## The distribution of mass in the Orion dwarf Galaxy

N. Frusciante,<sup>1,2\*</sup> P. Salucci,<sup>1,2\*</sup> D. Vernieri,<sup>1,2\*</sup> J. M. Cannon<sup>3\*</sup> and E. C. Elson<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SISSA/ISAS, International School for Advanced Studies, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

<sup>2</sup>INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics & Astronomy, Macalester College, 1600 Grand Avenue, Saint Paul, MN 55105, USA

<sup>4</sup>International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, The University of Western Australia, M468, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

Accepted 2012 June 8. Received 2012 June 1; in original form 2012 January 10

### ABSTRACT

Dwarf galaxies are good candidates to investigate the nature of dark matter (DM), because their kinematics are dominated by this component down to small galactocentric radii. We present here the results of detailed kinematic analysis and mass modelling of the Orion dwarf galaxy, for which we derive a high-quality and high-resolution rotation curve that contains negligible non-circular motions and we correct it for the asymmetric drift. Moreover, we leverage the proximity (D = 5.4 kpc) and convenient inclination ( $47^{\circ}$ ) to produce reliable mass models of this system. We find that the universal rotation curve mass model (Freeman disc + Burkert halo + gas disc) fits the observational data accurately. In contrast, the NFW halo + Freeman disc + gas disc mass model is unable to reproduce the observed rotation curve, a common outcome in dwarf galaxies. Finally, we attempt to fit the data with a modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND) prescription. With the present data and with the present assumptions on distance, stellar mass, constant inclination and reliability of the gaseous mass, the MOND 'amplification' of the baryonic component appears to be too small to mimic the required 'dark component'. The Orion dwarf reveals a cored DM density distribution and a possible tension between observations and the canonical MOND formalism.

Key words: galaxies: dwarf - galaxies: kinematics and dynamics - dark matter.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

The measurement of the rotation curves (RCs) of disc galaxies is a powerful tool to investigate the nature of dark matter (DM), including its content relative to the baryonic components and their distributions. In particular, dwarf galaxies are good candidates to reach this aim as their kinematics are generally dominated by the dark component, down to small galactocentric radii (Persic, Salucci & Stel 1996; de Blok, McGaugh & Rubin 2001; Gentile et al. 2004; Oh et al. 2008; Salucci, Yegorova & Drory 2008). This leads to a reliable measurement of the dynamical contribution of the DM to the RC and hence of its density profile. Therefore, a dwarf galaxy like the Orion dwarf provides us with an important test as to whether DM density profiles arising in  $\Lambda$  cold dark matter ( $\Lambda$ CDM) numerical simulations (Navarro, Frenk & White 1996) are compatible with those detected in actual DM haloes around galaxies. Let us comment that NFW profile arises from pure N-body DM simulations. It is well known that, as effect of the baryonic infall in the cosmological

\*E-mail: noemi.frusciante@sissa.it (NF); salucci@sissa.it (PS); daniele.vernieri@sissa.it (DV); jcannon@macalester.edu (JMC); ed.elson@uwa.edu.au (ECE) DM haloes and of the subsequent process of stellar disc formation, shallower profiles of the DM halo may arise (see Governato et al. 2010, 2012; Maccio' et al. 2012).

Recent studies of the RCs of dwarf galaxies have tested the NFW scenario. It is now clear that kinematic data are better fitted by a DM halo with a constant density core (e.g. Borriello & Salucci 2001; de Blok, McGaugh & Rubin 2001; van den Bosch & Swaters 2001; Weldrake, de Blok & Walter 2003; Gentile et al. 2004; Simon et al. 2005; Oh et al. 2008) than by one that is centrally peaked. One specific example is DDO 47, whose velocity field is clearly best fitted if the DM halo is cored; moreover, its (small) detected non-circular motions cannot account for the discrepancy between data and the NFW predictions (Gentile et al. 2005).

The present investigation examines the DM content of the Orion dwarf galaxy. This nearby system harbours an extended H<sub>I</sub> disc, and thus provides us with an important test of the above paradigm. As we show below, the Orion dwarf is one of the few known galaxies whose kinematics *unambiguously* point towards a cored profile. This system is thus critically important for investigating the nature of the DM particle and of the evolution of DM haloes.

Modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND) accounts for the evidence that RCs of spiral galaxies are inconsistent with the corresponding distribution of the luminous matter (Milgrom

1983a,b). Rather than postulating the existence of a dark halo made by massive collisionless elementary particles, this scenario advocates that the gravitational force at low accelerations leaves the standard Newtonian regime to enter a very different one. Historically MOND has generally been successful in reproducing the RCs of spiral galaxies with only the (observed) luminous matter (e.g. Sanders 1996; Sanders & Verheijen 1998; Sanders & Noordermeer 2007). However, cases of tension between data and the MOND formalism do exist (Gentile et al. 2004). It is important to stress that in order to derive the DM density profile or to test the MOND formalism, we must know the distribution of the ordinary baryonic components, as well as have reliable measurements of the gas kinematics. For the Orion dwarf, 21-cm HI surface brightness and kinematics have recently been published (Cannon et al. 2010): their analysis provides a high-quality, high-resolution RC, which, in addition, can be easily corrected for asymmetric drift and tested for non-circular motions. This galaxy is a very useful laboratory in that a simple inspection of the RC ensures us that it shows a large mass discrepancy at all radii. Moreover, the baryonic components are efficiently modelled (i.e. no stellar bulge is evident and the stellar disc shows a well-behaved exponential profile; see Vaduvescu et al. 2005). The distance to the galaxy, which is critical for an unambiguous test of MOND (Sanders & McGaugh 2002), is estimated to be 5.4  $\pm$  1.0 Mpc (Vaduvescu et al. 2005). It is important to stress that the distance of the Orion dwarf remains a significant source of uncertainty. Vaduvescu et al. (2005) estimate the distance using the brightest stars method. The intrinsic uncertainty in this technique may allow a distance ambiguity much larger than the formal errors estimated by Vaduvescu et al. (2005), because in their work this method yields a scatter as large as 50 per cent in distance. Finally, the system's inclination (47°) is kinematically measured (see Section 3.1) and is high enough to not affect the estimate of the circular velocity. The properties described above make the Orion dwarf galaxy an attractive candidate to determine the underlying gravitational potential of the galaxy.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the stellar surface photometry. In Section 3, the H<sub>1</sub> surface density and kinematics data are presented and discussed; we also provide the analysis of possible non-circular motions of the neutral gas. In Section 4 we model the RC in the stellar disc using a cored/cusped halo framework. In Section 5 we test the Orion kinematics against the MOND formalism. Our conclusions are given in Section 6.

#### **2 STELLAR PHOTOMETRY**

Following the discussion in Cannon et al. (2010), the underlying stellar mass in the Orion dwarf is estimated using the near-infrared (IR) photometry (*J* and *K*<sub>S</sub> bands) presented by Vaduvescu et al. (2005). Those authors find  $(J - K_S) = +0.80$  and a total  $K_S$  magnitude of +10.90. When comparing to models (see below) we assume that the colour difference between *K* and *K*<sub>S</sub> is negligible; further, we assume  $L_{K, \bigcirc} = +3.33$  (Bessel 1979; Cox 2000). Accounting for extinction, the total *K*-band luminosity of the Orion dwarf is ~3.5 × 10<sup>8</sup> L<sub>☉</sub>. The mass of the stellar component was estimated by Cannon et al. (2010) to be  $(3.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^8$  M<sub>☉</sub>. The stellar surface brightness profile is well fitted by an exponential thin disc, with a scale length of  $R_D + 25 \pm 1$  arcsec (equivalent to  $1.33 \pm 0.05$  kpc at the adopted distance). Moreover, there are no departures from an exponential profile that would be indicative of a prominent central bulge.

#### **3 HI SURFACE DENSITY AND KINEMATICS**

H<sub>I</sub> spectral line imaging was acquired with the Very Large Array and presented in Cannon et al. (2010). We refer the reader to that work for a full discussion of the data handling, and we summarize salient details here. The final data cubes have a circular beam size of 20 arcsec, with a  $3\sigma$  H<sub>I</sub> column density sensitivity of  $N_{\rm HI} =$  $1.5 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. The first three moment maps (i.e. the integrated H<sub>I</sub> intensity, the velocity field and the velocity dispersion) are shown in Fig. 1.

The neutral gas disc of the Orion dwarf shows rich morphological and kinematic structure at this physical resolution. The outer disc contains tenuous H<sub>I</sub> gas, but column densities rise above the  $5 \times 10^{20}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> level at intermediate radii. There is plentiful highcolumn density (>10<sup>21</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>) H<sub>I</sub> throughout the disc. The more or less parallel isovelocity contours at inner radii are indicative of linear rotation (although almost certainly not solid body) and the curving of the outer contours suggests that the outer rotation curve has a fairly constant velocity. The outer disc contours show no evidence for a decrease in rotational velocity at large radii. In the central regions of the disc, however, some H<sub>I</sub> 'holes' or 'depressions' manifest a pronounced kink in these contours (consider the contours at 370 ± 20 km s<sup>-1</sup>). The intensity-weighted velocity dispersion averages to ~7–8 km s<sup>-1</sup> throughout the disc, although the innermost regions show dispersions above 10 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

The total H<sub>I</sub> flux integral, proportional to the H<sub>I</sub> disc mass, was found to be 50.3 ± 5.1 Jy km s<sup>-1</sup>, a value somewhat lower than the single-dish flux measure of 80.6 ± 7.72 Jy km s<sup>-1</sup> by Springob et al. (2005); the difference may arise from the lack of short interferometric spacings that provide sensitivity to diffuse structure. The total H<sub>I</sub> mass is found to be  $M_{\rm H_I} = (3.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8 \, {\rm M_{\odot}}$ . After applying the usual 35 per cent correction for helium and molecular material, we adopt  $M_{\rm gas} = (4.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^8 \, {\rm M_{\odot}}$  as the total gas mass.

In Fig. 2 we plot the 10 arcsec/20 arcsec resolution H<sub>1</sub> surface density, throughout the gas disc. A simple fit (valid out to the last measured point and for the scope of this work) yields

$$\mu_{\rm H_{I}}(r) = \frac{-0.263 \, r^3 + 1.195 \, r^2 + 3.094 \, r + 18.549}{0.154 \, r^3 - 1.437 \, r + 6.703} \,\,{\rm M_{\odot} \, pc^{-2}},$$
(1)

where *r* is in kpc. The related fitting uncertainty on  $\mu_{\rm H1}(r)$  is about 20 per cent. Fig. 2 shows that the H<sub>1</sub> surface density rises from the centre of the galaxy, reaches a maximum and then declines exponentially. At the last measured point, i.e. out to ~ 7 kpc, the profile has almost (though not completely) reached the edge of the H<sub>1</sub> disc and rapidly converges to zero. Note that, in Newtonian gravity, the outer gaseous disc contributes in a negligible way to the galaxy total gravitational potential.

#### 3.1 The circular velocity

The channel maps of the Orion dwarf provide evidence of wellordered rotation throughout the H<sub>I</sub> disc (see Cannon et al. 2010). The intensity-weighted-mean velocity field (Fig. 1b) exhibits symmetric structure in the outer disc. Twisted isovelocity contours at inner radii coincide with the H<sub>I</sub> holes near the centre of the disc. The disc is therefore dominated by circular motion. The RC of the galaxy was derived by fitting a tilted ring model to the intensityweighted-mean velocity field using the GIPSY task ROTCUR. The routine carries out a least-squares fit to  $V_{\rm los}$ , the line-of-sight velocity. To derive the best-fitting model, an iterative approach was adopted in which the various combinations of the parameters were fitted.



**Figure 1.** Comparison of the H I column density distribution (a), the intensity-weighted velocity field (b) and the intensity-weighted velocity dispersion (c); the beam size is 20 arcsec. The contour in (a) is at the  $10^{21}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> level; the contours in (b) show velocities between 320 and 420 km s<sup>-1</sup>, separated by 10 km s<sup>-1</sup>, and the thick white line corresponds to the 400 km s<sup>-1</sup> contour; the contours in (c) are at the 5, 10 and 15 km s<sup>-1</sup> levels.



**Figure 2.** Radially averaged H<sub>1</sub> mass surface density profiles of the Orion dwarf, created by averaging H<sub>1</sub> emission in concentric rings emanating from the dynamical centre found in our RC analysis. The solid/dotted lines were created from the 10 arcsec /20 arcsec resolution images.

The final RC was extracted by fixing all other parameters. The receding and approaching sides of the galaxy were fitted separately. The best-fitting parameters are  $i = (47 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ , PA =  $(20 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ ,  $V_{\text{sys}} = 368.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $(\alpha_{2000}, \delta_{2000}) = (05:45:01.66, 05:03:55.2)$  for the dynamical centre. We have realized that the



Figure 3. Inclination best fit (thick Black line) for high-resolution (10 arcsec) data (filled blue points). Error bars are plotted and in most cases are smaller than the symbol size. The errors reported (by GIPSY/ROTCUR) include only errors on the fits, while the systematic error considered ( $3^\circ$ ) is shown as a green error bar.

inclination is not dependent on the radius, and the fit is shown in Fig. 3. Its weighted value is  $(46.8 \pm 0.14)^\circ$ . Note that because the errors reported by GIPSY/ROTCUR include only errors on the fits and systematics are not included, the 3° error estimate comes from attempting the ROTCUR fits in various orders (e.g. holding each variable fixed in turn).

The resulting RC is shown in Fig. 4. Note that in this object the disc inclination is determined kinematically and therefore it is quite accurate. No result of this paper changes by adopting different values of *i*, inside the quoted error bar.

The second-order moment map for the galaxy is shown in Fig. 1(c). Throughout most of the disc, the velocity dispersion is roughly constant at  $\sigma \simeq 7 \pm 2 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ , with a more complex behaviour near the galaxy centre and at the outermost radii.



**Figure 4.** Rotation velocity corrected for asymmetric drift (filled black points), raw velocity data with error bars (filled red boxes) and the asymmetric drift correction (filled blue triangles).

This velocity dispersion estimate allows us to derive the asymmetric drift correction to the RC yielded by the tilted ring model. The observed rotation velocity,  $V_{\rm rot}$ , is related to the circular velocity  $V_{\rm c}$ via

$$V_{\rm c}^2(r) = V_{\rm rot}^2(r) - \sigma^2(r) \left[ \frac{\mathrm{d}\log\ \mu_{\rm H\,i}(r)}{\mathrm{d}\log\ r} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\log\ \sigma^2(r)}{\mathrm{d}\log\ r} \right]. \tag{2}$$

From an examination of Fig. 4 it is clear that the  $V_{\rm rot}$  and  $V_{\rm c}$  profiles differ by less than 1 per cent. Throughout this paper, we use the latter for the purposes of mass modelling. We note that in very small dwarfs this correction is not negligible ( $V_{\rm rot} \sim \sigma$ ) and it introduces an uncertainty in the analysis, e.g. Begum & Chengalur (2004).

In summary, the Orion dwarf RC has a spatial resolution of 0.26 kpc (i.e. 0.2  $R_{\rm D}$ ), and extends out to 5.1  $R_{\rm D}$ . The uncertainties on the RC are few km s<sup>-1</sup> and the error on the RC slope d log V/d log R < 0.1.

Is the circular velocity given by equation (2) a proper estimate of the gravitational field? To further investigate the presence of noncircular motions within the H1 disc that jeopardize the kinematics, we carried out a harmonic decomposition of the intensity-weighted velocity field to search for any significant non-circular components. This test is necessary in that the undetected presence of non-circular motions can lead to incorrect parametrization of the total mass distribution.

Following Schoenmakers, Franx & de Zeeuw (1997), the lineof-sight velocities from the H I velocity field are decomposed into harmonic components up to order N = 3 according to

$$V_{\rm los} = V_{\rm sys} + \sum_{m=1}^{3} c_{\rm m} \cos m\theta + s_{\rm m} \sin m\theta, \qquad (3)$$

where  $V_{\text{sys}}$  is the systemic velocity,  $c_{\text{m}}$  and  $s_{\text{m}}$  are the magnitudes of the harmonic components, *m* is the harmonic number and  $\theta$ is the azimuthal angle in the plane of the galaxy. The GIPSY task RESWRI was used to carry out the decomposition by fitting a purely circular model to the velocity field, subtracting it from the data, and then determining from the residual the magnitudes of the noncircular components. The tilted ring model fitted by RESWRI had its kinematic centre fixed to that of the purely circular tilted ring model used to derive the RC above. The position angles and inclinations were fixed to constant values of 20° and 47°, respectively.

The parameters of the best-fitting model are shown in Fig. 5. Adjacent points are separated by a beam width in order to ensure that they are largely independent of one another. We argue that because the standard tilted ring model has fewer free parameters than the model incorporating the higher order Fourier components, it is not as essential to space the points on the rotation curve by a full beam width. Then in this model only 16 points are considered instead of the 26 points used in fitting the RC.

At inner radii the inferred non-circular motions are not negligible, but this is almost certainly due to the fact that the H<sub>I</sub> distribution over this portion of the disc is irregular, being dominated by the large central H<sub>I</sub> underdensities. The harmonic components of the outer disc are, instead, reliable and demonstrate the gas flow to be dominated by circular kinematics. The circular velocity so obtained well matches that found by means of the tilted ring model presented



Figure 5. Non-circular velocity components as derived by carrying out a harmonic decomposition of the intensity-weighted-mean velocity field. The  $c_1$  profile corresponds to the circular RC. The RC derived by fitting tilted ring model to the intensity-weighted-mean velocity field is shown as a solid blue curve.

above. The amplitudes of  $c_2$  and  $s_2$  are too small to hide a cusp inside an apparently solid body RC (as suggested by Hayashi & Navarro 2006). These results provide further decisive support for the use of  $V_{\rm rot}$  of the Orion dwarf as a tracer of its mass distribution.

#### 4 MASS MODELLING

We model the Orion dwarf as consisting of two 'luminous' components, namely the stellar and the gaseous discs, embedded in a dark halo. The stellar component is modelled as an exponential thin disc (Freeman 1970) with a scale length of 1.33 kpc. Any bulge component is assumed to be negligible in terms of mass. The dynamical contribution of the gas to the observed RC is derived from the H I total intensity map. A scaling factor of 1.33 is incorporated to account for the presence of helium and other elements. For the dark halo we consider two different parametrizations of the mass distribution: an NFW profile (Navarro et al. 1996) and the cored profile of the halo universal rotation curve (URCH) (Salucci et al. 2007). It is well known that the NFW profile is one outcome in numerical simulations of CDM structure formation, whereas the cored profile (an empirical result), by design, fits the broad range of RC shapes of spiral galaxies.

#### 4.1 Mass models: stellar disc + dark matter halo

The RC is modelled as the quadrature sum of the RCs of the individual mass components:

$$V_{\rm mod}^2 = V_{\rm D}^2 + V_{\rm DM}^2 + V_{\rm gas}^2.$$
 (4)

For the cored halo parametrization we adopt the URCH profile:

$$V_{\text{URCH}}^{2}(r) = 6.4 \frac{\rho_{0} r_{0}^{2}}{r} \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_{0}} \right) - \arctan \left( \frac{r}{r_{0}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{r^{2}}{r_{0}^{2}} \right) \right], \qquad (5)$$

where the disc mass, the core radius  $r_0$  and the central halo density  $\rho_0$  are free parameters.

It is evident that this model yields a total RC that fits the data extremely well (see Fig. 6, left-hand panel), with best-fitting parameters of  $r_0 = (3.14 \pm 0.32)$  kpc,  $M_D = (3.5 \pm 1.8) \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$  and  $\rho_0 = (4.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-24}$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. More accurate statistics is not necessary; the mass model predicts all the V(r) data points within their observational uncertainty.

Note that the derived value of the disc mass agrees with the photometric estimate discussed above. The corresponding virial mass and radius of the DM halo are  $M_{\rm vir} = (5.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$  (see equation 10 in Salucci et al. 2007) and  $R_{\rm vir} = 95.5^{+5}_{-4}$  kpc (Eke, Cole & Frenk 1996), respectively. We note that the Orion dwarf has a mass 20 times smaller than that of the Milky Way, with the DM halo dominating the gravitational potential at all galactocentric radii. The baryonic fraction is  $f_{\rm b} = (M_{\rm D} + M_{\rm gas})/M_{\rm vir} = 0.016$ , while the gas fraction is  $M_{\rm gas}/M_{\rm vir} = 9 \times 10^{-3}$ .

The RC for the NFW DM profile is

$$V_{\rm NFW}^2(r) = V_{\rm vir}^2 \frac{g(c)}{xg(cx)},\tag{6}$$

where  $x = r/R_{\rm vir}$ ,  $g(c) = [ln(1 + c) - c/(1 + c)]^{-1}$  and  $c(M_{\rm vir}) = 9.60(M_{\rm vir}/10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot})^{-0.075}$  is the concentration parameter (see Klypin, Trujillo-Gomez & Primack 2011). We fitted the RC of the Orion dwarf by adjusting  $M_{\rm vir}$  and  $M_{\rm D}$ . The resulting best-fitting values are  $M_{\rm vir} = (2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$  and  $M_{\rm D} = (6.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ , but since  $\chi^2_{\rm red} \simeq 3.3$ , i.e. the fit is unsuccessful, the best-fitting values of the free parameters and those of their fitting uncertainties do not have a clear physical meaning. We plot the results in the right-hand panel of Fig. 6. The NFW model, at galactocentric radii r < 2 kpc, overestimates the observed circular velocity (see Fig. 6, right-hand panel).

# 5 ORION KINEMATICS IN THE MOND CONTEXT

An alternative to Newtonian gravity was proposed by Milgrom (1983a) to explain the phenomenon of mass discrepancy in galaxies. It was suggested that the true acceleration a of a test particle, at low accelerations, is different from the standard Newtonian acceleration,  $a_N$ :

$$a = \frac{a_{\rm N}}{\mu(a/a_0)},\tag{7}$$

where  $\mu(a/a_0)$  is an interpolation function and  $a_0 = 1.35 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm s}^{-2}$  is the critical acceleration at which the transition occurs (see Famaey et al. 2007). For this we adopt the following form of the interpolation function (see Famaey & Binney 2005):

$$\mu(a/a_0) = \frac{a/a_0}{1 + a/a_0}.$$
(8)

In this framework the circular velocity profile can be expressed as a function of  $a_0$  and of the standard Newtonian contribution of the



Figure 6. Left: URC model of Orion dwarf. The circular velocity (filled circles with error bars) is modelled (thick red line) by a Freeman disc (magenta line), a URCH halo (green line) and the H I circular velocity (black line). Right: NFW model of Orion dwarf. The circular velocity data (filled circles with error bars) are modelled (thick blue line) including a Freeman disc profile (magenta line), a NFW halo profile (Orange line) and the H I circular velocity (black line). In both cases the values of the free parameters are reported in the text.

© 2012 The Authors, MNRAS **426**, 751–757 Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society © 2012 RAS



**Figure 7.** MOND model of Orion dwarf spiral. The circular velocity data (filled circles with error bars) are modelled with the MOND profile (thick cyan line). Also shown are the (Newtonian) Freeman disc contribution (magenta line), the H I contribution (black line) and the total baryonic contribution (orange line).

baryons to the RC,  $V_{\text{bar}} = (V_{\text{D}}^2 + V_{\text{gas}}^2)^{1/2}$ , obtaining for it

$$V_{\rm MOND}^2 = V_{\rm bar}^2(r) \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4a_0 r}{V_{\rm bar}^2(r)}} + 1}{2}\right)$$
(9)

(see Richtler et al. 2008). Equation (9) shows that in the MOND framework the resulting RC is similar to the no-DM standard Newtonian one, with an additional term that works to mimic and substitute for the DM component (Sanders & McGaugh 2002). No result of this paper changes by adopting the 'standard' MOND interpolation function (see Zhao & Famaey 2006).

The best-fitting MOND mass model is shown in Fig. 7. The model total RC (cyan line) completely fails to match the observations. We fix the stellar mass  $M_{\rm D}$  at  $M_{\rm D} = 2.6 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ . If we let the disc mass becomes higher, covering the mass range estimated in Cannon et al. (2010), the fit is not even able to reproduce the RC at inner radii. Note that in the MOND formalism, the distance of the galaxy and the amount of gaseous mass are both crucial in deriving the model RC. To quantify the discrepancy of these observations with the MOND formalism, note that only if the Orion dwarf were 1.9 times more distant than the current estimate we would obtain a satisfactory fit to the RC (see Fig. 8).

#### **6** CONCLUSIONS

The Orion dwarf galaxy is representative of a population of dwarfs with a steep inner RC that gently flattens at the edge of the gas disc. The observed kinematics imply the presence of large amounts of DM also in the central regions. We have used new H<sub>I</sub> observations of the Orion dwarf to analyse its kinematics and derive the mass model. The derived RC is very steep and it is dominated by DM at nearly all galactocentric radii. Baryons are unable to account for the observed kinematics and are only a minor mass component at all galactic radii.

We have used various mass modelling approaches in this work. Using the NFW halo, we find that this model fails to match the observed kinematics (as occurs in other similar dwarfs). We show that non-circular motions cannot resolve this discrepancy. Then we modelled the galaxy by assuming the URCH parametrization of the DM halo. We found that this cored distribution fits very well the observed kinematics. Orion is a typical dwarf showing a cored



**Figure 8.** MOND model of Orion dwarf spiral for a distance from the galaxy of ~10 Mpc, a factor of 1.9 farther than the nominal distance. The legend to the lines is the same as Fig. 7. The resulting disc mass is  $M_{\rm D} = 4.2 \times 10^8 \, {\rm M_{\odot}}$ .

profile of the DM density and the well-known inability of DM halo cuspy profiles to reproduce the observed kinematics. Finally, we find that the MOND model is discrepant with the data if we adopt the literature galaxy distance and gas mass. The kinematic data can be reproduced in the MOND formalism if we allow for significant adjustments of the distance and/or value of the gas mass. Let us point out that the present interferometric observations may miss some of the objects' H I flux, although this may be limited in that the cubes do not have significant negative bowls. Obviously, for bigger values of the H I mass, the distance at which the baryon components would well fit the data will also somewhat decrease.

It is worth stressing that there is a galaxy distance (albeit presently not-favoured) for which MOND would strike an extraordinary success in reproducing the observed kinematics of the Orion dwarf.

The Orion dwarf has a favourable inclination, very regular gas kinematics, a small asymmetric drift correction, a well-understood baryonic matter distribution and a large discrepancy between luminous and dynamical mass. All of these characteristics make this system a decisive benchmark for the MOND formalism and a promising target for further detailed studies. Of particular value would be a direct measurement of the distance (for example, IR observations with the Hubble Space Telescope would allow a direct distance measurement via the magnitude of the tip of the red giant branch).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referee, Gianfranco Gentile, for his very fruitful comments that have increased the level of presentation of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Begum A., Chengalur J. N., 2004, A&A, 413, 525
- Bessell M. S., 1979, PASP, 91, 589
- Borriello A., Salucci P., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 285
- Cannon J. M., Haynes K., Most H., Salzer J. J., Haugland K., Scudder J., Sugden A., Weindling J., 2010, AJ, 139, 2170
- Cox A. N., 2000, Allens Astrophysical Quantities, 4th edn. Springer, New York
- de Blok E., McGaugh S., Rubin V. C., 2001, ApJ, 122, 2396
- Eke V. R., Cole S., Frenk C. S., 1996, MNRAS, 282, 263

- Famaey B., Binney J., 2005, MNRAS, 363, 603
- Famaey B., Gentile G., Bruneton J. P., Zhao H. S., 2007, Phys. Rev. D, 75, 063002
- Freeman K. C., 1970, ApJ, 160, 811
- Gentile G., Salucci P., Klein U., Vergani D., Kalberla P., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 903
- Gentile G., Burkert A., Salucci P., Klein U., Walter F., 2005, ApJ, 634, L145
- Governato F. et al., 2010, Nat, 463, 203
- Governato F. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 1231
- Hayashi E., Navarro J. F., 2006, MNRAS, 373, 1117
- Klypin A. A., Trujillo-Gomez S., Primack J., 2011, ApJ, 740, 102
- Maccio' A. V., Stinson G., Brook C. B., Wadsley J., Couchman H. M. P., Shen S., Gibson B. K., Quinn T., 2012, ApJ, 744, L9
- Milgrom M., 1983a, ApJ, 270, 365
- Milgrom M., 1983b, ApJ, 270, 371
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1996, ApJ, 462, 563
- Oh S. H., de Blok W. J. G., Walter F., Brinks E., Kennicutt R. C. Jr, 2008, AJ, 136, 2761
- Persic M., Salucci P., Stel F., 1996, MNRAS, 281, 27
- Richtler T., Schuberth Y., Hilker M., Dirsch B., Bassino L., Romanowsky A. J., 2008, A&A, 478, L23

- Salucci P., Lapi A., Tonini C., Gentile G., Yegorova I., Klein U., 2007, MNRAS, 378, 41
- Salucci P., Yegorova I. A., Drory N., 2008, MNRAS, 388, 159
- Sanders R. H., 1996, ApJ, 473, 117
- Sanders R. H., McGaugh S. S., 2002, ARA&A, 40, 263
- Sanders R. H., Noordermeer E., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 702 Sanders R. H., Verheijen M. A. W., 1998, ApJ, 503, 97
- Sanders R. H., Verheijen W. A. W., 1998, ApJ, 505,
- Schoenmakers R. H. M., Franx M., de Zeeuw P. T., 1997, MNRAS, 292, 349
- Simon Joshua D., Bolatto A. D., Leroy A., Blitz L., Gates E. L., 2005, ApJ, 621, 757
- Springob C. M., Haynes M. P., Giovanelli R., Kent B. R., 2005, ApJS, 160, 149
- Vaduvescu O., McCall M. L., Richer M. G., Fingerhut R. L., 2005, AJ, 130, 1593
- van den Bosch F. C., Swaters R. A., 2001, MNRAS, 325, 1017
- Weldrake D. T. F., de Blok W. J. G., Walter F., 2003, MNRAS, 340, 12
- Zhao H. S., Famaey B., 2006, ApJ, 638, L9

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.