

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Accounting of nitrogen attenuation in agricultural catchments**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**in**

**Earth Science**

**at Massey University, Palmerston North,**

**New Zealand**

**Ahmed Elwan**

**2018**



**MASSEY  
UNIVERSITY**  
TE KUNENGA KI PŪREHUROA

---

**UNIVERSITY OF NEW ZEALAND**



This thesis is dedicated to my late grandmother,  
my parents, my wife Emilie and my son Adam.

Thank you for your encouragement, inspiration and unconditional love!



## Abstract

The transport and fate of the nitrate that leaches from the root zone of farms, via groundwaters, to receiving surface waters is poorly understood, particularly for New Zealand's agricultural catchments. Monitoring nitrate concentrations in rivers clearly demonstrates that not all of the nitrate leached across the catchment enters the river. As nitrate moves from land to receiving waters there is potential for subsurface denitrification and hence the attenuation of the nitrate flux to receiving surface waters. A good understanding of the influence of catchment characteristics on the spatial variations of nitrate attenuation is essential for targeted and effective water quality outcomes across agricultural landscapes.

This thesis analysed large datasets of geographical information (land use, soils and geology) and water quality records at 20 sites in two large agricultural catchments, the Tararua and Rangitikei, which are located in the lower parts of the North Island New Zealand. The results demonstrated that the influence of land use on river soluble inorganic nitrogen (SIN) concentrations in the Tararua catchment was outweighed by other catchment characteristics such as soil type and hydrological indices.

A simple approach, that is not data-intensive, was developed and applied to quantify the capacity of a catchment to attenuate nitrogen. The nitrogen attenuation factor ( $AF_N$ ) is a key component of this approach.  $AF_N$  is defined as the average annual land use nitrogen leaching losses minus the average annual river SIN river loads, divided by the average annual land use nitrogen leaching losses.  $AF_N$  was determined for 5 and 15 sub-catchments in the Rangitikei and Tararua catchments, respectively, and was found to be highly spatially variable with values ranging from 0.14 to 0.94.

To assess the uncertainty associated with  $AF_N$ , the uncertainty in the average annual river SIN loads was evaluated. Five load calculation methods (global mean GM, rating curve RC, ratio estimator RE, flow-stratified FS, and flow-weighted FW) and four sampling frequencies (2 days, weekly, fortnightly, and monthly) were investigated to calculate average annual river loads at one of the long-term, representative water quality monitoring sites in the study catchment. The FS method using a monthly sampling frequency resulted in the lowest bias (0.9%) for average annual river SIN loads and therefore was used in the quantification of  $AF_N$  across the study catchments.

A robust uncertainty analysis of  $AF_N$  showed two distinct groups of sub-catchments; sub-catchments with higher ( $>0.7$ ) and less uncertain nitrogen attenuation factors, and sub-catchments with lower ( $<0.4$ ) and more uncertain nitrogen attenuation factors. This supports the use and applicability of  $AF_N$  as a sub-catchment descriptor of the capacity of a sub-catchment to attenuate nitrogen.  $AF_N$  was positively related to poorly drained soils and mudstones, and negatively related to well-drained soils and gravels in the study catchments.

A novel but simple hydrogeologic-based model was developed to evaluate the potential to use soil and rock indices to predict average annual river SIN loads from different land uses in a catchment. Four different versions of the model (uniform nitrogen attenuation, variable nitrogen attenuation based on soil indices only; variable nitrogen attenuation based on rock indices only; and variable nitrogen attenuation based on both soil and rock indices) were developed. Accounting for the spatial distribution of the nitrogen attenuation capacities of both soils and rocks resulted in markedly better predictions of river SIN loads in the Tararua and Rangitikei sub-catchments.

The novel findings of this thesis clearly suggest that effective and targeted measures to improve water quality at a catchment scale should account not only for land use but also for other

catchment characteristics, such as the subsurface nitrogen attenuation capacity. This new knowledge will be instrumental in the future development of the models and planning tools required to reduce the detrimental impacts of agriculture, by aligning spatially intensive land use practices with high nitrogen attenuation pathways in sensitive agricultural catchments.





# Acknowledgements

I was struggling with English at primary school. One time, I saw a senior student writing three sentences in English. At that time, I believed it would be a great achievement if I manage to do like him one day. Today, I have managed to write my PhD thesis (slightly longer than three sentences) in English. The course of this PhD, with the highs and lows, has been an exciting journey. Throughout this significant journey, I have been privileged to receive such a considerable help from many people. I feel indebted to them and I want to express my sincere gratitude for their help and support along the way.

Firstly, I thank Dr. Ranvir Singh, my main supervisor, for his excellent guidance, support, encouragement and constructive feedback throughout my PhD. This thesis would not have been possible without Ranvir's invaluable insights, challenging questions and correcting my English in the several drafts of each chapter. Ranvir, I will be indebted to you, for the rest of my life, for all what I have learnt from you. Also, I am so grateful to my co-supervisors, A/Prof. Dave Horne, Dr. Jon Roygard and Dr. Brent Clothier, for their support, valuable inputs, constructive comments and advice during my PhD.

Without the data I got from Horizons Regional Council, my PhD would not have been possible. Words cannot help me express how grateful I am to Horizons Regional Council not only for providing the datasets for my PhD but also for the financial support. At Horizons Regional Council, my special thanks are due to Abby Matthews, Amy Shears, Brent Watson, Maree Patterson, Malcolm Todd, Manas Chakraborty, Stacey Binsted and Staci Boyte (list in alphabetical order) for their support and help. I am also grateful to Steve Packer for his support.

I would like to thank Prof. Geoff Jones for the invaluable insights about the statistical analysis; Dr. Alan Palmer for his feedback regarding classification of soils and rocks according to their

attenuation capacities; Dr. Andrew Tait for providing the climate data; Dr. Ahmed Fayaz for proofreading; Fiona Bardell, Liza Haarhoff, Denise Stewart, Sharon Wright and Sandra Dunkinson for their kind support with administrative matters and David Feek for his support.

My special thanks to the School of Agriculture and Environment at Massey University for the scholarship that made it possible to pursue this PhD study. Also, I highly appreciate the financial and in-kind support from Horizons Regional Council. Furthermore, I am so grateful to the additional financial support provided by Massey University through: Massey University Doctoral Scholarship, Ravensdown Agricultural Research Scholarship and Peter During Agricultural Research Bursary.

I am very thankful to my friends and office mates at Massey University: Aldrin Rivas, Ainul Mahmud, Hamed Khan, Khadija Malik, May Sasikunya, Neha Jha, Qinhua Shen, Stephen Collins and Yang Li for the banter in the office and making this journey so enjoyable and memorable.

Finally, my deepest gratitude and thanks to my family. I am so grateful to my parents, sister and brothers, for their invaluable love, support and encouragement. I am deeply indebted to my late grandmother for her everlasting love, instilling the love of learning and encouraging me to embrace challenges and step outside of my comfort zone. I thank Adam, my son, for the kisses, smiles and hugs that charged my energy to continue the journey. Adam, you have no idea how our short conversations, in a language that I am not yet able to understand, were a great support to me. Most importantly, I thank Emilie, my wife, for her love, patience, understanding, encouragement and support. Emilie, there are no words that can express how much I am grateful to you. Thanks for being in my life.

# List of Publications

## Peer-reviewed International Journals

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., Jones, G., 2018. Influence of catchment characteristics on soluble inorganic nitrogen concentrations in streams and rivers in agricultural landscape. *J. Environ. Manag.* (Under Review). (Chapter 3)

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Patterson, M., Roygard, J., Horne, D., Clothier, B., Jones, G., 2018. Influence of sampling frequency and load calculation methods on quantification of annual river nutrient and suspended solids loads. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 190. doi:10.1007/s10661-017-6444-y (Chapter 4)

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Manderson, A., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., Jones, G., 2018. Spatial modelling of nitrogen attenuation capacity and land-based nitrogen loads to rivers. *J. Environ. Manag.* (Under Review). (Chapter 5)

## Published Conference Proceedings

**Elwan, A.**, Clark, M., Roygard, J., Singh, R., Horne, D., Clothier, B., 2014. Effects of sampling frequency and calculation methods on estimation of annual nutrient loads: A case study of Manawatu River, New Zealand, in: 21st Century Watershed Technology Conference and Workshop Improving Water Quality and the Environment. American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, pp. 1–12. doi:10.13031/wtcw.2014-014

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., 2015. Nitrogen attenuation factor: can it tell a story about nutrients in different subsurface environments?, in: Currie, L.D., Burkitt, L.L. (Eds.), *Moving Farm Systems to Improved Nutrient Attenuation*. Occasional Report No. 28. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand, p. 12.

Singh, R., **Elwan, A.**, Horne, D., Manderson, A., Patterson, M., Roygard, J., 2017. Predicting land-based nitrogen loads and attenuation in the Rangitikei River catchment – the model development, in: Currie, L.D., Hedley, M.J. (Eds.), *Science and Policy: Nutrient*

Management Challenges for the next Generation. Occasional Report No. 30. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand, p. 13.

Singh, R., Rivas, A., Espanto, P., **Elwan, A.**, Horne, D.J., Roygard, J., Matthews, A., Clothier, B., 2014. Assessment of transport and transformation of nitrogen in the subsurface environment of Manawatu River catchment – work in progress, in: Currie, L.D., Christensen, C.L. (Eds.), Nutrient Management for the Farm, Catchment and Community. Occasional Report No. 27. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand, p. 11.

### **Technical Reports**

Burkitt, L., Jordan, P., Singh, R., **Elwan, A.**, 2017. High resolution monitoring of river nitrate in agricultural catchments – a case study on the Manawatu River, New Zealand. An Envirolink report (MAUX1604 / 1720-HZLC135) prepared for Horizons Regional Council. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Singh, R., **Elwan, A.**, 2017. Resource Accounting in the Manawatū -Wanganui Region. Palmerston North, New Zealand. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.16403.17446

Singh, R., **Elwan, A.**, Horne, D., 2017. Nitrogen Accounting in the Rangitikei Catchment. Palmerston North, New Zealand.

### **Published Conference Abstracts**

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., 2016a. Evaluation and development of a river load calculator, in: Currie, L.D., Singh, R. (Eds.), Integrated Nutrient and Water Management for Sustainable Farming. Occasional Report No. 28. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., 2016b. River Load Calculator: a novel web-based tool for annual river load calculation, in: Water, Infrastructure and the Environment. New Zealand Hydrological Society Annual Conference, Queenstown, New

Zealand.

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., 2015. A preliminary model to account for hydrogeologic influences on spatial nitrogen attenuation capacity and land-based nitrogen loads to rivers in the Manawatu river catchment, in: From Data to Knowledge. New Zealand Hydrological Society Annual Conference, Hamilton, New Zealand.

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., 2014. Relationship between catchment characteristics and nitrate-nitrogen concentration in the rivers of Tararua Groundwater Management Zone, in: Soil Science for Future Generations. New Zealand Society of Soil Science Conference, Hamilton, New Zealand.

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., Jones, G., 2016c. Influence of catchment characteristics on soluble inorganic nitrogen in the Manawatu River, in: Soil, a Balancing Act Downunder. New Zealand Society of Soil Science Conference, Queenstown, New Zealand.

**Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Horne, D.J., Manderson, A., Roygard, J., Clothier, B., Jones, G., 2017. Modelling spatial nitrogen attenuation and land-based nitrogen loads to rivers, in: Innovative Solutions for Sustainable Management of Nitrogen. Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark.

Horne, D., **Elwan, A.**, Singh, R., Manderson, A., Patterson, M., Roygard, J., 2017. Predicting land-based nitrogen loads and attenuation in the Rangitikei River catchment – the implications for landuse, in: Currie, L.D., Hedley, M.J. (Eds.), Science and Policy: Nutrient Management Challenges for the next Generation. Occasional Report No. 30. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

McGowan, P., Singh, R., Rivas, A., **Elwan, A.**, Palmer, A., Horne, D., Collins, S., Matthews, A., 2017. Redox characteristics of shallow groundwater in the Tararua Groundwater Management Zone, in: Filling the Knowledge Reservoir. New Zealand Hydrological Society Annual Conference, Napier, New Zealand.

Singh, R., **Elwan, A.**, Horne, D.J., Rivas, A., Manderson, A., Roygard, J., Hedley, M., 2017.

Assessing and matching landuse with land suitability – the model development and landuse implications, in: Innovative Solutions for Sustainable Management of Nitrogen. Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark.

Singh, R., Rivas, A., **Elwan, A.**, Horne, D., Burkitt, L., Roygard, J., Matthews, A., Clothier, B., Hedley, M., 2015a. Assessment of nitrogen attenuation in the subsurface environment of Manawatu River Catchment, New Zealand, in: Agricultural Production and the Environment. Land Use and Water Quality Conference, Vienna, Austria.

Singh, R., Rivas, A., **Elwan, A.**, Horne, D., Burkitt, L., Roygard, J., Matthews, A., Clothier, B., Hedley, M., 2015b. Research for Catchment Attenuation Processes (ReCAP): Advancing knowledge and tools to account for nitrogen transport and transformation in NZ agricultural catchments, in: Shortle, G., Leach, S., Jordan, P. (Eds.), Catchment Science 2015. Agricultural Catchments Programme, Teagasc, Wexford, Ireland.

# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>List of Publications .....</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>XXI</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>XXVII</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>XXIX</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	2
1.2 Rationale of the study.....	4
1.3 Research objectives .....	8
1.4 Study areas .....	9
1.5 Thesis structure .....	10
<b>Chapter 2: Literature review .....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	14
2.2 Land use and water quality .....	14
2.3 Transport and fate of nitrate in the subsurface of agricultural catchments .....	16
2.3.1 Transport mechanisms.....	16
2.3.2 Attenuation processes of nitrate .....	19



2.3.2.1	Nitrate removal through plant uptake .....	19
2.3.2.2	Assimilation of nitrate into microbial biomass.....	20
2.3.2.3	Assimilatory nitrate reduction .....	20
2.3.2.4	Denitrification.....	20
2.3.2.5	Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA).....	26
2.4	Influence of hydrogeological characteristics on nitrate attenuation in subsurface environment.....	27
2.4.1	Influence of soil characteristics on nitrate attenuation .....	27
2.4.1.1	Soil texture.....	27
2.4.1.2	Soil drainage .....	29
2.4.1.3	Soil carbon content .....	31
2.4.1.4	Soil water content .....	32
2.4.2	Influence of subsurface geology on nitrate attenuation .....	33
2.4.3	Soils and rocks classification according to nitrate attenuation potential .....	36
2.5	Modelling nitrate transport and fate on catchment scale.....	38
2.5.1	Nitrate models on catchment scale .....	38
2.5.1.1	SWAT-MODFLOW-MT3DMS .....	39
2.5.1.2	DAISY-MIKE SHE .....	41
2.5.1.3	mRISK-N-MODFLOW- RT3D.....	43
2.5.1.4	MODEST.....	44
2.5.2	Spatial variations of subsurface nitrate attenuation .....	45
2.6	Research needs .....	46

<b>Chapter 3: Influence of catchment characteristics on soluble inorganic nitrogen concentrations in streams and rivers in agricultural landscape.....</b>	<b>49</b>
--	-----------

3.1 Abstract .....	50
3.2 Introduction .....	51
3.3 Materials and Methods .....	57
3.3.1 Study area .....	57
3.3.2 Available data and its processing .....	60
3.3.3 Statistical analysis .....	72
3.4 Results .....	74
3.5 Discussion .....	79
3.5.1 SIN at all flows .....	79
3.5.2 SIN at the highest 25% flows .....	86
3.5.3 SIN at the lowest 25% flows .....	89
3.5.4 Implications for water quality management .....	92
3.6 Conclusions and recommendations .....	94
3.7 Acknowledgments .....	96
<b>Chapter 4: Influence of sampling frequency and load calculation methods on quantification of annual river nutrient and suspended solids loads .....</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1 Abstract .....	98
4.2 Introduction .....	99
4.3 Materials and methods .....	102
4.3.1 Study area .....	102
4.3.2 Available data and its analysis .....	104

4.3.2.1	Data processing.....	104
4.3.2.2	Calculation of reference ‘true’ river load .....	107
4.3.2.3	Load calculation methods .....	107
4.3.2.4	Comparison of the different calculation methods to the reference (true) load .....	113
4.4	Results and Discussion.....	115
4.4.1	Variation in river flow and water quality concentrations .....	115
4.4.2	Reference (true) load and its variations .....	121
4.4.3	Uncertainty in annual river loads.....	124
4.4.3.1	Effects of different load calculation methods .....	124
4.4.3.2	Effects of different sampling frequencies.....	127
4.5	Conclusions .....	134
4.6	Acknowledgments .....	135

**Chapter 5: Spatial modelling of nitrogen attenuation capacity and land-based nitrogen loads to rivers .....137**

5.1	Abstract .....	138
5.2	Introduction .....	139
5.3	Materials and Methods .....	143
5.3.1	Study areas.....	143
5.3.1.1	Tararua catchment .....	143
5.3.1.2	Rangitikei catchment .....	145
5.3.2	Collection of geographical and water quality information .....	149
5.3.3	Definition and quantification of nitrogen attenuation factor .....	151

5.3.3.1	Uncertainty in the nitrogen attenuation factor.....	153
5.3.4	Relationship between nitrogen attenuation factor and catchment characteristics .	155
5.3.5	Prediction of land-based river nitrogen loads.....	155
5.3.5.1	In-stream uptake .....	157
5.3.5.2	Classification of soils and rocks nitrogen attenuation capacity.....	157
5.3.5.3	Influence of different soils and/or rocks on predicting land-based nitrogen loads to rivers.....	161
5.4	Results and discussion .....	165
5.4.1	Spatial variation of nitrogen attenuation factor .....	165
5.4.2	Relationship between nitrogen attenuation factor and catchment characteristics .	172
5.4.3	Prediction of land-based river N loads .....	176
5.4.3.1	Instream uptake .....	176
5.4.3.2	Influence of different soils and/or rocks on river SIN loads .....	177
5.5	Conclusions.....	182
5.6	Acknowledgments.....	185
<b>Chapter 6:</b>	<b>Synthesis and recommendations .....</b>	<b>187</b>
6.1	Problem statement.....	188
6.2	Land use influence on river soluble inorganic nitrogen is outweighed by other catchment characteristics.....	192
6.3	Nitrogen attenuation factor is highly spatially variable .....	193
6.4	Flow-stratified load estimation method has the lowest bias for annual river SIN loads using monthly sampling frequency .....	195

6.5 Uncertainty in nitrogen attenuation factor will not prevent its use as a catchment descriptor .....	196
6.6 Variability of nitrogen attenuation factor is a function of sub-catchment characteristics .....	198
6.7 Accounting for soils and rock attenuation capacities in a hydrogeologic-based model resulted in markedly better predictions of river SIN loads .....	199
6.8 Implications for water quality management .....	199
6.9 Future research recommendations .....	203
References .....	209
Appendices .....	243
Appendix A: Supporting materials for chapter 3 .....	245
Appendix B: Supporting materials for chapter 5 .....	275
Appendix C: R codes .....	283

## List of Figures

- Figure 2.1. Fate of oxidation of organic matter in the saturated zone with the sequence of different electron acceptors (Rivett et al. (2008) after Korom (1992)). ..... 25
- Figure 3.1. Location and extent of the Tararua catchment and its 15 river monitoring sites. .59
- Figure 3.2. A flowchart for geographical, climatic, hydrological and river water quality data collection, processing and analysis used to investigate the influence of catchment characteristics on soluble inorganic nitrogen (SIN) at all flows, highest 25% flows and lowest 25% flows sin the Tararua catchment, New Zealand. .... 61
- Figure 3.3. Spatial variations of the mean soluble inorganic nitrogen (SIN) concentration ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) at all flows (a); highest 25% flows (b); and the lowest 25% flows (c), for the 15 monitoring sites in the Tararua catchment measured for 8 years (from Jan., 2009 to Dec., 2016)..... 68
- Figure 3.4. (a) Poorly drained soils (D2) from the Fundamental Soil Layer (FSL; Newsome et al., 2008) in the Tararua catchment; and (b) The estimated extent of artificially drained land in the Tararua catchment (fuzzy probability expressed as confidence classes) (Manderson, 2018) ..... 83
- Figure 3.5. Pearson correlation between the independent variables (catchment characteristics) at all flows for the 15 study sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment. The magnitude and direction of the co-dependence are indicated by the different colours and their intensity..... 75
- Figure 3.6. Partial least squares regression (PLSR)'s variable influence on projection (VIP) and regression coefficients for different catchment characteristics and river soluble inorganic concentrations (SIN) measured at all flows across the 15 sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment. Independent variables are arranged in descending order within each category (hydrology, landuse, main rock, sub rock, soil, morphology, drainage and carbon) based on their VIP values. Influential independent variables ( $\text{VIP} > 1$ ) are ranked consecutively to illustrate their importance. The dashed lines in the regression coefficients differentiate between positive and negative directions whereas dashed line in the VIP ( $\text{VIP} = 1$ ) is to highlight the important independent variables located above the line. .... 80

Figure 3.7. Partial least squares regression (PLSR)'s variable influence on projection (VIP) and regression coefficients for different catchment characteristics and river soluble inorganic concentrations (SIN) measured at highest 25% flows across the 15 sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment. Independent variables are arranged in descending order within each category (hydrology, landuse, main rock, sub rock, soil, morphology, drainage and carbon) based on their VIP values. Influential independent variables ( $VIP > 1$ ) are ranked consecutively to illustrate their importance. The dashed lines in the regression coefficients differentiate between positive and negative directions whereas dashed line in the VIP ( $VIP = 1$ ) is to highlight the important independent variables located above the line.....87

Figure 3.8. Partial least squares regression (PLSR)'s variable influence on projection (VIP) and regression coefficients for different catchment characteristics and river soluble inorganic nitrogen concentrations (SIN) measured at lowest 25% flows across the 15 sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment. Independent variables are arranged in descending order within each category (hydrology, landuse, main rock, sub rock, soil, morphology, drainage and carbon) based on their VIP values. Influential independent variables ( $VIP > 1$ ) are ranked consecutively to illustrate their importance. The dashed lines in the regression coefficients differentiate between positive and negative directions whereas dashed line in the VIP ( $VIP = 1$ ) is to highlight the important independent variables located above the line.....91

Figure 4.1. Location of the Manawatu at Teachers College (MTC) monitoring site in the Manawatu River Catchment in Lower North Island of New Zealand. ....103

Figure 4.2. A flowchart for river flow and water quality data analysis used to estimate uncertainty in annual river loads in the Manawatu River catchment, New Zealand. ....106

Figure 4.3. Hydrograph and chemographs of  $NO_3^-$ -N, SIN, TN, DRP, TP, and TSS measured in the Manawatu River at Teachers College (MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011. Each marker represents one measurement. The highlighted area shows an example of the peaks (concentration effect) and troughs (dilution effect) for different water quality parameters in relationship to a flow peak.....118

Figure 4.4. Concentration ( $mg L^{-1}$ ) of water quality parameters ( $NO_3^-$ -N, SIN, TN, DRP, TP, TSS) and river flow ( $m^3 s^{-1}$ ) measured in the Manawatu River at Teachers College

(MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011. The colour of the data is according to the 10 flow decile bin with the 1<sup>st</sup> flow decile bin (right) as the highest 10% of flow and the 10<sup>th</sup> decile bin (left) as the lowest 10% of flow. The vertical dashed lines (flow exceedance percentiles “%ile”) are the breaks between the 10 flow decile bins with 90th %ile and 70th %ile as the values that have been exceeded 90% and 70% of the time, respectively. We used log flow so that the values spread across the figure and would not be skewed to the left part of the figure. .... 119

Figure 4.5. Temporal (monthly) variation in concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) of water quality parameters (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, SIN, TN, DRP, TP, TSS) measured in the Manawatu River at Teachers College (MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011. .... 120

Figure 4.6. Temporal variations of the monthly contributions (in percent) to the total annual river flow and total reference ‘true’ annual loads (calculated using daily measurements of water quality parameters ‘NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, SIN, TN, DRP, TP and TSS’ and river flow) in the Manawatu River at Teachers College (MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011. .... 122

Figure 4.7. Relationship between daily average river flow (m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and daily river loads (t day<sup>-1</sup>) of water quality parameters (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, SIN, TN, DRP, TP and TSS) measured in the Manawatu River at Teachers College (MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011. The colour of the data is according to the 10 flow decile bin with the 1<sup>st</sup> decile bin (right) as the highest 10% of flow and the 10<sup>th</sup> decile bin (left) as the lowest 10% of flow. The vertical dashed lines (flow exceedance percentiles “%ile”) are the breaks between the 10 flow decile bins with 10th %ile (right) and 90th %ile (left) as the values that have been exceeded 10% and 90% of the time, respectively. .... 123

Figure 4.8. Variation in estimated annual river loads for six water quality parameters (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, SIN, TN, DRP, TP and TSS), using combinations of four sampling frequencies (2 days, weekly, fortnightly and monthly) and five load calculation methods (GM, RC, FW, RE and FS), in the Manawatu River at Teachers College (MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011. The dashed lines plots the reference ‘true’ loads calculated based on daily measurements of water quality concentrations and river flow at the study site. .... 132



Figure 5.1. From left to right: (a) land use, (b) soil drainage classes, and (c) main rocks for the Tararua catchment. The bold black polygons represent the boundaries of the sub-catchments upstream of the monitoring sites. For the names of subcatchments see Fig. 5.3a.....147

Figure 5.2. From left to right: (a) landuse, (b) soil drainage classes and (c) the main rock types of the Rangitikei catchment. The bold black polygons represent the boundaries of the catchments upstream of the monitoring sites. In the landuse layer, ARA = Arable farm; NOF = Blocks not otherwise used for farming; DAI = Dairy farm; OTH farm + <10 ha = Other farm type (minor) + pastoral farms <10 ha; and SBD = Sheep/beef/deer farm. For the names of subcatchments, see Fig. 5.3b. ....148

Fig. 5.3. Location of monitoring sites in: a) the Tararua catchment; and b) the Rangitikei catchment. ....150

Fig. 5.4. Spatial distribution of the nitrogen attenuation factor for (a) 15 sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment; and (b) 5 sub-catchments in the Rangitikei catchment. ....167

Fig. 5.5. Nitrogen attenuation factor ( $AF_N$ ) with bars representing the 95% confidence interval by parametric bootstrap (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993) for the sites in (a) the Tararua catchment; and (b) the Rangitikei catchment.....169

Fig. 5.6. Spatial distribution of the nitrogen attenuation factor values along with redox status of the groundwater; (Rivas et al., 2017) in the Tararua sub-catchments. The non-reducing wells are characterised by dissolved oxygen ( $DO$ )  $\geq 1$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> and oxidation reduction potential ‘ORP’ (Eh)  $\geq 150$  mV, While the reduced wells are characterised by  $DO < 1$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> and  $Eh < 150$  mV. ....171

Fig. 5.7. Partial least squares regression (PLSR) correlation loadings between spatial variations of nitrogen attenuation capacity  $AF_N$  values (dependent variable) and different soils and rocks characteristics (independent variables) across Tararua sub-catchments. ....175

Figure 5.8. The error (simulated – measured) in average annual river SIN loads (t yr<sup>-1</sup>) for different models (Model 1 = uniform 0.5  $AF_N$ ; Model 2 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils only; Model 3 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for rocks only; and Model 4 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils and rocks) across Tararua sub-catchments. ....178

Figure 5.9. The error (simulated – measured) in  $\text{t yr}^{-1}$  for different models (Model 1 = uniform  $0.5 AF_N$ ; Model 2 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils only; Model 3 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for rocks only; and Model 4 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils and rocks) for the sites in the Rangitikei Catchment. .... 179



# List of Tables

Table 3.1. Description of dependent (soluble inorganic nitrogen) and independent variables (catchment characteristics) used in the analysis. ....	65
Table 3.2. A summary of hydrologic indices estimated at all flows, highest 25% of flows, and lowest 25% flows for the 15 study sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment (Fig. 3.1). Abbreviations for the variables and units are listed in Table 3.1. ....	68
Table 3.3. A summary of morphologic characteristics for the 15 study sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment (Fig. 3.1). Abbreviations for the variables and units are listed in Table 3.1. ....	70
Table 3.4. A descriptive summary of the areal percentage of landuse, soils and subsurface rocks characteristics for 15 study sub-catchments in the Tararua catchment (Fig. 3.1). Abbreviations for the variables are listed in Table 3.1. ....	71
Table 3.5. Summary of the partial least squares regression (PLSR) analysis for the 15 sub-catchments of Tararua catchment at all flows, highest 25% flows and lowest 25% flows. RMSECV is the cross-validated root mean squared error. ....	76
Table 4.1. Bias and RMSE (as % of the reference ‘true’ load) of different load estimation methods and sampling frequencies used in quantification of annual river loads of NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N, SIN, DRP, TN, TP and TSS in the Manawatu River at Teachers College (MTC) site for the observation year 2010/2011 (1-May-2010 to 30-April-2011) .	133
Table 5.1: Estimates of nitrogen attenuation categories, assigned to different soils and rocks types in the Tararua and Rangitikei catchments. The soil drainage classes ranges from 1 (well drained) to 5 (poorly drained); and soil carbon classes ranges from 1 (20-40 % TC “g C/100 g soil”) to 5 (0.1-1.9 % TC “g C/100 g soil”) in the Fundamental Soil Layer “FSL” (Newsome et al., 2008). ....	161
Table 5.2. Model evaluation parameters (RMSE = root mean square error; CRM = coefficient of mass residual; EF = model efficiency; and IoA = index of agreement) for the different models (Model 1 = uniform 0.5 $AF_N$ ; Model 2 = spatially variable $AF_N$ for	

soils only; Model 3 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for rocks only; and Model 4 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils and rocks only) used to predict nitrogen river loads in the Tararua catchment.....181

Table 5.3. Model evaluation parameters (RMSE = root mean square error; CRM = coefficient of mass residual; EF = model efficiency; and IoA = index of agreement) for the different models (Model 1 = uniform 0.5  $AF_N$ ; Model 2 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils only; Model 3 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for rocks only; and Model 4 = spatially variable  $AF_N$  for soils and rocks only) used to predict nitrogen river loads in the Rangitikei catchment. ....181

## List of Abbreviations

$AF_N$	Nitrogen Attenuation Factor
BFI	Base flow Index
CRM	Coefficient of Mass Residual
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon
DRP	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus
EF	Model Efficiency
FS	Flow-Stratified
FSL	Fundamental Soil Layer
FW	Flow-Weighted
GIS	Geographic Information System
GM	Global Mean
ha	Hectare
HRC	Horizons Regional Council
IoA	Index of Agreement
kg	Kilogram
km	Kilometre
km <sup>2</sup>	Square kilometre
LRIS	Land Resource Information Systems
m <sup>3</sup>	Cubic metre
mg L <sup>-1</sup>	Milligram per litre
mm	Millimetre
MTC	Manawatu at Teachers College
N	Nitrogen
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N	Ammoniacal-nitrogen
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	Nitrite-nitrogen
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	Nitrate-nitrogen
NPS	Non-point source
NPS-FM	National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management

NZ	New Zealand
ORP	Oxidation-Reduction Potential
PLSR	Partial Least Squares Regression
PS	Point Source
QMAP	Quarter-million MAP (the 1:250 000 Geological Map of New Zealand)
RC	Rating Curve
RE	Ratio Estimator
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RMSECV	Cross-Validated Root Mean Squared Error
SIN	Soluble Inorganic Nitrogen
t	Tonne
TN	Total Nitrogen
TON	Total Oxidized Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorus
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
VIP	Variable Influence on Projection
WFPS	Water-Filled Pore Space
yr	Year