

University of North Dakota [UND Scholarly Commons](https://commons.und.edu/)

[Mechanical Engineering Posters and](https://commons.und.edu/me-pp)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

7-23-2018

Influence of stress triaxiality on fracture ductility for stereo lithography

Anjali Sandip University of North Dakota, anjali.sandip@und.edu

Ravi Kiran Yellavajjala

Follow this and additional works at: [https://commons.und.edu/me-pp](https://commons.und.edu/me-pp?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-pp%2F1&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Mechanical Engineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/293?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-pp%2F1&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Sandip, Anjali and Yellavajjala, Ravi Kiran, "Influence of stress triaxiality on fracture ductility for stereo lithography" (2018). Mechanical Engineering Posters and Presentation. 1. [https://commons.und.edu/me-pp/1](https://commons.und.edu/me-pp/1?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-pp%2F1&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mechanical Engineering at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mechanical Engineering Posters and Presentation by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact zeineb.yousif@library.und.edu.

Influence of stress triaxiality on fracture ductility for stereolithography

Anjali Sandipa , Ravi Kiran Yellavajjalab

a Department of mechanical engineering, University of North Dakota, ND, 58201.

bDepartment of civil and environmental engineering, North Dakota State University, ND 58108.

Scope

Stereolithography (SL) - additive manufacturing process that employs a photopolymer resin and ultraviolet (UV) laser to build the parts¹

SL - especially popular in biomedical applications - complex parts with better resolution in reasonable time 2,3,4

Biomedical applications, such as implants, tend to fail due to fracture³

Better understand fracture behavior in SL printed specimens for improved part design

Objective of the current study - Investigate the influence of stress triaxiality on fracture ductility for specimens' printed using SL

[1]. Gibson, I., Rosen, D. W., & Stucker, B. Additive manufacturing technologies. 2010.

[2]. Wong, K. V., & Hernandez, A. (2012). A review of additive manufacturing. ISRN Mechanical Engineering, 2012.

[3]. Melchels, F. P., Feijen, J., & Grijpma, D. W. (2010). A review on stereolithography and its applications in biomedical engineering. Biomaterials, 31(24), 6121-6130.

[4]. Murr, L. E., Gaytan, S. M., Medina, F., Lopez, H., Martinez, E., Machado, B. I., ... & Bracke, J. (2010). Next-generation biomedical implants using additive manufacturing of complex, cellular and functional mesh arrays. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 368(1917), 1999-2032.

Methodology

- Perform uniaxial tensile tests on 3D printed specimens (pre-notched/circular and v-notched) and obtain force-displacement data
- Determine the displacement to fracture for each specimen from the test data
- Develop finite element (FE) models for each of the specimens in Abaqus/Standard
- Perform material calibration for all specimens using MCalibration software
- Locate the region in the FE model of the specimen that has the highest stress concentration at fracture displacement
- Record the maximum and (spatial) average stress triaxialities, for the elements in the fracture region at fracture displacement
- Plot the average stress triaxiality versus equivalent strain to fracture for all the specimens/for the specimens tested in this study

Methods: Preparation of 3D printed specimens

- Formlabs[®] Form 2 Desktop SLA 3D printer
- A photopolymer resin proprietary mix of Methacrylated oligomers, Methacrylated monomer, photo initiators and trace amount of pigments and additives was used for printing the specimens

Standard stereolithography apparatus5

[5]. Lavadiya D., and Kiran R. (2017). Influence of processing parameters on mechanical properties of stereolithography based 3D printed parts. Engineering Mechanics Institute (EMI) 2017, San Diego, CA.

Methods: Mechanical testing of 3D printed specimens

Specimen geometry

- Uniaxial tensile tests Instron[®] 5566 universal testing machine with a 2kN load cell
- Testing procedure $-$ ASTM D638 specifications⁶
- At room temperature, extension rate: 1mm/minute
- 3 specimens each
- Specimens elongated until failure

[6]. Standard, A. S. T. M. (2010). D638-10, 2010. Standard Test Methods for Tensile Properties of Plastics. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.

Methods: Determination of fracture displacement

Fracture displacements

Load-displacement curve for v-notch specimen (15 degree notch angle)

Methods: FE model development - Abaqus

For all specimens tested in this study,

FE model in Abaqus

Methods: Material model calibration

For all specimens tested in this study

Material model Normalized mean absolute difference (or error in model calibration %) Yeoh $44.6 + 10.92$ Linear viscoelasticity (Yeoh, 5-term Prony series) 37.1 ± 8.35 Johnson cook $14.8 + 7.02$ BB $13.3 + 3.91$ BB with mullins damage 9.86 ± 2.4 Parallel network model with three networks (Yeoh, power-law flow, yield evolution) 6.11 ± 2.67 Parallel network model with four networks (Yeoh, power-law flow, yield evolution) $5.14 + 1.86$ Parallel network model with five networks (Yeoh, power-law flow, yield evolution) $3.49 + 1.2$ Three network model $\vert 2.35 + 0.78 \vert$

- Mcalibration software $(version 5.0.1) - Inverse$ calibrations/Abaqus
- Multiple optimization algorithms used – including Levenberg Marquardt and Nelder-Mead Simplex
- Failure criterion/model not included in material definition

Methods: Stress triaxiality and equivalent strain definitions

Stress triaxiality = $-$ (hydrostatic pressure)/Von mises equivalent stress⁷

Average stress triaxiality = (sum of stress triaxialities of the elements in fracture region)/number of elements

Equivalent strain8

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{eq}} = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{3\left(e_{xx}^2 + e_{yy}^2 + e_{zz}^2\right)}{2} + \frac{3\left(\gamma_{xy}^2 + \gamma_{yz}^2 + \gamma_{zx}^2\right)}{4}}
$$

With the deviatoric strains:

$$
e_{xx} = +\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_{xx} - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{yy} - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{zz}
$$

$$
e_{yy} = -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{xx} + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_{yy} - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{zz}
$$

$$
e_{zz} = -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{xx} - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{yy} + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_{zz}
$$

The engineering strains are defined as:

 $\gamma_{ij} = 2 \times \varepsilon_{ij}$

For each specimen, chose 1 element in the fracture region,

equivalent strain to fracture = equivalent strain which corresponds to the displacement to fracture⁹

[7]. ABAQUS., ABAQUS User's Manual, version 6.13, Dassault Systèmes Simulia Corp. Providence, RI, USA, 2014.

[8]. DIANA FEA BV., DIANA FEA BV User's Manual, version 9.4.4, Delft, Netherlands, 2012.

[9]. Bao, Y., & Wierzbicki, T. (2004). On fracture locus in the equivalent strain and stress triaxiality space. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, 46(1), 81-98.

Results: Circular notch specimens

Circular notch, 2.5 mm diameter, Specimen 1

Results: V-notch specimens

Conclusion

Study objective: Investigate the influence of stress triaxiality on fracture ductility for specimens' printed using SL

Fracture ductility strongly dependent on stress triaxiality for SL printed specimens

Careful consideration of geometry and location of notches in implant design required

- Limitations:
- 1. The results are specific to this material
- 2. Material calibration limited by a single extension rate
- Future work
- Investigate the influence of stress triaxiality on fracture ductility for different SL printed materials
- 2. Calibrate and validate the material for different extension rates
- 3. Investigate other parameters that affect fracture ductility, such as material thickness, temperature, etc.

Thank you!

Questions?

