Progress in Nuclear Science and Technology Volume 6 (2019) pp. 144-147

ARTICLE

Upgrading of shielding for rare decay search in CANDLES

Kyohei Nakajima^{a*}, Temuge Batpurev^b, Wei M. Chan^b, Fumiya Dokaku^a, Kenichi Fushimi^c, Kazuki Kanagawa^b, Seiya Katagiri^b, Kohei Kawasaki^a, Bui T. Khai^b, Hidetoshi Kino^b, Enki Kinoshita^b, Tadafumi Kishimoto^{d,b}, Ryuta Hazama^c, Hirokazu Hiraoka^a, Daiki Hiyama^a, Takashi Iida^f, Masahiro Ishikawa^b, Xiaolong Li^b, Tsuyoshi Maeda^b, Kenji Matsuoka^d, Michael Moser^b, Masaharu Nomachi^d, Izumi Ogawa^a, Takaki Ohata^b, Hiroyoshi Sato^a, Kazuki Shamoto^a, Makiko Shimada^a, Masoumeh Shokati^b, Naruki Takahashi^a, Yasuhiro Takemoto^d, Yukichi Takihira^d, Yoichi Tamagawa^a, Masashi Tozawa^a, Kanau Teranishi^a, Konosuke Tetsuno^b, Van T.T. Trang^b, Masahito Tsuzuki^b, Saori Umehara^d, Wei Wang^b, Sei Yoshida^b and Naoki Yotsunaga^b

^aGraduate School of Engineering, University of Fukui, 3-9-1, Bunkyo, Fukui, Fukui 910-8507, Japan; ^bGraduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1, Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan; ^cFaculty of Integrated Arts and Science, Tokushima University, 2-24, Shinkaura-cho, Tokushima 770-8502, Japan; ^dResearch Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, 10-1, Mihogaoka, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan; ^eFaculty of Human Environment, Osaka Sangyo University, 3-1-1, Nakagaito, Daito, Osaka, 574-8530, Japan; ^fCenter for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering (CiRfSE), Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

In the CANDLES experiment aiming to search for the very rare neutrino-less double beta decays $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ using ⁴⁸Ca, we introduced a new shielding system for high energy γ -rays from neutron captures in massive materials near the detector, in addition to the background reduction for ²³²Th decays in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ target of CaF₂ crystals. The method of background reduction and the performance of newly installed shielding system are described.

Keywords: double beta decay; underground experiment; low background measurement; γ -rays from neutron captures

1. Introduction

The neutrino-less double beta decay $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ is a process beyond the standard model and has not been observed yet. The existence of $0\nu\beta\beta$ leads to three important facts; (1) The lepton number violation, which is a key of our matter dominated universe. (2) The Majorana nature of neutrinos, which indicates that particle is its own anti-particle. (3) The absolute scale of neutrino mass. A mass hierarchy of neutrinos is determined by the $0\nu\beta\beta$ observation.

In $0\nu\beta\beta$, two electrons are emitted and the $0\nu\beta\beta$ measurement tries to find the signals at sum energies of electrons corresponding to the Q value. The $0\nu\beta\beta$ is a quite rare phenomenon and low background techniques are required to observe such rare decays. Until now, only a lower limit of half-life was experimentally obtained to 10^{26} year by the KamLAND experiment using 136 Xe [1].

CANDLES (CAlcium fluoride for the study of Neutrinos and Dark matters by Low Energy Spectrometer) is a double beta decay experiment using ${}^{48}Ca$ in CaF₂ crystals [2]. The advantage of ${}^{48}Ca$ is the highest Q value among all isotope candidates (4.3 MeV), and therefore the background sources are limited in such

a high energy region. The disadvantage of 48 Ca is a low natural abundance of ~0.2%, and the enrichment technique is under development [3].

The best result thus far obtained for a lower limit of half-life using ⁴⁸Ca is 5.8×10^{22} year (90% Confidence Level (C.L.)) by the ELEGANT VI experiment [4]. It was found that reduction of γ -ray background due to neutron capture around the detector is indispensable to improve this limit (section 3). This paper describes establishing a new shield system for the purpose.

2. Detector

The CANDLES detector is located in the Kamioka underground laboratory (Gifu Pref., Japan) where the overburden is 2,700 m.w.e. (meter water equivalent). The muon flux is about 10^{-5} of the ground level, and it leads to the lower muon-related environmental radiations such as neutrons.

A schematic view of the detector is shown in **Figure 1**. We use 96 CaF₂ crystals (10^3 cm³) which contain 305 g of ⁴⁸Ca, as the scintillator detector and the $0\nu\beta\beta$ target. The scintillation light from CaF₂ crystals are observed by 62 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) with the light pipe system which has a 93% reflectivity. The CaF₂ crystals are immersed in the liquid scintillator (LS) which works as active veto, and an acrylic tank containing LS is set in

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: nkyohei@u-fukui.ac.jp

the water passive shield with an average thickness of ~ 1 m. The whole system is installed in a stainless steel tank with 3 m diameter and 4 m height.



Figure 1. A schematic view of the detector. Left: A drawing of the detector from the side view. The whole detector is installed in a cylindrical stainless steel tank. Right: A photo of 96 CaF_2 crystals which are immersed in LS.

A distinction of the experiment is a 4π active shield by LS. The time constant of the pulse shapes are ~1 µ sec and ~10 nsec for CaF₂ and LS, respectively. When external γ -rays react with LS, the observed pulse shapes are different from that of the signal (i.e. the pulse of β rays in CaF₂). By using this pulse shape difference, events which contain the LS pulse are rejected event by event by analyzing the pulse-shape data which are recorded by a 500 MHz FADC (Flash ADC) (LS cut). This active system is also useful for rejection of internal β decays in CaF₂ when emitted γ -rays deposit their energy in LS.

3. Background

3.1. Background candidates

The background sources are suppressed thanks to the high Q value of ⁴⁸Ca. There are three background candidates around 4.3 MeV. As shown in **Figure 2**, two of them are decay chain of a radioactive impurity, ²³²Th in CaF₂ crystals; (1) ²⁰⁸Tl (β decay, Q_β = 5.0 MeV), (2) Pile-up events of ²¹²Bi and ²¹²Po (Maximum energy is 5.3 MeV) due to short half-life of ²¹²Po (~300 ns). Since the time window of the pulse shape is 4 μ sec, the signals due to ²¹²Bi and ²¹²Po decays are observed as a single pulse.

The third background is high energy γ -rays from neutron captures in massive materials near the detector, called as (n,γ) background. Despite of the measurement in low neutron flux and with the combination of the LS active shield and the water shield, the (n,γ) events proved the largest background as mentioned in section 3.3.

3.2. ²⁰⁸Tl and ²¹²Bi-²¹²Po backgrounds

We employed pure CaF₂ crystals developed by choosing material powders which has a less radioactivity

before growing the crystals [2,3]. The average 232 Th activity of 96 crystals is ~29 µBq/kg and 27 of them have an activity below 10 µBq/kg.

The backgrounds related to ²³²Th-decay series can be removed through the off-line data analysis (analysis cut) [4]. Since the decay of ²¹²Bi (β decay) and ²¹²Po (α decay) are observed as a single event due to the short half-life of ²¹²Po (300 ns), such events are rejected if time differences between ²¹²Bi and ²¹²Po are longer than 20 nsec, which is a limit to distinguish the double pulse. If time differences are shorter than 20 nsec, ~95% events can be rejected by using the pulse shape difference between α particles and β particles in CaF₂ [5]. The total rejection efficiency of this "Bi-Po cut" for ²¹²Bi-²¹²Po background is about 99%.



Figure 2. A schematic diagram of the ²⁰⁸Tl and ²¹²Bi-²¹²Po backgrounds in ²³²Th decay chain.

To reject the ²⁰⁸Tl background, we apply veto time to the crystal where α particles from a parent nuclei ²¹²Bi are observed at 1.7 MeV (Figure 2). The veto time window is 12 minutes which is long enough compared to the ²⁰⁸Tl half-life, 3.1 minutes to inhibit collection of event due to the decay of ²⁰⁸Tl. The rejection efficiency of this "²⁰⁸Tl cut" is evaluated to be ~90%. In order to achieve better rejection efficiency, it is necessary to apply veto time also for crystals neighboring to that where the α -particles are observed although it causes larger dead time of the measurement time. It is because the position of the crystal is sometimes misidentified due to the interaction of successive γ -rays from daughter nucleus ²⁰⁸Tl with neighboring crystals. We call this cut as "multi crystal veto".

3.3. (n, γ) background

Even above Q value, nonnegligible amounts of events were observed. **Figure 3** shows the observed energy spectrum in the year-2013 data. Many events above Q value were observed even in the energy region from 7 to 8 MeV. The cause of these events was identified as high energy γ -rays from neutron captures in massive material around the detector such as rock and stainless steel. For example, the specific γ -ray energy from neutron captures on ⁵⁶Fe is 7.6 MeV. These γ -rays sometimes deposit almost entire energy in CaF₂ crystals and then remain as a background.

In order to confirm the origin of this background, we set a ²⁵²Cf source outside the stainless steel tank to increase the neutron flux. The observed spectrum with a ²⁵²Cf source is consistent with the data of normal run in the high energy region. The energy spectra with a

neutron source and in normal run were simulated by the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation using the code Geant4 [6]. As a result, the observed spectrum was well reproduced by considering γ -rays from neutron captures in rock and stainless steel. The (n, γ) background was estimated to be 76 ± 9 (statistical.) events/year/96crystals, which is beyond acceptable level.



Figure 3. The observed energy spectrum in the year-2013 data. The black line shows the spectrum without analysis cuts, and the red line shows the one with all analysis cuts ($0\nu\beta\beta$ cut). We can see some events above $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (4.3 MeV). These events were identified as (n,γ) background.

4. Shield for (n,γ) background

4.1. Shield design

Since the (n,γ) events proved to be the most serious background in CANDLES, we installed an additional shield in the detector. The goal of the (n,γ) background rate is about 1 events/year/96crystals, which is ~1/80 of the event rate in **Figure 3**. A shield design was optimized by Geant4 simulation. A schematic view of the design is shown in **Figure 4**.



Figure 4. A schematic view of the additional passive shield for the (n,γ) background reduction. The blue and red parts show Pb shield and B-containing rubber sheet, respectively.

We installed Pb shields for γ -rays from neutron captures in rocks, and Si rubber sheets including 40 wt% of B₄C (6.2 wt% of ¹⁰B) inside and outside the detector to reduce thermal neutron captures in stainless steel tank. The typical Pb thickness is about 10 cm to reduce γ -rays with several MeV to ~1/100. Considering the weight limit on the top of the detector, Pb thickness in the top was suppressed to 7 cm, but Pb thickness was increased to 12 cm in the center of the detector side, where thickness of the passive water shield in the detector is thinnest. In the bottom, Pb shield was set inside the detector, so as to avoid significant modification of the system.

By installing the shield, the (n,γ) background rate was expected to be ~ 0.7(±50%) events/year/96crystals from the MC simulation. The breakdown of (n,γ) background from rocks and tank is 0.34±0.14 and 0.4±0.2 events/year/96crystals, respectively.

4.2. Shield construction

The shield construction started in March 2015. The status of the construction is shown in **Figure 5**. Firstly, we started to set Pb shields from the outside of the detector. The bottom Pb blocks were set inside of the tank. They were covered by the liquefied B shield to block Pb dissolution to the water.

The B_4C containing rubber sheets was set after the Pb construction. They were supported by the punched metal outside and the aluminum frame inside. After setting inner sheets, we poured the liquefied B shield in the bottom of the tank. They were solidified in 1 day.

Finally, we closed the detector after installing Pb shield on the top. The shield construction finished in March 2016 and then we started the data taking. We checked B and Pb elution into water periodically, and no elution has been observed yet.



Figure 5. Photos taken in the shield construction. (a) Pb shield outside the tank. (b) Pb shield in the bottom of the tank. (c) B sheet set inside the tank. (d) Overview of the shield.

5. Results

5.1 Energy spectrum after shielding

The energy spectrum after (n,γ) shield setup is shown in **Figure 6**. In this case, the LS cut and the ²⁰⁸Tl cut are not applied to emphasize counting statistics in the high energy region. The red and green histograms show the spectrum before (n,γ) shield setup, and the blue histogram shows the spectrum after setup. All spectra are normalized to the event rate in 56.9 days.

The peaks at 1.46 MeV and at 2.6 MeV caused by environmental γ -rays from ⁴⁰K and ²⁰⁸Tl, respectively, are reduced by about one order of magnitude by the additional shield. This would help to reduce the dead time when we apply the ²⁰⁸Tl veto. Events in 3 to 4 MeV region were reduced by replacing the O-ring and Al sleeve near the CaF₂ crystals with ones having less ²³²Th activity.

The events above 5 MeV ((n,γ) background) were clearly reduced by (n,γ) shield setup. The reduction rate is an order of 10⁻² on average, and consistent with the design value in the MC simulation.



Figure 6. The observed energy spectrum without LS cut and 208 Tl cut before and after the (n, γ) shield setup. The red and green histograms show the spectra before setup, and the blue histogram shows the spectrum after setup. All spectra were normalized to the event rate in 56.9 days.

5.2 Sensitivity of CANDLES after (n, y) shielding setup

Then, the analysis cuts for $0\nu\beta\beta$ selection were applied to simulate realistic situation. The analysis was performed for all crystals or pure crystals whose ²³²Th activity were below 10 μ Bq/kg. The energy spectrum for 27 pure crystals is shown in **Figure 7**. A summary of the analysis result is shown in **Table 1**.

As a conclusion, no events were observed in the region of interest ($Q_{\beta\beta-1\sigma}^{+2\sigma}$; 4170-4480 keV) during the measurement for 21.5 days. From the number of expected backgrounds and the signal efficiency, the sensitivity of the present CANDLES for $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life is calculated to be 0.9×10^{23} year and 0.5×10^{23} year for all crystals and 27 crystals, respectively. Comparing with the best half-life limit using ⁴⁸Ca so far (0.6×10^{23} year), it will be possible to obtain the better result in a 1 year measurement.



Figure 7. The energy spectrum after (n,γ) shield setup in the 21.5 days live-time measurement by applying various analysis cuts, i.e. the Bi-Po cut, the LS cut, the ²⁰⁸Tl veto, and the multi crystal veto that are described in section 3.2, 2, 3.3, 3.3, respectively. The green histogram shows the spectrum obtained with all analysis cuts. There are no events in the region of interest ($Q_{\beta\beta-1\sigma}^{+2\sigma}$; 4170-4480 keV).

Table 1. Results of with the $0\nu\beta\beta$ analysis after shield setup.

Crystal selection	All crystals	27 crystals
Number of events	0	0
Expected ²⁰⁸ Tl BG	1.4	0.14
Expected (n, γ) BG	0.04	0.01
Signal efficiency	0.30	0.30
Sensitivity in 1 year	0.9×10^{23} year	0.5×10^{23} year

6. Conclusion

CANDLES is designed for the study of double beta decay with ⁴⁸Ca. The main background sources are ²⁰⁸Tl decay in CaF₂ crystal and high energy γ -rays from neutron captures in surrounding rocks and stainless steel. The latter (n, γ) events were found to be the largest background, and we installed an additional passive shield consisting of Pb and B₄C rubber sheets. We confirmed that the reduction rate of the (n, γ) background was about 10⁻². No events were observed in the region of interest in 21.5 days measurement. The expected sensitivity in 1 year is 0.9×10^{23} year, which is the best result among the 0v $\beta\beta$ measurements with ⁴⁸Ca.

References

- [1] A. Gando et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (2016), 082503.
- [2] T. Kishimoto et al., Proc. of 4th Workshop on Neutrino Oscillations and Their Origins, (2003), p.338.
- [3] T. Kishimoto et al., Prog. of Theor. Phys. (2015), 033D03.
- [4] S. Umehara et al., Phys. Rev. C 78 (2008), 058501.
- [5] S. Umehara et al., *Physics Procedia* 61 (2015), pp.283-288.
- [6] S. Agostinelli et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 506 (2006), pp.250-303.