

Glyndŵr University **Glyndŵr University Research Online**

Chemistry Materials Science

1-1-2003

Tricarbonylrhenium(I) halide complexes of chiral non-racemic 2-(dioxolanyl)-(dioxanyl)pyridine ligands: synthesis, NMR and DFT calculations.

Peter J. Heard Glyndwr University, p.heard@glyndwr.ac.uk

Paul M. King

Phunrawie Sroisuwan

Nikolas Kaltsoyannis

Follow this and additional works at: http://epubs.glyndwr.ac.uk/chem

Part of the <u>Inorganic Chemistry Commons</u>, <u>Organic Chemistry Commons</u>, and the <u>Physical</u> Chemistry Commons

This is the author's final version of the work after peer review. This article was originally published in the Polyhedron Journal in 2003 by Elsevier. The published article can be found at http://www.sciencedirect.com

Recommended Citation

Heard, P. J., King, P. M., Sroisuwan, P., & Kaltsoyannis, N. (2003) 'Tricarbonylrhenium(I) halide complexes of chiral non-racemic 2-(dioxolanyl)-(dioxanyl)pyridine ligands: synthesis, NMR and DFT calculations'. Polyhedron, 22 (25-26), 3371-3378

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Materials Science at Glyndŵr University Research Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry by an authorized administrator of Glyndŵr University Research Online. For more information, please contact d.jepson@glyndwr.ac.uk.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Polyhedron xxx (2003) xxx-xxx



www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

Tricarbonylrhenium(I) halide complexes of chiral non-racemic 2-(dioxolanyl)-6-(dioxanyl)pyridine ligands: synthesis, NMR and DFT calculations

Peter J. Heard ^{a,*}, Paul M. King ^a, Phunrawie Sroisuwan ^a, Nikolas Kaltsoyannis ^b

^a School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Birkbeck University of London, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK ^b Department of Chemistry, University College London, Christopher Ingold Laboratories, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK

Received 2 June 2003; accepted 13 August 2003

Abstract

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10 The chiral non-racemic O/N/O donor ligands 2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-11 yl|pyridine and 2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-deuteryl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl|pyridine were prepared in

a stepwise fashion form 2,6-dibromopyridine. Reaction with the pentacarbonylhalogenorhenium(I) compounds yields the complexes

[ReX(CO)₃L], in which the ligands act in a N/O bidentate chelate fashion. There are eight possible diastereoisomers, three of which

are observable in solution. DFT calculations indicate that the relative stability of the diastereoisomers is SR⁵ > RR⁵ > $SS^5 \approx RS^5 > RS^6 > SS^6 > SR^6 > SR^6$. Above ambient temperature, a dynamic process leads to the exchange of 2 of the 3 dia-

stereoisomers: the free energy of activation is ca. 79 kJ mol⁻¹. The results of the DFT calculations and the magnitude of ΔG^{\ddagger} suggest

the dynamic process to be the *flip* of the co-ordinated acetal ring.

18 © 2003 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: 6-Bromopyridine-2-aldehyde; Tricarbonylrhenium(I) halide complexes; Diastereoisomers; ¹H NMR

20 1. Introduction

21 Chiral non-racemic C_2 -symmetric N/N/N tridentate 22 ligands, such as 2,6-bis(oxazolinyl)pyridines have been used extensively as auxiliary ligands in both stoichiom-23 etric and catalytic transition metal-mediated enantioselective organic transformations [1]. When such ligands 25 are restricted to a bidentate bonding mode, the ligands 27 undergo a dynamic stereochemical rearrangement that leads to the exchange of co-ordinated and pendant donor groups [2,3]. The chiral centres on the ligands provide an excellent spectroscopic handle on the stereodynamics, allowing the fluxional pathway to be 31 determined unambiguously.

Recently, as part of our ongoing researches on 33 34 fluxionality in 'chiral-at-ligand' organo-transition metal

E-mail address: p.heard@bbk.ac.uk (P.J. Heard).

complexes, we reported on the tricarbonylhalogenorhenium(I) complexes of the O/N/O hybrid ligands 2,6bis[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]pyridine (L¹) [4] and 2,6-bis[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl]pyridine (L^2) [5] (Fig. 1). These complexes undergo three dynamic processes; namely a flip of the co-ordinated acetal ring and exchange of the co-ordinated and pendant acetal rings via tick-tock and rotation mechanisms [4,5]. The size of the acetal ring [five-membered (dioxolanyl) or six-membered (dioxanyl)] has opposite effects on the relative energies of ring flip and tick-tock processes: ΔG^{\ddagger} for the ring flip process is lowered on substitution of L1 for L2, while that for the tick-tock exchange increases. The reasons for this were not obvious and we therefore chose to investigate the analogous complexes of the mixed acetal ligand 2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3dioxan-2-yl]pyridine (LHH) in an attempt to gain further insights on the problem. The results of this study are reported here.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-20-7679-7480; fax: +40-20-7679-

P.J. Heard et al. | Polyhedron xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

$$H_{3}C$$

$$L_{1}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$L_{4}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{4}$$

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{4}$$

$$CH_{5}$$

$$CH_{7}$$

$$CH_$$

Fig. 1. The chrial non-racemic ligands L¹, L², L³, L⁴, L^{HH} and L^{HD}, showing the hydrogen atom labelling for L^{HH}.

55 **2. Results**

68

69

70

71

73

56 2.1. The ligands

2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,5-dimethyl57 4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl]Pyridine (LHH) was syn-58 thesised from 2,6-dibromopyridine, as shown in Scheme 59 1, and characterised by mass spectrometry and NMR: 60 data are reported in Tables 1 and 2. Both routes give 61 similar overall yields. During the work-up of (3a/3b), the 62 attached acetal ring can be cleaved by hydrochloric acid, 63 used in the work-up, yielding a small amount of 2,6-65 pyridinedicarboxaldehyde. Route (i) is thus the preferred pathway: (2R,3R)-butane-2,3-diol is the cheaper 66 of the diols. 67

The 1H NMR spectrum of L HH is fully and unambiguously assignable. The acetal-C hydrogens, H_A (dioxolanyl) and H_F (dioxanyl) (see Fig. 1 for hydrogen atom labelling), are identified by their low frequency shifts (ca. δ 6.0) and differentiated by a NOESY experiment. H_A undergoes cross-relaxation with Me_B and H_E , which are assigned to the dioxolanyl ring on the basis of their scalar couplings, while H_F undergoes

cross-relaxation with H_L and Me_G, of the dioxanyl ring. The NOEs observed between H_F and H_L, and H_F and Me_G are consistent with the dioxanyl ring adopting a chair configuration with the pyridine ring equatorial. The full AB₃CD₃E and AB₃CDEFG₃ spin systems of the dioxolanyl and dioxanyl rings were analysed (noniteratively) using the program GNMR [6]. The 3- and 5position hydrogens of the pyridine ring, H_X and H_Z, are distinguished by virtue of the fact that they undergo cross-relaxation with the acetal-C hydrogens, HA and H_F, respectively. The ¹³C NMR spectrum was assigned on the basis of signal chemical shifts, DEPT experiments and by comparison with the spectra obtained [7] for L^1 , L^2 , 2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2yl]pyridine (L^3) [8] and 2-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl] pyridine (L⁴) [5]. NMR data are reported in Table 2.

76

77 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

The deuterium labelled analogues of L^{HH}, namely 2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-deuteryl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl]pyridine (L^{DH}) and 2-[(4R,5R)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-deuteryl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-deuteryl]pyridine (L^{DD}) were prepared similarly, using d₇-dimethylformamide in the

106

107

108

109

110

Scheme 1.

appropriate step(s) (Scheme 1), and identified by mass spectrometry and NMR: data are reported in Tables 1 and 2. The selective deuteration of the dioxolanyl ring (synthesis of L^{DH}) is best achieved by reaction of 2-deuteraldehyde-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-deuteryl]pyridine with (2R,3R)-butane-2,3-diol [i.e.,

100

104

route (ii), Scheme 1]. This route gives the best overall yield of $L^{\rm DH}$ and minimises the amount of 2-[(4*R*,5*R*)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4*R*,6*R*)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-deuteryl]pyridine ($L^{\rm HD}$), which is produced as a side product: careful control of the reaction conditions enabled $L^{\rm DH}$ to be isolated in 80% excess over

Table 1 Analytical data for L^{HH} , L^{DH} and L^{DD} , and the complexes $[ReX(CO)_3(L)]$ ($L = L^{HH}$, X = Cl, Br or I) and $[ReBr(CO)_3(L^{HD})]$

Ligand/Complex	Reaction time (h)	Yield (%)	$v(CO)^a (cm^{-1})$	Mass spectral data	Analyses ^b (%)		
					C	Н	N
L ^{HH}				316 [M + Na] ⁺			
				294 [M + H] ⁺			
L^{DH}				$317 [M + Na]^+$			
				$295 [M + H]^{+}$			
L^{DD}				$318 [M + Na]^{+}$			
				296 [M + H] ⁺			
$[ReCl(CO)_3(L^{HH})]$	24	79	1904; 1917; 2031	599 [M]+	37.24 (38.09)	3.72 (3.87)	2.18 (2.34)
				564 [M – Cl] ⁺			
$[ReBr(CO)_3(L^{HH})]$	72	62	1905; 1919; 2031	643 [M] ⁺	36.65 (35.46)	3.64 (3.60)	2.39 (2.18)
				$564 [M - Br]^{+}$			
$[ReI(CO)_3(L^{HH})]$	96	68	1909; 1920; 2031	691 [M] ⁺	34.57 (33.05)	3.53 (3.36)	2.32 (2.03)
				564 [M – I] ⁺			
$[ReBr(CO)_3(L^{DH})]$	72	51	1906; 1919; 2031	644 [M] ⁺	32.94 (35.41)	3.41 (3.44)	1.85 (2.17)
				565 [M – Br] ⁺			

Yield reported relative to the [ReX(CO)₅] compounds.

^a Infrared data. Spectra recorded in CH₂Cl₂ solution.

^bCalculated values in parentheses. Poor analytical figures due to impurities, which could not be separated (see text).

P.J. Heard et al. | Polyhedron xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

Table 2 NMR data^a L^{HH}

Assignment ^b	¹ H NMR data		Assignment	¹³ C NMR data	
	δ	Scalar couplings (Hz)		δ	
H _A	6.01 (6.0) ^b		CH ₃	16.9	
H_B	1.34	$J_{\rm BC}$ 6.1; $J_{\rm BE}$ 0.1	C H $_3$	17.0	
H_{C}	3.80	$J_{\rm CD}$ 0.1; $J_{\rm CE}$ 7.6	CH_3	17.3	
H_D	1.38	$J_{\rm DE}$ 6.1	CH_3	21.9	
H_{E}	3.84		CH_2	36.8	
H_{F}	5.95 (5.9) ^c		CHCH ₃ (dioxanyl ring)	68.1	
H_G	1.50	J_{GH} 6.9	CHCH ₃ (dioxanyl ring)	68.8	
H_H	4.49	$J_{\rm HI}$ 6.1; $J_{\rm HJ}$ 1.0	CHCH ₃ (dioxolanyl ring)	78.8	
$H_{\rm I}$	2.02	$J_{\rm IJ}$ 13.3; $J_{\rm IK}$ 11.7	CHCH ₃ (dioxolanyl ring)	80.4	
H_J	1.45	$J_{ m JK}$ 2.4	acetal-C (dioxanyl ring)	94.8 (25) ^d	
H_K	4.24	$J_{\rm KL}$ 6.2	acetal-C (dioxolanyl ring)	102.0 (25) ^e	
H_{L}	1.27		pyridine-C	120.3; 121.2; 137.7; 156.7 ^f ;	
H_X	7.79			157.3 ^f	
H _Y	7.66	J_{XY} 7.8; J_{XZ} 7.7			
H _Z	7.58	J_{YZ} 1.1			

^a Recorded in CDCl₃ at 298 K; chemical shifts quoted relative to trimethylsilane. See Fig. 1 for assignments.

111 L^{HD} . Attempts to prepare L^{HD} were less successful: L^{HD} 112 could not be prepared cleanly.

113 2.2. Complexes

114

115

117

119

120

121

123

124

125

127

128

129

131

132

The complexes, $[ReX(CO)_3L]$ $(L = L^{HH}, X = Cl, Br$ or I; $L = L^{DH}$, X = Br) were prepared by refluxing the [ReX(CO)₅] compounds with a small excess of the appropriate ligand in chloroform. The complexes were isolated as air-stable, microcrystalline solids, soluble in common organic solvents. The infrared spectra of the complexes each displayed three bands in the carbonyl stretching region, characteristic of a fac-octahedral coordination geometry for the [Re(CO)₃] moiety [9], indicating the potentially terdenate ligands are binding in the expected N/O bidentate fashion. The FAB mass spectra of the complexes each display low intensity peaks due to the molecular ions, [M]+, and high intensity peaks due to the species [M - halogen]⁺. The poor analytical figures obtained, particularly for [Re-Br(CO)₃L^{DH}], result from the presence of impurities, which are evidenced in the NMR spectra. Analytical data are reported in Table 1.

Assuming that inversion of configuration at the coordinated oxygen atom is rapid [10], the [ReX(CO)₃L] $(L = L^{HH} \text{ or } L^{DH})$ complexes possess six chiral centres: the 4- and 5-positions of the dioxolanyl ring, the 136 4- and 6-positions of the dioxanyl ring, the acetalcarbon atom of co-ordinated acetal ring, and the 138 metal centre. The configuration at 4- and 5-, and 4and 6-acetal ring positions are fixed (R), but the configurations at the acetal-carbon and the metal can be R or S. Thus, there are eight possible diastereoisomers, namely RR⁵, RS⁵, SR⁵, SS⁵, RR⁶, RS⁶, SR⁶ and SS⁶, depending on the configuration at the metal and at the co-ordinated acetal-carbon, respectively. The numbers refer to which acetal ring [dioxolanyl (5)] or dioxanyl (6)] is co-ordinated (Fig. 2). The configuration at the metal is defined by viewing the metal down the pseudo C_3 axis of symmetry, with the three CO groups down, and assigning priorities to the three remaining ligands according to the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog system [11].

The ambient temperature solution ¹H NMR spectra of the [ReX(CO)₃LHH] complexes are highly complex due to the overlapping sub-spectra of at least 3 of the 8 possible diastereoisomers (although exchange is slow on the NMR time scale, the diastereoisomers interconvert in solution, frustrating attempts to separate them [4,5,8]). The acetal-CH region, which is most amenable to analysis, displays three pairs of singlets; each diastereoisomer gives rise to two acetal-CH signals. Additional weak signals that may be due to the presence of minor diastereoisomers or impurities are also observed. The intensities of these additional signals vary, depending on the reaction conditions and the method of purification, suggesting they are more likely to be due to impurities. The assignment of the acetal-CH signals to the dioxolanyl and dioxanyl rings was done on the basis of their spin-lattice relaxation times. Extensive T_1 measurements [7] on ligands L^1-L^4 and their tricarbonylhalogenorhenium(I) complexes indicate

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ δ $^{\rm 2}$ H for L $^{\rm DH}$ /L $^{\rm DD}$ given in parentheses.

 $^{^{\}rm c}\,\delta$ $^2{\rm H}$ $L^{\rm DD}$ given in parentheses.

^d ¹J_{CD}/Hz for L^{DH}/L^{DD} given in parentheses.

^e ¹ J_{CD}/Hz for L^{DD} given in parentheses.

f Quaternary carbon.

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

Fig. 2. The eight possible diastereoisomers of the complexes $[ReX(CO)_3L] X = Cl$, Br or I; $L = L^{HH}$ or L^{DH}). Letters refer to the configuration at the metal and at the acetal-carbon atom of the co-ordinated ring.

171 that the relaxation times for the dioxolanyl-CH's are 172 between ca. 2.4 and 3.2 s, while those for the dioxanyl-CH are between ca. 1.0 and 1.5 s; as might be expected, 174 the relaxation times in the free ligands are generally longer then those in the complexed ligands. The re-175 laxation times of H_A (dioxolanyl) and H_F (dioxanyl) of 177 free L^{HH} are ca. 2.5 and 1.0 s, respectively. The pairs of acetal-CH signals in the [ReX(CO)₃LHH] complexes 178 also possess T_1 values of ca. 2.5 and 1.0 s (Table 3) and 179 are thus assigned to the dioxolanyl and dioxanyl rings, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectrum of [Re-Br(CO)₃L^{DH}] confirms the assignment. The sub-spectra due to the acetal-ring- and pyridine-hydrogens are also consistent with the presence of three main diastereoisomers, but the extensive overlap of signals frustrated 185 attempts to analyse the spectra fully in these regions. 187 ¹H NMR data for the acetal-C hydrogens are reported 188 in Table 3.

It is not possible to determine which of the eight possible diastereoisomers are observed in solution from the NMR spectra. Quantum chemical (DFT) calculations (Table 4) show clearly that co-ordination of the dioxolanyl ring is favoured strongly over the dioxanyl ring. The relative stabilities of the diastereoisomers are in the order $SR^5 > RR^5 > SS^5 \approx RS^5 > RS^6 > SS^6 >$ $RR^6 > SR^6$, suggesting that the three solution-state species are SR⁵, RR⁵ and either SS⁵ or RS⁵. This is in accord with trends observed previously in the complexes $[ReX(CO)_3L^1]$ (SR > RR > SS > RS) [4] and [Re- $X(CO)_3L^3$ (SR > RR > RS > SS) [8]. The reasons for the calculated trend are not obvious; however, the amount by which the ligand is destabilised on binding appears to at least play a role. Single point energy calculations were performed on the ligand in each of its bound geometries (the geometry being that taken from the DFT optimisations) and compared to that of the free

211

212

213

214

215

216

217218

219

220

221 222

223

224

225

226

227 228

229

230

231

232

233

234

P.J. Heard et al. | Polyhedron xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

Table 3 ^{1}H NMR data^a for the [ReX(CO)₃(L)] (L=L^{HH}, X=Cl, Br or I; L=L^{DH}, X=Br) complexes

Compound	Diastereoisomer ^b	δ (acetal-CH) ^c		
		H_A	H_{F}	
[ReCl(CO) ₃ (L ^{HH})]	A (60)	6.37 (2.6)	6.55 (1.1)	
- ' ' ' ' ' ' '	B (34)	6.81 (2.4)	6.45 (1.2)	
	C (6)	6.62 ^f	6.10 (1.2)	
[ReBr(CO) ₃ (LHH)]	A (54)	6.37 (2.6)	6.51 (1.0)	
	B (43)	6.83 (2.4)	6.43 (1.2)	
	C (3)	6.57 ^d	6.08 (1.2)	
$[ReI(CO)_3(L^{HH})]$	A (64)	6.34 (2.4)	6.40 (1.0)	
	B (26)	6.76 (2.4)	6.38 (1.2)	
	C (10)	6.57 (2.5)	6.05 (1.2)	
[ReBr(CO) ₃ (L ^{DH})]	A (60)		6.51 (1.0)	
	B (32)		6.43 (1.2)	
	C (8)		6.08 (1.2)	

^a Recorded in CDCl₃ solution at 298 K; chemical shifts quoted relative to tetramethylsilane.

Table 4 Calculated energies for the complex $[ReCl(CO)_3L^{HH}]$

Diastereoisomer ^a	$E_{\rm rel}^{\rm b} ({\rm kJmol^{-1}})$
SR ⁵	0
RR ⁵ SS ⁵ RS ⁵	9
SS ⁵	15
RS ⁵	15
RS ⁶ SS ⁶	28
SS^6	32
RR^6	39
SR ⁶	50

^a See Fig. 2 for labelling.

ligand. The results (Table 5) indicate that the ligand is destabilised on binding; the amount by which the ligand is destabilised follows the trend $SR^5 < RR^5 < RS^5 <$

Table 5 Calculated energies for isolated ligand, L^{HH}

Ligand geometry ^a	$E_{\rm rel}^{\rm b} (\rm kJ mol^{-1})$	
Free ligand	0	
SR ⁵	33	
RR ⁵	37	
RS ⁵ SS ⁵	40	
SS ⁵	41	
RS^6	45	
SS^6	45	
RR^6	49	
SR^6	58	

^aLabels refer to the diastereoisomer in which a particular geometry occurs (see text). See Fig. 2 for labelling.

 $SS^5 < RS^6 \approx SS^6 < RR^6 < SR^6$, close to the trend in the relative energies of the complexes (see above).

On warming, the ¹H NMR signals display reversible band broadening, due to a dynamic process that leads to the interconversion of diastereoisomers (B) and (C) (see Table 2 for labelling). There are three possible exchange pathways, namely a flip of the co-ordinated acetal ring, the tick-tock exchange of pendant and co-ordinated acetal rings and the rotational exchange of pendant and co-ordinated acetal rings [4,5,8]. Although these pathways are distinguishable by their different effects on the NMR lineshapes in the intermediate exchange regime, the uncertainty in the spectral assignment frustrated a full and unambiguous analysis of the spin problem. The barrier for the exchange process was estimated from selective inversion experiments, and found to be ca. 79 kJ mol⁻¹ (there is no significant halogen dependence). For either the tick-tock or rotation processes to be observed, at least one Re-dioxanyl species would need to be evidenced in the NMR spectrum, which the DFT calculations indicate to be unlikely (see above). The dynamic process was therefore assigned tentatively to the acetal ring flip fluxion: the energy barrier measured is close to that observed for the ring flip fluxion in the analogous complexes of L¹ (Table 6).

Table 6 Summary of fluxional energetics in the complexes $[ReX(CO)_3L]$ $(X = Cl, Br or I; L = L^1, L^2, L^3 or L^4)^a$

Halide	ΔG^{\ddagger} (acetal ring flip) (kJ mol ⁻¹)				ΔG^{\ddagger} (tick-tock exchange) (kJ mol ⁻¹)			
	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ¹]	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ³]	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ²]	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ⁴]	$\overline{[ReX(CO)_3L^1]}$	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ³]	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ²]	[ReX(CO) ₃ L ⁴]
Chloride	77	88	b	82	72	c	79	c
	ь	84	b	81	b	c	b	c
Bromide	77	87	b	81	72	c	77	c
	ь	86	b	81	b	c	b	c
Iodide	78	85	b	78	73	c	75	c
	ь	b	b	81	b	c	b	c

^a Data published in [4,5] and [8].

^b Populations (%) given in parentheses.

^cSpin lattice relaxation times, measured at 273 K, given in parentheses.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{d}}$ T_{1} not measured due to overlap signals arising from minor impurities

^b Relative energy.

^b Relative energy.

^b Not all fluxional processes are measurable due to the different diastereoisomer populations.

^cThe tick-tock fluxion does not occur in complexes of L³ or L⁴.

284

285

286 287

288

289

290

291

ħ†**ħ**

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314 315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

235 3. Discussion

236 Table 6 summarises the activation energies for the 237 acetal-ring flip and tick-tock exchange processes in the 238 tricarbonylhalogenorhenium(I) complexes of L^1-L^4 . 239 Data show that substitution of the dioxolanyl ligands 240 with the dioxanyl ligands has opposite effects on the 241 energy barriers: ΔG^{\ddagger} (ring flip) decreases, while ΔG^{\ddagger} (tick-tock) increases. This observation was difficult to rationalise and led to the study reported here. It was believed initially [5] that the ground-state energy was 245 lower in the dioxanyl complexes. The DFT calculations indicate clearly that this is not the case: binding of 246 dioxolanyl ring in [ReX(CO)₃LHH] is favoured by ca. 247 12-50 kJ mol⁻¹. The lower ground state energy in the 248 249 dioxolanyl complexes presumably accounts for the in-250 creased barrier to the ring flip process.

251 The lower ground state energy of the dioxolanyl 252 complexes may also be expected to result in an increase in the barrier to the tick-tock exchange fluxion, which is 254 not the case. Thus the decrease in the barrier to the ticktock exchange fluxion that occurs when L² is substituted 255 256 with L¹ must be the result of a greater stabilisation of 257 the transition state energy. This stabilisation arises 258 presumably because of the more favourable Re-dioxolanyl interactions in the transition state, in which the ligand is bound to the metal centre in a pseudo-terden-260 tate fashion [4,5].

262 4. Experimental

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

263 4.1. Synthetic methods

All procedures were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques under an atmosphere of dry, oxygen-free nitrogen. Solvents were dried by distillation from appropriate drying agents [12] and stored under nitrogen. Starting materials were purchased from standard sources. The $[ReX(CO)_5]$ (X = Cl, Br or I) compounds were prepared by previously published procedures [13].

The non-racemic chiral acetal ligand 2-[(4*R*,5*R*)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4*R*,6*R*)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl]pyridine (L^{HH}) was synthesised in a stepwise fashion from 2,6-dibromopyridine, as detailed below. L^{HH} can be prepared via either route (i) or route (ii) (Scheme 1). The former pathway is the economically preferred route because, during preparation of (3a) or (3b), the acetal group reacts with hydrochloric acid, which is used in the work-up, to yield 2,6-pyridinedicarboxal-dehyde: (4*R*,6*R*)-pentanediol is the more expensive diol.

281 *4.1.1.* 6-Bromopyridine-2-aldehyde (1)

6-Bromopyridine-2-aldehyde was prepared using a procedure adapted from that previously published [14].

To a slurry of 10.0 g (0.042 mol) of 2,6-dibromopyridine in 250 cm³ of cold (-80 °C) diethyl ether, 27.0 cm³ of 1.6 M of *n*-butyllithium in hexanes was added dropwise. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -40 °C; a clear yellow solution resulted. This solution was cooled to -80 °C and 7 cm³ (0.084 mol) of N,N-dimethylformamide in diethyl ether (20 cm³) was added slowly. The reaction was stirred at -70 °C for 2 h, during which time a white solid precipitated. The mixture was allowed to warm to -10 °C and hydrolysed with 10 cm³ of concentrated hydrochloric acid. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with diethyl ether. The extracts and ether phase were combined, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness. Crystallisation of the solid residue from a diethyl ether/n-pentane mixture gave 5.94 g (76%) of pure (1).

4.1.2. 2-[(4R,5R)-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-Bromopyridine (**2a**)

1 (5.0 g, 0.027 mol), (2R,3R)-butanediol (2.7 cm³, 0.030 mol), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (3.7 cm³, 0.030 mol), and *para*-toluenesulfonic acid (ca. 100 mg) were refluxed for 18 h in 30 cm³ of benzene. The resulting solution was extracted with aqueous sodium carbonate solution $(3 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3)$ then water $(3 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3)$, dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The solid residue was crystallised from hot hexane, yielding pure (2a). Yield: 3.6 g (52%).

4.1.3. 2-[(4R,5R)-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-Aldehydepyridine (3a)

2-[(4*R*,5*R*)-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-Aldehyde-pyridine was prepared using a similar procedure to that for (1). Yield: 38%.

4.1.4. 2-[(4R,5R)-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl]-6-[(4R,6R)-4,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl]Pyridine ($L^{\rm HH}$)

3a (0.80 g, 3.86 mmol), (4R,6R)-pentanediol (0.41 g, 3.90 mmol), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (0.48 cm³, 3.90 mmol), and *para*-toluenesulfonic acid (ca. 100 mg) were refluxed for 72 h in 30 cm³ of toluene. The resulting solution was extracted with aqueous sodium carbonate solution (3 × 30 cm³) then water (3 × 30 cm³), dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was crystallisation from hot petroleum ether to yield 0.71 g (63%) of L^{HH}.

4.2. Physical methods

¹H, ¹³C and ²H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX500 Fourier transform spectrometer operating at 500.13, 125.75 and 76.77 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts are quoted relative to tetramethylsilane. Probe temperatures were controlled by a standard B-VT

No. of pages: 8 DTD 4.3.1 / SPS-N

P.J. Heard et al. | Polyhedron xxx (2003) xxx-xxx

8

334	2000 unit and are considered accurate to ± 1 K. Spin-
335	lattice relaxation times, and COSY and NOESY spectra
336	were obtained using the standard Bruker automation
337	programs T1IR, COSYST and NOESYST, respectively.
338	Selective inversion experiments were carried out using our
339	1 6 7
340	quence D1-180°-REBURP55-VD-90°-FID. The relaxa-
341	tion delay was 25 s and the VD list typically contained 20
342	delays. Exchange rates were extracted from the longitu-
343	dinal magnetisations using the program CIFIT [15].

Infrared spectra were recorded in CH₂Cl₂ solution on 344 345 a Shimadzu hyper 8700 FT-IR spectrometer operating in the region 4000–400 cm⁻¹. Fast atom bombardment mass spectra were obtained at the London School of 347 Pharmacy on a VG Analytical ZAB-SE4F instrument, 349 using Xe⁺ bombardment at 8 kV energy, on samples in a matrix of 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol. Elemental analyses 350 were carried out at University College London.

352 4.3. Computations

353

354

355

356

357

358 359

360

362 363

364

365

366

367

368

370

371

372

375

376

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

The initial free ligand geometric structure was constructed using the Molden molecular modelling software [16] using fragments taken from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database. A DFT/B3LYP [17] geometry optimisation was performed, without symmetry constraints (6-31G** basis set), using GAMESS-UK version 6.2 [18]. In order to check the conformational stability of the ligands when bound to the metal centre a number of additional calculations were performed. These compared the geometry of the bound ligand (see below) to that of the optimised free ligand. Calculations were again performed with GAMESS-UK using a 6-31G** basis-set at the DFT/B3LYP level of theory.

Calculations on the complexes were performed using the Amsterdam Density Functional program suite [19– 23]. An uncontracted double-zeta Slater-type orbital valence basis set, supplemented with a p function for hydrogen and a d polarisation function for carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and chlorine (ADF Type III), was employed for the non-metallic elements. For Re, the ADF Type IV basis set, which may be described as triple-zeta 374 without polarisation functions was used. Scalar relativistic corrections [24] were included via the ZORA to the Dirac equation [25,26]. The frozen core approximation was employed. The relativistic frozen cores (calculated by the ADF auxiliary program Dirac) used were: carbon (1s), nitrogen (1s), oxygen (1s), chlorine (2p) and rhenium (4f). The local density parameterisation of Vosko et al. [27] was employed, in conjunction with Becke's gradient correction [28] to the exchange part of the potential and the correlation correction of Perdew [29]. The default integration parameter of 4.0 was used in all calculations. Geometry optimisations were conducted

without symmetry constraints, using a gradient conver-

gence criterion of 0.005 au/Å.

Acknowledgements	388
We are grateful to The Royal Thai Government for a studentship (P.S.) and to Johnson Matthey for the loan of rhenium.	389 390 391

	ŭ	
stu	We are grateful to The Royal Thai Government for a dentship (P.S.) and to Johnson Matthey for the loan rhenium.	389 390 391
Ref	erences	392
		C
[1]	A.H. Ghosh, P. Mathivanan, J. Cappiello, Tetrahedron Asym-	393
r-1	metr. 9 (1998) 1.	394
[2]	P.J. Heard, C. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1997) 1083.	395
	P.J. Heard, D.A. Tocher, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1998)	396
	2169.	397
[4]	P.J. Heard, A.D. Bain, P. Hazendonk, D.A. Tocher, J. Chem.	398
	Soc., Dalton Trans. (1999) 4495.	399
[5]	P.J. Heard, P.M. King, D.A. Tocher, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton	400
	Trans. (2000) 1769.	401
[6]	gNMR v4.1.0, Cherwell Scientific Limited, Oxford, 2000.	402
[7]	P. Sroisuwan, PhD Thesis, University of London, 2002.	403
[8]	P.J. Heard, A.D. Bain, P. Hazendonk, Can. J. Chem. 77 (1999)	404
	1707.	405
[9]	D.A. Edwards, J. Marshalsea, J. Organomet. Chem. 131 (1977)	406
F1.03	73.	407
[10]	R.F.W. Bader, J.R. Cheeseman, K.E. Laidig, K.B. Wiberg, C.	408
F1 11	Breneman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 6530.	409
[11]	E.L. Eliel, S.H. Wilen, Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds,	410 411
[12]	Wiley, New York, 1994.	411
[12]	D.D. Perrin, W.L.F. Armarego, Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, Pergamon, Oxford, 1988.	413
[13]	P. Schmidt, W.C. Trogler, F. Basolo, Inorg. Synth. 28 (1979) 160.	414
	J.E. Parks, B.E. Wagner, R.H. Holm, Inorg. Chem. 10 (1971)	415
[14]	2472.	416
[15]	A.D. Bain, J.A. Cramer, J. Magn. Reson. A 118 (1996) 21.	417
	G. Schaftenaar, J.H. Noordik, Molden: a pre- and post-processing	418
[10]	program for molecular and electronic structures, J. Comput	419
	Aided Mol. Des. 14 (2000) 123.	420

421 422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440 441

442

443

444

445

446

447

[17] A.D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 5648.

[18] GAMESS-UK version 6.2, CFS Ltd., CCLRC Daresbury Laboratory, 1999. GAMESS-UK is a package of ab initio programs by M.F. Guest, J.H. van Lenthe, J. Kendrick, K. Schoffel and P. Sherwood, with contributions from R.D. Amos, R.J. Buenker, H.J.J. van Dam, M. Dupuis, N.C. Handy, I.H. Hillier, P.J. Knowles, V. Bonacic-Koutecky, W. von Niessen, R.J. Harrison, A.P. Rendell, V.R. Saunders, A.J. Stone and A.H. de Vries. The package is derived from the original GAMESS code of M. Dupuis, D. Spangler and J. Wendoloski, NRCC Software Catalog, vol. 1, Program No. QG01 (GA-MESS), 1980.

[19] ADF2000, Department of Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam 2000

- [20] E.J. Baerends, D.E. Ellis, P. Ros, Chem. Phys. 2 (1973) 41.
- [21] L. Versluis, T. Ziegler, J. Chem. Phys. 88 (1988) 322.
- [22] G. te Velde, E.J. Baerends, J. Comp. Phys. 99 (1992) 84.
- [23] C. Fonseca Guerra, J.G. Snijders, G. te Velde, E.J. Baerends, Theor. Chem. Acc. 99 (1998) 391.
- [24] N. Kaltsoyannis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1997) 1.
- [25] E. van Lenthe, R. van Leeuwen, E.J. Baerends, J.G. Snijders, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 57 (1996) 281.
- [26] E. van Lenthe, J.G. Snijders, E.J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys. 105 (1996) 6505
- [27] S.H. Vosko, L. Wilk, M. Nusair, Can. J. Phys. 58 (1980) 1200.
- [28] A.D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3098.
- [29] J.P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B 33 (1986) 8822.