See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318224029

Synthesis, physicochemical, conformation and quantum calculation of novel N- (1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine Schiff base

Article in Journal of Materials and Environmental Science · July 2017



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Streptomyces and antibacterial agents View project

Copyright © 2017, University of Mohammed Premier Oujda Morocco JMES, 2017 Volume 8, Issue 11, Page 3844-3854

http://www.jmaterenvironsci.com /



# Synthesis, physicochemical, conformation and quantum calculation of novel N-(1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine Schiff base

Ismail Warad<sup>1</sup>\*, Oraib Ali<sup>1</sup>, Riham Ahed<sup>1</sup>, Abdallah Bani Odeh<sup>2</sup>, Sameer A. Barghouthi<sup>2</sup> Shivalingegowda Naveen<sup>3</sup>, Hicham Elmsellem<sup>4</sup>, Iqab Daraghmeh<sup>5</sup> Lokanath N. K.<sup>6</sup>, Mustapha Allali<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, AN-Najah National University P.O. Box 7, Nablus, Palestine
 <sup>2</sup>Departement of medical laboratory sciences, Al Quds University, Jerusalem, Palestine
 <sup>3</sup>Institution of Excellence, Vijnana Bhavana, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysuru 570 006, India
 <sup>4</sup> LC2AME, Faculty of Science, First Mohammed University, PO Box 717, 60 000 Oujda, Morocco.
 <sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry, Arab American University-Jenin, P.O. Box, Jenin, Palestine
 <sup>6</sup>Department of Studies in Physics, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore - 570 006, India
 <sup>7</sup>Institut Supérieur des Professions Infirmières et Techniques de Santé, ISPITS De Fès, Morocco.

Received 22 May 2017, Revised 18 Jun 2017, Accepted 21 Jun 2017

Abstract

#### Keywords

- ✓ Schiff base,
- ✓ NMR,
- ✓ DFT,
- ✓ Conformational,
- ✓ Spectral.

## warad@najah.edu

Phone: 009709234003; Fax: 0097092345982

## **1. Introduction**

N-(1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine Schiff base ligand was prepared in very good yield by condensation of equimolar amounts of 1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethanone with 2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine under reflux condition using alcohol media. The desired Schiff base was analyzed on the basis of its MS, elemental analysis, UV-visible, FT-IR and NMR analysis. The E and Z optimization was performed to figure out the most stable isomer. Several DFT quantum calculation like: TD-SCF, MPE, IR-vibration, NMR, Mulliken population were carried out by B3LYP level of theory. The experimental analyses of the compound were compared to their theoretical coordinates.

The azomethine group (>C=N-) distinguishes the Schiff base (S.B.) compounds which was announced first by Hugo Schiff in 1864 and prepared through condensation of primary amine with carbonyls (with and without acid or base catalyst) under reflux using ROH solvent [1]. S.B molecules are wonderful chelators due to their electrons free availability, freedom in design, ease of synthesis, simple in analysis, stability and structural varieties [2]. S.B ligands have main unsaturated N-potential sites with open possibility of other donor atoms like O, S, P or any atoms with free pair of electros; accordingly, it can be considered as prerogative metal ions ligands [3]. S.B compounds are very remarkable material especially for inorganic people, as these are openly applied in complexation and coordination filed of research, it generated as an excellent mono- or poly dentate ligand [4]. S.B and their complexes were used in medicinal inorganic field due to their diverse pharmacological, biological and antitumor effectiveness [3, 4].

Schiff-bases acquired much significance in designing, modeling, magnet molecules applications, and in crystals filed [5]. In general, several medical applications like antioxidant, antifungal, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antitumor, and antipyretic have been evaluated [6-11]. S.B in industry used as catalysts, polymer stabilizers, pigments, and anti-corrosion agent [12-16].

In correlation with our research in Schiff bases synthesis and their complexation as well as their biological applications [14-23], here in this work, N-(1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine was prepared and characterized by several available spectral analysis. Several quantum calculations like: DFT

optimization structure of both *E* and *Z* forms, MPE, Mulliken population, NMR, TD-DFT, UV-Visible were carried out and compared to the experiential analysis.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. General

All the Martials used were purchased from Sigma, EA was carried out on an Elementar-Vario EL analyzer; FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum-Spectrometer as KBr pellets, whereas UV-Visible spectra obtained with a TU-1901- UV–visible spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were acquired on Bruker DRX 500 using TMS as the internal standard and  $CDCl_3$  as solvent.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of S.B.

The desired S.B compound was produced by mixing 1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethanone (10 mmol) in 10 ml MeOH with 10 mmol of 2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine in 10 ml MeOH, the mixture was subjected vigorous reflux for 4 hours. White powder was obtained after slow evaporation of MeOH solvent, which was washed several times with n-hexane, then dried under vacuum, Yield 82%.

#### 2.3. Computational analysis

QM calculations and E-Z isomers optimization of the desired S.B was performed using the GAUSSIAN09 with DFT/B3LYP-6-31G(d) basis set [28].

## **3. Results and discussion**

#### Synthesis

The N-(1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine ligand was prepared by condensation of equimolar amounts of 2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine with 1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethanone in methanol under reflux condition for 4h, as shown in Scheme 1. The product which was collected at the end of the reaction after methanol evaporation was washed with *n*-hexane, non-soluble impurities were filtrated out. The structure of the desired S.B product was investigated by several spectroscopic techniques such as: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, FT-IR, and UV-visible in addition to MS and elemental analyses. The S. B was also subjected to several quantum calculations such: optimization, *E-Z* conformation, TD-SCF, MPE, IR-vibration, estimated-NMR and Mulliken population.



*N*-(1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine Scheme 1. Synthesis of desired S.B. *E-Z conformational optimization*.

The molecular structure geometries of the *E* and *Z* isomers belong to the synthesized S. B. compound was optimized in gaseous state at DFT/B3LYP6-31G(d) level of theory. The optimized structures are illustrated in Fig. 1; some optimized parameters are listed in Table 1.

| DFT/B3LYP 6-31G(d)                             | Ε              | Z              |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Total Energy in Hartree                        | -3602.21851403 | -3602.21585894 |  |  |  |  |
| Dipole Moment in Debye                         | 1.6631         | 2.0132         |  |  |  |  |
| Point Group                                    | C1             | C1             |  |  |  |  |
| $E_z > E_E$ , $\Delta E = 6.98 \text{ kJ/mol}$ |                |                |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Calculated total energy of *E* and *Z* isomers of the S.B.



Fig. 1. Ground state optimization geometries of E and Z isomer of the desired S. B at B3LYP/6-31G(d) levels of theory.

It was observed from Fig. 1 and Scheme 1 that the steric hindrance caused by thiophene ring and  $CH_2$ - $CH_2$ -piperizene around C=N in Z-isomer (syn-form) raises the energy of such isomer compared to *E*-isomer (anti-form). Such observation reflects the preference of dominating *E*-isomer.

The DFT theoretical calculations in the gas phase is consistent with result, the *E*-isomer (-3602.21851403 a.u.) is the more stable than *Z*-isomer (-3602.21585894 a.u.) since its total energy calculated found to be less than *Z* form, as see Table 1.

The theoretical calculation focused on the placement of rotational barriers from the Z to *E*-isomers. The proportional *Z*-*E* conformers energies (Fig. 1), obtained by rotating  $CH_2$ - $CH_2$ -piperizene around the C=N bond in *E* anti-form to reach the Z syn-form of about 124°. The computed energy minima of both isomers corresponding to - $C_{\text{theophine}}$ -C=N- $CH_2$ - torsion angle, the corresponding torsion angle in *E* found to be 179.8° whereas for Z-isomer equal 3.1°. The barrier energy relying on the direction of the rotation from anti to syn-forms is about 7 kJ/mol, which is a very small rotational energy, as see Table 1.

## E-isomer optimization structural parameters

Because E-isomer of the desired ligand found to be more stable than Z-isomer, the optimization geometric parameters of it only are illustrated in Tables 2-3. The structural parameters like bond lengths (Tables 2), angles (Tables 3), and dihedral angles (Tables 3) were performed on B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.

| Bond No. | Bond | type | DFT/B3LYP 6-<br>31G(d) | No. Bond | Bond type |     | DFT/ B3LYP 6-<br>31G(d) |
|----------|------|------|------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|-------------------------|
| 1        | C1   | C2   | 1.4185                 | 19       | C10       | N11 | 1.4611                  |
| 2        | C1   | C5   | 1.3679                 | 20       | C10       | H25 | 1.1071                  |
| 3        | C1   | Br17 | 1.8989                 | 21       | C10       | H26 | 1.0958                  |
| 4        | C2   | C3   | 1.3754                 | 22       | N11       | C12 | 1.465                   |
| 5        | C2   | H18  | 1.0817                 | 23       | N11       | C13 | 1.4649                  |
| 6        | C3   | S4   | 1.7543                 | 24       | C12       | C15 | 1.534                   |
| 7        | C3   | C6   | 1.477                  | 25       | C12       | H27 | 1.1115                  |
| 8        | S4   | C5   | 1.7298                 | 26       | C12       | H28 | 1.0971                  |
| 9        | C5   | H19  | 1.0805                 | 27       | C13       | C14 | 1.535                   |
| 10       | C6   | C7   | 1.5175                 | 28       | C13       | H29 | 1.1118                  |
| 11       | C6   | N8   | 1.282                  | 29       | C13       | H30 | 1.0961                  |
| 12       | C7   | H20  | 1.0966                 | 30       | C14       | N16 | 1.4653                  |
| 13       | C7   | H21  | 1.0969                 | 31       | C14       | H31 | 1.0963                  |
| 14       | C7   | H22  | 1.0922                 | 32       | C14       | H32 | 1.0977                  |
| 15       | N8   | C9   | 1.4548                 | 33       | C15       | N16 | 1.4661                  |
| 16       | C9   | C10  | 1.5326                 | 34       | C15       | H33 | 1.0962                  |
| 17       | C9   | H23  | 1.1013                 | 35       | C15       | H34 | 1.0977                  |
| 18       | C9   | H24  | 1.1013                 | 36       | N16       | H35 | 1.0209                  |

 Table 2. B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculated bond lengths values (Å).

Table 3. B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculated angles values (°).

| Angle No. | Angles ty | pes |      | DFT/B3LYP | Angle No. | Angles types |     | DFT/B3LYP |          |
|-----------|-----------|-----|------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----------|----------|
| -         |           | -   |      | 6-31G(d)  |           |              | -   |           | 6-31G(d) |
| 1         | C2        | C1  | C5   | 114.31    | 34        | N11          | C10 | H26       | 108.17   |
| 2         | C2        | C1  | Br17 | 122.74    | 35        | H25          | C10 | H26       | 106.46   |
| 3         | C5        | C1  | Br17 | 122.96    | 36        | C10          | N11 | C12       | 112.1    |
| 4         | C1        | C2  | C3   | 112.52    | 37        | C10          | N11 | C13       | 112.94   |
| 5         | C1        | C2  | H18  | 124.91    | 38        | C12          | N11 | C13       | 110.34   |
| 6         | C3        | C2  | H18  | 122.57    | 39        | N11          | C12 | C15       | 110.52   |
| 7         | C2        | C3  | S4   | 110.49    | 40        | N11          | C12 | H27       | 111.51   |
| 8         | C2        | C3  | C6   | 126.56    | 41        | N11          | C12 | H28       | 108.54   |
| 9         | S4        | C3  | C6   | 122.95    | 42        | C15          | C12 | H27       | 109.14   |
| 10        | C3        | S4  | C5   | 92.1      | 43        | C15          | C12 | H28       | 109.98   |
| 11        | C1        | C5  | S4   | 110.58    | 44        | H27          | C12 | H28       | 107.08   |
| 12        | C1        | C5  | H19  | 128.41    | 45        | N11          | C13 | C14       | 110.54   |
| 13        | S4        | C5  | H19  | 121.02    | 46        | N11          | C13 | H29       | 111.07   |
| 14        | C3        | C6  | C7   | 119.38    | 47        | N11          | C13 | H30       | 109.38   |
| 15        | C3        | C6  | N8   | 116.38    | 48        | C14          | C13 | H29       | 109.34   |
| 16        | C7        | C6  | N8   | 124.23    | 49        | C14          | C13 | H30       | 109.5    |
| 17        | C6        | C7  | H20  | 110.22    | 50        | H29          | C13 | H30       | 106.94   |
| 18        | C6        | C7  | H21  | 110.22    | 51        | C13          | C14 | N16       | 113.7    |
| 19        | C6        | C7  | H22  | 113.43    | 52        | C13          | C14 | H31       | 110      |
| 20        | H20       | C7  | H21  | 106.91    | 53        | C13          | C14 | H32       | 108.77   |
| 21        | H20       | C7  | H22  | 107.9     | 54        | N16          | C14 | H31       | 108.86   |
| 22        | H21       | C7  | H22  | 107.91    | 55        | N16          | C14 | H32       | 107.75   |
| 23        | C6        | N8  | C9   | 119.85    | 56        | H31          | C14 | H32       | 107.57   |
| 24        | N8        | C9  | C10  | 109.14    | 57        | C12          | C15 | N16       | 113.59   |
| 25        | N8        | C9  | H23  | 110.17    | 58        | C12          | C15 | H33       | 110.08   |
| 26        | N8        | C9  | H24  | 111.97    | 59        | C12          | C15 | H34       | 108.8    |
| 27        | C10       | C9  | H23  | 110.74    | 60        | N16          | C15 | H33       | 108.86   |
| 28        | C10       | C9  | H24  | 108.56    | 61        | N16          | C15 | H34       | 107.74   |
| 29        | H23       | C9  | H24  | 106.23    | 62        | H33          | C15 | H34       | 107.58   |
| 30        | C9        | C10 | N11  | 112.94    | 63        | C14          | N16 | C15       | 110.34   |
| 31        | C9        | C10 | H25  | 109.17    | 64        | C14          | N16 | H35       | 108.63   |
| 32        | C9        | C10 | H26  | 107.38    | 65        | C15          | N16 | H35       | 108.61   |
| 33        | N11       | C10 | H25  | 112.38    |           |              |     |           |          |

| Angle<br>No. | Angles    | type      |           |     | DFT/B3LYP<br>6-31G(d) | Angle<br>No. | Angles | type |     |     | DFT/B3LYP<br>6-31G(d) |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------------------|--------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----------------------|
| 1            | C5        | C1        | C2        | C3  | -0.03                 | 45           | H26    | C10  | N11 | C12 | -39.78                |
| 2            | C5        | C1        | C2        | H18 | 179.99                | 46           | H26    | C10  | N11 | C13 | -165.18               |
| 3            | Br17      | C1        | C2        | C3  | 179.87                | 47           | C10    | N11  | C12 | C15 | 175.61                |
| 4            | Br17      | C1        | C2        | H18 | -0.11                 | 48           | C10    | N11  | C12 | H27 | -62.8                 |
| 5            | C2        | C1        | C5        | S4  | 0.02                  | 49           | C10    | N11  | C12 | H28 | 54.92                 |
| 6            | C2        | C1        | C5        | H19 | 179.96                | 50           | C13    | N11  | C12 | C15 | -57.58                |
| 7            | Br17      | C1        | C5        | S4  | -179.88               | 51           | C13    | N11  | C12 | H27 | 64.02                 |
| 8            | Br17      | C1        | C5        | H19 | 0.07                  | 52           | C13    | N11  | C12 | H28 | -178.26               |
| 9            | C1        | C2        | C3        | S4  | 0.02                  | 53           | C10    | N11  | C13 | C14 | -176.28               |
| 10           | C1        | C2        | C3        | C6  | 179.96                | 54           | C10    | N11  | C13 | H29 | 62.17                 |
| 11           | H18       | C2        | C3        | S4  | -179.99               | 55           | C10    | N11  | C13 | H30 | -55.64                |
| 12           | H18       | C2        | C3        | C6  | -0.05                 | 56           | C12    | N11  | C13 | C14 | 57.38                 |
| 13           | C2        | C3        | S4        | C5  | -0.01                 | 57           | C12    | N11  | C13 | H29 | -64.17                |
| 14           | C6        | C3        | <u>S4</u> | C5  | -179.96               | 58           | C12    | N11  | C13 | H30 | 178.02                |
| 15           | C2        | C3        | <u> </u>  | C7  | 179.57                | 59           | N11    | C12  | C15 | N16 | 55.28                 |
| 16           | C2        | C3        | C6        | N8  | -0.57                 | 60           | N11    | C12  | C15 | H33 | 177.64                |
| 17           | S4        | C3        | C6        | C7  | -0.49                 | 61           | N11    | C12  | C15 | H34 | -64.7                 |
| 18           | <u>S4</u> | C3        | C6        | N8  | 179.36                | 62           | H27    | C12  | C15 | N16 | -67.7                 |
| 19           | C3        | <u>S4</u> | C5        | C1  | 0                     | 63           | H27    | C12  | C15 | H33 | 54.65                 |
| 20           | C3        | <u>S4</u> | C5        | H19 | -179.95               | 64           | H27    | C12  | C15 | H34 | 172.31                |
| 21           | C3        | C6        | C7        | H20 | -122.63               | 65           | H28    | C12  | C15 | N16 | 175.11                |
| 22           | C3        | C6        | C7        | H21 | 119.6                 | 66           | H28    | C12  | C15 | H33 | -62.54                |
| 23           | C3        | C6        | C7        | H22 | -1.52                 | 67           | H28    | C12  | C15 | H34 | 55.12                 |
| 24           | N8        | C6        | C7        | H20 | 57.52                 | 68           | N11    | C13  | C14 | N16 | -54.95                |
| 25           | N8        | C6        | C7        | H21 | -60.25                | 69           | N11    | C13  | C14 | H31 | -177.32               |
| 26           | N8        | C6        | C7        | H22 | 178.63                | 70           | N11    | C13  | C14 | H32 | 65.1                  |
| 27           | C3        | C6        | N8        | C9  | 179.85                | 71           | H29    | C13  | C14 | N16 | 67.62                 |
| 28           | C7        | C6        | N8        | C9  | -0.3                  | 72           | H29    | C13  | C14 | H31 | -54.75                |
| 29           | C6        | N8        | C9        | C10 | -175.84               | 73           | H29    | C13  | C14 | H32 | -172.33               |
| 30           | C6        | N8        | C9        | H23 | 62.36                 | 74           | H30    | C13  | C14 | N16 | -175.51               |
| 31           | C6        | N8        | C9        | H24 | -55.63                | 75           | H30    | C13  | C14 | H31 | 62.12                 |
| 32           | N8        | C9        | C10       | N11 | 175.94                | 76           | H30    | C13  | C14 | H32 | -55.46                |
| 33           | N8        | C9        | C10       | H25 | -58.27                | 77           | C13    | C14  | N16 | C15 | 50.87                 |
| 34           | N8        | C9        | C10       | H26 | 56.77                 | 78           | C13    | C14  | N16 | H35 | -68.08                |
| 35           | H23       | C9        | C10       | N11 | -62.61                | 79           | H31    | C14  | N16 | C15 | 173.87                |
| 36           | H23       | C9        | C10       | H25 | 63.19                 | 80           | H31    | C14  | N16 | H35 | 54.91                 |
| 37           | H23       | C9        | C10       | H26 | 178.22                | 81           | H32    | C14  | N16 | C15 | -69.76                |
| 38           | H24       | C9        | C10       | N11 | 53.65                 | 82           | H32    | C14  | N16 | H35 | 171.29                |
| 39           | H24       | C9        | C10       | H25 | 179.44                | 83           | C12    | C15  | N16 | C14 | -51.02                |
| 40           | H24       | C9        | C10       | H26 | -65.52                | 84           | C12    | C15  | N16 | H35 | 67.95                 |
| 41           | C9        | C10       | N11       | C12 | -158.49               | 85           | H33    | C15  | N16 | C14 | -174.05               |
| 42           | C9        | C10       | N11       | C13 | 76.11                 | 86           | H33    | C15  | N16 | H35 | -55.08                |
| 43           | H25       | C10       | N11       | C12 | 77.45                 | 87           | H34    | C15  | N16 | C14 | 69.57                 |
| 44           | H25       | C10       | N11       | C13 | -47.95                | 88           | H34    | C15  | N16 | H35 | -171.46               |

**Table 4.** B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculated dihedral angles values (°).

#### MS and elemental analyses

The experimental Ms and elemental analyses of the prepared S.B are consistent with it molecular formula  $C_{12}H_{18}BrN_3S$ , Calcd: C, 45.57; H, 5.74; N, 13.29; Found: C, 45.49; H, 5.61; N, 13.15), EI-MS experimental spectrum  $[M^+] m/z = 316.0$  (316.2 theoretical).

## *Theoretical* <sup>1</sup>*H NMR compared to experimental*

The typical (experimental and theoretical) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of the desired S.B is illustrated in Fig. 2, which showed a sharp broad signal at  $\delta$  1.50 ppm cited to NH proton, broad singlet peak corresponding to CH<sub>3</sub> group is detected at 2.02 ppm, two broad peaks at 2.45 and 2.55 ppm belongs to CH<sub>2</sub> of the piperzine, triplets signals at 2.90 and 3.55 ppm with J<sub>H-H</sub> = 6.2 Hz were attributed to =N-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-N and =N-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-N, respectively. The C-H thiophene protons were detected as singles at  $\delta$  6.98 and 7.12 ppm, see Fig. 2a.



Fig. 2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of S.B. a) experimental in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at RT, b) ACD-LAB theoretical and c) NMR-DB theoretical

The ACD-LAB and NMR-DB [24] computed <sup>1</sup>H NMR result was illustrated in Fig. 2b and Fig.2c respectively. In general, Fig. 2. reflected an excellent chemical shifts correlation between experimental and computed <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The correlation coefficient (CC) values of chemical shifts resolved by ACD-LAB and NMR-DB versus experimental <sup>1</sup>H-NMR are 0.978 and 0.988, respectively.

#### FT-IR and DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d)-IR

The FT-IR spectrum of the solid product showed a number of absorption bands related to its functional groups vibration, as seen in Fig. 3a. DFT-IR analysis for the same compound was computed at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level in the gaseous state, as seen in Fig. 3b.



Fig. 3. (a) Experimental FT-IR spectrum of S.B and (b) theoretical vibration spectrum at DFT/B3LYP 6-31G(d).

The main experimental and theoretical stretching vibration bands are illustrated in Table 5. The theoretical and experimental FT-IR spectra revealed an acceptable agreement [14, 15].

| Experimental | B3LYP/6-31G(d)   |
|--------------|--|
| 3380         | 3420   |
| 3080-2860    | 3100-2860  |
| 1660         | 1680   |
| 1440-1590    | 1460-1600  |
|              | Experimental<br>3380<br>3080-2860<br>1660<br>1440-1590 |

**Table 5.** Calculated and experimental frequency vibrations of the main functional groups belong to S.B.

There was a minor conflict, however, because the DFT- calculation was carried out in free gaseous state, whereas experimental was performed in solid state, the DFT-theoretical calculations expected to larger [25, 26].

#### UV-Visible and TD-DFT/B3LYP 6-31G(d)

The electronic absorption of the synthesised S.B was carried out in MeOH. Two signals were detected only in the UV region with  $\lambda_{max} = 255$  nm and  $\lambda_{max} = 292$  nm attributed to  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* intra-ligand electron transition. Fig. 4a. The UV spectrum of theoretical TD-DFT/B3LYP in gaseous state revealed one broad signal with  $\lambda_{max} = 305$  nm (Fig. 4b). An acceptable matching between the theoretical TD-DFT/B3LYP and the experimental UV was recorded, and perhaps the extra maxima and the slightly shift in absorption maxima which were observed only in the experimental can be attributed to solvent-solute interaction effect [14, 15].



**Fig. 4.** (a) Experimental UV-visible spectrum of desired S.B in methanol  $\lambda_{max} = 255$  and 292 nm and (b) theoretical TD-DFT/B3LYP 6-31G(d) in gaseous state with  $\lambda_{max} = 305$  nm.

#### HOMO/LUMO of E-isomer of S.B.

Several chemical parameters can be calculated from HOMO/LUMO energy level like: electrophilicity, hardness, chemical potential, symmetry, quantum chemistry terms and electronegativity [27].

Fig. 5 illustrated the orbitals shapes and the energy levels of the HOMO/LUMO of *E*-isomer belong to the desired S.B in gaseous phase calculated. In HOMO piperazine ring gained the total electrostatic loops around, while in LUMO thiophene ring have the total loops intensity. HOMO and LUMO gap is related to the chemical reactivity or kinetic stability, since HOMO and LUMO have negative values that resolved a chemical stability of the desired S.B. [14, 15].



Fig. 5. HOMO/LUMO of E-isomer

#### GRD quantum parameters

The GRD of the desired molecule like electrophilicity ( $\omega$ ), hardness ( $\eta$ ) electronegativity ( $\chi$ ), chemical potential ( $\mu$ ), and softness ( $\sigma$ ) indices are helpful quantum parameters and were calculated from HOMO/LUMO energy gap using Koopman's notation (Table 6).

Electronegativity ( $\chi$ ) = -E<sub>HOMO</sub> + -E<sub>LUMO</sub> /2

Hardness ( $\eta$ ) = E<sub>LUMO</sub> - E<sub>HOMO</sub> /2

Softness ( $\sigma$ ) = 1/ $\eta$ 

Chemical potential ( $\mu$ ) = - $\chi$ 

Electrophilicity ( $\omega$ ) =  $\mu^2/2\eta$ 

Table 6. DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculated GRD quantum parameters of *E*-isomer of S.B.

|                        | DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| E <sub>HOMO</sub> (eV) | -5.6727603         |
| E <sub>LUMO</sub> (eV) | -1.5012529         |
| ΔE(eV)                 | 4.17151            |
| X(eV)                  | 3.58698            |
| $\eta(\mathbf{eV})$    | 3.87924            |
| σ(eV)                  | 0.257732           |
| μ(eV)                  | -3.58698           |
| ω(eV)                  | 1.658063           |

The value of the chemical potential revealed the non-spontaneous decomposition of such ligands. The hardness of the molecule revealed the polarizability reflected the fastness of electrons movement in molecules, the electrons donation and withdrawing ability power is indicated by its electronegativity and electrophilicity. Since the electronegativity is higher that its electrophilicity, this reflected the degree of electrons donation of such material and supported it as an excellent polydentate ligand.

## MEP of E-isomer

The MEP is useful to evaluate the electrophilic and nucleophilic sites depending on the polarity of the functional groups of molecule. To do so, MEP/B3LYP for *E*-isomer of the S.B was evaluated, as shown in Fig. 6. The electrostatic potential are illustrated by different colors, the values of the electrostatic decreased in the order of red>orange> yellow>green>blue. The N atoms distinguished by red color as the most negative, the deepest in the red color among the three N atoms is the N of  $2^{\circ}$  amine (N-H). Br is characterized with light orange, the blue color reflected the lowest in negative, the H of the  $2^{\circ}$  amine, CH<sub>3</sub> and CH of thiophine are characterized by this color. The S and C-H aliphatic functional groups were characterized by the green color.



Fig. 6. MPE surface of E-isomer

# Charge population (Mulliken atomic) analysis

Mulliken population charge calculation of the S.B was carried out by using B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory, Mulliken atomic charge distribution of acceptor and donor atoms in the desired S.B compound defined be +ve and –ve values, respectively, as seen in Table 7 and Fig. 7.

| Atom No. | Atom Type | DFT      | Atom No. | Atom Type | DFT      |
|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 1        | С         | 0.08089  | 18       | Н         | 0.179377 |
| 2        | С         | -0.12037 | 19       | Н         | 0.19465  |
| 3        | С         | -0.16911 | 20       | Н         | 0.183751 |
| 4        | S         | 0.253284 | 21       | Н         | 0.18419  |
| 5        | С         | -0.35155 | 22       | Н         | 0.176226 |
| 6        | С         | 0.300598 | 23       | Н         | 0.145695 |
| 7        | С         | -0.54849 | 24       | Н         | 0.169103 |
| 8        | Ν         | -0.43144 | 25       | Н         | 0.12161  |
| 9        | С         | -0.17549 | 26       | Н         | 0.153488 |
| 10       | С         | -0.1021  | 27       | Н         | 0.108556 |
| 11       | Ν         | -0.4117  | 28       | Н         | 0.138757 |
| 12       | С         | -0.127   | 29       | Н         | 0.106908 |
| 13       | С         | -0.14192 | 30       | Н         | 0.142392 |
| 14       | С         | -0.15123 | 31       | Н         | 0.139477 |
| 15       | С         | -0.15291 | 32       | Н         | 0.155846 |
| 16       | N         | -0.53701 | 33       | Н         | 0.14104  |
| 17       | Br        | -0.10063 | 34       | Н         | 0.156514 |
|          |           |          | 35       | Н         | 0.288593 |

| Table 7. Mulliken atomic ch | arge |
|-----------------------------|------|
|-----------------------------|------|



Fig. 7. DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) Mulliken charge distribution (per atom) of S.B.

The atomic charges were affected by several parameters like dipole moment, polarizability and refractivity [14]. The analysis revealed the presence of electrophilic and nucleophilic atoms in the backbone of the S.B. <u>Nucleophilic</u>, C7 (CH<sub>3</sub>) reveled the highest nucleophilic behavior among all the atoms in the molecule with -0.55e, the three N atoms have also process high nucleophilicity ranging ~ -0.41e to -0.54e, the N of  $2^{\circ}$  amine (N-H) found to be the highest in nucleophilicity among the three N atoms with -0.54e, Br reflected the poorest nucleophilic behavior among all the atoms with 0.10e. <u>Electrophilic</u>, C6 (imide carbon), H of  $2^{\circ}$  amine (N-H), S in thiophene ring reflected the highest electrophilic atoms in the molecule with 0.35, 0.29 and 0.25e values, respectively. All the H atoms revealed electrophilic sites between 0.11–0.29e. Mulliken population charge data is consistent with the MPE map result.

#### Conclusion

N-(1-(4-bromothiophen-2-yl)ethylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanamine as a novel Schiff base ligand was made available in a very good yield. Several spectral analyses were performed to figure out the structural formula of the ligand. QM calculations for the desired compound like: TD-SCF, MPE, IR-vibration, NMR, Mulliken population were performed. DFT/B3LYP optimization showed that the more preferable isomer in gaseous state is *E*-isomer with a very small rotational energy. The theoretical calculations of the desired compound reflected a high degree of matching with their experimental coordinates parameters.

#### References

- 1. Juan A., Yaricruz P., Alina B., Juan, C., Med. Chem. 6 (2016) 467-473.
- 2. Pradhan R., Banik M., Cordes D., Slawin A., Sah N., Inorg. Chimica Acta 442 (2016) 70-75.
- 3. Gennari M., Pecaut J., Collomb M.N., Duboc C., Dalton Trans. 41 (2012) 3130-3134.
- 4. Ummer M.R., Dharmasivam M., Azees K.H., Rakesh P.N., Mukesh D., Aziz K.R., *New J. Chem.* 40 (2016) 2451–2465.
- 5. Petrus M.L., Bouwer R.K.M., Lafont U., Athanasopoulos S., Greenham N.C., Dingemans T.J., J. Mater. Chem. A 2 (2014) 9474-9480.
- 6. Prabhu J., Velmurugan K., Zhang Q., Radhakrishnan S., Tang L., Nandhakumar R., J. Photochem. Photobio. A: Chem. 337 (2017) 6–18.
- 7. Low M.L., Paulus G., Dorlet P., Guillot R., Rosli R., Delsuc N., Crouse K.A., Policar, C., *Biometals* 28 (2015) 553-560.
- 8. Shuvaev K.V., Dawe L.N., Thompson L.K., Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 29 (2010) 4583-4586.

- 9. Bensaber S.M., Allafe H.a., Ermeli N.B., Mohamed S.B., Zetrini A., Alsabri S. G., Erhuma M., Hermann A., Jaeda M.I., Gbaj A.M., *Med. Chem.* 23 (2014) 5120-5130.
- 10. Chandramouli C., Shivanand M.R., Nayanbhai T.B., Bheemachari B., Udupi R.H., J. Chem. Pharm. Res. 4 (2012) 151–1159.
- 11. Liang C., Xia J., Lei D., Li X., Yao Q., Gao J., Eur. J. Med. Chem. 74 (2014) 742-750
- 12. Singh A.K., Pandey S.K., Pandey O.P., Sengupta S.K., J. Mol. Struct. 1074 (2014) 376-382.
- 13. Sunitha M., Padmaja M., Anupama B., Gyana Kumari C., J. Fluorescence 22 (2012) 1003–1012.
- 14. Warad I., Al-Demeri Y., Al-Nuri M., Shahwan S., Abdoh M., Naveen Sh., Lokanath N. K., Mubarak M. S., Hadda T. B., Mabkhot Y. N., *J. Mol. Struct.* 1142, (2017), 217–225.
- 15. Barakat A., Soliman S. M., Ghabbour H. A., Ali M., Al-Majid A. M., Zarrouk A., Warad I., *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1137 (2017) 354–361.
- 16. Barakat A., Al-Majid A. M., Islam M. Sh., Warad I., Masand V. H., Yousuf S., Choudhary M. I. Res. Chem. Intermed. 42 (2016) 4041–4053.
- 17. Warad I., Azam M., Al-Resayes S. I., Shahidu M., Sarfaraz I., Salim A., Haddad F., *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 42 (2016) 379–389.
- 18. Warad I., Al-Demeri Y., Al-Nuri M., Suleiman M., Al-Ali A., Amereih S., Molbank (2016), M903.
- 19. Janim A., Al-Nuri M., Bani Odeh A., Barghouthi S. A., Ayesh M., Al Ali A., Amereih S., Warad I., J. Mater. Environ. Sci. 7 (2016) 3447-3453
- 20. Al-Noaimi M., Choudhar M., Awwadi F., Talib W., Hadda T. B., Yousuf S., Sawafta A., Warad I., *Spectrochimica Acta Part A.*, 127 (2015) 225-232.
- Azam M.; Warad I.; Al-Resayes S.; Aldzaqr N.; Kha M.; Pallepogu R.; Dwivedi S.; Musarrat J.; Shaki M. J. Mol. Struct. 1047 (2013) 48–54.
- 22. Warad I., Al-Rimawi F., Barakat A., Affouneh S., Shivalingegowda N., Lokanath N. K., Abu-Reidah I. M., *Chem. Cen. J.* 10 (2016) 11-21.
- 23. Warad I., Barakat A., J. Molec. Strut. 1134 (2017) 17-24.
- 24. Banfi D., Patiny L., CHIMIA 62 (2008) 280-283.
- 25. Fukui K., Science 218 (1982) 747-750.
- 26.Aihara J., J. Phys. Chem. A 103 (1999) 7487-7493.
- 27. Singh A.K., Pandey S.K., Pandey O.P., Sengupta S.K., J. Mol. Struct. 1074 (2014) 376-382.
- 28. Frisch M.J., et al. GAUSSIAN 09, Revision B.09, GAUSSIAN, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2009.

(2017); http://www.jmaterenvironsci.com