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#### Abstract

This paper deals with a 6R single-loop overconstrained spatial mechanism that has two pairs of revolute joints with intersecting axes and one pair of revolute joints with parallel axes. The 6R mechanism is first constructed from an isosceles triangle and a pair of identical circles. The kinematic analysis of the 6 R mechanism is then dealt with using a dual quaternion approach. The analysis shows that the 6 R mechanism usually has two solutions to the kinematic analysis for a given input and may have two circuits (closure modes or branches) with one or two pairs of full-turn revolute joints. In two configurations in each circuit of the 6R mechanism, the axes of four revolute joints are coplanar, and the axes of the other two revolute joints are perpendicular to the plane defined by the above four revolute joints. Considering that from one configuration of the 6R mechanism, one can obtain another configuration of the mechanism by simply renumbering the joints, the concept of two-faced mechanism is introduced. The formulas for the analysis of plane symmetric spatial triangle is also presented in this paper. These formulas will be useful for the design and analysis of multi-loop overconstrained mechanisms involving plane symmetric spatial RRR triads.
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## 1 Introduction

Fruitful results have been obtained in the past decades in the research on single degree-of-freedom (DOF) single-loop overconstrained mechanisms [1-26]. Although the successful industrial applications of single-loop overconstrained mechanisms are quite limited, single-loop overconstrained mechanisms are being used in the development of parallel mechanisms [27,28], deployable structures [13,29], mobile robots [30], multi-mode mechanisms [31-37] and other devices [38].

The methods for obtaining 6R mechanisms mainly include: geometric methods [1, 23, 26], construction approaches [3, 4, 6, 13, 22], algebraic approaches [7,11, 14-16, 19-21,25,39] and numerical methods [8]. For a comprehensive list of 6 R mechanisms, refer to $[14,17,25,26]$. Like in the type synthesis of translational parallel mechanisms or the analysis of slide-crank mechanism [40], several earlier work on 6R mechanisms, such as [9,24], have also been unfortunately ignored for decades. In addition, several 6R mechanisms can be obtained using different approaches.

Searching for 6R mechanisms is still not fully solved. For example, 6R mechanisms that have either three pairs of revolute ( R ) joints with intersecting axes [26] or three pairs of $R$ joints with parallel axes [19, 22,23] have been identified. It is logical to identify $6 R$ mechanisms that have two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of $R$ joints with parallel axes. However, only one such mechanism, the Schatz's 6 R mechanism, has been presented so far. It is unclear

[^0]whether there are any other 6R mechanisms that have two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of $R$ joints with parallel axes.

Inspired by the geometric construction of Bricard 6 R mechanisms [1,2] and the 6 R mechanism that has three pairs of R joints with intersecting axes [26], this paper aims at revealing a 6R mechanism that has two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of R joints with parallel axes. The Bricard's trihedral 6 R mechanism can be constructed from a triangle. In the configuration of construction, the axes of its three R joints are on the plane defined by the triangle and those of the remaining three $R$ joints are perpendicular to the triangle. The Type III Bricard's mobile octahedral 6 R mechanism can be constructed from a triangle with two concentric circles. In the configuration of construction, the axes of all the six R joints are on the plane defined by the triangle. In [26], a $6 R$ mechanism that has three pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes is constructed from a kite and a pair of identical circles.

This paper is organized as follows. A 6R mechanism that has two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of $R$ joints with parallel axes will be constructed from an isosceles triangle and a pair of circles in Section 2. The kinematic analysis of the 6R mechanism using a dual quaternion based approach will be presented in Section 3, where two example 6R mechanisms with different number of full-turn $R$ joints are given. In Section 4, the characteristics of the 6R mechanism will be revealed and the concept of two-faced mechanism will be introduced. Finally, conclusions are drawn.

For simplicity reasons, $\sin \theta_{i}$ and $\cos \theta_{i}$ are denoted by $S \theta_{i}$ and $C \theta_{i}$, respectively.

## 2 Description of a 6R mechanism that has two pairs of $\mathbf{R}$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of $\mathbf{R}$ joints with parallel axes

In this section, a 6R mechanism will be constructed from an isosceles triangle and a pair of identical circles. In the configuration of construction, the axes of four R joints are on the plane determined by the triangle, while those of the remaining two $R$ joints are perpendicular to the triangle. The geometric construction of the 6R mechanism will be presented first. The D-H (Denavit-Hartenberg) link parameters of the 6R mechanism will then be given.

### 2.1 Geometric construction of a 6R mechanism

A 6R mechanism can be constructed as follows (Fig. 1):
Step 1: Draw six lines for placing R (revolute) joints [Fig. 1(a)].
At first, draw (a) an isosceles triangle ABC where $|A C|=|B C|$, (b) one altitude $C C^{\prime}$ of triangle ABC , and (c) two identical circles of radius $r(r \leq|A C|=|B C|)$ with their centers at $A$ and $B$ respectively. Then, draw (a) lines $A A^{\prime}$ and $B B^{\prime}$ that are perpendicular to the triangle ABC , (b) lines $A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B_{1} B_{1}^{\prime}$ on the same side of line $C C^{\prime}$ that are tangent to circles $A$ and $B$ at points $A$ and $B$ respectively, and (c) lines $C A_{2}$ and $C B_{2}$ that are tangent to circles $A$ and $B$ respectively at points $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ such that tangent points $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are on two sides of line $A C$ and tangent points $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are on two sides of line $B C$. Lines $A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}, A A^{\prime}, C A_{2}, B_{1} B_{1}^{\prime}, B B^{\prime}$, and $C B_{2}$ are the six lines required for placing six R joints.
Step 2: Construct a 6R mechanism using the six lines obtained in Step 1 [Fig. 1(b)].
Place six R joints $1,2, \cdots, 6$ along lines $A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}, A A^{\prime}, C A_{2}, C B_{2}, B B^{\prime}$, and $B_{1} B_{1}^{\prime}$ respectively and connect them in the sequence of 1-2-3-4-5-6-1. One then obtains a 6 R mechanism 1-2-3-4-5-6-1.


Fig. 1. Construction of a $6 R$ mechanism that has two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of $R$ joints with parallel axes.

It is noted that $\angle A C B=\angle A_{2} C B_{2},\left|C A_{2}\right|=\left|C B_{2}\right|,\left|A_{1} B_{1}\right|=|A B|$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{1} B_{1}\right|=|A B|=2 \sqrt{\left|C A_{2}\right|^{2}+r^{2}} S\left(\angle A_{2} C B_{2} / 2\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Link parameters of the 6 R mechanism

For clarity, the frame, link 6 connecting joints 6 and 1 , of the 6 R mechanism will be highlighted in blue throughout this paper.

As in [26], coordinate frames in the 6R mechanism are attached to the links as follows (Fig. 2): $\mathrm{Z}_{i}$-axis is along the axis of joint $i$. $\mathrm{X}_{i}$-axis is along the common perpendicular between $\mathrm{Z}_{i-1^{-}}$and $\mathrm{Z}_{i}$-axes. $\mathrm{O}_{i}$ is the intersection of $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ - and $\mathrm{Z}_{i}$-axes. $\mathrm{Y}_{i}$-axis is defined by $\mathrm{X}_{i^{-}}$and $\mathrm{Z}_{i}$-axes through the right handed rule and omitted in Fig. 2. The joint variable, $\theta_{i}$, is defined as the angle between $X_{i}$ - and $X_{i+1}$-axes measured from $X_{i}$-axis to $X_{i+1}$-axis about $Z_{i}$-axis. The link parameters of link $i$ are:
$d_{i}$ : Distance between $X_{i^{-}}$and $X_{i+1}$-axes measured from $X_{i}$-axis to $X_{i+1}$-axis along $Z_{i}$-axis
$\alpha_{i}$ : Twist angle between $Z_{i}$ - and $Z_{i+1}$ axes measured from $Z_{i}$-axis to $Z_{i+1}$-axis about $X_{i+1}$-axis
$l_{i}$ : Distance between $\mathrm{Z}_{i^{-}}$and $\mathrm{Z}_{i+1}$-axes measured from $\mathrm{Z}_{i}$-axis to $\mathrm{Z}_{i+1}$-axis along $\mathrm{X}_{i+1}$-axis


Fig. 2. D-H parameters of the $6 R$ mechanism.

The link parameters of the 6R mechanism are:
$d_{2}=d_{5}=0, d_{6}=-d_{1}, d_{4}=-d_{3}$,
$\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=\pi / 2, \alpha_{6}=0, \alpha_{3}$, $l_{3}=0, l_{1}=l_{2}=l_{4}=l_{5}$, and $l_{6}$.

From Fig. 1 and Eq. (1), we learn that the link parameters of the 6R mechanism satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{6}=2 \sqrt{d_{3}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}} S\left(\alpha_{3} / 2\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 The 6R mechanism as a special case of Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism

In this section, we will show that the proposed 6R mechanism is in fact a special case of the Wohlhart's double-Goldberg5R 6R mechanism [6].

### 2.3.1 Construction of Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism

A Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism 1-2-3-4-5-6 [Fig. 3(a)] is obtained by merging two Goldberg 5R mechanisms that have two common links, 1-2-8-5-6 and 2-3-4-5-8 [Fig. 3(b)], and then removing the two common links. Each Goldberg 5R mechanism 2-3-4-5-8 is obtained by merging two Bennett linkages [Fig. 3(c)] sharing a common link, 2-3-9-8 and 9-4-5-8, removing the common link 8-9 and locking the angle between two links 3-9 and 9-4 adjacent to the common link. All the links in the associated Bennett linkages [Fig. 3(c)] have the same Bennett ratio and fall into four groups of links with identical link parameters: 2-3, 8-9 and 5-4; 1-2, 7-8 and 6-5; 7-1, 8-2 and 9-3; 6-7, 5-8 and 4-9.

### 2.3.2 A plane symmetric spatial triangle

Calculating the link parameters of a Goldberg-5R mechanism requires the analysis of a spatial triangle. The analysis of a general spatial triangle has been presented in the literature (see [41] for example). Here the analysis of a plane symmetric spatial triangle (Fig. 4) will be discussed. In the plane symmetric spatial triangle, the axes of $R$ joints 2 and 3 are symmetric


Fig. 3. Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism.


Fig. 4. A plane symmetric spatial triangle.
about a plane passing through the axis of R joint 1 . Let $Z$-axis coincide with $Z_{1}$-axis and $X$-axis be located on the plane of symmetry. For brevity, the twist angle between the axes of R joints 1 and 2 is denoted by $\alpha$, and the joint variable of R joint 1 is denoted by $\theta$.

Since point $O_{3}$ is on the plane of symmetry, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d C(\theta / 2) S \alpha+l S(\theta / 2)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, $\mathbf{s}_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}S(\theta / 2) S \alpha & -C(\theta / 2) S \alpha & C \alpha\end{array}\right\}^{T}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{3}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}S(\theta / 2) S \alpha \quad C(\theta / 2) S \alpha & C \alpha\end{array}\right\}^{T}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{s}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{3}=S^{2}(\theta / 2) S^{2} \alpha-C^{2}(\theta / 2) S^{2} \alpha+C^{2} \alpha=C \alpha_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) can be turned into the following form

$$
S^{2}(\theta / 2) S^{2} \alpha-C^{2}(\theta / 2) S^{2} \alpha+\left(1-S^{2} \alpha\right)=1-2 S^{2}\left(\alpha_{2} / 2\right)
$$

and then simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{2}(\theta / 2) S^{2} \alpha=S^{2}\left(\alpha_{2} / 2\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3) and (5) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2} S^{2}(\theta / 2)=d^{2} S^{2}\left(\alpha_{2} / 2\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (5) and (6) together with $S^{2}(\theta / 2)+C^{2}(\theta / 2)=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2} S^{2} \alpha=\left(d^{2} S^{2} \alpha+l^{2}\right) S^{2}\left(\alpha_{2} / 2\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2}=\left(d^{2}+l^{2} / S^{2} \alpha\right) S^{2}\left(\alpha_{2} / 2\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the axes of $R$ joints 2 and 3 are parallel, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{2}=2 l \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.3 A special case of Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism

Now let us consider the following special case of the Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism [Fig. 5(a)]. In its associated Bennett linkages [Fig. 5(c)], 2-3, 8-9, 5-4, 1-2, 7-8 and 6-5 are identical links with a twist angle of $\pi / 2$. In addition, the axes of R joints 3 and 4 (2 and 5; 1 and 6 ) are symmetric about a plane passing through the axis of R joint 9 ( 8 ; 7). In addition, $R$ joint 7 is locked when links 1-7 and 7-6 are collinear [Fig. 5(b)].


Fig. 5. A special case of Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism.

Using Eq. (8), we obtain from the spatial triangle composed of the axes of R joints 4, 9 and 3 (Figs. 5(c)and 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2}=\left[d_{3}+l^{2} / S^{2}(\alpha / 2)\right] S^{2}\left(\alpha_{3} / 2\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all the links within the Bennett linkages associated with the Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism has the same Bennett ratio, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}^{2}=l^{2} / S^{2}(\alpha / 2) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of Eq. (12) into Eq. (11) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2}=\left(d_{3}+l_{1}^{2}\right) S^{2}\left(\alpha_{3} / 2\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (9), we obtain from the spatial triangle composed of the axes of R joints 1, 7 and 6 (Figs. 5(c) and 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{6}=2 l \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (13) and (14) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{6}^{2}=4\left(d_{3}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}\right) S^{2}\left(\alpha_{3} / 2\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (15) and (2) are in fact identical. Therefore, the 6 R mechanism constructed in Section 2.1 is a special case of the Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism. However, it is more concise to obtain this 6 R mechanism using the geometric construction method than the Goldberg 5R linkage based construction method.

## 3 Kinematic Analysis of the 6R mechanism

Although an approach to the kinematic analysis of Wohlhart's double-Goldberg-5R 6R mechanism has been given in [6], one needs to calculate the unknown link parameters of the Goldberg 5R mechanisms first. In this section, the kinematic analysis of the 6 R mechanism using the dual quaternion based approach (see $[25,37]$ for example) will be presented. Using this approach, one does not need to calculate the unknown link parameters of the Goldberg 5R mechanisms. In addition, 6R mechanisms with different numbers of full-turn R joints will also be given.

The displacement of a link can be represented using a dual quaternion as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=e_{0}+e_{1} \mathbf{i}+e_{2} \mathbf{j}+e_{3} \mathbf{k}+\varepsilon\left(g_{0}+g_{1} \mathbf{i}+g_{2} \mathbf{j}+g_{3} \mathbf{k}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{0} g_{0}+e_{1} g_{1}+e_{2} g_{2}+e_{3} g_{3}=0$.
The dual quaternions representing translation about $X_{i}$-axis by $l_{i}$, translation about $Z_{i}$-axis by $d_{i}$, rotation about $X_{i}$-axis by $\alpha_{i}$, rotation about $\mathrm{Z}_{i}$-axis by $\theta_{i}$, and no motion are

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{\operatorname{TranX}}=1+\varepsilon\left(l_{i} / 2\right) \mathbf{i}  \tag{17}\\
Q_{\operatorname{TranZ}_{i}}=1+\varepsilon\left(d_{i} / 2\right) \mathbf{k}  \tag{18}\\
Q_{\text {RotX }_{i}}=C\left(\alpha_{i} / 2\right)+S\left(\alpha_{i} / 2\right) \mathbf{i}  \tag{19}\\
\check{Q}_{\text {RotZ }_{i}}=t_{i}+\mathbf{k} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{i}=\cot \left(\theta_{i} / 2\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{E}=1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product of two dual quaternions satisfies the following rules:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{i}^{2}=\mathbf{j}^{2}=\mathbf{k}^{2}=\mathbf{i j k}=-1 \\
& \mathbf{i j}=\mathbf{k}=-\mathbf{j i} \\
& \mathbf{j k}=\mathbf{i}=-\mathbf{k} \mathbf{j}  \tag{22}\\
& \mathbf{k i}=\mathbf{j}=-\mathbf{i k} \\
& \varepsilon^{2}=0 \text { and } \varepsilon \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]A set of six equations in $t_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots 6)$ for the 6R mechanism is [37]

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=0  \tag{23}\\
e_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=0 \\
e_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=0 \\
g_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=0 \\
g_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=0 \\
g_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equation (23) is in fact composed of the second, third, fourth, sixth, seventh and eighth scalar equations of Eq. (24).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{6}\left(\check{Q}_{\text {RotZ }_{i}} Q_{\operatorname{TranZ}_{i}} Q_{\operatorname{TranX}}^{i}\left(Q_{R o t} X_{i}\right)=Q_{E} / \prod_{i=1}^{6} S\left(\theta_{i} / 2\right)\right. \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To exclude the extraneous solutions with $t_{i}= \pm I$ before solving Eq. (23) [25], one can introduce an extra variable $v$ and add the equation $\left(t_{1}^{2}+1\right)\left(t_{2}^{2}+1\right) \cdots\left(t_{6}^{2}+1\right) v-1=0$ to Eq. (23) and compute an elimination ideal that eliminates $v$ with the software Maple to obtain a new set of equations. By calculating the Gröbner basis of the ideal spanned by this set of equations, one can obtain the input-output equation of the 6 R mechanism.

### 3.1 Mechanism I: Mechanism with one pair of full-turn $\mathbf{R}$ joints

The link parameters of Mechanism I are:
$d_{2}=d_{5}=0, d_{6}=-d_{1}=0, d_{4}=-d_{3}=10$
$\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=\pi / 2, \alpha_{6}=0, \alpha_{3}=\pi / 2$,
$l_{3}=0, l_{1}=l_{2}=l_{4}=l_{5}=50$, and $l_{6}=50 \sqrt{10}$.
Here, $l_{6}$ is calculated using Eq. (2).
In the following, the detailed kinematic analysis of Mechanism I will be presented.
Substituting the above link parameters into Eq. (24) and taking the second, third, fourth, sixth, seventh and eighth scalar equations, we obtain the specific form of Eq. (23) for Mechanism I as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=-t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{4}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{5}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{6} \\
+t_{1} t_{2} t_{4} t_{5}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{4} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{5} t_{6}+t_{1} t_{3} t_{4} t_{5}+t_{1} t_{3} t_{4} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{3} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6} \\
-t_{2} t_{3} t_{4} t_{5}+t_{2} t_{3} t_{4} t_{6}+t_{2} t_{3} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{2} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{3} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}+t_{1} t_{2}-t_{1} t_{3}+t_{1} t_{4}-t_{1} t_{5} \\
+t_{1} t_{6}-t_{2} t_{3}-t_{2} t_{4}-t_{2} t_{5}-t_{2} t_{6}+t_{3} t_{4}-t_{3} t_{5}+t_{3} t_{6}-t_{4} t_{5}-t_{4} t_{6}+t_{5} t_{6}+1=0  \tag{25}\\
e_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots t_{6}\right)=t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{4} t_{5}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{4} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{5} t_{6}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6} \\
+t_{1} t_{3} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{2} t_{3} t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{4}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{5}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{3} t_{4}+t_{1} t_{3} t_{5} \\
-t_{1} t_{3} t_{6}+t_{1} t_{4} t_{5}+t_{1} t_{4} t_{6}-t_{1} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{2} t_{3} t_{4}-t_{2} t_{3} t_{5}-t_{2} t_{3} t_{6}+t_{2} t_{4} t_{5}-t_{2} t_{4} t_{6}-t_{2} t_{5}{ }_{6} \\
+t_{3} t_{4}+t_{5} t_{4} t_{6} t_{3} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}-t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{3}+t_{4}-t_{5}+t_{6}=0 \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eliminating the solutions with $t_{i}= \pm I$ to Eq. (25) by calculating the elimination ideal using the Maple command EliminationIdeal, one obtain the following set of 15 equations.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 t_{1} t_{6}-2 \sqrt{10}+7=0  \tag{26}\\
-2 \sqrt{10} t_{1} t_{2}-7 t_{1} t_{2}+3 t_{1} t_{5}+3 t_{2} t_{3}-5 t_{3} t_{5}+4 t_{3}-4 t_{5}+2=0 \\
\cdots \\
3 t_{2} t_{3}-5 t_{2} t_{4}-5 t_{3} t_{5}+3 t_{4} t_{5}+4 t_{2}+4 t_{3}-4 t_{4}-4 t_{5}+4=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Calculating the Gröbner basis of the ideal spanned by Eq. (26) using Groebner:-Basis in lexicographic order with $t_{6}>t_{5}>$ $t_{4}>t_{3}>t_{1}>t_{2}$, we obtain the input-output equation of Mechanism I as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
9 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}+(-12 \sqrt{10}+24) t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+(-6 \sqrt{10}+21) t_{1}^{2}+ \\
(-28 \sqrt{10}+89) t_{2}^{2}+(44 \sqrt{10}-136) t_{2}-6 \sqrt{10}+21=0 \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting $t_{i}=\left(1+C \theta_{i}\right) / S \theta_{i}(i=1,2)$ into Eq. (27) $)^{2}$ into Eq. (36) and simplifying the resulted equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
10 C \theta_{1} C \theta_{2}-20 C \theta_{1} S \theta_{2}+2 \sqrt{10} C \theta_{2} \\
-4 \sqrt{10} S \theta_{2}+10 C \theta_{1}+5 \sqrt{10}=0 \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

For a given set of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, one can determine $\theta_{i}(i=3,4, \cdots 6)$ by calculating $t_{i}=\cot \left(\theta_{i} / 2\right)$ using the following equations.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t_{3}=-\left[(-2 \sqrt{10}-7) t_{1} t_{2}^{2}+(4 \sqrt{10}+8) t_{1} t_{2}\right. \\
\left.-3 t_{1}+10 t_{2}\right] /\left(3 t_{2}^{2}+8 t_{2}-3\right) \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{4}=\left(2 t_{3}+1\right) /\left(t_{3}+2\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
t_{5}=-\left(2 t_{2}+1\right) /\left(t_{2}-2\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{6}=(2 \sqrt{10}-7) /\left(3 t_{1}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of $\theta_{i}(i=2,3 \cdots 6)$ with $\theta_{1}$ for Mechanism I is shown in Fig. 6. It is observed from Fig. 6 that Mechanism I has two circuits represented in solid and dashed lines respectively and joints 3 and 4 are full-turn $R$ joints. Figures 7 and 8 show the $6 R$ Mechanism I at configurations $A, B$ and $C$ in circuit 1 and configurations $D, E$ and $F$ in circuit 2 respectively. At two configurations in each circuit (see configurations $A$ and $C$ in circuit 1 and configurations $D$ and $F$ in circuit 2), the axes of $R$ joints 1, 3, 4 and 6 are coplanar and the axes of $R$ joints 2 and 5 are perpendicular to the plane defined by the axes of $R$ joints 1, 3, 4 and 6.

From Eq. (28) and Fig. 6(a), we learn that to ensure $\theta_{2}$ has real solutions requires $-2 \pi \leq \theta_{1} \leq-\theta_{1 b},-\theta_{1 a} \leq \theta_{1} \leq \theta_{1 a}$, or $\theta_{1 b} \leq \theta_{1} \leq 2 \pi$. Here $\theta_{1 a}=\arccos (-\sqrt{10} / 8+3 \sqrt{2} / 8)$ and $\theta_{1 b}=\pi-\arccos (\sqrt{10} / 8+3 \sqrt{2} / 8)$. When plotting the $\theta_{6}-\theta_{1}$ curve in Fig. 6(e) using Eq. (32), we must limit $\theta_{1}$ to the above ranges.

Re-calculating the Gröbner basis of the ideal spanned by Eq. (26) using Groebner:-Basis in lexicographic order with $t_{6}>t_{5}>t_{4}>t_{2}>t_{1}>t_{3}, t_{6}>t_{5}>t_{3}>t_{2}>t_{1}>t_{4}$ and $t_{6}>t_{4}>t_{3}>t_{2}>t_{1}>t_{5}$, we can derive the explicit input-output equations between $\theta_{3}\left(\theta_{4}\right.$ or $\left.\theta_{5}\right)$ and $\theta_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 t_{1}^{2} t_{3}^{2}+(-4 \sqrt{10}+8) t_{1} t_{3}^{2}-3 t_{1}^{2}+(-4 \sqrt{10}+8) t_{1} t_{3} \\
+(-2 \sqrt{10}+7) t_{3}^{2}+(-4 \sqrt{10}+8) t_{1}+2 \sqrt{10}-7=0 \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 t_{1}^{2} t_{4}^{2}+(-4 \sqrt{10}+8) t_{1} t_{4}^{2}-3 t_{1}^{2}+(4 \sqrt{10}-8) t_{1} t_{4} \\
+(-2 \sqrt{10}+7) t_{4}^{2}+(-4 \sqrt{10}+8) t_{1}+2 \sqrt{10}-7=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 t_{1}^{2} t_{5}^{2}+(-4 \sqrt{10}-8) t_{1}^{2} t_{5}+(2 \sqrt{10}+7) t_{1}^{2}+3 t_{5}^{2} \\
+(4 \sqrt{10}-8) t_{5}-2 \sqrt{10}+7=0 \tag{35}
\end{array}
$$

[^2]

Fig. 6. Kinematic analysis of Mechanism I: (a) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$, (b) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}$, (c) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{4}$, (d) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{5}$, (e) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{6}$.

(c) Configuration C: $\theta_{1}=\pi$.

Fig. 7. Configurations of Mechanism I in Mode 1.

### 3.2 Mechanism II: Mechanism with two pairs of full-turn R joints

The link parameters of Mechanism II are:
$d_{2}=d_{5}=0, d_{6}=-d_{1}=0, d_{4}=-d_{3}=50$,
$\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=\pi / 2, \alpha_{6}=0, \alpha_{3}=\pi / 2$,
$l_{3}=0, l_{1}=l_{2}=l_{4}=l_{5}=120$, and $l_{6}=130 \sqrt{2}$.


Fig. 8. Configurations of Mechanism I in Mode 2.

As in the case of Mechanism I detailed in Section 3.1, the input-output equation of Mechanism II is derived as

$$
\begin{align*}
14161 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}+(15470 \sqrt{2}-28560) t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+ & (37128 \sqrt{2}-54383) t_{1}^{2}+(-285168 \sqrt{2}+403537) t_{2}^{2} \\
+ & (134290 \sqrt{2}-190800) t_{2}+37128 \sqrt{2}-54383=0 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $t_{i}=\left(1+C \theta_{i}\right) / S \theta_{i}(i=1,2)$ into Eq.(36) and simplifying the resulted equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
312 C \theta_{1} C \theta_{2}-130 C \theta_{1} S \theta_{2}+288 \sqrt{2} C \theta_{2} \\
-120 \sqrt{2} S \theta_{2}+312 C \theta_{1}+169 \sqrt{2}=0 \tag{37}
\end{array}
$$

For a given set of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, one can determine $\theta_{i}$ by calculating $t_{i}=\cot \left(\theta_{i} / 2\right)$ using the following equations.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t_{3}=\left(312 \sqrt{2} t_{1} t_{2}^{2}-130 \sqrt{2} t_{1} t_{2}+457 t_{1} t_{2}^{2}-240 t_{1} t_{2}\right. \\
- \\
\left.-119 t_{1}-338 t_{2}\right) /\left(119 t_{2}^{2}-240 t_{2}-119\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{4}=\left(5 t_{3}+12\right) /\left(12 t_{3}+5\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
t_{5}=-\left(5 t_{2}+12\right) /\left(12 t_{2}-5\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{6}=-(1 / 119)(312 \sqrt{2}-457) / t_{1} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of $\theta_{2}$ with respect to $\theta_{1}$ for Mechanism II is shown in Fig. 9. It is observed that Mechanism II has two circuits shown in solid and dashed lines respectively and joints $1,3,4$ and 6 are full-turn R joints. Figures 10 and 11 show Mechanism II at configurations $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C in circuit 1 and configurations D, E and F in circuit 2 respectively. Like Mechanism I, at two configurations in each circuit (see configurations A and C in circuit 1 and configurations D and F in circuit 2), the axes of $R$ joints $1,3,4$ and 6 of Mechanism II are coplanar and the axes of $R$ joints 2 and 5 are perpendicular to the plane defined by the axes of R joints $1,3,4$ and 6 .


Fig. 9. Kinematic analysis of Mechanism II: (a) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$, (b) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}$, (c) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{4}$, (d) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{5}$, (e) Plot of $\theta_{1}-\theta_{6}$.

(a) Configuration A: $\theta_{1}=0$.

(b) Configuration B: $\theta_{1}=\pi / 2$.

(c) Configuration C: $\theta_{1}=\pi$.

Fig. 10. Configurations of Mechanism II in Mode 1.

In addition, the explicit input-output equations between $\theta_{3}\left(\theta_{4}\right.$ or $\left.\theta_{5}\right)$ and $\theta_{1}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& 119 t_{1}^{2} t_{3}^{2}+(130 \sqrt{2}-240) t_{1} t_{3}^{2}-119 t_{1}^{2}+(624 \sqrt{2}-1152) t_{1} t_{3} \\
& +(312 \sqrt{2}-457) t_{3}^{2}+(130 \sqrt{2}-240) t_{1}-312 \sqrt{2}+457=0 \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 11. Configurations of Mechanism II in Mode 2.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
119 t_{1}^{2} t_{4}^{2}+(130 \sqrt{2}-240) t_{1} t_{4}^{2}-119 t_{1}^{2}+(-624 \sqrt{2}+1152) t_{1} t_{4} \\
+(312 \sqrt{2}-457) t_{4}^{2}+(130 \sqrt{2}-240) t_{1}-312 \sqrt{2}+457=0 \tag{43}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
119 t_{1}^{2} t_{5}^{2}+(130 \sqrt{2}+240) t_{1}^{2} t_{5}+(-312 \sqrt{2}-457) t_{1}^{2}+119 t_{5}^{2} \\
+(-130 \sqrt{2}+240) t_{5}+312 \sqrt{2}-457=0 \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$

## 4 Discussion

From the construction and kinematic analysis of the 6R mechanism, one can observe that from one configuration [Figs. 7(a), 10(a) and 11(a)] of the 6R mechanism, one can obtain another configuration [Figs. 8(c), 10(c) and 11(c)], called a shadow configuration, of the mechanism by simply renumbering the joints from $1,2, \cdots, 6$ to $6,5, \cdots, 1$. Such mechanisms are called two-faced mechanisms. In other words, the configurations of the mechanism appear in pairs and each pair of configurations are identical after renumbering the joints. It is noted that a configuration and its shadow configuration may be in the same circuit [Figs. 7(a) and 8(c)] or different circuits [Figs. 10(a) and 10(c); Figs. 11(a) and 11(c)].

As pointed out in section 2.3.3, this 6 R mechanism can also be derived by merging two plane symmetric Goldberg-5R mechanisms [Fig. 5(b)]. Although a plane symmetric Goldberg-5R mechanism can reach a plane symmetric configuration, only the 6 R mechanisms with two pairs of full-turn R joints can reach a plane symmetric configuration, while the 6 R mechanisms with one pair of full-turn R joints cannot.

The above results have been verified using several mechanism models built using 3D printing. Figure 12 shows the CAD model and 3D-printed prototype of 6R Mechanism II in the configuration shown in Fig. 10(c). It is noted that joints 1 and 6 in this prototype are prevented from full-turn rotation due to interference between links 2 and 4 as well as links 1 and 5 .


Fig. 12. A prototype of 6 R Mechanism II.

It can be proved geometrically or algebraically that the axes of R joints 2 and 5 generally intersect with each other. Therefore, the proposed 6R mechanism has two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes ( 3 and $4 ; 2$ and 5 ) and one pair of $R$ joints with parallel axes (1 and 6). Unlike the Schatz's $6 R$ mechanism, it has one link with intersecting joint axes. In addition, the proposed 6 R mechanism can also be regarded as a variation of the 6 R mechanism that has three pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes [26]. It is noted that the overconstrained 6R mechanism detailed in [26] is also a double-faced mechanism.

Like a double-crank planar four-bar mechanism, Mechanisms I and II can be used as double-crank mechanisms if link 3 is selected as the frame, while Mechanism II can also be used as a double-crank mechanism if link 6 selected as the frame. The observation that joints 3 and 4 are always full-turn $R$ joints is to be proved. Conditions under which joints 1 and 6 become full-turn R joints are still to be identified.

Unlike the mechanisms with certain symmetric characteristics, such as those in [42-44], that are symmetric in any single configuration of the mechanisms, the double-faced mechanism is usually not symmetric at a single configuration of the mechanism.

## 5 Conclusions

A 6R mechanism that has two pairs of $R$ joints with intersecting axes and one pair of $R$ joints with parallel axes has been constructed from an isosceles triangle and a pair of identical circles. The kinematic analysis using a dual quaternion based approach has shown that the 6R mechanism usually has two solutions to the kinematic analysis for a given input and this type of mechanisms may have two circuits (or closure modes) with one or two pairs of full-turn R joints. In two configurations in each circuit of the 6 R mechanism, the axes of four R joints are coplanar, and the axes of the other two R joints are perpendicular to the plane defined by the above four $R$ joints. From one configuration of the 6R mechanism, one can obtain another configuration of the mechanism by simply renumbering the joints. The concept of two-faced mechanism has been introduced.

This work enriches the geometric approach for identifying 6 R overconstrained mechanisms. The formulas for the analysis of plane symmetric spatial triangle will also be useful for the design and analysis of multi-loop overconstrained mechanisms involving plane symmetric spatial RRR triads [45].
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[^0]:    *The original version of this paper was presented at the ASME 2017 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences \& Computers and Information in Engineering Conference, DETC2017-67419, August 7-9, 2017, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are several different notations for dual quaternions in the literature. For example, $g=a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k+\varepsilon\left(c_{0}+c_{1} i+c_{2} j+c_{3} k\right)$ is used in [42].

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ For some polynomial equations, we need to use $t_{i}=C\left(\theta_{i} / 2\right) / S\left(\theta_{i} / 2\right)$ in order to avoid extraneous curve $\theta_{i}=\pi$.

