

#### PEARLS

# Elucidating the function of the prion protein

#### Giuseppe Legname\*

Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, Trieste, Italy

\* legname@sissa.it

The prion protein (PrP) has been extensively studied because of its central role in a group of neurodegenerative conditions collectively known as prion diseases. While a wealth of information is available for the pathology and transmission of these diseases, the molecular mechanisms involved are not yet clearly defined.

So, how do we learn about the molecular mechanisms underlying the pathogenic role of the PrP in disease? What have we learned about the physiological role of the PrP? Defining PrP function may shed light on pathological processes involved in prion diseases. The PrP has been shown to participate in several biological processes, including neuritogenesis, neuronal homeostasis, cell signalling, cell adhesion, and a protective role against stress. This pleiotropism has led to confusion about the precise molecular function(s) of the PrP. This essay shall attempt to clarify the most relevant physiological roles of the protein in the context of the central and peripheral nervous system.

# The PrP

The PrP can exist in 2 distinct conformations: the host-encoded, physiological cellular prion protein (PrP<sup>C</sup>) and the pathogenic isoform denoted as prion (usually referred to as PrP<sup>Sc</sup>). The latter plays a key role in the pathological outcome of prion diseases, while the former is a ubiquitous protein expressed in most cell types in mammals.

The PrP<sup>C</sup> is encoded by the *Prnp* gene located on chromosome 20 in humans (*PRNP*) and chromosome 2 in mice. Depending on the species considered, the *Prnp* gene contains either 2 or 3 exons, with the entire coding region being contained in the last exon, thus excluding possible alternative splicing [1].

The murine PrP<sup>C</sup> is a protein of about 254 amino acids prior to post-translational modifications and in its mature form is a 208–amino acid polypeptide, which is glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored to the outer leaflet of the cellular membrane with a unique primary sequence.

The unstructured N-terminal domain possesses distinctive sequences identified as octarepeats, solely represented in  $PrP^{C}$ , which are unique among all proteins. These octarepeat regions, with a consensus sequence of PHGGGWGQ, contain hystidine residues able to bind monovalent and divalent cations, such as copper ions Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>. The octarepeat sequence of the PrP binds Cu<sup>2+</sup> with distinct coordination modes [2].

On the other end, the protein presents a well-structured C-terminal domain structurally conserved in all mammals. The C-terminus contains a single disulphide bridge and 2 glycosylation sites. The asparagine residues involved in the glycosylation of the protein provide the presence of 4 different isoforms of the protein; they could be both occupied by glycans or, alternatively, only 1 could be glycosylated or none at all. The overall structure of the C-terminus is composed of 2 short antiparallel beta sheet strands and 3 alpha helices, which provide a



# 

**Citation:** Legname G (2017) Elucidating the function of the prion protein. PLoS Pathog 13(8): e1006458. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.</u>ppat.1006458

**Editor:** Heather L. True, Washington University School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Published: August 31, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Giuseppe Legname. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Italian Ministry of Health and grants from the Italian Ministry of University and Research under the FIRB program RBAP11FRE9 to GL. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The author has declared that no competing interests exist.



**Fig 1. Schematic representation of cellular prion protein (PrP<sup>C</sup>).** The N-terminal domain of  $PrP^{C}$  is unstructured and possesses distinctive sequences identified as octapeptide repeats (see main text for details). These octarepeat regions contain hystidine residues (in blue) able to bind monovalent and divalent cations, such as copper ions Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> (orange dots). The C-terminus contains a single disulphide bridge (in red) and 2 glycosylation sites. The asparagine residues involved in the glycosylation of the protein are represented in green. The overall structure of the C-terminus is composed of 2 short antiparallel beta sheet strands, namely  $\beta 1$  and  $\beta 2$  (in yellow) and 3 alpha helices, indicated as  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 2$ , and  $\alpha 3$ . A third beta sheet strand has been recently identified and named  $\beta 0$  (in yellow).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006458.g001

compact conformation retained in all mammalian species. More recently, a third beta sheet strand has been identified, which may play a role in prion conversion (Fig\_1) [3].

Via additional post-translation modifications,  $PrP^{C}$  may be subjected to proteolytic processing. One of the cleavage sites is present in the central region of the protein and produces the N-terminal N1 soluble fragment and the GPI-anchored C-terminal C1 fragment.  $PrP^{C}$  may also be present as a soluble full-length isoform, resulting either from a phospholipase cleavage of the GPI anchor or from proteolytic processing at the C-terminus. These varieties of post-translational modifications can give rise to several different isoforms and this could hamper efforts on defining  $PrP^{C}$  function(s) [4].

#### Lessons learned from knockout mouse models

With the exception of 3 PrP knockout mouse models, in which ectopic expression in the central nervous system of the PrP paralogue Doppel leads to loss of <u>Purkinje cells</u> in the <u>cerebellum</u>, the evidence that most mouse models' knockout for the PrP do not show gross abnormalities indicates that PrP<sup>C</sup> may be dispensable for embryonic development and adulthood. Nevertheless, several mouse models in which the *Prnp* gene is disrupted have been

developed [5]. Early studies using these models have implicated PrP<sup>C</sup> in circadian rhythms and sleep dysfunctions [6], altered olfactory behavior [7], neuritogenesis and neural stem/precursor cells differentiation in the central nervous system [8], and myelination of neurons in the peripheral nervous system [9].

In addition, more recent work has characterized PrP<sup>C</sup> involvement in synaptic plasticity and N-methyl-d-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) regulation [10, 11].

Overall, these knockout models have been instrumental for defining PrP<sup>C</sup> function and despite their limitations, they are still used in characterizing PrP<sup>C</sup> physiological roles in the central and peripheral nervous system.

# The function(s) of the PrP

One of the most intriguing function(s) of  $PrP^{C}$  is its involvement in cell signalling. Because of its extracellular localization, the protein could mediate environmental molecular signals to the cell. Transduction of the signals cannot be mediated directly by  $PrP^{C}$  because it is GPI anchored to the cellular membrane without direct access to the cytosol but would require interactions with other transmembrane proteins.

Perhaps the most important study and the first evidence that  $PrP^{C}$  may be involved in mediating extracellular signals is the description of a caveolin-1-dependent coupling of  $PrP^{C}$ to the proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Fyn (Fyn) [12]. Since this seminal work, it became clear that  $PrP^{C}$  could exert its function by partnering with other membrane proteins to convey cellular signalling. The neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) was identified as one preferential interactor of  $PrP^{C}$  [13]. Through physical interaction with NCAM,  $PrP^{C}$  can promote neuritogenesis via the tyrosine kinase Fyn [14–17]. In this work, the N-terminal domain of  $PrP^{C}$  is essential for regulating the neurite outgrowth and guidance function, indicating that the N-terminus of the protein, which includes the octarepeats region, is essential for its function [17]. Notably, a soluble form of full-length  $PrP^{C}$  has been used for focal stimulation of neurite outgrowth and guidance [17].

In addition, in another work it has been shown that  $PrP^{C}$  plays a critical role in NCAMdependent neuronal differentiation of neural stem/precursor cells [18].

PrP<sup>C</sup> is developmentally regulated and its high expression in the immature brain could be relevant in regulating neurogenesis and cell proliferation [19]. A recent study shows that PrP<sup>C</sup> plays a crucial role in regulating via protein kinase A (PKA) synaptic plasticity in the developing hippocampus, therefore contributing to proper synaptic formation in adulthood [10].

An important function linked to  $PrP^{C}$  expression is its involvement in myelin formation and maintenance. Aging PrP knockout mice present a clear phenotype in which the peripheral nervous system shows demyelinating disease [9]. Molecular studies have shown that the N-terminus of  $PrP^{C}$  acts as an agonistic ligand of the adhesion G-protein coupled receptor G6 (Adgrg6) receptor, the function of which is critical for myelin maintenance [20].

### **PrP regulates NMDAR**

One of the most detailed functional studies recently published deals with the involvement of the cellular form of the prion protein PrP<sup>C</sup> and copper ions in NMDAR S-nitrosylation and activity. By exploiting PrP knockout mice, the authors showed that the depletion of PrP<sup>C</sup> is associated with a reduction in the S-nitrosylation of the 2 NMDAR subunits GluN2A and GluN1, while not affecting the levels of the corresponding proteins at the synapse. The sensitivity of PrP knockout versus wild-type organotypic hippocampal cultures to N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA)-mediated excitotoxicity was monitored under a great variety of conditions that were selected in order to assess the involvement of calcium, copper, nitric oxide (NO),



Fig 2. Schematic representation of the mechanism of cellular prion protein (PrP<sup>C</sup>)-mediated S-nitrosylation of Nmethyl-d-aspartate receptor (NMDAR). One mechanism controlling NMDAR as well as other membrane ion channels involves direct modulation by nitric oxide (NO). Catalytic amounts of copper can act as electron acceptors promoting the reaction of NO with thiols, providing inhibitory S-nitrosylation (RSNO) of NMDAR. The RSNO formation can take place only after one-electron oxidation from the free radical NO to NO<sup>+</sup> by transition metal. In brief, glutamate is released from the presynaptic terminal of neurons and activates NMDAR on the postsynaptic terminal. NMDAR activation and opening generates Na<sup>+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx and K<sup>+</sup> efflux. In the cytosol, upon entrance, Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions bind to different proteins, among these, calmodulin (CaM). The CaM bound to Ca<sup>2+</sup> triggers neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and copper-transporting ATPase 1 (Atp7a). Activation of nNOS leads to NO release in the synaptic cleft. Activation of Atp7a in the trans-Golgi network (TGN) ensues in Cu<sup>2+</sup> release in the synaptic space. Transient free Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions are immediately bound by copper-binding proteins like PrP<sup>C</sup>, which is highly expressed in both pre- and postsynaptic terminals. PrP<sup>C</sup> has high affinity for both Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>+</sup> and can be found in lipid raft domains, which also contain NMDAR. NO can react with extracellular cysteine thiols of NMDAR subunits GluN1 and GluN2A, leading to cysteine S-nitrosylation (SNO-Cys). The S-nitrosylation inhibits NMDAR activation by closing the channel. The chemical reaction between NO and cysteine thiol requires the presence of an electron acceptor such as Cu<sup>2+</sup>. PrP<sup>C</sup> coordinates Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions, which support the reaction of NO with thiols, leading to the S-nitrosylation of GluN1 and GluN2A and therefore NMDAR inhibition.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006458.g002

NMDA,  $\alpha$ -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)/kainite, or GluN2B receptors. These experiments unambiguously highlight a higher sensitivity of PrP knockout mouse cultures to NMDA-mediated excitotoxicity, which can be reversed upon exposure to the NO donor S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO). Conversely, the results substantiate an increased sensitivity of wild-type cultures to NMDA-dependent excitotoxicity when copper or NO is chelated. The molecular mechanism by which PrP<sup>C</sup> acts to regulate NMDAR S-nitrosylation regulation can be summarized as follows. Upon glutamate release from the presynaptic terminal, NMDARs are activated on the postsynaptic terminal, leading to calcium entry. Via a series of molecular mechanisms, NO and copper ions are released in the synaptic cleft. Released Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions are rapidly bound by copper-binding proteins including PrP<sup>C</sup>, which is highly expressed in both presynaptic and postsynaptic terminals. PrP<sup>C</sup> has high affinity for both Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>+</sup> and it may reside in lipid raft domains, which also contain NMDAR. Synaptic NO can react with extracellular cysteine thiols of NMDAR subunits GluN1 and GluN2A, leading to cysteine S-nitrosylation. The S-nitrosylation inhibits NMDAR activation by closing the channel. The chemical reaction between NO and cysteine thiol requires the presence of an electron acceptor such as Cu<sup>2+</sup>. According to this model, PrP<sup>C</sup> positions Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions that support the reaction of NO with thiols, leading to the S-nitrosylation of GluN1 and GluN2A, thus inhibiting NMDAR [11, 21] (Fig 2).

# **Future directions**

In recent years, several function(s) of PrP<sup>C</sup> have been identified. The use of PrP knockout mouse models has been influential for studying and clarifying the molecular mechanisms in which the protein is involved. By learning the physiological function(s) of PrP<sup>C</sup>, our understanding of the neuropathological processes underlying prion diseases may progress towards the development of novel therapeutic approaches to such devastating disorders [22].

### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Ms. Giulia Salzano for providing Fig 1 and Dr. Silvia Vanni and Ms. Kate Pischke for critically reviewing the manuscript.

#### References

- Colby DW, Prusiner SB. Prions. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2011; 3(1):a006833. Epub 2011/03/ 23. doi: 3/1/a006833 [pii] https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006833 PMID: 21421910.
- Millhauser GL. Copper and the prion protein: methods, structures, function, and disease. Annu Rev Phys Chem. 2007; 58:299–320. Epub 2006/11/02. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.58. 032806.104657 PMID: 17076634.
- Abskharon RN, Giachin G, Wohlkonig A, Soror SH, Pardon E, Legname G, et al. Probing the N-terminal beta-sheet conversion in the crystal structure of the human prion protein bound to a nanobody. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136(3):937–44. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja407527p PMID: 24400836.
- McDonald AJ, Millhauser GL. PrP overdrive: does inhibition of alpha-cleavage contribute to PrP(C) toxicity and prion disease? Prion. 2014; 8(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.4161/pri.28796</u> PMID: 24721836; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4189888.
- Aguzzi A, Baumann F, Bremer J. The prion's elusive reason for being. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2008; 31:439–77. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125620 PMID: 18558863.
- Tobler I, Gaus SE, Deboer T, Achermann P, Fischer M, Rülicke T, et al. Altered circadian activity rhythms and sleep in mice devoid of prion protein. Nature. 1996; 380:639–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> 380639a0 PMID: 8602267
- Le Pichon CE, Valley MT, Polymenidou M, Chesler AT, Sagdullaev BT, Aguzzi A, et al. Olfactory behavior and physiology are disrupted in prion protein knockout mice. Nature Neuroscience. 2009; 12(1):60–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2238 ISI:000262010800016. PMID: 19098904
- Steele AD, Emsley JG, Ozdinler PH, Lindquist S, Macklis JD. Prion protein (PrPc) positively regulates neural precursor proliferation during developmental and adult mammalian neurogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103(9):3416–21. Epub 2006/02/24. doi: 0511290103 [pii] https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0511290103 PMID: 16492732.
- Bremer J, Baumann F, Tiberi C, Wessig C, Fischer H, Schwarz P, et al. Axonal prion protein is required for peripheral myelin maintenance. Nat Neurosci. 2010; 13(3):310–8. Epub 2010/01/26. doi: nn.2483 [pii] https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2483 PMID: 20098419.

- Caiati MD, Safiulina VF, Fattorini G, Sivakumaran S, Legname G, Cherubini E. PrPC controls via protein kinase A the direction of synaptic plasticity in the immature hippocampus. J Neurosci. 2013; 33 (7):2973–83. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4149-12.2013 PMID: 23407955.
- Gasperini L, Meneghetti E, Pastore B, Benetti F, Legname G. Prion protein and copper cooperatively protect neurons by modulating NMDA receptor through S-nitrosylation. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2015; 22 (9):772–84. Epub 2014/12/10. https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2014.6032 PMID: 25490055; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4361008.
- Mouillet-Richard S, Ermonval M, Chebassier C, Laplanche JL, Lehmann S, Launay JM, et al. Signal transduction through prion protein. Science. 2000; 289(5486):1925–8. Epub 2000/09/16. doi: 8827 [pii]. PMID: 10988071.
- Schmitt-Ulms G, Legname G, Baldwin MA, Ball HL, Bradon N, Bosque PJ, et al. Binding of neural cell adhesion molecules (N-CAMs) to the cellular prion protein. J Mol Biol. 2001; 314(5):1209–25. https:// doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.5183 PMID: 11743735.
- Slapsak U, Salzano G, Amin L, Abskharon RN, Ilc G, Zupancic B, et al. The N Terminus of the Prion Protein Mediates Functional Interactions with the Neuronal Cell Adhesion Molecule (NCAM) Fibronectin Domain. J Biol Chem. 2016; 291(42):21857–68. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.743435 PMID: 27535221; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5063971.
- Kanaani J, Prusiner SB, Diacovo J, Baekkeskov S, Legname G. Recombinant prion protein induces rapid polarization and development of synapses in embryonic rat hippocampal neurons in vitro. J Neurochem. 2005; 95(5):1373–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03469.x PMID: 16313516.
- Santuccione A, Sytnyk V, Leshchyns'ka I, Schachner M. Prion protein recruits its neuronal receptor NCAM to lipid rafts to activate p59fyn and to enhance neurite outgrowth. J Cell Biol. 2005; 169(2):341– 54. Epub 2005/04/27. doi: jcb.200409127 [pii] https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200409127 PMID: 15851519.
- Amin L, Nguyen XT, Rolle IG, D'Este E, Giachin G, Tran TH, et al. Characterization of prion protein function by focal neurite stimulation. J Cell Sci. 2016; 129(20):3878–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.</u> 183137 PMID: 27591261.
- Prodromidou K, Papastefanaki F, Sklaviadis T, Matsas R. Functional cross-talk between the cellular prion protein and the neural cell adhesion molecule is critical for neuronal differentiation of neural stem/ precursor cells. Stem Cells. 2014; 32(6):1674–87. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1663 PMID: 24497115.
- Benvegnu S, Poggiolini I, Legname G. Neurodevelopmental expression and localization of the cellular prion protein in the central nervous system of the mouse. J Comp Neurol. 2010; 518(11):1879–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.22357 PMID: 20394048.
- Kuffer A, Lakkaraju AK, Mogha A, Petersen SC, Airich K, Doucerain C, et al. The prion protein is an agonistic ligand of the G protein-coupled receptor Adgrg6. Nature. 2016; 536(7617):464–8. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19312">https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19312</a> PMID: 27501152.
- Stys PK, You H, Zamponi GW. Copper-dependent regulation of NMDA receptors by cellular prion protein: implications for neurodegenerative disorders. J Physiol. 2012; 590(6):1357–68. https://doi.org/10. 1113/jphysiol.2011.225276 PMID: 22310309; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3382327.
- Bolognesi ML, Legname G. Approaches for discovering anti-prion compounds: lessons learned and challenges ahead. Expert Opin Drug Discov. 2015; 10(4):389–97. Epub 2015/02/17. https://doi.org/10. 1517/17460441.2015.1016498 PMID: 25682812.