| 1 | Title |
|---|-------|
| - | |

- 2 Safe prognostication following cardiac arrest: the role of the pharmacokinetics of
- 3 fentanyl in patients treated with targeted-temperature management
- 4

5 Authors

- ⁶ ¹Baldwin F, ¹Gray R, ¹Boyd O, ²Waxman D, ³Patel B, ³Allen M, ³Scutt G
- 7

8 Affiliations

- 9 1. Department of Intensive Care, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS
- 10 Trust, Brighton, UK
- 11 2. Centre for Computational Systems Biology, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
- 12 3. School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Brighton, Brighton,
- 13 UK
- 14
- 15 Conflicts of interest
- 16 None
- 17

18 Corresponding author

19 fiona.baldwin7@nhs.net 0000-0002-8543-3248

20 Keywords

- 21 Fentanyl
- 22 Hypothermia
- 23 Prognostication following cardiac arrest
- 24
- 25

26 Abstract

27 Background

- 28 Neurological prognostication following cardiac arrest (CA) is complex and sedative
- 29 agents may significantly impair responses to clinical examination. This study
- 30 investigates the elimination of fentanyl in patients treated with targeted
- 31 temperature management (TTM).

32

33 Methods

34 We measured the blood concentration of fentanyl in 23 post-cardiac arrest patients

35 treated with TTM following discontinuation of continuous infusion. Fentanyl was

36 discontinued when the patients were rewarmed to a temperature of 36-36.5°C and a

- 37 blood sample taken 12 h later. Measured concentrations were compared with
- 38 predicted concentrations using population pharmacokinetic parameters. Variables
- 39 likely to prolong half-life were analysed using a multivariate regression model.

40

41 Results

42 We found a statistically significant difference between median measured and

43 predicted concentrations (measured 0.93 μg/L [range 0.11-8.29 μg/L] vs. predicted

44 0.30 μg/L [range 0.16-0.59 μg/L]; p<0.05). Univariate analysis identified a significant

- 45 relationship between estimated fentanyl half-life and serum lactate concentrations
- 46 (r=0.45, p<0.05. Multivariate linear regression identified two variables (SAPS score,
- 47 and genotype), which together were able to explain approximately 30% of the
- 48 variation in the population (adjusted R^2 =0.3177, p=0.0194). No significant

| 49 | relationships were | found between | fentanyl half-life | and patients' clinical c |
|----|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | , | |

50 biochemical variables or co-administration of drugs metabolised by cytochrome51 p450.

52

53 Conclusions

There is marked variation in the clearance of fentanyl following continuous infusion during TTM after CA which correlates with illness severity, lactate concentration and genetic polymorphisms of the cytochrome p450 liver enzymes. Sustained presence of fentanyl may influence response to neurological examination at 12 hours post

58 discontinuation in patients receiving the drug as an infusion as part of TTM.

59 Introduction

| 60 | Neurological prognostication following cardiac arrest (CA) is complex, and sedative |
|----|--|
| 61 | agents may significantly impair responses elicited during clinical examination as part |
| 62 | of multifactor assessment of patients [1]. The European Resuscitation Council |
| 63 | recommends waiting 12 hours from stopping sedative infusions before |
| 64 | prognostication, accepting the limited evidence for this recommendation [1]. In |
| 65 | patients who have received sedatives less than 12 hours before neurological |
| 66 | assessment the reliability of clinical examination may be reduced, but a 12 hour |
| 67 | delay in prognostication may be insufficient in patients treated with targeted- |
| 68 | temperature management (TTM) [2, 3]. Whilst the recommendation is to wait for |
| 69 | longer than 12 hours before prognostication following TTM, the pharmacokinetics |
| 70 | and potential accumulation of drugs infused during this period is unknown and as |

71 the infusions may be continued into the normothermic period, of clinical

72 significance.

| 73 | There is a recognised subset of patients with delayed awakening and good outcome |
|----|--|
| 74 | following CA who may have unfavourable neurological signs at 48 hours following |
| 75 | discontinuation of TTM and sedation [3-5]. Both animal and human research |
| 76 | demonstrates that hypothermia delays the clearance of opioid drugs [6-10]. The |
| 77 | clearance of fentanyl is significantly lower in hypothermic patients, being reduced by |
| 78 | as much as 45% in some cases [7, 11]. There is evidence that concomitant |
| 79 | administration of CYP3A substrates/inhibitor drugs commonly used on the ICU also |
| 80 | reduces metabolism of fentanyl [12]. Polymorphisms in the gene coding for CYP3A5 |
| 81 | liver enzymes can also potentially influence fentanyl plasma levels [13]. It is not |
| 82 | known how these multiple factors may influence the elimination of fentanyl in |
| 83 | patients treated with TTM after a CA. |
| 84 | We hypothesised that in patients receiving TTM following CA, concentrations of |
| 85 | fentanyl will be significantly higher than would be expected based upon their dose |
| 86 | rate, and population estimates of volume of distribution (V) and half-life ($t_{1/2}$) under |
| 87 | normal conditions (i.e. the absence of TTM). A secondary consideration is that there |
| 88 | may be certain clinical factors, including organ perfusion, genotype, and patient |
| 89 | characteristics that can be used to predict patients with residual plasma fentanyl at |
| 90 | 12 hours post-infusion. |

91

92 Materials and methods

93 The Health Research Authority approved our protocol in June 2016 (IRAS 178665).94

95 Participants

- 96 Adult patients admitted to the ICU following CA and treated with our institutional
- 97 protocol for TTM from January 2017 to May 2018 were eligible for the study.
- 98 Patients were screened daily and considered for enrolment if they received a
- 99 fentanyl infusion as part of on-going post resuscitation care. Patients were recruited
- 100 to the study if it was predicted that their clinical condition meant it was likely the
- 101 fentanyl infusion could be stopped following rewarming. Assent was obtained from
- 102 the patients' next of kin or legal surrogate. Exclusion criteria were: patients whose
- 103 advocates refused assent, patients requiring on-going sedative infusions for
- 104 analgesia or agitation, pregnant women or patients in whom sedatives were not
- 105 stopped because they are not expected to survive.
- 106 As this was an observational study, fentanyl and all other medications were
- 107 administered solely at the discretion of the treating physician.
- 108 Targeted temperature management
- 109 TTM followed our institution's protocol. Patients suffering an out of hospital CA with
- 110 a primary rhythm of ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia were
- 111 cooled at the discretion of the attending team if they had a Glasgow Coma Score <7,
- 112 and there were no contraindications such as cardiovascular instability. Patients were
- 113 cooled to 33-36°C using external cooling or the Thermogard XP system (Zoll Medical
- 114 Corporation) for a period of between 12 and 24 hours. They were then slowly

rewarmed over 2-4 hours. At this point the fentanyl infusion was discontinued, andthe total dose infused recorded.

117 Fentanyl assay

118 A single blood sample was collected in an EDTA tube at 12 hours from

119 discontinuation of the fentanyl infusion and immediately transferred for temporary

120 storage in a fridge at 4 °C (less than 5 days). It was then stored at -80 °C for up to

121 one month. Previous studies have confirmed stability of fentanyl at 4°C for up to 3

122 months [14]. Analysis of the blood levels of fentanyl was conducted within one

123 month of sample collection using a commercial ELISA kit (Neogen Corporation). For

124 the assay of fentanyl, 0.5 ml of the blood sample was diluted 1:50 and 1:10 and

125 measured.

126 *Genotyping*

127 DNA was extracted from thawed whole blood samples using DNeasy extraction

128 columns (Qiagen). The CYP3A4 and ABCB1 genes were amplified by polymerase

129 chain reaction (PCR) with HotstarTaq polymerase (Qaigen) using standard primers

130 (supplementary appendix). PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation step

131 at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of the denaturation step at 95°C, then annealing at

132 56°C, then finally extension at 72°C. PCR products were then sequenced by Sangar

133 sequencing (Source Bioscience), and electropherograms visually inspected to

134 determine genotype (supplementary appendix).

135 Demographic and Clinical Variables

Demographic and clinical variables were collected including age, gender, body mass
index (BMI), and Illness severity as calculated by SAPS II score. Renal function was
assessed by calculated creatinine clearance on admission using the Cockcroft and
Gault formula [15]. Ischaemic hepatitis was crudely assessed using baseline Alanine
Aminotransferase (ALT) and lactate. The co-administration of CYP3A
substrates/inhibitor drugs was also recorded. *Sample size*

144

145 Reports in the literature suggest the minimum effective concentration of fentanyl for

146 analgesia in critical care patients is between 1 and 3 μ g/L [16]. Our hypothesis is

147 therefore that: twelve hours following cessation of a fentanyl infusion in TTM

148 treated patients the plasma concentration of fentanyl (C_{t12}) will be above 1 µg/L, i.e.

149 within the therapeutic range. In order to determine the sample size, we assumed

150 that a value around 1 μ g/L was still therapeutic. Therefore a value of 0.6 μ g/L was

adopted and considered to be outside the therapeutic range. This requires a sample

152 size of 23, and provides a power of 95%, with alpha set at 5% when tested against a

153 sample mean of 1 μ g/L with a standard deviation of 0.5 μ g/L (Cohens's *d*, 0.7,

154 supplementary appendix).

156 Statistical analysis

| 157 | Statistical tests (Student's one-sample t-test, Wilcoxon Signed Rank test, simple |
|-----|--|
| 158 | correlations, and Friedman tests) were performed in GraphPad Prism v6.0 (GraphPad |
| 159 | Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com). Data plots were also |
| 160 | compiled in Graphpad Prism. A generalised multiple regression model was built in R |
| 161 | v3.5.1 (R Core team, 2018), using a minimum effective model approach to establish |
| 162 | the simplest, statistically significant model. Data are presented as mean \pm standard |
| 163 | deviation unless otherwise stated. |
| | |

164

165 Pharmacokinetic calculations

- 166 Two predicted plasma concentrations at 12 hours post-infusion (C_{t12}) were 167 calculated for each patient using Equation 1, where *R* is the dose rate of fentanyl, $t_{1/2}$ 168 is the half-life, *V* is the volume of distribution, and *t* is the time since the infusion
- 169 stopped (i.e. 12 hr).

170

171

$$C_{t12} = \frac{Rt_{1/2}}{0.693 \times V} e^{-\frac{0.693}{t_{1/2}}t}$$

172

173 **Equation 1.**

Equation 1 describes a single exponential process, where in fact fentanyl can be
described in terms of a two-compartment model with an alpha-distribution phase.
However, by 12 h (our plasma sampling time), full distribution can be assumed. At
that point, fentanyl elimination kinetics takes the appropriate form of a single
exponential.

180

181 The first predicted plasma concentrations at 12 h post-infusion were calculated 182 using values for $t_{1/2}$ and V obtained from population pharmacokinetic studies on 183 healthy volunteers (where $t_{1/2}$ = 4.35 ± 1.18 h and V = 4.07 L/kg) [17]. The second 184 predicted C_{t12} was calculated using values for $t_{1/2}$ and V obtained from a published 185 pharmacokinetic investigating fentanyl kinetics in critically ill patients [18]. 186 Differences between the actual C_{t12} values obtained from our patient sample, and 187 the two predicted values using population kinetics were tested using a Friedman test 188 with post-hoc analysis. 189 190 Using the single plasma sample taken at 12 hr, we also estimated the $t_{1/2}$ for each 191 patient according to the methodology outlined by Scutt et al. [19], assuming that 192 steady state fentanyl concentrations had not been reached. Briefly, the elimination 193 rate constant for each patient was estimated using the single plasma fentanyl 194 concentration obtained at 12 hrs, along with the known rate of fentanyl 195 administration, combined with a population estimate of the V for fentanyl in critical 196 care patients [18]. The elimination rate constant was converted to half-life by 197 dividing it by the natural logarithm of 2 (approximate value 0.693).

- 198 Results

200 Recruitment and patient demographics

| 202 | Seventy-two patients were initially considered for recruitment. 23 of these were |
|-----|---|
| 203 | excluded, as they did not receive TTM. 25 patients were withdrawn from the study |
| 204 | as their clinical condition prevented discontinuation of fentanyl on rewarming. One |
| 205 | patient had a blood sample taken for fentanyl analysis at an incorrect time. Twenty- |
| 206 | three patients completed the study, two of which were re-warmed prior to fentanyl |
| 207 | being stopped (Figure 1). All patients were sedated with propofol, which was |
| 208 | stopped with fentanyl in all but 2 of the patients (median cumulative dose 4660 mg |
| 209 | (range 2590-10920mg)). |
| 210 | |
| 211 | The median age of patients who completed the study was 66.0 years, with the |
| 212 | majority of subjects being male (22/23); Table 1. The two patients who were |
| 213 | rewarmed prior to the fentanyl infusion being stopped were younger (67.0 [24.0- |
| 214 | 89.0] vs. 50.5 [36.0-65.0]), and had better renal function than the rest of the study |
| 215 | sample (CrCl=64.67 \pm 24.86 vs. 91.5 \pm 20.5 mLs/min; Table 2). They were also |
| 216 | administered more fentanyl, over a longer duration (Table 2). |
| 217 | |
| 218 | |
| 219 | |
| 220 | |

| 224 | The mean and median measured C_{t12} values for fentanyl were 1.83 ±1.99 µg/L and |
|-----|---|
| 225 | 0.93 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (range 0.11-8.29 $\mu\text{g/L}$) respectively. There was no direct correlation |
| 226 | between total dose of fentanyl and blood concentration level at 12 hours (Pearson's |
| 227 | r = 0.0027, p>0.05). Measured C_{t12} values for fentanyl were significantly different |
| 228 | from a hypothetical value 0f 0.6 μ g/L (p<0.05, Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test). Based on |
| 229 | the fentanyl doses administered and population averages for V and $t_{1/2}$ in healthy, |
| 230 | and critically ill patients, we were able to predict C_{t12} fentanyl plasma for individuals |
| 231 | in our sample [17, 18] and compare these to the measured concentrations. We |
| 232 | found a statistically significant difference between our measured concentrations and |
| 233 | predicted concentrations at 12 h (using population pharmacokinetic values for V and |
| 234 | $t_{1/2}$ from healthy patients). However, we did not find a significant difference |
| 235 | between our median measured concentration and median predicted concentrations |
| 236 | at 12 h when using population pharmacokinetic values based on critically ill patients |
| 237 | (0.82 [0.07-8.29] vs. 0.30 [0.16-0.59] vs. 1.20 [0.75-2.10] in sample population, |
| 238 | healthy, and critically ill populations respectively; p<0.0001 sample population vs. |
| 239 | healthy population, Friedman test with Dunn's post hoc multiple comparisons; |
| 240 | Figure 2A). The spread of measured C_{t12} values was over a larger range than our |
| 241 | predicted C_{t12} values in critically ill patients. When comparing the cumulative |
| 242 | distribution of C_{t12} of these two datasets (measured and predicted) we find a |
| 243 | significant difference (p<0.01, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) suggesting different |
| 244 | distributions. |

246 By applying the mathematical method outlined by Scutt et al. [19], we estimated the 247 half-life of fentanyl for each participant from the single plasma concentration 248 obtained at 12 hours, and their individual fentanyl dose administration rates, and 249 infusion durations. In 1/23 patients, the estimated $t_{1/2}$ was approximately 5 times 250 the standard deviation of the population and was identified as an outlier by 251 application of Grubb's method (alpha=0.0001) and excluded from the subsequent 252 analysis. This patient was an opioid user. Most of the estimated fentanyl half-lives in 253 the remaining patients (18/22) were greater than the half-life for fentanyl quoted in 254 the literature for healthy individuals [17]. In most patients (15/22), based on their 255 prolonged estimated half-life, it would have taken more than 24 h for the fentanyl 256 concentration to have declined by 95% (i.e. 4.3 x $t_{1/2}$) and be considered to have 257 been cleared from the body. In five patients, based on the large estimated half-life, 258 it would take in excess of 48 h to clear fentanyl from the plasma (i.e. decline to 95 % 259 of its initial value). Figure 2B shows calculated half-life of fentanyl and clearance for 260 individual patients.

261

262 Predictors of prolonged half-life

263

Univariate analysis identified a significant relationship between estimated fentanyl
half-life and serum lactate concentrations (Pearson's r=0.45, p<0.05; Figure 3A). We
were unable to find a relationship between estimated fentanyl half-life and any
other clinical or biochemical predictor, including CKD categories using KDIGO scoring
[20]. Multivariate linear regression identified two variables (SAPS score, and
genotype) which together were able to explain approximately 30% of the variation in

- 270 the population (adjusted R^2 =0.3177, p=0.0194). Predictor variables included in the
- 271 model are outlined in Table 1 (indicated with an *). It should be noted, that the half-
- 272 life for fentanyl in the two patients who were rewarmed was significantly shorter
- than patients who remained cooled through the period of fentanyl administration
- 274 (p<0.01 Figure 3C).

276 **Discussion**

277

278 Pharmacokinetics of Fentanyl

279

| 280 | The American College of Critical Care Medicine practice guidelines consider fentanyl |
|-----|---|
| 281 | by infusion in ICU patients to have a context-sensitive half-life of 300 minutes after |
| 282 | 12 hours [21]. During prolonged infusion there is an increased volume of distribution |
| 283 | presumably due to equilibration between plasma and deep tissues [22], which may |
| 284 | also effect the alpha-distribution phase. Patients may have a terminal half-life of |
| 285 | fentanyl of greater than 12 hours even with normal organ function if they received a |
| 286 | continuous infusion over a number of days [23]. Other authors have demonstrated |
| 287 | wide variability in fentanyl concentrations in ICU patients receiving routine infusions |
| 288 | [24]. The wide variation in fentanyl concentrations we have found is not therefore |
| 289 | unexpected in this population group. |
| | |

290

291 Factors known to influence fentanyl clearance

292

There is limited data in the ICU population on the pharmacokinetics of fentanyl, the largest study is from Choi et al., who studied fentanyl pharmacokinetics in 337 critically ill patients as part of the BRAIN-ICU study [18]. They concluded that fentanyl clearance was reduced in patients with severe liver disease, congestive heart failure, and most markedly those with a lower BMI. As fentanyl is extensively (99%) metabolized via CYP3A4 to norfentanyl, which is an inactive metabolite, it is generally considered safe in renal impairment [25, 26]. Choi found no association

| 300 | between chronic kidney disease, age, illness severity, CYP3A inhibitor/inducer use |
|-----|---|
| 301 | and fentanyl clearance [18]. Our data, although with much smaller numbers, |
| 302 | demonstrates a potential association with SAPS score and CYP3A4 polymorphism |
| 303 | status and lactate levels |
| 304 | |
| 305 | Delayed Awakening post CA |
| 306 | |
| 307 | The role of sedation in delayed awakening is speculative, but analysis of awakening |
| 308 | in the TTM trial demonstrated that although 496 patients had registered the day of |
| 309 | awakening in the ICU, another 43 awoke after ICU discharge; so late awakening is |
| 310 | common enough to merit further investigation [27]. Lybeck et al also concluded that |
| 311 | time to awakening was longer in patients cooled to 33 degrees compared with 36, |
| 312 | but this difference could not be attributed to differences in <i>dosing</i> of sedative drugs |
| 313 | administered during the first 48h. The known reduction in drug metabolism with |
| 314 | hypothermia may have a role in this context. |
| 315 | Hypothermia may decrease hepatic enzyme function, decreasing drug metabolism |
| 316 | for hepatically cleared drugs and that this may influence the pharmacokinetics of |
| 317 | fentanyl in the critically ill [28]. |
| 318 | The addition of mild to moderate hypothermia decreases the clearance of |
| 319 | cytochrome P450 metabolized drugs between 7% and 22% per degree Celsius below |
| 320 | 37°C during cooling. [29]. |
| 321 | |
| 322 | |
| 323 | Study strengths and limitations |

| 325 | The questions addressed in this study are clinically important, as neurological |
|-----|---|
| 326 | prognostication in CA patients is vital for determining subsequent care and |
| 327 | treatment targets. We specifically sampled the patients at the time recommended it |
| 328 | was safe to prognosticate in current recommendations. We have demonstrated that |
| 329 | a substantial proportion of patients (68%) would not have cleared fentanyl from |
| 330 | their plasma by 24 hours, and may still have fentanyl levels in the analgesic range. To |
| 331 | our knowledge, this is the first study looking at blood fentanyl concentrations after |
| 332 | discontinuation of fentanyl infusion in patients treated with hypothermia. |
| 333 | |
| 334 | The obvious limitation of our study is that we did not use a matched control group of |
| 335 | normothermic critically ill patients receiving fentanyl infusions for comparison. |
| 336 | However, the study was already logistically challenging and attempts to find a |
| 337 | matched group of patients would have made it extremely difficult to complete. |
| 338 | We also noted a move towards maintaining hypothermia at 36 °C rather than at |
| 339 | lower temperatures as a result of the TTM trial [5]. This may have meant that any |
| 340 | effects on fentanyl kinetics were less marked than expected compared with more |
| 341 | profound levels of hypothermia. Recent work by Lascarrou et al may provoke a |
| 342 | change practice back to lower targeted temperatures making this more relevant |
| 343 | [30]. It took longer to complete the study than anticipated due to a combination of |
| 344 | continuation of sedation due to concerns around post-anoxic seizure activity after |
| 345 | rewarming and an exacerbation of a cultural shift away from managing patients with |
| 346 | TTM [31, 32]. |
| | |

Although we aimed to stop sedation at exactly 36°C, this proved challenging as the sedation was weaned over the course of the 1-2 hours, which lead to some of the patients having higher recorded temperatures at the point at which the fentanyl was stopped. We excluded several patients in whom the sedation had been continued greater than 2 hours after patients had been rewarmed to 36.5°C. This in itself inadvertently yielded some interesting data.

354

355 Alternative analgesics

356

357 Concerns around delayed awakening have led to suggestions that moderate rather 358 than deep sedation may be beneficial in patients post TTM. In one review of 166 359 patients, the median time to following commands was 3 hours after rewarming [33]. 360 Paul et al recently reported that sedation with propofol-remifentanil was associated 361 with significantly earlier awakening, compared with midazolam-fentanyl [34], but a 362 greater proportion of patients will require cardiovascular support if sedated with this 363 method [35]. However, surveys looking at use of analgesics in cardiac arrest patients 364 suggest fentanyl is still the most commonly used opioid [36]. 365

366 Conclusion

367 There is marked variation in the clearance of fentanyl by continuous infusion

368 following TTM after CA. This correlates with illness severity, lactate concentration

- 369 and genetic polymorphisms of the cytochrome p450 liver enzymes but not age, BMI,
- 370 renal dysfunction or drug administration.. Great care should be taken when
- 371 assessing neurological function in patients sedated with fentanyl and receiving TTM

- 372 as the half-life of fentanyl might be increased to a clinically relevant degree. Further
- 373 work needs to be undertaken to investigate other sedative regimens.
- 374
- 375 Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants
- 376 were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national
- 377 research committee (Health Research Authority IRAS 178665) and with the 1964
- 378 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

379 Acknowledgements

- 380 We are grateful for the contributions of Carl Egan and Laura Ortiz-Ruiz De Gordoa for 381 assisting with data collection for this study.
- 382 Funding
- 383 The study was funded by NHS Blood and Transplant from a grant provided by the
- Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust Organ Donation Committee.
- 385
- 386
- 387
- 388

389 References

| 391 | 1. | Sandroni, C., et al., <i>Prognostication in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest:</i> |
|-----|-----|---|
| 392 | | an advisory statement from the European Resuscitation Council and the |
| 393 | | <i>European Society of Intensive Care Medicine.</i> 2014. 40 (12): p. 1816-1831. |
| 394 | 2. | Samaniego, E.A., et al., Sedation confounds outcome prediction in cardiac |
| 395 | | arrest survivors treated with hypothermia. 2011. 15 (1): p. 113-119. |
| 396 | 3. | Nielsen, N., et al., Targeted temperature management at 33 C versus 36 C |
| 397 | | after cardiac arrest. 2013. 369 (23): p. 2197-2206. |
| 398 | 4. | Paul, M., et al., Delayed awakening after cardiac arrest: prevalence and risk |
| 399 | | factors in the Parisian registry. Intensive Care Med, 2016. 42(7): p. 1128- |
| 400 | | 36. |
| 401 | 5. | Nielsen, N., et al., <i>Targeted temperature management at 33°C versus 36°C</i> |
| 402 | | <i>after cardiac arrest.</i> N Engl J Med, 2013. 369 (23): p. 2197-206. |
| 403 | 6. | Fritz, H.G., et al., The effect of mild hypothermia on plasma fentanyl |
| 404 | | concentration and biotransformation in juvenile pigs. 2005. 100 (4): p. 996- |
| 405 | | 1002. |
| 406 | 7. | Bjelland, T.W., et al., <i>Effects of hypothermia on the disposition of morphine</i> , |
| 407 | | midazolam, fentanyl, and propofol in intensive care unit patients. Drug |
| 408 | | Metab Dispos, 2013. 41 (1): p. 214-23. |
| 409 | 8. | Empey, P.E., et al., Mild hypothermia decreases fentanyl and midazolam |
| 410 | | steady-state clearance in a rat model of cardiac arrest. 2012. 40 (4): p. |
| 411 | | 1221. |
| 412 | 9. | Statler, K.D., et al., Moderate hypothermia may be detrimental after |
| 413 | | traumatic brain injury in fentanyl-anesthetized rats. 2003. 31 (4): p. 1134- |
| 414 | | 1139. |
| 415 | 10. | Koren, G., et al., The influence of hypothermia on the disposition of |
| 416 | | fentanyl—Human and animal studies. 1987. 32 (4): p. 373-376. |
| 417 | 11. | Bjelland, T., et al., Concentrations of remifentanil, propofol, fentanyl, and |
| 418 | | midazolam during rewarming from therapeutic hypothermia. 2014. 58 (6): |
| 419 | | p. 709-715. |
| 420 | 12. | Armstrong, S.C., G.H. Wynn, and N.B. Sandson, <i>Pharmacokinetic drug</i> |
| 421 | | interactions of synthetic opiate analgesics. Psychosomatics, 2009. 50 (2): p. |
| 422 | | 169-76. |
| 423 | 13. | Skrobik, Y., et al., Factors predisposing to coma and delirium: fentanyl and |
| 424 | | midazolam exposure; CYP3A5, ABCB1, and ABCG2 genetic polymorphisms; |
| 425 | | and inflammatory factors. 2013. 41 (4): p. 999-1008. |
| 426 | 14. | Concheiro, M., et al., Postmortem Toxicology of New Synthetic Opioids. |
| 427 | | Front Pharmacol, 2018. 9 : p. 1210. |
| 428 | 15. | Cockcroft, D.W. and M.H. Gault, Prediction of creatinine clearance from |
| 429 | | <i>serum creatinine</i> , Nephron, 1976, 16 (1); p. 31-41. |
| 430 | 16. | Peng. P.W. and A.N. Sandler. A review of the use of fentanyl analaesia in the |
| 431 | | management of acute pain in adults. Anesthesiology. 1999. 90 (2): n. 576- |
| 432 | | 99. |
| 433 | 17. | McClain, D.A. and C.C. Hug, Intravenous fentanyl kinetics. Clin Pharmacol |
| 434 | | Ther, 1980. 28 (1): p. 106-14. |
| | | |

| 435 | 18. | Choi, L., et al., Population pharmacokinetics of fentanyl in the critically ill. |
|-----|-----|---|
| 436 | | 2016. 44 (1): p. 64. |
| 437 | 19. | Scutt, G., M. Allen, and D. Waxman, <i>Estimating a drug's elimination rate-</i> |
| 438 | | constant or half-life from a single blood sample: A practical approach with |
| 439 | | particular benefits for critically ill/vulnerable patients. Biosystems, 2019: |
| 440 | | p. 103996. |
| 441 | 20. | Levey, A.S., et al., Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: a |
| 442 | | position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes |
| 443 | | <i>(KDIGO).</i> Kidney Int, 2005. 67 (6): p. 2089-100. |
| 444 | 21. | Barr, J., et al., Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, |
| 445 | | agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. 2013. |
| 446 | | 41 (1): p. 263-306. |
| 447 | 22. | Erstad, B.L., et al., <i>Pain management principles in the critically ill.</i> 2009. |
| 448 | | 135 (4): p. 1075-1086. |
| 449 | 23. | Shafer, A., et al., Use of a fentanyl infusion in the intensive care unit: |
| 450 | | <i>Tolerance to its anesthetic effects?</i> 1983. 59 (3): p. 245-248. |
| 451 | 24. | Lennborn, U., et al., Intox-a prospective measurement of blood |
| 452 | | concentrations of routine drugs in patients treated in the intensive care |
| 453 | | <i>unit.</i> 2015. 3 (1): p. A496. |
| 454 | 25. | Gelot, S. and E.J.U.P. Nakhla, <i>Opioid dosing in renal and hepatic impairment</i> . |
| 455 | | 2014. 39 (8): p. 34-38. |
| 456 | 26. | Koehntop, D.E., J.H.J.P.T.J.o.H.P. Rodman, and D. Therapy, <i>Fentanyl</i> |
| 457 | | pharmacokinetics in patients undergoing renal transplantation. 1997. |
| 458 | ~- | 17 (4): p. 746-752. |
| 459 | 27. | Lybeck, A., et al., Time to awakening after cardiac arrest and the |
| 460 | | association with target temperature management. Resuscitation, 2018. |
| 461 | | 126 : p. 166-171. |
| 462 | 28. | Droege, C.A. and E.W.J.C.c.m. Mueller, <i>Fentanyl Pharmacokinetics in</i> |
| 463 | | Critically III Patients: A Demonstration of Mixed Effects. 2016. 44(1): p. |
| 464 | 20 | 240-242. Terterici MA DM Kechenels and SMLC are Delevere Effects of |
| 465 | 29. | I OFTOFICI, M.A., P.M. KOCHANEK, AND S.M.J.C.C.M. POIOYAC, Effects of |
| 400 | | nypotnermia on arug alsposition, metabolism, and response: a jocus of |
| 40/ | | nypotnermia-mediated alterations on the cytochrome P450 enzyme system. |
| 408 | 20 | 2007. 35(9): p. 2190-2204. |
| 409 | 50. | Lascallou, J.D., et al., Turgeteu Temperuture Management for Caratac |
| 470 | 21 | Prov L et al. The current temperature A survey of post resuscitation |
| 4/1 | 51. | management across Australian and New Zealand intensive care units 2018 |
| 472 | | $120 \cdot p = 0.122 \cdot 0.124$ |
| 473 | 32 | 130 . p. e123-e124. Millotto B N Fooly and OIR Ali Decreased use of active temperature |
| 474 | 52. | minetic, D., N. Feery, and O.J.K. An, Decreused use of uctive temperature management following publication of the TTM trial 2017 113 : p. e15 |
| 475 | 33 | May TL et al Moderate-dose solution and analassia during targeted |
| 470 | 55. | tomporature management after cardiac arrest Neurocrit Care 2015 |
| 478 | | 22 (1): n 105-11 |
| 479 | 34 | Paul M et al Comparison of two sedation regimens during targeted |
| 480 | 01. | temperature management after cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2018 128 n |
| 481 | | 204-210. |
| | | |

| 482 483 | 35. | Bjelland, T.W., et al., Propofol and remifentanil versus midazolam and fentanyl for sedation during therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest: a |
|------------|-----|---|
| 484 | 26 | randomised trial. Intensive Care Med, 2012. 38 (6): p. 959-67. |
| 485 | 36. | Unamorro, C., et al., Anestnesia and analgesia protocol during therapeutic |
| 400 | | 110 (5), p. 1229.25 |
| 407 | | 110 (3). p. 1320-33. |
| 400 | | |
| 489 | | |
| 490 | | |
| 491 | | |
| 402 | | |
| 492 | | |
| 493 | | |
| | | |
| 494 | | |
| 105 | | |
| 495 | | |
| 496 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 497 | | |
| | | |
| 498 | | |
| .,0 | | |

| | All (n=23) | Cold (n=21) | Warmed (n=2) |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Temp (°C)* | 36.2 (±0.5) | 36.2 (±0.5) | 37.2 (±0.14) |
| Amount of fentanyl | | | |
| administered (µg) | 4346 (±2363) | 4178(±2161) | 8900(±522) |
| Duration (hrs) | 35 (13-81) | 35 (13-61) | 71(61-81) |
| Halflife (hrs) | 7.7 (3.3-366.7) | 7.7(3.3-366.7) | 5.4(5.1-5.8) |
| C _{pt} (µg/L) | 0.93 (0.11-8.29) | 0.93(0.11-8.29) | 0.55(0.41-0.68) |
| Age (years)* | 66 (24.0-89.0) | 67.0(24.0-89.0) | 50.5(36.0-65.0) |
| Height (cm)* | 171.6(±8.8) | 171.1(±8.6) | 179.0(±1.4) |
| Weight (kg)* | 74.3((±11.7) | 74.2(±12.3) | 75.0(±0.0) |
| BMI (kg/m ²)* | 24.0 (20.0-53.0) | 25.6(20.0-53.0) | 23.0(23.0-23.0) |
| Lactate (mmol/L)* | 2.0(±1.0) | 2.1(±1.0) | 1.9(±1.3) |
| ALT (IU/L)* | 106.5(±78.1) | 113.1(±78.6) | 142.5(±156.3) |
| Creatinine (µmol/L)* | 118.9(±58.4) | 117.3(±56.9) | 95.0(±42.3) |
| CrCl (mL/min)* | 67.0(±25.3) | 66.6(±24.2) | 91.5(±20.5) |
| SAPS* | 48.4(±7.9) | 48.8(±8.0) | 38.5(±2.1) |
| Gene (CYP3A4*1G)* | 15/20 | 15/19 | 1/2 |

501 Table 1. Demographic and physiological characteristics



506

507 Figure 1. Recruitment Flow Diagram

508

509

510



512 fentanyl C_{t12} (based on population pharmacokinetic data using Eq. (1) in healthy

513 (middle bar) and critically ill patients († end bar). B Estimated half lives for

- 514 patients enrolled in the study. The arrows indicate the fentanyl half lives for two
- 515 patients who were rewarmed during the infusion of fentanyl. ****p<0.0001
- 516 (Friedman Test), §p<0.05 actual vs hypothetical C_{t12} of 0.6 μg/L (Wilcoxon Signed
- 517 Rank Test).

| 524 | Figure 3. | Predicting altered fer | ntanyl pharmacokinetics. | A Relationship between |
|-----|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|-----|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|

- 525 serum lactate and estimated fentanyl half-life. B Relationship between CKD
- 526 categories and estimated fentanyl half-life. C Estimated fentanyl half-life in cooled
- 527 patients vs. rewarmed. ****p<0.05**



в

