### The lived experience of Klinefelter syndrome: A narrative review of the literature

Background: Klinefelter syndrome (KS), also referred to as 47, XXY, is a genetic disorder affecting males. Whilst the disorder has a relatively high prevalence (affecting 1 in 500 men), it is still underresearched. Common medical implications of the disorder include infertility as well as a higher morbidity of other diseases, and a shorter life expectancy. There are also challenges relating to the management of a genetic diagnosis and around gender identity for those who do not identify as male. Method: This narrative review examines the key literature pertaining to the psychosocial aspects of the disorder in order to understand the current knowledge around KS as well as to identify relevant gaps within our social scientific understandings. Three key themes are explored within the review, these are: diagnosis issues and timing; outcomes for those with Klinefelter syndrome; and experiences with health care professionals. Findings: We argue that the existing literature does not fully explore the lived experiences of KS, and that the voices of those with KS are lacking from the existing corpus of work. Future research would enable a stronger understanding of how best those with KS could be supported to receive high quality health care, particularly around their reproductive needs.

Keywords: Klinefelter syndrome, Genetic disorders, Fertility, Patient experience, literature review

#### Introduction

Klinefelter syndrome (KS) or 47, XXY is a chromosomal disorder in males. Persons with KS have an additional X chromosome creating karyotype 47, XXY and 46, XY/47, XXY mosaics. According to existing epidemiological studies KS is one of the most common genetic disorders, affecting approximately 1 in 500 men (see Visootsak and Graham, 2006; Abramsky and Chapple, 1997). Whilst there can be phenotypic variation between individuals, physical traits associated with the syndrome can include small testes, a less muscular body, less facial and body hair, broader hips and increased breast tissue (Visootsak and Graham, 2006). This physiological background and associated traits can generate questions relating to gender identity and a proportion of KS individuals will not identify as male, instead identifying as female, non-binary or intersex<sup>1</sup> (see Herlihy and Gillam, 2011).

Learning difficulties, low self-confidence and issues relating to social interaction are also reported in relation to those with KS (Bojesen and Gravholt, 2011; Close et al., 2015a; van Rijn et al., 2014. Whilst a number of physical and developmental issues are therefore associated with KS, infertility is a common feature of the disorder (Lanfranco et al., 2004). Estimates suggest that over 95% of those with KS are infertile (Cummins et al, 2015), although some men with KS can seek to have biological children using advanced assisted reproductive technologies such as surgical sperm retrieval followed by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) (McEleny, Cheetam and Quinton, 2017). Such approaches are however high risk and uncertain, and those with KS may also be faced with decisions about the use of donor sperm, adoption or remaining childless (Grace, 2004). This review examines the existing psychosocial evidence around the impact of KS, exploring what we know about KS and its relevance for health care for this group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Whilst we refer to men within this paper, given that primarily those with KS will identify as male, we are aware that not all will identify as male, and have chosen this terminology for clarity and to reflect the medical literature on this topic.

#### Method

In order to identify literature for this review we searched the following key databases: Academic Search Premier, CINAHL, MEDLINE, PsychINFO. The search terms 'Klinefelter's syndrome+ Psychosocial<sup>2</sup> were used to reflect our interest in the psychological and social aspects pertaining to the disorder and specifically to the lived experience of those with KS. The results of these databases were limited to English articles in scholarly academic journals in the last 20 years. There were 47 results generated from this search and identified articles were screened using the inclusion of criteria of being about the patient or lived experience of KS. After screening, 15 results were included for the review, although a further 2 were initially discounted due to not being accessible, but on accessing did not fully meet the inclusion criteria after screening so were not included. Given the small number of results obtained, a Google Scholar search was also conducted, using the same search terms and the first 5 pages of these results were screened (beyond page 5 revealed the papers were not relevant to the search) which resulted in a further 5 inclusions. Four further papers were included following identification by reference chaining (Dixon-Woods et al. 2006). In total 22 papers were included, as detailed in Table 1 below. These were all papers which met the inclusion criteria specified above and were therefore extracted for the review. An inductive coding approach was adopted as part of the use of qualitative content analysis. This approach is advocated as a useful method when the body of evidence is perceived as limited at the outset of the analysis and when dealing with topics which could be described as sensitive (see Elo & Kyngas, 2008). This inductive approach involves open coding, specifically writing notes and headings during the initial reading phase of the review articles, and these open codes then these headings are grouped into broader 'umbrella' categories. As Elo and Kyngas (2008) note, 'The purpose of creating categories is to provide a means of describing the phenomenon, to increase understanding and to generate knowledge' (:111). From this analysis our overall categories, which we will refer to hear as themes, were then generated, these include; Diagnosis- Issues and timings; Outcomes for those with Klinefelter syndrome<sup>3</sup>; Experiences with health care professionals.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We have chosen to use Klinefelter syndrome as a descriptor of the disorder within our work, in line with NHS guidance (https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/klinefelters-syndrome/), but it is also widely called Klinefelter's syndrome. The papers we have included use of a mix of 'Klinefelter' and 'Klinefelter's'. For our search using 'Klinefelter syndrome+ psychosocial' brought up only 11 results across all time in the databases we searched so despite our preference to call it Klinefelter syndrome we have chosen to use the search terms 'Klinefelter's syndrome + psychosocial' in order to maximise results as they then include 'Klinefelter' and 'Klinefelter's'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The concept of outcomes from the analysis within this paper, and these relate to psychosocial outcomes within this paper, as per the aims and objectives of the article, but we do for ease of discussion refer to this as simply 'outcomes' within the paper.

Table 1: Study characteristics of included papers

Full Reference	Method, sample size, and country of research		
Abramsky L; Hall S; Levitan J; Marteau, TM (2001). What parents are told after prenatal diagnosis of a sex chromosome abnormality: interview and questionnaire study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal (International Edition)</i> . 02/24/2001;	Phone interviews with health care professionals (n=29) and Questionnaires with parents (n=23) Conducted in the UK		
322(7284): 463-466.			
Bhartia, M. and Ramachandran, S., 2012. Klinefelter's syndrome—a diagnosis mislaid for 46 years. <i>BMJ</i> , <i>345</i> , p.e6938.	Patient experience (n=1 auto-ethnographical reflections) and clinician testimonies (n=2). Conducted in the UK		
Bojesen, A. and Gravholt, C.H., 2011. Morbidity and mortality in Klinefelter syndrome (47, XXY). <i>Acta paediatrica</i> , 100(6), pp.807-813.	Epidemiological study of KS patients from the UK and Denmark. Cohort of 4800 patients in the UK and 900 patients in Denmark.		
Bourke, E., Snow, P., Herlihy, A., Amor, D. and Metcalfe, S., 2014. A qualitative exploration of mothers' and fathers' experiences of having a child with Klinefelter syndrome and the process of reaching this diagnosis. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 22(1), p.18.	Qualitative semi-structured interviews conducted with parents of children with KS (n=15). Conducted in Australia.		
Bourke, E., Herlihy, A., Snow, P., Metcalfe, S. and Amor, D., 2014. Klinefelter syndrome: a general practice perspective. <i>Australian family physician</i> , 43(1/2), p.38.	Practice commentary piece- drawing on practitioner experience in Australia and review of relevant literature.		
Close, S., Sadler, L. and Grey, M., 2016. In the dark: challenges of caring for sons with Klinefelter syndrome. <i>Journal of Pediatric Nursing: Nursing Care of Children and Families</i> , 31(1), pp.11-20.	Triangulated mixed methods study, using semi- structured interviews and online questionnaires with parents of children with KS. Purposive sample of n=40. Conducted in America.		
Close, S., Fennoy, I., Smaldone, A. and Reame, N., 2015. Phenotype and adverse quality of life in boys with Klinefelter syndrome. <i>The Journal of pediatrics, 167</i> (3), pp.650-657.	Cross sectional study of boys with KS, samples was n=43. Study included physical examination, hormone analysis and psychosocial questionnaire. Conducted in America.		
de Ronde W, de Haan A, Drent ML. Quality of life is reduced in patients with Klinefelter syndrome on androgen replacement therapy. Eur J Endocrinol. 2009;160(3):465–468.	Questionnaires sent to attendees at Dutch outpatient clinic (n= 40)		
Geschwind, D.H. and Dykens, E., 2004. Neurobehavioral and psychosocial issues in Klinefelter syndrome. <i>Learning Disabilities Research &amp; Practice</i> , <i>19</i> (3), pp.166-173.	Discussion of existing studies around neuro- behavioural and psychosocial issues and includes pilot data from their study of n=15 adults with KS. Participants completed measures of personality and motivation and measures of problem behaviours. Conducted in America.		
Gies, I., Tournaye, H. and De Schepper, J., 2016. Attitudes of parents of Klinefelter boys and pediatricians towards neonatal screening and fertility preservation techniques in Klinefelter syndrome. <i>European journal of pediatrics</i> , 175(3), pp.399-404.	Questionnaire study with clinicians (n=49) and parents (n=18) about fertility preservation. Conducted in Belgium.		
Grace, R.J., 2004. Klinefelter's syndrome: a late diagnosis. <i>The Lancet</i> , <i>364</i> (9430), p.284.	Patient testimony of their experience of diagnosis of KS. Patient based in America.		
Groth, K.A., Skakkebæk, A., Høst, C., Gravholt, C.H. and Bojesen, A., 2013. Klinefelter syndrome—a clinical update. <i>The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology &amp; Metabolism</i> , <i>98</i> (1), pp.20-30.	Evidence synthesis of studies on KS in PubMED. No details of the number of papers included were provided. Study conducted in Denmark.		

Fjermestad KW, Stokke S. Sleep problems and life satisfaction as predictors of health in men with sex chromosome aneuploidies [published online ahead of print February 21, 2017]. Behav Med. doi: 10.1080/08964289.2017.1282852.	Self report data from men (n=53) with sex chromosome aneuploidies (SCA) Data collected via Health Survey–Short Form (SF-36),the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and the Personal Wellbeing Index		
Herlihy, A.S., Gillam, L., Halliday, J.L. and McLachlan, R.I., 2011. Postnatal screening for Klinefelter syndrome: is there a rationale? <i>Acta Paediatrica</i> , 100(6), pp.923-933.	Discussion paper based on existing evidence around KS. Conducted in Australia.		
Herlihy AS,McLachlan RI, Gillam L, Cock ML, Collins V, Halliday JL. The psychosocial impact of Klinefelter syndrome and factors influencing quality of life. Genet Med. 2011;13(7):632–642.	Self-completion question with men with KS (n= 87) in Australia.		
Herlihy, A.S. and McLachlan, R.I., 2015. Screening for Klinefelter syndrome. Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity, 22(3), pp.224-229.	Discussion paper based on review of current evidence around screening for KS. Conducted in Australia.		
Nahata, L., Rosoklija, I., Yu, R.N. and Cohen, L.E., 2013. Klinefelter syndrome: are we missing opportunities for early detection? <i>Clinical pediatrics</i> , <i>52</i> (10), pp.936-941.	Retrospective study of those diagnosed with KS at Boston Children's hospital. Study conducted in America.		
Paduch, D.A., Fine, R.G., Bolyakov, A. and Kiper, J., 2008. New concepts in Klinefelter syndrome. <i>Current opinion in urology</i> , <i>18</i> (6), pp.621-627.	Review of existing evidence around KS for urology practice. Study conducted in America.		
Skakkebæk, A., Moore, P.J., Chang, S., Fedder, J. and Gravholt, C.H., 2018. Quality of life in men with Klinefelter syndrome: the impact of genotype, health, socioeconomics, and sexual function. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 20(2), p.214.	N=132 men with KS were assessed via surveys for demographics, socioeconomic status, health problems and behaviors, sexual function, medical follow-up, and mental and physical quality of life (MQoL and PQoL, respectively). The population group was assessed against a control group (n=313). The study was conducted in Denmark.		
Turriff A, Levy HP, Biesecker B. Factors associated with adaptation to Klinefelter syndrome: the experience of adolescents and adults. Patient Educ Couns. 2015;98(1):90–95.	Self-report survey with people with KS aged 14-75, recruited via online networks (n=310).		
Turriff, A, Macnamara, E, Levy, H, Biesecker, B. (2017). The Impact of Living with Klinefelter Syndrome: A Qualitative Exploration of Adolescents and Adults. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 26(4): 728-737.	Online questionnaire with open ended questions, part of a wider study into KS. N=310 completed the study but the responses for the open-ended questions ranged from n=169- n=210 due to incomplete data. Participants were aged 14-75. Conducted in America.		
Whitmarsh, I., Davis, A.M., Skinner, D. and Bailey Jr, D.B., 2007. A place for genetic uncertainty: parents valuing an unknown in the meaning of disease. <i>Social Science &amp; Medicine</i> , <i>65</i> (6), pp.1082-1093.	Interview study with families of those with genetic disorders. For the KS group they interviewed, six mothers, three fathers, one grandmother (n=10). Study was conducted in America.		

# **Diagnosis-Issues and timings**

Much of the literature examined discusses the challenges of getting and managing a diagnosis for KS. Fewer than 10% of cases of KS are diagnosed before puberty (Bojesen and Gravholt, 2007; Nahata et al., 2013), with only 6% diagnosed before aged 10 and 21% diagnosed before aged 20 (Close et al., 2016). The mean age of diagnosis is suggested to be 27 (Gravholt et al., 2018) and aspects such as poor learning at school, subsequent challenges around employment and low socio-economic status are believed to be correlated to late or under diagnosis (Turriff et al., 2017). A delay in diagnosis also remains problematic for health aspects including infertility (Groth et al., 2012). Many boys with KS report growing up with an unexplained sense of 'feeling different' (Close et al., 2016) and receiving a

KS diagnosis, it has been reported as being a 'relief' (Turriff et al., 2017). Diagnosis can be a point of acceptance and understanding for patients (Grace, 2004).

Whilst diagnosis can then be a relief for those with KS, literature relating to the experience of parents of boys with KS shows that diagnosis can be uncertain and complex which can be a source of frustration for parents (Whitmarsh et al., 2007; Bourke et al, 2014a). Even though parents may struggle to obtain a diagnosis for their children, particularly where there is an absence of 'typical' physical symptoms associated with KS, they are not always well prepared to receive a genetic diagnosis when it is ultimately obtained (Bourke et al., 2014a; Bhartia et al., 2012).

# Outcomes for those with Klinefelter syndrome

Quality of life (QoL) outcomes are reported as being worse for men with KS than for the general population (de Ronde et al. 2009; Herlihy et al., 2011 a & b; Skakkebaek et al., 2017; Nahata et al., 2013; Turriff et al. 2015). There are also higher rates of anxiety and depression found in people with KS (Geschwind and Dykens, 2004; Nahata et al., 2013) and sleep related problems (Fjermestad et al. 2017). The phenotypic severity influences the psychosocial outcomes for patients (Skakkebaek et al., 2017) and a higher number of physical features attributed to KS inversely relates to QoL (Close et al., 2015).

Turriff et al., (2017) found that infertility along with psychosocial challenges were viewed as a major issue for those with KS. It is suggested that 50% of adult men with KS will yield viable sperm as a result of advances in reproductive technologies (Paduch et al., 2008). There is however a desire from paediatricians and parents of KS children to see fertility preservation being used for minors who have KS (Gies et al., 2015). Parents are often concerned about sexuality, masculinity and fertility after a diagnosis, with the fathers of KS boys seen as particularly concerned about their son's sexual development and functioning (Bourke et al., 2014a). Evidence suggests that gender identity can be an issue for those with KS, with some reporting they neither feel or look either masculine or feminine (Herlihy et al., 2011b).

Physical health outcomes for those with KS can include lower physical activity levels and higher BMIs (Skakkebaek et al., 2017) as well as an increased risk of osteoporosis, diabetes as well as breast and other cancers (Bojesen and Gravholt, 2007). This increases both morbidity but also premature mortality (Skakkebaek et al., 2017) and those with KS have a decreased life expectancy of between 2-6 years (Bourke et al., 2014b). Whilst there is no cure for KS, many of these health issues are viewed as being best managed through early diagnosis of KS and relevant ongoing healthcare (Groth et al., 2012; Bourke et al., 2014).

## **Experiences with Health Care Professionals (HCPs)**

There is seen to be widespread lack of knowledge about KS by HCPs (Turriff et al., 2017; Bourke et al., 2014a; Close et al., 2015), with a 'haphazard' approach taken to the informing of parents around the diagnosis of KS (Abramsky et al., 2001). Information given to those who have KS is seen to be inconsistent and HCPs are often viewed as lacking insight into the realities of KS (Turriff et al., 2017). Given that KS is not heritable, parents may lack knowledge of what KS is, demonstrating the need for good quality professional support to plan for the care of their children with KS (Bourke et al., 2014a; Close et al., 2016). However, common misconceptions around KS are reported as being conveyed from HCPs, such as parents being told their sons are more likely to be gay as a result of having KS (Bourke et al., 2014a) despite the contested nature of evidence about differential rates of people

identifying as gay among those with KS when compared to the general population (Herlihy et al., 2011b; Skakkebæk et al., 2017).

Knowledge amongst healthcare professionals around treatment options is also now seen to be outdated (Bourke et al., 2014a) and not evidence based, due to lack of research around testosterone replacement or other management interventions (Bojesen and Gravholt, 2007; Close et al., 2015). The existing literature suggests that those with KS would be best served by multidisciplinary and coordinated health care (Groth et al., 2012; Skakkebaek et al., 2017; Turriff et al., 2011b; Close et al., 2015a) supported by more training and education for HCPs (Bourke et al., 2014a). In light of a lack of quality information forthcoming from HCPs, parents of children with KS are seen to turn to the internet for help and advice (Close et al., 2015), and others have noted the importance of support groups for those with KS, as a mechanism to help with the uncertainty of what having KS will mean for their lives (Bourke et al., 2014b).

#### Discussion

This narrative review suggests that a lack of or late diagnosis remains a critical problem in relation to KS. Whilst prenatal screening techniques may improve future diagnosis (Zhang et al., 2017), current low levels of diagnosis remain problematic, particularly for the possibility of improving physical and mental health outcomes (Nahata et al, 2013). This is particularly important as those with KS are reported to have poorer health outcomes than the general population across a range of measures, including quality of life (Herlihy et al., 2011b; Skakkebaek et al., 2017; Nahata et al., 2013) and comorbidities result in a decreased life expectancy for those with the disorder. The perception that all persons with KS will demonstrate 'textbook' signs is viewed as compromising the ability of patients to obtain a diagnosis (Aksglaede et al., 2013). Early diagnosis allows for more extensive options for children and adolescents to preserve their fertility, which is seen as one of the key concerns for patients, although this remains an area in need of further research (Gies et al., 2015). Diagnosis itself can be a relief for patients, which is similar to other long-term health conditions (see Ballard et al., 2006; Asbring and Narvanen, 2002; Wackerbarth and Johnson, 2002) although the literature details that uncertainty can also spring from a KS diagnosis, perhaps connected to the perceived lack of knowledge by HCPs reported within the literature.

The experience with healthcare for persons with KS is described as poor (Turriff et al., 2017; Bourke et al., 2014a; Close et al., 2015), ranging from a lack of information to misinformation, due to a perceived lack of expertise among HCPs around KS. There is a consensus in the literature around the importance and value of the multidisciplinary team as a means of providing care to KS patients (Growth et al., 2012). Coordinated approaches to care are currently seen to be lacking despite evidence of the effectiveness of such approaches being noted in relation to other illnesses (Frost et al., 1999; Jefferies and Chan, 2004). Questions of gender identity are noted within the literature (Herlihy et al., 2011b) but not extensively explored; how those with KS identify and how this then intersects with their experiences of healthcare remains an important area for future consideration.

Given the prevalence of KS within the population, greater research focus on the disorder in the future, particularly in relation to reproductive health and the psychosocial impact of KS, would have a significant impact for patients and their families. There are inevitably limitations to a short review of this nature, and not all papers which may be relevant to KS, particularly those which are more clinically focused (such as Gravholt et al., 2018) appeared within our search, thereby illustrating a well-recognised limitation of literature keyword search based review algorithms. The voices of those with KS appear to be currently lacking from the literature, which could be further marginalising, so future research should attempt to capture the lived experience of those with KS and use

participatory methods where possible to embed this lived experience centrally within research. Developing a priority setting partnership for those with KS to identify and rank key research areas for the future would be fruitful, and co-production of research agendas would help with inclusion of this otherwise hidden group. Attempts to move forward research and care for those with KS should then begin with a central focus on what matters to those with KS and seek to make positive improvements to their diagnosis, outcomes and encounters with healthcare professionals.

### References

Abramsky, L. and Chapple, J. (1997). 47, XXY (Klinefelter syndrome) and 47, XYY: estimated rates of an indication for postnatal diagnosis with implications for prenatal counselling. *Prenatal Diagnosis: Published in Affiliation with the International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis, 17*(4): 363-368.

Abramsky L; Hall S; Levitan J; Marteau, TM (2001). What parents are told after prenatal diagnosis of a sex chromosome abnormality: interview and questionnaire study. *BMJ: British Medical Journal (International Edition)*. 02/24/2001; 322(7284): 463-466.

Aksglaede, L., Link, K., Giwercman, A., Jørgensen, N., Skakkebæk, N.E. and Juul, A. (2013), February. 47, XXY Klinefelter syndrome: Clinical characteristics and age-specific recommendations for medical management. In *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics* 163(1): 55-63.

Åsbring, P. and Närvänen, A.L. (2002). Women's experiences of stigma in relation to chronic fatigue syndrome and fibromyalgia. *Qualitative Health Research*, 12(2): 148-160.

Ballard, K., Lowton, K. and Wright, J. (2006). What's the delay? A qualitative study of women's experiences of reaching a diagnosis of endometriosis. *Fertility and sterility*, *86*(5): 1296-1301.

Bhartia, M. and Ramachandran, S. (2012). Klinefelter syndrome—a diagnosis mislaid for 46 years. *BMJ*, *345*, p.e6938.

Bojesen, A. and Gravholt, C.H. (2011). Morbidity and mortality in Klinefelter syndrome (47, XXY). *Acta paediatrica*, 100(6): 807-813.

Bourke, E., Snow, P., Herlihy, A., Amor, D. and Metcalfe, S. (2014a). A qualitative exploration of mothers' and fathers' experiences of having a child with Klinefelter syndrome and the process of reaching this diagnosis. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 22(1): 8.

Bourke, E., Herlihy, A., Snow, P., Metcalfe, S. and Amor, D. (2014b). Klinefelter syndrome: a general practice perspective. *Australian family physician*, *43*(1/2); 38.

Close, S., Sadler, L. and Grey, M. (2016). In the dark: challenges of caring for sons with Klinefelter syndrome. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing: Nursing Care of Children and Families*, *31*(1): 11-20.

Close, S., Fennoy, I., Smaldone, A. and Reame, N. (2015). Phenotype and adverse quality of life in boys with Klinefelter syndrome. *The Journal of pediatrics*, *167*(3): 650-657.

Cummins, P.L., Harrity, C., Walsh, D.J. and Marron, K.J. (2015). Male Infertility and Klinefelter Syndrome (47, XXY). *J Clin Case Rep*, *5*(641): 2.

de Ronde W, de Haan A, Drent ML. (2009). Quality of life is reduced in patients with Klinefelter syndrome on androgen replacement therapy. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 160(3):465–468.

Dixon-Woods, M., Cavers, D., Agarwal, S., Annandale, E., Arthur, A., Harvey, J., Hsu, R., Katbamna, S., Olsen, R., Smith, L. and Riley, R. (2006). Conducting a critical interpretive synthesis of the literature on access to healthcare by vulnerable groups. *BMC medical research methodology*, *6*(1),35: 1-13

Elo, S. and Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 62(1): 107-115.

Fjermestad KW, Stokke S. (2017). Sleep problems and life satisfaction as predictors of health in men with sex chromosome aneuploidies. *Behav Med*. doi: 10.1080/08964289.2017.1282852.

Frost, M.H., Arvizu, R.D., Jayakumar, S., Schoonover, A., Novotny, P. and Zahasky, K., (1999). A multidisciplinary healthcare delivery model for women with breast cancer: patient satisfaction and physical and psychosocial adjustment. In *Oncology nursing forum* (Vol. 26, No. 10: 1673-1680).

Geschwind, D.H. and Dykens, E. (2004). Neurobehavioral and psychosocial issues in Klinefelter syndrome. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, *19*(3): 166-173.

Gies, I., Tournaye, H. and De Schepper, J. (2016). Attitudes of parents of Klinefelter boys and pediatricians towards neonatal screening and fertility preservation techniques in Klinefelter syndrome. *European journal of pediatrics*, *175*(3): 399-404.

Grace, R.J. (2004). Klinefelter syndrome: a late diagnosis. The Lancet, 364(9430): 284.

Gravholt, C.H., Chang, S., Wallentin, M., Fedder, J., Moore, P. and Skakkebæk, A., 2018. Klinefelter syndrome: integrating genetics, neuropsychology, and endocrinology. *Endocrine reviews*, *39*(4), pp.389-423.

Groth, K.A., Skakkebæk, A., Høst, C., Gravholt, C.H. and Bojesen, A. (2013). Klinefelter syndrome—a clinical update. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, *98*(1):20-30.

Herlihy, A.S., Gillam, L., Halliday, J.L. and McLachlan, R.I. (2011a). Postnatal screening for Klinefelter syndrome: is there a rationale? *Acta Paediatrica*, 100(6): 923-933.

Herlihy AS, McLachlan RI, Gillam L, Cock ML, Collins V, Halliday JL. (2011b). The psychosocial impact of Klinefelter syndrome and factors influencing quality of life. *Genet Med*. 13(7):632–642.

Herlihy, A.S. and McLachlan, R.I. (2015). Screening for Klinefelter syndrome. *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity*, 22(3): 224-229.

Jefferies, H. and Chan, K.K. (2004). Multidisciplinary team working: is it both holistic and effective? *International Journal of Gynecological Cancer*, 14(2): 210-211.

Lanfranco, F., Kamischke, A., Zitzmann, M. and Nieschlag, E. (2004). Klinefelter syndrome. *The Lancet*, *364*(9430): 273-283.

McEleny, K., Cheetham, T. and Quinton, R. (2017). Should we be offering fertility preservation by surgical sperm retrieval to men with Klinefelter syndrome? *Clinical endocrinology*, 86(4): 463-466.

Nahata, L., Rosoklija, I., Yu, R.N. and Cohen, L.E. (2013). Klinefelter syndrome: are we missing opportunities for early detection? *Clinical pediatrics*, *52*(10): 936-941.

Paduch, D.A., Fine, R.G., Bolyakov, A. and Kiper, J. (2008). New concepts in Klinefelter syndrome. *Current opinion in urology*, *18*(6): 621-627.

van Rijn, S., Stockmann, L., Borghgraef, M., Bruining, H., van Ravenswaaij-Arts, C., Govaerts, L., Hansson, K. and Swaab, H. (2014). The social behavioral phenotype in boys and girls with an extra X chromosome (Klinefelter syndrome and Trisomy X): a comparison with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 44(2): 310-320.

Skakkebæk, A., Moore, P.J., Chang, S., Fedder, J. and Gravholt, C.H. (2018). Quality of life in men with Klinefelter syndrome: the impact of genotype, health, socioeconomics, and sexual function. *Genetics in Medicine*, 20(2):214.

Turriff, A, Macnamara, E, Levy, H, Biesecker, B. (2017). The Impact of Living with Klinefelter Syndrome: A Qualitative Exploration of Adolescents and Adults. *Journal of Genetic Counseling*, 26(4): 728-737.

Turriff A, Levy HP, Biesecker B. (2015). Factors associated with adaptation to Klinefelter syndrome: the experience of adolescents and adults. *Patient Educ Couns*. 98(1):90–95.

Visootsak, J. and Graham, J.M. (2006). Klinefelter syndrome and other sex chromosomal aneuploidies. *Orphanet journal of rare diseases*, 1(1): 42.

Wackerbarth, S.B. and Johnson, M.M. (2002). The carrot and the stick: benefits and barriers in getting a diagnosis. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 16(4): 213-220.

Whitmarsh, I., Davis, A.M., Skinner, D. and Bailey Jr, D.B. (2007). A place for genetic uncertainty: parents valuing an unknown in the meaning of disease. *Social Science & Medicine*, 65(6), pp.1082-1093.

Zhang, B., Lu, B.Y., Yu, B., Zheng, F.X., Zhou, Q., Chen, Y.P. and Zhang, X.Q. (2017). Noninvasive prenatal screening for fetal common sex chromosome aneuploidies from maternal blood. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 45(2): 621-630.