

Smith ScholarWorks

Chemistry: Faculty Publications

Chemistry

3-28-2019

Protein-Polymer Conjugates Synthesized Using Water-Soluble Azlactone-Functionalized Polymers Enable Receptor-Specific Cellular Uptake Toward Targeted Drug Delivery

Julia S. Kim Smith College

Allison R. Sirois Smith College

Analia J. Vazquez Cegla Smith College

Eugenie Jumai'an Smith College

Naomi Murata Smith College

See next page for additional authors Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.smith.edu/chm_facpubs

Part of the Chemistry Commons, and the Engineering Commons

Recommended Citation

Kim, Julia S.; Sirois, Allison R.; Vazquez Cegla, Analia J.; Jumai'an, Eugenie; Murata, Naomi; Buck, Maren E.; and Moore, Sarah J., "Protein-Polymer Conjugates Synthesized Using Water-Soluble Azlactone-Functionalized Polymers Enable Receptor-Specific Cellular Uptake Toward Targeted Drug Delivery" (2019). Chemistry: Faculty Publications, Smith College, Northampton, MA. https://scholarworks.smith.edu/chm_facpubs/18

This Article has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry: Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Smith ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@smith.edu

Authors

Julia S. Kim, Allison R. Sirois, Analia J. Vazquez Cegla, Eugenie Jumai'an, Naomi Murata, Maren E. Buck, and Sarah J. Moore

1	TITLE
2	
3	Protein-Polymer Conjugates Synthesized using Water-Soluble Azlactone-Functionalized
4	Polymers Enable Receptor-Specific Cellular Uptake towards Targeted Drug Delivery
5	
6	AUTHORS
7	Julia S. Kim ⁺ , Allison R. Sirois ⁺ , Analia J. Vazquez Cegla ⁺ , Eugenie Jumai'an ⁺ , Naomi Murata [⊥] ,
8	Maren E. Buck* ^{$$} , and Sarah J. Moore* ^{\circ}
9	
10	Biochemistry Program, Picker Engineering Program, ¹ Neuroscience Program, ¹ Department of
11	$Chemistry, and \ ^{\nabla} Department \ of \ Biological \ Sciences, \ Smith \ College, \ Northampton, \ Massachusetts$
12	01063, United States
13	³ Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst,
14	Massachusetts 01003, United States
15	
16	*Corresponding authors:
17	Maren E. Buck: mbuck@smith.edu
18	Sarah J. Moore: sjmoore@smith.edu
19	
20	ABSTRACT
21	
22	Conjugation of proteins to drug-loaded polymeric structures is an attractive strategy for facilitating
23	target-specific drug delivery for a variety of clinical needs. Polymers currently available for
24	conjugation to proteins generally have limited chemical versatility for subsequent drug loading.
25	Many polymers that do have chemical functionality useful for drug loading are often insoluble in
26	water, making it difficult to synthesize functional protein-polymer conjugates for targeted drug
27	delivery. In this work, we demonstrate that reactive, azlactone-functionalized polymers can be
28	grafted to proteins, conjugated to a small molecule fluorophore, and subsequently internalized into
29	cells in a receptor-specific manner. Poly(2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone) (PVDMA) synthesized
30	using reversible addition-fragmentation transfer (RAFT) was modified post-polymerization with

31 substoichiometric equivalents of triethylene glycol monomethyl ether (mTEG) to yield reactive 32 water-soluble, azlactone-functionalized copolymers. These reactive polymers were then 33 conjugated to proteins holo-transferrin and ovotransferrin. Protein gel analysis verified successful conjugation of proteins to polymer, and protein-polymer conjugates were subsequently purified 34 35 from unreacted proteins and polymers using size exclusion chromatography. Internalization 36 experiments using a breast cancer cell line that overexpresses the transferrin receptor on its surface 37 showed that the holo-transferrin-polymer conjugate was successfully internalized by cells in a 38 mechanism consistent with receptor-mediated endocytosis. Our approach to protein-polymer 39 conjugate synthesis offers a simple, tailorable strategy for preparing bioconjugates of interest for 40 a broad range of biomedical applications.

41

42 INTRODUCTION

43

44 Treatment of numerous diseases could benefit from improved options for targeted delivery of 45 drugs to disease-specific locations. Two important challenges in medicine for which targeted 46 delivery could significantly improve patient outcomes are delivery of therapeutics to the central 47 nervous system and delivery of chemotherapeutics selectively to tumor cells. The blood-brain 48 barrier (BBB) frequently prevents therapeutics from sufficiently accessing brain tissue, creating a 49 major bottleneck for developing treatments for diseases like Alzheimer's disease and brain 50 tumors.¹² Often, drug development efforts for neurological diseases must focus on small molecule 51 candidates constrained by a set of physicochemical properties that can facilitate their passage 52 across the BBB.³ Receptor-mediated transcytosis (RMT) is a promising approach being developed 53 to use native transport pathways to shuttle larger therapeutic complexes across the BBB.⁴⁵ Initial 54 reports of the ongoing clinical trials for the first RMT-based therapeutic to be used in humans have 55 been positive, encouraging continued development of therapeutics using RMT pathways for drug 56 delivery.

57 Specific targeting of chemotherapeutic agents to tumor cells could significantly reduce 58 toxic side effects that are currently caused by the systemic distribution of administered cytotoxic 59 drugs in the body.⁷ In recent years, substantial progress has been made toward the general goal of 60 targeted therapy using both passive and active targeting approaches.⁷ For example, antibody-drug 61 conjugates have been developed that rely on the specific targeting of tumor biomarkers using

62 antibodies to deliver a toxic payload to tumor cells.⁴⁻¹⁰ There are, however, challenges with finding 63 appropriate chemistries for conjugating the drug to the antibody, with continued need for improved 64 linkers between antibodies and their drug payload that do not inhibit antibody targeting and that can release drug when the conjugate has reached the desired location.⁸ Inorganic and polymeric 65 66 nanocarriers have also been explored for both passive and active targeting.^{11,12} Although several 67 nanocarriers that passively target tumor cells have been approved for clinical use, no actively 68 targeted nanocarriers have advanced past clinical trials to date.¹² There remains a need for better 69 drug carriers that actively target pathological cells.

70 Active targeting of drug carriers to particular cell types is generally achieved by 71 conjugating a drug carrier to a ligand that binds specific cell-surface receptors. Drug carriers 72 include polymers and nanoparticles, and ligands can be proteins, peptides, or certain small 73 molecules.^{7,11,12} Proteins are particularly useful as targeting ligands because they exhibit precise 74 binding interactions with molecular partners. Protein engineering permits the manipulation of 75 these binding interactions such that a given targeting protein can be engineered to meet identified 76 design parameters, such as a desired affinity or binding epitope on the receptor.^{13,4} Consequently, 77 proteins, including antibodies and other protein classes, have found wide success on their own as 78 therapeutics for a variety of diseases.^{15,16} To be useful as a targeting ligand for drug delivery 79 applications, proteins that interact with a chosen disease marker need to be chemically coupled to 80 the drug to be delivered. Versatile and straightforward chemistries to conjugate drugs to proteins 81 are still needed.⁸ Polymers that link targeting proteins to drug molecules are a promising avenue 82 for developing a modular strategy for synthesizing targeted drug delivery molecules, where any targeting protein of interest could be readily coupled to a drug molecule linked by a polymer that 83 84 couples to protein and to drug. Here, we report the development of protein-polymer conjugates 85 for targeted drug delivery applications.

Protein-polymer conjugates are being used in a variety of applications in medicine and industry.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The first generation of protein-polymer conjugates were comprised of polyethylene glycol (PEG) attached to therapeutic proteins to extend the circulation time and reduce the immunogenicity of the therapeutics. Over the past several decades, more than a dozen PEGylated molecules have been approved for use in humans.²⁰⁻²² While PEG continues to be the leading polymer for preparing clinically-relevant protein-polymer conjugates, PEG does have limitations, such as non-degradability and potential immunogenicity,²³ that necessitate the development of
protein-polymer conjugates with an expanded selection of finely tuned functionalities.

94 Numerous advances in the development of protein-polymer conjugates with expanded chemistries useful for biomedical applications have been reported in recent years.^{17-19,24,25} Strategies 95 96 for controlled polymerization^{10,24-26} and site-specific conjugation^{24,25,27,34} of polymers to proteins have facilitated the synthesis of more well-defined protein-polymer conjugates. Site-specificity and 97 98 control of polymer synthesis are jointly achieved with approaches that grow polymers from 99 proteins functionalized with an initiator at a unique location in the protein sequence.^{24,31,33} While 100 growing polymers from appropriately-functionalized proteins, termed 'grafting-from,' affords 101 more easily purified conjugates, 19.25.26 the grafting-from approach does limit to some extent the 102 chemistries that can be incorporated into the polymer structure. In addition, grafting-from requires 103 a new polymer to be synthesized each time the bioconjugate is prepared, which may lead to small 104 variations in the polymer structure, even when controlled methods are used. In a 'grafting-to' 105 approach, preformed polymers bearing end-group or side-chain reactive functionality are conjugated to proteins.^{23,33,6} A number of different chemistries have been used to facilitate grafting 106 107 of polymers to proteins, including polymers bearing amine-reactive functionality such as NHSesters or anhydrides,^{25,36,37} maleimide or dibromomaleimide functionality for reaction with cysteine 108 109 residues,^{25,36,38-40} and biorthogonal "click" reactions.^{25,34,36,41} Grafting-to permits incorporation of both water-soluble and water-insoluble functionalities into the polymer structure. For example, 110 hydrophobic drugs are an important class of water-insoluble molecules that can be incorporated 111 112 into polymer structures when using the grafting-to approach. Grafting-to also allows conjugation of a defined polymer structure to a variety of different proteins. 113

114 In the work reported here, we explored the use of side-chain reactive polymers for the 115 preparation of protein-polymer conjugates via a grafting-to approach. Side-chain reactive 116 polymers and their subsequent post-polymerization modification⁴²⁻⁴⁵ offer opportunities for 117 combinatorial synthesis of a broad range of polymer structures such that the influence of polymer 118 structure on bioconjugate properties can be easily explored.⁴⁶ Furthermore, these reactive groups 119 could be used to tether drug molecules to the scaffold before protein conjugation. In particular, 120 hydrophobic drugs can be more readily coupled to a polymer in organic solvent compared to 121 directly coupling a hydrophobic drug to a protein in aqueous solution. From a drug delivery 122 perspective, a polymer with a tunable number of sites for drug attachment is desirable because it

permits intentional selection of the number of drug molecules per protein-polymer conjugate. Such flexibility in drug loading enables targeting an appropriate concentration in the body within a particular drug's therapeutic window. It is then possible to achieve a sufficiently high concentration of the drug at the disease site to have a desired therapeutic effect while remaining below concentrations in the body that cause unacceptable toxicities. The ability to conjugate a variety of active drug molecules directly to protein residues is more difficult than approaches that use a delivery scaffold.

130 We used the reactive polymer poly(2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone) (PVDMA, Figure 1) 131 to prepare a series of protein-polymer conjugates. PVDMA is attractive for the preparation of 132 bioconjugates for several reasons. It can be synthesized from the vinyl monomer using a variety of polymerization methods.^{43,45,47} In this current work, we synthesized PVDMA using reversible 133 134 addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization, which has been demonstrated 135 previously to yield well-defined azlactone-functionalized polymers (Figure 1A).47-50 Importantly 136 for this work, the five-membered lactone of PVDMA rapidly undergoes ring-opening reactions 137 with nucleophiles, such as amines and alcohols, including those found in native proteins.⁴⁵⁵¹ Thus, 138 a broad range of polymeric structures and bioconjugates can be readily synthesized starting from 139 the same template polymer. While azlactone-functionalized polymers have been used to 140 immobilize proteins on a variety of solid supports or thin films,4551 only a few examples of soluble 141 protein-polymer conjugates have been reported.485253 For example, Fontaine and coworkers 142 demonstrated the feasibility of using the azlactone functional group for conjugation of polymers 143 to lysozyme⁴⁵² while Weeks et al. reported the conjugation of recombinant elastin-like polypeptides 144 to PVDMA.³³ However, because PVDMA is not inherently water-soluble, these previous reports 145 used organic solvents to conjugate the protein to the polymer.^{48,52,53}

146 In this work, we demonstrate the feasibility of synthesizing water-soluble, azlactone-147 functionalized polymers and conjugating these reactive polymers to disease-relevant proteins. 148 Stover and coworkers reported the synthesis of water-soluble azlactone-functionalized polymers 149 through copolymerization of the azlactone monomer VDMA with a series of water-soluble 150 comonomers.⁴⁴ Others have demonstrated that PVDMA can be rendered water soluble by 151 exhaustive functionalization with appropriate side chain functionality.⁵⁵ In this report, we 152 functionalized PVDMA with substoichiometric amounts of triethylene glycol monomethyl ether 153 (abbreviated mTEG) to prepare reactive, water-soluble polymers (PVDMA-mTEG, Figure 1A).

154 This polymer readily conjugates to the proteins holo-transferrin (hTF) and ovotransferrin (OTF) 155 in aqueous solution (Figure 1B). hTF represents a useful model protein for the development of 156 targeted drug delivery scaffolds because the protein binds to and is internalized by cell-surface 157 transferrin receptors (TFR) present on endothelial cells that comprise the blood brain barrier and 158 expressed at high levels on many tumor cells.⁴⁶ hTF has also been used recently in the synthesis of 159 protein-polymer conjugates and shown to facilitate receptor-specific targeting of conjugates to 160 cells expressing the transferrin receptor.³⁷ Using confocal microscopy assays, we show that hTF-161 PVDMA-mTEG conjugates are internalized specifically into a tumor cell line that expresses TFR. This work exemplifies a modular approach for synthesizing protein-polymer conjugates and offers 162 163 a new system that can be easily tailored for targeted drug delivery to a variety of disease-specific 164 cell types.

165



166

167
168 Figure 1. Synthesis of protein-polymer conjugates via a modular grafting-to approach using water-soluble,
169 azlactone-functionalized polymers. (A) PVDMA was synthesized by RAFT polymerization and functionalized with
170 a substoichiometric equivalent of mTEG (0.3 molar eq. relative to repeat unit) to make the polymer soluble in water

a substoichiometric equivalent of mTEG (0.3 molar eq. relative to repeat unit) to make the polymer soluble in water
(PVDMA-mTEG). (B) PVDMA-mTEG can be subsequently grafted to a protein, including holo-transferrin shown
here (PDB 3V83).

173

174 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

176 Synthesis and Characterization of mTEG-functionalized PVDMA. PVDMA was synthesized 177 using RAFT polymerization⁵⁸ (Figure 1A, step 1) to yield a well-defined homopolymer with M_{μ} = 178 13.1 kg/mol (Table 1). Water-soluble azlactone-functionalized polymers for protein conjugation 179 were synthesized by treating the homopolymer with 0.3 equivalents of mTEG relative to the 180 azlactone repeat unit (Figure 1A, step 2). DBU was used as a base catalyst and all reactions were 181 stirred at 40 °C overnight. Figure 2A shows FT-IR spectra of PVDMA homopolymer and PVDMA 182 treated with mTEG. The IR spectrum of PVDMA prior to functionalization (Figure 2A, black 183 dashed curve) reveals peaks characteristic of the carbonyl (1820 cm⁻¹) and imine (1670 cm⁻¹) bonds 184 of the azlactone ring. Treatment of PVDMA with 0.3 equivalents of mTEG (red curve) leads to a 185 decrease in the carbonyl and imine peaks and the appearance of peaks at 1735 cm⁴ (ester), 1650 cm⁻¹ (amide I), and 1540 cm⁻¹ (amide II) that result from ring-opening of the lactone with an alcohol 186 187 nucleophile. Quantitative analysis of mTEG functionalization using NMR spectroscopy revealed 188 that mTEG was incorporated into the polymer in nearly quantitative yield (Table 1). GPC analysis 189 of PVDMA functionalized with mTEG revealed an increase in molecular weight consistent with 190 functionalization of the polymer (Table 1). GPC analysis also confirmed that no polymer 191 crosslinking occurred during treatment with mTEG, based on observing no increase in dispersity 192 comparing polymer before and after mTEG functionalization. The absence of crosslinking is 193 expected since mTEG only has one nucleophile that is reactive with the azlactone group. Finally, 194 while PVDMA can be functionalized with larger amounts of mTEG, polymers modified with 0.3 equivalents proved to be soluble in water. Thus, this polymer, referred to hereafter simply as 195 196 PVDMA-mTEG, was used for all experiments described here to provide the greatest number of 197 remaining reactive groups in the polymer for additional modifications and protein conjugation.

198

199 Table 1. Characterization of polymers by NMR spectroscopy and GPC.

Polymer Name	mTEG eq.ª	Actual mTEG [♭]	M _n (kg/mol)⁰	Đc
PVDMA	0	0	13.1	1.35
PVDMA-mTEG	0.3	0.28	20.0	1.25

Molar equivalents of mTEG relative to the azlactone repeat unit in the reaction. Molar equivalents of mTEG
 incorporated into the polymer was determined using H NMR spectroscopy. 4-Iodoanisole was added as an internal
 standard and mTEG functionalization was determined by comparing the integration of the ester peak at 4.22 ppm to
 the integration of the peak at 6.67 ppm arising from 4-iodoanisole. Number average molecular weight and dispersity
 determined by GPC in THF measured against polystyrene standards.

207 One potential challenge associated with using the azlactone moiety for protein conjugation 208 in aqueous solution is that these groups are susceptible to hydrolysis. However, hydrolysis 209 reactions are typically slower than reactions of azlactones with amines. Furthermore, azlactone 210 groups have been shown to persist for several hours in water when copolymerized with certain water soluble monomers.⁵⁴ To qualitatively characterize the rate of hydrolysis of PVDMA-mTEG, 211 212 we acquired IR spectra of a polymer dissolved in water (Figure 2B) over time. The series of spectra shown in Figure 2B reveal that the lactone carbonyl peak (1820 cm⁻¹) persists for at least 12 hours. 213 214 The polymer fully hydrolyzes in 24 hours as evidenced by the complete disappearance of the lactone carbonyl peak at 1820 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2B). Based on these data, we hypothesized that, 215 216 following functionalization with mTEG, sufficient azlactones would remain on the polymer to permit reaction with amines on a protein (i.e., the N-terminus or lysine residues), but that all 217 218 residual azlactone groups would fully hydrolyze during or after protein conjugation. This latter hydrolysis reaction is desirable in order to avoid unwanted reactions of the polymer with proteins 219 220 on cells in subsequent cell internalization experiments.



222

223 Figure 2. Water-soluble, azlactone-functionalized copolymers can be synthesized by post-polymerization 224 modification of PVDMA. (A) FT-IR spectra of PVDMA (black dashed curve) and PVDMA modified with 0.3 molar 225 equivalents (Eq.) of mTEG relative to the repeat unit (red curve). The peaks at 1820 cm⁴ (carbonyl) and 1670 cm⁴ 226 (imine) are characteristic of the azlactone ring. Ring opening of the lactone with an alcohol nucleophile results in the 227 disappearance of the azlactone peaks and the appearance of ester (1720 cm⁻), amide I (1650 cm⁻), and amide II (1540 228 cm⁻) peaks. (B) FT-IR spectra as a function of time of PVDMA-mTEG incubated in water. FT-IR spectra revealed 229 the disappearance of the azlactone carbonyl (1820 cm⁺) peak and an increase in the peaks at 1735 cm⁺ (ester+carboxylic 230 acid carbonyl), 1650 cm⁴ (amide I), and 1540 cm⁴ (amide II). The strong peak at 1710 cm⁴ corresponds to acetone, 231 which was used to cast the polymer film on the ATR crystal. The legend refers to time in hours following dissolution 232 of PVDMA-mTEG in water.

233

Protein Holo-transferrin Conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG. For our initial experiments, holotransferrin (hTF) was selected to determine the feasibility of conjugating proteins to PVDMAmTEG. hTF is an 80 kDa glycoprotein containing 58 lysine residues (UniProt P02787) and is the native protein ligand for the transferrin receptor (TFR).⁴⁶ Upon binding its receptor, hTF gets internalized into cells through receptor-mediated endocytosis. The hTF-TFR interaction is of interest for a variety of clinical applications.⁴⁶ For example, receptor-mediated transcytosis facilitated by TFR has been studied for drug delivery across the blood-brain barrier to the central nervous system.³⁹ TFR is also overexpressed in many cancers, which makes it an interesting receptor system to be used as a model for targeted drug delivery to tumor cells.⁴⁹ Because PVDMA reacts readily with the primary amines in the N-termini and lysine residues in proteins,^{45,51} hTF provides ample reactive sites for conjugation.

245 Conjugates were prepared by incubating PVDMA-mTEG with hTF in phosphate buffered 246 saline (PBS) containing 15% v/v DMSO at 4 °C. Low concentrations of DMSO are commonly 247 used to facilitate conjugation of reactive small molecules and polymers to proteins.^{37,61} We examined 248 a range of molar ratios of polymer:protein for hTF conjugation reactions. Successful conjugation 249 of polymer to protein was assessed using SDS-PAGE (Figure 3). Lane 1 contains pure hTF protein 250 with no polymer. Lane 2 contains PVDMA-mTEG polymer with no protein, which is not detected 251 by the protein gel stain. Lanes 3 through 6 include conjugation reactions in which the amount of 252 protein was kept constant while the amount of PVDMA-mTEG was increased. Lane 3 reveals 253 the presence of a faint band at higher molecular weight than the hTF protein band. The apparent 254 molecular weight of this band is consistent with the molecular weight of one protein and one polymer molecule, suggesting the formation of conjugates at a 1:1 molar ratio of protein:polymer. 255 256 With higher amounts of polymer in the conjugation reaction (Figure 3, lane 4-6), we observe a 257 band at a molecular weight consistent with a protein:polymer molar ratio of 1:2. Increasing the 258 molar amount of polymer relative to protein resulted in a darkening of this higher molecular weight 259 band. We do not observe any protein bands at a molecular weight that suggests two or more 260 proteins in a conjugate molecule with at least one polymer. While all reactions show residual 261 unreacted protein, as demonstrated by the presence of the original protein band in lanes 3-6, the 262 intensities of these bands are increasingly reduced compared to the intensity of the protein only 263 sample shown in lane 1. The same amount of total protein was loaded into lanes 1 and 3-6, and, 264 therefore, reduction in the original protein band intensity further suggests successful protein-265 polymer conjugation. Taken together, these data demonstrate that hTF conjugates to PVDMA-266 mTEG through reactive, azlactone functionality in aqueous solution.





270 Figure 3. Protein hTF conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG. Holo-transferrin (hTF) conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG in 271 aqueous solution. The appearance of higher molecular weight bands and decrease in intensity of primary protein band 272 indicate protein conjugation to polymer. Protein amounts in each lane were held constant. Lane 1 contains protein 273 only, lane 2 contains PVDMA-mTEG only. Lanes 3-6 contain unpurified protein-polymer conjugation reactions at 274 an increasing amount of polymer relative to protein, keeping amount of protein constant. Molar ratios of protein to 275 polymer molecules in reactions are: lane 3 = 1.5; lane 4 = 1.10; lane 5 = 1.20; lane 6 = 1.50. Samples are not reduced. 276 Apparent molecular weights of the two protein-polymer conjugate bands are most consistent with protein:polymer 277 conjugate ratios of 1:1 and 1:2.

278

279 Protein-Polymer Conjugates can be Purified by Size Exclusion Chromatography. Prior to use 280 in receptor targeting experiments with a human cell line, protein-polymer conjugates were purified 281 from unreacted protein and unreacted polymer. Samples were first concentrated and purified from 282 low molecular weight species by using a centrifugal filtration device with a 10 kDa molecular 283 weight cut-off (MWCO) before being loaded onto a size exclusion chromatography (SEC) column. 284 Samples were analyzed by detecting absorbance at 220 nm. Pure hTF protein exhibits a single 285 narrow peak on SEC (Figure 4A, red solid curve). PVDMA-mTEG exhibits a broad high 286 molecular weight peak and a narrow low molecular weight peak (Figure S1A). Unpurified protein-287 polymer conjugates eluted at shorter retention times (i.e., higher molecular weight) relative to hTF

only and included low molecular weight species similar to polymer only samples (Figure 4A, black
dashed curve). We were able to collect the high molecular weight protein-polymer conjugate peak,
which no longer contained unreacted protein when analyzed by SEC (Figure 4A, red dashed curve)
and SDS-PAGE (Figure 4B). Because the molecular weight of the polymer is less than the
molecular weight of the protein, we anticipate that most or all of the unreacted polymer was
removed through SEC purification. However, because polymer alone does not stain on the protein
gel, it is possible that some unreacted polymer remains following SEC purification.

295 The purified protein-polymer conjugates contained a mixture of conjugates at 296 protein:polymer ratios of 1:1 and 1:2 (Figure 4B). On SEC, we did not observe any products of 297 the conjugation reaction that would suggest more than one protein per conjugate, based on analysis 298 of retention time of the protein-polymer conjugation reactions. However, it is possible that any 299 conjugates with two proteins joined by one or more polymers may elute at a longer retention time 300 than would be predicted for a globular protein of the same molecular weight, so it remains possible 301 that some protein-polymer conjugates containing two proteins exist in our reaction mixture. The 302 lack of molecules in the conjugation reaction mixture eluting at less than 20 min retention time 303 does conclusively indicate a lack of higher order aggregates.





305 Figure 4. Purification of hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugates. (A) SEC was used to analyze and purify hTF-PVDMA-306 mTEG conjugates from unreacted hTF and from unreacted PVDMA-mTEG. Larger molecules have a shorter retention 307 time. Pure hTF protein (red solid line) exhibits a single narrow peak for absorbance at 220 nm. The protein-polymer 308 conjugation reaction (black dashed line) has overlapping peaks that include an unreacted hTF peak and a new larger 309 molecule with shorter retention time consistent with protein-polymer conjugates, as well as a low molecular weight 310 peak from polymer byproducts. There are no peaks in the conjugation reaction that elute < 20 min, indicating the 311 absence of higher order protein-polymer aggregates. Following collection of the protein-polymer conjugate peak and 312 reinjection onto SEC, a narrow peak is observed as purified hTF-PVDMA-mTEG (red dashed line). (B) SDS-PAGE

analysis of hTF (lane 1), protein-polymer conjugation reaction before purification (lane 2), and SEC purified hTF PVDMA-mTEG conjugate (lane 3) demonstrates successful purification of conjugates using SEC. In the purified
 product (lane 3), unreacted hTF is absent. Polymers are at lower molecular weight than hTF and should therefore also
 be removed by SEC purification. Molecular weights of purified conjugates are consistent with protein:polymer ratios
 of 1:1 and 1:2. Samples are not reduced.

318

319 Fluorescent, Hydrophobic Small Molecule can be Coupled to PVDMA-mTEG Prior to Polymer 320 *Conjugation to Protein.* To permit visualization of protein-polymer conjugates in the presence of 321 cells using fluorescence imaging techniques, we fluorescently labeled PVDMA-mTEG with the 322 amine-functionalized fluorophore fluorescein cadaverine (FC, labeled polymer denoted as 323 PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG). Coupling a small molecule fluorophore directly to the polymer models a way 324 in which drugs could be tethered to the polymer for future drug delivery applications. FC was 325 reacted with PVDMA-mTEG in DMSO in a molar ratio of FC to VDMA monomer such that 1-2 326 molecules of FC were coupled to each polymer chain. Many small molecule drugs are 327 hydrophobic, and the ability to couple drugs to polymer in organic solvent prior to an aqueous 328 reaction conjugating polymer to protein is an advantage of our approach. We then coupled the 329 fluorescently labeled PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG to hTF and to the protein ovotransferrin (OTF). OTF is the</sub> 330 chicken homolog of human transferrin. It has the same overall structure and size as human hTF, 331 but is sufficiently distinct in sequence that it does not bind to human TFR^a, making OTF conjugates 332 a suitable negative control for TFR binding and internalization experiments. FC labeled proteinpolymer conjugates were purified from unreacted molecules by SEC as described above, yielding 333 334 a single pure peak when analyzed by SEC (Figure 5A). The peak exhibits absorbance at 220 nm 335 (Figure 5A, top) and at 494 nm (Figure 5A, bottom). Absorbance at 494 nm is characteristic of the 336 fluorophore, and is absent in the sample of pure protein, indicating successful conjugation of FC 337 to polymer, and subsequent conjugation of PVMDA_{rc}-mTEG to protein. Analysis of the purified 338 FC labeled protein-polymer conjugates using UV-visible spectroscopy resulted in absorbance 339 peaks at 280 nm and 494 nm (Figure 5B). In pure hTF protein, there is only an absorbance peak at 280 nm. In PVDMA-mTEG without FC conjugation, we see no absorbance peaks in the UV-340 visible range, as expected (Figure S1B). The presence of the 494 nm absorbance peak in the FC-341 342 coupled PVMDA-mTEG and in the purified protein-polymer conjugates confirms that FC was 343 successfully conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG and that PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG subsequently was able to 344 be conjugated to hTF and OTF.







347 Figure 5. Fluorescent, hydrophobic small molecule can be coupled to polymer and protein-polymer

348 conjugates. Small molecule fluorophore fluoresceine cadaverine (FC) was conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG, and the

349 resulting PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG was conjugated to hTF or OTF. (A) SEC was used to purify and analyze hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-

350 mTEG and OTF-PVDMA_{sc}-mTEG conjugates from unreacted component molecules. A single peak for hTF-

351 PVDMA_{rc} and for OTF-PVDMA_{rc} with retention time shorter than for the corresponding protein alone, and with

absorbance at 220 nm (top) and for 494 nm (bottom), demonstrates small molecule fluorophore incorporation into

the purified protein-polymer conjugates. Protein alone does not absorb at 494 nm. The FC molecule absorbs at 494

- 354 nm. (B) UV-Vis absorption spectra for hTF protein, PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG, purified hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG, and purified
- $\textbf{355} \qquad \textbf{OTF-PVDMA}_{\text{\tiny RC}}\textbf{-mTEG}. \ \textbf{The characteristic absorption peaks for protein (*) and FC (**) are indicated at 280 nm and \\ \textbf{C} = \textbf{C} + \textbf$
- 494 nm, respectively. Concentrations of samples differ, resulting in different heights of absorbance peaks.
- 357

358 Internalization of Protein-polymer Conjugates into Cells is Receptor-specific. We next 359 determined that protein-polymer conjugates are specifically internalized through receptor-360 mediated endocytosis. MCF-7 breast cancer cells have been shown to overexpress the transferrin receptor on their surface and have been previously used to study internalization of molecules 361 362 targeted to TFR.³⁵⁴ Flow cytometry with an antibody that recognizes human TFR confirmed high 363 levels of surface TFR expression on the MCF-7 cell line (Figure S2A). A titration binding assay 364 was performed with fluorescently labeled hTF and MCF-7 cells to determine an appropriate 365 concentration of protein or protein-polymer conjugate for cell internalization experiments. We determined a dissociation constant (K_p) of 10 ± 5 nM (Figure S2B), which is consistent with 366 367 previously reported values.³⁶ A biological interpretation of the K_D is that half of the receptors are 368 occupied by ligand when the ligand concentration is equal to the K_D. In subsequent conjugate 369 internalization experiments, we incubated MCF-7 cells with 10 nM of conjugates to provide ample 370 ligand to visualize receptor-specific internalization, without overwhelming the receptor 371 internalization machinery.

372 All internalization experiments were conducted by incubating protein-polymer conjugate 373 samples or control samples with MCF-7 cells for 1 h at 37 °C in culture media without serum. 374 These conditions are on the time scale and at the relevant temperature for receptor-mediated 375 endocytosis to occur in MCF-7 cells.⁴⁵ Prior to imaging, all cells were stained with phalloidin 376 (shown by red fluorescence), which binds to actin filaments and demarcates cell boundaries, and 377 DAPI (shown by blue fluorescence), which stains cell nuclei. All protein, protein-polymer, and 378 polymer samples were fluorescently labeled with either Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488, samples with 379 protein only) or FC (all polymer-containing samples) and are shown as green fluorescence.

380 Row 1 of Figure 6 shows confocal microscopy images for MCF-7 cells stained with DAPI and phalloidin to identify nuclei and actin filaments, but with no protein, polymer, or conjugates 381 382 added; these images show the level of background cellular autofluorescence in the channel that 383 was used to visualize targeting molecules. Row 2 of Figure 6 shows confocal microscopy images 384 for MCF-7 cells incubated with 10 nM hTF-488. The green channel and merged images show 385 punctate regions of green fluorescence distributed throughout the cell body (cell boundaries shown 386 in red channel), indicating internalization of the protein. The presence of punctate structures is 387 consistent with protein localized to endosomes after receptor-mediated endocytosis. When treated 388 with increasing concentrations of hTF-488, MCF-7 cells show increased levels of internalization

389 (Figure S3), also consistent with receptor-mediated endocytosis. To further demonstrate that 390 ligand-receptor interactions are necessary for internalization, we conducted a competition 391 experiment in which cells were treated with hTF-488 (10 nM) and a 1000-fold excess of unlabeled 392 hTF (10 μ M) (Figure 6, row 3). As expected, when labeled protein was in competition with an 393 excess of unlabeled protein, green fluorescence signal within the cell body was reduced to the level 394 of background autofluorescence (Figure 6, row 3). The results of these control experiments 395 demonstrate that hTF is internalized into our MCF-7 cells via a mechanism consistent with 396 receptor-mediated endocytosis.

397



25 µm

399 Figure 6. hTF-PVDMA_{sc}-mTEG targeted protein-polymer conjugates are internalized into MCF-7 cells 400 through receptor-specific interactions. Cells not treated with protein or protein-polymer conjugate exhibit a low 401 background level of autofluorescence in the green channel (row 1). As a positive control, holo-transferrin protein 402 directly labeled with fluorophore (hTF-488) is internalized into MCF-7 cells that express transferrin receptor, as seen 403 by green punctate structures throughout the cell body (row 2). hTF-488 internalization can be blocked by competition 404 with an excess of unlabeled hTF protein (row 3). Fluorescently labeled polymer conjugated to human holo-transferrin 405 (hTF-PVDMA_w-mTEG) is similarly internalized into the cell line (row 4). Competition between hTF-PVDMA_w-406 mTEG and excess unlabeled hTF blocks internalization and reduces signal to the level of autofluorescence (row 5), 407 indicating that binding and internalization of the protein-polymer conjugate is mediated by specific interactions 408 between hTF its receptor, TFR. Cells were incubated with samples for 1 h at 37 °C to allow receptor-mediated 409 internalization to occur. Blue indicates DAPI stain for cell nuclei; red indicates phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 410 594, which stains actin filaments and helps to identify cell boundaries; and green indicates the protein or protein-411 polymer conjugate, with positive control protein labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 or polymer labeled with fluorescein 412 cadaverine. Scale bar shown applies to all images.

413

414 Confocal microscopy images of MCF-7 cells treated with hTF conjugated to PVDMA_{rc}-415 mTEG (Figure 6, row 4) exhibited punctate regions of green fluorescence throughout the cell body, 416 similar to results observed with hTF-488. These results demonstrate successful internalization of 417 the conjugates. A competition experiment similar to that described above for hTF-488 was 418 performed in which cells were treated with hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG conjugate in the presence of 419 1000-fold excess (10 μ M) unlabeled hTF. The green channel and merged confocal microscopy 420 images for this experiment (Figure 6, row 5) reveal the reduction of green signal to the level of 421 autofluorescence, indicating that the internalization of hTF-targeted protein-polymer conjugates is 422 dependent on specific binding of hTF to TFR. Internalization of hTF-488 and of hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-423 mTEG molecules was further demonstrated by collecting a series of images from neighboring 424 confocal planes of clusters of cells, termed z-stacks, confirming that green fluorescence is present 425 within cells, rather than on the cell surface (Supporting Information Video 1 and Video 2).

We explored whether non-specific polymer interactions substantially contributed to the binding and internalization signal we observed for hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG (Figure 7). We coincubated hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG with an excess of unlabeled PVDMA-mTEG, and observed no noticeable reduction in signal, suggesting that non-specific interactions of the polymer with the cell surface are not necessary for binding and internalization (Figure 7, row 1). To further confirm that specific ligand-receptor interactions are required for internalization, we examined potential 432 binding and internalization of the negative control protein-polymer conjugate, OTF-PVDMA_{rc}-433 mTEG, which was not expected to bind any MCF-7 cell surface receptors. OTF is a chicken 434 transferrin, and MCF-7 cells express human TFR. We did not observe any MCF-7 cell binding or 435 internalization of OTF protein directly labeled with AF488 (Figure 7, row 2). Similarly, we also 436 did not observe MCF-7 cell binding or internalization of the non-targeted OTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG 437 (Figure 7, row 3). Finally, fluorescently labeled polymer not conjugated to any protein (PVDMA_{rc}-438 mTEG) does not adhere to or internalize into MCF-7 cells (Figure 7, row 4). These results provide 439 further confirmation that hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG conjugates are internalized via specific 440 interactions of the hTF ligand with cell surface receptor TFR, rather than through non-specific 441 interactions of polymer with the cells.







444 Figure 7. Polymer does not cause non-specific cell staining for protein-polymer conjugates. Including excess
 445 unlabeled polymer during the internalization period of hTF-PVDMA_{ie}-mTEG does not block receptor-specific

internalization of hTF-PVDMA_{xc}-mTEG (row 1). MCF-7 cells neither bind nor internalize non-targeted chicken
ovotransferrin protein labeled directly with fluorophore (OTF-488) (row 2) or fluorescently labeled OTF-polymer
conjugates (OTF-PVDMA_{xc}-mTEG) (row 3). Fluorescently labeled polymer not conjugated to protein (PVDMA_{xc}mTEG) similarly does not stain cells (row 4). Blue indicates DAPI stain for cell nuclei; red indicates phalloidin
conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594, which stains actin filaments and helps to identify cell boundaries; and green indicates
the protein or protein-polymer conjugate, with OTF control protein labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 and polymer labeled
with fluorescein cadaverine. Scale bar shown applies to all images.

453

When conjugating polymers to proteins, there is the risk that the polymer will destabilize the protein structure, or that the polymer will sterically block the interaction of a protein ligand with its receptor, rendering the protein-polymer conjugate irrelevant for the intended application. Importantly, the protein-polymer conjugate internalization experiments we have conducted demonstrate that hTF protein maintains its ability to bind and be internalized by TFR when conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG, suggesting that hTF maintains its structure and function when conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG.

461

462 CONCLUSION

463

464 We have developed a new, modular strategy for conjugating diverse proteins to hydrophilic 465 polymers using the reactive, azlactone-functionalized polymer PVDMA with the goal of developing conjugates for applications in targeted drug delivery. In our approach, we first 466 467 functionalized PVDMA with mTEG to render the polymer water-soluble. We demonstrated the 468 conjugation of this reactive polymer with proteins in aqueous solution. When the targeting protein 469 holo-transferrin was conjugated to a fluorescently-labeled analog of PVDMA-mTEG, protein-470 polymer conjugates were internalized into tumor cells expressing the transferrin receptor in a 471 receptor-specific manner.

Internalization of hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugates into human cells expressing TFR has implications for targeted delivery to the central nervous system and to tumor cells with overexpressed receptors.^{456,006-48} Our approach to synthesizing protein-polymer complexes for drug delivery could be extended to encompass protein ligands that bind other receptors relevant for a variety of clinical needs to generate protein-polymer-drug conjugates for diverse targeted drug delivery applications. Although in this initial report proteins were conjugated to PVDMA through primary amines contained naturally in the native protein sequences, both the targeting protein andthe polymer could be further modified for site-specific conjugation reactions.

480 While the experiments described here focused on mTEG-modified PVDMA, this postpolymerization modification approach to the synthesis of multifunctional bioconjugates permits 481 482 rapid and straightforward access to a broad range of macromolecular structures without requiring 483 the synthesis of new polymers each time a new structure is to be investigated. For example, diverse 484 side chain chemistries and degrees of functionalization can readily be explored. In addition, 485 because the polymer modification reactions are conducted initially in organic solvents, non-water 486 soluble functionality, such as hydrophobic drugs, may be incorporated into the polymer prior to 487 conjugation to the proteins. The synthetic versatility of PVDMA and the ease with which it can be 488 conjugated to proteins offers opportunities for preparing a range of bioconjugates tailored to 489 specific biomedical applications.

490

491 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

492

493 Materials. Triethylene glycol monomethyl ether (mTEG), 1,8-diazabicylo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene 494 (DBU), 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN), 2-(dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-495 methylpropionic acid, ovotransferrin (OTF), 4-iodoanisole, and anhydrous dioxane were 496 purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. The 497 monomer 2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone (VDMA) was synthesized as previously described.[®] 498 Fluorescein cadaverine (FC) was purchased from Biotium. Alexa Fluor 488 tetrafluorophenyl 499 ester, NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gels, MES buffer, and LDS buffer were purchased from 500 ThermoFisher Scientific. Inhibitor removal resin was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Holo-transferrin 501 (HTf, Cat.: 616397) was purchased from CalBiochem. PBS (10X) were purchased from Fisher 502 Scientific. THF was purified using alumina drying columns. All other solvents were purchased 503 from Pharmco-AAPER (Brookfield, CT). Deuterated DMSO (DMSO-d_a) and deuterated 504 chloroform (CDCl₃) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) was purchased from ATCC, and all other cell culture reagents 505 506 were obtained from Gibco. Phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Flour 594 was purchased from Thermo 507 Fisher, formaldehyde as a 3.7% solution in PBS was from Fisher Scientific, and Vectashield 508 mounting medium with DAPI was from Vector Labs.

509

510 General Considerations. H-NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker 500 MHz NMR 511 spectrometer. Attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker 512 ALPHA FTIR spectrometer and analyzed using OPUS software version 7.5. Gel-permeation 513 chromatography (GPC) was performed on an Agilent 1260 GPC instrument equipped with PLgel 514 Mixed C and Mixed D columns and an RI detector, operating in THF at 40 °C with a flow rate of 515 1 mL/min. Molecular weights and dispersities were measured against polystyrene calibration standards. SEC was performed using a Superdex 75 10/300 GL column (GE) and an Agilent 1200 516 517 series liquid chromatography system. Flow cytometry was performed on a Guava easyCyte flow 518 cytometer (Millipore-Sigma). Laser scanning confocal microscopy images were acquired on a 519 Leica TCS SP5 laser scanning confocal microscope and analyzed using LAS AF software version 520 2.7.3.9723.

521

522 Synthesis of poly(2-vinyl-4,4'-dimethylazlactone) (PVDMA). VDMA was passed through a 523 phenolic inhibitor removal resin followed by passage through a short plug of silica gel prior to 524 polymerization. The initiator 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was recrystallized twice from 525 methanol prior to use. AIBN (5.9 mg, 0.036 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) and CTA (26 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1 526 equiv.) was weighed into a 25 mL schlenk-flask equipped with a stir bar. Anhydrous toluene (4.5 527 mL) was added to the flask and the mixture was stirred to dissolve the AIBN. VDMA (1.5 g, 10.8 528 mmol, 150 equiv.) was added to the flask, the flask was capped with a septum and placed in a dry 529 ice and isopropanol bath at \sim 7 torr. Atmosphere was purged from the flask using three freeze-530 pump-thaw cycles and filled with nitrogen. The reaction solution was stirred at 70 °C for 12 h (~85% conversion). The slightly viscous reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and 531 532 acetone (~3 mL) was added to the flask. The polymer was precipitated twice into hexanes to yield 533 a pale yellow solid (1.26 g, 92% yield). H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (br s, (-CH₃), 1.62-534 2.1 (br m, -CH₂CH-), 2.69 (br s, -CH₂CH-). FT-IR (ATR, cm⁴): 2980-2900 (C-H), 1820 (lactone 535 C=O), 1672 (C=N). GPC: $M_n = 13.1 \text{ kg/mol}$; PDI = 1.35.

536

Synthesis of PVDMA-mTEG. PVDMA (100 mg, 0.72 mmol with respect to the molecular weight
of the repeat unit VDMA) and mTEG (35 mg, 0.216 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) were combined in a 5 mL
round-bottomed flask and dissolved in anhydrous THF (3 mL). DBU (16.1 μL, 0.108 mmol, 0.15

540 equiv.) was added to catalyze the reaction. 4-Iodoanisole (50.5 mg, 0.216 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was 541 added as an internal standard for determining degree of functionalization. The flask was capped 542 with a rubber septum and purged with nitrogen for 15 minutes. The reaction was stirred at 40 °C for 10 h. Prior to purification, an aliquot (~0.2 mL) of the reaction mixture was removed for ¹H 543 544 NMR analysis to determine the degree of mTEG functionalization. The remaining polymer 545 solution was purified by precipitation into diethyl ether (100 mL) followed by centrifugation (9,000xg at 4°C, 2 min) to yield a yellow product. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37-1.50$ (br 546 547 m, (-CH₃)₂), 1.62-2.1 (br m, -CH₂CH-), 2.5 (br s, -CH₂CH-), 2.84 (br s, -CH₂CH-), 3.38 (br s, CH₃-O-), 3.45-3.65 (br m, -CH₂-O-), 4.22 (br s, -C(=O)O-CH₂). FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2880-2900 (C-H). 548 549 1820 (lactone C=O), 1735 (ester C=O), 1672 (C=N), 1650 (amide C=O), 1540 (amide II CN and 550 NH).

551

552 $PVDMA_{rc}$ -*mTEG*. PVDMA-mTEG (50 mg, 0.26 mmol relative to the repeat unit) was dissolved in 553 anhydrous DMSO (1 mL) in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Fluorescein cadaverine (FC) (0.95 554 mg, 1.3 μ mol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO (9.5 μ L) and added to the polymer solution. 555 The reaction was mixed by gentle rotation for 2 h at room temperature. The labeled polymer was 556 used for protein conjugation or hydrolysis without additional purification.

557

Hydrolyzed PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG. Unreactive, hydrolyzed PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG used for control 558 559 experiments was synthesized by dissolving PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG (100 mg) in DMSO (2 mL) in a 5 560 mL round bottom flask. Water (95.7 mg, 5.32 mmol, 10 eq relative to the azlactone repeat unit) 561 and DBU (202 mg, 1.33 mmol, 2.5 eq relative to the azlactone repeat unit) was added and the solution was allowed to react at 40 °C for 3 h. Complete hydrolysis was confirmed using ATR-562 FTIR spectroscopy. Samples were then dialyzed against PBS for 24 h (MWCO = 3.5 kDa) to 563 564 remove any small molecule impurities, including unreacted fluorophore, prior to incubation with 565 cells. FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3500-2600 (O-H), 2880-2900 (C-H), 1725 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1650 566 (amide C=O), 1540 (amide II CN and NH).

567

PVDMA-mTEG Hydrolysis Study. PVDMA-mTEG (244 mg, 1.32 mmol relative to the repeat
unit) was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO (4.9 mL). PBS (11 mL) was added to simulate the
concentration of polymer used in a 1:50 molar ratio conjugation of hTF to polymer. At each time

point (0.5, 1, 3, 5, 9, 12, 24, 36 hours), a 1 mL sample (15.3 mg of polymer) was flash frozen in
liquid nitrogen and freeze dried. The samples were dissolved in acetone and cast directly onto the
ATR crystal for analysis by FT-IR spectroscopy.

574

575 Synthesis of Protein-polymer Conjugates. Proteins (i.e., hTF and OTF) were conjugated to 576 polymer using the following general procedure. Protein stock solutions of 1 mg/ml were prepared 577 in PBS with 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate (pH = 8.0), to increase the reactivity of the primary amines 578 of the protein. Polymer samples (i.e., PVDMA-mTEG or PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG) (50 mg) were 579 dissolved in DMSO (1 mL) in a microcentrifuge tube. A 1 ml aliquot of the desired protein (1 mg) 580 was added to polymer solution to achieve a protein:polymer molar ratio of 1:50, where a mole of 581 polymer was calculated using data from GPC analysis. The molecular weight of a monomer of 582 VDMA is 139 g/mol. Therefore, a molar ratio of 1 mol protein: 50 mol polymer is equivalent to a molar ratio of 1 mol protein: 241 mol VDMA monomer. For studies examining the effect on 583 584 conjugation of the molar ratio of protein:polymer molecules, ratios of 1:5, 1:10, 1:20, and 1:50 585 were compared. The samples were reacted at 4 °C with gentle rotation overnight. Samples were 586 then dialyzed against PBS for 24 h (MWCO = 3.5 kDa) to remove any small molecule impurities, 587 including unreacted fluorophore, if the sample was not being purified by SEC.

588

589 Analysis of Protein-polymer Conjugates by SDS-PAGE. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide 590 gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was used to analyze conjugation of protein to polymers. 591 NuPAGE LDS buffer (4X) was added to each sample to a final concentration of 1X, without 592 reducing agent. All proteins studied contain disulfide bonds, and therefore the absence of reducing 593 agents can shift their apparent molecular weight from the predicted molecular weight. The samples 594 were heated in a water bath for 10 min at 70 °C to denature the proteins. Samples were loaded onto 595 a NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gel. The gel was run in NuPAGE MES running buffer (1X). Gels were 596 then stained with Simply Blue Safe Stain.

597

598 Protein-polymer Conjugate Purification. Protein-polymer conjugation reactions were first 599 concentrated and purified from low molecular weight species using a centrifugal filtration device 600 with a MWCO of 10 kDa (EMD Millipore) and extensive washing with PBS. The protein-polymer 601 conjugation reaction was then purified by SEC on a Superdex 75 10/300 column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Fractions of interest were pooled and concentrated with a centrifugal filtration
device with a 10 kDa MWCO. All samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and imaged on a BioRad
ChemiDoc MP imaging system using Image Lab 6.0 software (BioRad).

605

606 Cells, Cell Culture, and Receptor Detection. The MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line (ATCC 607 #HTB-22, acquired in 2018) was used to test internalization of protein-polymer conjugates via 608 receptor-mediated endocytosis of TFR. MCF-7 cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified 609 atmosphere with 5% CO₂ in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 610 μ g/ml streptomycin. Cells were subcultured after reaching 80% confluency using 0.25% trypsin-611 EDTA. The presence of human TFR on the surface of MCF-7 cells was confirmed with an anti-612 human TFR antibody directly labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (antibody clone CY1G4, 613 from BioLegend, Cat.: 334103). MCF-7 cells were harvested with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA. 1 x 10⁶ 614 cells were incubated with antibody at a 1:20 dilution in PBS with 0.1% bovine serum albumin 615 (PBSA) for 30 min at room temperature with gentle rotation. Cells were washed with PBSA to 616 remove unbound antibody, resuspended in PBSA, and analyzed by flow cytometry.

617

618 Internalization Assays and Confocal Microscopy. MCF-7 cells were seeded in a 4-well Millipore 619 EZ chamber slide using $4x10^{4}$ cells/well and allowed to establish adherence and reach 50-80% 620 confluency. The media was then replaced with serum-free DMEM containing the specified conjugate sample in a 500 μ l total volume. hTF-488, hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG, OTF-488, or OTF-621 622 PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG were added to the wells to a final concentration equivalent to 10 nM of protein 623 per well. For the internalization sample with hydrolyzed PVDMA_{rc} that was not conjugated to 624 protein, an amount of polymer equivalent to the amount of polymer in 10 nM of protein-polymer 625 conjugate was used, as determined by measurement of samples by UV-vis spectroscopy, using 626 absorbance at 494 nm due to the presence of fluorophore. For competition experiments with 627 unlabeled hTF, 10 μ M unlabeled hTF was included. For the competition experiment with excess 628 unlabeled polymer, 0.5 mg of hydrolyzed PVDMA-mTEG was included. Samples were incubated 629 for 1 h at 37 °C in a humidified environment with 5% CO₂. Media with samples were removed, 630 and cells were washed with PBS. Cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 5-10 minutes at 631 room temperature, and washed with PBS. Cells were permeabilized by incubation with 0.1% 632 Triton-X 100 in PBS at room temperature for 5 min, and washed with PBS. Actin filaments were

633 stained with an Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate of phalloidin to help identify cell boundaries by adding 634 250 μ l per well of phalloidin in PBS diluted following manufacturer's protocol, and cells were 635 washed with PBS. Wells were removed from the slide and Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI for staining cell nuclei was applied to the fixed samples. Samples were then covered with 636 637 1.5 mm glass coverslips and sealed with transparent nail polish. Samples were imaged using a 63X 638 oil immersion objective. Images were collected using sequential scanning, and an overlay of the 639 sequential images was used to analyze internalization, for single focal plane images and for z-640 stacks collected as a series of neighboring focal planes.

641

642 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

643

644 Supporting Information

Supporting experimental procedures as well as supporting figures and videos related to: SEC and
UV-Vis spectroscopy analysis of PVDMA-mTEG; TFR expression and hTF binding to MCF-7
cells; hTF-488 internalization into MCF-7 cells is concentration dependent (PDF). Internalization

- $648 \quad of hTF-488 and hTF-PVDMA_{\rm FC}-mTEG into cells visualized by z-stack series videos (.avi files).$
- 649

650 AUTHOR INFORMATION

651

652 *Corresponding Authors

- 653 E-mail: mbuck@smith.edu
- 654 E-mail: sjmoore@smith.edu
- 655

656 ORCID

- 657 Allison R. Sirois: 0000-0001-7807-6927
- 658 Maren E. Buck: 0000-0002-1404-8588
- 659 Sarah J. Moore: 0000-0002-2633-4020
- 660
- 661 NOTES
- 662 #Author Contributions
- 563 J. S. K., A. R. S., and A. J. V. C. contributed equally to this work.

664 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

665

666 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

667

668 This work was funded by Smith College new faculty funding to S.J.M. and to M.E.B. J.S.K. 669 received a Katherine C. Hauch 1921 Fund Undergraduate Research Fellowship and A.V.C. 670 received a Schultz Foundation Undergraduate Research Fellowship. J.S.K., A.V.C., and E.J. received support from the Nancy Kershaw Tomlinson Memorial Fund at Smith College. This 671 672 work was supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute (R15CA198927-673 01 to S.J.M). We thank Lou Ann Bierwert, Director for the Smith College Center for Molecular 674 Biology; Judith Wopereis, Director for the Smith College Center for Microscopy and Imaging; 675 and Kalina Dimova, Technical Director of the Smith College Center for Proteomics for expert 676 insight and technical assistance. We thank Krystal Cogar and Stephen Rosa in the Department of 677 Polymer Science and Engineering at the University of Massachusetts Amherst for running all GPC 678 samples. We acknowledge Anne Mason at the University of Vermont for insightful discussions, 679 and Michael Kinsinger at Smith College and Patrick Flaherty at the University of Massachusetts 680 for helpful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

681

682 ABBREVIATIONS

683

AIBN, 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile); BBB, blood-brain barrier; FC, fluoresceine cadaverine;
hTF, holo-transferrin; hTF-488, holo-transferrin labeled with Alexa Fluor 488; mTEG, triethylene
glycol monomethyl ether; MWCO, molecular weight cut-off; OTF, ovotransferrin; OTF-488,
ovotransferrin labeled with Alexa Fluor 488; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PVDMA, poly(2-vinyl4,4-dimethylazlactone); RAFT, reversible addition-fragmentation transfer; RMT, receptormediated transcytosis; TFR, transferrin receptor; VDMA, 2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone.

690

691 **REFERENCES**

692

693 (1) Chen, Y.; Liu, L. Modern Methods for Delivery of Drugs across the Blood–Brain Barrier.
694 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2012, 64 (7), 640–665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2011.11.010.

- Patel, M. M.; Patel, B. M. Crossing the Blood–Brain Barrier: Recent Advances in Drug
 Delivery to the Brain. *CNS Drugs* 2017, *31* (2), 109–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-0160405-9.
- (3) Mikitsh, J. L.; Chacko, A.-M. Pathways for Small Molecule Delivery to the Central
 Nervous System across the Blood-Brain Barrier. *Perspect. Med. Chem.* 2014, *6*, PMC.S13384.
 https://doi.org/10.4137/PMC.S13384.
- (4) Lajoie, J. M.; Shusta, E. V. Targeting Receptor-Mediated Transport for Delivery of
 Biologics Across the Blood-Brain Barrier. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2015, *55* (1), 613–631.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010814-124852.
- 704 (5) Pardridge, W. M.; Boado, R. J. Reengineering Biopharmaceuticals for Targeted Delivery
 705 Across the Blood–Brain Barrier. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Elsevier, 2012; Vol. 503, pp 269–
 706 292. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396962-0.00011-2.
- Giugliani, R.; Giugliani, L.; Corte, A. D.; Poswar, F.; Donis, K.; Schmidt, M.; Hunt, D.;
 Boado, R. J.; Pardridge, W. M. Safety and Clinical Efficacy of AGT-181, a Brain Penetrating
 Human Insulin Receptor Antibody-Iduronidase Fusion Protein, in a 26-Week Study with Pediatric
 Patients with Mucopolysaccharidosis Type I. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 2018, *123* (2), S54.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2017.12.128.
- 712 (7) Bae, Y. H.; Park, K. Targeted Drug Delivery to Tumors: Myths, Reality and Possibility. J.
 713 *Controlled Release* 2011, *153* (3), 198–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.06.001.
- (8) Gordon, M. R.; Canakci, M.; Li, L.; Zhuang, J.; Osborne, B.; Thayumanavan, S. Field
- 715 Guide to Challenges and Opportunities in Antibody–Drug Conjugates for Chemists. *Bioconjug*.
- 716 *Chem.* 2015, *26* (11), 2198–2215. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.5b00399.
- 717 (9) Perez, H. L.; Cardarelli, P. M.; Deshpande, S.; Gangwar, S.; Schroeder, G. M.; Vite, G. D.;
- 718 Borzilleri, R. M. Antibody–Drug Conjugates: Current Status and Future Directions. *Drug Discov*.
- 719 *Today* 2014, *19* (7), 869–881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2013.11.004.
- 720 (10) Nirnoy Dan; Saini Setua; Vivek Kashyap; Sheema Khan; Meena Jaggi; Murali Yallapu;
 721 Subhash Chauhan. Antibody-Drug Conjugates for Cancer Therapy: Chemistry to Clinical
- 722 Implications. *Pharmaceuticals* 2018, *11* (2), 32. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph11020032.
- 723 (11) Bobo, D.; Robinson, K. J.; Islam, J.; Thurecht, K. J.; Corrie, S. R. Nanoparticle-Based
- 724 Medicines: A Review of FDA-Approved Materials and Clinical Trials to Date. *Pharm. Res.* 2016,
- 725 *33* (10), 2373–2387. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-016-1958-5.

- (12) Rosenblum, D.; Joshi, N.; Tao, W.; Karp, J. M.; Peer, D. Progress and Challenges towards
 Targeted Delivery of Cancer Therapeutics. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9 (1), 1410.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03705-y.
- (13) Carter, P. J. Introduction to Current and Future Protein Therapeutics: A Protein
 Figure Perspective. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2011, 317 (9), 1261–1269.
- 731 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2011.02.013.
- 732 (14) Kariolis, M. S.; Kapur, S.; Cochran, J. R. Beyond Antibodies: Using Biological Principles
- to Guide the Development of next-Generation Protein Therapeutics. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2013,
 24 (6), 1072–1077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.03.017.
- 735 (15) Kinch, M. S. An Overview of FDA-Approved Biologics Medicines. Drug Discov. Today

736 2015, 20 (4), 393–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2014.09.003.

- (16) Rodgers, K. R.; Chou, R. C. Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies and Derivatives:
 Historical Perspectives and Future Directions. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2016, *34* (6), 1149–1158.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.07.004.
- (17) Qi, Y.; Chilkoti, A. Protein–Polymer Conjugation–Moving beyond PEGylation. *Curr*. *Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2015, 28, 181–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.08.009.
- 742 (18) Pelegri-O'Day, E. M.; Lin, E.-W.; Maynard, H. D. Therapeutic Protein-Polymer
- 743 Conjugates: Advancing Beyond PEGylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136 (41), 14323–14332.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja504390x.
- 745 (19) Russell, A. J.; Baker, S. L.; Colina, C. M.; Figg, C. A.; Kaar, J. L.; Matyjaszewski, K.;
- 746 Simakova, A.; Sumerlin, B. S. Next Generation Protein-Polymer Conjugates. *AIChE J.* 2018.
 747 https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.16338.
- 748 (20) Pasut, G.; Veronese, F. M. State of the Art in PEGylation: The Great Versatility Achieved
- 749 after Forty Years of Research. J. Controlled Release 2012, 161 (2), 461–472.
 750 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.10.037.
- Alconcel, S. N. S.; Baas, A. S.; Maynard, H. D. FDA-Approved Poly(Ethylene Glycol)–
 Protein Conjugate Drugs. *Polym. Chem.* 2011, 2 (7), 1442. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1py00034a.
- 753 (22) JevsÌŒevar, S.; Kunstelj, M.; Porekar, V. G. PEGylation of Therapeutic Proteins.
- 754 *Biotechnol. J.* 2010, 5 (1), 113–128. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.200900218.

- (23) Schellekens, H.; Hennink, W. E.; Brinks, V. The Immunogenicity of Polyethylene Glycol:
 Facts and Fiction. *Pharm. Res.* 2013, *30* (7), 1729–1734. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-013-
- 757 1067-7.
- 758 (24) Zhao, W.; Liu, F.; Chen, Y.; Bai, J.; Gao, W. Synthesis of Well-Defined Protein–Polymer
- 759
 Conjugates
 for
 Biomedicine.
 Polymer
 2015,
 66,
 A1–A10.

 760
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2015.03.054.

 <
- 761 (25) Broyer, R. M.; Grover, G. N.; Maynard, H. D. Emerging Synthetic Approaches for Protein–
 762 Polymer Conjugations. *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47 (8), 2212. https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cc04062b.
- 763 (26) Pelegri-O'Day, E. M.; Maynard, H. D. Controlled Radical Polymerization as an Enabling
- Approach for the Next Generation of Protein–Polymer Conjugates. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49 (9),
- 765 1777–1785. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00258.
- 766 (27) Baslé, E.; Joubert, N.; Pucheault, M. Protein Chemical Modification on Endogenous
- 767 Amino Acids. *Chem. Biol.* 2010, *17* (3), 213–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.02.008.
- 768 (28) Cobo, I.; Li, M.; Sumerlin, B. S.; Perrier, S. Smart Hybrid Materials by Conjugation of
 769 Responsive Polymers to Biomacromolecules. *Nat. Mater.* 2015, *14* (2), 143–159.
- 770 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4106.
- (29) Murata, H.; Carmali, S.; Baker, S. L.; Matyjaszewski, K.; Russell, A. J. Solid-Phase
 Synthesis of Protein-Polymers on Reversible Immobilization Supports. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9 (1).
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03153-8.
- (30) Plaks, J. G.; Falatach, R.; Kastantin, M.; Berberich, J. A.; Kaar, J. L. Multisite Clickable
 Modification of Proteins Using Lipoic Acid Ligase. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2015, *26* (6), 1104–1112.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.5b00161.
- Qi, Y.; Amiram, M.; Gao, W.; McCafferty, D. G.; Chilkoti, A. Sortase-Catalyzed Initiator
 Attachment Enables High Yield Growth of a Stealth Polymer from the C Terminus of a Protein. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2013, *34* (15), 1256–1260. https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.201300460.
- 780 (32) Krall, N.; da Cruz, F. P.; Boutureira, O.; Bernardes, G. J. L. Site-Selective Protein-
- 781 Modification Chemistry for Basic Biology and Drug Development. *Nat. Chem.* 2016, 8 (2), 103–
- 782 113. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2393.
- 783 (33) Peeler, J. C.; Woodman, B. F.; Averick, S.; Miyake-Stoner, S. J.; Stokes, A. L.; Hess, K.
- 784 R.; Matyjaszewski, K.; Mehl, R. A. Genetically Encoded Initiator for Polymer Growth from
- 785 Proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132 (39), 13575–13577. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja104493d.

- (34) Wang, Y.; Wu, C. Site-Specific Conjugation of Polymers to Proteins. *Biomacromolecules*2018, *19* (6), 1804–1825. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.8b00248.
- 788 (35) Le Droumaguet, B.; Nicolas, J. Recent Advances in the Design of Bioconjugates from
- 789 Controlled/Living Radical Polymerization. *Polym. Chem.* 2010, *1* (5), 563.
 790 https://doi.org/10.1039/b9py00363k.
- 791 (36) Paeth, M.; Stapleton, J.; Dougherty, M. L.; Fischesser, H.; Shepherd, J.; McCauley, M.;
- 792 Falatach, R.; Page, R. C.; Berberich, J. A.; Konkolewicz, D. Approaches for Conjugating Tailor-
- 793 Made Polymers to Proteins. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Elsevier, 2017; Vol. 590, pp 193–224.
- 794 https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2016.12.004.
- 795 (37) Isarov, S. A.; Lee, P. W.; Pokorski, J. K. "Graft-to" Protein/Polymer Conjugates Using
- Polynorbornene Block Copolymers. *Biomacromolecules* 2016, *17* (2), 641–648.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.5b01582.
- 798 (38) Jones, M. W.; Strickland, R. A.; Schumacher, F. F.; Caddick, S.; Baker, J. R.; Gibson, M.
- I.; Haddleton, D. M. Polymeric Dibromomaleimides As Extremely Efficient Disulfide Bridging
 Bioconjugation and Pegylation Agents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134 (3), 1847–1852.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja210335f.
- (39) Hall, D. J.; Van den Berghe, H. M.; Dove, A. P. Synthesis and Post-Polymerization
 Modification of Maleimide-Containing Polymers by "thiol-Ene" Click and Diels-Alder
 Chemistries. *Polym. Int.* 2011, *60* (8), 1149–1157. https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.3121.
- 805 (40) Alvaradejo, G. G.; Glassner, M.; Hoogenboom, R.; Delaittre, G. Maleimide End806 Functionalized Poly(2-Oxazoline)s by the Functional Initiator Route: Synthesis and (Bio)
 807 Conjugation. *Rsc Adv.* 2018, 8 (17), 9471–9479. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra00948a.
- 808 (41) Moatsou, D.; Li, J.; Ranji, A.; Pitto-Barry, A.; Ntai, I.; Jewett, M. C.; O'Reilly, R. K. Self809 Assembly of Temperature-Responsive Protein–Polymer Bioconjugates. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2015,
- 810 26 (9), 1890–1899. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.5b00264.
- 811 (42) Das, A.; Theato, P. Activated Ester Containing Polymers: Opportunities and Challenges
- 812 for the Design of Functional Macromolecules. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116 (3), 1434–1495.
- 813 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00291.
- 814 (43) W. Jones, M.; Richards, S.-J.; M. Haddleton, D.; I. Gibson, M. Poly(Azlactone)s: Versatile
- 815 Scaffolds for Tandem Post-Polymerisation Modification and Glycopolymer Synthesis. *Polym.*
- 816 *Chem.* 2013, 4 (3), 717–723. https://doi.org/10.1039/C2PY20757E.

- 817 (44) Gauthier, M. A.; Gibson, M. I.; Klok, H.-A. Synthesis of Functional Polymers by Post-
- 818 Polymerization Modification. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48 (1), 48–58.
 819 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200801951.
- 820 (45) Buck, M. E.; Lynn, D. M. Azlactone -Functionalized Polymers as Reactive Platforms for
- the Design of Advanced Materials: Progress in the Last Ten Years. Polym. Chem. 2012, 3 (1), 66–
- 822 80. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1PY00314C.
- 823 (46) Zhong, Y.; Zeberl, B. J.; Wang, X.; Luo, J. Combinatorial Approaches in Post824 Polymerization Modification for Rational Development of Therapeutic Delivery Systems. *Acta*825 *Biomater*. 2018, *73*, 21–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2018.04.010.
- (47) Ho, H. T.; Levere, M. E.; Fournier, D.; Montembault, V.; Pascual, S.; Fontaine, L.
 Introducing the Azlactone Functionality into Polymers through Controlled Radical
 Polymerization: Strategies and Recent Developments. *Aust. J. Chem.* 2012, *65* (8), 970–977.
 https://doi.org/10.1071/CH12192.
- 830 (48) Ho, H. T.; Levere, M. E.; Pascual, S.; Montembault, V.; Casse, N.; Caruso, A.; Fontaine,
- L. Thermoresponsive Block Copolymers Containing Reactive Azlactone Groups and Their
 Bioconjugation with Lysozyme. *Polym. Chem.* 2013, *4* (3), 675–685.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C2PY20714A.
- (49) Pascual, S.; Blin, T.; Saikia, P. J.; Thomas, M.; Gosselin, P.; Fontaine, L. Block
 Copolymers Based on 2-Vinyl-4,4-Dimethyl-5-Oxazolone by RAFT Polymerization:
 Experimental and Computational Studies. *J. Polym. Sci. Part Polym. Chem.* 2010, *48* (22), 5053–
 5062. https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.24303.
- 838 (50) Speetjens, F. W.; Carter, M. C. D.; Kim, M.; Gopalan, P.; Mahanthappa, M. K.; Lynn, D.
 839 M. Post-Fabrication Placement of Arbitrary Chemical Functionality on Microphase-Separated
- 840 Thin Films of Amine-Reactive Block Copolymers. ACS Macro Lett. 2014, 3 (11), 1178–1182.
- 841 https://doi.org/10.1021/mz500654a.
- K.; Krepski, L. R. Chemistry and Technology of 2-Alkenyl
 Azlactones. J. Polym. Sci. Part Polym. Chem. 2001, 39 (21), 3655–3677.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.10007.
- 845 (52) Delplace, V.; Harrisson, S.; Ho, H. T.; Tardy, A.; Guillaneuf, Y.; Pascual, S.; Fontaine, L.;
- 846 Nicolas, J. One-Step Synthesis of Azlactone-Functionalized SG1-Based Alkoxyamine for

- Nitroxide-Mediated Polymerization and Bioconjugation. *Macromolecules* 2015, *48* (7), 2087–
 2097. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.5b00178.
- 849 (53) Weeks, C. A.; Aden, B.; Kilbey, S. M.; Janorkar, A. V. Synthesis and Characterization of
- an Array of Elastin-like Polypeptide–Polyelectrolyte Conjugates with Varying Chemistries and
- Amine Content for Biomedical Applications. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 2016, 2 (12), 2196–2206.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00398.
- (54) Gardner, C. M.; Brown, C. E.; Stöver, H. D. H. Synthesis and Properties of Water-Soluble
 Azlactone Copolymers. J. Polym. Sci. Part Polym. Chem. 50 (22), 4674–4685.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.26281.
- 856 (55) Zhu, Y.; Quek, J. Y.; Lowe, A. B.; Roth, P. J. Thermoresponsive (Co)Polymers through
- Postpolymerization Modification of Poly(2-Vinyl-4,4-Dimethylazlactone). *Macromolecules*2013, 46 (16), 6475–6484. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma401096r.
- (56) Luck, A. N.; Mason, A. B. Structure and Dynamics of Drug Carriers and Their Interaction
 with Cellular Receptors: Focus on Serum Transferrin. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2013, 65 (8), 1012–
 1019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.11.001.
- (57) Wong, A. S. M.; Czuba, E.; Chen, M. Z.; Yuen, D.; Cupic, K. I.; Yang, S.; Hodgetts, R.
 Y.; Selby, L. I.; Johnston, A. P. R.; Such, G. K. PH-Responsive Transferrin-PHlexi Particles
 Capable of Targeting Cells *in Vitro*. ACS Macro Lett. 2017, 6 (3), 315–320.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.7b00044.
- 866 (58) Carter, M. C. D.; Jennings, J.; Speetjens, F. W.; Lynn, D. M.; Mahanthappa, M. K. A
 867 Reactive Platform Approach for the Rapid Synthesis and Discovery of High χ/Low N Block
 868 Polymers. *Macromolecules* 2016, 49 (17), 6268–6276.
 869 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.6b01268.
- 870 (59) Paterson, J.; Webster, C. I. Exploiting Transferrin Receptor for Delivering Drugs across 871 Blood-Brain the Barrier. Drug Discov. Today Technol. 2016, 20, 49-52. 872 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ddtec.2016.07.009.
- 873 (60) Daniels, T. R.; Bernabeu, E.; Rodríguez, J. A.; Patel, S.; Kozman, M.; Chiappetta, D. A.;
- 874 Holler, E.; Ljubimova, J. Y.; Helguera, G.; Penichet, M. L. The Transferrin Receptor and the
- 875 Targeted Delivery of Therapeutic Agents against Cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Gen. Subj.*
- 876 2012, 1820 (3), 291–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2011.07.016.

- 877 (61) Jiang, Y.; Liang, M.; Svejkar, D.; Hart-Smith, G.; Lu, H.; Scarano, W.; Stenzel, M. H.
- 878 Albumin-Micelles via a One-Pot Technology Platform for the Delivery of Drugs. *Chem. Commun.*
- 879 2014, 50 (48), 6394–6397. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CC00616J.
- (62) Leverence, R.; Mason, A. B.; Kaltashov, I. A. Noncanonical Interactions between Serum
 Transferrin and Transferrin Receptor Evaluated with Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry.
- 882 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2010, *107* (18), 8123–8128. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914898107.
- (63) Vandewalle, B.; Granier, A. M.; Peyrat, J. P.; Bonneterre, J.; Lefebvre, J. Detection of
 Transferrin Receptors in Cultured Breast Cancer Cells. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1986, 464 (1
 Endocrinology), 482–485. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1986.tb16042.x.
- 886 (64) Tortorella, S.; Karagiannis, T. C. Transferrin Receptor-Mediated Endocytosis: A Useful
- 887 Target for Cancer Therapy. J. Membr. Biol. 2014, 247 (4), 291–307.
 888 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-014-9637-0.
- 889 (65) Lim, C.-J.; Norouziyan, F.; Shen, W.-C. Accumulation of Transferrin in Caco-2 Cells: A
- Possible Mechanism of Intestinal Transferrin Absorption. J. Controlled Release 2007, 122 (3),
 393–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2007.03.021.
- 892 (66) Pardridge, W. Targeted Delivery of Protein and Gene Medicines through the Blood-Brain
 893 Barrier. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2015, 97 (4), 347–361. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.18.
- (67) Goulatis, L. I.; Shusta, E. V. Protein Engineering Approaches for Regulating Blood–Brain
 Barrier Transcytosis. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 2017, 45, 109–115.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2016.12.005.
- 897 (68) Yu, Y. J.; Atwal, J. K.; Zhang, Y.; Tong, R. K.; Wildsmith, K. R.; Tan, C.; Bien-Ly, N.;
- 898 Hersom, M.; Maloney, J. A.; Meilandt, W. J.; et al. Therapeutic Bispecific Antibodies Cross the
- Blood-Brain Barrier in Nonhuman Primates. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2014, *6* (261), 261ra154-261ra154.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3009835.
- 901 (69) Wancura, M. M.; Anex-Ries, Q.; Carroll, A. L.; Garcia, A. P.; Hindocha, P.; Buck, M. E.
- 902 Fabrication, Chemical Modification, and Topographical Patterning of Reactive Gels Assembled
- 903 from Azlactone-Functionalized Polymers and a Diamine. J. Polym. Sci. Part Polym. Chem. 2017,
- 904 55 (19), 3185–3194. https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.28664.
- 905

906 FOR TABLE OF CONTENTS ONLY



1	SUPPORTING INFORMATION
2	
3	for
4	
5	Protein-Polymer Conjugates Synthesized using Water-Soluble Azlactone-Functionalized
6	Polymers Enable Receptor-Specific Cellular Uptake towards Targeted Drug Delivery
/	
8	Julia S. Kim [*] , Allison R. Sirois ^{**} , Analia J. Vazquez Cegla [*] , Eugenie Jumai'an [*] , Naomi Murata ⁺ ,
9	Maren E. Buck* ⁺ , and Sarah J. Moore* [*]
10	
11	AFFILIATIONS
12	Biochemistry Program, Picker Engineering Program, Neuroscience Program, Department of
13	Chemistry, and ^v Department of Biological Sciences, Smith College, Northampton, Massachusetts
14	01063, United States
15	Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst,
16	Massachusetts 01003, United States
17	
18	*Corresponding Authors
19	Email: mbuck@smith.edu
20	Email: sjmoore@smith.edu
21	TADLE OF CONTENTS
22	TABLE OF CONTENTS
25 24	Experimental procedures \$2
25	Experimental procedures
26	Figure S1. PVDMA-mTEG analysis by size exclusion chromatography and UV-Vis
27	spectroscopy
28	SP-12000 SPJ
29	Figure S2. MCF-7 cells express TFR and bind hTF
30	
31	Figure S3. hTF-488 internalization into MCF-7 cells is concentration dependent
32	
33	Description of supporting information videos

34 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

35 Labeling hTF and OTF with Alexa Fluor 488. Holo-transferrin (hTF) or ovotransferrin (OTF) were labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488) by primary amine chemistry. A solution of protein 36 37 (1-2 mg/ml) was made in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Sodium bicarbonate (1M stock 38 solution) was added to the protein to a final concentration of 0.1 M to change the pH of the solution 39 to 8.0. The fluorescent dye AF488 5-tetrafluorophenyl ester was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO to 40 a final concentration of 11.3 nM. Dye was added to protein solution, using an amount of dye 41 calculated following manufacturer's protocol to achieve a desired molar excess of dye. The sample 42 was incubated with gentle rotation at room temperature for 1 h. The protein labeled with AF488 43 was then purified from free dye and concentrated using an Amicon centrifugal filtration device 44 with a molecular weight cutoff of 10 kDa by washing extensively with PBS until the flow through 45 was colorless. Concentrations and degree of labeling were determined using UV-Vis spectroscopy, 46 measuring dye absorption at 494 nm ($\varepsilon = 71,000$ cm⁴ M⁴). Labeled protein was stored at 4 °C.

47

Titration Binding Assay of hTF-488 with MCF-7 Cells. Titration binding assays were performed 48 49 to experimentally determine the binding affinity (dissociation constant, K_0) of hTF with MCF-7 50 cells. MCF-7 cells were harvested with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA. Aliquots of 1x10^s cells were 51 incubated for 1 h at 4 °C with a range of concentrations of fluorescently labeled hTF (hTF-488, 52 0.5-500 nM) in PBS with 0.1% BSA (PBSA) with gentle rotation. Following incubation to reach 53 equilibrium binding, cells were washed in PBSA and resuspended in PBSA for analysis. Data was 54 collected and analyzed using flow cytometry. Experimental triplicate data was collected to 55 determine the binding affinity of hTF to its receptor. For each replicate, the data were fit to a 56 sigmoidal binding curve using Kaleidagraph software (Synergy). The concentration of hTF-488

- that resulted in the half-maximal value of each best-fit line was determined as the K_b. The mean
 of the three individually fit dissociation constants was determined and reported with the standard
- 59 deviation.



Figure S1. PVDMA-mTEG analysis by size exclusion chromatography and UV-Vis 63 64 **spectroscopy**. (A) PVDMA functionalized with 0.3 molar equivalents of mTEG was analyzed on 65 a Superdex 75 30/100 SEC column run at 0.4 ml/min, and absorbance was detected at 220 nm and 66 at 494 nm. For absorbance at 220 nm, the functionalized polymer sample contains a broad peak 67 characteristic of polymers with a molecular weight distribution eluting between 20 and 30 minutes, 68 and a second peak of low molecular weight byproducts eluting around 50 minutes. There is no 69 absorbance at 494 nm. (B) PVDMA-mTEG analyzed using UV-Vis spectroscopy has no 70 absorbance in the 240-700 nm range, as expected for the polymer.





74 Figure S2. MCF-7 cells express TFR and bind hTF. (A) MCF-7 cells, which are a human breast 75 cancer cell line, express high levels of transferrin receptor (TFR) on their surface, as detected by 76 an anti-human TFR antibody directly conjugated to fluorescein and analyzed by flow cytometry. 77 (B) The binding of hTF to TFR was measured as the dissociation constant (K_p) using an equilibrium binding assay. MCF-7 cells were incubated with a range of concentrations of hTF 78 79 directly labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (hTF-488). The assay was performed in experimental 80 triplicate. Data from each replicate were fit to a sigmoidal curve, and the K_{ν} value was calculated 81 for each replicate. The K_{ν} is reported as the mean +/- standard deviation. A representative binding 82 curve is shown.



85 86 Figure S3. hTF-488 internalization into MCF-7 cells is concentration dependent. MCF-7 87 cells, which are a human breast cancer cell line, express high levels of transferrin receptor (TFR) 88 on their surface. Fluorescently labeled holo-transferrin (hTF-488) is internalized into the cells after 89 incubation for 1 h at 37 °C. Increasing the concentration of hTF-488 from 10 nM to 100 nM to 90 1000 nM (rows 1, 2, and 3) shows increasing internalization, as visualized by increasing green 91 signal within the cell boundaries. Blue indicates DAPI stain for cell nuclei; red indicates phalloidin 92 conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594, which stains actin filaments and helps to identify cell boundaries; 93 and green indicates the protein fluorophore conjugate labeled with Alexa Fluor 488. Scale bar 94 shown applies to all images.

95 Description of supporting information videos. To confirm the internalization of hTF-488 and 96 hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG, a series of consecutive focal planes were collected with confocal 97 microscopy, referred to as z-stack series, using a step size of $0.5 \,\mu$ M. The data are available as .avi 98 video files in Supporting Information. In the videos, we observe that at the surfaces of the cells, 99 we predominantly see phalloidin staining of actin filaments, indicated in red. For both the hTF-100 488 positive control molecule (SI_Video_1_hTF-488) and the hTF-PVDMA_{rc}-mTEG protein-101 polymer conjugate (SI Video 2 hTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG), we see that the fluorophore, shown 102 in green, is contained within the cell boundaries, rather than at the cell surface, confirming 103 internalization of molecules. Blue indicates cell nuclei, stained with DAPI.