



Citation for the published version:

Farag, M., Shoaib, A., & Gorog, D. A. (2017). Nitrates for the Management of Acute Heart Failure Syndromes, A Systematic Review. *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 22(1). DOI: 10.1177/1074248416644345

Document Version: Accepted Version

Link to the final published version available at the publisher:

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1074248416644345>

Copyright © 2016 The Authors. Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications.

General rights

Copyright© and Moral Rights for the publications made accessible on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners.

Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://uhra.herts.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, any such items will be temporarily removed from the repository pending investigation.

Enquiries

Please contact University of Hertfordshire Research & Scholarly Communications for any enquiries at rsc@herts.ac.uk

Nitrates for the Management of Acute Heart Failure Syndromes

Mohamed Farag MSc ¹, Ahmad Shoaib MD ², Diana A Gorog MB BS MD PhD FRCP ^{1,3,4}

1) Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, UK

2) Academic Cardiology Department, University of Hull, UK

3) Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, UK

4) National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK

ABSTRACT

Intravenous nitrates are widely used in the management of acute heart failure syndrome (AHFS), yet with lack of robust evidence to support their use. We therefore sought to analyse all randomised studies that evaluated the effects of nitrates on clinical outcomes in patients with AHFS. In total, fifteen relevant trials comparing nitrates and alternative interventions in 1824 patients were identified. All but three were conducted before 1998. No trials demonstrated a beneficial effect on mortality, apart from one trial reporting a reduction in mortality, which was related to the time of treatment. Retrospective review suggests that there is a lack of data to draw any firm conclusions concerning the use of nitrates in patients with AHFS. More studies are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of these agents in the modern era of guideline-directed use of heart failure therapy.

Keywords: acute heart failure syndrome, nitrates, vasodilator therapy, morbidity, mortality

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADHF: acute decompensated heart failure

AHFS: acute heart failure syndrome

IHD: ischaemic heart disease

ISDN: isosorbide dinitrate

ISMN: isosorbide mononitrate

LVSD: left ventricular systolic dysfunction

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure is a chronic, progressive clinical syndrome that results from any structural or functional impairment of ventricular filling or ejection of blood causing symptoms and signs of pulmonary congestion. Acute heart failure syndrome (AHFS) is defined as the sudden change or the rapid deterioration of symptoms and signs of heart failure, often in response to certain precipitating factors (for example, myocardial ischaemia, cardiac arrhythmia, septicaemia and non-compliance with either drug, or diet therapy, or both), which require urgent medical attention. Over the last 25 years, despite advances in heart failure diagnosis and management, it remains a common yet complex syndrome associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality. Heart failure continues to represent a major and growing burden on public health worldwide, both in terms of its prevalence and poor prognosis. This is, at least in part, because the contemporary medications that are received by heart failure patients are often insufficient to fully prevent hospitalisations (1). Also, there has been little research into the management of acute heart failure syndrome (AHFS), with many treatment strategies being based on clinical experience, rather than on evidence from high quality studies. Nitrates are widely used in patients with heart failure, yet evidence supporting their use is apparently scarce.

Historical drug treatments for heart failure syndromes included only diuretics and digitalis, mainly for the relief of symptoms of congestion rather than for a mortality benefit or morbidity reduction (2). This prompted further search for other agents as potential treatments, especially in patients with advanced heart failure. In the early 1970s, initial vasodilator studies in heart failure showed some added haemodynamic benefits with the use of nitrates, mainly through reduction of preload (3, 4). Observational studies suggested that the addition of the nitric oxide donor isosorbide dinitrate (ISDN) in acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF) was associated with lower all-cause mortality and favourable long-term clinical outcomes, but without reduction in rehospitalisation rate (5, 6). Interest in nitrate therapy has increased further as a result of the widespread use of intravenous nitrates in the emergency management of AHFS.

Standard guideline-directed therapy for heart failure has changed dramatically over the last 25 years (7). The beneficial effects of nitrates may or may not have been masked by newer heart failure treatments. Moreover, it is unclear whether nitrates can significantly improve symptoms of heart failure, although it is widely believed that they do. It is also uncertain whether the effect of these agents confers a different effect on different heart failure phenotypes (for example, heart failure with preserved systolic function, heart failure with reduced systolic function, ischaemic heart disease, valve disease, etc). Previous reviews that studied nitrates in acute heart failure have been small, and included only a few studies (8,

9). Perhaps this is due, in part, to the fact that most prior studies are considered dated, with inaccurate methodology, which might have shaped final results. Recently, a study of home monitoring using a pulmonary artery pressure monitoring device demonstrated a substantial reduction in rehospitalisation rate, but not mortality, in patients with symptomatic heart failure (10). Apart from diuretics, nitrates were the main agents used to reduce pulmonary pressure, which appeared to be the main mechanism by which the benefit was achieved. In order to examine the evidence for use of nitrates in heart failure, we sought to analyse studies that evaluated the effects of nitrates on clinical outcomes.

METHODS

The study was designed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) Statement (11). Inclusion criteria were as follows: randomised controlled trials assessing nitrates, versus placebo, or no therapy, or an active comparator, whether parallel or multiple arms (i.e. other arms with different class, route and dosage). Participants were patients who received nitrates for the management of ADHF. Observational studies, registries and studies conducted in chronic heart failure, were excluded.

The PubMed/Medline, Embase, Scopus and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases were searched up to August 2015. All studies assessing the effects of nitrates in acute heart failure, and reporting the effect on clinical endpoints were included, with no language restrictions. Eligible studies were identified with the following headings: nitrates, nitroglycerine, nicorandil, isosorbide mononitrate, isosorbide dinitrate, glyceryltrinitrate, sodium nitroprusside, heart failure, cardiac failure, ventricular failure, randomly, random and randomised controlled trial. Reference lists of the retrieved articles were searched to identify other eligible studies. Furthermore, online oral presentations and expert slide presentations were examined.

The study investigators independently reviewed all titles, or titles and abstracts from the search results to identify articles according to the fulfilment of inclusion criteria. Selected trials were compared, and discrepancies were resolved by team discussion. Data extraction was carried out independently and in duplicate by the study investigators. Results of data extraction were then compared, and any discrepancies were resolved by team discussion. If results were incomplete or unclear, the study authors were contacted. Articles finally selected for the review were checked to avoid inclusion of data published in duplicate. Relevant data was collected on baseline patient characteristics, heart failure phenotype, presence of ischaemic heart disease, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional classification, background heart failure therapy, study interventions, and clinical outcomes at baseline and end of follow-up.

Of 4,415 articles identified by the initial search, 75 were retrieved for more detailed evaluation [Figure 1]. 33 studies were excluded from the review, because they assessed the effects in chronic heart failure [Supplementary Appendix ¹⁻³³]. 27 studies primarily addressed tolerance issues (e.g. clinical pharmacological trials) [Supplementary Appendix ³⁴⁻⁶⁰], and 15 studies assessed the effects on clinical outcomes in AHFS [Table 1].




Figure 1: Flow Chart of Review

RESULTS

Mechanism of action

Many nitrates (e.g. nitroglycerine, isosorbide mononitrate and isosorbide dinitrate) exert their effects in the human body by being converted to nitric oxide (NO). NO is recognized as the most important cellular signalling molecule involved in many physiological and pathological cardiovascular processes (12). There has been a growing body of evidence to suggest that NO production, as a potent natural vasodilator and a major biological regulator of cardiovascular function, is impaired in patients with heart failure, thus indicating a potential significant beneficial effect of NO enhancing therapy with nitrates (13, 14).

Evidence for use in AHFS

Fifteen randomised studies including a total of 1824 AHFS patients and reporting clinical outcomes were identified. Two small studies including 35 patients with a mean age of 51 ± 8 years studied the combination therapy of nitrates and hydralazine. Of these, one study compared the combination to either drug as monotherapy, and the other study compared the combination to captopril and prazosin. For nitrates alone, 14 studies were identified, of which 13 studies included 1714 patients comparing nitrates to alternative interventions, and one study compared a high dose nitrate to a low dose nitrate [Table 1]. The mean age of participants was 62 ± 8 years and 17% were women. The majority of studies assessed the effects in men with ADHF due predominantly to left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD). The aetiology of heart failure was ischaemic heart disease (IHD) in about 76% of patients among all studies [Table 1].

In a study by Nelson et al. (21), when isosorbide dinitrate and hydralazine combination (H-ISDN) was used, by intravenous administration (the average dose of H was 11.6 mg and of ISDN was 13.4 mg over 90 minutes) in 18 men in sinus rhythm with ADHF secondary to IHD, it resulted in a composite haemodynamic profile, which was superior to either drug used alone. There was a significant reduction in left ventricular filling pressures, systolic and diastolic blood pressures and systemic vascular resistance, accompanied by a significant increase in stroke volume, cardiac output and heart rate, compared to either drug used alone. Given that combination therapy resulted in powerful preload and afterload reduction, it was concluded that combination therapy may be of greater benefit as long as

the fall in mean arterial pressure does not compromise peripheral perfusion, as it appears that the large fall in mean arterial blood pressure was not fully compensated by the reflex increase in heart rate and cardiac output. In another study by Adigun et al. (29), 17 Nigerian patients with hypertensive ADHF (35% were women and all patients were in sinus rhythm) were given H-ISDN combination therapy (intravenous H 30 mg and oral ISDN 30 mg), as compared to captopril 50 mg and prazosin 1 mg given orally in a single-blind parallel group fashion on a background therapy of standard treatments of acute pulmonary oedema including a furosemide diuretic. The neurohormonal inhibitors captopril and prazosin exerted the same haemodynamic effects of combination therapy (i.e. reduced left ventricular filling pressures, systolic and diastolic blood pressures and systemic vascular resistance) over 24 hours, yet without causing tachycardia, suggesting that there may be a favourable haemodynamic profile associated with these agents in ADHF.

In comparison with furosemide, ISDN showed no effect on pulmonary artery pressures, suggesting no real major haemodynamic differences between the two agents when given over 90 minutes, mainly in men with ADHF secondary to IHD (17, 22). In a landmark study, Cotter et al. reported that high dose ISDN given as repeated intravenous boluses after low dose intravenous furosemide was beneficial in controlling severe pulmonary oedema in 104 patients with a mean age of 74 ± 9 years (48% were women), compared to low dose ISDN given after high dose intravenous furosemide (24). The high dose ISDN significantly decreased the need for mechanical ventilation and the frequency of myocardial infarction. In that study, all patients were in sinus rhythm and the aetiology of heart failure was IHD in 64% of patients. The mean dose of ISDN administered during treatment was 11.4 ± 6.8 mg in the high dose group and 1.4 ± 0.6 mg in the low dose group. The mean furosemide doses were 56 ± 28 mg and 200 ± 65 mg, respectively. Although the study by Cotter et al. has positively influenced clinical practice to date; it is debatable that the results are difficult to interpret because of nitrates were used in both arms of the study (9).

The VMAC study compared nitroglycerine infusion, nesiritide infusion and placebo in 489 patients with ADHF (26). Nitroglycerine and nesiritide significantly reduced symptoms of heart failure, but only nesiritide significantly reduced pulmonary artery pressures compared to placebo. In another study, Cohn et al. reported that short-term (48 hours) sodium nitroprusside infusion may cause a decrease in mortality if only used more than 9 hours after establishment of left ventricular failure following acute myocardial infarction (15). Interestingly, this study from 1982 is considered the only randomised study to show any reduction in mortality with nitrate use in the setting of heart failure. In comparison with hydralazine, ISDN reduced filling pressures, and improved symptoms of heart failure (16, 18, 21, 22). However, ISDN was inferior to hydralazine in optimizing cardiac output (16, 18, 21, 22).

Nitrate tolerance

Organic nitrates have been commonly prescribed to patients with heart failure mainly for the relief of concomitant angina symptoms, with excellent clinical results. However, their long-term use has been partly limited by tolerance in those patients. For some time, it has been known that the early development of nitrate tolerance with frequent administration of oral preparations or continuous administration of intravenous preparations or long-acting topical preparations, leads to significant attenuation of the drug effects (30). The mechanisms underlying this phenomenon are still poorly understood. Since the increasing awareness of this problem, extensive research has been conducted to understand the underlying mechanisms in order to prevent nitrate tolerance. Consequently, few approaches have been introduced into clinical practice, including the application of a nitrate-free interval or the administration of concomitant drugs that have been suggested to reduce or prevent nitrate tolerance. Only a few randomised studies assessed nitrate tolerance with respect to the haemodynamic and anti-ischaemic effects in heart failure. Some of these studies reported conflicting results. There is some evidence to suggest that long-term oral administration of ISDN and isosorbide mononitrate (ISMN) is associated with sustained haemodynamic effects in patients with congestive heart failure (31, 32). N-acetylcysteine was suggested to be associated with the potentiation of the haemodynamic effects of ISDN in patients with stable heart failure (33). The concomitant use of oral hydralazine with intravenous nitroglycerine was found to prevent the early development of nitrate tolerance (30). However, with respect to glyceryltrinitrate therapy (34, 35), or sodium nitroprusside (35), no benefit of adding hydralazine was observed. Wada et al. suggested that ACEI or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) may prevent the development of nitrate tolerance (36). Intravenous nicorandil remained haemodynamically effective during the first 24-hour infusion in patients with congestive heart failure (37, 38), partly due to its action as a potassium channel opener (37). It was suggested that this could represent a clinical advantage of nicorandil in the short-term treatment of patients with ADHF. However, more studies are needed to support this hypothesis (39).

DISCUSSION

The review including available randomised studies suggest that nitrates do not confer a mortality benefit in the management of ADHF. Current evidence suggests that nitrates may have some benefit, as an add-on therapy, to relieve symptoms of heart failure. The reduction in heart failure symptoms with nitrates may in part relate to reduction in left ventricular filling pressure, consistent with the lowering of pulmonary artery pressure seen with the same agents in the CHAMPION trial (10). The benefit was mostly observed in male patients predominantly in sinus rhythm, and having ADHF secondary to IHD [Table 1]. This is not surprising given the fact that in a recent UK survey, IHD was responsible for almost 50% of all cases hospitalised with a diagnosis of heart failure (1). There is insufficient data concerning the use of nitrates in female patients, patients with AHFS who have atrial

fibrillation, preserved left ventricular systolic function, or non-ischaemic aetiology of heart failure.

AHFS is a very common presentation to general hospitals, with sizeable human and economic burden. Contemporary medical treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI), beta adrenoceptor antagonists (BB) and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA), now approximately doubles life expectancy of patients with stable heart failure (40). However, mortality rates remain high, particularly in the first year after a hospitalisation for heart failure (1). Despite decades of progress, it is estimated that around 800,000 people in the UK currently suffer from heart failure, with this being responsible for about 5% of all emergency hospital admissions (41). In the United States, there are around 5.1 million people with heart failure, with many people hospitalised ADHF every year (42). According to the 2012/13 UK National Heart Failure Audit (41), most people admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of acute heart failure are aged over 75 years, with 66% aged between 75 years and 84 years and 30% are over 85 years. Men and women seem to be equally affected, but the majority of patients up to 85 years were men, and for those aged more than 85 years, most were women. Given the advancing age of patients in the recent years, it is possible that heart failure survival rate has improved as a result of more effective healthcare strategies, including the advances in management of coronary disease.

Patients with AHFS are generally classified into two major categories; those who present with heart failure for the first time, and those who have an acute exacerbation of chronic heart failure. In fact, all physicians are trained to be familiar with the initial management of acute heart failure, which includes oxygen, morphine, diuretics and nitrates, as described in standard textbooks (43) and guidelines. Current guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology, American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association advocate the additional use of inotropic agents (e.g. dopamine, dobutamine and nor-adrenaline) only if previous treatments provide inadequate improvement. This is mainly due to the long-standing concern that these agents may increase mortality. It is surprising that, to date, there are only a few randomised studies to validate the optimal management of AHFS. Indeed, it may well be that developing AHFS management has been neglected, with no new agents approved for use, for almost a quarter-century.

The haemodynamic hallmark of AHFS includes an elevated left ventricular filling pressure, raised vascular resistance and a normal or diminished cardiac output. The main goal of initial management used to be haemodynamic stabilization and symptom improvement. Contemporary management continues to focus on improving haemodynamics and relief of symptoms by relieving congestion, which may be considered an appropriate strategy to a certain extent (44). Conventional treatment with acute diuretic therapy (i.e. intravenous furosemide; the most commonly used loop diuretic in clinical practice) may have the disadvantage of causing a large fall in cardiac output leading temporarily to acute pump dysfunction in occasional patients with left ventricular failure (45, 46). This has led to the

search for newer agents with effects not only to improve haemodynamics and symptoms, but also to improve clinical outcomes, with reduction in mortality and hospitalisation. Vasodilator therapy with nitrates, particularly with isosorbide dinitrate, nitroglycerine and nesiritide, has provided some promising results in clinical practice, as suggested by large observational studies (47, 48). A post-hoc analysis of the ALARM-HF registry suggested lower in-hospital mortality of AHFS patients receiving a vasodilator in combination with a diuretic than a diuretic alone (49). However, loop diuretics have remained the mainstay of AHFS management. This is mainly because the decision to use vasodilators is sometimes complex. Vasodilators should probably be avoided in patients presenting with ADHF associated with hypotension or severe mitral or aortic stenosis, for fear of increased mortality (40).

Available studies are have been limited by the small number of patients and the different dosing regimens and clinical outcomes. None of the included studies have reported any cost-effectiveness analysis. Further high-quality studies are needed to improve our understanding in the growing acute heart failure patient population. There is little evidence to support the use of nitrates in AHFS, other than for possible heamodynamic benefit, and certainly no hard data to support a reduction in mortality or morbidity with these agents. Further large, randomised trials are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of these agents in the modern era of guideline-directed heart failure therapy.

REFERENCES

1. Cleland JG, McDonagh T, Rigby AS, Yassin A, Whittaker T, Dargie HJ, et al. The national heart failure audit for England and Wales 2008-2009. *Heart*. 2011;97(11):876-86.
2. Taylor SH, Storstein L. Diuretics and digitalis in the treatment of chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J*. 1983;4 Suppl A:153-9.
3. Guiha NH, Cohn JN, Mikulic E, Franciosa JA, Limas CJ. Treatment of refractory heart failure with infusion of nitroprusside. *N Engl J Med*. 1974;291(12):587-92.

4. Massie B, Chatterjee K, Werner J, Greenberg B, Hart R, Parmley WW. Hemodynamic advantage of combined administration of hydralazine orally and nitrates nonparenterally in the vasodilator therapy of chronic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 1977;40(5):794-801.
5. Mullens W, Abrahams Z, Francis GS, Skouri HN, Starling RC, Young JB, et al. Sodium nitroprusside for advanced low-output heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;52(3):200-7.
6. Mullens W, Abrahams Z, Francis GS, Sokos G, Starling RC, Young JB, et al. Usefulness of Isosorbide Dinitrate and Hydralazine as add-on therapy in patients discharged for advanced decompensated heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;103(8):1113-9.
7. Jhund PS, Macintyre K, Simpson CR, Lewsey JD, Stewart S, Redpath A, et al. Long-term trends in first hospitalization for heart failure and subsequent survival between 1986 and 2003: a population study of 5.1 million people. *Circulation.* 2009;119(4):515-23.
8. Donlan SM, Quattromani E, Pang PS, Gheorghide M. Therapy for acute heart failure syndromes. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2009;11(3):192-201.
9. Wakai A, McCabe A, Kidney R, Brooks SC, Seupaul RA, Diercks DB, et al. Nitrates for acute heart failure syndromes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;8:CD005151.
10. Abraham WT, Adamson PB, Bourge RC, Aaron MF, Costanzo MR, Stevenson LW, et al. Wireless pulmonary artery haemodynamic monitoring in chronic heart failure: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2011;377(9766):658-66.
11. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. *Open Med.* 2009;3(3):e123-30.
12. Hou YC, Janczuk A, Wang PG. Current trends in the development of nitric oxide donors. *Curr Pharm Des.* 1999;5(6):417-41.
13. Macdonald P, Schyvens C, Winlaw D. The role of nitric oxide in heart failure. Potential for pharmacological intervention. *Drugs Aging.* 1996;8(6):452-8.
14. Dixon LJ, Morgan DR, Hughes SM, McGrath LT, El-Sherbeeney NA, Plumb RD, et al. Functional consequences of endothelial nitric oxide synthase uncoupling in congestive cardiac failure. *Circulation.* 2003;107(13):1725-8.
15. Cohn JN, Franciosa JA, Francis GS, Archibald D, Tristani F, Fletcher R, et al. Effect of short-term infusion of sodium nitroprusside on mortality rate in acute myocardial infarction complicated by left ventricular failure: results of a Veterans Administration cooperative study. *N Engl J Med.* 1982;306(19):1129-35.
16. Nelson GI, Silke B, Forsyth DR, Verma SP, Hussain M, Taylor SH. Hemodynamic comparison of primary venous or arteriolar dilatation and the subsequent effect of furosemide in left ventricular failure after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1983;52(8):1036-40.
17. Nelson GI, Silke B, Ahuja RC, Hussain M, Taylor SH. Management of acute heart failure following myocardial infarction: hemodynamic advantages of isosorbide dinitrate over frusemide. *Z Kardiol.* 1983;72 Suppl 3:141-6.
18. Nelson GI, Ahuja RC, Silke B, Hussain M, Taylor SH. Arteriolar or venous dilatation in left ventricular failure following acute myocardial infarction: a haemodynamic trial of hydralazine and isosorbide dinitrate. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 1983;5(4):574-9.
19. Dubourg O, Gueret P, Ferrier A, Farcot JC, Terdjman M, Rigaud M, et al. Controlled, eight-hour haemodynamic study of a sustained-release formulation of isosorbide dinitrate in moderate left ventricular failure. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1984;27(3):259-63.
20. Nelson GI, Silke B, Ahuja RC, Walker C, Forsyth DR, Verma SP, et al. Hemodynamic trial of sequential treatment with diuretic, vasodilator, and positive inotropic drugs in left ventricular failure following acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* 1984;107(6):1202-9.
21. Nelson GI, Verma SP, Hussain M, Silke B, Forsyth D, Abdulali S, et al. A randomised study of the haemodynamic changes induced by venodilatation and arteriolar dilatation singly and together in left ventricular failure complicating acute myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 1984;6(2):331-8.

22. Verma SP, Silke B, Hussain M, Nelson GI, Reynolds GW, Richmond A, et al. First-line treatment of left ventricular failure complicating acute myocardial infarction: a randomised evaluation of immediate effects of diuretic, venodilator, arteriodilator, and positive inotropic drugs on left ventricular function. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 1987;10(1):38-46.
23. Kieler-Jensen N, Houltz E, Ricksten SE. A comparison of prostacyclin and sodium nitroprusside for the treatment of heart failure after cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 1995;9(6):641-6.
24. Cotter G, Metzko E, Kaluski E, Faigenberg Z, Miller R, Simovitz A, et al. Randomised trial of high-dose isosorbide dinitrate plus low-dose furosemide versus high-dose furosemide plus low-dose isosorbide dinitrate in severe pulmonary oedema. *Lancet*. 1998;351(9100):389-93.
25. Beltrame JF, Zeitz CJ, Unger SA, Brennan RJ, Hunt A, Moran JL, et al. Nitrate therapy is an alternative to furosemide/morphine therapy in the management of acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema. *J Card Fail*. 1998;4(4):271-9.
26. CHF) PCftVIVitMoA. Intravenous nesiritide vs nitroglycerin for treatment of decompensated congestive heart failure: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;287(12):1531-40.
27. Shirakabe A, Hata N, Yokoyama S, Shinada T, Kobayashi N, Asai K, et al. Efficacy and safety of nicorandil therapy in patients with acute heart failure. *J Cardiol*. 2010;56(3):339-47.
28. Shigekiyo M, Harada K, Okada A, Terada N, Yoshikawa H, Hirono A, et al. Intravenous nicorandil improves symptoms and left ventricular diastolic function immediately in patients with acute heart failure: a randomized, controlled trial. *European Heart Journal*. 2011;32:963-.
29. Adigun AQ, Ajayi OE, Sofowora GG, Ajayi AA. Vasodilator therapy of hypertensive acute left ventricular failure: comparison of captopril-prazosin with hydralazine-isosorbide dinitrate. *Int J Cardiol*. 1998;67(1):81-6.
30. Gogia H, Mehra A, Parikh S, Raman M, Ajit-Uppal J, Johnson JV, et al. Prevention of tolerance to hemodynamic effects of nitrates with concomitant use of hydralazine in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995;26(7):1575-80.
31. Franciosa JA, Cohn JN. Sustained hemodynamic effects without tolerance during long-term isosorbide dinitrate treatment of chronic left ventricular failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 1980;45(3):648-54.
32. Rabinowitz B, Hod H, Chouraqui P, Rath S, Agranat O, Neufeld HN. Hemodynamic effects of oral isosorbide-5-mononitrate and dinitrate in ischemic heart failure. *Clin Cardiol*. 1987;10(10):603-8.
33. Mehra A, Shotan A, Ostrzega E, Hsueh W, Vasquez-Johnson J, Elkayam U. Potentiation of isosorbide dinitrate effects with N-acetylcysteine in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation*. 1994;89(6):2595-600.
34. Parker JD, Parker AB, Farrell B, Parker JO. The effect of hydralazine on the development of tolerance to continuous nitroglycerin. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 1997;280(2):866-75.
35. Chirkov YY, De Sciscio M, Sverdlov AL, Leslie S, Sage PR, Horowitz JD. Hydralazine does not ameliorate nitric oxide resistance in chronic heart failure. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. 2010;24(2):131-7.
36. Wada A, Ueda S, Masumori-Maemoto S, Kuji N, Sugimoto K, Umemura S. Angiotensin II attenuates the vasodilating effect of a nitric oxide donor, glyceryl trinitrate: roles of superoxide and angiotensin II type 1 receptors. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2002;71(6):440-7.
37. Tsutamoto T, Kinoshita M, Nakae I, Maeda Y, Wada A, Yabe T, et al. Absence of hemodynamic tolerance to nicorandil in patients with severe congestive heart failure. *Am Heart J*. 1994;127(4 Pt 1):866-73.
38. Larsen AI, Gøransson L, Aarsland T, Tamby JF, Dickstein K. Comparison of the degree of hemodynamic tolerance during intravenous infusion of nitroglycerin versus nicorandil in patients with congestive heart failure. *Am Heart J*. 1997;134(3):435-41.
39. Tanaka K, Kato K, Takano T, Katagiri T, Asanoi H, Nejima J, et al. Acute effects of intravenous nicorandil on hemodynamics in patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure. *J Cardiol*. 2010;56(3):291-9.

40. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Böhm M, Dickstein K, et al. ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33(14):1787-847.
41. Cleland J, Dargie H, Hardman S, NICOR. National Heart Failure Audit. 2013.
42. Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Blaha MJ, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2014 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;129(3):e28-e292.
43. Press OU. Oxford Handbook of Clinical Medicine. 8th edition. Chapter 3. 2014.
44. Gheorghiade M, Zannad F, Sopko G, Klein L, Piña IL, Konstam MA, et al. Acute heart failure syndromes: current state and framework for future research. *Circulation*. 2005;112(25):3958-68.
45. Kiely J, Kelly DT, Taylor DR, Pitt B. The role of furosemide in the treatment of left ventricular dysfunction associated with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1973;48(3):581-7.
46. Francis GS, Siegel RM, Goldsmith SR, Olivari MT, Levine TB, Cohn JN. Acute vasoconstrictor response to intravenous furosemide in patients with chronic congestive heart failure. Activation of the neurohumoral axis. *Ann Intern Med*. 1985;103(1):1-6.
47. Yancy CW, Lopatin M, Stevenson LW, De Marco T, Fonarow GC, Investigators ASACa. Clinical presentation, management, and in-hospital outcomes of patients admitted with acute decompensated heart failure with preserved systolic function: a report from the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE) Database. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47(1):76-84.
48. Peacock WF, Fonarow GC, Emerman CL, Mills RM, Wynne J, Investigators ASACa, et al. Impact of early initiation of intravenous therapy for acute decompensated heart failure on outcomes in ADHERE. *Cardiology*. 2007;107(1):44-51.
49. Mebazaa A, Parissis J, Porcher R, Gayat E, Nikolaou M, Boas FV, et al. Short-term survival by treatment among patients hospitalized with acute heart failure: the global ALARM-HF registry using propensity scoring methods. *Intensive Care Med*. 2011;37(2):290-301.