# Identification of hidden population structure in time-scaled phylogenies

Erik M. Volz<sup>1,\*</sup>, Carsten Wiuf<sup>2</sup>, Yonatan H. Grad<sup>3</sup>, Simon D.W.
 Frost<sup>4,5</sup>, Ann M. Dennis<sup>6</sup>, and Xavier Didelot<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology and MRC Centre for Global 5 Infectious Disease Analysis, Imperial College London 6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen 7 <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, TH Chan School of Public 8 Health, Harvard University 9 <sup>4</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge 10 <sup>5</sup> The Alan Turing Institute 11 <sup>6</sup>School of Medicine, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill 12 <sup>7</sup>School of Life Sciences and Department of Statistics, University of Warwick 13 \* Corresponding author: Norfolk Place, W2 1PG, United Kingdom; E-mail: 14 e.volz@imperial.ac.uk 15

17

#### Abstract

Population structure influences genealogical patterns, however data 18 pertaining to how populations are structured are often unavailable or 19 not directly observable. Inference of population structure is highly 20 important in molecular epidemiology where pathogen phylogenetics is 21 increasingly used to infer transmission patterns and detect outbreaks. 22 Discrepancies between observed and idealised genealogies, such as those 23 generated by the coalescent process, can be quantified, and where 24 significant differences occur, may reveal the action of natural selection, 25 host population structure, or other demographic and epidemiological 26 heterogeneities. We have developed a fast non-parametric statistical test 27 for detection of cryptic population structure in time-scaled phylogenetic 28 trees. The test is based on contrasting estimated phylogenies with the 29 theoretically expected phylodynamic ordering of common ancestors in 30 two clades within a coalescent framework. These statistical tests have 31 also motivated the development of algorithms which can be used to 32 quickly screen a phylogenetic tree for clades which are likely to share a 33 distinct demographic or epidemiological history. Epidemiological 34 applications include identification of outbreaks in vulnerable host 35 populations or rapid expansion of genotypes with a fitness advantage. 36 To demonstrate the utility of these methods for outbreak detection, we 37 applied the new methods to large phylogenies reconstructed from 38 thousands of HIV-1 partial pol sequences. This revealed the presence of 39 clades which had grown rapidly in the recent past, and was significantly 40 concentrated in young men, suggesting recent and rapid transmission in 41 that group. Furthermore, to demonstrate the utility of these methods 42 for the study of antimicrobial resistance, we applied the new methods to 43 a large phylogeny reconstructed from whole genome Neisseria 44 gonorrhoeae sequences. We find that population structure detected 45 using these methods closely overlaps with the appearance and expansion 46 of mutations conferring antimicrobial resistance. 47

> Quantifying the role of population structure in shaping genetic 48 diversity is a longstanding problem in population genetics. When information 49 about how lineages are sampled is available, primarily geographic location, a 50 variety of statistics are available for describing the magnitude and role of 51 population structure (Hartl et al. 1997). In pathogen phylogenetics, such 52 geographic 'meta-data' has been instrumental in enabling the inference of 53 transmission rates over space (Dudas et al. 2017), host species (Lam et al. 54 2015), and even individual hosts (De Maio et al. 2018). Population structure 55 shapes genetic diversity, but can the existence of structure be inferred directly 56 from genetic data in the absence of structural covariates associated with each 57 lineage, such as if the geographic location or host species of a lineage is 58 unknown? 59

The problem of detecting and quantifying such 'cryptic' population 60 structure has become a pressing issue in several areas of microbial 61 phylogenetics. For example, in bacterial population genomics studies, a wide 62 diversity of methods have been recently developed to classify taxonomic units 63 based on distributions of genetic relatedness (Mostowy et al. 2017; Tonkin-Hill 64 et al. 2019, 2018; Beugin et al. 2018). In a different domain, pathogen 65 sequence data have been used for epidemiological surveillance, and 'clustering' 66 patterns of closely related sequences have been used to aid outbreak 67 investigations and prioritise public health interventions (Eyre et al. 2012; 68 Dennis et al. 2014; Miller et al. 2014; Ledda et al. 2017). In both population 69 genomics studies and outbreak investigations, a common thread is the absence 70 of variables about sampled lineages that can be correlated with phylogenetic 71 patterns. For example, in outbreak investigations, host risk behaviour and 72 transmission patterns are not usually observed and must be inferred. It is not 73 known a priori which clades are more or less likely to expand in the future, 74

 $_{75}$   $\,$  although there is active research addressing this problem, such as to predict

- the emergence of strains of influenza A virus (Klingen et al. 2018) or to
- $\pi$  forecast the effect of antibiotic usage policies on the prevalence of resistant
- variants (Whittles et al. 2017).

In time-scaled phylogenies, the effects of population structure often 79 appear as a difference in the distribution of branch lengths in clades 80 circulating in different populations (Dearlove and Frost 2015). Figure 1 shows 81 a simulated genealogy from a structured coalescent process (Notohara 1990). 82 In two clades, the effective population size grows exponentially, and in the 83 remaining clade, the effective size remains constant. Consequently, the number 84 of lineages through time show noticeably different patterns of relatedness. For 85 the clades with growing size, most coalescent events occur in the distant past 86 when the size was small. 87

Supposing that the deme from which lineages were sampled was not 88 observed, it is clear from visual inspection of Figure 1 which lineages were 89 sampled from a growing population. Nevertheless, there is a paucity of 90 objective methods readily available to automate the process of identifying 91 temporally distinct clades. This process cannot be done manually when the 92 differences in distributions are less obvious, and needs to be based on a 93 theoretically grounded statistical test. Furthermore, in Figure 1, the red and 94 vellow clades are distantly related. Their most recent common ancestor 95 (MRCA) is at the root of the tree, but they have a very similar distribution of 96 coalescent times suggesting that they were generated by similar demographic 97 or epidemiological processes. For example, this can happen in infectious 98 disease epidemics, when lineages independently colonise the same host 90 population with greater susceptibility or higher risk behaviour (Dearlove et al. 100 2017). It is therefore also desirable to have an automated method for 101

<sup>102</sup> identifying polyphyletic taxonomic groups defined by shared inferred

<sup>103</sup> population histories as opposed to genetic or phenotypic traits.

Here we develop a statistical test for detecting if clades within a 104 time-scaled genealogy have evidence for unobserved population structure. Our 105 approach is to develop a statistic based on an unstructured coalescent process. 106 This allows us to test a null hypothesis that two clades are both generated by 107 the same coalescent process. In this case, the coalescent model provides a 108 theoretical prediction of the order of the coalescent times between the two 109 clades in the absence of population structure. On the basis of this statistical 110 test, we also develop algorithms for systematically exploring possible partitions 111 of a genealogy into distinct sets representing evolution within latent 112 populations with different demographic or epidemic histories. Notably, these 113 algorithms not only allow us to detect outlying clades with very different 114 genealogical patterns, but also to find and classify distantly related clades 115 which likely have similar demographic or epidemic histories. 116

# <sup>125</sup> Materials and Methods

As a starting point for our methodology, we assume a time-scaled phylogeny 126 has been estimated from genetic data, for example using one of the recently 127 developed fast methods (To et al. 2016; Volz and Frost 2017; Didelot et al. 128 2018; Sagulenko et al. 2018; Tamura et al. 2018; Miura et al. 2019). 129 Alternatively, summary trees obtained from full Bayesian approaches as 130 implemented in BEAST (Suchard et al. 2018; Bouckaert et al. 2014) or 131 RevBayes (Höhna et al. 2016) can be used, although these typically 132 incorporate population genetic models which presume a particular form of 133 population structure or a lack of population structure. Some precise 134 terminology and notation is required related to the structure of these 135



Figure 1: A genealogy simulated from a structured coalescent process with two demes, one of which has constant effective population size (clade highlighted in blue), and the other having effective population size growing exponentially (clades highlighted in red and yellow). Migration of lineages occurs at a small constant rate in one direction from the constant size deme to the growing deme. The corresponding plots at the right show a caricature of the effective population size and number of lineages through time in each clade.

<sup>136</sup> time-scaled trees since the basis of our approach concerns comparisons

<sup>137</sup> between different subsets of the tree.

# 138 Notation

The tree has n terminal nodes (nodes with no descendants), is rooted, and is bifurcating (there are n - 1 internal nodes each with exactly two descendants). Being rooted implies there is one node with no ancestor. Mathematically we describe this tree as a node-labelled directed acyclic graph:

$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}, \tau)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a set of 2n-1 nodes,  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \{(u,v) | u, v \in \mathcal{N}^2\}$  is the set of 2n-2143 edges or 'lineages', and  $\tau \colon \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defines the time of each node. With 144 reference to an edge  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}$  we say that u is the 'direct ancestor' and v is 145 the 'direct descendant' and we require  $\tau(u) < \tau(v)$ . Nodes are further 146 classified into two sets: 'tips' (terminal nodes) denoted  $\mathcal{T}$  with no descendants 147 and internal nodes denoted  $\mathcal{I}$  with exactly two direct descendants. The trees 148 may be heterochronous, meaning that tips of the tree can represent samples 149 taken at different time points. 150

For a node  $u \in \mathcal{N}$  we define the clade  $C_u$  to be the set of nodes 151 descending from u, that is, the node u and all  $v \in \mathcal{N}$  such that there is a 152 directed path of edges from u to v. We say that nodes v in  $C_u$  are 'descended 153 from' u. We will also have occasion to define clades 'top down' in terms of a 154 subset of tips in the tree. For this, we define the most recent common ancestor 155 MRCA(X) of a set  $X \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  to be the most recent node u such that  $X \subseteq C_u$ , 156 that is, all other nodes v with  $X \subseteq C_v$  have  $\tau(v) < \tau(u)$ . Then we let the 157 top-down clade  $B_X$  be defined as 158

$$B_X = \{ u \in \mathcal{N} | C_u \cap X \neq \emptyset \}.$$

<sup>159</sup> Note that  $B_X$  includes the tips X as well as some nodes ancestral to <sup>160</sup> MRCA(X).

In general  $B_X \neq C_{MRCA(X)}$  since X does not necessarily include all tips descending from MRCA(X). We will also need to refer to the nodes corresponding to coalescent events among lineages of the set X only, excluding those between lineages of X and lineages of the complement of X,

$$D_X = X \cup \{ u \in B_X | \exists (u, v), (u, w) \in \mathcal{E}, v \neq w, C_v \cap X \neq \emptyset, C_w \cap X \neq \emptyset \},\$$

Figure 2A illustrates a tree and the sets  $B_X, D_X$ , and  $C_{MRCA(X)}$ .

Since each node has a time, we can define the set of 'extant' lineages  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  at a particular time t to be the set of nodes occurring after time t with a direct ancestor before time t,

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \{ v \in \mathcal{N} \, | \, \exists (u, v) \in \mathcal{E}, \tau(u) < t \le \tau(v) \}.$$

We might also refer to the number of extant lineages at time t,  $a(t) = |\mathcal{A}(t)|$ , and if considering the number of extant lineages within a particular clade ancestral to (and including) X we write

$$a_X(t) = |\mathcal{A}(t) \cap B_X|.$$

# <sup>172</sup> Non-parametric test for a given pair of clades

With the above notation, the rank-sum statistic can now be defined which will form the basis for subsequent statistical tests and can be used to compare any pair of clades in the tree.

Let X and Y represent disjoint sets of tips as represented in Figure 2B-D. Having sorted the nodes according to time and assigned a corresponding rank to each internal node, this statistic computes the sum of ranks in a given clade in comparison to a different clade:

$$\rho(X|Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} i \mathbf{1}_{D_X}(w_i), \qquad (1)$$

where  $w_i$  is an element of  $S_{X,Y} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_K)$  which is the sequence of 180 internal nodes in  $D_X \cup D_Y$  sorted by time (present to past). And,  $\mathbf{1}_A(u)$  is an 181 indicator that takes the value 1 if  $u \in A$  and is zero otherwise. Note that 182  $\rho(X|Y)$  is asymmetric in X and Y. Also note that  $\rho(X|Y)$  makes use of  $D_X$ 183 and  $D_Y$ , not  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$ , because we are interested in the relative ordering of 184 coalescent events among lineages of X and Y. Although the statistic is defined 185 for all sets disjoint sets X and Y the examples we consider below apply to the 186 case that the intersection of  $D_X$  and  $D_Y$  is empty. Only the ordering of the 187 events matter, the absolute times are immaterial to the test. 188

<sup>189</sup> Under a neutral coalescent process, the distribution of coalescent <sup>190</sup> times in two clades ancestral to X and Y will depend on the number of extant <sup>191</sup> lineages through time in both clades and on the effective population size  $N_e(t)$ <sup>192</sup> (Wakeley 2009). However, the distribution of the relative ordering of <sup>193</sup> coalescent times only depends on the sizes of the clades. This distribution can <sup>194</sup> be computed rapidly by Monte-Carlo simulation as shown below, provided

> that we know the probability that the next coalescent will be in X or Y as a function of the number of lineages ancestral to X and Y, given by  $a_X(t)$  and  $a_Y(t)$ . We here provide new theoretical results on the distribution of the relative ordering of coalescence times under the null hypothesis that both  $B_X$ and  $B_Y$  are clades within a single tree generated by a neutral unstructured

<sup>200</sup> coalescent process. In the following we consider three different scenarios.

**Event**  $E_1$ . Suppose that a clade  $B_X$  has a MRCA before any tip of X shares a common ancestor with the clade of another set of tips Y, disjoint to X. After lineages in X have found a common ancestor, the MRCA of X may or

may not coalesce with lineages in  $B_Y$  before Y has found a common ancestor. Figure 2B-C illustrates trees that satisfy this condition. Note that in Figure 2B, a lineage in Y coalesces with the MRCA of X before lineages in Y find a MRCA and in Figure C, both X and Y have a common ancestor before they find a common ancestor with one another.

Observing a taxonomic pattern such as shown in Figure 2B-C is a random event in a stochastic unstructured coalescent process, and we denote this event by  $E_1$  (suppressing X and Y for convenience). Wiuf and Donnelly (Wiuf and Donnelly 1999) showed that the probability of observing  $E_1$ , given the state of the tree at a particular time t, only depends on the number of lineages  $z = a_X(t)$  and  $w = a_Y(t)$ ,

$$Q_1(z,w) = \frac{2(z-1)!w!}{(z+w-1)!(z+1)}, \quad z,w \ge 1.$$
(2)

The numbers of extant lineages in  $B_X$  (or its complement) following each coalescent event conditional on  $E_1$  is a Markov chain. The transition probabilities of this chain are exactly those needed to simulate the null distribution of the test statistic  $\rho(X|Y)$ . The probability that the next



Figure 2: Coalescent trees for illustrating taxonomic relationships and notation 210 used throughout the text. In panel A, the shape and colour of nodes correspond 211 to variables  $B_X, D_X$ , and  $C_{MRCA(X)}$  in relation to the set of tips X =212  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . All circles regardless of colour correspond to  $C_{MRCA(X)}$ . All filled 213 shapes (red or black, square or circle) correspond to  $B_X$ . Note that this includes 214 nodes ancestral to the MRCA of X. All red filled circles correspond to  $D_X$ . Two 215 coalescent events occur among nodes in  $D_X$  at times  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Panels B-D show 216 a coalescent tree and examples of potential taxonomic relationships between two 217 clades. Prior knowledge of taxonomic relationships between X and Y influences 218 the probability that the next coalescent event will be observed in clade X. 219

coalescent event is among lineages in the clade  $B_X$  given  $E_1$  (starting at a particular time t) was found by Wiuf and Donnelly (Wiuf and Donnelly 1999):

$$(z,w) \mapsto (z-1,w)$$
 with probability  $\frac{z+1}{z+w}$ , (3)

where the ancestral number of lineages of X and Y at time t are respectively  $z_{227}$  and w.

**Event**  $E_2$ . We further derive analogous probabilities under slightly different 228 conditions. Suppose we have disjoint sets of tips, X and Y. Let all lineages in 229 X share a common ancestor before any share a common ancestor with Y and 230 vice versa, all lineages in Y share a common ancestor before any share a 231 common ancestor with tips in X. Figure 2C illustrates a tree and two clades 232 that satisfy this condition, which we denote by  $E_2$ . As before, the number of 233 ancestors in  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$  will form a Markov chain, conditional on  $E_2$ . 234 The probability that the next coalescent event is among lineages in 235 the clade  $B_X$  given  $E_2$  at a particular time t and the current ancestral number 236 of lineages of X,  $z = a_X(t)$ , and Y,  $w = a_Y(t)$ , can be given as: 237

$$(z,w) \mapsto (z-1,w)$$
 with probability  $\frac{z-1}{z+w-2}, \quad z,w \ge 1.$  (4)

To see this, note that without conditioning on  $E_2$ , the probability that the next coalescent is among ancestral nodes in  $B_X$  is

$$\frac{z(z-1)}{(z+w)(z+w-1)}.$$

This is simply the ratio of the coalescent rate in  $B_X$ , which is  $\binom{z}{2}/N_e(t)$ , to the rate in  $B_X \cup B_Y$ , which is  $\binom{z+w}{2}/N_e(t)$ . The effective population size is

- <sup>242</sup> homogenous through the tree by hypothesis of the statistical test, and it
- 243 cancels out in this ratio. The probability that the coalescent event would be
- $_{244}$  between the clades ancestral to X and Y would be

$$\frac{2zw}{(z+w)(z+w-1)}$$

Event  $E_2$  has probability  $Q_2(z, w)$ , which must fulfil the recursion

$$(z+w)(z+w-1)Q_2(z,w)$$
  
=  $z(z-1)Q_2(z-1,w) + w(w-1)Q_2(z,w-1),$  (5)

where  $z, w \ge 1$ . If there is exactly one lineage in both  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$ , then  $Q_2(1,1) = 1$ . If there is one lineage remaining in  $B_X$  and w > 1 in  $B_Y$ , then  $Q_2(1,w)$  is the probability that the next w - 1 coalescent events only occur between lineages in  $B_Y$  and do not include the single lineage ancestral to X. The probability of the next coalescent event being in  $B_Y$  is the probability of not selecting the  $B_X$  lineage when sampling two extant lineages without replacement:

$$Q_2(1,w) = \prod_{j=2}^w \left(\frac{j}{j+1}\right) \left(\frac{j-1}{j}\right)$$
$$= \frac{2}{w(w+1)}, \quad w \ge 1.$$
(6)

Similarly,  $Q_2(z, 1) = \frac{2}{z(z+1)}, z \ge 1$ . This recursion can be solved explicitly to give

$$Q_2(z,w) = \frac{2z!w!}{(z+w)!(z+w-1)}, \quad z,w \ge 1.$$
(7)

Now the transition probability (Equation 4) can be defined in terms of the rate of coalescence in  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$  and the probability of  $E_2$  being satisfied following the coalescent event:

$$(z,w) \mapsto (z-1,w) \quad \text{with probability} \\ \frac{z(z-1)Q_2(z-1,w)}{z(z-1)Q_2(z-1,w) + w(w-1)Q_2(z,w-1)} = \frac{z-1}{z+w-2}.$$
(8)

**Event**  $E_3$ . Finally, we consider an event that is the union of events  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . We denote  $E_3$  to be the event that all X have a MRCA before sharing a common ancestor with lineages of Y and/or all lineages in Y have a MRCA before sharing an ancestor with lineages of X. All trees in Figure 2B-D satisfy this condition.

The probability of the event  $E_3$  can be defined in terms of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ given previously:

$$Q_3(z,w) = Q_1(z,w) + Q_1(w,z) - Q_2(z,w)$$
  
=  $\frac{2z!w!}{(z+w-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{z(z+1)} + \frac{1}{w(w+1)} - \frac{1}{(z+w)(z+w-1)}\right),$  (9)

with  $z = a_X(t)$  and  $w = a_Y(t)$  being sample sizes at a particular time t, as before. The function  $Q_3$  satisfies the same recursion as above (Equation 5) with slightly different boundary conditions:

$$Q_3(1,w) = Q_3(z,1) = 1, \quad z,w \ge 1$$

> Transition probabilities can be derived as above by substituting  $Q_3$  for  $Q_2$  in Equation 8. The probability that the next coalescent event is among lineages in  $D_X$  conditional on  $E_3$  is

$$(z,w) \mapsto (z-1,w)$$
 with probability  $\frac{(z-1)R_{z-1,w}}{(z-1)R_{z-1,w} + (w-1)R_{z,w-1}}$ , (10)

where

$$R_{z,w} = \frac{1}{z(z+1)} + \frac{1}{w(w+1)} - \frac{1}{(z+w)(z+w-1)}, \quad z,w \ge 1.$$
(11)

# <sup>271</sup> Algorithms for detecting population structure

272 The null distribution of the test statistic  $\rho(X,Y)$  can be computed by

<sup>273</sup> Monte-Carlo simulation using Equations 3, 4 or 10 depending on the

 $_{\rm 274}$   $\,$  taxonomic constraints to be conditioned on. This can be computed given any

 $_{\rm 275}$   $\,$  pair of disjoint clades X and Y. Algorithm 1 in the Supplementary Material

provides the simulation procedure for computing the two-sided p-values of an

empirical measurement  $\hat{R} = \rho(X, Y)$ , and we denote these p-values  $\xi(X, Y, R)$ .

<sup>278</sup> The algorithm works by simulating many replicates of the rank-sum statistic

279 conditional on the sets X, Y, and the taxonomic relationship between these

clades. Furthermore, the order of sampling events and coalescent events is part

 $_{\rm 281}$   $\,$  of the data within a time-scaled phylogeny. Thus the simulation procedure

does not simulate coalescent trees per se, but rather the number of lineages

through time  $a_X(t)$  and  $a_Y(t)$  by proceeding from the most recent sample back

to the MRCA of clades X and Y. Upon visiting a node in the ordered

sequence of coalescent events, the algorithm selects at random a clade  $D_X$  or

 $D_Y$  for this event using the transition probabilities from Equations 3, 4 or 10.

- <sup>287</sup> Upon visiting a coalescent event,  $a_X(t)$  or  $a_Y(t)$  is incremented using the
- <sup>288</sup> observed clade membership of the sample at that time. The end result of this

<sup>289</sup> simulation procedure is a large set of replicate rank-sum statistics which serves

- as a null distribution for comparison with the value computed from the
- <sup>291</sup> time-scaled phylogeny.

While in principle this test allows comparison of any pair of disjoint 292 clades, the number of possible comparisons is vast, and deriving a useful 293 summary of taxonomic structure requires additional heuristic algorithms. 294 These algorithms are designed to stratify clades into self-similar sets and to do 295 so in a computationally efficient manner. Algorithm 2 in the Supplementary 296 Material identifies 'cladistic outliers', which are clades that have a coalescent 297 pattern that is different from the remainder of the tree. It performs a single 298 pre-order traversal of the tree and greedily adds clades to the partition with 299 the most outlying values of the test statistic. At each node u visited in 300 pre-order traversal, Algorithm 2 examines all descendants v in  $C_u$  and 301 compares  $C_v$  with to  $C_u \setminus C_v$ . If no outliers are found, the algorithm will desist 302 from searching  $C_u$  and the set of tips  $C_u \cap \mathcal{T}$  will be added to the partition. If 303 at least one outlier is found in  $C_u$ , a search will begin on the biggest outlier 304 (smallest p-value computed using Algorithm 1). The final result of this 305 algorithm is a partition of m non-overlapping clades  $M = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ . 306 In practice, it is often desirable to not compare very small clades 307 against one another or much larger clades, so additional parameters are 308 available to desist the pre-order traversal upon reaching a clade with few 309 descendants. It is also often of practical interest to only compare clades that 310 overlap in time to a significant extent, so yet another parameter is available to 311 desist from comparing a pair of clades if few lineages in the pair ever coexist at 312 any time. 313

Additional algorithms are required to detect polyphyletic relationships as depicted in Figure 1 which arise if, for example, distantly related lineages

colonise the same area and have similar population dynamics or if

near-identical fitness-enhancing mutations occur independently on different

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle 318}$  lineages. Figure 1 depicts two distantly related clades (yellow and red) with

 $_{\rm 319}$   $\,$  similar population dynamics, and it is desirable to classify these as a single

 $_{\tt 320}$  deme based on shared population dynamic history. Algorithm 2 will partition

<sup>321</sup> tips of the tree into distinct clades with monophyletic or paraphyletic

relationships, however an approach based on pre-order traversal of the tree can
not on its own arrive at a polyphyletic partition of the tree. Therefore we can
implement a final hierarchical clustering step in order to group similar clades
as follows:

1. For each distinct pair of clades X and Y in partition M, compute  $q_{XY} = \xi(X, Y, \hat{R}_{XY}).$ 

2. Convert the p-value into a measure of distance between all clades:  $d_{XY} = |F^{-1}(q_{XY})|$ , where  $F^{-1}$  is the inverse Gaussian cumulative

distribution function (quantile function). Set  $d_{XX} = 0$  for all X.

33. Perform a conventional hierarchical clustering using a threshold distance 33.  $F^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)$  for confidence level  $\alpha$ . Various clustering algorithms can 33. be used at this point, and our software has implemented the 'complete 33. linkage' algorithm (Everitt et al. 2001).

Algorithms 1 and 2 as well as the final hierarchical clustering step are implemented as an open source R package called *treestructure* available at https://github.com/emvolz-phylodynamics/treestructure. The R package supports parallelisation and includes facilities for tree visualisation using the *ggtree* package (Yu et al. 2017). The package provides convenience functions to output cluster and partition assignment for downstream statistical analysis in R.

#### 342 Simulation studies

To evaluate the potential for *treestructure* to detect outbreaks we applied the 343 new method to phylogenies estimated from newly simulated data using a 344 structured coalescent model as well as previously published simulation data 345 based on a discrete-event branching process (McCloskey and Poon 2017). We 346 also simulated trees and sequence data under a Kingman coalescent process to 347 examine the distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis and to 348 assess how statistical power of the test depends on sample size and the 349 differences between clades. 350

The structured coalescent simulation was based on a model with two 351 demes: a large deme with constant effective population size and a smaller 352 deme which grows exponentially up to the time of sampling. Migration occurs 353 at a constant rate in both directions between the growing and constant-size 354 demes, and equal proportions of these two demes are sampled. Coalescent 355 simulations were implemented using the phydynR package 356 http://github.com/emvolz-phylodynamics/phydynR. All genealogies 357 simulated from this model were comprised of 1000 tips with 200 of these 358 sampled from the growing deme. Each of 100 simulations were based on 359 different parameters such that there was a spectrum of difficulty identifying 360 population structure from the trees. The sample proportion was chosen 361 uniformly between 5% and 75% and, the growth rate in the growing deme was 362 chosen uniformly between 5% and 100% per year. Bidirectional migration 363 between demes was fixed at 5% per year. While most tips were sampled at a 36 single time point, 50 tips from the constant-size deme were distributed 365 uniformly through time in order to facilitate molecular clock dating. Multiple 366 sequence alignments were simulated based on trees using seq-gen (Rambaut 367 and Grass 1997). Each sequence comprised 1000 nucleotides from a HKY

> model with a substitution rate of  $10^{-3}$  per site per year, which is a typical 369 value for RNA viruses. A neighbor joining tree was estimated from each 370 alignment and dated phylogenies estimated using the *treedater* R package 371 (Volz and Frost 2017) with a strict molecular clock. The treestructure 372 algorithm was applied to each phylogeny using the default  $\alpha = 1\%$  threshold. 373 In order to test the specificity of our method, we also simulated 1,000 374 trees under an unstructured Kingman coalescent process using the *rcoal* 375 function in the *ape* R package version 5.2. These trees each had 50 tips and an 376 effective population size of 0.025. Sequence data and neighbor joining trees 377 were generated as described above. The *estimate.dates* command (Jones and 378 Poon 2016) in the ape R package version 5.2 was used to estimate time-scaled 379 trees. The *treestructure* algorithm was applied to both the coalescent trees and 380 to the trees estimated based on the simulated sequences. The test statistic was 381 tabulated for each clade size from 5 to 45 leading to approximately 10,000 382 observations of the test statistic in total, and about 250 observations for each 383 clade size. 384

A further set of Kingman coalescent simulations was carried out to 385 assess the statistical power of our method. We simulated paired coalescent 386 trees of different sizes and with different effective population sizes, and each 387 pair of coalescent trees was then joined at a common root. Branch lengths at 388 the root node were adjusted to ensure the trees were ultrametric. One tree in 389 each pair was small with 10, 20 or 40 tips, whereas the other had 200 tips. 390 The *treestructure* algorithm was used to compute the normalized test statistic 391 at the MRCA of the minority clade. The effective population size in the 392 minority clade was varied to provide differing levels of contrast. Note that 393 even if the effective population size is the same in the majority and minority 394 clades, the topology of the combined tree may differ substantially from the 395

<sup>396</sup> Kingman model, so that the minority clade may be detected by the

treestructure algorithm. To effectively 'hide' the structure caused by the 397 construction of the combined trees, we can set the effective population size of 398 the minority clade to be  $zN_e/w$  where z is the number of tips in the minority 399 tree, w is the number of tips in the majority tree, and  $N_e$  is the effective size 400 of the majority tree. By doing so, the initial coalescent rate in both trees will 401 be as expected under the Kingman model for the combined tree. This can be 402 deduced by equating the transition probability in Equation 4 with the 403 probability that the next coalescent will be in the minority clade, which is the 404 ratio of the coalescent rate in the minority tree over the sum of coalescent 405 rates in both the minority and majority trees. 406

Simulation of 100 genealogies from a discrete-event birth-death 407 process has been previously described (McCloskey and Poon 2017; Vaughan 408 and Drummond 2013). These simulations were based on a process with 409 heterogeneous classes of individuals with different birth rates. With some 410 probability, lineages migrate to a class with higher birth rates. This could 411 represent a generic outbreak scenario such as a set of individuals with higher 412 risk behaviour or other exposures. In a separate set of simulations, the 413 outbreak population differs from the main population along multiple 414 dimensions: the birth rate and the sampling rate are both increased by a 415 common factor  $(5\times)$ . 100 genealogies were simulated under both scenarios and 416 the treestructure algorithm was applied to each. To create more challenging 417 conditions for the method and to evaluate the sensitivity of the method to 418 sample coverage, we also applied the method to genealogies based on 419 subsampled lineages with a frequency of 25%. Complete descriptions of 420 parameters and simulation methods can be found in (McCloskey and Poon 421 2017). 422



Figure 3: The normalised mutual information (NMI) and adjusted Rand index (ARI) as a function of classifications from several tree-partitioning algorithms and membership of lineages in outbreaks or a constant-size reservoir. Each point corresponds to a structured coalescent simulation where 20% of tips are sampled from an exponentially growing outbreak.

The performance of *treestructure* was evaluated using the normalised mutual information (NMI) statistic and adjusted Rand index (ARI) computed using the *aricode* R package (Vinh et al. 2010). Both statistics quantify the strength of association between the estimated and actual structure of the tree, with larger values corresponding to higher quality reconstructions.

# 428 Results

430

#### 429 Simulation studies

The *treestructure* algorithm achieves relatively high fidelity of classifications in 440 comparison to other methods in the structured coalescent simulations which 441 included 20% of samples from a rapidly growing outbreak. Figure 3 compares 442 the values of NMI and ARI for three methods of structure analysis. In these 443 statistics, the partition of the tree computed by each method is compared to 444 the true membership of each sampled lineage in outbreak or in the 445 constant-size reservoir population. Across 100 simulations, treestructure has 446 mean ARI of 41% (IQR: 20-57%). The FastBAPS method (Tonkin-Hill et al. 447



436

Figure 4: Entropy (H) of classification from several tree partitioning algorithms applied to the structured coalescent simulations but only counting lineages sampled from the exponentially growing outbreak.

 $_{448}$  2019) has mean ARI of 2.3% (IQR:1.2-3.3%) and the CLMP method

<sup>449</sup> (McCloskey and Poon 2017) has mean ARI 5.2% (IQR:-1-7.5%). The NMI

450 statistic gives similar differences between the methods to ARI (Fig. 3).

The lower performance of CLMP and FastBAPS in these comparisons 451 is largely a consequence of false-positive partitioning of samples from the 452 reservoir population, but CLMP and FastBAPS usually correctly identify a 453 clade that closely corresponds to the outbreak. In contrast, the *treestructure* 454 method seldom sub-divides clades from the reservoir. Figure 4 compares the 455 entropy of partition assignments only within lineages sampled from the 456 outbreak. This shows that all methods are assigning outbreak lineages to a 457 small number of partitions and no method is clearly superior by this metric. 458 The CLMP method has the lowest entropy (mean 0.40) but also several large 459 outliers. treestructure has higher entropy (mean 0.57) but few outliers. 460 FastBAPS has even higher entropy (mean 0.68) with a long tail of high values 461 (Fig. 4). 462

The performance of all methods depended on the sample density and growth rate of the outbreak. Fast growing outbreaks are easier to detect by all methods but the role of sample density is more ambiguous. The Pearson



Figure 5: The adjusted Rand index for 100 previously published simulations (McCloskey and Poon 2017). This describes accuracy of classification of tips into outbreaks using the *treestructure* method and CLMP. Results on the left were based on simulations where both transmission and sampling rates varied in the outbreak cluster, whereas simulations on the right only allowed transmission rates to vary.

479

correlation of ARI with growth rate is 53%, 71% and 27%, for *treestructure*,
FastBAPS, and CLMP respectively. Not all methods are equally sensitive to
these parameters however and FastBAPS is especially sensitive to growth and
sample density. The growth rate and sample density collectively explain 41%,
60%, and 28% of variance of ARI in *treestructure*, FastBAPS, and CLMP
respectively.

We also performed analyses with Phydelity, a recently proposed method for transmission cluster identification (Han et al. 2018). This tended to generate a very large number of clusters, both within and outside of the outbreak demes, reflecting a different emphasis of this method on finding closely related clusters rather than addressing differences in macro-level population structure. Thus, results with Phydelity and other clustering methods were not easily comparable to *treestructure*.

Figure 5 shows performance of *treestructure* on previously published tree simulations (McCloskey and Poon 2017). These simulations differ from the structured coalescent simulations presented above because both the

> reservoir and outbreak demes are growing exponentially at different rates. The 489 birth rate in the outbreak deme is five-fold the birth rate in the reservoir, but 490 in one set of simulations, both the birth rate and sampling rate in the 491 outbreak was also increased five-fold. In these simulations, the performance of 492 treestructure (mean ARI 53%) is slightly lower than the CLMP method 493 (McCloskey and Poon 2017) (mean ARI 72%) when only the birth rate differs 494 in the outbreak deme. However treestructure maintains good performance 495 when death and sampling rates also differ. In that case, treestructure has 496 mean ARI 42% and CLMP has mean ARI 0%. The results are similar when 497 using NMI instead of ARI (Supplementary Fig. S1). The difficulty of 498 detecting outbreaks with different sampling patterns was previously 499 highlighted as a challenge for CLMP (McCloskey and Poon 2017). 500 Simulations of unstructured Kingman coalescent trees shows that the 501 distribution of the standardized test statistic is approximately normal 502 (Supplementary Fig. S2). The quality of the normal approximation depends 503 on the extent of phylogenetic error. In estimated phylogenies based on 504 simulated sequence data, there is substantial skew in the test statistic which is 505 most pronounced for larger clades that have a more distant MRCA 506 (Supplementary Fig. S3). The extent of error due to phylogeny estimation will 507 depend on many variables as well as on the choice of methodology when 508 estimating time-scaled trees; in this case, effective population size and 509 substitution rates were chosen to yield a data set with comparable diversity to 510 a real HIV sequence data set, and there is considerable error in the estimated 511 date of the TMRCA and tree topology which was estimated using the 512 neighbor joining method. In the absence of phylogenetic error, the false 513 positive rate based on a 95% confidence threshold was 5.1%. With 514 phylogenetic error, the false positive rate increased to 12.2%. 515

> Analysis of trees simulated with predefined structure showed that 516 statistical power increases as expected with sampling density and effective 517 population size contrast between the two clades. Supplementary Figure S4 518 shows the normalized test statistic for various sample sizes and contrasts of 519 effective population size in two clades descended from the root of a tree. The 520 statistic significantly deviates from zero with increasing sample sizes and with 521 increasing differences in effective population sizes. For example, using a 95%522 confidence level, we find a significant difference between clades in 85% of 523 simulations sampling 40 tips from the minority clade and with a two-fold 524 difference in the rescaled effective population sizes. This decreases to 40% of 525 simulations if sampling only 10 tips, but increases to 100% if there is a 526 five-fold difference in the scaled effective population sizes. 527

#### <sup>528</sup> Clonal expansion of drug-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*

We examined the role of evolution of antimicrobial resistance in shaping the 529 phylogenetic structure of N. gonorrhoeae using 1102 previously described 530 whole genome sequences (Grad et al. 2016). These isolates were collected from 531 multiple sites in the United States between 2000 and 2013 and featured clonal 532 expansion of lineages resistant to different classes of antibiotics. We estimated 533 a maximum likelihood tree using PhyML (Guindon et al. 2010) and corrected 534 for the distorting effect of recombination using *ClonalFrameML* (Didelot and 535 Wilson 2015). We estimated a rooted time-scaled phylogeny using treedater 536 (Volz and Frost 2017). A relaxed clock model was inferred, with a mean rate 537 of  $4.6 \times 10^{-6}$  substitutions per site per year. *BactDating* (Didelot et al. 2018) 538 was also applied for the same purpose and found to give very similar estimates 539 for the clock rate and dating of clades. 540

541

We focus on the origin and expansion of two clades which

> independently developed resistance to cefixime (CFX) by acquiring the mosaic 542 penA XXXIV allele (Grad et al. 2016). Note, however, that the level of 543 susceptibility to CFX varies, particularly in the largest of these two clades. In 544 one lineage within this clade, the mosaic *penA* XXXIV allele was replaced by 545 recombination with an allele associated with susceptibility. Other isolates 546 within this clade gained mutations that further modified the extent of 547 resistance. The largest of the two clades emerged on a genomic background 548 that was already resistant to ciprofloxacin (CIP), so that it has reduced 549 susceptibility to both CIP and CFX. The smallest of the two clades is resistant 550 to CFX but not CIP. To further analyse the relationship between CFX 551 resistance and N. gonorrhoeae population structure, we focused our analysis 552 on a tree with just 576 tips, representing the genomes from these two CFX 553 resistant clades as well as genomes from the two clades that are most closely 554 related to the two CFX resistant clades. The output of treestructure is shown 555 in Figure 6, using unique colours to highlight each of the 11 clusters that were 556 identified with  $\alpha = 1\%$ . The clusters reported by *treestructure* are highly 557 correlated with CFX resistance. Among all distinct pairs of sampled isolates, 558 84% share the same resistance profile and cluster membership. 559

> We compared *treestructure* with a different method for detecting 560 community structure, FastBAPS (Tonkin-Hill et al. 2019), since BAPS models 561 are often applied to bacterial pathogens. We applied FastBAPS using the 562 same time-scaled phylogeny described previously and using a trimmed 563 sequence alignment consisting of 38830 polymorphic sites and removing sites 564 with many gaps. This produced a similar partition of the tree (Supplementary 565 Fig. S5) with a few differences. The FastBAPS clusters overlap exactly with 566 the clade featuring dual resistance (CIP and CFX), whereas treestructure 567 classified a small number of deep-splitting lineages into a different cluster. 568

Note however that this behaviour is not necessarily problematic, and may
represent a progressive increase in fitness following the acquisition of resistance
through the evolution of compensatory mutations (Didelot et al. 2016).
Indeed, we found a significant difference in the resistance profile of the two *treestructure* clusters within the clade resistant to both CIP and CFX: the
smallest cluster had a greater frequency of high resistance to CIP compared to
the largest cluster (100% and 81%, respectively).

FastBAPS did not identify the smaller clade with resistance to CFX 576 and not CIP and instead grouped that clade with its sensitive sister clade. In 57 general, treestructure found many more clusters within the two sister clades 578 and FastBAPS tended to group these together. We also applied the much 579 more computationally intensive RhierBAPS method (Tonkin-Hill et al. 2018), 580 and obtained almost identical results to FastBAPS. Overall, BAPS methods 581 appear to give more weight than *treestructure* to long internal branches when 582 identifying clusters. 583

## <sup>590</sup> Epidemiological transmission patterns of HIV-1

We reanalysed a time-scaled phylogeny reconstructed from 2068 partial pol 591 HIV-1 subtype B sequences collected from Tennessee between 2001 and 2015 592 (Dennis et al. 2018). Each lineage within this phylogeny corresponds to a 593 single HIV patient sampled at a single time point, and various clinical and 594 demographic covariate data concerning these patients can be associated with 595 each lineage. In the original study, these sequence data were used to show high 596 rates of transmission among young (age < 26.4 years old) men who have sex 597 with men (MSM) (Dennis et al. 2018). Clustering by threshold genetic 598 distance is often used in HIV epidemiology (Dennis et al. 2014) and indicated 599 that young white MSM had the highest odds of clustering. 600



Figure 6: A time-scaled phylogeny based on 576 whole genomes of *N. gonorrhoeae*, comprising two clades with reduced susceptibility to cefixime (CFX) and their two sister clades. The top clade also has resistance to ciprofloxacin (CIP). Different colours on the tree represent the partition detected using the *treestructure* algorithm.

> We applied the *treestructure* algorithm with default settings to the 601 time-scaled tree which yielded ten partitions with sizes ranging from 58 to 398. 602 The tree and partitions are shown in Figure 7 where partitions are labeled 603 according to the median year of birth among patients in each partition. Many 604 of these partitions were polyphyletic, suggesting possible multiple importations 605 of lineages to specific risk groups. We then compared the estimated partition 606 of the tree with patient covariates. A particular partition stands out along 607 multiple dimensions: it is the smallest (size 58), polyphyletic, arose in the 608 recent past, and is characterised by very young MSM. The median year of 609 birth in this partition is 1987, in stark contrast to the rest of the sample with 610 year of birth in the 1970s. Clades within this young partition are also nested 611 paraphyletically under other relatively young partitions (Fig. 7). 612

> We did not find a significant association between the tree partition 613 and residential postal codes (Tukey analysis of variance, p = 0.097). This is in 614 agreement with the original study which found minimal impact of geography 615 on genetic clustering in this sample, however this is largely a consequence of 616 the highly concentrated nature of the sample around Nashville. The ethnicity 617 of patients (black, white, and other) was strongly associated with the 618 estimated partition. Black MSM were strongly concentrated in the 1987 619 partition in particular (83% in contrast to 26-38% in all other partitions). The 620 odds ratio of black ethnicity given membership in the 1987 partition was 9.7 621 (95% CI:5.2-19.8). 622

> Finally, we performed a phylodynamic analysis to investigate if the partition structure supported the previously published findings that young MSM were transmitting at a higher rate (Dennis et al. 2018). To estimate the temporal variations in the effective population size, we used the nonparametric skygrowth R package (Volz and Didelot 2018). We estimated  $N_e(t)$  for each



Figure 7: A time-scaled phylogeny estimated from HIV-1 *pol* sequences in Tennessee (Dennis et al. 2018). The colours correspond to the ten partitions identified using the *treestructure* algorithm. Several partitions are annotated with the median year of birth of HIV patients from whom sequences were sampled. Unannotated partitions had years of birth 1969-1972.

> partition individually using a range of precision parameters which control the 634 smoothness  $(\tau)$  of the estimated trajectories since we lack a priori information 635 about volatility of these trajectories. Figure 8 shows  $N_e(t)$  for each partition 636 with  $\tau = 10$  and Supplementary Figures S6 and S7 show results using different 637 values of  $\tau$ . The 1987 partition again stands out as the only group which 638 shows evidence of recent and rapid population growth. Less dramatic recent 639 periods of growth are also noticeable for other partitions with young patients. 640 The current exponential growth in the 1987 partition is not consistent across 641 all analyses, but when  $\tau < 10$  we find  $N_e(t)$  drops precipitously in 2014-2015 642 (Supplementary Fig. S6). However, this could also be an artefact of 643 non-random sampling and inclusion of transmission pairs within the sample. 644 This analysis supports the hypothesis that there has been a recent and 650 rapid increase in HIV transmissions among young MSM in Tennessee and in 651 particular among young black MSM. This interpretation is mostly in 652 agreement with the original study (Dennis et al. 2018), but we find that black 653 MSM are a group at greater risk than young white MSM. 654

# 655 Discussion

Contrasting the distribution of ordering of nodes provides a natural criterion for distinguishing clades within a time-scaled phylogeny which are shaped by 657 different evolutionary or demographic processes. The non-parametric nature of 658 this classification method imposes minimal assumptions on the mechanisms 659 that generate phylogenetic patterns. Thus, we have found this method 660 maintains good performance over a diverse range of situations where 661 phylogenetic structure is produced, including differential transmission rates, 662 epidemiological outbreaks, evolution of beneficial mutations, and differential 663 sampling patterns. Our work is related to the research on species delimitation 664



Figure 8: Estimated effective population size through time for each partition in the Tennessee HIV-1 phylogeny. Each panel is annotated with the median year of birth among HIV patients in each partition.  $N_e(t)$  was estimated using the skygrowth method (Volz and Didelot 2018) with precision parameter  $\tau = 10$ .

<sup>665</sup> methods (see for example Zhang et al. 2013) although targeted at

within-species variation, and is also related to recent work on methods for

detecting co-diversification of species (Oaks et al. 2019). This method appears

relatively robust compared to other methods against false-positive

<sup>669</sup> identification of phylogenetic structure, but nevertheless has good sensitivity

<sup>670</sup> for detecting structure in most situations.

There are many immediate applications of this method in the area of 671 pathogen evolution where time-scaled phylogenetics is increasingly used in 672 epidemiological investigations (Biek et al. 2015). We have demonstrated the 673 role of selection in shaping phylogenetic structure of N. gonorrhoeae, and our 674 method clearly identifies clades which expanded in the recent past due to 675 acquisition of antimicrobial resistance. We have demonstrated the role of 676 human demography and transmission patterns in shaping the evolution of 677 HIV-1, and our method has shown distinct outbreaks of HIV-1 in specific 678 groups defined by age, race, and behaviour. Furthermore, we have shown how 679 clades detected by this method can be analysed using phylodynamic methods 680 that can yield additional insights into recent outbreaks or the mechanisms 681 which generated phylogenetic structure. For example, we have applied 682 non-parametric methods to estimate the effective population size through time 683 in HIV outbreaks detected using *treestructure* which highlighted particular 684 groups that appear to be at higher risk of transmission. Such analyses would 685 be more problematic using other partitioning or clustering algorithms because 686 phylogenetic clusters can appear by chance in homogeneous populations of 687 neutrally evolving pathogens, and this can give the false appearance of recent 688 growth (Dearlove et al. 2017). This application of phylodynamics analysis 689 methods is possible because the statistical test used in *treestructure* provides 690 theoretical justification for treating each partition as a separate unstructured 691

692 population.

Applications of the *treestructure* algorithms are scalable to relatively 693 large phylogenies. The main algorithms require only a single pre-order 694 traversal of the tree and all of the computations presented here required less 695 than one minute to run. The method is based on a time-scaled phylogeny, and 696 the computational burden of this preliminary step is typically higher than that 697 of running *treestructure*, even though significant progress has been made 698 recently in this area (Volz and Frost 2017; Didelot et al. 2018; Sagulenko et al. 699 2018; Tamura et al. 2018; Miura et al. 2019). Future developments of 700 treestructure and other methods post-processing time-scaled phylogenies (Volz 701 and Didelot 2018; Didelot et al. 2017) should address the uncertainty in the 702 input phylogeny, for example by accounting for bootstrap or Bayesian support 703 values for phylogenetic splits, or by summarising results from multiple trees. 704 Funding. Research reported in this publication was supported by the 705 National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes 706 of Health under Award Number R01-AI135970 (EV, AD, SDWF). EV and XD 707 acknowledge funding from the UK Medical Research Council (MR/R015600/1) 708 and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Protection 709 Research Unit in Modelling Methodology (HPRU-2012-10080). SDWF was 710 also supported in part by The Alan Turing Institute via an Engineering and 711 Physical Sciences Research Council Grant (EP/510129/1). 712

# 713 **References**

714 Beugin, M. P., T. Gayet, D. Pontier, S. Devillard, and T. Jombart. 2018. A

<sup>715</sup> fast likelihood solution to the genetic clustering problem. Methods Ecol.

<sup>716</sup> Evol. 9:1006–1016.

- <sup>717</sup> Biek, R., O. G. Pybus, J. O. Lloyd-Smith, and X. Didelot. 2015. Measurably
- evolving pathogens in the genomic era. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30:306–313.
- <sup>719</sup> Bouckaert, R., J. Heled, D. Kühnert, T. Vaughan, C.-H. Wu, D. Xie, M. A.
- Suchard, A. Rambaut, and A. J. Drummond. 2014. Beast 2: a software
- <sup>721</sup> platform for bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Comput. Biol.
- <sup>722</sup> 10:e1003537.
- <sup>723</sup> De Maio, N., C. J. Worby, D. J. Wilson, and N. Stoesser. 2018. Bayesian
- reconstruction of transmission within outbreaks using genomic variants.
- <sup>725</sup> PLoS Comput. Biol. 14:e1006117.
- <sup>726</sup> Dearlove, B. L. and S. D. W. Frost. 2015. Measuring Asymmetry in
- Time-Stamped Phylogenies. PLoS Comput. Biol. 11:e1004312.
- <sup>728</sup> Dearlove, B. L., F. Xiang, and S. D. Frost. 2017. Biased phylodynamic
- <sup>729</sup> inferences from analysing clusters of viral sequences. Virus Evolution 3.
- 730 Dennis, A. M., J. T. Herbeck, A. L. Brown, P. Kellam, T. de Oliveira,
- D. Pillay, C. Fraser, and M. S. Cohen. 2014. Phylogenetic studies of
- <sup>732</sup> transmission dynamics in generalized HIV epidemics: an essential tool where
- the burden is greatest? J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 67:181–195.
- 734 Dennis, A. M., E. Volz, S. D. Frost, M. Hossain, A. F. Poon, P. F. Rebeiro,
- 735 S. H. Vermund, T. R. Sterling, and M. L. Kalish. 2018. Hiv-1 transmission
- clustering and phylodynamics highlight the important role of young men
- <sup>737</sup> who have sex with men. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses
- 738 34:879-888.
- 739 Didelot, X., N. J. Croucher, S. D. Bentley, S. R. Harris, and D. J. Wilson.
- <sup>740</sup> 2018. Bayesian inference of ancestral dates on bacterial phylogenetic trees.
- <sup>741</sup> Nucleic Acids Res. 46:e134.

- 742 Didelot, X., C. Fraser, J. Gardy, and C. Colijn. 2017. Genomic infectious
- <sup>743</sup> disease epidemiology in partially sampled and ongoing outbreaks. Mol. Biol.
- <sup>744</sup> Evol. 34:997–1007.
- 745 Didelot, X., A. S. Walker, T. E. Peto, D. W. Crook, and D. J. Wilson. 2016.
- <sup>746</sup> Within-host evolution of bacterial pathogens. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.
- 747 14:150–162.
- 748 Didelot, X. and D. J. Wilson. 2015. ClonalFrameML: Efficient Inference of
- <sup>749</sup> Recombination in Whole Bacterial Genomes. PLoS Comput. Biol.
- <sup>750</sup> 11:e1004041.
- <sup>751</sup> Dudas, G., L. M. Carvalho, T. Bedford, A. J. Tatem, G. Baele, N. R. Faria,
- D. J. Park, J. T. Ladner, A. Arias, D. Asogun, F. Bielejec, S. L. Caddy,
- <sup>753</sup> M. Cotten, J. D'Ambrozio, S. Dellicour, A. Di Caro, J. W. Diclaro,
- <sup>754</sup> S. Duraffour, M. J. Elmore, L. S. Fakoli, O. Faye, M. L. Gilbert, S. M.
- <sup>755</sup> Gevao, S. Gire, A. Gladden-Young, A. Gnirke, A. Goba, D. S. Grant, B. L.
- <sup>756</sup> Haagmans, J. A. Hiscox, U. Jah, J. R. Kugelman, D. Liu, J. Lu, C. M.
- <sup>757</sup> Malboeuf, S. Mate, D. A. Matthews, C. B. Matranga, L. W. Meredith,
- J. Qu, J. Quick, S. D. Pas, M. V. T. Phan, G. Pollakis, C. B. Reusken,
- <sup>759</sup> M. Sanchez-Lockhart, S. F. Schaffner, J. S. Schieffelin, R. S. Sealfon,
- <sup>760</sup> E. Simon-Loriere, S. L. Smits, K. Stoecker, L. Thorne, E. A. Tobin, M. A.
- Vandi, S. J. Watson, K. West, S. Whitmer, M. R. Wiley, S. M. Winnicki,
- <sup>762</sup> S. Wohl, R. Wölfel, N. L. Yozwiak, K. G. Andersen, S. O. Blyden, F. Bolay,
- M. W. Carroll, B. Dahn, B. Diallo, P. Formenty, C. Fraser, G. F. Gao, R. F.
- <sup>764</sup> Garry, I. Goodfellow, S. Günther, C. T. Happi, E. C. Holmes, B. Kargbo,
- <sup>765</sup> S. Keïta, P. Kellam, M. P. G. Koopmans, J. H. Kuhn, N. J. Loman,
- <sup>766</sup> N. Magassouba, D. Naidoo, S. T. Nichol, T. Nyenswah, G. Palacios, O. G.
- <sup>767</sup> Pybus, P. C. Sabeti, A. Sall, U. Ströher, I. Wurie, M. A. Suchard, P. Lemey,

- <sup>768</sup> and A. Rambaut. 2017. Virus genomes reveal factors that spread and
- <sup>769</sup> sustained the ebola epidemic. Nature 544:309–315.
- Everitt, B., S. Landau, and M. Leese. 2001. Cluster Analysis. Wiley New York.
- <sup>772</sup> Eyre, D. W., T. Golubchik, N. C. Gordon, R. Bowden, P. Piazza, E. M. Batty,
- C. L. C. Ip, D. J. Wilson, X. Didelot, L. O'Connor, R. Lay, D. Buck, A. M.
- Kearns, A. Shaw, J. Paul, M. H. Wilcox, P. J. Donnelly, T. E. A. Peto, A. S.
- Walker, and D. W. Crook. 2012. A pilot study of rapid benchtop sequencing
- of Staphylococcus aureus and Clostridium difficile for outbreak detection
- and surveillance. BMJ Open 2:e001124.
- 778 Grad, Y. H., S. R. Harris, R. D. Kirkcaldy, A. G. Green, D. S. Marks, S. D.
- <sup>779</sup> Bentley, D. Trees, and M. Lipsitch. 2016. Genomic epidemiology of
- <sup>780</sup> gonococcal resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporins, macrolides, and
- fluoroquinolones in the united states, 2000–2013. The Journal of Infectious
- 782 Diseases 214:1579–1587.
- <sup>783</sup> Guindon, S., J.-F. Dufayard, V. Lefort, M. Anisimova, W. Hordijk, and
- <sup>784</sup> O. Gascuel. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate
- maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0.
- 786 Systematic Biology 59:307–21.
- Han, A., E. Parker, S. Maurer-Stroh, and C. Russell. 2018. Inferring putative
  transmission clusters with phydelity. bioRxiv Page 477653.
- <sup>789</sup> Hartl, D. L., A. G. Clark, and A. G. Clark. 1997. Principles of population
- <sup>790</sup> genetics vol. 116. Sinauer associates Sunderland, MA.
- <sup>791</sup> Höhna, S., M. J. Landis, T. A. Heath, B. Boussau, N. Lartillot, B. R. Moore,
- <sup>792</sup> J. P. Huelsenbeck, and F. Ronquist. 2016. Revbayes: Bayesian phylogenetic

- <sup>793</sup> inference using graphical models and an interactive model-specification
- <sup>794</sup> language. Systematic Biology 65:726–736.
- <sup>795</sup> Jones, B. R. and A. F. Poon. 2016. node.dating: dating ancestors in
- <sup>796</sup> phylogenetic trees in R. Bioinformatics 33:932–934.
- <sup>797</sup> Klingen, T. R., S. Reimering, C. A. Guzmán, and A. C. McHardy. 2018. In
- <sup>798</sup> silico vaccine strain prediction for human influenza viruses. Trends in
- <sup>799</sup> microbiology 26:119–131.
- Lam, T. T.-Y., B. Zhou, J. Wang, Y. Chai, Y. Shen, X. Chen, C. Ma,
- W. Hong, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, L. Duan, P. Chen, J. Jiang, Y. Zhang, L. Li,
- L. L. M. Poon, R. J. Webby, D. K. Smith, G. M. Leung, J. S. M. Peiris,
- E. C. Holmes, Y. Guan, and H. Zhu. 2015. Dissemination, divergence and
- establishment of H7N9 influenza viruses in china. Nature 522:102–105.
- Ledda, A., J. R. Price, K. Cole, M. J. Llewelyn, A. M. Kearns, D. W. Crook,
- J. Paul, and X. Didelot. 2017. Re-emergence of methicillin susceptibility in a
- resistant lineage of Staphylococcus aureus. J. Antimicrob. Chemother.
- 808 72:1285-1288.
- McCloskey, R. M. and A. F. Poon. 2017. A model-based clustering method to
- 810 detect infectious disease transmission outbreaks from sequence variation.
- <sup>811</sup> PLoS Comput. Biol. 13:e1005868.
- Miller, R., J. Price, E. Batty, X. Didelot, D. Wyllie, T. Golubchik, D. W.
- Crook, J. Paul, T. E. A. Peto, D. J. Wilson, M. Cule, C. Ip, N. Day,
- C. Moore, R. Bowden, and M. Llewelyn. 2014. Healthcare-associated
- outbreak of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia: role of a
- cryptic variant of an epidemic clone. J. Hosp. Infect. 86:83–89.
- Miura, S., K. Tamura, S. L. K. Pond, L. A. Huuki, J. Priest, J. Deng, and

- 818 S. Kumar. 2019. A new method for inferring timetrees from temporally
- sampled molecular sequences. BioRxiv Page 620187.
- <sup>820</sup> Mostowy, R., N. J. Croucher, C. P. Andam, J. Corander, W. P. Hanage, and
- P. Marttinen. 2017. Efficient inference of recent and ancestral recombination
- within bacterial populations. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34:1167–1182.
- Notohara, M. 1990. The coalescent and the genealogical process in
- geographically structured population. J. Math. Biol. 29:59–75.
- <sup>825</sup> Oaks, J. R., N. LBahy, and K. A. Cobb. 2019. Insights from a general,
- full-likelihood bayesian approach to inferring shared evolutionary events
- <sup>827</sup> from genomic data: Inferring shared demographic events is challenging.
- <sup>828</sup> bioRxiv Page 679878.
- Rambaut, A. and N. C. Grass. 1997. Seq-Gen: an application for the Monte
- <sup>830</sup> Carlo simulation of DNA sequence evolution along phylogenetic trees.
- Bioinformatics 13:235–238.
- <sup>832</sup> Sagulenko, P., V. Puller, and R. A. Neher. 2018. Treetime:
- Maximum-likelihood phylodynamic analysis. Virus Evolution 4:vex042.
- Suchard, M. A., P. Lemey, G. Baele, D. L. Ayres, A. J. Drummond, and
- A. Rambaut. 2018. Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data
- integration using beast 1.10. Virus Evolution 4:vey016.
- <sup>837</sup> Tamura, K., Q. Tao, and S. Kumar. 2018. Theoretical foundation of the
- RelTime method for estimating divergence times from variable evolutionary
- <sup>839</sup> rates. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:1770–1782.
- <sup>840</sup> To, T.-H., M. Jung, S. Lycett, and O. Gascuel. 2016. Fast dating using
- Least-Squares criteria and algorithms. Systematic Biology 65:82–97.

- <sup>842</sup> Tonkin-Hill, G., J. A. Lees, S. D. Bentley, S. D. W. Frost, and J. Corander.
- <sup>843</sup> 2018. RhierBAPS: An R implementation of the population clustering
- algorithm hierBAPS. Wellcome Open Res 3:93.
- Tonkin-Hill, G., J. A. Lees, S. D. Bentley, S. D. W. Frost, and J. Corander.
- <sup>846</sup> 2019. Fast hierarchical Bayesian analysis of population structure. Nucleic
- Acids Res. Pages 1–11.
- Vaughan, T. G. and A. J. Drummond. 2013. A stochastic simulator of

<sup>849</sup> birth-death master equations with application to phylodynamics. Molecular
<sup>850</sup> biology and evolution 30:1480–1493.

- Vinh, N. X., J. Epps, and J. Bailey. 2010. Information theoretic measures for
- clusterings comparison: Variants, properties, normalization and correction
- for chance. Journal of Machine Learning Research 11:2837–2854.
- <sup>854</sup> Volz, E. M. and X. Didelot. 2018. Modeling the growth and decline of
- pathogen effective population size provides insight into epidemic dynamics
- and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. Systematic Biology 67:719–728.
- Volz, E. M. and S. D. W. Frost. 2017. Scalable relaxed clock phylogenetic
  dating. Virus Evolution 3.
- Wakeley, J. 2009. Coalescent theory: an introduction. Greenwood Village:
  Roberts & Company Publishers.
- <sup>861</sup> Whittles, L. K., P. J. White, and X. Didelot. 2017. Estimating the fitness
- benefit and cost of cefixime resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae to inform
- prescription policy: A modelling study. PLoS Med. 14:e1002416.
- Wiuf, C. and P. Donnelly. 1999. Conditional genealogies and the age of a
  neutral mutant. Theor. Popul. Biol. 56:183–201.

- <sup>866</sup> Yu, G., D. K. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, and T. T.-Y. Lam. 2017. ggtree: an r
- package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their
- covariates and other associated data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution
- 869 8:28-36.
- <sup>870</sup> Zhang, J., P. Kapli, P. Pavlidis, and A. Stamatakis. 2013. A general species
- delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements.
- <sup>872</sup> Bioinformatics 29:2869–2876.

> **Data:** 1) Disjoint sets of tips X and Y 2) Empirical value of test statistic  $\hat{R}$

3) Number of simulations  $n_{\rm sim}$ 

4) Taxonomic condition E (see Equations 3, 4 or 10)

**Result:** Two-sided p-value denoted  $q = \xi(X, Y, \hat{R})$ .

Initialisation;

Form a time-ordered sequence of nodes

$$U = (u_1, \cdots, u_{|D_X|+|D_Y|}) | u_i \in (D_X \cup D_Y), \tau(u_i) \ge \tau(u_{i+1})$$

Form a corresponding numeric sequence:  $\Upsilon = (v_1, \cdots, v_{|D_X|+|D_Y|}) \text{ where }$ 

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i \in X \\ -1 & \text{if } u_i \in Y \\ 0 & \text{if } u_i \in (D_X \cup D_Y) \cap \mathcal{I} \end{cases}$$

for k = 1 to  $n_{sim}$  do

 $z \leftarrow 0$  (simulated lineages through time in clade X);  $w \leftarrow 0$  (simulated lineages through time in clade Y);  $r_{\rm sim} \leftarrow 0$  (simulated rank-sum statistic);  $c \leftarrow 0$  (number of coalescent events simulated); for i = 1 to  $|D_X| + |D_Y|$  do if  $v_i = 1$  then Account for sample in X:  $z \leftarrow z + 1$ ; if  $v_i = -1$  then Account for sample in Y:  $w \leftarrow w + 1$ ; if  $W_i = 0$  then Increment coalescent counter:  $c \leftarrow c+1$ ; Compute probability  $\tilde{p} = \tilde{Q}_E(z, w)$  that next coalescent is in  $D_X$  or  $D_Y$  using Equation 3, 4 or 10; Draw a random uniform variable  $\omega \leftarrow \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ ; if  $\omega < \tilde{p}$  then  $z \leftarrow z - 1$  $| r_{\rm sim} \leftarrow r_{\rm sim} + c$  $\mathbf{else}$  $| w \leftarrow w - 1$ end Record simulated statistic:  $R_k \leftarrow r_{\rm sim}$ ; end Standardize the statistic:  $\bar{R} \leftarrow \left(\hat{R} - \langle \{R_k\}\rangle\right) / \sigma_{R_k} ;$ Return  $\min(F(\bar{R}), 1 - F(\bar{R}))$  where F is the standard normal CDF.

**Algorithm 1:** Algorithm for computing the null distribution and associated p-value of the test-statistic for cladistic outliers.

> **Data:** Time-scaled genealogy  $\mathcal{G}$ **Result:** Partition of tips of tree, denoted *M*. Initialise 'active set' to consist of root node:  $\Omega \leftarrow {\text{root}}$ ; Initialise partition:  $M \leftarrow \emptyset$ ; for  $u \in \mathcal{I}$  (internal nodes) do Initialise  $\tilde{C}_u \leftarrow C_u$ ; end while  $|\Omega| > 0$  do Initialise  $\Omega' \leftarrow \Omega$ ; for  $u \in \Omega$  do Find biggest outlier descended from u:  $v^* \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in C_u} f(v) = \xi(\tilde{C}_u \setminus \tilde{C}_v, \tilde{C}_v)$  (Algorithm 1);  $q \leftarrow \xi(\tilde{C}_u, \tilde{C}_{v^*});$  $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{if} \quad q < \alpha \ \mathbf{then} \\ \mid \quad \Omega' \leftarrow \Omega' \cup v^* \ ; \end{array}$  $\tilde{C}_u \leftarrow \tilde{C}_u \setminus C_{v^*}$ ; else No significant outliers, so remove u from active sets:  $\Omega' \leftarrow \Omega' \setminus u ;$ Add the clade descended from u to the partition:  $M \leftarrow M \cup \{(\mathcal{T} \cap \tilde{C}_u)\};\$ end  $\Omega \leftarrow \Omega'$ . end Return *M*. Algorithm 2: Algorithm for detecting cladistic outliers.



Figure S1: The normalised mutual information (NMI) for 100 previously published simulations (McCloskey and Poon 2017). This describes accuracy of classification of tips into outbreaks using the *treestructure* method and CLMP (McCloskey and Poon 2017). Results on left were based on simulations where both transmission and sampling rates varied in the outbreak cluster, whereas simulations on the right only allowed transmission rates to vary.



Figure S2: The distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis with Kingman coalescent trees simulated with 50 tips. Top: The empirical density of the standardized test statistic (Z score) across internal nodes in 1,000 Kingman coalescent trees. Bottom: A quantile-quantile plot of the Z scores from internal nodes in 1,000 coalescent trees and the standard normal distribution.



Figure S3: Distribution of the standardized test statistic (Z scores) under the null hypothesis and tabulated by clade size. Each box shows the range (whisker) and interquartile range (box) of Z scores across 1,000 simulated coalescent trees and for a particular clade size (number of tips). The red lines show the interval corresponding to a 95% confidence region. The left part is based on Kingman coalescent trees, while the right part is based on estimated time-scaled phylogenies using simulated sequences as described in the text.



Figure S4: Power to discriminate between clades as a function of sample size and difference in effective population size. Each plot shows the absolute value of the standardized test statistic of the MRCA of a minority clade. The minority clade has an effective population size selected to provide various levels of contrast with the majority clade (see text). The x-axis shows  $(N_e^1 w)/(N_e^2 z)$  where z and w are the number of tips in the minority and majority clades, and  $N_e^1$  and  $N_e^2$  are the effective population sizes in the minority and majority clades. The red line corresponds to 1.96 which is the 95% quantile of the standard normal distribution. The top, middle and bottom panels are each based on simulations where the minority clade had 10, 20, and 40 tips respectively, whereas the majority clade always had 200 tips.



Figure S5: The output of FastBAPS classification applied to 1102 N. gonorrhoeae isolates described in the main text. Clades indicated in green have CFX resistance.



Figure S6: Estimated effective population size through time for each partition in the Tennessee HIV-1 phylogeny.  $N_e(t)$  was estimated using the *skygrowth* method (Volz and Didelot 2018) with precision parameter  $\tau = 1$ .



Figure S7: Estimated effective population size through time for each partition in the Tennessee HIV-1 phylogeny.  $N_e(t)$  was estimated using the *skygrowth* method (Volz and Didelot 2018) with precision parameter  $\tau = 100$ .