SHORT-TIME ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS OF SEMILINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

MATTHIAS A. FAHRENWALDT

ABSTRACT. We develop an algebraic approach to constructing short-time asymptotic expansions of solutions of a class of abstract semilinear evolution equations. The expansions are typically valid both for the solution of the equation and its gradient. We apply a perturbation approach based on the symbolic calculus of pseudodifferential operators and heat kernel methods. The construction is explicit and can be done to arbitrary order. All results are rigorously formulated in terms of Banach algebras. As an application we obtain a novel approach to obtain approximate solutions of Markovian backward stochastic differential equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Asymptotic expansions are a well-established tool in the qualitative analysis of partial differential equations (PDEs) in general and (semilinear) evolution equations in particular. Asymptotics are typically used to provide approximate solutions or to examine the short- or long-time behaviour of solutions. However, an asymptotic expansion of the solution may not simultaneously yield information about its gradient which can be a significant drawback of this technique.

We consider abstract semilinear evolution equations of the form

$$\partial_t u(t) = Au(t) + F(t, u(t), T_1u(t), \dots, T_nu(t))$$

$$u(0) = f$$

in a suitable Banach algebra X. The algebraic structure is required to make sense of the nonlinearity expressed by the forcing term F. Here, the T_i are linear operators morally representing differentiation. Our aim is to perturbatively construct asymptotic expansions in X as $t \to 0^+$ of the form $u(t) \sim u_0(t) + u_1(t)t + u_2(t)t^2 + \cdots$ such that also $T_iu(t) \sim T_iu_0(t) + T_iu_1(t)t + T_iu_2(t)t^2 + \cdots$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

To this end we suppose that A generates an analytic semigroup G(t) on X that may be expanded $G(t) \sim G_0(t) + G_1(t)t + G_2(t)t^2 + \cdots$ for families of bounded linear operators G_j , usually induced by a heat kernel expansion. Moreover, we assume that F be analytic in its arguments. Using holomorphic functional calculus in several variables we then recursively construct an asymptotic expansion of the PDE solution. The functions u_i are given recursively as

$$u_0(t) = G_0(t)f,$$

$$u_i(t) = G_i(t)f + \frac{1}{t^i} \int_0^t \varphi_{i-1}(t,s;u_0,\dots,u_{i-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{ for } i \ge 1.$$

Here,

$$\varphi_i(t,s;u_0,\ldots,u_i) = \sum_{j=0}^i (t-s)^j s^{i-j} G_j(t-s) F_{i-j}(s;u_0,\ldots,u_i),$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K58, 36K08, 60H10.

Key words and phrases. Semilinear evolution equation, qualitative studies of PDEs, heat kernel, backward stochastic differential equation.

and the F_k are functions $[0,T] \to X$ which are polynomial in $u_l, T_j u_l$ (l = 0, 1, ..., k)and j = 1, ..., n with coefficients given in terms of derivatives of F; they can be explicitly constructed.

Typical spaces to which our perturbation ansatz applies are uniform function spaces or Sobolev spaces on certain bounded domains.

The reason for making the analyticity assumption is as follows. One could naïvely apply the multivariate Taylor theorem to $F(t, u(t), T_1u(t), \ldots, T_nu(t))$ exploiting the multiplicative structure of X. However, this does not necessarily yield an asymptotic expansion of both u and T_iu which is valid in X as $t \to 0^+$ since the error term in Taylor's theorem may not be controllable in terms of the norm on X.

Studying qualitative approximations of PDE solutions via asymptotics is motivated both from a pure and applied mathematics perspective. On the pure side we want to understand the 'mechanics' of the solution and see how it depends on the data: initial condition, forcing term, geometry of the underlying manifold. Many problems in applied mathematics, e.g. financial mathematics, are formulated as backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) which can be recast as semilinear PDEs in the Markovian case. Short-time approximate solutions are important for example in the pricing of options near expiry as they allow to infer data (e.g., volatility) from observed market prices; the gradient of the solution is economically crucial as its expresses the hedging strategy.

Short-time asymptotic expansions for linear PDEs can be constructed by heat kernel methods. This is widely applied in pure (e.g., differential geometry [3]) and applied branches such as theoretical physics [30] and financial mathematics [11, 15]. We do not know of a translation of this approach to nonlinear PDEs.

We illustrate the framework in two examples highlighting the simultaneous approximation of the function and its gradient:

- (i) a parabolic semilinear evolution equation with quadratic forcing term on a bounded domain; and
- (ii) we exploit the link with Markovian BSDEs to derive simple and explicit shorttime asymptotics for stochastic processes that are BSDE solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. The following section introduces the notation for function spaces and collects preliminaries on Banach algebras and topological tensor products. Section 3 describes the setup, states and motivates the assumptions and gives the key results. These are proved in the subsequent section. In §5 we illustrate the framework in examples.

2. Preliminaries

To make the paper largely self-contained we collect some background material.

Function spaces, cf. [1]. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial \Omega$ its boundary and $\overline{\Omega}$ its closure in the standard topology of \mathbb{R}^n .

We denote by $C(\Omega)$ the linear space of bounded continuous functions $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, this is a metric spaces under the usual supremum norm $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|$.

For a given Banach space X with norm $||\cdot||_X$ we denote the set of continuous functions $[0,T] \to X$ by C([0,T];X). If $X = \mathbb{R}$ we simply write C([0,T]). This space can also be turned into a Banach space under the norm $||f|| = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||f(t)||_X$. Note that $C([0,T];C(\Omega)) \subseteq C([0,T] \times \Omega)$ with strict inclusion for Ω unbounded.

We call an *n*-tuple of nonnegative integers $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ a multiindex. For $D_j = \partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ and a multiindex α we define $D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ to be a differential operator of order $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. By $D = (D_1, \ldots, D_n)$ we denote the gradient operator.

For k a nonnegative integer we let $C^k(\Omega)$ be the space of complex-valued k times continuously differentiable functions with norm $||f||_{C^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \frac{1}{|\alpha|!} ||D^{\alpha}f||_{\infty}$. This norm turns $C^k(\Omega)$ into an algebra under pointwise operations, $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ becomes a Banach algebra. Similarly we denote by $C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \Omega)$ the space of functions $[0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that are once continuously differentiable with respect to $t \in [0,T]$ and twice with respect to $x \in \Omega$.

For open $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we denote by O(U) the algebra of holomorphic functions on U. If $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ with K_i compact, then O(U) becomes a Fréchet algebra under the seminorms $p_j(f) = \sup_{z \in K_j} |f(z)|$.

The Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\Omega)$ are defined as the equivalence classes of measurable real- or complex-valued functions f on Ω such that $||f||_p = \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx$ is finite.

Banach algebras, cf. [2]. We denote the set of linear operators $T : X \to Y$ between Banach spaces X and Y by $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ or simply by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ if T is an endomorphism of X. The norm of such an operator is denoted by $||T||_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}$ or simply by ||T|| if there is no danger of confusion. The complexification of a Banach algebra X is defined by $X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The algebra $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Banach algebra under the usual multiplication

$$(a_1 + ib_1)(a_2 + ib_2) = (a_1a_2 - b_1b_2) + i(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)$$

and norm

$$||a + ib||^2_{X_{\mathbb{C}}} = ||a||^2_X + ||b||^2_X.$$

If X is a unital Banach algebra, the so is $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ with unit $1 = 1_{X_{\mathbb{C}}} = 1_X + i0$. Denote the spectrum of an element $a \in X_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\operatorname{Sp}(a) = \{a \in X_{\mathbb{C}} : a \text{ is not invertible in } X_{\mathbb{C}}\}$. The resolvent set $\rho(a)$ is the complement of $\operatorname{Sp}(a)$ in \mathbb{C} .

Analytic semigroups, cf. [19]. For an unbounded operator A on a Banach space X let $D(A) \subset X$ be the domain of A. An operator $A : D(A) \to X$ is called sectorial if there are constants $\omega \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in (\pi/2, \pi), M > 0$ such that

- (i) the angular domain $S_{\theta,\omega} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq \omega, |\arg(\lambda \omega)| < \theta\}$ is a subset of the resolvent set $\rho(A)$; and
- (ii) the operator norm of the resolvent of A obeys the bound $||(\lambda 1 A)^{-1}||_{\mathcal{B}(X)} \le M/|\lambda \omega|$ for $\lambda \in S_{\theta,\omega}$.

A sectorial operator yields an *analytic semigroup* G(t) on X by the Dunford integral

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\omega + \gamma_{r,\eta}} e^{t\lambda} (\lambda 1 - A)^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

where $r > 0, \eta \in (\pi/2, \theta)$ and $\gamma_{r,\eta}$ is the curve $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg \lambda| = \eta, |\lambda| \ge r\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg \lambda| \le \eta, |\lambda| = r\}$ oriented counterclockwise. The operator A is the *in-finitesimal generator* of the semigroup G(t). To a semigroup G(t) with infinitesimal generator A we associate *intermediate spaces* $D_A(\alpha, p) = \{x \in X : t \mapsto ||t^{1-\alpha-1/p}AG(t)x|| \in L^p(0,1)\}$, where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$.

Topological tensor products, cf. [13]. For E, F vector spaces over \mathbb{C} we denote the algebraic tensor product by $E \otimes F$. It is a vector space spanned by finite linear combinations $\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \otimes f_i$ for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, e_i \in E, f_i \in F$. If E, F are Fréchet spaces with seminorms p_i and q_j , respectively, then there are seminorms r_{ij} on $E \otimes F$ defined as

$$r_{ij}(g) = \inf\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_i(e_k) q_j(f_k) \middle| g = \sum_{k=1}^{N} e_k \otimes f_k \right\}.$$

The completion of $E \otimes F$ under the topology induced by these seminorms is the *projective tensor product* denoted by $E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F$. Any $g \in E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F$ can be (non-uniquely) written as

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_k \otimes f_k$$

with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| \leq 1$ and $e_k, f_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ in E and F, respectively, cf. [13], Theorem 1 of Chapter 1 §1.

The projective tensor product has the following universal property (the same result can be extended to the tensor product of finitely many spaces.)

Proposition 2.1 ([13], Proposition 1 of Chapter 1). Let E, F be Fréchet spaces and let $E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F$ be their projective tensor product. Then for every Fréchet space Gand every bilinear map $\Phi : E \times F \to G$ there is a unique linear map $\overline{\Phi} : E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F \to G$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{cccc} E \times F & \stackrel{\Phi}{\longrightarrow} & G \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \overline{\Phi} \\ & & E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F \end{array}$$

where the map $E \times F \to E \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} F$ is the canonical inclusion.

3. Statement of the key result

We motivate and state the key results of this paper. Our notion of an asymptotic expansion is as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let T > 0 and let f be a function on [0, T] with values in a Banach space X. A formal series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(t)t^k$ where the a_k are bounded functions $[0, T] \to X$ is called an *asymptotic expansion for* f *in* X *near* t = 0 if for each positive integer m there is a constant c_m with

$$\left| \left| f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k(t) t^k \right| \right|_E \le c_m t^{m+1}$$

for all sufficiently small t. We then write $f(t) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(t) t^k$ in X as $t \to 0^+$.

Now let X be a Banach space. We consider a semilinear autonomous evolution equation in X of the form

$$\frac{\partial_t u(t)}{u(0)} = Au(t) + F(t, u(t), T_1 u(t), \dots, T_n u(t))$$

$$u(0) = f$$

$$(1)$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq X \to X$ is a linear operator, $F : [0,T] \times X^{n+1} \to X$ and $T_j : D(T_j) \subseteq X \to X$ are linear, more detailed assumptions are to follow.

Remark 3.2. All assumptions and results carry over to the non-autonomous case when A depends on time t by replacing semigroups with evolution systems.

If the operator A generates an analytic semigroup G(t) on X, we can convert the PDE (1) into the integral equation

$$u(t) = G(t)f + \int_0^t G(t-s)F(s, u, T_1u, \dots, T_nu) \,\mathrm{d}s$$
 (2)

by Duhamel's principle (variation of constants). A solution of this equation is called a *mild solution* of the evolution equation (1).

To fix ideas and to motivate assumptions consider the following simple example.

Example 3.3. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial_t u &=& \Delta u + F(t, u, D_1 u, \dots, D_n u) & \text{ on } (0, T] \times \Omega \\ u &=& 0 & & \text{ on } (0, T] \times \partial \Omega \\ u &=& f & & \text{ on } \{0\} \times \Omega \end{array} \right\}$$
(3)

for some T > 0 with Δ the Laplace operator $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}^{2}$. Clearly, Δ is sectorial and generates an analytic semigroup G(t) in the Banach space $X = C(\overline{\Omega})$, cf. Chapter 3.1.5 in [19]. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open set such that the range of $(f, D_{1}f, \ldots, D_{n}f)$ is contained in U^{n+1} . The function $F : [0, T] \times \overline{\Omega} \times U \times U^{n}$ is assumed to be smooth in its arguments. By [29], Proposition 3.1 the PDE has a unique (mild) solution in $C([0, T_0]; C^1(\overline{\Omega}))$ for some $T_0 > 0$.

The aim is now to derive asymptotics of u on small time intervals by making a perturbation approach rigorous: formally assume that $u \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t)t^i$ and also that $G(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} G_i(t)t^i$. Then expand the left and right hand sides of (2) and match the terms according to their order in t. The key problem is to expand $F(t, u, T_1u, \ldots, T_nu)$ which requires a multiplicative structure in the space X.

To make this paper self-contained we begin with assumptions that guarantee the existence of an analytic semigroup with infinitesimal generator A and the existence of a mild solution of the PDE (1).

Hypothesis 3.4 (Existence of a short-time solution). Assume the following.

- (i) Semigroup generation: X is a Banach space and $A: D(A) \to X$ is a linear sectorial operator.
- (ii) Intermediate space: there is an $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and a Banach space X_{α} such that (a) the injection $i: X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$ is continuous;
 - (b) X_{α} is an intermediate space, i.e. $D_A(\alpha, 1) \subseteq X_{\alpha} \subseteq D_A(\alpha, \infty)$;
 - (c) the restriction of A to X_{α} is sectorial.
- (iii) Forcing term F:
 - (a) the map $F : [0,T] \times X^{n+1} \to X$ is a continuous function such that for every R > 0 there is a constant L with

$$||F(t, x, T_1 x, \dots, T_n x) - F(t, y, T_1 y, \dots, T_n y)||_X \le L||x - y||_X$$

- for $||x||_X, ||y||_X \le R;$
- (b) the linear operators $T_i: X_{\alpha} \to X$ are bounded.

In Example 3.3, we have $X = C(\overline{\Omega})$, $\alpha = 1/2$, $X_{\alpha} = C^{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{u \in C^{1}(\overline{\Omega}) : u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ and the T_{j} are given by the differential operators D_{j} .

The assumptions give us a unique mild solution of (1).

Proposition 3.5 ([19], Theorems 7.1.2 and 7.1.3). Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let $\overline{D(A)}^{\alpha}$ be the closure of the domain of A in the space X_{α} . Then under Hypothesis 3.4 for every $f \in \overline{D(A)}^{\alpha}$, the evolution equation (1) has a unique mild solution $u \in C([0,T_0]; X) \cap C([0,T_0]; X_{\alpha})$ for some $T_0 > 0$.

We now impose additional hypotheses to construct asymptotic expansions.

Hypothesis 3.6 (Existence of short-time asymptotics). Let u be the unique mild solution of (1).

(i) Algebra: the space X is a unital commutative Banach algebra.

(ii) Heat kernel expansion: there is an asymptotic expansion of G(t) of the form

$$G(t) \sim G_0(t) + G_1(t)t + G_2(t)t^2 + \dots,$$

in $\mathcal{B}(X, X_{\alpha})$ as $t \to 0^+$.

(iii) Functional calculus: the function F belongs to $C([0,T])\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}O(U)$ where $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is an open neighbourhood of both $\operatorname{Sp}(u) \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_1u) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_nu)$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(u_0) \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_1u_0) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_nu_0)$ with u the solution of (1) and $u_0 = G_0f$ (all spectra in $X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$).

A word of motivation and comment on the hypotheses.

(i) Algebra: The Banach algebra X is typically realized as a uniform space. However, the framework is also covers spaces of Hölder continuous functions and Sobolev spaces on bounded domains that satisfy the cone condition, cf. [1], Chapter 4. (ii) Heat kernel expansion: The operators G, G_j are smoothing $X \to X_{\alpha}$ so as to yield a mild solution in X_{α} .

The existence of a heat kernel expansion typically hinges on A being uniformly elliptic and having smooth coefficients. Non-uniformly elliptic operators with heat kernel expansions include the Laplacian on hyperbolic space, sub-elliptic operators, and Kolmogorov operators, cf. [5].

The terms G_j can be obtained for heat kernels on compact manifolds with or without boundary in various ways, e.g. by the Levi parametrix method [3, 22] or pseudodifferential operator techniques [12, 28].

We obtain a simple perturbation approach by setting $G_0(t) = G(t)$ and $G_j(t) = 0$ for $j \ge 1$.

(iii) Functional calculus: The first impulse would be to use a formal Taylor series for F. However, this will not yield an asymptotic expansion of u in X as the remainder term in Taylor's theorem gives estimates in the supremum norm which may not be controllable by the norm in X (consider the case of Sobolev spaces). Hence we require that F be analytic so as to use holomorphic functional calculus.

The space in which F lives looks unusual. However, just revert to Example 3.3: without loss of generality, $F \in C([0,T] \times \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{U} \times \overline{U}^n)$ which is isomorphic to $C([0,T]) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} C(\overline{\Omega}) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} C(\overline{U}^{n+1})$. Thus, condition (iii) of Hypothesis 3.6 is the natural generalization of the example to the situation that allows holomorphic functional calculus.

Loosely speaking (this is made precise in §4.3) the action of F on X_{α} and X is constructed as follows. Any $F \in C([0,T]) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} O(U)$ can be represented as

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j \otimes y_j \otimes g_j$$

with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_j \in C([0,T])$, $y_j \in X$ and $g_j \in O(U)$. We thus set by abuse of notion $F(t, x, T_1x, \ldots, T_nx) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(t) y_j g_j(x, T_1x, \ldots, T_nx)$ where the maps $g_j(x, T_1x, \ldots, T_nx)$ are defined by functional calculus on X.

The main result of this paper now reads

Theorem 3.7. Let $u \in C([0,T]; X_{\alpha})$ be a mild solution of (1). Then under Hypotheses 3.4 and 3.6 the following holds:

(i) There are functions $u_i \in C([0, T_0]; X_\alpha)$ such that

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t) t^i$$

in X_{α} as $t \to 0^+$. The functions u_i are given recursively as

$$u_0(t) = G_0(t)f$$

$$u_i(t) = G_i(t)f + \frac{1}{t^i} \int_0^t \varphi_{i-1}(t,s;u_0,\dots,u_{i-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } i \ge 1$$

Here,

$$\varphi_i(t,s;u_0,\ldots,u_i) = \sum_{j=0}^i (t-s)^j s^{i-j} G_j(t-s) F_{i-j}(s;u_0,\ldots,u_i),$$

and the F_k are functions $[0,T] \to X$ which are polynomial in u_l , $T_j u_l$ $(l = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n)$ with coefficients given in terms of derivatives of F. The F_k can be explicitly constructed with lowest order terms given by

$$u_0(t) = G_0(t)f$$

$$u_1(t) = G_1(t)f + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t G_0(s)F(u_0(s), T_1u_0(s), \dots, T_nu_0(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

(ii) The images of u under the T_j (j = 1, ..., n) have the asymptotic expansion

$$T_j u(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (T_j u_i)(t) t^i$$

which is valid in X as $t \to 0^+$.

Note that each term u_i is of the same structure as (2), in that it is given as an action on the initial datum plus a time integral over a forcing term. If $G_i(t)$ is an analytic semigroup, then u_i satisfies a PDE obtained by converting the integral equation for u_i into a PDE.

An important special case of the above expansion is given by setting $G_0 = G$ and $G_i = 0$ for $i \ge 1$. The expansion then becomes $u_0 = Gf$ and

$$u_i(t) = \frac{1}{t^i} \int_0^t s^{i-1} G(s) F_{i-1}(s; u_0, \dots, u_{i-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(4)

This leads to a coarser asymptotic expansion than in the sense that each u_i is composed of fewer terms than in the general case. Also, u_0 is a mild solution of the linear PDE

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t v &=& Av \\ v(0) &=& f, \end{array} \right\}$$

and for every $i \ge 1$ the function $t^i u_i(t)$ is the mild solution of the PDE

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial_t v &=& Av + F_{i-1}(t; u_0, \dots, u_{i-1}) \\ v(0) &=& 0, \end{array} \right\}$$
 (5)

which follows from (4) by standard semigroup methods.

The F_k can be formally obtained by expanding $F(t, x, z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ as a Taylor series in the variables z_0, \ldots, z_n , replacing z_0 by $\sum u_i(t)t^i$, the z_j by the formal series $\sum T_j u_i(t)t^i$ for $j \ge 1$ and then collecting terms according to the order in t after multiplying out.

4. Proof of the key result

The heart of the proof is to define $F(t, T_1u(t), \ldots, T_nu(t))$ by holomorphic functional calculus via a Cauchy-type formula and then use methods from the symbolic calculus of pseudodifferential operators [18].

As the holomorphic functional calculus requires the underlying Banach algebra to be over \mathbb{C} , we work in $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ from now on. By abuse of notation, we write X but always mean the complexified algebra. We denote by 1 the unit of X.

4.1. Asymptotic calculus. We first develop a basic calculus of asymptotic expansions in the sense of Definition 3.1 comprising products, formal inverses and the action of bounded operators.

Lemma 4.1 (Product expansions). Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be functions $[0,T] \to X$ such that there are functions x_{i,k_i} with

$$x_i(t) \sim \sum_{k_i=0}^{\infty} x_{i,k_i}(t) t^{k_i}$$

in X as $t \to 0^+$. Then there are functions $b_k : [0,T] \to X$ given by

$$b_k(t) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_n = k} x_{1,k_1}(t) x_{2,k_2}(t) \dots x_{n,k_n}(t)$$

such that

$$x_1(t)x_2(t)\dots x_n(t) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(t)t^k$$

in X as $t \to 0^+$.

Proof. Given $m \in N$ we have by the assumption that for every $N \ge m$ we have

$$\left\| x_i(t) - \sum_{k_i=0}^{N} x_{i,k_i}(t) t^{k_i} \right\|_X \le c_m^i t^{m+1}$$
(6)

for some constants $c_m^{(i)}$. Then (upon omitting the *t*-dependence) we have

$$x_{1} \dots x_{n} - \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{k} t^{k} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[x_{i} - \sum_{k_{i}=0}^{N} x_{i,k_{i}} t^{k_{i}} + \sum_{k_{i}=0}^{N} x_{i,k_{i}} t^{k_{i}} \right] - \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{k} t^{k}$$
$$= R + \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{k_{i}=0}^{N} x_{i,k_{i}} t^{k_{i}} \right] - \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{k} t^{k}.$$
(7)

Here, R is a sum of products where each product contains at least one factor of the form $x_j - \sum_{k_j=0}^N x_{j,k_j} t^{k_j}$. By (6), the norm of R is bounded by $c_{m,1}t^{m+1}$ for some $C_{m,1} \in \mathbb{R}$. By construction of the b_k , the difference in (7) is also bounded in norm by $c_{m,2}t^{m+1}$ for some $c_{m,2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\left| \left| x_1(t) \dots x_n(t) - \sum_{k=0}^N b_k(t) t^k \right| \right|_X \le c_m t^{m+1}$$

for a constant c_m .

Lemma 4.2 (Inverses). Suppose $u(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t)t^i$ in X as $t \to 0^+$ and choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Sp}(u_0(t))$ for any $t \in [0,T]$. Then there are universal polynomials $p_{i,j}$ such that setting

$$v_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{i,j}(u_1(t), \dots, u_i(t))(\lambda - u_0(t))^{-(j+1)}$$
(8)

leads to $(\lambda - u(t))^{-1} \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i(t) t^i$ in X as $t \to 0^+$.

Proof. Start with the relation between formal power series

$$\left(\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t)t^i\right) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i(t)t^i = 1$$
(9)

to derive formulae for the v_j . We have by considering i = 0 that

$$v_0 = (\lambda - u_0(t))^{-1}$$

in the lowest order. Moreover, requiring that all other terms in the formal series (9) vanish, we have for $i \ge 1$ that

$$(\lambda - u_0)v_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i} u_j v_{i-j} = 0,$$
$$v_i = (\lambda - u_0)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} u_j v_{i-j}.$$

The result follows by induction.

or

To check that this really yields an asymptotic expansion of $(\lambda - u)^{-1}$ we let $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \ge n$ and consider (all norms in X)

$$\begin{split} \left\| (\lambda - u)^{-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j}(t) t^{j} \right\| \\ &= \left\| (\lambda - u)^{-1} \left(1 - (\lambda - u) \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j}(t) t^{j} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| (\lambda - u)^{-1} \right\| \times \\ &\left\| 1 - \left[(\lambda - u) - \left(\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_{i}(t) t^{i} \right) + \left(\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_{i}(t) t^{i} \right) \right] \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j}(t) t^{j} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| (\lambda - u)^{-1} \right\| (S_{1} + S_{2}), \end{split}$$

where

$$S_{1} = \left\| u - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} u_{i}(t)t^{i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j}(t)t^{j} \right) \right\|$$
$$S_{2} = \left\| 1 - \left(\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_{i}(t)t^{i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{j}(t)t^{j} \right) \right\|.$$

The term $||u - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i(t)t^i||$ can be bounded by $c_{m+1}t^{m+1}$ by assumption on the u. By construction of the v_j , the product $(\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i(t)t^i) \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_j(t)t^j$ is of the form

1 + (terms of order n + 1 in t).

Hence we find

$$\left| \left| (\lambda - u(t))^{-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} v_j(t) t^j \right| \right|_X \le C_{n+1} ||(\lambda - u(t))^{-1}||_X t^{n+1}$$

for some constant C_{n+1} . Since we assumed that $\lambda - u(t)$ was invertible for any t, inversion is a continuous map and since t belongs to a compact interval, this norm can be bounded above.

Lemma 4.3 (Action of bounded operators). Suppose that $u(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t)t^i$ in X_{α} as $t \to 0^+$. Then an asymptotic expansion of $T_j u$ is given by $T_j u(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_j u_i(t) t^i$ in X as $t \to 0^+$.

Proof. Since the u_i are an asymptotic expansion of u we have for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\left| \left| u(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i(t) t^i \right| \right|_{X_{\alpha}} \le c_m t^{m+1}$$

for some $c_m \in \mathbb{R}$. This means

$$\left| \left| T_{j}u(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} T_{j}u_{i}(t)t^{i} \right| \right|_{X} \leq ||T_{j}||c_{m}t^{m+1}|$$

and the claim follows from the boundedness of T_j on X_{α} .

4.2. Holomorphic functional calculus. Recall the holomorphic functional calculus in several variables.

Theorem 4.4 ([2], Theorem 9.3). Let X be a commutative unital Banach algebra, let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in X^n$, and let U be an open neighbourhood of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{x})$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Then there is a continuous, unital homomorphism $\Theta_{\mathbf{x}}^U : O(U) \to X$ such that

- (i) $\Theta_{\mathbf{x}}^{U}(Z_j) = x_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n; \text{ and}$ (ii) $\varphi\left(\Theta_{\mathbf{x}}^{U}(f)\right) = f\left(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n)\right)$

for every $f \in O(U)$ and every character $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{C}$. Here, Z_j denotes the projection $Z_j(\mathbf{x}) = x_j.$

The homomorphism $\Theta_{\mathbf{x}}^U$ is defined by Dunford integrals

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{x}}^{U}(f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \dots \int_{\Gamma_{n}} f(z)(z_{1}1 - x_{1})^{-1} \dots (z_{n}1 - x_{n})^{-1} dz_{1} \dots dz_{n}$$

where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and Γ_i is the circle $\{|z_i - w_i| = r_i\}$ with r_i chosen so that $\operatorname{Sp}(x_i)$ is contained in the disc $B(w_i, r_i)$ for some $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$. This means that $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{x})$ is contained in the open polydisc $B(w_1, r_1) \times \ldots \times B(w_n, r_n)$.

4.3. Definition and properties of the forcing term. We now make sense of the forcing term F using holomorphic functional calculus. To this end, start with a function $\tilde{F} \in C([0,T])\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}O(U)$ with U as in Hypothesis 3.6.

Define two linear evaluation maps: first for $t \in [0, T]$ we set

$$\begin{array}{rccc} Ev_t: & C([0,T]) & \to & \mathbb{C}, \\ & f & \mapsto & f(t), \end{array}$$

and for $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_{\alpha} \times X^n$ we set

$$\begin{array}{rccc} Ev_{\mathbf{x}}: & O(U) & \to & X, \\ & f & \mapsto & \Theta^U_{(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_n)}(f) \end{array}$$

with functional calculus in X using the fact that X_{α} is a subalgebra of X.

To define the forcing term $F : [0,T] \times X_{\alpha} \times X^n \to X$ pointwise choose (t, \mathbf{x}) with $t \in [0,T]$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_{\alpha} \times X^n$. Define a multilinear continuous map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi_{(t,\mathbf{x})}: & C([0,T]) \times X \times O(U) & \to & X \\ & (f,y,g) & \mapsto & Ev_t(f) \cdot y \cdot Ev_{\mathbf{x}}(g), \end{array}$$

with product in X. By the universal property of the projective tensor product (Proposition 2.1) there is a well-defined linear map $\overline{\Phi_{(t,\mathbf{x})}} : C([0,T]) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} O(U) \rightarrow X$. Now let F act as

$$F: [0,T] \times X_{\alpha} \times X^{n} \to X$$
$$(t,x_{0},x_{1},\ldots,x_{n}) \mapsto \overline{\Phi_{(t,\mathbf{x})}}(\widetilde{F}).$$

The above definition ties in with the intuitive understanding of the action of F on X. Recall from the properties of the projective tensor product that any $\widetilde{F} \in C([0,T])\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}O(U)$ can be represented as

$$\widetilde{F} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j \otimes y_j \otimes g_j$$

with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_j \in C([0,T])$, $y_j \in X$ and $g_j \in O(U)$. Moreover, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \leq \infty$ and f_j, y_j, g_j tend to 0 as $j \to \infty$ in their respective spaces. Thus, by the above construction we have

$$F(t, x, T_1 x, \dots, T_n x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(t) \, y_j \, \Theta^U_{(x_0, T_1 x, \dots, T_n x)}(g_j)$$

with products taken in X.

Remark 4.5. A direct calculation shows that the map F as just defined is continuous: if $x, x' \in X_{\alpha}$ with $||x||_{X_{\alpha}}, ||x'||_{X_{\alpha}} \leq R$, then there is a constant c_R such that $||F(t,x) - F(t,x')||_X \leq c_R ||x - x'||_{X_{\alpha}}$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. This shows that the analyticity assumption in Hypothesis 3.6(iii) implies the Lipschitz assumption of Hypothesis 3.4(iii).

4.4. Expansion of the Duhamel formula. The integral equation (2) is the starting point for the construction of the asymptotic expansion of u. Recall that our approach works as follows:

- (i) expand u formally in X_{α} as $u \sim u_0(t) + u_1(t)t + u_2(t)t^2 + \dots$;
- (ii) expand the terms on the right hand side of (2) via holomorphic functional calculus in X and after the application of G(t) in X_{α} ;
- (iii) match coefficients of the same order in t; and
- (iv) prove that the resulting formal series is an asymptotic expansion in X_{α} .

Expansion of G(t)f. By Hypothesis 3.6 assumption (ii) we can expand

$$G(t) \sim G_0(t) + tG_1(t) + t^2G_2(t) + \dots$$

in $\mathcal{B}(X, X_{\alpha})$. We then have

Lemma 4.6. Define $f_i(t) = G_i(t)f$. Then $G(t)f \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(t)t^i$ is an asymptotic expansion in X_{α} as $t \to 0^+$.

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the difference of G(t,0)f and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(t)t^i$ in X.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left| G(t)f - \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i}(t)t^{i} \right| \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} &= \left\| \left| G(t)f - \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i}G_{i}(t)f \right| \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &= \left\| \left| \left(G(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i}G_{i}(t) \right)f \right| \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \left\| \left| G(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i}G_{i}(t) \right| \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,X_{\alpha})} \| f \|_{X_{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

As $G(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} G_i(t)^i$ in the operator norm, the result follows.

Expansion of the integral. Next we consider the integral in (2). We first construct an asymptotic expansion of $F(t, u(t), T_1u(t), \ldots, T_nu(t))$ by a method similar to the symbol calculus of pseudo-differential operators. The idea is to find a formal inverse

$$v \sim v_0(t) + v_1(t)t + v_2(t)t^2 + \dots$$

to $\lambda - u$, i.e. $(\lambda - u) \cdot v = 1$ in X[t] and then use holomorphic functional calculus to define $F(t, u(t), T_1u(t), \ldots, T_nu(t)))$ by the Cauchy integral formula. Let $\lambda \notin$ $\operatorname{Sp}(u_0)$, determine the formal product in $X_{\alpha}[t]$ in the relation

$$\left(\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t)t^i\right) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i(t)t^i = 1$$
(10)

and collect terms according to order in t.

In our case, this yields the desired asymptotic expansion for F as follows. Define the function $F(t, u(t), T_1u(t), \ldots, T_nu(t))$ as

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} F(t,z)(z_0 1 - u)^{-1} (z_1 1 - T_1 u)^{-1} \dots (z_n 1 - T_n u)^{-1} dz_0 \dots dz_n$$

for Γ a suitable contour in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} surrounding $\operatorname{Sp}(u(t)) \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_1u(t)) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_nu(t))$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(u_0(t)) \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_1u_0(t)) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(T_nu_0(t))$.

Setting $v^{(i)}(t) = (z_i 1 - u^{(i)}(t))^{-1}$ for $u^{(0)} = u$ and $u^{(i)} = T_i u$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have constructed an asymptotic expansion for $v^{(0)}(t) \dots v^{(n)}(t)$ in the form $\sum b_k(t)t^k$. The proofs of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.1 showed that $v_j^{(i)}$ is of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} \text{polynomial} \left(u_0^{(i)}, \dots, u_j^{(i)} \right) \left(z_i 1 - u_0^{(i)} \right)^{-(k+1)}$$

and hence the b_k were products of such expansions. Thus, the definition

$$F_k(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} F(t,z) b_k(t) \, \mathrm{d}z_0 \dots \mathrm{d}z_n$$

makes sense as a Cauchy integral. Also, we have $v_0^{(i)}(t) = \left(z_i 1 - u_0^{(i)}\right)^{-1}$ so that

$$F_0(t) = F(t, u_0, T_1 u_0, \dots, T_n u_0)$$
(11)

in the lowest order.

We are now ready to iteratively construct an asymptotic expansion for F.

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and there are constants $c_m^{(i)}$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$\left\| u(t) - \sum_{k_0=0}^m u_{k_0}^{(0)}(t) t^{k_0} \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \le c_m^{(0)} t^{m+1}$$

and

$$\left| \left| T_i u(t) - \sum_{k_i=0}^m u_{k_i}^{(i)}(t) t^{k_i} \right| \right|_X \le c_m^{(i)} t^{m+1}.$$

Then for $N \ge m$ we have

$$\left\| F(t, u(t), T_1 u(t), \dots, T_n u(t)) - \sum_{k=0}^N F_k(t) t^k \right\|_X \le c t^{m+1}$$

for some constant c > 0.

Proof. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| F(t, u(t)), T_{1}u(t), \dots, T_{n}u(t)) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} F_{k}(t)t^{k} \right\|_{X} \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} F(t, z)(z_{0}1 - u(t))^{-1} \dots (z_{n}1 - T_{n}u(t))^{-1} dz_{0} \dots dz_{n} \right\|_{X} \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} F(t, z) \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{k}(t) dz_{0} \dots dz_{n} \right\|_{X} \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} F(t, z) R(u(t); z_{0}, \dots, z_{n}) dz_{0} \dots dz_{n} \right\|_{X} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} |F(t, z)| \left\| R(u(t); z_{0}, \dots, z_{n}) \right\|_{X} dz_{0} \dots dz_{n} \\ &\leq d_{m} t^{m+1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma} |F(t, z)| dz_{0} \dots dz_{n}, \end{aligned}$$

for a constant d_m and

$$R(u(t); z_0, \dots, z_n) = (z_0 1 - u(t))^{-1} \dots (z_n 1 - T_n u(t))^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^N b_k(t).$$

As Γ is compact and F is continuous on $[0,T] \times \Gamma$ the result follows.

Now consider the integral
$$\int_0^t G(s,t)F(s,u(s),T_1u(s),\ldots,T_nu(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s$$
. We have
seen that G introduces additional terms in the asymptotic expansion. Formally, if
 $F(s) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty s^j F_j(s)$ and $G(t-s) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (t-s)^j G_j(t-s)$ then

$$G(t-s)F(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{j} (t-s)^{i} s^{j-i} G_{i}(t-s)F_{j-i}(s)$$

where we treat t - s and s as being the same order. Set

$$\varphi_j(t,s) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} (t-s)^i s^{j-i} G_i(t-s) F_{j-i}(s)$$

for $s \ge 0$. Then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j$ can be thought of as an asymptotic expansion of GF: Lemma 4.8. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is a $c_m > 0$ with

$$\left| \left| u(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i(z) z^i \right| \right|_{X_{\alpha}} \le c_m t^{m+1}.$$

Then for $N \ge m$ we have

$$\left| \left| G(t-s)F(s) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \varphi_j(t,s) \right| \right|_{X_{\alpha}} \le c_m t^{m+1}$$

for a constant $c_m > 0$.

Not that through F_j , each φ_j is a function

$$\varphi_j = \varphi_j(t,s;u_0,\ldots,u_j,T_1u_0,\ldots,T_1u_j,\ldots,T_nu_0,\ldots,T_nu_j)$$

of the u_0, \ldots, u_j and the $T_k u_0, \ldots, T_k u_j$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

12

Proof. We have for $0 \le s \le t$ that

$$\begin{split} \left\| G(t-s)F - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varphi_{j} \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &= \left\| \left(G(t-s) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} G_{j}(t-s)(t-s)^{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} G_{j}(t-s)(t-s)^{j} \right) \right. \\ &\times \left(F - \sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j}s^{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j}s^{j} \right) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varphi_{j} \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(G(t-s) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} G_{j}(t-s)(t-s)^{j} \right) \left(F - \sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j}s^{j} \right) \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &+ \left\| \left(G(t-s) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} G_{j}(t-s)(t-s)^{j} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j}s^{j} \right) \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &+ \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} G_{j}(t-s)(t-s)^{j} \right) \left(F - \sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j}s^{j} \right) \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &+ \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} G_{j}(t-s)(t-s)^{j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j}s^{j} \right) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varphi_{j} \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \end{split}$$

By our previous results and by construction of the φ_j , each of the summands is bounded by t^{m+1} .

We note the immediate

Corollary 4.9. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is a $c_m > 0$ with

$$\left| \left| u(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i(z) z^i \right| \right|_{X_{\alpha}} \le c_m t^{m+1}.$$

Then for $n \ge m$ we have

$$\left\| \left\| \int_0^t G(t-s)F(s,u(s),T_1u(s),\ldots,T_nu(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \sum_{j=0}^n \varphi_j \,\mathrm{d}s \right\| \right\|_{X_\alpha} \le c_m t^{m+2}$$

for a constant $c_m > 0$.

So formally, we have constructed an asymptotic expansion

$$\int_{0}^{t} G(t-s)F(s,u(s),T_{1}u(s),\ldots,T_{n}u(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

~ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{j}(t,s;u_{0},\ldots,u_{j},T_{1}u_{0},\ldots,T_{1}u_{j},\ldots,T_{n}u_{0},\ldots,T_{n}u_{j}) \,\mathrm{d}s,$

where each u_i is a function of s.

Recursive construction of the u_i . To do this we expand both the left hand side and right hand side of (2) formally in powers of z and match coefficients.

The left hand side of (2) has the formal expansion

$$u_0(t) + u_1(t)t^2 + u_2(t)t^2 + \dots$$

The right hand side has the formal expansion

$$f_{0}(t) + f_{1}(t)t^{2} + f_{2}(t)t^{2} + \dots + \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{0}(t, s; u_{0}, T_{1}u_{0}, \dots, T_{n}u_{0}) ds + t \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{1}(t, s; u_{0}, u_{1}, T_{1}u_{0}, \dots, T_{n}u_{0}, T_{1}u_{1}, \dots, T_{n}u_{1}) ds + \dots$$

Now match the coefficients as follows

$$u_0(t) = f_0(t)$$

$$u_1(t) = f_1(t) + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi_0(t, s; u_0, T_1 u_0, \dots, T_n u_0) ds$$

$$u_2(t) = f_2(t) + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t \varphi_1(t, s; u_0, u_1, T_1 u_0, \dots, T_n u_0, T_1 u_1, \dots, T_n u_1) ds$$

and so on. Here, φ_0 can be determined explicitly by (11) as

$$\varphi_0 = G_0(t-s)F(u_0(s), T_1u_0(s), \dots, T_nu_0(s))$$

for example. Note that u_i can be determined knowing u_0, \ldots, u_{i-1} and $T_1u_0, \ldots, T_1u_{i-1}, \ldots, T_nu_0, \ldots, T_n, u_{i-1}$.

We must now show that this yields an asymptotic expansion (we omit the u_i and $T_j u_i$ arguments of φ to simplify the notation).

Proposition 4.10. Setting $u_0(t) = G_0(t)f$ and

$$u_i(t) = f_i(t) + \frac{1}{t^i} \int_0^t \varphi_{i-1}(t,s) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

for $i \geq 1$ yields an asymptotic expansion $u(t) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i t^i$ in X_{α} as $t \to 0^+$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on *i*. For u_0 we have $||u - u_0||_{X_{\alpha}} \leq ct$ for some c > 0 by estimating $G(t - s) - G_0(t - s)$.

Now suppose that for some m > 0 we have

$$\left|\left|u - \sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i(t)t^i\right|\right|_{X_{\alpha}} \le c_m t^{m+1}$$

for a $c_m > 0$. By construction, we have

$$u_{m+1}(t) = f_{m+1}(t) + \frac{1}{t^{m+1}} \int_0^t \varphi_m(t,s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Now splitting each u_i into parts corresponding to f and to the integral term,

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left\| u - \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} u_i(t) t^i \right\|_X &= \left\| G(t) f + \int_0^t G(t-s) F(s, u(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s - \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} u_i(t) t^i \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &= \left\| \left| G(t) f - \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} f_i(t) t^i \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &+ \left\| \left| \int_0^t G(t-s) F(s, u(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s - \sum_{i=0}^m \int_0^t \varphi_i(t, s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right\|_{X_{\alpha}} \\ &\leq c_1 t^{m+2} + c_2 t^{m+2} \end{split}$$

for some constants c_1 and c_2 by Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.9.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. The claim is clear if F is given by a finite sum $F_N(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j f_j(t) y_j \Theta^U_{(x,T_1x,\ldots,T_nx)}(g_j)$. Now suppose that F is represented by an infinite sum $F(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(t) y_j \Theta^U_{(x,T_1x,\ldots,T_nx)}(g_j)$. The arguments also go through by a convergence argument for the integrals. The infinite sum converges in X for every $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times X_\alpha$ and is continuous in t. Note that for fixed x, the Bochner integrals $\int_0^t G(t-s)F_N(s,x) \, ds$ converge in norm to $\int_0^t G(t-s)F(s,x) \, ds$ for $F_N \to F$ in C([0,T];X). This follows for example from the dominated convergence theorem for vector valued integration, cf. [6], Chapter III thus completing the proof.

5. Examples

We illustrate two applications of the framework: first to Dirichlet problems on bounded domains and then to Markovian BSDEs. The examples highlight that our approach can yield an approximation of both the function and its gradient.

5.1. Semilinear evolution equations on bounded domains. We discuss an economically motivated example in the context of pricing bonds depending on climate risk [16]. The market price of climate risk is expressed as the derivative of a PDE solution and we wish to give an approximation of that price. In two dimensions we consider a forcing term quadratic in the gradient, i.e. the function $F(t, T_1u, T_2u)$ is quadratic in the T_iu .

Setup. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

for the differential operators

$$A = \left(\sigma_1(x)^2 \partial_1^2 + \sigma_2(x)^2 \partial_2^2\right) + \left(\mu_1(x) \partial_1 + \mu_2(x) \partial_2\right)$$
$$T_1 = \sigma_1(x) \partial_1, \quad T_2 = \sigma_2(x) \partial_2$$

where the σ_i and μ_i are bounded smooth functions $\overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$. For simplicity we assume that A is uniformly elliptic in the sense that

$$\sigma_1(x)^2 \xi_1^2 + \sigma_2(x)^2 \xi_2^2 \ge c(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)$$

for some c and all $x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

This implies that A is sectorial and extends to the generator of an analytic semigroup G(t) in the Banach space $X = C(\overline{\Omega})$, cf. Chapter 3.1.5 in [19]. Set $\alpha = 1/2$ and $X_{\alpha} = C^{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\Omega})$ leading to a continuous inclusion $X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$. Pick the initial datum f in $C^{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{u \in C^{1}(\overline{\Omega}) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$

Set $\theta(t, x) = \mu_1(t, x) / \sigma_1(t, x)$ expressing the market price of financial risk, clearly $\theta \in C([0, T]) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} C(\overline{\Omega})$. Choose $\varphi \in C([0, T]) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} C(\overline{\Omega})$ representing a yield curve used for discounting cashflows. Define the forcing term

$$F(t, x, z_1, z_2) = -\theta(t, x)z_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 - \varphi(t, x) - \frac{1}{2}\theta(t, x)^2$$

so that F satisfies the continuity condition in Hypothesis 3.4 (iii) and is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^n satisfying Hypothesis 3.6 (iii).

Objective. The aim is now to find an asymptotic expansion not of u but of T_1u : this is the quantity that represents the market price of climate risk. Moreover, we wish to be able to see explicitly how the data θ, φ enter the solution.

Algebra. It is clear that X is a unital Banach algebra under the sup norm with the unit given by the function which is identical to 1 everywhere.

Geometry. It remains to find an expansion of G(t) in $\mathcal{B}(X, X_{\alpha})$. The tricky part is that the manifold $\overline{\Omega}$ is a compact manifold with boundary. We can view the set Ω as being an open domain in a compact manifold M without boundary such as the 2-torus $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Without loss of generality Ω lies within a single coordinate patch. Extend the operator A to the whole of M such that outside a neighbourhood of Ω the operator becomes the standard Laplacian on M, cf. [22], §3.

By [12], Theorem 2.4.2 the heat kernel of G(t) can be expressed as $h(t, x, y) = h_{\Omega}(t, x, y) - h_{\partial\Omega}(t, x, y)$ where h_{Ω} expresses the heat kernel on M in the coordinate patch containing Ω and $h_{\partial\Omega}$ is compensating term reflecting the boundary conditions. Either kernel is smooth and of rapid decay in the space variables.

By [3], Theorem 2.30 there are smooth functions $\Phi_j(x, y)$ such that

$$h_{\Omega}(t, x, y) \sim q_t(x, y) \left(\Phi_0(x, y) + \Phi_1(x, y)t + \Phi_2(x, y)t^2 + \cdots \right)$$
(13)

with

$$q_t(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{4t}\right)$$

for the geodesic distance d(x, y) under the Riemannian metric g induced by A. The geodesic distance d can be made explicit after a change of variables: the inverse metric tensor $g^{ij}(x)$ is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(x)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus setting $x'_1 = \int^{x_1} \sigma_1(\eta)^2 \, d\eta$ and $x'_2 = \int^{x_2} \sigma_2(\eta)^2 \, d\eta$ yields $q_t(x', y') = (4\pi t)^{-1} \exp(-||x'-y'||/4t)$ with the usual Euclidean distance $||\cdot||$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

The series (13) is asymptotic in the sense of Definition 3.1 in the Banach space $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ for every $k \geq 0$. Let G_0 be the operator with kernel $q_t(x, y)\Phi_0(x, y) - h_{\partial\Omega}$ and let $G_j(t)$ to be the operator on X with integral kernel $q_t(x, y)\Phi_j(x, y)$.

It is easy to see from the smoothness of the $q_t(x, y)\Phi_j(x, y)$ and $h_{\partial\Omega}$ that the G_j belong to the bounded operators $\mathcal{B}(X, X_{\alpha})$.

Asymptotics. Applying Theorem 3.7 we obtain in the lowest orders

$$\begin{split} u_0(t) &= G_0(t)f, \\ u_1(t) &= G_1(t)f + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t G_0 F_0(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \\ u_2(t) &= G_2(t)f + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t s G_0(t-s) F_1(s) + (t-s) G_1(t-s) F_0(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \end{split}$$

where

$$F_0(s) = -\theta(s) \left(T_1 u_0(s)\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(T_2 u_0(s)\right)^2 - \varphi(s) - \frac{1}{2} \theta(s)^2,$$

$$F_1(s) = -2\theta(s)T_1 u_0(s)T_1 u_1(s) - T_2 u_0(s)T_2 u_1(s)$$

by direct calculation. Hence, $T_1 u \sim T_1 u_0 + tT_1 u_1 + \cdots$ with controllable convergence in X yielding the desired approximation of the market price of climate risk.

Discussion. It is clear, that the above expansion may not be the most suitable version for numerical studies. However, it has several benefits that make it useful from a qualitative point of view. First, the expansion is tractable and can easily be done to arbitrary order. Second, it yields an explicit insight into the effect of the data θ and φ . This is useful for assessing the growth of the function $T_1 u$ and the sensitivities with respect to changes in the data. Third, and more importantly, it can be used calibrate the model to market data, i.e. to infer the behaviour of θ and φ from observed market data for small times t.

In practical applications, the kernel $h_{\partial\Omega}$ is often neglected in applications as it decreases exponentially in the distance from the boundary ('principle of not feeling the boundary', cf. [17]).

Also, as elegant as the construction of the heat kernel (13) is, as difficult are concrete computations since the terms depend on the geodesic distance. Instead, one can apply pseudodifferential methods to obtain an explicit heat kernel expansion as in [28], Chapter 7.13, or in the Boutet de Monvel calculus [14].

Remark 5.1. One can extend the above considerations to Cauchy problems defined on \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded and open and denote by P the corresponding projection $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^k(\overline{\Omega})$. Let $h(t,\xi,\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j(t,\xi,\eta)$ be the heat kernel expansion on \mathbb{R}^n valid locally uniformly and define by G, G_j linear operators acting on $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with integral kernels h and h_j , respectively. Then $PGP \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} PG_jP$

16

in the corner algebra $P\mathcal{B}(X, X_{\alpha})P$. Hence, $Pu \sim Pu_0 + tPu_1 + t^2Pu_2 + \cdots$ with u_j computed as before with G, G_j replaced by PGP and PG_jP , respectively. Again, if the PDE solution is considered at points away from the boundary one often ignores the boundary effect altogether.

5.2. Connection with backward stochastic differential equations. We indicate how our framework can be applied to BSDEs. These were introduced in the linear case in [4] and the theory has found applications in stochastic optimal control, PDEs [23], financial mathematics [8] and nonlinear expectations [7]. We refer the reader to [24] for detailed references.

Loosely speaking, a solution of a BSDE is a pair of adapted stochastic processes (Y, Z) with the terminal condition $Y_T = \xi$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\mathrm{d}Y_t &=& F(t, Y_t, Z_t) \,\mathrm{d}t - Z_t^\top \,\mathrm{d}W_t \\ Y_T &=& \xi \end{array} \right\}$$

or equivalently $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T F(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr - \int_t^T Z_r^\top dW_r$ where W_t denotes a multidimensional Brownian motion. The function F is called the *driver* of the BSDE.

We are interested in the short-time behaviour of the pair (Y, Z). The literature on asymptotic expansions in the case of linear SDEs is much richer than in the BSDE context. One can be broadly identify two strands: those using probabilistic methods (the Itō-Watanabe formula as an analogue to Taylor's theorem) and those based on functional analytic perturbation methods. For the former we refer to [21] for comprehensive references in the SDE case with a recent extension to BSDEs in [10]. Perturbation methods based on Malliavin calculus were used in a BSDEcontext in [27], where the lowest order terms of an asymptotic expansion of a BSDE solution are described as BSDE solutions themselves. To our knowledge heat kernel methods (which have found application in finance mostly in relation to implied volatility (cf. [9, 11, 15]) seem not to have been applied to nonlinear BSDEs.

We specialize to the case where the driver depends on the underlying probability space through a diffusion process X and the terminal condition is a function of X. The BSDE is called *Markovian* in this case. It is expressed as a *forward-backward* system of a stochastic differential equation (SDE) and a BSDE and leads to a PDE.

To this end make the following assumptions on the coefficients and data, cf. [8], §4. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be the standard filtered probability space of *n*-dimensional Brownian motion. Denote by \mathcal{F}_r^t be the completion of the σ -algebra $\sigma\{W_s - W_t : t \leq s \leq r\}$ with the \mathbb{P} -null sets of \mathcal{F} .

Hypothesis 5.2. (i) Domain and image: we have

$$b: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^p,$$

$$\sigma: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^{p \times n},$$

$$F: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$f: \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R},$$

and all these maps are assumed to be measurable.

(ii) Growth: there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$|b(t,x)| + |\sigma(t,x)| \le c(1+|x|),$$

$$F(t,x,y,z)| + |f(x)| \le c(1+|x|^r)$$

for some $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and all $(t, x, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

(iii) Continuity: there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)| + |b(t,x) - b(t,y)| &\leq c(1+|x-y|), \\ |F(t,x,y_1,z_1) - F(t,x,y_2,z_2)| &\leq c(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|) \end{aligned}$$

for $(t, x, y_i, z_i) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

The first ingredient of a forward-backward system is the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}X_t &= b(t, X_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t, X_t) \,\mathrm{d}W_t, & s \le t \le T \\ X_t &= x, & 0 \le t \le s, \end{aligned}$$
 (14)

on $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p$ where T is the final time. We denote the unique strong solution of (14) by $X_t^{s,x}$ for $0 \le t \le T$, cf. [26], Definition 10.9. We can assume that $X_t^{s,x}$ is almost surely jointly continuous in the variables (s,t,x). The second ingredient is the BSDE

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\mathrm{d}Y_t &=& F(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \,\mathrm{d}t - Z_t^\top \,\mathrm{d}W_t \\ Y_T &=& f(X_T) \end{array} \right\}$$
(15)

for $t \in [0, T]$. Here Z_t^{\top} denotes the transpose of the vector-valued process Z_t taking values in \mathbb{R}^n . For simplicity we restrict ourselves to scalar-valued Y_t although the results can be generalized to vector-valued processes.

A solution of the forward-backward system (14) and (15) is given by a triple $(X_t^{s,x}, Y_t^{s,x}, Z_t^{s,x})$ with $(Y_t^{s,x}, Z_t^{s,x})$ a solution of (15) or equivalently of the integral equation $Y_t = f(X_T) + \int_t^T F(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r) \, \mathrm{d}r - \int_t^T Z_r^\top \, \mathrm{d}W_r$. We require that Y_t be a continuous adapted process and Z_t a predictable process with $\int_0^T |Z_r|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s < \infty$ a.s. The process $Y_t^{s,x}$ has a version that is a.s. continuous in (s,t) and twice continuously differentiable in x. For technical details we refer to [23].

The link with semilinear PDEs is a Theorem of Feynman-Kac type.

Theorem 5.3 ([7], Proposition 3.5). Let u be a function belonging to $C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p)$ and suppose there is a constant c such that

$$|u(t,x)| + |\sigma(t,x)^{\top} Du(t,x)| \le c(1+|x|)$$
(16)

for $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p$. Also suppose that u is a solution of the PDE

$$\frac{\partial_t u + \mathcal{A}(t)u + F(t, x, u, \sigma^\top D u)}{u(T, x)} = 0$$

$$(17)$$

for the differential operator

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(t,x) \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_i b_i(t,x) \partial_i$$

where $a_{ij} = [\sigma\sigma^{\top}]_{ij}$ and D is the gradient operator $D = (D_1, ..., D_p)$. Then $u(t,x) = Y_t^{(t,x)}$, where $\{(Y_t^{(s,x)}, Z_t^{(s,x)}) : s \leq t \leq T\}$ is the unique solution of the BSDE (15). Moreover,

for $s \leq t \leq T$.

The boundedness condition (16) will be irrelevant in our context as we will work in uniform function spaces or in Sobolev spaces on bounded domains. Related results can be formulated for bounded domains with boundary conditions.

After a time reversion $\tau = T - t$ the PDE (17) fits into our framework with

$$T_j = \sigma_{1j}D_1 + \dots + \sigma_{pj}D_p,$$

for j = 1, ..., n viewing the matrix components σ_{ij} as multiplication operators. We let $X = C(\mathbb{R}^p)$ and $X_{\alpha} = C^1(\mathbb{R}^p)$. We assume that \mathcal{A} is independent of time and

18

sectorial in X so it generates an analytic semigroup G(t) in X, cf. Chapter 3.1.2 in [19]. For ease of exposition we consider the simple perturbation approach on \mathbb{R}^n where $G_0 = G$, $G_j = 0$ for $j \ge 1$. This satisfies Hypothesis 3.6 (ii).

Theorem 5.4. Suppose Hypotheses 3.4 and 3.6 are met. Assume that the derivatives of $F(t, x, z_0, z_1, ..., z_n)$ with respect to x of all orders are bounded for $|z_i| < R$, i = 0, ..., n and any R > 0. Then there are processes $Y_t^{(i)}$ with values in \mathbb{R} and $Z_t^{(i)}$ with values in \mathbb{R}^n such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $c_m \ge 0$ with

$$\left|Y_t^{s,x} - \sum_{i=0}^m Y_t^{(i)}\right| \le c_m (t-s)^{m+1}, \quad \left|Z_t^{s,x} - \sum_{i=0}^m Z_t^{(i)}\right| \le c_m (t-s)^{m+1},$$

almost surely for all sufficiently small t. The processes $Y_t^{(i)}$ are explicitly given as

$$Y_t^{(0)} = \mathbb{E}(f(X_T^{s,x}))$$
(19)

$$Y_t^{(i)} = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^T \overline{F}_{i-1}(r; X_t^{s,x}, Y_t^0, Z_t^0, \dots, Y_t^{i-1}, Z_t^{i-1}) \,\mathrm{d}r\right)$$
(20)

for $i \geq 1$ and functions \overline{F}_i that can be constructed from the F_i in Theorem 3.7.

Proof. We proceed in two steps and for ease of exposition set s = 0.

1. Existence of the approximation. By Theorem 3.7 there are functions $u_i: [0,T] \to C^1(\mathbb{R}^p)$ such that

$$u(t) \sim u_0(t) + u_1(t)t + u_2(t)t^2 + \cdots,$$

 $T_j u(t) \sim T_j u_0(t) + T_j u_1(t)t + T_j u_2(t)t^2 + \cdots,$

for j = 1, ..., n in the spaces $C(\mathbb{R}^p)$ and $C^1(\mathbb{R}^p)$, respectively. Then set

$$Y_{t}^{(i)} = t^{i} u_{i} \left(t, X_{t}^{0,x} \right)$$
$$Z_{t}^{(i)} = t^{i} \left(T_{1} u_{i} \left(t, X_{t}^{0,x} \right), \dots, T_{n} u_{i} \left(t, X_{t}^{0,x} \right) \right)^{\top}.$$

Since the approximation of u by $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(t)t^i$ is formulated in the uniform norm, the claim on the almost sure approximation follows, similarly for $T_j u$.

2. Representation as a BSDE solution, cf. [23], p. 202. Every $t^i u_i(t)$ is a mild solution of the PDE (5). By assumption all derivatives of F are bounded so that the forcing term F_i is also bounded. Hence the PDE (5) has a unique classical solution in $C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^p)$ and this must agree with the mild solution. But then by the Feynman-Kac theorem Theorem 5.3 we have that for $i \geq 1$ the process $Y_t^{(i)} = t^i u_i(t, X_t^{0,x})$ is the unique solution of the BSDE

$$-\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(i)} = F_{i-1}(t; X_t^{0,x}, u_0, \dots, u_{i-1}, T_1 u_0, \dots, T_1 u_{i-1}, \dots, T_n u_0, \dots, T_n u_{i-1}) \,\mathrm{d}t - Z_t^{(i)\top} \,\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

where each $T_k u_i$ is evaluated at $(t, X_t^{0,x})$. We can shorten this to

$$-\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(i)} = \overline{F}_{i-1}(t; X_t^{t,x}, Y_t^0, Z_t^0, \dots, Y_t^{i-1}, Z_t^{i-1}) \,\mathrm{d}t - Z_t^{(i)\top} \,\mathrm{d}W_t$$

$$Y_T = 0$$

for \overline{F}_{i-1} obtained from F_{i-1} by scaling the arguments by negative powers of t. The solution of this BSDE is given explicitly as in (20). The process $Z_t^{(i)}$ is the unique process satisfying

$$\int_{t}^{T} Z_{r}^{(i)} \, \mathrm{d}W_{r} = \int_{t}^{T} \overline{F}_{i-1} \, \mathrm{d}r - \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T} \overline{F}_{i-1} \, \mathrm{d}r\right),$$

which follows form the representation theorem for Brownian martingales [26], Theorem 36.1. (There is also an alternative representation of $Z^{(i)}$ as an expectation which is more suitable for numerical simulations, cf. [20].) The process $Y_t^{(0)}$ is given by $[G(t)f](X_t^{0,x})$ which can be expressed as the expectation (19).

Remark 5.5. The scheme serves to analytically approximate the solution of a forward-backward system so as to allow qualitative insights into the structure of the solution. A numerical implementation of this scheme can be done with wellestablished and efficient methods for numerically simulating BSDEs, cf. [25] for example. Also, some practical problems do not involve sufficiently regular terminal conditions of drivers. By standard stability results of BSDE theory (e.g., Theorem 5.11 of [24]) one can approximate the original problem by one involving continuous and analytic functions and then employ our asymptotic expansion scheme.

References

- R.A. Adams and J.J.F. Fournier. Sobolev Spaces, volume 140 of Pure and Applied Mathematics Series. Academic Press, second edition, 2003.
- [2] G.R. Allan and H.G. Dales. Introduction to Banach Spaces and Algebras, volume 20 of Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [3] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators, volume 298 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [4] J.-M. Bismut. Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 44(2):384–404, 1973.
- [5] O. Calin, D. Chang, K. Furutani, and C. Iwasaki. *Heat Kernels for Elliptic and Sub-Elliptic Operators*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser, 2011.
- [6] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz. Linear Operators: Vol: 1: General Theory. Wiley, 1957.
- [7] N. El Karoui and L. Mazliak. Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, volume 364 of Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, 1997.
- [8] N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M.C. Quenez. Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. Math. Finance, 7(1):1–71, 1997.
- [9] M. Forde and A. Jacquier. Small-time asymptotics for implied volatility under the heston model. Int. J. Theor. Appl. Finance, 12(6):861–876, 2009.
- [10] M. Fujii and A. Takahashi. Analytical approximation for non-linear FBSDEs with perturbation scheme. Int. J. Theor. Appl. Finance, 15(05), 2012.
- [11] J. Gatheral, E.P. Hsu, P. Laurence, C. Ouyang, and T.H. Wang. Asymptotics of implied volatility in local volatility models. *Math. Finance*, 22(4):591–620, 2012.
- [12] P. Greiner. An asymptotic expansion for the heat equation. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 41(3):163–218, 1971.
- [13] A. Grothendieck. Produits Tensoriels Topologiques et Espaces Nucléaires, volume 16 of Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. American Mathematical Society, 1955.
- [14] G. Grubb. Functional Calculus of Pseudodifferential Boundary Problems, volume 65 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, second edition, 1996.
- [15] P. Henry-Labordère. Analysis, Geometry, and Modeling in Finance: Advanced Methods in Option Pricing. Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. CRC Press, 2008.
- [16] U. Horst and M. Müller. On the spanning property of risk bonds priced by equilibrium. Math. Oper. Res., 32(4):784–807, 2007.
- [17] E.P. Hsu. On the principle of not feeling the boundary for diffusion processes. J. London Math. Soc., 51(2):373–382, 1995.
- [18] H. Kumano-Go. Pseudodifferential Operators. MIT Press, 1981.
- [19] A. Lunardi. Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems, volume 16 of Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [20] J. Ma. and J. Zhang. Representation theorems for backward stochastic differential equations. Ann. Appl. Probab., 12(4):1390–1418, 2002.
- [21] P. Malliavin and A. Thalmaier. Stochastic Calculus of Variations in Mathematical Finance. Springer Finance. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [22] H.P. McKean and I.M. Singer. Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian. J. Diff. Geom., 1:43–69, 1967.
- [23] E. Pardoux and S. Peng. Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. In B.L. Rozovskii and R.B. Sowers, editors, *Stochastic Partial*

Differential Equations and Their Applications, volume 176 of Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci., pages 200–217. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

- [24] E. Pardoux and A. Răşcanu. Stochastic Differential Equations, Backward SDEs, Partial Differential Equations, volume 69 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer-Verlag, 2014.
- [25] A. Richou. Numerical simulation of BSDEs with drivers of quadratic growth. Ann. Appl. Probab., 21(5):1933–1964, 2011.
- [26] L.C.G. Rogers and D. Williams. Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales: Volume 2, Itō Calculus. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [27] A. Takahashi and T. Yamada. A remark on approximation of the solutions to partial differential equations in finance. In A. Takahashi, Y. Muromachi, and H. Nakaoka, editors, *Recent Advances in Financial Engineering 2011: Proceedings of the International Workshop* on Finance 2011, pages 133–181. World Scientific, 2012.
- [28] M.E. Taylor. Partial Differential Equations II: Qualitative Solutions of Linear Equations, 2nd Edition, volume 117 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 2011.
- [29] M.E. Taylor. Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear Equations, 2nd Edition, volume 117 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 2011.
- [30] D.V. Vassilevich. Heat kernel expansion: user's manual. Phys. Rep., 388(5-6):279–360, 2003.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE STOCHASTIK, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY, AND, EBZ BUSINESS SCHOOL, SPRINGORUMALLEE 20, 44795 BOCHUM, GERMANY

E-mail address: fahrenw@stochastik.uni-hannover.de