

Washington University in St. Louis

## Washington University Open Scholarship

---

Spring 2017

Washington University  
Senior Honors Thesis Abstracts

---

Spring 2017

### Garbage In, Garbage Out: Model Uncertainty in Wealth Simulations

Daniel Schleien

*Washington University in St. Louis*

James Larkin Smith

*Washington University in St. Louis*

James Soldati

*Washington University in St. Louis*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/wushta\\_spr2017](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/wushta_spr2017)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Schleien, Daniel; Smith, James Larkin; and Soldati, James, "Garbage In, Garbage Out: Model Uncertainty in Wealth Simulations" (2017). *Spring 2017*. 105.

[https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/wushta\\_spr2017/105](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/wushta_spr2017/105)

This Abstract for Business School is brought to you for free and open access by the Washington University Senior Honors Thesis Abstracts at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Spring 2017 by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact [digital@wumail.wustl.edu](mailto:digital@wumail.wustl.edu).

# GARBAGE IN, GARBAGE OUT: MODEL UNCERTAINTY IN WEALTH SIMULATIONS

*Daniel Schleien, James Larkin Smith, and James Soldati*

*Mentor: Philip H. Dybvig*

Wealth managers commonly employ wealth simulators to illustrate the risk-return tradeoff, helping investors visualize projected returns with a given amount of risk. However, clients demanding these high-priced, specially-curated services may wish to reject static modeling assumptions that could misrepresent their terminal wealth. Using a hypothetical example of investing for retirement 30 years from now, we simulated the sensitivity of terminal wealth distributions to various modeling assumptions. Considering different capital market assumptions and levels of risk tolerance, we analyzed variance in terminal wealth given different investor goals and strategies. Our results reflect the strong influence but unreliable predictive power of model assumptions. Because portfolio reallocation decisions largely rely on continually updating capital market assumptions and changing levels of risk tolerance, our models demonstrated higher terminal wealth on average when investors frequently refreshed inputs and maintained their convictions in turbulent markets. Furthermore, assuming a randomly changing real interest rate better reflects portfolio uncertainty compared to a constant real interest rate that grossly misrepresents true market conditions. Even when accounting for this uncertainty, the empirical record does not facilitate a sufficient estimate of parameters such as the rate of mean reversion of interest rates to accurately predict terminal wealth. Ultimately, wealth simulators may easily fall victim to the “garbage in, garbage out” conundrum, leading to potentially misinformed investing decisions. Our paper instead encourages wealth managers to provide clients with simple, transparent, and practical modeling scenarios when they simulate returns in uncertain capital markets, as investing decisions made today bear large impact on future results.