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#### Abstract

In this study, bitopological structure which is a more general structure than topological spaces is built on neutrosophic sets. The necessary arguments which are pairwise neutrosophic open set, pairwise neutrosophic closed set, pairwise neutrosophic closure, pairwise neutrosophic interior are defined and their basic properties are presented. The relations of these concepts with their counterparts in neutrosophic topological spaces are given and many examples are presented.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the major factor in the progress of natural sciences and its sub-branches is the construction of new set structures in mathematics. It is the fuzzy set theory defined by Zadeh [19] that leads to these set structures. This set structure is followed by intuitionistic set theory [7], intuitionistic fuzzy set theory [1] and soft set theory [15]. Later, as a generalization of fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set, Samarandache [17] introduced neutrosophic set. Neutrosophic set N consist of three independent object called truth-membership $T_{N}(x)$, interminancy-membership $I_{N}(x)$ and falsity-memebership $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})$ whose values are real standard or non-standard subset of unit interval $]^{-} 0,1^{+}[$. Scientists continue to intensively study in different fields with this set structure $[3,4,8,14$, $15,17,18,19,20,21,22]$. These set structures have been studied by some authors in topology $[2,5,6$, $16,18]$.

The concept of bitopological spaces was introduced by Kelly [13] as an extension of topological spaces in 1963. This concept has been studied with interest in other set structures [10, 12]. Therefore, we find it necessary and important to construct a bitopological spaces on the neutrosophic set structure.

In this study, we presented bitopological spaces on neutrosophic set structure and some basic notions of this spaces, open (closed) set, closure, interior, neighbourhood systems are defined. In addition, the theorems required for this structure are proved and their relations with neutrosophic topological spaces are investigated.

## 2. Preliminary

In this section, we will give some preliminary information for the present study.
Definition 2.1 [23] Let $X$ be a non empty set, then $N=\left\{\left(x, T_{N}(x), \mathrm{I}_{N}(x), F_{N}(x)\right\rangle: x \in X\right\}$ is called a neutrosophic set on $X$, where $-0 \leq T_{N}(x)+I_{N}(x)+F_{N}(x) \leq 3^{+}$for all $x \in X, T_{N}(x), I_{N}(x)$ and $\left.F_{N}(x) \in\right]^{-} 0,1^{+}\left[\right.$are the degree of membership (namely $T_{N}(x)$ ), the degree of indeterminacy (namely
$I_{N}(x)$ ) and the degree of non membership (namely $F_{N}(x)$ ) of each $x \in X$ to the set $N$ respectively. For $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{\kappa}(\mathrm{X})$ denotes the collection of all neutrosophic sets of X .

Definition 2.2 [23] The following statements are true for neutrosophic sets $N$ and $M$ on $X$ :
i) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x})$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$ iff $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{M}$.
ii) $N \subseteq M$ and $M \subseteq N$ iff $N=M$.
iii) $N \cap M=\left\{\left\langle x, \min \left\{T_{N}(x), T_{M}(x)\right\}, \min \left\{\mathrm{I}_{N}(x), \mathrm{I}_{M}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \max \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$.
iv) $N \cup M=\left\{\left\langle x, \max \left\{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \max \left\{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \min \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$.

More generally, the intersection and the union of a collection of neutrosophic sets $\left\{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$, are defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cap_{i \in I} N_{i}=\left\{\left\langle x, \inf \left\{T_{N_{i}}(x)\right\}, \inf \left\{I_{N_{i}}(x)\right\}, \sup \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}, \\
& \underset{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}{ } \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \sup \left\{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \sup \left\{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \inf \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

v) $N$ is called neutrosophic universal set, denoted by $1_{X}$, if $T_{N}(x)=1, I_{N}(x)=1$ and $F_{N}(x)=0$ for all $x \in X$.
vi) $N$ is called neutrosophic empty set, denoted by $0_{X}$, if $T_{N}(x)=0, I_{N}(x)=0$ and $F_{N}(x)=1$ for all $x \in X$.
vii) $\quad N \backslash M=\left\{\langle x,| T_{N}(x)-T_{M}(x)\left|,\left|I_{N}(x)-I_{M}(x)\right|, 1-\left|F_{N}(x)-F_{M}(x)\right|\right\rangle: x \in X\right\} . \quad$ Clearly, the neutrosophic complements of $1_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $0_{\mathrm{X}}$ are defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1_{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=1_{\mathrm{X}} \backslash 1_{\mathrm{X}}=\langle\mathrm{x}, 0,0,1\rangle=0_{\mathrm{X}} \\
& \left(0_{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=1_{\mathrm{X}} \backslash 0_{\mathrm{X}}=\langle\mathrm{x}, 1,1,0\rangle=1_{\mathrm{X}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.1 [23] Let $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ and $N_{4} \in N(X)$. Then followings hold:
i) $\mathrm{N}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{3} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~N}_{3} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, if $\mathrm{N}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}_{4}$,
ii) $\left(N_{1}^{c}\right)^{c}=N_{1}$ and $N_{1} \subseteq N_{2}$, if $N_{2}^{c} \subseteq N_{1}^{c}$,
iii) $\left(N_{1} \cap N_{2}\right)^{c}=N_{1}^{c} \cup N_{2}^{c}$ and $\left(N_{1} \cup N_{2}\right)^{c}=N_{1}^{c} \cap N_{2}^{c}$.

Definition 2.3 [22] Let $X$ be a non empty set. A neutrosophic topology on $X$ is a subfamily $\tau^{N}$ of $\kappa(X)$ such that $1_{X}$ and $0_{X}$ belong to $\tau^{n}, \tau^{n}$ is closed under arbitrary union and $\tau^{n}$ is closed finite intersection. Then ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is called neutrosophic topological space, members of $\tau^{\mathrm{n}}$ are known as neutrosophic open sets and their complements are neutrosophic closed sets. For a neutrosophic set N over X , the neutrosophic interior and the neutrosophic closure of N are defined as: $\operatorname{int}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{U}$ $\left\{G: G \subseteq N, G \in \tau^{n}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{cl}^{n}(N)=\cap\left\{F: N \subseteq F, F^{c} \in \tau^{n}\right\}$.

Definition 2.4 [9] Let X be a non empty set. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be real standard or non standard subsets of $]^{-} 0,1^{+}\left[\right.$, then the neutrosophic set $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ is called a neutrosophic point in given by

$$
x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(y)= \begin{cases}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), & \text { if } x=y \\ (0,0,1), & \text { if } x \neq y\end{cases}
$$

for $y \in X$ is called the support of $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$.
It is clear that every neutrosophic set is the union of its neutrosophic points.

Definition 2.5 [9] Let $\mathrm{N} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X})$. We say that $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$ read as belonging to the neutrosophic set N whenever $\alpha \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}), \beta \leq \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\gamma \geq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})$.

Definition 2.6 [11] A subcollection $\tau_{n}^{*}$ of neutrosophic sets on a non empty set $X$ is said to be a neutrosophic supra topology on $X$ if the sets $1_{X}, 0_{X} \in \tau_{n}^{*}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_{i} \in \tau_{n}^{*}$ for $\left\{N_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \tau_{n}^{*}$. Then $\left(\mathrm{X}, \tau_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}\right)$ is called neutrosophic supra topological space on X .

## 3. Neutrosophic Bitopological Spaces

Definition 3.1 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be the two different neutrosophic topologies on X . Then ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is called a neutrosophic bitopological space.

Definition 3.2 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. A neutrosophic set $N=$ $\left\{\left\langle x, T_{N}(x), I_{N}(x), F_{N}(x)\right\rangle: x \in X\right\}$ over $X$ is said to be a pairwise neutrosophic open set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ if there exist a neutrosophic open set $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$ in $\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and a neutrosophic open set $N_{2}=\left\{\left\langle x, T_{N_{2}}(x), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}(x), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\} \quad$ in $\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~N}_{2}=$ $\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \max \left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \max \left\{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \min \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$.

Definition 3.3 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space. A neutrosophic set N over X is said to be a pairwise neutrosophic closed set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) if its neutrosophic complement is a pairwise neutrosophic open set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$. Obviously, a neutrosophic set $C=$ $\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$ over X is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set in $\left(\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ if there exist a neutrosophic closed set $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$ in $\left(\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ and a neutrosophic closed set $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2}=\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\} \quad$ in $\quad\left(\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}} \quad$ such that $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{C}_{2}=$ $\left\{\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \min \left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \min \left\{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}, \max \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}\right\rangle: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$, where $\left(\tau_{i}^{n}\right)^{c}=\left\{N^{c} \in N(X): N \in \tau_{i}^{n}\right\}, i=1,2$.
The family of all pairwise neutrosophic open (closed) sets in ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is denoted by $\operatorname{PNO}\left(\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ [PNC(X, $\left.\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ], respectively.
Example 3.1 Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$. We think that following neutrosophic set over X .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{1}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.3,0.2,0.5\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.6,0.5,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.7,0.1,0.9\rangle\} \\
& \mathrm{N}_{2}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.4,0.1,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.2,0.6,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.1,0.3,0.4\rangle\} \\
& \mathrm{N}_{3}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.3,0.1,0.5\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.2,0.5,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.1,0.1,0.9\rangle\} \\
& \mathrm{N}_{4}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.4,0.2,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.6,0.6,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.7,0.3,0.4\rangle\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{1}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.1,0.2,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.2,0.1,0.4\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.5,0.2,0.4\rangle\}, \\
& \mathrm{M}_{2}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.7,0.3,0.1\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.7,0.8,0.2\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.9,0.8,0.3\rangle\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is a neutrosophic bitopological space, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{0_{\mathrm{X}}, 1_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{~N}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right\}, \\
\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{0_{\mathrm{X}}, 1_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}=\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}} \cup\left\{\mathrm{~N}_{1} \cup \mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cup \mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{3} \cup \mathrm{M}_{1}\right\}
$$

because the neutrosophic sets $N_{1} \cup M_{1}, N_{2} \cup M_{1}$ and $N_{3} \cup M_{1}$ not belong to either $\tau_{1}^{n}$ nor $\tau_{2}^{n}$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then,

1. $0_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $1_{\mathrm{X}}$ are pairwise neutrosophic open sets and pairwise neutrosophic closed sets.
2. An arbitrary neutrosophic union of pairwise neutrosophic open sets is a pairwise neutrosophic open set.
3. An arbitrary neutrosophic intersection of pairwise neutrosophic closed sets is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set.
Proof. 1. Since $0_{\mathrm{X}} \in \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $0_{\mathrm{X}} \cup 0_{\mathrm{X}}=0_{\mathrm{X}}$, then $0_{\mathrm{X}}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set. Similarly, $1_{\mathrm{X}}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set.
4. Let $\left\{\left(N_{i}\right): i \in I\right\} \subseteq P N O\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$. Then $N_{i}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set for all $i \in I$, therefore there exist $N_{i}^{1} \in \tau_{1}^{n}$ and $N_{i}^{2} \in \tau_{2}^{n}$ such that $N_{i}=N_{i}^{1} \cup N_{i}^{2}$ for all $i \in I$ which implies that

$$
\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}=\bigcup_{i \in I}\left[N_{i}^{1} \cup N_{i}^{2}\right]=\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}^{1}\right] \cup\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}^{2}\right] .
$$

Now, since $\tau_{1}^{n}$ and $\tau_{2}^{n}$ are neutrosophic topologies, then $\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}^{1}\right] \in \tau_{1}^{n}$ and $\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}^{2}\right] \in \tau_{2}^{n}$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set.
3. It is immediate from the Definition 9, Proposition 1.

Corollary 3.1 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then, the family of all pairwise neutrosophic open sets is a supra neutrosophic topology on $X$. This supra neutrosophic topology we denoted by $\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Remark 3.1 The Example 1 show that:

1. $\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is not neutrosophic topology in general.
2. The finite neutrosophic intersection of pairwise neutrosophic open sets need not be a pairwise neutrosophic open set.
3. The arbitrary neutrosophic union of pairwise neutrosophic closed sets need not be a pairwise neutrosophic closed set.
Theorem 3.2 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then,
4. Every $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{n}}$-open neutrosophic set is a pairwise neutrosophic open set $\mathrm{i}=1,2$, i.e., $\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}} \subseteq \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
5. Every $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{n}}$-closed neutrosophic set is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set $\mathrm{i}=1,2$, i.e., $\left(\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}} \cup$ $\left(\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}} \subseteq\left(\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$.
6. If $\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \subseteq \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$, then $\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}=\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\left(\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 3.4 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})$. The pairwise neutrosophic closure of $N$, denoted by $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$, is the neutrosophic intersection of all pairwise neutrosophic closed super sets of N , i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})=\cap\left\{\mathrm{C} \in\left(\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}: \mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{C}\right\}
$$

It is clear that $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$ is the smallest pairwise neutrosophic closed set containing N .

Example 3.2 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be the same as in Example 1 and
$\mathrm{G}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.7,0.8,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.5,0.4,0.6\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.8,0.7,0.5\rangle\}$ be a neutrosophic set over X .
Now, we need to determine pairwise neutrosophic closed sets in $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ to find $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{G})$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.7,0.8,0.5\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.4,0.5,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.3,0.9,0.1\rangle\}, \\
& \mathrm{N}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.6,0.9,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.8,0.4,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.9,0.7,0.6\rangle\}, \\
& \mathrm{N}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.7,0.9,0.5\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.8,0.5,0.3\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.9,0.9,0.1\rangle\}, \\
& \mathrm{N}_{4}^{\mathrm{c}}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.6,0.8,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.4,0.4,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.3,0.7,0.6\rangle\}, \\
& \mathrm{M}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.9,0.8,0.7\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.8,0.9,0.6\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.5,0.8,0.6\rangle\}, \\
& \mathrm{M}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.3,0.7,0.9\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.3,0.2,0.8\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.1,0.2,0.7\rangle\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(N_{1} \cup M_{1}\right)^{c}=\{\langle a, 0.7,0.8,0.7\rangle,\langle b, 0.4,0.5,0.7\rangle,\langle c, 0.3,0.8,0.6\rangle\} \\
& \left(N_{2} \cup M_{1}\right)^{c}=\{\langle a, 0.6,0.8,0.7\rangle,\langle b, 0.8,0.4,0.6\rangle,\langle c, 0.5,0.7,0.6\rangle\} \\
& \left(N_{3} \cup M_{1}\right)^{c}=\{\langle a, 0.7,0.8,0.7\rangle,\langle b, 0.8,0.5,0.6\rangle,\langle c, 0.5,0.8,0.6\rangle\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In here, the pairwise neutrosophic closed sets which contains $G$ are $N_{3}^{c}$ and $1_{X}$ it follows that $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{N}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap 1_{\mathrm{X}}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{N}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}$.

Theorem 3.3 Let ( $X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $N, M \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then,

1. $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(0_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=0_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=1_{\mathrm{X}}$.
2. $N \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$.
3. $N$ is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set iff $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}$.
4. $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{M} \Rightarrow \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M})$.
5. $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cup \operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N} \cup \mathrm{M})$.
6. $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})\right]=\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$, i.e., $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$ is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set.

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $N \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then,

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}) \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \cap \mathrm{N} \neq 0_{\mathrm{x}}, \forall \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \in \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}$ is any pairwise neutrosophic open set contains $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ and $\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ is the family of all pairwise neutrosophic open sets contains $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$ and suppose that there exists $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \in \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \cap \mathrm{N}=0_{\mathrm{X}}$. Then $N \subseteq\left(U_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$, thus $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ which implies $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cap \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}=0_{\mathrm{X}}$, a contradiction.
Conversely, assume that $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \notin \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$, then $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in\left[\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})\right]^{\mathrm{c}}$. Thus, $\left[\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})\right]^{\mathrm{c}} \in \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$, so, by hypothesis, $\left[\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})\right]^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{N} \neq 0_{\mathrm{x}}$, a contradiction.

Theorem 3.5 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space. A neutrosophic set N over X is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set iff $N=\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$.

Proof. Suppose that N is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set and $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \notin \mathrm{N}$. Then, $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \notin \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$. Thus, [by Theorem 4], there exists $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \in \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \cap \mathrm{N}=0_{\mathrm{X}}$. Since $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \in$ $\tau_{12}^{n}\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$, then there exists $M_{1} \in \tau_{1}^{n}$ and $M_{2} \in \tau_{2}^{n}$ such that $U_{x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$. Hence, $\left(M_{1} \cup M_{2}\right) \cap$ $N=0_{X}$ it follows that $M_{1} \cap N=0_{X}$ and $M_{2} \cap N=0_{X}$. Since $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in U_{x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}$, then $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M_{1}$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{M}_{2}$ implies, $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \notin \mathrm{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \notin \mathrm{cl}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \notin \mathrm{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cap \mathrm{cl}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$. Thus, $\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(N) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{N}$. On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$. Hence, $N=\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cap$ $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$.
Conversely, suppose that $N=\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N) \cap \operatorname{ll}_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$. Since, $\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N)$ is a neutrosophic closed set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$ is a neutrosophic closed set in $\left(X, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$, then, [by Definition 9], $\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N) \cap l_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$, so $N$ is a pairwise neutrosophic closed set.

Corollary 3.2 Let $\left(\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then,

$$
\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})=\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{\tau}_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}) \cap \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{\tau}_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}), \forall \mathrm{N} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X}) .
$$

Definition 3.5 An operator $\Psi: \mathcal{N}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ is called a neutrosophic supra closure operator if it satisfies the following conditions for all $N, M \in N(X)$.

1. $\Psi\left(0_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=0_{\mathrm{X}}$,
2. $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \Psi(\mathrm{N})$,
3. $\Psi(\mathrm{N}) \cup \Psi(\mathrm{M}) \subseteq \Psi(\mathrm{N} \cup \mathrm{M})$
4. $\Psi(\Psi(\mathrm{N}))=\Psi(\mathrm{N})$.

Theorem 3.6 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then, the operator $c_{p}^{n}: \kappa(X) \rightarrow$ $\kappa(X)$ which defined by

$$
\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{\tau}_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}) \cap \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{\tau}_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})
$$

is neutrosophic supra closure operator and it is induced, a unique neutrosophic supra topology given by $\left\{N \in N(X): c_{p}^{n}\left(N^{c}\right)=N^{c}\right\}$ which is precisely $\tau_{12}^{n}$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 3.6 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $N \in N(X)$. The pairwise neutrosophic interior of $N$, denoted by $\operatorname{int}_{p}^{n}(N)$, is the neutrosophic union of all pairwise neutrosophic open subsets of N , i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{U}\left\{\mathrm{M} \in \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{M} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}\right\}
$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$ is the biggest pairwise neutrosophic open set contained in N .

Example 3.3 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be the same as in Example 1 and
$\mathrm{M}=\{\langle\mathrm{a}, 0.3,0.4,0.2\rangle,\langle\mathrm{b}, 0.5,0.7,0.1\rangle,\langle\mathrm{c}, 0.8,0.7,0.3\rangle\}$ be a neutrosophic set over X. Then the pairwise neutrosophic open sets which containing in $M$ are $N_{3}, M_{1}, N_{3} \cup M_{1}$ and $0_{x}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M})=\mathrm{N}_{3} \cup \mathrm{M}_{1} \cup\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} \cup \mathrm{M}_{1}\right) \cup 0_{\mathrm{x}} \\
& \quad=\mathrm{N}_{3} \cup \mathrm{M}_{1} \\
& \quad=\{\langle a, 0.3,0.2,0.3\rangle,\langle b, 0.2,0.5,0.4\rangle,\langle c, 0.5,0.2,0.4\rangle\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.7 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $N, M \in N(X)$. Then,

1. $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(0_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=0_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=1_{\mathrm{X}}$,
2. $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{N}$,
3. $N$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set iff $\operatorname{int}_{p}^{n}(N)=N$,
4. $N \subseteq M \Rightarrow i n t_{p}^{n}(N) \subseteq i n t_{p}^{n}(M)$,
5. $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N} \cap \mathrm{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cap \operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{M})$,
6. $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})\right]=\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$.

Proof. Starightforward.

Theorem 3.8 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{X})$. Then, $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \in \tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$.

Proof. Starightforward.

Theorem 3.9 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. A neutrosophic set $N$ over $X$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set iff $N=\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N) \cup \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$.

Proof. Let $N$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open set. Since, $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{i}}^{n}(N) \subseteq N, i=1,2$, then $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N) U$ $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{N}$. Now, let $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$. Then, there exists $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}^{1} \in \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}^{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}$ or there exists $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}^{2} \in \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}^{2} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}$, thus $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$. Hence, $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cup$ $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}(\mathrm{~N})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{N}=\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N}) \cup \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})$.
Coversely, since $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N)$ is a neutrosophic open set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$ is a neutrosophic open set in $\left(X, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$, then, [by Definition 8], $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{n}(N) \cup \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{n}(N)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open set in ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ). Thus, N is a pairwise neutrosophic open set.

Corollary 3.3 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then,

$$
\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})=\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}) \cup \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}) .
$$

Definition 3.7 An operator I: $\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X})$ is called a neutrosophic supra interior operator if it satisfies the following conditions for all $N, M \in \mathcal{N}(X)$.

1. $\mathrm{I}\left(0_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=0_{\mathrm{X}}$,
2. $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq \mathrm{N}$,
3. $I(N \cap M) \subseteq I(N) \cap I(M)$
4. $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{N}))=\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{N})$.

Theorem 3.10 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then, the operator $\operatorname{int}_{p}^{n}: \kappa(X) \rightarrow$ $\kappa(X)$ which defined by

$$
\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})=\operatorname{int}_{\tau_{1}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N}) \cup \operatorname{int}_{\tau_{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~N})
$$

is neutrosophic supra interior operator and it is induced, a unique neutrosophic supra topology given by $\left\{N \in N(X): \operatorname{int}_{p}^{n}(N)=N\right\}$ which is precisely $\tau_{12}^{n}$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 3.11 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $N \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then,

1. $\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})=\left(\mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$.
2. $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{N})=\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$.

## Proof. Starightforward.

Definition 3.8 Let ( $X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space, $N \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ and $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then N is said to be a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$, if there exists a pairwise neutrosophic open set $U$ such that $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in U \subseteq N$. The family of pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of neutrosophic point $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$.

Theorem 3.12 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{X})$. Then N is pairwise neutrosophic open set iff N is a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of its neutrosophic points.

Proof. Let N be a pairwise neutrosophic open set and $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$. Then $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$. Therefore N is a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ for each $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$.
Conversely, suppose that N is a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of its neutrosophic points and $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$. Then there exists a pairwise neutrosophic open set $U$ such that $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$. Since

$$
\mathrm{N}=\underset{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}}{ } \cup\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{U} \underset{\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}}{ } \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{N}
$$

it follows that N is an union of pairwise neutrosophic open sets. Hence, N is a pairwise neutrosophic open set.

Proposition 3.2 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space and $\left\{\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right): \mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})\right\}$ be a system of pairwise neutrosophic neighborhoods. Then,

1. For every $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right), \mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$;
2. $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{M} \Rightarrow \mathrm{M} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$;
3. $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \Rightarrow \exists \mathrm{M} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{M} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{M} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}\right)$, for every $\mathrm{y}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}} \in \mathrm{M}$.

Proof. Proofs of 1 and 2 are straightforward.
3. Let N be a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$, then there exists a pairwise neutrosophic open set $M \in \tau_{12}^{n}$ such that $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M \subseteq N$. Since $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M \subseteq M$ can be written, then $M \in$ $N_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$. From the Theorem 12, if M is pairwise neutrosophic open set then N is a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of its neutrosophic points, i.e., $\mathrm{M} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}\right)$, for every $\mathrm{y}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}} \in$ M.

Remark 3.2 Generally, $N, M \in N_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \Rightarrow N \cap M \notin N_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$. Actually, if $N, M \in N_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$, there exist $U_{1}, U_{2} \in \tau_{12}^{n}$ such that $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in U_{1} \subseteq N$ and $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in U_{2} \subseteq M$. But $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ need not be a
pairwise neutrosophic open set. Therefore, $N \cap M$ need not be a pairwise neutrosophic neighborhood of $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$.

Theorem 3.13 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{1}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \cup \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{2}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)
$$

for each $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X})$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X})$ be any neutrosophic point and $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$. Then there exists a pairwise neutrosophic open set $M \in \tau_{12}^{n}$ such that $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M \subseteq N$. If $M \in \tau_{12}^{n}$, there exist $M_{1} \in \tau_{1}^{n}$ and $M_{2} \in \tau_{2}^{n}$ such that $M=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$. Since $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$, then $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M_{1}$ or $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M_{2}$. So, $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M_{1} \subseteq$ $M \subseteq N$ or $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M_{2} \subseteq M \subseteq N$. In this case, $N \in N_{\tau_{1}^{n}}\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ or $N \in N_{\tau_{2}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$, i.e., $N \in N_{\tau_{1}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \cup$ $\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{2}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$.
Conversely, suppose that $N \in N_{\tau_{1}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \cup \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{2}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ or $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{2}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$. Hence, there exists $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{M}_{1} \in \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{M}_{2} \in \tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{M}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{M}_{2} \subseteq$ $N$. As a result, $x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in M_{1} \cup M_{2}=M \subseteq N$ such that $M \in \tau_{12}^{n}$ i.e., $N \in N_{\tau_{12}^{n}}\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$.

Definition 3.9 An operator $v: \mathcal{N}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ is called a neutrosophic supra neighborhood operator if it satisfies the following conditions for all $N, M \in N(X)$.

1. $\forall \mathrm{N} \in v\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right), \mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{N}$;
2. $N \in v\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ and $N \subseteq M \Rightarrow M \in v\left(x_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$;
3. $\mathrm{N} \in v\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \Rightarrow \exists \mathrm{M} \in v\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{N} \subseteq \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{M} \in v\left(\mathrm{y}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}\right), \mathrm{y}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}} \in \mathrm{M}$.

Theorem 3.14 Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}\right)$ be a neutrosophic bitopological space. Then, the operator $N_{\tau_{12}}: \kappa(X) \rightarrow$ $\kappa(X)$ which defined by

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right) \cup \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)
$$

is neutrosophic supra neighboorhod operator and it is induced, a unique neutrosophic supra topology given by $\left\{\mathrm{N} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{X}): \forall \mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \in \mathrm{Nfor} \mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{N}_{\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\right)\right\}$ which is precisely $\tau_{12}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, neutrosophic bitopological spaces are presented. By defining open (closed) sets, interior, closure and neighbourhood systems, fundamentals theorems for neutrosophic bitopological spaces are proved and some examples on the subject are given. This paper is just a beginning of a new structure and we have studied a few ideas only, it will be necessary to carry out more theoretical research to establish a general framework for the practical application. In the future, using these notions, various classes of mappings on neutrosophic bitopological space, separation axioms on the neutrosophic bitopological spaces and many researchers can be studied
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