SCUOLA INTERNAZIONALE SUPERIORE DI STUDI AVANZATI

SISSA Digital Library

.

Riemann-Roch theorems and elliptic genus for virtually smooth schemes

(Article begins on next page)

09 March 2020

RIEMANN-ROCH THEOREMS AND ELLIPTIC GENUS FOR VIRTUALLY SMOOTH SCHEMES

BARBARA FANTECHI AND LOTHAR GÖTTSCHE

ABSTRACT. For a proper scheme X with a fixed 1-perfect obstruction theory $E^\bullet$, we define virtual versions of holomorphic Euler characteristic, χ_{-y}-genus, and elliptic genus; they are deformation invariant, and extend the usual definition in the smooth case. We prove virtual versions of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorems. We show that the virtual χ_{-y}-genus is a polynomial, and use this to define a virtual topological Euler characteristic. We prove that the virtual elliptic genus satisfies a Jacobi modularity property; we state and prove a localization theorem in the toric equivariant case. We show how some of our results apply to moduli spaces of stable sheaves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

Let X be a scheme which admits a global embedding in a smooth scheme, and $E^\bullet$ a 1-perfect obstruction theory for X. One can view the pair $(X, E^\bullet)$ as being a *virtually smooth scheme* of expected (or virtual) dimension $d := \operatorname{rk} E^\bullet$; indeed, many definitions for smooth schemes have been extended to this case, in particular, the pair has a virtual fundamental class $[X]^{vir} \in A_d(X)$ and a virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{vir} \in K_0(X)$, which behave well under deformations of the pair.

In this paper we want to extend to complete virtually smooth schemes other important notions: in particular, we define and study virtual versions of the holomorphic Euler characteristic for elements $V \in K^0(X)$, and of the χ_{-y} genus and the elliptic genus. As a consequence, we can also define a virtual version of the topological Euler characteristic and of the signature. The virtual holomorphic Euler characteristic was already considered in [Lee], although it is not given this name. In this paper we will see that these invariants behave in a very similar way to their non-virtual counterparts for smooth complete schemes. All of these invariants reduce to the usual ones if X is smooth and $E^\bullet$ is the cotangent bundle, and they are deformation invariant.

The main results of the paper are a virtual version of the Theorems of Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch and of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (in the latter, the target is supposed to be smooth, and not just virtually smooth). We also prove that the virtual χ_{-y}-genus is actually a polynomial of degree d, and show that the virtual Euler number (defined as $\chi_{-1}(X)$) can be expressed as the degree of the virtual top Chern class. We show that as in the case of smooth varieties, the virtual elliptic genus of a virtual Calabi-Yau manifold is a weak Jacobi form. Finally, as an easy consequence of the virtual Riemann-Roch Theorem and the virtual localization of [GP] we establish a localization formula for the virtual holomorphic Euler characteristic, in case everything is equivariant under the action of a torus.

In the particular case where X has lci singularities and $E^\bullet = L_X^\bullet$ the cotangent complex, we prove that the virtual topological Euler characteristic coincides with Fulton's Chern class and deduce that this is invariant under deformations for proper lci schemes.

This paper deals mostly with virtually smooth schemes and not with stacks, although it should be possible to generalize to the case of Deligne-Mumford stacks. We finish the paper by a partial generalization to the case of gerbes, which allows to apply the results to moduli spaces of sheaves on surfaces and on threefolds with effective anticanonical bundle.

The original motivation for this work comes mostly from moduli spaces of coherent sheaves on surfaces. In [GNY], K-theoretic Donaldson invariants are introduced as the holomorphic Euler characteristics of determinant bundles on moduli spaces of stable coherent sheaves on an algebraic surface S, and for surfaces with $p_g = 0$ their wallcrossing behaviour is studied in the rank 2 case, under assumptions that ensure that the moduli spaces are well-behaved. Using the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem these assumptions can be removed, and many other results of [M1] that a priori only apply to the usual Donaldson invariants can be extended to the K-theoretic Donaldson invariants. In the forthcoming paper [GNMY] this program is carried out. In particular is is easy to calculate the wallcrossing for the virtual Euler characteristic, and show that it is given by the same formula as in the case of so-called good walls (see [Gö]). In [DM] a physical derivation of a wallcrossing formula for Euler numbers of moduli spaces of sheaves is given in a very general context, which in particular implies that the wallcrossing formula is the same in the virtual and in the non-virtual case.

The Euler numbers of moduli spaces of stable coherent sheaves on surfaces have been studied by many authors. In [VW], Vafa and Witten made predictions about their generating functions, in particular, they are supposed to be given by modular forms. This has been checked in a number of cases. In general when these moduli spaces are very singular, there is to our knowledge no mathematical interpretation for the Euler numbers that figure in the predictions of [VW]. We hope that our definition of the virtual Euler number will provide such an interpretation.

The χ_{-y}-genus and the elliptic genus are natural refinements of the Euler number. Thus it is natural to refine the virtual Euler characteristic to the virtual χ_{-y}-genus and the virtual elliptic genus, and hope for their generating functions to have modularity properties. If the moduli spaces are smooth of the expected dimension, this has in many cases been shown.

The results in this paper are closely related to those obtained for $[0,1]$-manifolds by Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov in [CFK3]; there they prove the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem and a localization formula in K-theory. As explained to us by Ciocan-Fontanine, from their results the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem for morphisms of

$[0, 1]$-manifolds easily follows under the same assumptions as in our paper. They also construct a cobordism class associated to a $[0, 1]$-manifold, which implies the possibility of introducing and studying genera for $[0, 1]$-manifolds, such as the elliptic genus.

The language of $[0, 1]$-manifolds and virtually smooth schemes are closely related as follows. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a $[0, 1]$-manifold, then $(\pi_0(\mathcal{X}), \Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}|\pi_0(\mathcal{X})})$ is a virtually smooth scheme by [CFK3, Prop. 3.2.4]; on the other hand, it is expected that all virtually smooth moduli spaces arise in this way. This was proven by Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov in the following cases: for the Quot scheme and (in outline) the moduli stack of stable sheaves in [CFK1], and for the Hilbert scheme and the moduli stack of stable maps in [CFK2].

We thank I. Ciocan-Fontanine and M. Kapranov for showing us a preliminary version of the paper in June 2006 with the above mentioned material, except for the cobordism. One of the steps in our proof of the virtual Riemann-Roch-Theorem is an adaptation of the corresponding argument in [CFK3]. Differently from Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov, our motivation for studying this problem, came from the study of K-theoretic Donaldson invariants, and we also consider to some extent the stack version of the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem, as well as modular properties of the virtual elliptic genus.

In the papers [J1],[J2] Joshua deals in great generality with the relation of the virtual fundamental class and the virtual structure sheaf for Deligne-Mumford stacks with a perfect obstruction theory. Mochizuki informed us that he independently proved the virtual Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, with applications to K-theoretic Donaldson invariants [M2].

2. Background material

2.1. **Conventions.** A scheme will be a separated scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We assume that all schemes under consideration admit a global embedding in a smooth scheme.

If S is a scheme, we denote by $A_*(S)$ the Chow group of S *with rational coefficients*, and

by $A^*(S)$ the Chow cohomology of S (as defined in [Fu, Def. 17.3]), also with rational coefficients.

We often omit i_* from the notation when $i : S \to S'$ is a closed embedding of schemes and i_* is either the induced map $A_*(S) \to A_*(S')$ or $K_0(S) \to K_0(S')$.

2.2. **Grothendieck groups.** Let S be a scheme. We let $K^0(S)$ be the Grothendieck group generated by locally free sheaves, and $K_0(S)$ the Grothendieck group generated by coherent sheaves; we recall that $K^0(S)$ is naturally an algebra, contravariant under arbitrary morphisms, and $K_0(S)$ is a module over $K^0(S)$, covariant under proper morphisms. Moreover, the natural homomorphism $K^0(S) \to K_0(S)$ (induced by the inclusion of locally free sheaves inside coherent sheaves) is an isomorphism if S is smooth.

2.3. **Grothendieck groups and perfect complexes.** The fact that a scheme X can be embedded as a closed subscheme in a smooth separated scheme implies that every coherent sheaf is a quotient of a locally free sheaf (it would be enough to assume irreducible, reduced and locally factorial instead of smooth: see [Ha, exercise III.6.8]). In other words, all schemes we consider have enough locally frees.

A complex $E^\bullet \in D^b(X)$ on an arbitrary scheme X is called *perfect* if it is locally isomorphic to a finite complex of locally free sheaves. We write $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$ for the full subcategory whose objects are the perfect complexes.

Since we assume that X has enough locally frees, any perfect complex has a global resolution, i.e. a quasi-isomorphic complex which is globally a finite complex of locally frees. One can therefore define for every object $E^\bullet$ in $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$ an element $[E^\bullet] \in K^0(X)$ defined to be equal to $\sum_{i=m}^{n}(-1)^i[F^i]$ for $F^m \to \ldots \to F^n$ a global locally free resolution of $E^\bullet$; it is easy to show that the map $E^\bullet \mapsto [E^\bullet]$ is well-defined and behaves well with respect to quasi-isomorphisms and distinguished triangles.

In case one wants to extend the results of this paper to a more general situation, e.g. X an arbitary scheme or an algebraic stack, care will have to be taken to assume that the relevant objects admit a global resolution.

2.4. **Todd and Chern classes.** Let E be a rank r vector bundle on a scheme S, and denote by $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ its Chern roots. The Chern character $\mathrm{ch}(E)$ and the Todd class $\mathrm{td}(E)$ are defined by

$$\mathrm{ch}(V) := \sum_{i=1}^{r} e^{x_i} \text{ and } \mathrm{td}(V) := \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}}.$$

These extend naturally to a ring homomorphism $\mathrm{ch} : K^0(S) \to A^*(S)$ and a group homomorphism $\mathrm{td} : (K^0(S), +) \to (A^*(S)^\times, \cdot)$ to the multiplicative group of units in the ring $A^*(S)$.

The morphism det associating to a rank r vector bundle E on S its determinant $\det E :=$ $\bigwedge^r E \in \operatorname{Pic} X$ extends naturally to a group homomorphism $\det : K^0(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X)$. The homomorphisms ch, td and det commute with pullback via arbitrary morphisms.

2.5. **Perfect morphisms.** A morphism $f : X \to Y$ of schemes is *perfect* [Fu, Example 15.1.8] if and only if it factors as a closed embedding $i : X \to S$ such that $i_*(\mathcal{O}_Z) \in D^b(S)$ is perfect and admits a global resolution, and a smooth morphism $p : S \to Y$. In particular every lci morphism of schemes admitting a closed embedding in smooth, separated schemes is perfect. In this case one can define a *Gysin homomorphism*

$$f^* : K_0(X) \to K_0(Y), f^*([\mathcal{F}]) = \sum_i (-1)^i \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(i_*\mathcal{O}_Z, p^*\mathcal{F}).$$

Note in particular that the closed embedding of the zero locus of a regular section of a vector bundle is perfect, since we can always use the Koszul resolution.

2.6. **Riemann Roch for arbitrary schemes.** We will use the notations of [Fu, Chapter 18]. In particular we use [Fu, Theorem 18.2, Theorem 18.3]. For every scheme S let $\tau_S : K_0(S) \to A_*(S)$ be the group homomorphism defined in [Fu, Theorem 18.3]. We recall in particular the following properties, which are taken almost verbatim from Theorem 18.3:

(1) module homomorphism: for any $V \in K^0(S)$ and any $\mathcal{F} \in K_0(S)$ one has $\tau_S(V \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{ch}(V) \cap \tau_S(\mathcal{F})$;
(2) Todd: if S is smooth, $\tau_S(\mathcal{O}_S) = \operatorname{td}(T_S) \cap [S]$; hence for every $V \in K^0(S)$ one has $\tau_S(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) = \operatorname{ch}(V) \cdot \operatorname{td}(T_S) \cap [S]$;
(3) covariance: for every proper morphism $f : S \to S'$ one has $f_* \circ \tau_S = \tau_{S'} \circ f_* : K_0(S) \to A_*(S')$;
(4) local complete intersection: if $f : X \to Y$ is an lci morphism, and $\alpha \in K_0(Y)$, then $f^*(\tau_Y(\alpha)) = (\operatorname{td} T_f)^{-1} \cap \tau_X(f^*\alpha)$.

2.7. **Fulton's Chern class.** Let X be a scheme and $i : X \to M$ a closed embedding in a smooth scheme. Fulton's Chern class of X (we take the name from [A]) is defined in [Fu, Example 4.2.6] to be $c_F(X) = c(T_M|_X) \cap s(X, M) \in A_*(X)$; it is shown there that $c_F(X)$ is independent of the choice of the embedding. In [A] $c_F(X)$ for hypersurfaces is related to the Schwarz-MacPherson Chern class $c_*(X)$, which has the property that $\deg(c_*(X)) = e(X)$. It is easy to see ([Fu, Example 4.2.6]), that for plane curves C, $\deg(c_F(C)) = e(C')$ where C' is a smooth plane curve of the same degree. Note that C is lci and C' is a smoothening of C. We will generalize this statement to arbitrary proper lci schemes in Theorem 4.15.

3. Virtual Riemann-Roch theorems

In this section we prove a virtual version of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem for a proper morphism from a virtually smooth scheme to a smooth scheme. It would be interesting to have a more general version for proper morphisms of virtually smooth schemes, but at the moment we do not have that.

3.1. **Setup and notation.** This setup will be fixed throughout the paper. We will fix a scheme X with a 1-perfect obstruction theory $E^\bullet$; whenever needed, we also choose an explicit global resolution of $E^\bullet$ as a complex of vector bundles $[E^{-1} \to E^0]$, which exists by 2.3.

We denote by $[E_0 \to E_1]$ the dual complex and by d the expected dimension $d :=$ $\mathrm{rk}\, E^\bullet = \mathrm{rk}\, E^0 - \mathrm{rk}\, E^{-1}$. Recall that all schemes are assumed to be separated, of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and admitting a closed embedding in a smooth scheme.

Let $T_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K^0(X)$ be the class $[E_0] - [E_1]$. Note that (as explained in 2.3) T_X^{vir} only depends on X and $E^\bullet$, and not on the particular resolution chosen.

3.2. **Virtual fundamental class and structure sheaf.** We recall from [BF, Section 5] the definition of virtual fundamental class. Let $\mathfrak{C}_X$ be the intrinsic normal cone of X; it is naturally a closed substack of $\mathfrak{N}_X := h^1/h^0((\tau_{\geq -1} L_X^\bullet)^\vee)$, the intrinsic normal sheaf. The map ϕ induces a closed embedding $\mathfrak{N}_X \to \mathfrak{E} := h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$, and $\mathfrak{E} = [E_1/E_0]$. Let $C(E)$ be inverse image of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ in E_1 via the natural projection $E_1 \to \mathfrak{E}$; it is a cone over X of pure dimension equal to the rank of E_0. Let $s_0 : X \to E_1$ be the zero section; s_0 is a closed regular embedding, hence following [Fu, Def. 3.3] we can denote by $s_0^* : A_*(E_1) \to A_*(X)$ the natural, degree $-\mathrm{rk}(E_1)$ pullback map (or Gysin homomorphism). Then the virtual fundamental class $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is by definition equal to $s_0^*([C(E)]) \in A_d(X)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K_0(X)$ the virtual structure sheaf of X, whose definition we now briefly recall.

The virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \mathrm{Tor}_i^{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Note that the sum is indeed finite; in fact, since X is the zero locus of the tautological section s of the bundle $\pi^* E_1$ (where $\pi : E_1 \to X$ is the natural projection), we can give an explicit finite locally free resolution of $s_{0*} \mathcal{O}_X$ on E_1 by the Koszul complex $(\bigwedge^*(\pi^* E_1^\vee), s^\vee)$. In other words, $s_0 : X \to E_1$ is a perfect morphism in the sense of 2.5 and

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}} = s_0^*([\mathcal{O}_C]).$$

See [BF, Rem. 5.4] for more details.

3.3. **A fundamental identity.** Let $[F^{-1} \to F^0]$ be a global resolution of $\tau_{\geq -1}(L_X)$, i.e. a complex of coherent sheaves on X with F^0 locally free of rank r, with an isomorphism $\psi : F_0 \to \tau_{\geq -1} L_X^\bullet$. Notice that such a global resolution is uniquely determined by a morphism $\lambda : F^0 \to \tau_{\geq -1}(L_X)$ such that $h^0(\lambda) : F^0 \to \Omega_X$ is surjective.

We can use it to identify the intrinsic normal sheaf of X with $[F_1/F_0]$, and hence we get an induced cone $C(F) \subset F_1$ of pure dimension r, the inverse image of the intrinsic normal cone inside $[F_1/F_0]$. If $i : X \to M$ is a closed embedding in a smooth scheme, we can choose $F^\bullet$ to be $[I/I^2 \to \Omega_M|_X]$; we call it the resolution induced by $i : X \to M$. If $\phi : E^\bullet \to \tau_{\geq -1} L_X$ is an obstruction theory, then we can choose $F^0 = E^0$ and $F^{-1} = E^{-1}/\ker h^{-1}\phi$, with the induced map; we call it the resolution induced by the obstruction theory. We denote by $p_F : C(F) \to X$ the natural projection.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $F^\bullet$ be a presentation of $\tau_{\geq -1}(L_X)$. Let $p : C(F) \to X$ be the projection. Then*

$$\tau_{C(F)}(\mathcal{O}_{C(F)}) = p_F^*(\mathrm{td}\, F_0) \cap [C(F)] \in A_*(C(F)).$$

Proof. First step: it is enough to prove the proposition for one particular presentation. Indeed, given two presentations $F^\bullet$ and $G^\bullet$, we can compare either of them with the presentation $K^\bullet$ induced by $K^0 := F^0 \oplus G^0 \to \tau_{\geq -1} L_X$. As in [BF, Proposition 5.3], the inclusion $F^0 \to K^0$ induces a surjection $\bar{\rho} : K_1 \to F_1$, and $C(K) = \bar{\rho}^{-1}(C(F))$. We let $\rho : C(K) \to C(F)$ be the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$; the map ρ is part of a natural exact sequence of cones (in the sense of [Fu, Example 4.1.6]) on X

$$0 \to G_0 \to C(K) \to C(F) \to 0.$$

In particular ρ is an affine bundle (in the sense of [Fu, Section 1.9]) with $T_\rho = p_K^* G_0$. Since it is an affine bundle, ρ^* induces an isomorphism on Chow rings. So the statement holds for $F^\bullet$ if and only if

$$\rho^*(\tau_{C(F)}(\mathcal{O}_{C(F)})) = \rho^*(p_F^*(\mathrm{td}\, F_0) \cap [C(F)]) \in A_*(C(K)).$$

Since $p_K = p_F \circ \rho$, and $\rho^*([C(F)] = (C[K])$, the right hand side is equal to $p_K^*(\mathrm{td}\, F_0) \cap [C(K)]$. By 2.6 applied to the smooth (hence lci) morphism ρ, the left hand side is equal to $\mathrm{td}(T_\rho)^{-1} \cap \tau_{C(K)}(\mathcal{O}_{C(K)})$. So the equality holds for F iff the equality

$$\mathrm{td}(T_\rho)^{-1} \cap \tau_{C(K)}(\mathcal{O}_{C(K)}) = p_K^*(\mathrm{td}\, F_0) \cap [C(K)]$$

holds in $A_*(C(K))$. Applying on both sides the invertible element $\mathrm{td}(T_\rho) = p_K^*(\mathrm{td}\, G_0)$ yields the equivalent formulation

$$\tau_{C(K)}(\mathcal{O}_{C(K)}) = (p_K^*(\mathrm{td}\, G_0)p_K^*(\mathrm{td}\, F_0)) \cap [C(G)]$$

which is just the statement for K, since $K_0 = F_0 \oplus G_0$ and hence $\operatorname{td} K_0 = \operatorname{td} F_0 \cdot \operatorname{td}(G_0)$. Second step: it is therefore enough to prove this in the case of the resolution induced by a closed embedding $i : X \to M$ in a smooth scheme (which exists by assumption). This is proven in in [CFK3, Lemma (4.3.2)] under the additional assumption that X and M be quasiprojective, and we use a variation of their argument. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be the degeneration to the normal cone, such that $\pi^{-1}(0) = C_{X/M}$ and $\widetilde{M_0} := \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_0^1) = M \times \mathbb{A}_0^1$ (where we write $\mathbb{A}_0^1$ for $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$); let $q : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be the natural morphism, composition of the blowup map $\widetilde{M} \to M \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and the projection to the first factor. Let $f : C_{X/M} \to \widetilde{M}$ be the natural closed embedding; f is regular, hence an lci morphism, and T_f is $-[\mathcal{O}_{C_{X/M}}]$, hence $\operatorname{td}(T_f) = 1$. Let $\beta := \tau_{\widetilde{M}}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}} - q^* \operatorname{td}(T_M)) \cap [\widetilde{M}] \in A_*(\widetilde{M})$. By 2.6 applied to the regular embedding f with $\alpha = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}}$, it is enough to prove that $f^*\beta = 0$ in $A_*(C_{X/M})$ since $p = q \circ f$. Let $j : \widetilde{M_0} \to \widetilde{M}$ be the (open) inclusion. Then $j^*\beta = 0$ since $\widetilde{M_0}$ is smooth and $\operatorname{td}(T_{\widetilde{M_0}}) = q^* \operatorname{td}(T_M) \cdot \pi^* \operatorname{td}(T_{\mathbb{A}_0^1}) = q^* \operatorname{td}(T_M)$. But the argument in [Fu, Section 10.1] (paragraph starting "if T is a curve") show that from $j^*\beta = 0$ we can deduce that $f^*\beta = 0$, thus completing the argument. $\square$

In fact, assuming that we can extend Chapter 18 of [Fu] to Artin stacks, the Proposition takes the appealing form $\tau_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{C}}) = [\mathfrak{C}]$ where $\mathfrak{C}$ is the intrinsic normal cone.

3.4. **Main theorems.**

Definition 3.2. The *virtual Todd genus* of $(X, E^\bullet)$ is defined to be $\operatorname{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$. If X is proper, then for any $V \in K^0(X)$, the *virtual holomorphic Euler characteristic* is defined as

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) := \chi(X, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Theorem 3.3 (virtual Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch)**.** *Let Y be a smooth scheme and let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism. Let $V \in K^0(X)$. Then the following equality holds in $A_*(Y)$:*

$$\operatorname{ch}(f_*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}})) \cdot \operatorname{td}(T_Y) \cap [Y] = f_*(\operatorname{ch}(V) \cdot \operatorname{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X^{\mathrm{vir}}]).$$

Proof. The theorem follows by combining Lemma 3.7 with Lemma 3.9 below. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 (virtual Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch)**.** *If X is proper, and $V \in K^0(X)$, then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \operatorname{ch}(V) \operatorname{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Proof. This follows immediately by applying virtual Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch to the projection of X to a point. $\square$

We want to reduce in two steps Theorem 3.3 to a simpler statement.

Lemma 3.5. *To prove Theorem 3.3 it is enough to show that*

$$(3.6) \qquad \tau_X(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}.$$

Proof. On the one hand by the module property of [Fu, Thm. 18.3] we have

$$\tau_X(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{ch}(V) \cap \tau_X(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{ch}(V) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}},$$

and thus

$$f_*(\tau_X(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}})) = f_*(\mathrm{ch}(V) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

On the other hand the covariance property of [Fu, Thm. 18.3] gives

$$f_*(\tau_X(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}})) = \tau_Y(f_*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}})),$$

and because Y is smooth we have

$$\tau_Y(f_*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}})) = \mathrm{ch}(f_*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}})) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_Y) \cap [Y].$$

and Theorem 3.3 follows.   □

The formula (3.6) is stated in [BF, Rem. 5.4], however without proof. It is proven in a different context in [J1, Thm 1.5]. We prefer to give a direct proof here since it is not clear to us how to relate Joshua's results to what we need, and also since a direct proof is very elementary.

Lemma 3.7. *To prove Theorem 3.3 it is enough to show that*

$$s_0^*(\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C)) = \mathrm{td}(E_0) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}.$$

Proof. Since $s_0 : X \to E_1$ is a regular embedding, it is a local complete intersection morphism, with virtual tangent bundle $T_{s_0} = [-E_1]$.
By [Fu, Thm. 18.3(4)], we get

$$\tau_X(s_0^*(\mathcal{O}_C)) = \mathrm{td}(T_{s_0}) \cdot s_0^*(\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C)).$$

In other words

$$\tau_X(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{td}(-E_1) \cdot s_0^*(\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C)).$$

If $s_0^*(\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C)) = \mathrm{td}(T_{E_1}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$, then we get by the above $\tau_X(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{td}(-E_1) \cdot \mathrm{td}(E_0) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$, and we are done since

$$\mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{td}([E_0] - [E_1]) = \mathrm{td}(E_0) \cdot \mathrm{td}(-E_1)$$

because td maps sums to products.   □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $p : C \to X$ be the projection. Then*

$$\tau_C(\mathcal{O}_C) = p^*(\mathrm{td}\, E_0) \cap [C] \in A_*(C).$$

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 3.1, when the resolution is induced by an obstruction theory. □

By Lemma 3.7 we can finish our proof of Theorem 3.3 by showing the following

Lemma 3.9. *With the notation established so far,*

$$s_0^*(\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C)) = \operatorname{td}(E_0) \cap [X]^{\operatorname{vir}}.$$

Proof. Let $j : C \to E_1$ be the embedding, $\pi : E_1 \to X$ the projection, so that $p = \pi \circ j$. By the covariance property [Fu, Thm. 18.3(1)], the previous lemma and the projection formula we have

$$\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C) = j_*(\tau_C(\mathcal{O}_C)) = j_*(p^*(\operatorname{td}(E_0)) \cap [C])$$
$$= j_*(j^*\pi^*(\operatorname{td}(E_0)) \cap [C]) = \pi^*(\operatorname{td}(E_0)) \cap j_*[C].$$

Hence

$$s_0^*(\tau_{E_1}(\mathcal{O}_C)) = s_0^*(\pi^*(\operatorname{td}(E_0)) \cap j_*[C])$$
$$= \operatorname{td}(E_0) \cap s_0^*(j_*([C])) = \operatorname{td}(E_0) \cap [X]^{\operatorname{vir}}.$$

□

Corollary 3.10. *If X is proper and $d = 0$, then $\chi^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V) = \operatorname{rk}(V) \deg([X]^{\operatorname{vir}})$.* □

Corollary 3.11. *If X is proper, then $\chi^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\operatorname{vir}}) = \int_{[X]^{\operatorname{vir}}} \operatorname{td}(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}})$.* □

We finish this section by proving a weak virtual version of Serre duality.

Definition 3.12. The *virtual canonical (line) bundle* of X is $K_X^{\operatorname{vir}} := \det(E^0) \otimes \det(E^1)^\vee \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$. Note that $K_X := \det(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}})^\vee$ only depends on the obstruction theory and not on the particular resolution chosen. The *virtual canonical class* is $c_1(K_X^{\operatorname{vir}}) \in A^1(X)$. If $c_1(K_X^{\operatorname{vir}}) = 0$, we say that X is a *virtual Calabi-Yau manifold).*

In this paper the condition that X is a virtual Calabi-Yau manifold can always be replaced by the condition that $c_1(K_X^{\operatorname{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\operatorname{vir}} = 0$ in $A_{d-1}(X)$.

Proposition 3.13 (weak virtual Serre duality). *If X is proper and $V \in K^0(X)$, then $\chi^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V) = (-1)^d \chi^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V^\vee \otimes K_X^{\operatorname{vir}})$. In particular if X is a virtual Calabi-Yau, then $\chi^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V) = (-1)^d \chi^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V^\vee)$.*

Proof. Let $n = \operatorname{rk}(E_0)$, $m = \operatorname{rk}(E_1)$, $d = n - m$ and let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be the Chern roots of E_0, $u_1, \dots, u_m$ the Chern roots of E_1. We can assume that V is a vector bundle on X.

Let $v_1, \ldots v_r$ be its Chern roots. Then the virtual Riemann-Roch Theorem gives

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{r} e^{v_j} \Big) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1 - e^{-u_k}}{u_k}$$

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V^\vee \otimes K_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{r} e^{-v_j} \Big) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1 - e^{-u_k}}{u_k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_i}}{\prod_{k=1}^{m} e^{-u_k}}.$$

By the identity $\frac{xe^{-x}}{1-e^{-x}} = \frac{-x}{1-e^x}$ in $\mathbb{Q}[\![x]\!]$, we see that the integrand for $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V^\vee \otimes K_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$ is obtained from that for $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V)$ by replacing all v_j, x_i, u_k by $-v_j$, $-x_i$, $-u_k$ respectively. This multiplies the part of degree d by $(-1)^d$. The result follows because $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_d(X)$. $\square$

3.5. Deformation invariance.

Definition 3.14. A *family of proper virtually smooth schemes* is the datum of a proper morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ of schemes with B smooth, together with a 1-perfect relative obstruction theory $E^\bullet$ for $\mathcal{X}$ over B.

For every $b \in B$ a closed point, we will denote by X_b the fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ and by $E_b^\bullet$ the induced obstruction theory for X_b.

For every $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$, we let $V_b := V|_{X_b} \in K^0(X_b)$. Write $i_b : X_b \to \mathcal{X}$ for the natural inclusion. In particular, we define $T_{\mathcal{X}/B}^{vir} \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$ as the class associated to the complex $(E^\bullet)^\vee$; clearly $i_b^* T_{\mathcal{X}/B}^{vir} = T_{X_b}^{vir}$.

Recall from [BF, Prop. 7.2] the following

Lemma 3.15. *Let* $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ *be a family of proper virtually smooth schemes. Let* $b : \operatorname{Spec} K \to B$ *be the morphism defined by the point b. Then*

$$b^! [\mathcal{X}]^{vir} = [X_b]^{vir}. \quad \square$$

We recall that the principle of conservation of number [Fu, Proposition 10.2] states that for any $\alpha \in A_{\dim B}(\mathcal{X})$, the degree of the cycle $\alpha_b := i_b^!(\alpha)$ is locally constant in b. The principle is in fact valid for arbitrary cycles in $A_*(\mathcal{X})$ if we use the convention that deg is defined on the i-th Chow group A_i to be zero if $i \neq 0$. By using this principle, we immediately deduce the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.16. *Let* $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ *be a proper family of virtually smooth schemes. For any* $\gamma \in A^*(\mathcal{X})$, *the number*

$$\int_{[X_b]^{vir}} i_b^* \gamma$$

is locally constant in b.

Proof. By definition,

$$\int_{[X_b]^{vir}} i_b^* \gamma = \deg \left(i_b^* \gamma \cap [X_b]^{\mathrm{vir}} \right) = \deg \left(i_b^* \gamma \cap i_b^! [\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}} \right) = \deg i_b^! (\gamma \cap [\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Note that this number is zero if $\gamma \in A^e(\mathcal{X})$ and $e \neq d$, where d is the virtual dimension of X_b. □

Definition 3.17. For any numerical object (e.g., a number or a function) which is defined in terms of a proper virtual smooth scheme X and possibly of an element V in $K^0(X)$, we say that it is *deformation invariant* if, for every family of proper virtually smooth schemes $\mathcal{X}$ and every object $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$, the invariant associated to the virtually smooth scheme X_b and the element V_b is locally constant in b.

Theorem 3.18. *Let $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$, and assume that π is proper. Then*

$$\chi^{vir}(X_b, V_b)$$

is locally constant in b. In other words, the virtual holomorphic Euler characteristic is deformation invariant.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.16 and of virtual Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch. □

4. Virtual χ_{-y}-genus, Euler characteristics and signature

In this section we introduce the virtual p-forms $\Omega_X^{p,\mathrm{vir}}$ on X and define the virtual χ_{-y}-genus $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$. A priori $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$ is just a formal power series in y. However we will prove that it is a polynomial of degree d in y satisfying $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = y^d \chi_{-1/y}(X)$. The virtual Euler number is then defined as $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) := \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}}(Y)$ and the virtual signature as $\sigma^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) := \chi_1^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$. We show a virtual version of the Hopf index theorem: $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$. If X is a proper local complete intersection scheme with its natural obstruction theory, then $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$ is the degree of Fulton's Chern class $c_F(X)$.

Definition 4.1. If E is a vector bundle on X of rank r and t a variable, we put

$$\Lambda_t E := \sum_{i=0}^r [\Lambda^i E] t^i \in K^0(X)[t], \quad S_t(E) := \sum_{i \geq 0} [S^i E] t^i \in K^0(X)[\![t]\!].$$

If $0 \to F \to G \to H \to 0$ is a short exact sequence of vector bundles on X, it easy to see that $\Lambda_t G = \Lambda_t F \times \Lambda_t H$. Furthermore it is standard that $1/\Lambda_t(E) = S_{-t}E$ in $K^0(X)[\![t]\!]$. Thus Λ_t can be extended to a homomorphism $\Lambda_t : K^0(X) \to K^0(X)[\![t]\!]$ by $\Lambda_t([E] - [F]) = \Lambda_t(E) S_{-t}(F)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $V \in K^0(X)$, we define $\Lambda^n V := \mathrm{Coeff}_{t^n} \Lambda_t V \in K^0(X)$.

We define $\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}} := (T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee$. The bundle of *virtual n-forms* on X is $\Omega_X^{n,\mathrm{vir}} := \Lambda^n(\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \in K^0(X)$.

Definition 4.2. Let X be a proper scheme with a perfect obstruction theory and expected dimension d. The *virtual χ_{-y}-genus* of X is defined by

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) := \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \Lambda_{-y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \sum_{p \geq 0}(-y)^p \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \Omega_X^{p,\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Let $V \in K^0(X)$. The *virtual χ_{-y}-genus with coefficients in V* of X is defined by

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) := \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V \otimes \Lambda_{-y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \sum_{p \geq 0}(-y)^p \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V \otimes \Omega_X^{p,\mathrm{vir}}).$$

By definition $V \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \in K^0(X)[\![y]\!]$, and thus $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) \in \mathbb{Z}[\![y]\!]$.

We will show below that $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$. Assuming this result for the moment, the *virtual Euler characteristic* of X is defined as $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) := \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$, and the *virtual signature* of X as $\sigma^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) := \chi_1^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$.

Finally, for any partition I of d, where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^r k \cdot i_k = d$, we define the *$I$-th virtual Chern number of X* to be $c_I(X) := \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{k=1}^r c_k^{i_k}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$. The virtual Chern numbers are deformation invariant by Lemma 3.16.

Let $n = \mathrm{rk}(E_0)$, $m = \mathrm{rk}(E_1)$, $d = n - m$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be the Chern roots of E_0, $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ the Chern roots of E_1. We write $A^{>d}(X) := \sum_{l>d} A^l(X)$. Let A be the quotient of $A^*(X)$ by $A^{>d}(X)$. We will denote classes in A by the same letters as the corresponding classes in $A^*(X)$. By definition we have

$$\mathrm{ch}(\Lambda_{-y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ye^{-x_i})}{\prod_{j=1}^m (1 - ye^{-u_j})} \in A[\![y]\!],$$

where the right hand side is considered as element in $A[\![y]\!]$ by the development

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m (1 - ye^{-u_j})} = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} y^k e^{-ku_j} \right) \in A[\![y]\!].$$

Let

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) := \mathrm{ch}(\Lambda_{-y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i(1 - ye^{-x_i})}{1 - e^{-x_i}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1 - e^{-u_j}}{u_j(1 - ye^{-u_j})} \in A[\![y]\!].$$

By the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem we have

$$(4.4) \qquad \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X), \ \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) \cdot \mathrm{ch}(V).$$

Theorem 4.5. (1) *We have $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$, and its degree in y is at most d.*

(2) $\chi_{-1}(X, V) = \mathrm{rk}(V) \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$.

(3) *In fact, we have $\mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) \in A[y]$, and its degree in y is at most d. Furthermore we can write $\mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) = \sum_{l=0}^d (1-y)^{d-l} \mathcal{X}^l$ where $\mathcal{X}^l = c_l(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) + b_l$ with $b_l \in A^{>l}(X)$.*

Proof. We start by observing that it is enough to prove (3). Assume we know (3). Then (4.4) implies that $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X,V) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) \cdot \mathrm{ch}(V) \in \mathbb{Q}[y]$ is a polynomial of degree at most d. By definition $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X,V) \in \mathbb{Z}[\![y]\!]$, thus (1) follows. (3) also gives

$$\chi_{-1}(X,V) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathcal{X}^0(X) \cdot \mathrm{ch}(V) = \mathrm{rk}(V) \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}),$$

which gives (2). Thus we only have to show (3). Let

$$(4.6) \qquad \mathcal{Y}_z := z^d \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_i \frac{e^{-x_i}}{1-e^{-x_i}} + \frac{x_i}{z} \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \left(u_j \frac{e^{-u_j}}{1-e^{-u_j}} + \frac{u_j}{z} \right)} \in A[z].$$

The right hand side of (4.6) is seen to be an element of $A[z]$ as follows: for a variable t write $\frac{te^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} := 1 + \sum_{k>0} a_k t^k \in \mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$, which is obviously invertible in $\mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$. Putting this into (4.6), we get that

$$(4.7) \qquad \mathcal{Y}_z = z^d \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \sum_{k>0} a_k x_i^k + \frac{x_i}{z} \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 + \sum_{k>0} a_k u_j^k + \frac{u_j}{z} \right)} \in A^*(X)(\!(z^{-1})\!).$$

Denote $\mathcal{Y}^l$ the coefficient of z^{d-l} of $\mathcal{Y}_z$. Then we see immediately from (4.7) that $\mathcal{Y}^l \in A^{\geq l}(X)$ for all $l \geq 0$. In particular $\mathcal{Y}^l$ is zero in A for $d - l < 0$, and thus $\mathcal{Y}_z \in A[z]$. We also see that $\mathcal{Y}_z$ has at most degree d in z. Furthermore (4.7) also implies that the part of $\mathcal{Y}^l$ in $A^l(X)$ is the part in $A^l(X)$ of $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^m (1+u_j)}$, i.e. $c_l(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$. Thus in order to finish the proof we only have to see that $\mathcal{Y}_{1-y} = \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X)$ in $A[\![y]\!]$. In $A[\![y]\!]$ we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{1-y} = (1-y)^d \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_i \frac{e^{-x_i}}{1-e^{-x_i}} + \frac{x_i}{1-y} \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \left(u_j \frac{e^{-u_j}}{1-e^{-u_j}} + \frac{u_j}{1-y} \right)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \left(1 + (1-y)\frac{e^{-x_i}}{1-e^{-x_i}} \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m x_i \left(1 + (1-y)\frac{e^{-u_j}}{1-e^{-u_j}} \right)}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i(1 - ye^{-x_i})}{1 - e^{-x_i}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1 - e^{-u_j}}{u_j(1 - ye^{-u_j})} = \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X).$$

$\square$

Corollary 4.8 (Hopf index theorem). *The virtual Euler characteristic equals the top virtual Chern number*

$$e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = c_d(X).$$

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 4.5(2). $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *Let X be proper of expected dimension d, and $V \in K^0(X)$.*

(1) $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X,V) = y^d \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-1/y}(X,V^\vee)$.

(2) *For all $p \geq 0$ we have $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V \otimes \Omega_X^{p,\mathrm{vir}}) = (-1)^d \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^{d-p,\mathrm{vir}})$, in particular $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V \otimes \Omega_X^{p,\mathrm{vir}}) = 0$ for $p > d$.*

Proof. (1) Let again $n := \mathrm{rk}(E_0)$, $m := \mathrm{rk}(E_1)$, $d = n - m$. It is well known that $\Lambda^k F \otimes \det(F)^\vee \simeq \Lambda^{r-k} F^\vee$ for F a vector bundle of rank r. Equivalently

$$(4.10) \qquad (\Lambda_{-y}F) \cdot [\det(F)^\vee] = (-y)^r \Lambda_{-1/y}F^\vee, \text{ in } K^0(X)[y].$$

By the weak virtual Serre duality we have

$$(4.11) \qquad \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X, V) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V \otimes \Lambda_{-y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = (-1)^d \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V^\vee \otimes K_X \otimes \Lambda_{-y}T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

By (4.10) we have in $A^*(X)[\![y]\!]$ the identity

$$\mathrm{ch}(K_X \otimes \Lambda_{-y}T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{\det(E_0)^\vee \otimes \Lambda_{-y}E_0}{\det(E_1)^\vee \otimes \Lambda_{-y}E_1} \right)$$

$$= \mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{(-y)^n \Lambda_{-1/y}E^0}{(-y)^m \Lambda_{-1/y}E^{-1}} \right) = (-y)^d \mathrm{ch}\left(\Lambda_{-1/y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \right).$$

Thus (4.11) and the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem give

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X, V) &= (-1)^d \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}\left(V^\vee \otimes K_X \otimes \Lambda_{-y}T_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \\
&= y^d \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}\left(V^\vee \otimes \Lambda_{-1/y}\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = y^d \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-1/y}(X, V^\vee).
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.12) holds a priori in $\mathbb{Q}[\![y]\!]$, but by Theorem 4.5 both sides are in in $\mathbb{Z}[y]$. Thus (4.12) holds in $\mathbb{Z}[y]$. This proves (1). (2) is just a reformulation of (1). $\qquad\square$

Remark 4.13. Thus we see that the virtual χ_{-y}-genus, Euler number and signature have properties very similar to their non-virtual counterparts on smooth projective varieties:

(1) $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X, V)$ is a polynomial of degree d in y with $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X, V) = y^d \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-1/y}(X, V^\vee)$, in particular $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X) = y^d \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-1/y}(X)$.
(2) $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-1}(X) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}})$.
(3) If d is odd, then $\sigma^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = 0$.
(4) By definition $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_0(X, V) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V)$ and in particular $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_0(X) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let X be a proper, virtually smooth scheme, and $V \in K^0(X)$. Then the virtual χ_{-y} genus $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}_{-y}(X, V)$ is deformation invariant. Hence, also the virtual Euler characteristic $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$ and the virtual signature $\sigma^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$ are deformation invariant.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition and from Proposition 3.18. For the virtual Chern numbers a different proof can be given by combining Corollary 4.8 with the deformation invariance of the Chern numbers. $\qquad\square$

4.1. **The local complete intersection case.** We will say that the scheme X has local complete intersection singularities, or just is lci (see [Fu, Appendix B.7]) if it admits a closed regular embedding $i : X \to M$ in a smooth scheme. In this case $\tau_{\geq -1} L_X^\bullet = L_X^\bullet$ is a perfect complex, and thus a natural obstruction theory for X. Hence every lci scheme is naturally a virtually smooth scheme. The corresponding virtual fundamental class is just $[X]$ and the virtual structure sheaf is $\mathcal{O}_X$.

A *family of proper lci schemes* is an lci morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ with B smooth; again, the relative cotangent complex is also a relative obstruction theory, and hence $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ is also a family of proper virtually smooth schemes.

If X is a proper lci scheme and X_0 is a proper smooth scheme, we say that X_0 is a *smoothening* of X if there exists a family of proper lci schemes $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ and closed points $b, b_0 \in B$ such that X_b is isomorphic to X and X_{b_0} is isomorphic to b_0.

Theorem 4.15. *Let X be a proper lci scheme with its natural obstruction theory: then $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \deg(c_F(X))$. Therefore $\deg(c_F(X))$ is invariant under lci deformations of X; if X admits a smoothing X_0, then $e^{vir}(X) = e(X_0)$.*

Proof. It is of course enough to prove the first statement. By [Fu, Ex. 4.2.6] we have $c_F(X) = c(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]$, thus if $d = \dim(X)$, then $\deg(c_F(X)) = \int_X c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$. $\quad\square$

This is easy to see in case X is the zero scheme of a regular section of a vector bundle on a smooth proper variety M. We thank P. Aluffi for pointing this out to us.

Remark 4.16. More generally, all the virtual Chern numbers of a proper lci scheme are deformation invariants and coincide with the corresponding Chern numbers of a smoothing, when one exists.

5. Virtual Elliptic genus

Now we want to define and study a virtual version of the Krichever-Höhn elliptic genus [Kr],[Hö]. The definition is completely analogous to the standard definition, we only replace at all instances T_X by T_X^{vir} and the holomorphic Euler characteristic by χ^{vir}. Then we show that it has similar properties to the elliptic genus of smooth projective varieties. In particular, if X is a virtual Calabi-Yau, i.e. the virtual canonical class of X vanishes, then the elliptic genus is a meromorphic Jacobi form.

Definition 5.1. As in the previous section let A be the quotient of $A^*(X)$ by $A^{>d}(X)$ and denote by the same letter classes in $A^*(X)$ and in A.

For a vector bundle F on X, we put

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \bigotimes_{n \geq 1} \left(\Lambda_{-yq^n} F^\vee \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}q^n} F \otimes S_{q^n}(F \oplus F^\vee) \right) \in 1 + q \cdot K^0(X)[y, y^{-1}][\![q]\!].$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}$ defines a homomorphism from the additive group $K^0(X)$ to the multiplicative group $1 + q \cdot K^0(X)[y, y^{-1}][\![q]\!]$. For any vector bundle F on X we also put

$$\mathcal{E}L(F; y, q) := y^{-\operatorname{rk}(F)/2} \operatorname{ch}(\Lambda_{-y}F^{\vee}) \cdot \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}(F)) \cdot \operatorname{td}(F) \in A^*(X)[y^{-1/2}, y^{1/2}][\![q]\!],$$

then the map $F \mapsto \mathcal{E}L(F)$ extends to a homomorphism from the additive group of $K^0(X)$ to the multiplicative group of $A^*(X)(\!(y^{1/2})\!)[\![q]\!]$. The *virtual elliptic genus* of X is defined by

$$(5.2) \qquad Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X; y, q) := y^{-d/2} \chi^{\operatorname{vir}}_{-y}(X, \mathcal{E}(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}})) \in \mathbb{Q}(\!(y^{1/2})\!)[\![q]\!].$$

For $V \in K^0(X)$ we also put $Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V; y, q) := y^{-d/2} \chi^{\operatorname{vir}}_{-y}(X, \mathcal{E}(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}) \otimes V)$. By our definitions and the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem we have

$$Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X; y, q) = \int_{[X]^{\operatorname{vir}}} \mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}; y, q), \quad Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V; y, q) = \int_{[X]^{\operatorname{vir}}} \mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}; y, q) \cdot \operatorname{ch}(V),$$

and we see (in the notations of (4.3)) that

$$\mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}; y, q) = y^{-d/2} \mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}})),$$

in particular, by Theorem 4.5 we see that $\mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}; y, q) \in A[y^{1/2}, y^{-1/2}][\![q]\!]$. Finally for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a \in A^k(X)$, we put $Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}((X, a); y, q) := \int_{[X]^{\operatorname{vir}}} \mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}; y, q) \cdot a \in \mathbb{Q}[y^{1/2}, y^{-1/2}][\![q]\!]$. From the definitions it is clear that $Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X; y, q)|_{q=0} = y^{-d/2} \chi^{\operatorname{vir}}_{-y}(X)$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(\tau) > 0\}$, we write

$$\mathcal{E}L(F; z, \tau) := \mathcal{E}L(F; e^{2\pi i z}, e^{2\pi i \tau}), \ Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X; z, \tau) := Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X; e^{2\pi i z}, e^{2\pi i \tau}),$$

$$Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V; z, \tau) := Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}(X, V; e^{2\pi i z}, e^{2\pi i \tau}), \ Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}((X, a); z, \tau) := Ell^{\operatorname{vir}}((X, a); e^{2\pi i z}, e^{2\pi i \tau}).$$

Let

$$\theta(z, \tau) := q^{1/8} \frac{1}{i}(y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2}) \prod_{l=1}^{\infty}(1 - q^l)(1 - q^l y)(1 - q^l y^{-1}), \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \ y = e^{2\pi i z}.$$

be the Jacobi theta function [Ch, Chap. V]. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ be the Chern roots of F. Then it is shown e.g. in [BL, Prop. 3.1], that

$$(5.3) \qquad \mathcal{E}L(F; z, \tau) := \prod_{k=1}^{r} f_k \frac{\theta(\frac{f_k}{2\pi i} - z, \tau)}{\theta(\frac{f_k}{2\pi i}, \tau)}.$$

Let B be the subring of $A \otimes \mathbb{C}$ generated by the Chern classes of T_X^{vir} and a given element $a \in A^k(X)$. This is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. It is easy to see that $\prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{f_k}{\theta(\frac{f_k}{2\pi i}, \tau)}$ defines a holomorphic map from $\mathbb{H}$ to the group of invertible elements $B^{\times}$ of B. Similarly $h := \prod_{k=1}^{r} \theta(\frac{f_k}{2\pi i} - z, \tau)$ defines a holomorphic map from $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ to B. Write $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$. Then we see that the part of h in $A^0(X)$ is given by $\theta(-z, \tau)$, which is nonzero on $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \times \mathbb{H}$. It follows that $\mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\operatorname{vir}}; z, \tau)$ is a holomorphic map $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \times \mathbb{H} \to B$, and thus $Ell((X, a); z, \tau)$ and $Ell(X; z, \tau)$ are meromorphic functions from $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

Now we want to see that that the virtual elliptic genus is a weak Jacobi form with character (see [EZ, p. 104], for the definition in the case without character), as in the case of the usual elliptic genus of compact complex manifolds (see [Hö], [BL]). We do not know whether (1) of Theorem 5.4 below was already known before in the case of compact complex manifolds.

Theorem 5.4. (1) *Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and let $a \in A^k(X)$ with $c_1(K_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cdot a \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}} = 0$ in $A_{d-k-1}(X)$. Then $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau)$ is a weak Jacobi form of weight $-k$ and index $d/2$ with character. Furthermore if $k > 0$, then $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);0,\tau) = 0$.*

(2) *In particular if X is a virtual Calabi-Yau manifold of expected dimension d, then $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X;z,\tau)$ is a weak Jacobi form of weight 0 and index $d/2$ with character. Furthermore $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X;0,\tau) = e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$.*

Proof. First we want to show the transformation properties. By definition $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X;z,\tau) = Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,1);z,\tau)$, thus it suffices to prove them for $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau)$ for $a \in A^k(X)$. The proof is a modification of that of [BL, Thm. 3.2]. We have to show the equations

$$(5.5) \qquad Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau+1) = Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau),$$

$$(5.6) \qquad Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z+1,\tau) = (-1)^d Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau),$$

$$(5.7) \qquad Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z+\tau,\tau) = (-1)^d e^{-\pi i d(\tau+2z)} Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau),$$

$$(5.8) \qquad Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}\left((X,a);\frac{z}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{-k} e^{\frac{\pi i d z^2}{\tau}} Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau).$$

We have $T_X^{\mathrm{vir}} = [E_0 - E_1]$, thus $\mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathcal{E}L(E_0)/\mathcal{E}L(E_1)$. From (5.3) and the standard identities (cf.[Ch, V(1.4), V(1.5)])

$$(5.9) \quad \theta(z+1,\tau) = -\theta(z,\tau), \quad \theta(z+\tau,\tau) = -e^{-2\pi i z - \pi i \tau}\theta(z,\tau), \quad \theta(z,\tau+1) = \theta(z,\tau),$$

we see that (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) are satisfied for any vector bundle F, if we replace $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau)$ by $\mathcal{E}L(F;z,\tau)$ and d by $\mathrm{rk}(F)$. As $\mathrm{rk}(E_0) - \mathrm{rk}(E_1) = d$, they also hold if we instead only replace $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau)$ by $\mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}};z,\tau)$. Thus they are also true for $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X,a);z,\tau) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathcal{E}L(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}};z,\tau) \cdot a$.

For a ring R, and $\alpha \in A^*(X) \otimes R$ denote by $[\alpha]_m$ the part in $A^m(X) \otimes R$, and for $G \in K^0(X)$, write

$$\mathcal{E}L_\tau(G;z,\tau) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \tau^{-m} \left[\mathcal{E}L(G;z,\tau)\right]_m.$$

For F a vector bundle on X of rank r with Chern roots $f_1, \ldots, f_r$, (5.3) gives

$$\mathcal{E}L_\tau\left(F, \frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \prod_{l=1}^{r} \frac{f_l}{\tau} \frac{\theta(-\frac{z}{\tau} + \frac{f_l}{2\pi i \tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau})}{\theta(\frac{f_l}{2\pi i \tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau})}$$

$$= \tau^{-r} \prod_{l=1}^{r} \left(e^{\frac{-z f_l}{\tau}} f_l \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i z^2}{\tau}} \theta(-z + \frac{f_l}{2\pi i}, \tau)}{\theta(\frac{f_l}{2\pi i}, \tau)}\right)$$

$$= \tau^{-r} e^{-\frac{z c_1(F)}{\tau}} e^{\frac{\pi i r z^2}{\tau}} \mathcal{E}L(F; z, \tau).$$

Here the first and third line are obvious from the definitions, and the second line follows from the transformation properties of θ by a two line computation (cf. [BL, eq. (9)]). Thus

$$\mathcal{E}L_\tau\left(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}, \frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{E}L_\tau\left(E_0, \frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right)}{\mathcal{E}L_\tau\left(E_1, \frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right)} = \tau^{-d} e^{\frac{z c_1(K_X^{\mathrm{vir}})}{\tau}} e^{\frac{\pi i d z^2}{\tau}} \mathcal{E}L\left(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}; z, \tau\right).$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{E}L_\tau\left(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}; z, \tau\right)$, we thus have

$$\left[\mathcal{E}L\left(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}, \frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right]_{d-k} = \tau^{-k} e^{\frac{\pi i d z^2}{\tau}} \left[e^{\frac{z c_1(K_X^{\mathrm{vir}})}{\tau}} \mathcal{E}L(F; z, \tau)\right]_{d-k}.$$

As $a \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_{d-k}(X)$, we thus get

$$Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}\left((X, a); \frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{-k} e^{\frac{\pi i d z^2}{\tau}} \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \left[e^{\frac{z c_1(K_X^{\mathrm{vir}})}{\tau}} \mathcal{E}L(F; z, \tau)\right]_{d-k} \cdot a$$

$$= \tau^{-k} e^{\frac{\pi i d z^2}{\tau}} \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} [\mathcal{E}L(F; z, \tau)]_{d-k} \cdot a = \tau^{-k} e^{\frac{\pi i d z^2}{\tau}} Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); z, \tau),$$

where in the second line we have used that $K_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \cdot a \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}} = 0$. This shows that $Ell((X, a); z, \tau)$ has the transformation properties of a Jacobi form of weight $-k$ and index $d/2$ with character.

Finally we show that $Ell((X, a); z, \tau)$ is regular on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ and at infinity and compute $Ell((X, a); 0, \tau)$, $Ell(X; 0, \tau)$. Before the statement of Theorem 5.4 we saw that

$$Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); y, q) := \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathcal{X}_y(X) \cdot \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cdot a \in \mathbb{Q}[y^{1/2}, y^{-1/2}][\![q]\!],$$

i.e. $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); z, \tau)$ is holomorphic at infinity. By Theorem 4.5, we have $\mathcal{X}_y(X) \in A[y]$ and $\mathcal{X}_{-1}(X) = c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \in A$. By definition

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}(F))|_{y=1} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{ch}\left(\Lambda_{-q^n}(F \oplus F^\vee)\right) \mathrm{ch}(S_{q^n}(F \oplus F^\vee)) = 1$$

for all $F \in K^0(X)$. Thus we get for $a \in A^k(X)$ that $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); z, \tau)$ is holomorphic at $z = 0$ and

$$(5.10) \qquad Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); 0, \tau) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_d(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cdot a = \begin{cases} 0 & k > 0, \\ e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) & a = 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally we can see that $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); z, \tau)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$: We had seen before that it is holomorphic on $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \times \mathbb{H}$, and just saw that it is holomorphic at $z = 0$. Then (5.6) and (5.7) show that it is holomorphic at all $z \in \Gamma$. $\qquad \square$

Proposition 5.11. *Let X be proper and $a \in A^*(X)$. Then the virtual elliptic genus $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}((X, a); y, q)$ is deformation invariant. In particular if X is a smoothable lci scheme with the natural osbtruction theory, then the virtual ellipitic genus $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X; y, q)$ coincides with the elliptic genus of a smoothening.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the definition and of Lemma 3.16. $\qquad \square$

6. Virtual localization

In this section let X be a proper scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action and an equivariant 1-perfect obstruction theory. We also assume that X admits an equivariant embedding into a nonsingular variety. We denote by $K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(X)$, the Grothendieck group of equivariant vector bundles on X. We combine the virtual Riemann-Roch formula with the virtual localization of [GP] to obtain a localization formula expressing $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V)$, in terms of the equivariant virtual holomorphic Euler characteristics on fixpoint schemes.

Let Z be a scheme on which $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts trivially. Let ε be a variable. Let B be a vector bundle on Z with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Then B decomposes as a finite direct sum

$$(6.1) \qquad B = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} B^k$$

of $\mathbb{C}^*$-eigenbundles B^k on which $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts by t^k. We identify B with $\sum_k B^k e^{k\varepsilon} \in K^0(X)[\![\varepsilon]\!]$. This identifies $K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(X)$ with a subring of $K^0(X)[\![\varepsilon]\!]$. Now let again B be a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant vector bundle on Z with decomposition (6.1) into eigenspaces. We denote

$$B^{\mathrm{fix}} := B^0, \qquad B^{\mathrm{mov}} := \oplus_{k \neq 0} B^k.$$

We put $\Lambda_{-1} B := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \Lambda^i B$. If $B = B^{\mathrm{mov}}$, then $\Lambda_{-1} B$ is invertible in $K^0(Z)(\!(\varepsilon)\!)$. Thus if $C \in K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$ is of the form $C = A - B$, with $A = A^{\mathrm{mov}}$, $B = B^{\mathrm{mov}}$, then $\Lambda_{-1} C := \Lambda_{-1} A / \Lambda_{-1} B$ is an invertible element in $K^0(Z)(\!(\varepsilon)\!)$.

Now assume that Z is proper and has a 1-perfect obstruction theory. Let $\mathcal{O}_Z^{\mathrm{vir}}$ be the corresponding virtual structure sheaf. Let $p_*^{\mathrm{vir}} := K^0(Z)(\!(\varepsilon)\!) \to \mathbb{Q}(\!(\varepsilon)\!)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(\!(\varepsilon)\!)$-linear extension of $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(Z, \bullet) : K^0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

We also recall some basic facts about equivariant Chow groups. Let $A^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$ be the equivariant Chow ring, this can be canonically identified with $A^*(Z)[\varepsilon]$. We extend the Chern character $\mathrm{ch} : K^0(Z) \to A^*(Z)$, by $\mathbb{Q}((\varepsilon))$-linearity to $\mathrm{ch} : K^0(Z)((\varepsilon)) \to A^*(Z)((\varepsilon))$. With our identification of $K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$ with a subring of $K^0(Z)[\![\varepsilon]\!]$, the restriction to $K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$ is the equivariant Chern character. For $V \in K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$ let $\mathrm{Eu}(V) \in A^*_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$ be the equivariant Euler class, and $\mathrm{td}(V)$ the equivariant Todd genus. By definition, we have $\mathrm{ch}(\Lambda_{-1}V^\vee) = \mathrm{Eu}(V)/\mathrm{td}(V)$. Let $p_* : A^{\mathbb{C}^*}_*(Z) = A_*(Z)[\varepsilon] \to \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$ be the equivariant pushforward to a point; it is $\mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]$–linear and we denote by the same letter its $\mathbb{Q}((\varepsilon))$–linear extension. As the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on Z is trivial, $\mathrm{td}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}_Z)$ and $[Z]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant. Thus $\alpha \mapsto p_*(\mathrm{td}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}_Z) \cdot \alpha \cap [Z]^{\mathrm{vir}})$ is $\mathbb{Q}((\varepsilon))$-linear. As $\int_{[Z]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}(V) \, \mathrm{td}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}_Z) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(Z, V)$ for $V \in K^0(Z)$, it follows that

$$(6.2) \qquad p^{\mathrm{vir}}_*(V) = p_*(\mathrm{ch}(V) \, \mathrm{td}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}_Z) \cap [Z]^{\mathrm{vir}}), \quad \text{for } V \in K^0(Z)((\varepsilon)).$$

We briefly recall the setup of [GP]. We assume that X admits an equivariant global embedding into a nonsingular scheme Y with $\mathbb{C}^*$ action. Let I the ideal sheaf of X in Y, assume that $\phi : E^\bullet \to [I/I^2 \to \Omega_Y]$ is a map of complexes. Assume that the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ lifts to $E^\bullet$ and ϕ is equivariant. Then [GP] define an equivariant fundamental class $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ in the equivariant Chow group $A^{\mathbb{C}^*}_d(X)$. Let X^f be the maximal $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed closed subscheme of X. For nonsingular Y, Y^f is the nonsingular set-theoretic fixpoint locus, and X^f is the scheme-theoretic intersection $X^f = X \cap Y_f$. Let $Y^f := \bigcup_{i \in S} Y_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components and $X_i = X \cap Y_i$. The X_i are possibly reducible. [GP] define a canonical obstruction theory on X_i. Let $[X_i]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ be the corresponding virtual fundamental class and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{vir}}_{X_i}$ the corresponding virtual structure sheaf. The *virtual normal bundle* N^{vir}_i of X_i is defined by $N^{\mathrm{vir}}_i := (T^{\mathrm{vir}}_X|_{X_i})^{\mathrm{mov}}$.

Proposition 6.3 (weak K-theoretic localization). *Let $V \in K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ and let $\widetilde{V} \in K^0_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ be an equivariant lift of V. Denote by $\widetilde{V}_i$ the restriction of $\widetilde{V}$ to X_i and $p_i : X_i \to pt$ the projection. Put*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \widetilde{V}, \varepsilon) := \sum_i p^{\mathrm{vir}}_{i*}\big(\widetilde{V}_i / \Lambda_{-1}(N^{\mathrm{vir}}_i)^\vee)\big).$$

Then $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \widetilde{V}, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Q}[\![\varepsilon]\!]$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \widetilde{V}, 0)$.

Proof. This follows by combining the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem with the virtual localization. We will show:

$$(6.4) \qquad p_*(\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{V}) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T^{\mathrm{vir}}_X) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V, \varepsilon).$$

This implies the Corollary: $\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{V}) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_*(X)[\![\varepsilon]\!]$ and thus by (6.4) we have $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Q}[\![\varepsilon]\!]$. Furthermore the virtual Riemann-Roch theorem gives

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \int_{[X^{\mathrm{vir}}]} \mathrm{ch}(V) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = p_*(\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{V}) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}})|_{\varepsilon=0} = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \widetilde{V}, 0).$$

By the localization formula of [GP] we have

$$p_*(\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{V}) \cdot \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \sum_i p_{i*}\big(\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{V_i}) \, \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}|_{X_i}) / \mathrm{Eu}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) \cap [X_i]^{\mathrm{vir}}\big)$$

$$= \sum_i p_{i*}\big(\mathrm{td}(T_{X_i}^{\mathrm{vir}}) \, \mathrm{ch}\big(\widetilde{V_i} / \mathrm{ch}(\Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee) \cap [X_i]^{\mathrm{vir}}\big) = \sum_i p_{i*}^{\mathrm{vir}}\big(\widetilde{V_i} / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\big).$$

where we have used $\mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}|_{X_i}) = \mathrm{td}(T_{X_i}^{\mathrm{vir}}) \, \mathrm{td}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})$, and $\mathrm{td}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \mathrm{Eu}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) / \mathrm{ch}(\Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee)$, and finally (6.2). $\qquad\square$

Conjecture 6.5 (*K*-theoretic virtual localization)**.** Let $\iota : \bigcup X_i \to X$ be the inclusion. Then $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}} = \sum_{i=1}^s \iota_*\big(\mathcal{O}_{X_i}^{\mathrm{vir}} / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\big)$, in localized equivariant *K*-theory.

In the context of *DG*-schemes Conjecture 6.5 has already been proven in [CFK3, Thm. 5.3.1] and it should be possible to adapt their proof.

Corollary 6.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.3 we have*

(1) *For any $V \in K^0(X)$ we have (writing $\widetilde{V}_i := \widetilde{V}|_{X_i}$ for $\widetilde{V}$ an equivariant lift of V):*

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \Big(\sum_i \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}\Big(X_i, \widetilde{V}_i \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\Big)\Big)|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

(2) *Let $n_i := \mathrm{rk}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})$. Then*

$$Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X; z, \tau) = \Big(\sum_i y^{-n_i/2} Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}\big(X_i, \mathcal{E}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})\Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee, z, \tau\big)\Big)|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

(3) *$e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \sum_i e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X_i)$, where $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X_i)$ is defined using the obstruction theory induced from X. In particular, if all the X_i are smooth and the obstruction theory induced from X on each X_i is the cotangent bundle, then $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = e(X)$.*

Proof. (1) By definition and Proposition 6.3 we get

$$\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, V \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}})) = \sum_i p_{i*}^{\mathrm{vir}}\big(V_i \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}|_{X_i}) / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\big)|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

As $T_X^{\mathrm{vir}} = T_{X_i}^{\mathrm{vir}} + N_i^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K^0(X_i)$, and Λ_{-y} is a homomorphism we get

$$p_{i*}^{\mathrm{vir}}\big(V_i \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(\Omega_X^{\mathrm{vir}}|_{X_i}) / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\big) = p_{i*}^{\mathrm{vir}}\big(V_i \otimes \Lambda_{-y}\Omega_{X_i}^{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\big)$$

$$= \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}\big(X_i, V_i \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee\big).$$

(2) Putting $y = e^{2\pi i z}$, $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, we have by definition and applying (1)

$$Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, z, \tau) = y^{-d/2} \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \mathcal{E}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}))$$

$$= y^{-d/2} \Big(\sum_i \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}} \big(X_i, \mathcal{E}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee \big) \Big)|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

$$= y^{-d/2} \Big(\sum_i \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}} \big(X_i, \mathcal{E}(T_{X_i}^{\mathrm{vir}}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) \otimes \Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee \big) \Big)|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

$$= \Big(\sum_i y^{-n_i/2} Ell^{\mathrm{vir}} \big(X_i, \mathcal{E}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) \Lambda_{-y}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}}) / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee, z, \tau \big) \Big)|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

(3) By the definition of $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$ and by (1) we have

$$e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \sum_i \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}} \big(X_i, \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee / \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^{\mathrm{vir}})^\vee \big)|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

$$= \sum_i \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X_i) = \sum_i e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X_i).$$

If all the X_i are smooth with trivial obstruction theory, then $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X_i) = e(X_i)$, thus $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = \sum_i e(X_i)$. Put $Y := X \setminus \bigcup_i X_i$. Then the $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts freely on Y, thus $e(Y) = 0$. Furthermore Y is open in X. Thus $e(X) = e(X \setminus Y) + e(Y) = \sum_i e(X_i)$.   □

7. Virtually smooth DM stacks

Obstruction theories arise naturally as deformation-theoretic dimensional estimates on moduli spaces, e.g. of stable maps or stable sheaves. Such moduli spaces are often not schemes but DM stacks. In this section we will extend this paper's definition to the stack case, and discuss which results are still valid. A significant case where the theory works are moduli spaces of stable sheaves: in the forthcoming paper [GNMY], this will be used together with the results of [M1] to study the invariants of moduli spaces of sheaves on surfaces.

7.1. **Notation and conventions.** A *DM stack* will be a separated algebraic stack in the sense of Deligne–Mumford of finite type over the ground field of characteristic 0. All DM stacks will be assumed to be quasiprojective in the sense of Kresch, i.e. they admit locally closed embeddings into a smooth DM stack which is proper over the base field and has projective coarse moduli space. Furthermore we assume that they have the resolution property; in characteristic 0 this last condition is implied by quasiprojectivity [Kre, Thm. 5.3].

A DM stack $\mathcal{X}$ will be called *virtually smooth* of dimension d if we have chosen a perfect obstruction theory $\phi : E^\bullet \to \tau_{\geq -1} L_{\mathcal{X}}^\bullet$.

The definitions for schemes are valid also in this case, yielding a virtual fundamental class $[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A^d(\mathcal{X})$, a virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K_0(\mathcal{X})$ and a virtual tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is proper and $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$ we can define

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) := \chi(\mathcal{X}, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Following Definition 4.2, we put $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} := (T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}})^{\vee}$, and define the virtual χ_{-y}-genus of $\mathcal{X}$ by $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}) := \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda_{-y}\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}})$ and, for $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$, put $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) := \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V \otimes \Lambda_{-y}\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}})$. If $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a polynomial, we define the virtual Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{X}$ by $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}) := \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X})$. Following (5.2) we put $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, y, q) := y^{-d/2}\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}(T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}))$.

7.2. **Morphisms of virtually smooth DM stacks.** A morphism between virtually smooth DM stacks $(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, E_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a pair (f, ψ) where $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism, and $\psi : f^* E_{\mathcal{Y}} \to E_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a morphism in $D^b(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$f^* \phi_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \psi = \tau_{\geq -1} L_f \circ \phi_{\mathcal{X}} : f^* E_{\mathcal{Y}} \to \tau_{\geq -1} L_{\mathcal{X}}$$

and such that the mapping cone $C(\psi)$ is perfect in $[-1, 0]$.

Note that every fibre of a morphism of virtually smooth stacks is itself virtually smooth

A morphism (f, ψ) of virtually smooth DM stacks is called étale if f is étale and ψ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be an étale morphism of virtually smooth DM stacks.*

(1) $T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} = f^*(T_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathrm{vir}})$.
(2) $[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}} = f^*[\mathcal{Y}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$.
(3) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} = f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathrm{vir}})$.

Proof. (1) follows directly from the definitions.

The isomorphism $f^* L_{\mathcal{Y}} \to L_{\mathcal{X}}$ induces an isomorphism of abelian cone stacks $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{Y}}$, and, by the consequence of [BF, Cor. 3.9], an isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \to f^* \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Choose a global resolution $[E^{-1} \to E^0]$ of $E_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and let $[F^{-1} \to F^0]$ be its pullback to $\mathcal{X}$. The cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & [F_1/F_0] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{Y}} & \longrightarrow & [E_1/E_0] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}
$$

induces a cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
C_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\bar{f}} & & \downarrow{f} \\
C_{\mathcal{Y}} & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}
$$

where $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $C_{\mathcal{Y}}$) is the inverse image of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{Y}}$). Let s_0 (resp. $\widetilde{s}_0$) denote the zero section of F_1 (resp. E_1). Since $[\mathcal{Y}]^{\mathrm{vir}} = \widetilde{s}_0^![C_{\mathcal{Y}}]$ and $\overline{f}^*[C_{\mathcal{Y}}] = [C_{\mathcal{X}}]$, (1) becomes $s_0^!\overline{f}^*[C_{\mathcal{Y}}] = f^*\widetilde{s}_0^![C_{\mathcal{Y}}]$, which is [Fu, Thm. 6.2]. On the other hand

$$\mathrm{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_{F_1}}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{\mathcal{X}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_{F_1}}(\overline{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{C_{\mathcal{Y}}}, \overline{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) = f^*(\mathrm{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_{E_1}}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{\mathcal{Y}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})),$$

since $\overline{f}$ is flat and hence commutes with Tor; this proves (2).

$\square$

7.3. The gerbe case.

Let X be a scheme, and $\varepsilon : \mathcal{X} \to X$ a gerbe over X banded by a finite abelian group G; note that ε is always an étale proper morphism. Write $|G|$ for the order of G.

For all $W \in K^0(X)$ we have $\varepsilon_*\varepsilon^*(W) = W$. The morphism $\varepsilon^* : A^*(X) \to A^*(\mathcal{X})$ is a ring isomorphism and $\varepsilon_*\varepsilon^* : A_*(X) \to A_*(X)$ is multiplication by $\frac{1}{|G|}$. In particular for any class $\alpha \in A^*(X)$ we have $\int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \alpha = |G| \int_{[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \varepsilon^*(\alpha)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$; then for every point $x : Spec\,\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ the fiber $\mathcal{F}(x) := x^*\mathcal{F}$ is naturally a representation of G, and hence decomposes as a direct sum over the group $G^\vee$ of characters of G. The fiberwise direct sum decompositions induce a global decomposition $E := \sum E_\chi$ where the sum runs over $\chi \in G^\vee$, and any morphism $f : E^{-1} \to E^0$ of coherent sheaves respects the characters.

We write χ_0 for the trivial character. For E a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, one has $\varepsilon^*\varepsilon_*E = E_{\chi_0}$; hence E is a pullback from X if and only if $E = E_{\chi_0}$, that is $E_\chi = 0$ for every $\chi \neq \chi_0$.

Let $\phi : E^\bullet \to \tau_{\geq -1}L_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a 1-perfect obstruction theory for $\mathcal{X}$. The morphism ε is étale, hence the natural map $\varepsilon^*L_X \to L_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 7.2. The following are equivalent:

(1) there is a (unique up to isomorphism) obstruction theory $F^\bullet$ on X such that ε induces an étale morphism of virtually smooth stacks;

(2) for every point $x : Spec\,\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ the G-representations $h^i((x^*E^\bullet)^\vee)$ are trivial, for $i = 0, 1$.

For the rest of this subsection we assume that the conditions of Remark 7.2 are fulfilled. As before let $E_0 \to E_1$ be the dual complex to $E^\bullet$ and $F_0 \to F_1$ the dual complex to $F^\bullet$, and let $T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} := E_0 - E_1$ and $T_X^{\mathrm{vir}} := F_0 - F_1$. Let $[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A^d(\mathcal{X})$, (resp. $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A^d(X)$) be the virtual fundamental classes defined via $E^\bullet$ (resp. $F^\bullet$). Denote $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$, (resp. $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}} \in K^0(X)$) the virtual structure sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. X) defined via $E^\bullet$ (resp. $F^\bullet$). As before for any $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$ and any $W \in K^0(X)$ let

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) := \chi(\mathcal{X}, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}), \quad \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, W) := \chi(X, W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Corollary 7.3.   (1) *For any $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$ we have $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \varepsilon_*(V))$.*

(2) *For any $W \in K^0(X)$, we have $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon^*(W)) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, W)$.*

Proof. (1) By the projection formula

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) = \chi(\mathcal{X}, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}})) = \chi(X, \varepsilon_*(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \varepsilon_*(V)).$$

(2) By (1) $\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon^*(W)) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \varepsilon_*(\varepsilon^*(W))) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, W)$. $\square$

Corollary 7.4. (1) *Let $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$, then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) = |G| \int_{[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}(\varepsilon^* \varepsilon_*(V)) \, \mathrm{td}(T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

(2) *Let $W \in K^0(X)$, then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon^* W) = |G| \int_{[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}(\varepsilon^*(W)) \, \mathrm{td}(T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

Proof. (1) By Corollary 7.3 and virtual Riemann-Roch we get

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \varepsilon_*(V)) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}(\varepsilon_*(V)) \, \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = |G| \int_{[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \varepsilon^*(\mathrm{ch}(\varepsilon_*(V))) \, \mathrm{td}(T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}),$$

and the claim follows because ch commutes with pullback. (2) Again Corollary 7.3 and virtual Riemann-Roch give

$$\chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon^* W) = \chi^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, W) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}(W) \, \mathrm{td}(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) = |G| \int_{[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{ch}(\varepsilon^*(W)) \, \mathrm{td}(T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}}).$$

$\square$

Corollary 7.5. (1) $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}) = \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$, $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}) = e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$.
   (2) *More generally for $V \in K^0(\mathcal{X})$ and $W \in K^0(X)$, we have $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, V) = \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, \varepsilon_*(V))$ and $\chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon^* W) = \chi_{-y}^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, W)$.*
   (3) $Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{X}, y, q) = Ell^{\mathrm{vir}}(X, y, q)$.
   (4) $e^{\mathrm{vir}}(X) = |G| \int_{[\mathcal{X}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_d(T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{vir}})$.

Proof. (1), (2), (3) follow immediately from the definitions and Corollary 7.3. (4) follows from Corollary 4.8. $\square$

7.4. **Moduli stacks of stable sheaves.** Let V be a projective variety of dimension d, H an ample line bundle on V, $r > 0$ an integer and (for $i = 1, \ldots, r$) $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ cohomology classes. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}$ the moduli stack of H–stable bundles of rank r and Chern classes c_i on V. We denote as usual by $\mathrm{Pic}\, V$ the Picard group of V, and by $\mathfrak{Pic}\, V$ the Picard stack of V, i.e. the moduli stack of line bundles on V. The determinant defines a natural morphism $\det : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Pic}\, V$, and there is a natural map $\mathfrak{Pic}\, V \to \mathrm{Pic}\, V$ which identifies $\mathrm{Pic}\, V$ with the coarse moduli space of $\mathfrak{Pic}\, V$.

Both $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{P}ic\,V$ are algebraic stacks in the sense of Artin, and they have a natural structure of gerbes banded by $\mathbb{G}_m$ over their coarse moduli spaces, since all stable bundles and all line bundles are simple, i.e. their automorphism group is given by nonzero scalar multiples of the identity. It is a well known fact that the gerbe $\mathfrak{P}ic\,V \to \operatorname{Pic}V$ is trivial, and we choose a trivialization i.e., a Poincaré line bundle on $V \times \operatorname{Pic}V$, that is a section of the structure morphism $\mathfrak{P}ic\,X \to \operatorname{Pic}X$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the fiber product $\mathfrak{X}\times_{\mathfrak{P}ic\,V}\operatorname{Pic}V$; if $L \in \operatorname{Pic}V$ is a line bundle, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_L$ the fiber of $\mathcal{X}$ over L. Both $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_L$ are DM stacks, and are naturally gerbes banded by μ_r over their coarse moduli spaces, which we denote by X and X_L respectively. Both X and X_L are quasiprojective schemes; they are projective if there are no strictly semistable sheaves with the given rank and Chern classes (and determinant, in the case of X_L).

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an H-stable sheaf of rank r, with Chern classes c_i and determinant L, and denote by f the corresponding morphism from $Spec\,\mathbb{C}$ to either $\mathcal{X}$ or $\mathcal{X}_L$. The stack $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_L$ have natural obstruction theories $E^\bullet$ and $E_L^\bullet$ with the property that $h^i(f^*(E_L^\bullet)^\vee) = \operatorname{Ext}_0^{i+1}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$, $h^1(f^*(E^\bullet)^\vee) = \operatorname{Ext}_0^2(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$, $h^0(f^*(E_L^\bullet)^\vee) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 7.6. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be a nonzero scalar. Then the automorphism induced on $\operatorname{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_0^i(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$ by acting simultaneously on both copies of $\mathcal{F}$ with the scalar c is the identity.*

Proof. Since Ext is contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second, the scalar automorphism c applied to the first variable acts as c^{-1}, and applied to the second variable it acts as c. The vector space $\operatorname{Ext}_0^i(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$ carries the induced action. $\square$

Proposition 7.7. *The complex $E^\bullet$ (respectively $E_L^\bullet$) is the pullback of a unique obstruction theory on X (resp. on X_L).*

Proof. This follows immediately by Remark 7.2. $\square$

Appendix – A detailed proof of Theorem 4.5

Fix formal variables x_i for $i = 1,\ldots,n$ and y_j for $j = 1,\ldots,m$ and let A be the formal power series ring $A := \mathbb{Q}[\![x_i, y_j]\!]$. Let $d = n - m$ which we assume nonegative, $\mathfrak{m}_A$ the maximal ideal in A, and let $\bar{A}$ be the quotient ring $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^{d+1}$ (formal power series developments up to order d).

Let t indicate one of the variables x_i's or y_j's. Let

$$f(t,y) := \frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}}(1 - ye^{-t}) \in A[y].$$

Since $t/(1 - e^{-t})$ is invertible in A, f is invertible in $A[\![y]\!]$; its inverse is the power series

$$f_*(t, y) := \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} \left(\sum_{r \geq 0} y^r e^{rt} \right) \in A[\![y]\!].$$

We now consider the variable change $y = 1 - 1/u$, i.e. $u = 1/(1 - y)$. Note that $g(t, u) := uf(t, 1 - 1/u) \in A[u, 1/u]$ is actually a degree one polynomial, which can be written as

$$g(t, u) = ut + \frac{te^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} = 1 + \ell + tu$$

with $\ell \in \mathfrak{m}_A$. Note that g is invertible and its inverse is

$$g_*(t, u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r (\ell + tu)^r.$$

Let $\xi = \sum \xi_k u^k \in A[\![u]\!]$ be a power series. We say that ξ is good if $\xi_k \in \mathfrak{m}_A^k$ for every k; in that case, we denote by $\tilde{\xi}$ the power series $\sum \tilde{\xi}_k u^k$ where $\tilde{\xi}_k$ is the homogeneous part of degree k in ξ_k. Good power series are closed under sum, product, and infinite sum when this makes sense, and the map $\xi \mapsto \tilde{\xi}$ commutes with all these operations.

Claim 1. *The power series $g_*(t, u)$ is good, and $\tilde{g}_*(t, u) = (1 + tu)^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $\xi := \ell + tu$. Then ξ is good and $\tilde{\xi} = tu$. Hence g_* is good, and $\tilde{g}_* := \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r \tilde{\xi}^r = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r (tu)^r = (1 + tu)^{-1}$. $\square$

We denote by $\bar{f}$ the image of f in $\bar{A}[u]$, and similarly for f_*, g, g_*. Note that by the claim $\bar{g}_*$ is actually a polynomial in u of degree at most d.

Since g is a polynomial, we can consider $g(t, 1/(1 - y)) \in A[\![y]\!]$; it is easy to check that $g(t, 1/(1 - y)) = (1 - y)^{-1} f(t, y)$. In particular $\bar{g}(t, 1/(1 - y)) = (1 - y)^{-1} \bar{f}(t, y)$.

Since $\bar{g}_*$ is a polynomial in u, it makes sense to consider $\bar{g}_*(t, 1/(1 - y)) \in \bar{A}[\![y]\!]$.

Claim 2. *In the ring $\bar{A}[\![y]\!]$ one has the equality*

$$\frac{1}{1 - y} \bar{g}_*(t, 1/(1 - y)) = \bar{f}_*(t, y).$$

Proof. From the equations $gg_* = 1$ in $A[\![u]\!]$ and $ff_* = 1$ in $A[\![y]\!]$ it follows that $\bar{f}\bar{f}_* = 1$ and $\bar{g}(t, 1/(1-y))\bar{g}_*(t, 1/(1-y)) = 1$ in $\bar{A}[\![y]\!]$. We can multiply both sides of the claim by $\bar{g}(t, 1/(1-y))$ and $\bar{f}(t, y)$ since these are invertible elements. The result to prove becomes

$$\frac{1}{1 - y} \bar{f}(t, y) = \bar{g}(t, 1/(1 - y))$$

which immediately follows from the corresponding equality in $A[\![y]\!]$. $\square$

The claim above would not make sense in $A[\![y]\!]$ since we cannot substitute in the power series g_* the power series $(1-y)^{-1}$ which has a nonzero constant term.

Let

$$\mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \bar{f}(x_i, y) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \bar{f}_*(y_j, y) \in \bar{A}[\![y]\!].$$

Claim 3. *(1) In the ring $\bar{A}[\![y]\!]$ one has the equality*

$$\mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) = (1-y)^d \prod_{i=1}^{n} \bar{g}(x_i, 1/(1-y)) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \bar{g}_*(y_j, 1/(1-y))$$

(2) $\mathcal{X}_{-y}(X)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d.
(3) Write $\mathcal{X}_{-y}(X) = \sum_{l=0}^{r} \mathcal{X}^l (1-y)^l$. Then $\mathcal{X}^l - c_l(T_X^{\mathrm{vir}}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{A}}^{l+1}$.

Proof. (1) We can apply the previous claim since $(1-y)^d = (1-y)^n/(1-y)^m$.
(2) The power series

$$h(u) := \prod_{i-1}^{n} g(x_i, u) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_*(y_j, u) \in A[\![u]\!]$$

is good since each of its factors is good, and therefore $\bar{h}(u)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d.
(3) It is enough to prove that $\tilde{h}(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+x_i u) \prod_{j=1}^{m}(1+y_j u)^{-1}$, and this follows from the definition and the first claim. $\square$

References

[A]   P. Aluffi, *Chern classes for singular hypersurfaces.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 3989–4026.

[BFM] P. Baum, W. Fulton, R. MacPherson, *Riemann-Roch for singular varieties.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **45** (1975), 101–145.

[BF]   K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone.* Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 45–88.

[BL]   L.A. Borisov, A. Libgober, *Elliptic genera of toric varieties and applications to mirror symmetry.* Invent. Math. **140** (2000), 453–485.

[Ch]   K. Chandrasekharan, *Elliptic functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 281. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. xi+189 pp.

[CFK1] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, *Derived Quot schemes.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **34** (2001), 403–440.

[CFK2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, *Derived Hilbert schemes.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 787–815.

[CFK3] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, *Virtual fundamental classes via dg-manifolds*, arXiv:math.AG/0703214.

[DM]   F. Denef, G. Moore, *Split States, Entropy Enigmas, Holes and Halos*, arXiv:hep-th/0702146.

[EZ]   M. Eichler, D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms.* Progress in Mathematics, 55. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985. v+148 pp.

[Fu]   W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*. Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp.

[Gö]   L. Göttsche, *Change of polarization and Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on surfaces*. Math. Z. **223** (1996), 247–260.

[GNY]   L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima, K. Yoshioka, *K-theoretic Donaldson invariants via instanton counting*. arXiv:math.AG/0611945.

[GNMY] L. Göttsche, T. Mochizuki, H. Nakajima, K. Yoshioka, in preparation.

[GP]   T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*. Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 487–518.

[Ha]   R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM Series **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[Hi]   F. Hirzebruch, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. xii+234 pp.

[Hö]   G. Höhn, *Komplexe elliptische Geschlechter und S^1-äquivariante Kobordismustheorie*, Diplomarbeit Bonn, 1991.

[J1]   R. Joshua, *Bredon-style homology, cohomology and Riemann-Roch for algebraic stacks*. Adv. Math. **209** (2007), 1–68.

[J2]   R. Joshua, *Riemann-Roch for algebraic stacks: III virtual structure sheaves and virtual fundamental classes*. Preprint 2005.

[Kre]   A. Kresch, *On the geometry of Deligne-Mumford stacks*, preprint (2006), submitted to the proceedings of the Summer Institute in Algebraic Geometry, Seattle 2005.

\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk\string~kresch/pa/geodm.pdf\endve

[Kr]   I.M. Krichever, *Generalized elliptic genera and Baker-Akhiezer functions*. Math. Notes **47** (1990), 132–142

[Lee]   Y.-P. Lee, *Quantum K-theory. I. Foundations*. Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), 389–424.

[M1]   T. Mochizuki, *A theory of the invariants obtained from the moduli stacks of stable objects on a smooth polarized surface*. Preprint math.AG/0210211, version 2, July 2006.

[M2]   T. Mochizuki, *Note on the transition of K-theory invariants*, Preprint 2007.

[Th]   R.P. Thomas, *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on $K3$ fibrations*. J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), 367–438.

[VW]   C. Vafa, E. Witten, *A strong coupling test of S-duality*. Nuclear Phys. B **431** (1994), 3–77.

SISSA, Via Beirut 2/4, 34014 Trieste, Italy
E-mail address: fantechi@sissa.it

ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy
E-mail address: gottsche@ictp.it