inosine have been reported in previous literature. Noting that the dihydrate form of inosine is easily crushed to facilitate the extract preparations than other polymorphs due to high solubility and dissolution rate in practical usage.<sup>18</sup> In view of the fact that solid oral preparations may affect the drug solubility due to their different crystalline forms, thereby

affecting the bioavailability and clinical efficacy of drugs. On the other hand, the  $\beta$  form shows the least soluble ability at room temperature leading to be the most stable thermodynamic product among the above. Suzuki<sup>31,32</sup> and Tewari et al.<sup>19</sup> reported aqua- and aqua-DMSO system solubility of inosine (the polymorphism) at different pH values. As well, some aqueous solubility is also seen in the ref (33). Hence, there is little studies on the solubility and thermodynamic cosolvency conditions of the  $\beta$  form inosine.

According to the survey, inosine is a slightly water soluble drug (0.001059 mole fraction, 283.15 K) with high permeability.<sup>33</sup> Whatever areas the inosine is applied in, the purity of inosine must be rather high in demand, in the meanwhile, to achieve the high-purity, stable and uniform particle size inosine product, it is necessary to select the best solvent or solvent mixtures to separate and purify. The solubility of some drugs strongly depends on the physiochemical properties of the drugs and composition of solvent medium.<sup>3</sup> In terms of the cosolvency method, non-aqueous solvent mixtures are commonly used in drug's crystallization or re-crystallization from synthetic reaction solutions.<sup>17,34</sup> There are also many requirements on how to choose a suitable solvent in the industry. First, the solvent to be selected must be non-toxic. Secondly, it must be chemically stable and cannot react chemically with the solute during the dissolution process. <sup>13,17,35,36</sup> It can be firmly believed that scientific and accurate dispersion of inosine in various solvents will definitely help it in industrial synthesis and will also help its clinical application.

#### ■ THEORETICAL COSOLVENCY MODELS

The models used in this research process are scientifically reliable and have been verified by previous researchers.<sup>13,17</sup>

**Jouyban-Acree Model.** The Jouyban-Acree model is described as Eq. (1) Quantitatively measure the objective effects of temperature and the proportion of main solvent on the dispersion of solutes.<sup>13,17,37</sup>

$$\ln x_{w,T} = w_1 \ln x_{1,T} + w_2 \ln x_{2,T} + \frac{w_1 w_2}{T / K} \sum_{i=0}^2 J_i \left( w_1 - w_2 \right)^i$$
(1)

where  $x_{w,T}$  is solubility of inosine at temperature *T*;  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are proportion of primary solvent and secondary solvent;  $x_{1,T}$  and  $x_{2,T}$  inosine solubility in pure solvents; and  $J_i$  are model parameters.

Van't Hoff-Jouyban-Acree Model. The Van't Hoff equation is described as Eq. (2). The equation parameters are few and seem simple, but their role is significant in the field of thermodynamics.

$$\ln x_{\rm T} = A + \frac{B}{T \,/\,\rm K} \tag{2}$$

Combining Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) to achieve the van't Hoff-Jouyban-Acree model (Eq. (3)).<sup>13,17,38</sup>

$$\ln x_{w,T} = w_1 \left( A_1 + \frac{B_1}{T/K} \right) + w_2 \left( A_2 + \frac{B_2}{T/K} \right) + \frac{w_1 w_2}{T/K} \sum_{i=0}^2 J_i \left( w_1 - w_2 \right)^i$$
(3)

 $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2$  and  $J_i$  are equation parameters.

**Modified Apelblat-Jouyban-Acree Model.** The expression of the modified Apelblat equation is described as<sup>39,40</sup>

$$\ln x_{\rm T} = A + \frac{B}{T/K} + C\ln(T/K) \tag{4}$$

Where A, B, and C are equation parameters; and also  $x_T$  is solubility of inosine at temperature T.

By substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (1), the modified Apelblat-Jouyban-Acree model is obtained<sup>13,17,39</sup>

$$\ln x_{w,T} = w_1 \left[ A_1 + \frac{B_1}{T/K} + C_1 \ln(T/K) \right] + w_2 \left[ \left( A_2 + \frac{B_2}{T/K} + C_2 \ln(T/K) \right] + \frac{w_1 w_2}{T/K} \sum_{i=0}^2 J_i (w_1 - w_2)^i \right]$$
(5)

As usual, the specific value of the dispersion of inosine was calculated using a non-linear regression method. The basic basis of the non-linear regression method is the least square method, and its functional expression can be described as

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \ln x_i^{e} - \ln x_i^{c} \right)^2$$
(6)

In addition, in order to scientifically compare experimental values with calculated values, the relative average deviation (*RAD*) and root-mean-square deviation (*RMSD*) are introduced. They are described as Eqs. (7) and (8).

$$RAD = \frac{1}{N} \sum \left( \frac{\left| x_{\mathrm{w,T}}^{\mathrm{c}} - x_{\mathrm{w,T}}^{\mathrm{e}} \right|}{x_{\mathrm{w,T}}^{\mathrm{e}}} \right)$$
(7)

$$RMSD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{w,T}^{e} - x_{w,T}^{e})^{2}}{N}}$$
(8)

where *N* is the number of experimental data points.  $x_{w,T}^{e}$  is solubility determined in this work; and  $x_{w,T}^{c}$  is solubility calculated with the corresponding solubility model.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Drug, Reagents and Apparatus.** Synthesis of Inosine (form  $\beta$ , 0.98 mass fraction) and transportation to the laboratory were completed by Meryer (Shanghai) Chemical Technology Co., Ltd. The crude drug purchased is dissolved in acetone and a higher purity drug is precipitated by cooling the hot saturated solution until its mass fraction reached 0.996 confirmed by a high-performance liquid phase chromatograph (HPLC, Shimadzu-6A). The brands of solvents such as DMF, ethanol and so on used in the experiment are Aladdin. The minimum purity of the solvent used is 0.994 determined by gas chromatography (GC, FULI 9790, China). More detailed information of the above chemicals is shown in Table 1.

The tools and instrumentation facilities involved in carrying out this scientific experiment are simplified by simple drawing software and shown in Figure 2 of Supporting Information, there is no doubt that the instrumentation equipment works and operates in exactly the same way as in previous work. <sup>41</sup> In this experiment, first of all, make a glass container (100 mL) with double glass to ensure that the interlayer of the container can pass the liquid. The original intention of choosing this design was to enable constant temperature operation while using the magnetic stirrer. Of course, the next

Page 7 of 28

step is to prepare a suitable circulating liquid, which is usually a mixture of isopropanol and water. In order to ensure that the inosine (form  $\beta$ ) dissolution process is performed in a constant temperature environment, the coordination of the circulating fluid and thermostatic bath (QYHX-1030, standard uncertainty: 0.05 K, Shanghai Joyn Electronic Co., Ltd., China) is the key. The detection of the system temperature is the key to the success of the experiment. There will inevitably be errors in the equipment during the production adjustment process. The introduction of a mercury glass micro thermometer (standard uncertainty: 0.02 K) can effectively eliminate the errors caused by the instrument measurement. Glass containers are generally open and organic solvents are volatile, choosing a suitable latex plug to seal the container can effectively avoid solvent loss. An analytical balance (model: BSA224S, standard uncertainty: 0.0001 g, Satorius Scientific Instrument (Beijing)) was used to determine the mass of the solute, solvent, and saturated solution.

**Preparation of Cosolvency Mixture Systems.** The use of gravimetric method throughout the preparation of new mixed solvents by an analytical balance. The amount of solvent added to the glass container is also required. It is generally considered that the amount of solvent added to account for half of the entire volume is most suitable, which is 50 g (standard uncertainty: 0.0001 g). The proportion of the main solvent DMF is added from 0 to 1 and the proportion of each increase is 0.1.

**Solubility Measurement.** The static method runs through the whole process of the inosine (form  $\beta$ ) data measurement experiment,<sup>42-47</sup> and When the solute and the solvent reach a stable state with each other, HPLC is the preferred method of characterization. The double-layer glass container mentioned above is the main vessel that dissolves Inosine (form  $\beta$ ) until it reaches saturation. How to saturate the solute is also a non-negligible operation step. There must be a surplus of solute added before the solute system can be considered to be saturated. While adding

the solute, the full stirring process needs to be completed with the help of a magnetic stirrer. After one hour, 1 mL of the inosine solution was extracted by a heat-treated syringe (2 mL) with a pore syringe filter (PTFE 0.2 µm) in advance, the sample was diluted and other steps were processed, and then it was sent to HPLC for mapping. Repeat the above operation until there are almost no differences in the results obtained three times in a row. It can be considered that the dissolution has reached a dynamic equilibrium. Scientific operations are the basis for obtaining accurate data. In addition, two experimental operations are performed simultaneously to obtain a scientific and reliable saturated solution. A method called forward operation is to gradually add a solute to a solvent until a solid solute remains. The other method is called the reverse method, which is to gradually evaporate the solvent by heating until the solid crystals precipitate. Finally, two sets of control samples were placed in the same environment for one hour at the same time. According to the above sampling operation, transfer the sample to a 25mL volumetric flask, dilute to a constant volume and shake it for instrument analysis.

The solubility of inosine (form  $\beta$ ) ( $x_{w,T}$ ) is calculated with Eq. (9), and mass percent of primary and secondary solvents is calculated with Eqs. (10) and (11).

$$x_{\rm w,T} = \frac{m_1/M_1}{m_1/M_1 + m_2/M_2 + m_3/M_3}$$
(9)

$$w_1 = \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} \tag{10}$$

$$w_2 = \frac{m_3}{m_2 + m_3} \tag{11}$$

Here,  $m_1$  is the mass of inosine (form  $\beta$ ),  $m_2$  is mass of DMF, and  $m_3$  is the mass of secondary solvents.  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  molar mass of them.

**Analysis Method.** The Agilent-1260 HPLC is equipped with a reverse phase column (Model: LB-C18 (250 mm  $\times$  4.6 mm)) and its usual operating temperature was set at 303 K. Before setting

the ultraviolet absorption wavelength of inosine, a UV scanning operation is required. The result obtained is that the maximum absorption wavelength of UV-vis detector is set to 248 nm. The mobile phase was pure methanol with a flow rate of  $0.8 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ . Prepare three samples for each sample before injecting them and then analyze these samples to obtain three data. If the three data are not significantly different, take their average as the final result (relative standard uncertainty: 0.015).

**X-ray Powder Diffraction.** Exploring the crystal structure of solid medicine inosine (form  $\beta$ ), the X-ray Powder Diffraction (XPRD, Bruker AXS D8 Advance) is a more direct and efficient choice. It is equipped with Cu K*a* radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.54184 nm) and the tube voltage is 40 kV and current is 30 mA. Set the diffraction angle (2-Theta) with 5° as the starting point and 80° as the end point at a scan speed of 5°·min<sup>-1</sup> under room temperature and 101.0 kPa.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**XPRD Results.** The inosine (form  $\beta$ ) sample without any treatment and the sample spectrum after dissolving out are plotted in Figure 3 of supporting information. Vertically, the positions of characteristic peaks are basically at the same diffraction angle, and the size and shape of the characteristic peaks are basically similar. It can be explained that the crystal form of the drug that has undergone dissolution is basically the same as that of the untreated raw material sample, and there is no change.

**Equilibrium Solubility.** The mole fraction of inosine (form  $\beta$ ) obtained in experiments in various mixed solvents with different proportions of DMF are listed in Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5. The relationship between the measured solubility and temperature and solvent composition are demonstrated graphically in Figures 1-4. That can be also seen from Tables 2-5 that decrease and increase in temperature are decisive for the direction of the dissolution process. Not to mention, as the proportion of DMF changes, the solubility of solvents to solutes varies widely.

The Mathcad software as a commonly used mathematical calculation software is also used for the calculation of this data. First enter the corresponding formula (Eqs. (1) to (5)) into it, and then enter the experimental value to get the calculated value and related model parameters with *RAD* and *RMSD*, which are listed in Table 6.

#### CONCLUSION

The equilibrium solubility of inosine (form  $\beta$ ) was obtained one by one with static method commonly used in solid-liquid equilibrium. The *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) as the main solvent with strong dissolving power was blended with four secondary solvents (ethanol, n-propanol, isopropanol, propylene glycol (PG)) with relatively weak dissolving power to form many new solvents. The temperature environment is that the high temperature was set at 323.15 K, and the low temperature was set at 278.15 K and the interval between each temperature was 5 K. The pressure environment was the atmospheric pressure in the natural state and the usual value was 101.0 kPa. A solvent combination of DMF and ethanol has unparalleled advantages in dissolving inosine (form  $\beta$ ). The inosine (form  $\beta$ ) solubility was calculated a with three models, the largest *RAD* and *RMSD* were 4.66×10-2 and 7.27×10-4. The dispersion data of inosine (form  $\beta$ ) obtained through this experimental process and related thermodynamic parameters obtained through thermodynamic calculations have important application significance for its industrial production and further purification.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### © Supporting Information

Supporting Information Available: Chemical structure of inosine (Figure S1), experimental apparatus (Figure S2), XRD patterns (Figure S3).

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### 

# **Corresponding author**

\*Phone: + 86 574 88918259; Fax: + 86 574 88918259. E-mail address: yuli\_shi@tju.edu.cn.

## ORCID

Yuli Shi: 0000-0003-3891-920X

Haojian Zhang: 0000-0003-2541-8596

#### Funding

This research was supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. Y17B060016. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of K. C. Wong Education Foundation.

# REFERENCES

(1) Myerson, A. S. Handbook of Industrial Crystallization (Second Edition). Elsevier Science & Technology Books, Boston, 2001.

(2) Laird, T. Crystallization of Organic Compounds. Wiley, Hoboken, 2009.

(3) Lawton, S.; Steele, G.; Shering, P.; Zhao, L.; Laird, I.; Ni, X. W. Continuous Crystallization of Pharmaceuticals Using a Continuous Oscillatory Baffled Crystallizer. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2009**, *13*, 1357–1363.

(4) Paul, E. L.; Tung, H. H.; Midler, M. Organic Crystallization Processes. *Powder Technol.* 2005, *150*, 133–143.

(5) Li, D.; Cao, D. L.; Chen, L. Z.; Wang, J. L.; Jiang, Z. M.; Ma, X. Solubility of Dihydroxylammonium 5,5'-Bistetrazole-1,1'-Diolate in (Formic Acid, Water) and Their Binary Solvents from 298.15 k to 333.15 k at 101.1 kPa. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2019**, *128*, 10–18.

(6) Mohammadian, E.; Barzegar-Jalali, M.; Rahimpour, E. Solubility Prediction of Lamotrigine in Cosolvency Systems Using Abraham and Hansen Solvation Parameters. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2019, 276, 675–679.

(7) Chaudhary, A.; Nagaich, U.; Gulati, N.; Sharma, V. K.; Khosa, R. L. Enhancement of Solubilization and Bioavailability of Poorly Soluble Drugs by Physical and Chemical Modifications:
A Recent Review. J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res. 2012, 2, 32–67.

(8) Yeh, M. K.; Chang, L. C.; Andy, H. J. Chiou. Improving Tenoxicam Solubility and Bioavailability by Cosolvent System. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. **2009**, *10*, 166–171.

(9) Williams, H. D.; Trevaskis, N. L.; Charman, S. A.; Shanker, R. M.; Charman, W. N.; Pouton, C. W.; Porter, C. J. H. Strategies to Address Low Drug Solubility in Discovery and Development. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2013, 65, 315–499.

(10) Li, R. Water-Insoluble Drug Formulation (Second Edition), CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2008.

(11) Kawakami, K.; Oda, N.; Miyoshi, K.; Funaki, T.; Ida, Y. Solubilization Behavior of A Poorly Soluble Drug Under Combined Use of Surfactants and Cosolvents. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2006**, *28*, 7–14.

(12) Yalkowsky, S. H. Solubility and Solubilization in Aqueous Media. American Chemical Society: New York, 1999.

(13) Jouyban, A. Handbook of Solubility Data for Pharmaceuticals. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2010.

(14) Kumar, A.; Sahoo, S. K.; Padhee, K.; Kochar, P.; Sathapathy, A.; Pathak, N. Review on Solubility Enhancement Techniques for Hydrophobic Drugs. *Pharm. Glob.* **2011**, *3*, 001–007.

(15) Khan, A. D.; Singh, L. Various Techniques of Bioavailability Enhancement: A Review. J. Drug Deliv. Ther. 2016, 6, 34–41.

(16) Hatefi, A.; Rahimpour, E.; Ghafourian, T.; Martinez, F.; Barzegar-Jalali, M.; Jouyban, A.
Solubility of Ketoconazole in *N*-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidone + Water Mixtures at *T* = (293.2 to 313.2) K. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2019, *281*, 150–155.

(17) Jouyban, A. Review of the Cosolvency Models for Predicting Solubility of Drugs in

Water-Cosolvent Mixtures. J. Pharm. Pharmaceut. Sci. 2008, 11, 32-58.

(18) Chiarella, R. A.; Gillon, A. L.; Burton, R. C.; Davey, R. J.; Sadiq, G.; Auffret, A.; Cioffi, M.; Hunter, C. A. The Nucleation of Inosine: The Impact of Solution Chemistry on the Appearance of Polymorphic and Hydrated Crystal Forms. *Faraday Discuss*. **2007**, *136*, 179–193.

(19) Tewari, Y. B.; Klein, R.; Vaudin, M. D.; Goldberg, R. N. Saturation molalities and Standard Molar Enthalpies of Solution of Adenosine(cr), Guanosine  $\cdot 2H_2O(cr)$ , Inosine(cr), and Xanthosine  $\cdot 2H_2O(cr)$  in  $H_2O(l)$ . J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2003, 35, 1681–1702.

(20) Chu, J.; Zhang, S. L.; Zhuang, Y. P.; Song, Y. B.; Cai, X. P. Correlation Between Key Enzyme Activities in The Inosine Synthetic Pathway and Inosine Production. *Process Biochem.* **2005**, *40*, 891–894.

(21) Bookser, B. C.; Raffaele, N. B. High-Throughput Five Minute Microwave Accelerated Glycosylation Approach to the Synthesis of Nucleoside Libraries. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, *72*, 173-179.
(22) Taverna-Porro, M.; Bouvier, L. A.; Pereira; C. A.; Montserrat, J. M.; Iribarren, A. M. Chemoenzymatic Preparation of Nucleosides from Furanoses. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, *49*, 2642–2645.

(23) Medici, R.; Porro, M. T.; Lewkowicz, E.; Montserrat, J.; Iribarren, A. M. Coupled Biocatalysts Applied to The Synthesis of Nucleosides. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* **2008**, *52*, 541–542.

(24) Marcos, A.; Gago, J. V. S.; Carlos, J. B. Thermus Thermophilus Strains Active in Purine Nucleoside Synthesis. *Molecules*. **2009**, *14*, 1279–1287.

(25) Meier, L.; Monteiro, G. C.; Baldissera, R. A. M.; Sa, M. M. Simple method for Fast Deprotection of Nucleosides by Triethylamine-Catalyzed Methanolysis of Acetates in Aqueous Medium. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *21*, 859–866.

(26) Liu, C. L. Inosine Sodium Chloride Injection Process and Quality Control. Thesis for Master Degree, Jilin University, **2013**. (Chinese)

(27) Kaminskas, E.; Farrell, A.; Abraham, S.; Baird, A.; Hsieh, L. S.; Lee, S. L.; Leighton, J. K.;

Patel, H.; Rahman, A.; Sridhara, R.; Wang, Y. C.; Pazdur, R. Approval Summary: Azacitidine for Treatment of Myelodysplastic Syndrome Subtypes. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2005**, *11*, 3604–3608.

(28) Yao, Q. H.; Gao, G. D. Inosine is Neuroprective Against Mptβ-Induced Parkinson's Disease in C57Bl Mice. *J. Fourth MilMed. Univ.* **2015**, *26*, 141–145. (Chinese)

(29) Munns, A. R. I.; Tollin, P. The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Inosine. *Acta Crystallogr. B.***1970**, *26*, 1101–1113.

(30) Thewalt, U.; Bugg, C. E.; Marsh, R. E.; The Crystal Structure of Guanosine Dihydrate and Inosine Dehydrate. *Acta Cryst., Sect. B.* **1970**, *26*, 1089–1091.

(31) Suzuki, Y. The Polymorphism of Inosine. II. The Measurement of Solubilities in Water. *B. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1974**, *47*, 2549–2550.

(32) Suzuki, Y. The Polymorphism of Inosine. III. The Equilibrium for the Inosine–Dimethyl Sulfoxide–Water System. *B. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1974**, *47*, 2551–2552.

(33) Yalkowsky, S. H.; He, Y.; Jain, P. Handbook of Aqueous Solubility Data (Second Edition). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2010.

(34) Nozohouri, S.; Shayanfar, A.; Kenndler, E.; Jouyban, A. Solubility of Celecoxib in *N*-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone + 2-Propanol Mixtures at Various Temperatures. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2017, *241*, 1032–1037.

(35) Jouyban, A.; Chew, N. Y. K.; Chan, H. K.; Sabour, M.; Acree Jr., W. E. A Unified Cosolvency Model for Calculating Solute Solubility in Mixed Solvents. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2005**, *53*, 634–637.

(36) Shakeel, F.; Alajmi, M.; F.; Haq, N.; Siddiqui, N. A.; Alam, P.; Al-Rehaily, A. J. Solubility and Thermodynamic Function of a Bioactive Compound Bergenin in Various Pharmaceutically Acceptable Neat Solvents at Different Temperatures. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2016**, *101*, 19–24.

(37) Jouyban, A.; Acree, W. E. Jr. Mathematical Derivation of the Jouyban-acree Model to

 Represent Solute Solubility Data in Mixed Solvents at Various Temperatures. J. Mol. Liq. 2018, 256, 541–547.

(38) Jouyban, A.; Fakhree, M. A. A.; Acree, W. E. Jr. Comment on "Measurement and Correlation of Solubilities of (Z)-2-(2-Aminothiazol-4-Yl)-2-Methoxyiminoacetic Acid in Different Pure Solvents and Binary Mixtures of Water + (Ethanol, Methanol, or Glycol)". *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* 2012, *57*, 1344–1346.

(39) Apelblat, A.; Manzurola, E. Solubilities of *o*-acetylsalicylic, 4-Aminosalicylic, 3,5-Dinitrosalicylic, and  $\beta$ -Toluic Acid, and Magnesium-Dl-Aspartate in Water from T = (278 to 348) K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1999, 31, 85–91.

(40) Apelblat, A.; Manzurola, E. Solubilities of L-Glutamic Acid, 3-Nitrobenzoic Acid,  $\beta$ -Toluic Acid, Calcium-L-Lactate, Calcium Gluconate, Magnesium-DL-Aspartate, and Magnesium-L-Lactate in Water. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2002**, *34*, 1127–1136.

(41) Wang, H. J.; Yao, G. B.; Zhang, H. J. Measurement and Correlation of the Solubility of Baicalin in Several Mixed Solvents. *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* **2019**, *64*, 1281-1287.

(42) Li, W. T.; Zhang, N.; Farajtabar, A.; Feng, X. G.; Chen, G. Q.; Li, X. B.; Zhao, H. K. Experimental Solubility Evaluation and Thermodynamic Analysis of Quinocetone in Aqueous Co-Solvent Solutions of Ethanol, Isopropanol, Dimethylsulfoxide and *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2019**, *131*, 449–459.

(43) Cárdenas, Zaira J.; Jiménez, Daniel M.; Delgado, D. R.; Almanza, O. A.; Jouyban, A.;
Martínez, F.; Acree Jr., W. E. Solubility and Preferential Solvation of Some *N*-Alkyl-Parabens in
Methanol + Water Mixtures at 298.15K. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* 2017, *108*, 26–37.

(44) Fan, J. P.; Yuan, T. T.; Yu, J. X.; Zhang, X. H.; Cao, Y. H. Determination and Thermodynamic Analysis of the Solubility of Limonin in Eight Organic Solvents and Ethyl acetate + 2-propanol Binary Solvents from 283.2 to 323.2 K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* **2018**, *63*, 642-650.

(45) Fan, J. P.; Cao, Y. H.; Zhang, X. H.; Jiang, D. Q.; Yu, J. X. Determination and Modeling of the Solubilities of Oleanolic Acid and Ursolic Acid in Ethanol+ Sodium Hydroxide+ Water Mixed Solvents from T= 283.2 to 323.2 K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* **2017**, *62*, 3991-3997.

(46) Fan, J. P.; Zheng, B.; Liao, D. D.; Yu, J. X.; Cao, Y. H.; Zhang, X. H.; Zhu, J. H. Determination and Modeling of the Solubility of (Limonin in Methanol or Acetone+ water) Binary Solvent Mixtures at T= 283.2 K to 318.2 K. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2016**, *98*, 353-360.

(47) Fan, J. P.; Yang, X. M.; Xu, X. K.; Xie, Y. L.; Zhang, X. H. Solubility of Rutaecarpine and Evodiamine in (Ethanol+ water) Mixed Solvents at Temperatures from (288.2 to 328.2) K. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2015**, *83*, 85-89.





**Figure 1.** Mole fraction solubility (*x*) of inosine in DMF (*w*) + ethanol (1-*w*) solutions with various mass fractions at different temperatures: *w*, mass fraction of DMF;  $\bigstar$ , *w*=1;  $\blacktriangle$ , *w*=0.9009;  $\circ$ , *w*=0.7985;  $\blacksquare$ , *w*=0.6998;  $\bigstar$ , *w*=0.6012;  $\blacklozenge$ , *w*=0.4993;  $\diamondsuit$ , *w*=0.3997;  $\blacktriangledown$ , *w*=0.3013;  $\triangle$ , *w*=0.2001;  $\bullet$ , *w*=0.1007;  $\Box$ , *w*=0; —, calculated curves by the Jouyban–Acree model.



**Figure 2.** Mole fraction solubility (x) of inosine in DMF (w) + n-propanol (1-w) solutions with various mass fractions at different temperatures: w, mass fraction of DMF;  $\bigstar$ , w=1;  $\blacktriangle$ , w=0.9005;  $\circ$ , w=0.8015;  $\blacksquare$ , w=0.7021;  $\bigstar$ , w=0.6003;  $\blacklozenge$ , w=0.5000;  $\diamondsuit$ , w=0.3986;  $\blacktriangledown$ , w=0.2978;  $\triangle$ , w=0.2003;  $\bullet$ , w=0.0997;  $\Box$ , w=0; —, calculated curves by the Jouyban-Acree model.



**Figure 3.** Mole fraction solubility (*x*) of inosine in DMF (*w*) + isopropanol (1-*w*) solutions with various mass fractions at different temperatures: *w*, mass fraction of DMF;  $\bigstar$ , *w*=1;  $\blacktriangle$ , *w*=0.9011;  $\circ$ , *w*=0.7996;  $\blacksquare$ , *w*=0.7002;  $\bigstar$ , *w*=0.5993;  $\blacklozenge$ , *w*=0.5001;  $\diamondsuit$ , *w*=0.4011;  $\blacktriangledown$ , *w*=0.3009;  $\triangle$ , *w*=0.2005;  $\bullet$ , *w*=0.0997;  $\Box$ , *w*=0; —, calculated curves by the Jouyban–Acree model.



**Figure 4.** Mole fraction solubility (x) of inosine in DMF (w) + PG (1-w) solutions with various mass fractions at different temperatures: w, mass fraction of DMF;  $\bigstar$ , w=1;  $\blacktriangle$ , w=0.9012;  $\circ$ , w=0.8000;  $\blacksquare$ , w=0.7001;  $\bigstar$ , w=0.5986;  $\blacklozenge$ , w=0.5003;  $\diamondsuit$ , w=0.4015;  $\blacktriangledown$ , w=0.2998;  $\vartriangle$ , w=0.2003;  $\bullet$ , w=0.1000;  $\Box$ , w=0; —, calculated curves by the Jouyban–Acree model

Table 1. Detailed information of all used the	drug	and reagents.
---	------	---------------

Materials	CAS No.	Molar mass (g∙mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Source	Mass purity	fraction	Purification method	Analytical method
	59 (2.0	2(9.22	Meryer	0.000		Description in the second	
Inosine (form B)	58-63-9	268.23	(Shanghai) Chem. Tech. Co. Ltd.	0.996		Recrystanization F	HPLC <sup>a</sup>
Ethanol	64-17-5	46.07		0.998		None	GC <sup>b</sup>
<i>n</i> -Propanol	71-23-8	60.10	Aladdin Reagent	0.996		None	GC
Isopropanol	67-63-0	60.10	Co., Ltd.	0.996		None	GC
Propylene glycol	57-55-6	76.09		0.995		None	GC
<i>N,N</i> -Dimethyl formamide	68-12-2	73.10		0.994		None	GC

<sup>a</sup> High-performance liquid chromatography.

<sup>b</sup>Gas chromatography.

**Table 2.** Experimental solubility  $(x_{T,w}^e \times 10^3)$  of inosine in DMF (w) + ethanol (1-w) mixture with T = (278.15 to 323.15) K at  $p = 101.0 \text{ kPa.}^a$ 

T/K	W										
1/10	0	0.1007	0.2001	0.3013	0.3997	0.4993	0.6012	0.6998	0.7985	0.9009	1
278.15	0.03160	0.3086	1.007	1.727	2.112	2.359	2.777	3.597	4.575	5.550	6.309
283.15	0.04282	0.4034	1.294	2.209	2.703	3.025	3.566	4.617	5.700	7.000	8.121
288.15	0.05672	0.5191	1.648	2.816	3.468	3.912	4.646	6.048	7.375	9.000	10.85
293.15	0.07401	0.6594	2.075	3.551	4.405	5.013	6.001	7.861	9.650	11.925	14.43
298.15	0.09594	0.8296	2.578	4.402	5.477	6.261	7.525	9.877	12.29	15.21	18.31
303.15	0.1237	1.039	3.188	5.429	6.771	7.772	9.371	12.32	15.86	19.28	23.04
308.15	0.1602	1.306	3.952	6.703	8.367	9.625	11.62	15.28	19.80	24.19	28.67
313.15	0.2000	1.586	4.748	8.036	10.058	11.62	14.08	18.54	25.03	29.90	35.10
318.15	0.2527	1.949	5.761	9.716	12.17	14.09	17.11	22.54	31.33	36.69	42.83
323.15	0.3150	2.368	6.923	11.65	14.63	17.00	20.70	27.32	39.08	45.41	52.32

<sup>a</sup> Standard uncertainties *u* are u(T) = 0.02 K, u(p) = 0.15 KPa; Relative standard uncertainty  $u_r$  is  $u_r(x) = 0.015$ .  $u_r(w)$ 

= 0.0002. *w* represents the mass fraction of DMF.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
9 10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
∠ I วา	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
30	
27	
5Z	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
7-7- 7-7-	
44 1	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
55	
54	
55	
56	
57	

**Table 3.** Experimental solubility ( $x_{T,w}^e \times 10^3$ ) of inosine in DMF (w) + *n*-propanol (1-w) mixture with T = (278.15 to 323.15) K at p = 101.0 kPa.<sup>a</sup>

T/K	W	<i>W</i>										
1/1	0	0.0997	0.2003	0.2978	0.3986	0.5000	0.6003	0.7021	0.8015	0.9005	1	
278.15	0.02079	0.1839	0.6228	1.125	1.496	1.772	2.146	2.843	4.005	4.988	6.309	
283.15	0.02697	0.2317	0.7752	1.398	1.867	2.227	2.713	3.609	5.102	6.188	8.121	
288.15	0.03835	0.3186	1.048	1.877	2.504	2.988	3.641	4.838	6.824	8.141	10.85	
293.15	0.05388	0.4335	1.403	2.498	3.330	3.978	4.851	6.442	9.070	10.224	14.43	
298.15	0.07126	0.5565	1.775	3.147	4.196	5.025	6.140	8.159	11.48	12.93	18.31	
303.15	0.09500	0.7195	2.259	3.980	5.301	6.350	7.759	10.30	14.46	16.14	23.04	
308.15	0.1233	0.9080	2.812	4.931	6.567	7.882	9.645	12.80	17.96	20.51	28.67	
313.15	0.1595	1.141	3.482	6.071	8.075	9.696	11.86	15.73	22.02	25.86	35.10	
318.15	0.2045	1.424	4.284	7.429	9.872	11.86	14.52	19.23	26.87	33.05	42.83	
323.15	0.2607	1.768	5.252	9.066	12.04	14.49	17.75	23.50	32.80	41.59	52.32	

<sup>a</sup> Standard uncertainties *u* are u(T) = 0.02 K, u(p) = 0.15 KPa; Relative standard uncertainty  $u_r$  is  $u_r(x) = 0.015$ .  $u_r(w)$ 

= 0.0002. *w* represents the mass fraction of DMF.

**Table 4.** Experimental solubility ( $x_{T,w}^e \times 10^3$ ) of inosine in DMF (w) + isopropanol (1-w) mixture T = (278.15 to 323.15) K at p = 101.0 kPa.<sup>a</sup>

T/K	W K										
1/10	0	0.0997	0.2005	0.3009	0.4011	0.5001	0.5993	0.7002	0.7996	0.9011	1
278.15	0.005500	0.07522	0.3459	0.7790	1.130	1.380	1.675	2.214	3.195	4.605	6.309
283.15	0.008500	0.1104	0.4911	1.084	1.553	1.879	2.260	2.954	4.212	5.996	8.121
288.15	0.012550	0.1562	0.6784	1.517	2.029	2.742	3.326	3.994	5.670	8.038	10.85
293.15	0.018400	0.2197	0.9325	2.061	2.742	3.691	4.513	5.374	7.361	9.283	14.43
298.15	0.026150	0.2995	1.241	2.705	3.454	4.640	5.699	6.936	9.515	12.04	18.31
303.15	0.03655	0.4022	1.628	3.505	4.695	5.882	7.197	8.861	11.91	15.27	23.04
308.15	0.05135	0.5426	2.143	4.550	5.718	6.904	8.712	11.25	14.66	20.12	28.67
313.15	0.07310	0.7402	2.846	5.510	6.667	8.091	10.37	14.20	18.08	24.27	35.10
318.15	0.1012	0.9852	3.698	7.007	8.091	9.515	12.75	17.73	22.02	32.89	42.83
323.15	0.1387	1.301	4.773	8.089	9.735	11.63	15.87	22.09	26.82	40.43	52.32

<sup>a</sup> Standard uncertainties *u* are u(T) = 0.02 K, u(p) = 0.15 KPa; Relative standard uncertainty  $u_r$  is  $u_r(x) = 0.015$ .  $u_r(w)$ 

= 0.0002. *w* represents the mass fraction of DMF.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
ر د	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
22 24	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
17	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54 55	
55	
56	
57	
58	

**Table 5.** Experimental solubility  $(x_{T,w}^e \times 10^3)$  of inosine in DMF (w) + PG (1-w) mixture with T = (278.15 to 323.15)

K at p = 101.0 kPa.<sup>a</sup>

T/V	W										
1/K	0	0.1000	0.2003	0.2998	0.4015	0.5003	0.5986	0.7001	0.8000	0.9012	1
278.15	0.001787	0.04573	0.2809	0.6810	0.9988	1.188	1.422	1.925	2.979	4.403	6.309
283.15	0.002532	0.06149	0.3676	0.881	1.290	1.537	1.843	2.494	4.056	5.718	8.121
288.15	0.004043	0.0926	0.5343	1.257	1.821	2.155	2.565	3.441	5.608	7.507	10.85
293.15	0.006050	0.1315	0.7381	1.714	2.472	2.921	3.473	4.643	7.270	9.169	14.43
298.15	0.009185	0.1887	1.024	2.333	3.327	3.902	4.603	6.093	9.406	11.54	18.31
303.15	0.01321	0.2580	1.360	3.054	4.327	5.057	5.945	7.827	11.23	14.79	23.04
308.15	0.01892	0.3514	1.800	3.981	5.598	6.513	7.622	9.970	13.79	18.77	28.67
313.15	0.02739	0.4830	2.398	5.212	6.251	7.997	9.727	12.60	17.11	23.52	35.10
318.15	0.03876	0.6511	3.141	6.719	8.003	9.364	12.29	15.80	21.15	29.69	42.83
323.15	0.05421	0.8693	4.082	8.008	9.118	11.35	15.47	19.76	25.75	37.85	52.32

<sup>a</sup> Standard uncertainties *u* are u(T) = 0.02 K, u(p) = 0.15 KPa; Relative standard uncertainty  $u_r$  is  $u_r(x) = 0.015$ .

 $u_r(w) = 0.0002$ . w represents the mass fraction of DMF.

	Jouyban	-Acree model	Van't Hoff–Jouy	ban–Acree model	Modified Apelblat-Jouyban-Acree model		
	parameter	value	parameter	value	parameter	value	
	$J_0$	1875.84	$A_1$	9.77173	$A_1$	109.026	
	$J_1$	-2401.51	$B_1$	-4109.96	$B_1$	-8641.56	
	$J_2$	2314.39	$A_2$	6.07107	$C_1$	-14.7520	
			$B_2$	-4567.14	$A_2$	18.9671	
DMF + Ethanol			$J_0$	1868.07	$B_2$	-5157.28	
			$J_1$	-2412.44	$C_2$	-1.91596	
			$J_2$	2294.89	$J_0$	1874.88	
					$J_1$	-2403.29	
					$J_2$	2311.98	
$RAD \cdot 10^2$		2.53	3.	04	2.69 6.85		
$RMSD \cdot 10^4$		6.80	7.	00			
	$J_0$	1786.70	$A_1$	9.77173	$A_1$	109.026	
	$J_1$	-2343.26	$B_1$	-4109.96	$B_1$	-8641.56	
	$J_2$	1961.21	$A_2$	7.27348	$C_1$	-14.7520	
			$B_2$	-5016.46	$A_2$	69.9696	
DMF + n-Propanol			$J_0$	1773.94	$B_2$	-7891.84	
			$J_1$	-2345.11	$C_2$	-9.31129	
			$J_2$	1929.24	$J_0$	1784.97	
					$J_1$	-2343.64	
					$J_2$	1956.87	
$RAD \cdot 10^2$		2.39	3.	41	2	.83	
$RMSD \cdot 10^4$		6.44	6.	31	6	.45	

**Table 6.** Values of parameters obtained from the selected thermodynamic models.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

# Page 27 of 28

# Submitted to Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data

	$J_0$	2193.10	$A_1$	9.77173	$A_1$	109.026
	$J_1$	-2937.50	$B_1$	-4109.96	$B_1$	-8641.56
	$J_2$	2107.75	$A_2$	11.1605	$C_1$	-14.7520
			$B_2$	-6477.45	$A_2$	-52.3493
DMF +			$J_0$	2192.63	$B_2$	-3546.09
Isopropanoi			$J_1$	-2961.84	$C_2$	9.42189
			$J_2$	2106.48	$J_0$	2191.18
					$J_1$	-2937.55
					$J_2$	2102.91
$RAD \cdot 10^2$		4.26		4.66		4.46
$RMSD \cdot 10^4$		7.27		7.10		7.19
	$J_0$	2671.29	$A_1$	9.77173	$A_1$	109.026
	$J_1$	-3730.04	$B_1$	-4109.96	$B_1$	-8641.56
	$J_2$	2794.31	$A_2$	11.5909	$C_1$	-14.7520
			$B_2$	-6919.78	$A_2$	-1.11098
DMF + PG			$J_0$	2666.15	$B_2$	-6332.47
			$J_1$	-3745.87	$C_2$	1.88379
			$J_2$	2781.38	$J_0$	2670.45
					$J_1$	-3732.10
					$J_2$	2792.26
$RAD \cdot 10^2$		3.26		3.92		3.74
$RMSD \cdot 10^4$		7.10		6.73		7.06