Selective Production of Carvacrol from Carvone over Supported Pd Catalysts

Pedro Benavente,

Fernando Cárdenas-Lizana* and Mark A. Keane

Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering and Physical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, Scotland

*corresponding author: tel.: +44(0)131 4514115, *e*-mail: F.CardenasLizana @hw.ac.uk

Abstract

The selective conversion of biomass-derived carvone in H₂ was studied over (Al₂O₃, C and CeO₂) supported Pd (mean size 2.8-3.0 nm), taking bulk Pd as benchmark. 100% carvacrol yield was achieved over Pd/Al₂O₃, Pd/C and bulk Pd at an inlet H₂/Carvone = 1/6, with appreciably higher rates for the supported catalysts. Carveol formation over Pd/CeO₂ was attributed to -C=O activation at surface oxygen vacancies (confirmed by O₂ titration) generated during TPR. Carvotanacetone and carvomenthone formation were observed at H₂/Carvone > 1/6.

Keywords: Carvone; carvacrol; hydrogenation; supported Pd.

1 Introduction

Carvone is a terpenoid obtained at low cost by steam distillation of spearmint oil or nitrosochlorination of citrus-derived limonene [1]. Carvone contains three reducible functionalities, a carbonyl group and an endo- and exo-cyclic -CH=CH-. Reaction of carvone with hydrogen generates valuable chemicals (Figure 1) in the pharmaceutical, food and agriculture sectors [2]. Reaction selectivity is challenging and most methodologies are nonselective, generating product mixtures [3-6]. Current carvacrol production includes (i) supercritical (300 bar) CO₂ extraction from oregano essential oils [7] and (ii) industrial scale isopropylation of o-cresol with propylene over activated alumina at 633 K and 50 bar [8]. The requirements for high operating pressures and temperatures (>523 K) are major drawbacks. Application of supported metal catalysts to promote carvone \rightarrow carvacrol (in H₂) is an alternative but studies to date are sparse and inconclusive with work focused on batch systems in organic solvents (e.g. toluene, hexane, alcohols) [3,6,9-13]. Solvent-free continuous processing at atmospheric pressure offers advantages in terms of throughput and sustainability. The carvone \rightarrow carvacrol reaction mechanism is still a matter of debate. Klabunovskii et al. [13] proposed a classical Horiuti-Polanyi mechanism for reaction over Pd with carvotanacetone as reaction intermediate (Figure 1, path (IA)). Supported Pt catalysts do not promote carvacrol formation [3,10-12] and selectivities reported for the most selective Pd catalysts [13,14] are low (\leq 38%).

The redox and acid-base properties of the metal support can influence catalytic activity/selectivity in the reduction of carbonyl and/or unsaturated groups where stronger -C=O (*vs.* -CH=CH-) polarisation on surface Lewis acid sites promotes unsaturated alcohols [15]. Preferential carbonyl and -CH=CH- reduction has been reported over Pd on reducible (CeO₂, TiO₂) [16] and non-reducible (Al₂O₃) oxides [17], respectively. In this study we set out to identify the critical variable(s) that control carvone \rightarrow carvacrol by examining

commercial and laboratory-synthesised Pd catalysts. We compare the catalytic action of (unsupported) bulk Pd with Pd on (non-reducible Al_2O_3 and reducible CeO_2) oxides and carbon. We evaluate the effect of H_2 content in the feed as a critical process variable.

2 Experimental

2.1 Catalyst Preparation and Activation

Ceria, 1.2% wt. Pd/Al₂O₃, 1.1% wt. Pd/C and PdO were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Synthesis of Pd/CeO₂ by deposition-precipitation followed a prior procedure [18]. Samples were sieved to mean diameter = 75 μ m, activated in 60 cm³ min⁻¹ H₂ at 10 K min⁻¹ to 573 K and passivated in 1% v/v O₂/He at ambient temperature prior to *ex*-situ characterisation.

2.2 Catalyst Characterisation

Palladium content was measured by ICP-OES (Vista-Pro, Varian Inc.). Catalyst activation by temperature programmed reduction (TPR, in 5% v/v H₂/N₂ at 10 K min⁻¹ to 573 K), H₂ (at 423 K) and O₂ (at ambient temperature) chemisorption and total specific surface area (SSA, in 30% v/v N₂/He using the single point BET method) measurements were conducted on the commercial CHEM-BET 3000 (Quantachrome) unit as described elsewhere [19]; results were reproducible to \pm 7%. Palladium particle morphology was determined by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM, JEOL 2200FS field emission gun-equipped TEM), employing Gatan Digital Micrograph 1.82 for data acquisition/manipulation. Samples for analysis were crushed and deposited (dry) on a holey carbon/Cu grid (300 Mesh). Surface area-weighted mean Pd sizes (*d*_{STEM}) were determined from a count of 800 particles [19]. Metal size for bulk Pd was determined by H₂ chemisorption [20].

2.3 Catalytic Procedure

2.3.1 Materials

Carvone (98%), carvacrol (98%), dihydrocarvone (99%) and carveol (98%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Carvotanacetone, carvomenthone and carvomenthol were synthesised following published methods [21]. All gases (H₂, N₂, O₂ and He) were ultra-high purity (BOC, 99.9%).

2.3.2 Catalytic System

Reactions were conducted at atmospheric pressure and isothermal conditions (423 K) *in situ* after activation in a continuous flow fixed bed vertical tubular glass reactor (15 mm i.d.). A layer of borosilicate glass beads served as preheating zone where the organic reactant was vaporised and reached reaction temperature before contacting the catalyst. Temperature was continuously monitored by a thermocouple inserted in a thermowell within the catalyst bed. The organic reactant was delivered *via* a glass/teflon air-tight syringe and teflon line using a microprocessor controlled infusion pump (Model 100 kd Scientific) at a fixed calibrated flow rate. A co-current flow of N₂, H₂ or H₂+N₂ with carvone (N₂/Carvone = 20/1 mol mol⁻¹, H₂/Carvone = 1/6 - 20/1 mol mol⁻¹) was maintained at gas hourly space velocity (*GHSV*) = $2 \times 10^4 - 1 \times 10^5 \text{ h}^{-1}$. Palladium (*n*) to reactant (*F*) molar ratio spanned the range $1 \times 10^{-5} - 5 \times 10^{-2}$ h. In blank tests, reactions in the absence of catalyst did not result in any measurable conversion. The reactor effluent was frozen in a liquid N₂ trap for analysis using a Perkin-Elmer Auto System XL gas chromatograph with split/splitless injector, FID and Stabilwax capillary column (RESTEK). Data acquisition/manipulation used the TotalChrom data system. Fractional carvone conversion (*X*) is given by:

$$X = \frac{[\text{Carvone}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{Carvone}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{Carvone}]_{\text{in}}}$$
(1)

with selectivity to carvacrol (S_{Carvacrol}):

$$S_{\text{Carvacrol}} (\%) = \frac{[\text{Carvacrol}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{Carvone}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{Carvone}]_{\text{out}}} \times 100$$
(2)

and yield (Y_{Carvacrol}):

$$Y_{\text{Carvacrol}} (\%) = X \times S_{\text{Carvacrol}}$$
(3)

Catalytic activity is also quantified in terms of reactant consumption rate (R, mol_{Carvone} mol_{Pd}⁻¹ s⁻¹), extracted from time on-stream measurements [22]. Turnover frequency (*TOF*, rate per active site) was determined from particle size measurements [22]. Repeated reactions with different samples from the same batch of catalyst delivered raw data reproducibility and carbon mass balances within ±5%.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Catalyst Characterisation

Physicochemical properties of the catalysts in this study are given in **Table 1**. The commercial and laboratory synthesised samples display a range of SSA (3-870 m² g⁻¹). The TPR profiles (**Figure 2**) exhibit a negative peak (H₂ release) at 350-383 K due to decomposition of Pd hydride formed by H₂ absorption at ambient temperature [20]. The lower hydride Pd/H ratio for supported (0.06-0.04) relative to bulk Pd (0.67) is consistent with nano-scale metal particles as is the shift to lower decomposition temperatures [20]. TPR of Pd/CeO₂ (**Figure 2(IV**)) presents a positive peak at the final isothermal hold (573 K), suggesting partial CeO₂ reduction (at the metal-support interface) with the formation of oxygen vacancies [23]. This was confirmed by O₂ titration post-TPR where O₂ uptake (160 µmol g⁻¹) is comparable with values in the literature [24]. Hydrogenation performance is determined by the capacity of Pd for H₂ adsorption/dissociation [20]. Hydrogen chemisorption at reaction temperature (**Table 1**) was close to detection limits for bulk Pd and appreciably lower than that recorded for the supported systems. Uptake was equivalent for Pd/Al₂O₃ and Pd/C and measurably higher for Pd/CeO₂. Differences in H₂ chemisorption can

be due to variations in metal dispersion [19]. The three supported catalysts present pseudospherical Pd particles in the 1-6 nm range (**Figure 3(I)**) with a similar size distribution (**Figure 3(II)**) and mean ($d_{\text{STEM}} \sim 3$ nm, **Table 1**). Greater H₂ chemisorption on Pd/CeO₂ can be linked to partial support reduction with the generation of sites for H₂ adsorption. Wang *et al.* [25] have recently discussed the formation of active sites at the interface of metal nanoparticles strongly interacting with reducible CeO₂. Tu and Cheng [26] reported a synergistic effect between Pd and CeO₂ that resulted in stronger H₂ adsorption and increased uptake.

3.2 Gas Phase Conversion of Carvone

Reaction thermodynamics establishes greater stability of conjugated endo-cyclic -CH=CH- and carbonyl functionalities in carvone [27] with the following order of decreasing reactivity based on Gibbs free energy [28]: exo -CH=CH- > endo -CH=CH- > -C=O. This can account for the reported formation of unsaturated and saturated ketones (**Figure 1** (path (**II**))) as principal products in the hydrogenation of carvone [6]. Variations in H₂ content in the feed (represented as inlet H₂/Carvone) were tested in order to probe reaction pathway. A range of H₂/Carvone ratios was considered, from 0 (reaction in N₂) to sub- (H₂/Carvone = 1/6), stoichiometric (= 1/1) and H₂ in excess (= 20/1) for the reduction of a single carvone functionality. Under all reaction conditions, formation of dihydrocarvone (endo -CH=CH-reduction, path (**III**)) and carvomenthol (-C=O reduction in carvomenthone, path (**II**)) was negligible with selectivities $\leq 6\%$.

Reaction in N₂ did not result in any measurable conversion of carvone. Under hydrogen lean conditions (H₂/Carvone = 1/6), we achieved full selectivity to the target carvacrol for reaction over bulk Pd, Pd/Al₂O₃ and Pd/C (**Table 1** and **Figure 4(I)**). This result is significant given the reports in batch liquid phase carvone hydrogenation where low selectivity to carvacrol (\leq 38%) was obtained over unsupported Pd [13] and (C and Al₂O₃) supported Pd [13,14]. Variations in contact time can govern selectivity [29] and the exclusivity to carvacrol achieved in this study may result from the lower contact time (0.03-0.2 s) in continuous operation. Negligible conversion of carvotanacetone was recorded for reactions in N₂ or H₂ lean conditions. This indicates direct carvacrol formation from carvone *via* hydrogen migration and keto-enol tautomerisation, following path (**IB**) in **Figure 1**. Catalytic inactivity for carvone reaction in N₂ suggests that carvacrol formation requires H₂ in the feed. This is in line with recent work by Zhang *et al.* [30] who reported formation of phenols over Pd/C *via* hydrogen treatment of substituted 2-cychlohexenones. Naito and Tanimoto [31] provided direct evidence for intramolecular double-bond migration in propene hydrogenation over Pd/SiO₂ while Musolino *et al.* [32] established a hydrogen requirement for double bond migration in *cis*-2-butene-1,4-diol \rightarrow 2-hydroxytetrahydrofuran transformation over Pd/C.

Pd/CeO₂ exhibited different behaviour in promoting carveol formation (S = 10%) *via* -C=O hydrogenation (path (**IV**) in **Figure 1**). This can be attributed to the involvement of surface oxygen vacancies where the carbonyl group is activated at Ce³⁺ sites for hydrogen attack to generate carveol. Neri *et al.* [16] proposed preferential formation of an unsaturated alcohol from an unsaturated aldehyde over Pd on reducible oxides (TiO₂, ZnO, Fe₂O₃), which they ascribed to reactant activation on the support. Calaza *et al.* [33] demonstrated (by TPD, RAIRS and DFT) carbonyl activation at oxygen vacancies on CeO₂. We observed an initial decline in conversion that attained steady state for all the systems (see inset to Figure 4(I) for Pd/Al₂O₃). Similar reaction rates (and *TOF*) were obtained for the three supported Pd catalysts, which were appreciably greater than bulk Pd (Table 1) and can be linked to H₂ uptake capacity under reaction conditions. At an inlet H₂/Carvone =1/6, carvacrol yield was proportional to Pd content (Figure 4(II)) to reach 100% in the case of Pd, Pd/Al₂O₃ and Pd/C. The lower yield over Pd/CeO₂ was due to carveol formation. An increase in H₂/Carvone resulted in decreased carvacrol selectivity where the data for all the catalysts

converged on a common trend line (**Figure 4(I)**). Loss of carvacrol selectivity with increasing H₂ content was accompanied by formation of carvotanacetone and carvomenthone, which were promoted at higher H₂/Carvone (**Table 1**). Olefin conversion over transition metal catalysts proceeds through an allyl intermediate that is formed by a hydrogen addition [34]. This intermediate can undergo (i) H elimination with bond migration or (ii) insertion of a second H to generate the alkane [34]. The switch from double bond migration (path (**IB**) in **Figure 1**) to hydrogenation (path (**II**)) is sensitive to H₂/Carvone, which is consistent with the literature [32]. Hydrogen elimination is favoured under conditions of low surface hydrogen (H₂/Carvone = 1/6). Increased H₂ content facilitates H insertion, directing the reaction to preferential hydrogenation. Carveol formation over Pd/CeO₂ was insensitive to H₂/Carvone.

4 Conclusions

We have established exclusive formation of carvacrol at full carvone conversion over Pd/Al_2O_3 and Pd/C (mean Pd size = 2.8-3.0 nm) at an inlet $H_2/Carvone = 1/6$. Under the same reaction conditions, bulk Pd with lower H_2 uptake capacity delivered 100% carvacrol yield at a lower rate. Reaction over Pd/CeO_2 promoted formation of carveol due to -C=O activation at oxygen vacancies created during TPR. Hydrogenation to carvotanacetone and carvomenthone was promoted at higher $H_2/Carvone$ (>1/6).

References

- [1] J. L. Bicas, A. P. Dionísio, G. M. Pastore, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 4518-4531.
- [2] W. Schwab, C. Fuchs, F.-C. Huang, Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 115 (2013) 3-8.
- [3] I. M. J. Vilella, S. R. de Miguel, O. A. Scelza, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 284 (2008) 161-171.
- [4] L. J. Lemus-Yegres, M. Pérez-Cadenas, M. C. Román-Martínez, C. S.-M. de Lecea, Micropor. Mesopor. Mater. 139 (2011) 164-172.
- [5] V. P. Sivcev, K. P. Volcho, N. P. Salakhutdinov, V. I. Anikeev, J. Supercrit. Fluid. 80 (2013)
 9-14.
- [6] C. I. Melo, Alternative Solvents in Carvone Hydrogenation, MsC Thesis, E. Bogel-Łukasik, Chemical Engineering, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon, 2011, pp. 53.

- [7] A. Ocaña-Fuentes, E. Arranz-Gutiérrez, F. J. Señorans, G. Reglero, Food Chem. Toxicol. 48 (2010) 1568-1575.
- [8] G. D. Yadav, S. B. Kamble, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 84 (2009) 1499-1508.
- [9] C. I. Melo, R. Bogel-Łukasik, E. Bogel-Łukasik, J. Supercrit. Fluid. 61 (2012) 191-198.
- [10] S. R. de Miguel, M. C. Román-Martínez, D. Cazorla-Amorós, E. L. Jablonski, O. A. Scelza, Catal. Today 66 (2001) 289-295.
- [11] G. C. Torres, S. D. Ledesma, E. L. Jablonski, S. R. de Miguel, O. A. Scelza, Catal. Today 48 (1999) 65-72.
- [12] S. R. de Miguel, M. C. Román-Martínez, E. L. Jablonski, J. L. G. Fierro, D. Cazorla-Amorós, O. A. Scelza, J. Catal. 184 (1999) 514-525.
- [13] E. I. Klabunovskii, L. F. Godunova, L. K. Maslova, B. Acad. Sci. USSR Chem. Sci. 21 (1972) 1020-1024.
- [14] C. I. Melo, R. Bogel-Łukasik, M. G. da Silva, E. Bogel-Łukasik, Green Chem. 13 (2011) 2825-2830.
- S. Handjani, E. Marceau, J. Blanchard, J.-M. Krafft, M. Che, P. Mäki-Arvela, N. Kumar, J.
 Wärnå, D. Y. Murzin, J. Catal. 282 (2011) 228-236.
- [16] G. Neri, G. Rizzo, L. de Luca, A. Donato, M. G. Musolino, R. Pietropaolo, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 356 (2009) 113-120.
- [17] B. C. Campo, M. A. Volpe, C. E. Gigola, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 48 (2009) 10234-10239.
- [18] N. S. Babu, N. Lingaiah, N. Pasha, J. V. Kumar, P. S. S. Prasad, Catal. Today 141 (2009) 120-124.
- [19] M. Li, X. Wang, Y. Hao, F. Cárdenas-Lizana, M. A. Keane, Catal. Today 279 (2017) 19-28.
- [20] F. Cárdenas-Lizana, Y. Hao, M. Crespo-Quesada, I. Yuranov, X. Wang, M. A. Keane, L. Kiwi-Minsker, ACS Catal. 3 (2013) 1386-1396.
- [21] C. Petrier, J.-L. Luche, Tetrahedron Lett. 28 (1987) 2351-2352.
- [22] M. Li, Y. Hao, F. Cárdenas-Lizana, H. H. P. Yiu, M. A. Keane, Top. Catal. 58 (2015) 149-158.
- [23] N. C. Nelson, J. S. Manzano, A. D. Sadow, S. H. Overbury, I. I. Slowing, ACS Catal. 5 (2015) 2051-2061.
- [24] S. Salasc, V. Perrichon, M. Primet, N. Mouaddib-Moral, J. Catal. 206 (2002) 82-90.
- [25] Y.-G. Wang, D. Mei, V.-A. Glezakou, J. Li, R. Rousseau, Nature Commun. 6 (2015) 1-8.
- [26] C. Tu, S. Cheng, ACS Sust. Chem. Eng. 2 (2014) 629-636.
- [27] R. A. Kjonaas, S. P. Mattingly, J. Chem. Educ. 82 (2005) 1813-1814.
- [28] P. Barbaro, F. Liguori, Heterogenized Homogeneous Catalysts for Fine Chemicals Production: Materials and Processes, Springer, Dordrecht, 2010.
- [29] Y. Hao, M. Li, F. Cárdenas-Lizana, M. A. Keane, Catal. Lett. 146 (2016) 109-116.
- [30] J. Zhang, Q. Jiang, D. Yang, X. Zhao, Y. Dong, R. Liu, Chem. Sci. 6 (2015) 4674-4680.

- [31] S. Naito, M. Tanimoto, J. Catal. 102 (1986) 377-385.
- [32] M. G. Musolino, C. M. S. Cutrupi, A. Donato, D. Pietropaolo, R. Pietropaolo, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 243 (2003) 333-346.
- [33] F. C. Calaza, Y. Xu, D. R. Mullins, S. H. Overbury, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 18034-18045.
- [34] W. Ludwig, A. Savara, S. Schauermann, Dalton Trans. 39 (2010) 8484-8491.

Table 1: Palladium content, specific surface area (SSA), H₂ chemisorption (at 423 K), mean Pd size (d_{STEM}), carvone consumption rate (*R*) and turnover frequency (*TOF*) and carvacrol, carvotanacetone and carvomenthone selectivity (S_{Product}) at $X \sim 0.3$ for different inlet H₂/Carvone.

| Catalyst | Pd content (% wt.) | $\frac{SSA}{(m^2 g^{-1})}$ | H ₂ uptake (mmol g _{Pd} ⁻¹) | d _{STEM} (nm) | $H_2/Carvone = 1/6$ | | SCarvotanacetone/SCarvomenth one (%) | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | $R (\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{Carvone}} \mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{Pd}}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}) / \overline{TOF} (\mathrm{s}^{-1})$ | S _{Carvacrol} (%) | H ₂ /Carvone | | |
| | | | | | | | 1/1 | 5/1 | 10/1 |
| Pd | - | 3 | < 0.04 | - | $1.4 \times 10^{-3} / 0.2^{a}$ | 100 | 30/0 | 29/13 | 28/14 |
| Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ | 1.2 | 145 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.3 <mark>/ 6.2</mark> | 100 | 12/1 | 15/4 | 21/8 |
| Pd/C | 1.1 | 870 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.3 / 5.7 | 100 | 13/1 | 17/2 | 27/7 |
| Pd/CeO ₂ | 0.5 | 37 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 <mark>/ 6.7</mark> | 90 | 13/0 | 18/2 | 24/3 |

^a*TOF* obtained using Pd size (=130 nm) from H₂ chemisorption (see Experimental section).

Figure captions

Figure 1: Reaction pathways in the conversion of carvone to (target) carvacrol (**path I**, solid arrows), carvomenthol (**path II**, open arrows), dihydrocarvone (**path III**, dashed arrow) and carveol (**path IV**, dotted arrow).

Figure 2: Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) profiles for (**I**) PdO, (**II**) Pd/Al₂O₃, (**III**) Pd/C and (**IV**) Pd/CeO₂.

Figure 3: (**I**) Representative STEM image with (**II**) Pd size histogram for (**A**) Pd/Al₂O₃, (**B**) Pd/C and (**C**) Pd/CeO₂.

Figure 4: (I) Effect of inlet H₂/Carvone on selectivity to carvacrol ($S_{Carvacrol}$). *Inset*: variation of carvone fractional conversion (X) with time on-stream over Pd/Al₂O₃ (\blacksquare); (II) variation of carvacrol yield ($Y_{Carvacrol}$) with Pd content in the catalyst bed (*n*) for reaction over Pd (\bigstar), Pd/Al₂O₃ (\Box), Pd/C (\triangle) and Pd/CeO₂ (\bigcirc). *Note*: Bottom *x*-coordinate in (II) refers to Pd (\bigstar). *Reaction conditions*: T = 423 K, H₂/Carvone = 1/6 – 20/1, $n/F = 1 \times 10^{-5} - 5 \times 10^{-2}$ h, $GHSV = 2 \times 10^4 - 1 \times 10^5$ h⁻¹.





Dihydrocarvone





Figure 3



Figure 4



17