

Note di Matematica
Note Mat. **39** (2019) no. 2, 1–12.

ISSN 1123-2536, e-ISSN 1590-0932
 doi:10.1285/i15900932v39n2p1

Existence and multiplicity results for a doubly anisotropic problem with sign-changing nonlinearity

Ahmed Réda Leggat

Laboratoire d'Analyse Non Linéaire et Mathématiques Appliquées, Tlemcen University, BP 119 Tlemcen. Algeria
 leggat2000@yahoo.fr

Sofiane El-Hadi Miri

Laboratoire d'Analyse Non Linéaire et Mathématiques Appliquées, Tlemcen University, BP 119 Tlemcen. Algeria
 mirisofiane@yahoo.fr

Received: 8.5.2018; accepted: 24.6.2019.

Abstract. We consider in this paper the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i [|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u] - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i [|\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u] = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Where Ω is a bounded regular domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_N$ and $1 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_N$, we will also assume that f is a continuous function, that have a finite number of zeroes, changing sign between them.

Keywords: Anisotropic problem, existence and multiplicity, variational methods.

MSC 2000 classification: primary 35J66, secondary 35J35

1 Introduction

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i [|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u] - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i [|\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u] = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Where Ω is a bounded regular domain in \mathbb{R}^N , we will assume that f fulfill some suitable hypotheses, $1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_N$ and $1 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_N$.

We will often use the notation

$$L_{(p_i)}u = \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i \left[|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \right],$$

There is a huge literature related to the anisotropic operator, when considered with a linear, non-linear or singular terms we invite the reader to see [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 25]

f is supposed to be such that

(H1) f is a continuous function such that $f(0) \geq 0$, and there are $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \dots < b_{m-1} < a_m$ the zeroes of f such that

$$\begin{cases} f \leq 0 & \text{in } (a_k, b_k) \\ f \geq 0 & \text{in } (b_k, a_{k+1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(H2) \int_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}} f(t) dt > 0; \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

These kind of hypotheses, was introduced by different authors in the some early works [3, 8, 11], with the aim to study the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

More recently, the results obtained there was generalized in [2] for the p & q -laplacian, that is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and in the case of the ϕ -laplacian in [18], where the considered problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

ϕ being a function fulfilling some suitable conditions.

Observe that the anisotropic operator, and the doubly anisotropic operator considered in this paper cannot be obtained as a particular case of the previous cited, and his its own structure, as we will present in this paper.

In the whole paper C will denote a constant that may change from line to line.

2 Preliminary results

Problem (1.1) is associated to the following anisotropic Sobolev spaces

$$W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega); \partial_i v \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)\}$$

and

$$W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) = W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$$

endowed by the usual norm

$$\|v\|_{W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i v\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}.$$

As we are dealing with a doubly anisotropic operator, the natural functional space is

$$X = W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,(q_i)}(\Omega)$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_X = \|v\|_{W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{W_0^{1,(q_i)}(\Omega)}.$$

Definition 1. We will say that $u \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i \varphi = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega).$$

We will also use very often the following indices

$$\frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i}$$

and

$$\bar{p}^* = \frac{N\bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}, \quad p_{\infty} = \max\{p_N, \bar{p}^*\}$$

without loss of generality we will assume that $\bar{p}^* \leq \bar{q}^*$

The following Sobolev type inequalities will be often used in this paper, we refer to the early works [23], [16] and [20].

Theorem 1. *There exists a positive constant C , depending only on Ω , such that for every $v \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\|v\|_{L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)}^{p_N} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i v\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{p_i}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|v\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i v\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \quad \forall r \in [1, \bar{p}^*] \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|v\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i v\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N}} \quad \forall r \in [1, \bar{p}^*] \quad (2.3)$$

and $\forall v \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\bar{p} < N$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^r \right)^{\frac{N}{p} - 1} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |\partial_i v|^{p_i} |v|^{t_i p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}, \quad (2.4)$$

for every r and t_j chosen such a way to have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\gamma_i(N-1) - 1 + \frac{1}{p_i}}{t_i + 1} \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

We also have the following algebraic inequalities :

- There exists a $C > 0$ not depending on $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that for given $\sigma_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i = \rho \implies \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sigma_i^{p_i}}{p_i} \geq C \rho^{p_N} \quad (2.5)$$

- For $p_i \geq 2$

$$C |a - b|^{p_i} \leq \left(|a|^{p_i-2} a - |b|^{p_i-2} b \right) (a - b) \quad (2.6)$$

- For $1 < p_i \leq 2$

$$C \frac{|a - b|^2}{(|a| + |b|)^{2-p_i}} \leq \left(|a|^{p_i-2} a - |b|^{p_i-2} b \right) (a - b) \quad (2.7)$$

In view of applying the above inequalities, allthrough this paper we will suppose that all the p_i are neither $p_i \geq 2$ nor $1 < p_i \leq 2$ and the same for the q_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Lemma 1. *Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be a continuous function and $s_0 > 0$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} g(s) &\geq 0 & \text{if } s \in (-\infty, 0) \\ g(s) &\leq 0 & \text{if } s \in [s_0, +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

then if u is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i \left[|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \right] - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i \left[|\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \right] = \lambda g(u) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

it verifies $u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty} < s_0$.

Proof. We recall that $u = u^+ - u^-$ where $u^- = \max(-u, 0)$ and $u^+ = \max(0, u)$; as $\partial_i u^- = \begin{cases} -\partial_i u & \text{if } u < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq 0 \end{cases}$ we have that $u^- \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$ whenever $u \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$. Using u^- as a test function in (2.8) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i u^- + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i u^- = \int_{\Omega} g(u) u^-$$

that is

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap \{u < 0\}} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap \{u < 0\}} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} = \int_{\Omega \cap \{u < 0\}} g(u) u$$

by the definition of g , $g(u)u \leq 0$ when $u < 0$ so

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap \{u < 0\}} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap \{u < 0\}} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \leq 0$$

and thus necessarily the set $(\Omega \cap \{u < 0\})$ is a null measure set, and so $u = u^+ \geq 0$.

On the other hand observe that $\partial_i (u - s_0)^+ = \begin{cases} +\partial_i u & \text{if } u > s_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u \leq s_0 \end{cases}$ we have that $(u - s_0)^+ \in X$ whenever $u \in X$. Using $(u - s_0)^+$ as test function in (2.8) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i (u - s_0)^+ + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i (u - s_0)^+ = \int_{\Omega} g(u) (u - s_0)^+$$

that is

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap [u > s_0]} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap [u > s_0]} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} = \int_{\Omega \cap [u > s_0]} g(u) (u - s_0)$$

by the definition of g , $g(u) (u - s_0) \leq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap [u > s_0]} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap [u > s_0]} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \leq 0$$

and thus necessarily the set $(\Omega \cap [u > s_0])$ is a null measure set, and so $u \leq s_0$. \square

3 Existence and multiplicity results

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$, consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i \left[|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \right] - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i \left[|\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \right] = \lambda f_k(u) \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$f_k(s) = \begin{cases} f(0) & \text{if } s \leq 0 \\ f(s) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq a_k \\ 0 & \text{if } s > a_k \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1. *There exists $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}, +\infty)$, problem (3.1) posses a nonnegative solution $u = u_{k,\lambda}$ such that $\|u_{k,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty} \leq a_k$.*

Proof. As a direct consequence of Lemma 1 we have $\|u_{k,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty} \leq a_k$.

Let

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u) := \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_k(u),$$

where $F_k(t) = \int_0^t f_k(s) ds$, the set $\mathcal{C}_{k,\lambda}$ of the critical points of $\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u)$ corresponds to the set of solution to (3.1). Observe that as f_k is a bounded function we have

$m_k |t| \leq \int_0^t m_k ds \leq F_k(t) \leq \int_0^t M_k ds \leq M_k |t|$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{k,\lambda}(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_k(u) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} - \lambda M_k \int_{\Omega} |u|, \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality we obtain that

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} - \lambda M_k \|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}^*}},$$

by Sobolev inequality

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i} - \lambda M_k C \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}},$$

as $p_N \geq p_i$ for every i

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}}^{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{q_i}}^{q_i} - \lambda M_k C \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}},$$

by the fact that

$$\|u\|_X \rightarrow +\infty \Rightarrow \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{p_i}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ or } \|\partial_i u\|_{L^{q_i}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for some } i,$$

we obtain the coercivity of $\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u)$ that is

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ when } \|u\|_X \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the other hand, as $\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u)$ continuous it is also lower semi continuous, and thus by Weirstrass theorem, it is also possible to show that a Palais Smal séquence $\{u_n\}_n$ converges strongly, indeed as $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in X

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } X,$$

thus

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^r(\Omega) \text{ for evry } 1 \leq r < \bar{p}^*,$$

and in particular

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n| \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|;$$

using $(u_n - u)$ as test function in (3.1) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i (u_n - u) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \partial_i (u_n - u) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_k(u)(u_n - u)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\int_{\Omega} \left((|\partial_i u_n|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_n - |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u) \partial_i (u_n - u) + \partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\int_{\Omega} \left((|\partial_i u_n|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u_n - |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u) \partial_i (u_n - u) + \partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \right) \right] \\ & = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_k(u)(u_n - u), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\int_{\Omega} \left(|\partial_i u_n|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u_n - |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \right) \partial_i (u_n - u) + \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\int_{\Omega} \left(|\partial_i u_n|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u_n - |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u \right) \partial_i (u_n - u) + \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \right) \right] \\ & = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_k(u)(u_n - u) \end{aligned}$$

by inequality (2.6) for $p_i, q_i > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i (u_n - u)|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i (u_n - u)|^{q_i} + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \right) \leq \lambda C \int_{\Omega} f_k(u)(u_n - u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(u_n - u)|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(u_n - u)|^{q_i} \\
& \leq \lambda C \int_{\Omega} f_k(u)(u_n - u) - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

by the weak convergence of $\{u_n\}_n$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{p_i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u_n |\partial_i u|^{q_i-2} \partial_i u - |\partial_i u|^{q_i} \right) = o(1)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(u_n - u)|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(u_n - u)|^{q_i} & \leq \lambda C \int_{\Omega} f_k(u)(u_n - u) + o(1) \\
& \leq \lambda C M_k \int_{\Omega} (u_n - u) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

as $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^r(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq r < \bar{p}^*$, we conclude that

$$\|u_n - u\|_X \rightarrow 0.$$

The same result can be obtained for the cases ($p_i < 2$ and $q_i > 2$) and ($p_i < 2$ and $q_i < 2$) by using in a similar way inequality (2.7) instead of (2.6), which ends the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *There exists $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in (\bar{\lambda}, +\infty)$, problem (1.1) posses at least $(m - 1)$ nonnegative solutions u_i such that $u_i \in X$ and $a_i \leq \|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq a_{i+1}$.*

Proof. Let u be a solution of (3.1), so by lemma1 it is necessarily such that , $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $0 \leq u < a_{k-1}$ a.e.in Ω thus $f_{k-1}(u) = f(u)$ and then u is also a solution to (1.1). To prove the last part of the theorem we claim that for each $k \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ there is $\lambda_k > 0$, such that for all $\lambda > \lambda_k$ we have $u_{k,\lambda} \notin \mathbf{C}_{k-1,\lambda}$ where $\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u_{k,\lambda}) = \min_{v \in X} \Phi_{k,\lambda}(v)$, first let $\delta > 0$ and consider

$$\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\},$$

and

$$\alpha_k = F(a_k) - \max_{0 < s < a_{k-1}} |F(s)| = F(a_k) - C_k,$$

by hypothesis (H2) $\alpha_k > 0$. Consider $w_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$0 \leq w_\delta \leq a_k,$$

and

$$w_\delta = a_k, \text{ when } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta,$$

we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(w_\delta) \geq \int_{\Omega} F(a_k) - 2C_k |\Omega_\delta|,$$

which yields to

$$\int_{\Omega} F(w_\delta) - \int_{\Omega} F(u) \geq \alpha_k |\Omega| - 2C_k |\Omega_\delta|,$$

since $|\Omega_\delta| \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ there must exist a δ such that

$$\beta_k = \alpha_k |\Omega| - 2C_k |\Omega_\delta| > 0$$

for that δ we put $w_\delta = w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{k,\lambda}(w) - \Phi_{k-1,\lambda}(u_{k-1,\lambda}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i w|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i w|^{q_i} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_k(w) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u_{k-1,\lambda}|^{p_i} - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i u_{k-1,\lambda}|^{q_i} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} F_k(u_{k-1,\lambda}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i w|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i w|^{q_i} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} (F_k(w) - F_k(u_{k-1,\lambda})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i w|^{p_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i w|^{q_i} - \lambda \beta_k, \end{aligned}$$

for λ large enough we have

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(w) - \Phi_{k-1,\lambda}(u_{k-1,\lambda}) < 0$$

that is

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(w) < \Phi_{k-1,\lambda}(u_{k-1,\lambda})$$

so

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda}(u_{k,\lambda}) \leq \Phi_{k,\lambda}(w) < \Phi_{k-1,\lambda}(u_{k-1,\lambda})$$

so we have proved that $u_{k,\lambda}$ and $u_{k-1,\lambda}$ are two distinct solutions to (1.1). Now suppose by contradiction that

$$0 \leq u_{k,\lambda} < a_{k-1}$$

we necessarily would have

$$\Phi_{k-1,\lambda}(u_{k-1,\lambda}) \leq \Phi_{k-1,\lambda}(u_{k,\lambda}) = \Phi_{k,\lambda}(u_{k,\lambda})$$

which is a contradiction, and in conclusion

$$a_{k-1} < \|u_{k,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty} \leq a_k.$$

which ends the proof. \square

Remark 1. Obviously, and under the same conditions on f , all the results obtained here are still valid for the following simply anisotropic problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i \left[|\partial_i u|^{p_i-2} \partial_i u \right] = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] BOUKARABILA, YOUSOUF OUSSAMA, AND SOFIANE EL-HADI MIRI: *Anisotropic system with singular and regular nonlinearities*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, (2019), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2019.1606802>
- [2] CORRA, FRANCISCO JULIO SA, AMANDA SUELLEN S. CORRA, AND JOO R. SANTOS JUNIOR: *Multiple ordered positive solutions of an elliptic problem involving the pq-Laplacian*, J. Convex Anal, **21** (4) (2014), 1023-1042.
- [3] DANCER, E. N., AND KLAUS SCHMITT: *On positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **101** (3) (1987), 445-452.
- [4] A. DI CASTRO: *Elliptic problems for some anisotropic operators*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Rome "Sapienza", a. y. 2008/2009
- [5] A. DI CASTRO: *Existence and regularity results for anisotropic elliptic problems*, Adv. Nonlin.Stud, **9** (2009), 367-393.

- [6] A. DI CASTRO: *Anisotropic elliptic problems with natural growth terms*, Manuscripta mathematica, **135** (3-4) (2011), 521-543.
- [7] EL HAMIDI, ABDALLAH, AND J. M. RAKOTOSON: *Extremal functions for the anisotropic Sobolev inequalities*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis, **24** (2007), 741-756.
- [8] DE FIGUEIREDO, DJAIRO G: *On the existence of multiple ordered solutions of nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, **11** (4) (1987), 481-492.
- [9] FRAGALÀ, ILARIA, FILIPPO GAZZOLA, AND BERND KAWOHL: *Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis, **21** (5) (2004), 715-734.
- [10] GHERGU, MARIUS, AND VICENTIU RADULESCU: *Singular elliptic problems*. Oxford Univ. Press, 2008.
- [11] HESS, PETER: *On multiple positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **6** (8) (1981), 951-961.
- [12] S.N. KRUIZHKOVA, I.M. KOLODII: *On the theory of embedding of anisotropic Sobolev spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys **38** (1983), 188-189.
- [13] AHMED RÉDA LEGGAT , SOFIANE EL-HADI MIRI: *Anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, **61** (4) (2016), 496-509.
- [14] N. H. LOC AND K. SCHMITT: *On positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Differential Integral Equations, **22** (2009), 829-842.
- [15] MIRI, SOFIANE EL-HADI: *On an anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity having variable exponent*, Ricerche di Matematica **66** (2) (2017), 415-424.
- [16] S. M. NIKOLSKII: *Imbedding theorems for functions with partial derivatives considered in various metrics*, Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **22** (1958), 321-336.
- [17] V. RADULESCU, D. REPOVS: *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents: Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton FL, 2015.
- [18] SILVA, EDCARLOS D., JOSE VA GONCALVES, AND KAYE O. SILVA: *On Strongly Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems in the Framework of Nonreflexive Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.02662 (2016).
- [19] STAMPACCHIA, G: *Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **15** (1965), 189-258.
- [20] M. TROISI: *Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi*, Ricerche Mat, **18** (1969), 3-24.