



## Reconsidering the association between psychosis and suicide: A suicidal drive hypothesis

Journal:	<i>Psychosis</i>
Manuscript ID	RPSY-2018-0060.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Keywords:	suicidal ideation/behaviour, psychosis, threat, Epidemiology, Hallucinations, Paranoia
Abstract:	<p>Suicidal ideation/behaviour (SIB) and psychosis are highly associated phenomena. We propose that psychosis, for some, may be consequential to SIB. More specifically we hypothesise that psychosis may serve to externalise internally generated and self-directed threat among those experiencing SIB. Using prospective data from a Danish population cohort, we first sought to test the temporal occurrence of suicidal behaviour and psychotic disorder. Next, using cross-sectional epidemiological survey data (UK), we sought to demonstrate that psychotic experiences (PEs) were more commonly reported by those who experienced SIB and that the strength of the association varied according to (i) SIB recency and (ii) severity. Chi-square comparison tests on the Danish data revealed that suicidal behaviour was statistically more likely to precede (41.4%) rather than follow psychotic disorder (20.7%). Regression analyses of the UK data indicated that individuals who thought about suicide in their lifetime were up to 8 times more likely to experience specific PEs while those who thought about and attempted suicide in their lifetime and in the year of assessment were up to 48 times more likely to experience PEs, compared to SIB free members of the population. The findings provide preliminary support for a novel suicidal drive hypothesis for psychosis.</p>

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Reconsidering the association between psychosis and suicide: A suicidal drive hypothesis

### Abstract

Suicidal ideation/behaviour (SIB) and psychosis are highly associated phenomena. We propose that psychosis, for some, may be consequential to SIB. More specifically we hypothesise that psychosis may serve to externalise internally generated and self-directed threat among those experiencing SIB. Using prospective data from a Danish population cohort, we first sought to test the temporal occurrence of suicidal behaviour and psychotic disorder. Next, using cross-sectional epidemiological survey data (UK), we sought to demonstrate that psychotic experiences (PEs) were more commonly reported by those who experienced SIB and that the strength of the association varied according to (i) SIB recency and (ii) severity. Chi-square comparison tests on the Danish data revealed that suicidal behaviour was statistically more likely to precede (41.4%) rather than follow psychotic disorder (20.7%). Regression analyses of the UK data indicated that individuals who thought about suicide in their lifetime were up to 8 times more likely to experience specific PEs while those who thought about and attempted suicide in their lifetime and in the year of assessment were up to 48 times more likely to experience PEs, compared to SIB free members of the population. The findings provide preliminary support for a novel suicidal drive hypothesis for psychosis.

**Key words:** suicidal ideation/behaviour, psychosis, threat, epidemiology, hallucinations, paranoia

## Introduction

The incidence of suicidal ideation and behaviour (SIB) among individuals experiencing psychosis has been well documented (Radomsky, Haas, Mann & Sweeney, 1999; DeVlyder, Lukens, Link & Lieberman, 2015). Evidence suggests that SIB is highly prevalent in samples with diagnosed psychotic disorders (Harkavy-Friedman, 2015; Palmer, Pankratz & Bostwick, 2005) and in clinically high risk (CHR) and ultra-high risk (UHR) populations (DeVylder, Oh & Ben-David et al. 2012; Hutton, Bowe, Parker & Forde, 2011). In the general population SIB has also been shown to be highly prevalent among those who report PEs (Taylor, Hutton & Wood, 2015; Koyanagi, Stickley & Haro, 2015). Recent meta-analysis findings also show that individuals with schizophrenia spectrum psychosis and a history of suicidal ideation are over 6 times more likely to die by suicide (Chapman, Mullin, & Ryan et al. 2015). Where associations between both phenomena have been investigated prospectively, only unidirectional analyses have been employed to date and SIB has always been modelled as the outcome variable (Fisher, Caspi & Poulton et al. 2013; Kelleher, Corcoran & Keeley et al 2013). Moreover researchers have considered a wide array of factors in attempts to explain SIB among those experiencing psychosis e.g. fear of mental disintegration, depression, hopelessness, auditory hallucinations and substance misuse (Haw, Hawton, Sutton, Sinclair & Deeks, 2005; Hor & Taylor, 2010).

To date however no known research has considered the possibility that psychosis may be consequential to SIB. While psychosis → SIB directionality certainly seems intuitively plausible, it may also be plausible to suggest that psychosis, for some, may be consequential to SIB. Such a proposal may be considered if psychosis is framed within a context of threat responsivity i.e. responsivity to internally generated and self-directed threat.

### *Threat response*

Threat response has been widely investigated in the research literature. Multiple theoretical models have attempted to describe the mechanisms and processes that are involved in relation to physiological and psychological reactions to perceived attack, or threat to survival (e.g. Cisler et al., 2009; Foe et al., 1999; Ehlers et al., 2002; Elwood et al., 2009). Many of these models articulate

1  
2  
3 threat responsivity in terms of survival, defence and adaptation (e.g. Fox et al., 2015; Schauer &  
4 Elbert, 2015), and most, if not all, focus on threat that occurs externally to the individual (e.g. assault,  
5 accident, war, sexual victimisation, torture, neglect etc.). Common features of threat responsivity  
6 include physical reactions e.g. coughing, vomiting, sweating etc. and those that are psychological e.g.  
7 avoidance, submission, dissociation, 'flight' / 'arrested flight' etc. (e.g. Schauer & Elbert, 2015) and  
8 each seems to characterise defensive reactions that attempt to distance or protect the individual from  
9 the immediate source of threat or danger (e.g. Wisco et al., 2015). SIB however poses an altogether  
10 unique form of threat to survival in that it is internally generated and self-directed. While responsivity  
11 therefore to this form of threat may also require defensive action and may necessitate some form of  
12 distancing or protection from the source of threat (in this case, the 'self'), no known research has  
13 considered or explored threat responsivity in this context.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Given how grave and particularly how pervasive SIB can be therefore, and given the likely  
futility of psychological responses that are known to protect against external threats, those  
experiencing SIB may bolster defence, and in turn optimise survival by attributing their internal threat  
to sources other than, and external to themselves. Psychosis in the context of SIB to this end therefore,  
like other evolved defensive threat responses, may help to sustain life in the midst of the most severe,  
and otherwise inescapable forms of threat to life.

#### *Psychosis as a potential SIB threat response*

PEs, particularly positive PEs, are commonly characterised by threat related content and  
'externalisation' (i.e. PEs are often experienced as external to or separate from the individual). For  
example, paranoia and persecutory delusions often include beliefs about personal safety, impending  
danger and the malevolent intentionality of others to cause harm, injury or death (Freeman, 2007;  
Bentall, Kinderman, & Kaney, 1994). Auditory verbal hallucinations (AVHs) also often contain and  
are characterised by extreme negative and threatening commentaries, often by one or more voices,  
which have often been known to instruct or command individuals to engage in suicidal or self-  
injurious behaviour (Harkavy-Friedman, 2015). Moreover, passivity experiences and delusions of  
control, whereby individuals believe that their mind or body is under the influence or control of some

## Suicidal Drive Hypothesis

1  
2  
3 kind of external force or agency are also often high in threat related content while experiences such as  
4 thought insertion and broadcasting/diffusion, seem to reflect attributions of internal conflict/distress to  
5 external agents/sources (Frith, 2012). These beliefs and experiences, often held with great conviction,  
6 are also often highly resistant to contradictory evidence by others and are maintained despite their  
7 perceived implausibility (Garety, Freeman & Jolley et al. 2005). If defensive reactions ultimately  
8 serve to distance or protect an individual from a source of threat or danger, distance and protection  
9 from the 'self' in the context of SIB is possibly more likely to be achieved by some psychological  
10 process of threat externalisation (i.e. attribution of self-threat to sources other than, and external to the  
11 self). Heightened PE prevalence among those experiencing SIB may reflect such a process.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

*SIB before psychosis*

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 While no known research has explored this hypothesis recent evidence does seem to show  
25 that SIB may be common prior to psychosis. For example, in two samples of individuals at risk of  
26 psychosis a high prevalence of suicidal ideation prior to clinical assessment was observed (72.0–  
27 76.9%) (Hutton, Bowe, & Parker et al, 2011; Hui, Morcillo & Russo et al 2013). Similarly, Welsh and  
28 Tiffin (2013) reported that 30% of a sample of adolescents at an 'at-risk mental state' for psychosis  
29 had attempted suicide in the past six months. Moreover, a recent study investigating SIB prior to first  
30 presentation of psychosis and during a 3-year follow-up in a sample of 397 first episode psychosis  
31 patients found that the greatest suicide risk was found during the month before and 2 months after first  
32 contact with psychiatric services (i.e., 'early' attempts) (Ayesa-Arriola, Alcaraz, & Hernández et al.  
33 2015). Indeed, 15 to 26% of patients have attempted suicide at least once by their first contact while  
34 an additional 2 to 11% make another attempt during the first year of treatment (Addington, Williams,  
35 Young & Addington, 2004; Agerbo, Nordentoft & Mortensen, 2002; Verdoux, Liraud & Gonzales et  
36 al. 2001). Recently, Granö et al. (2011) found that adolescents at heightened risk of psychosis had  
37 significantly higher scores of suicidal ideation compared with other help-seeking adolescents,  
38 suggesting that the association may be present already before service utilisation. Furthermore, while  
39 monitoring CHR patients enrolled in an early intervention programme for psychosis, Preti et al.  
40 (2009) found that as psychosis symptom severity decreased, SIB decreased also. Notably however,  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 each of these researchers have adhered to more traditional perspectives regarding psychosis → suicide  
4  
5 directionality.

6  
7 To initiate empirical testing of a *suicidal drive hypothesis* therefore the current study sought  
8  
9 to (i) demonstrate that suicidal behaviour was more likely to precede psychotic disorder, (ii)  
10  
11 demonstrate that PEs would be more commonly reported by those who experienced SIB, and (iii)  
12  
13 demonstrate that the strength of the association between PEs and SIB would vary according to both  
14  
15 SIB recency and severity. It was proposed that if psychosis was responsive to internally generated and  
16  
17 self-directed threat then it would not only be preceded by suicidal behaviour but that PEs would be  
18  
19 more strongly associated with SIB at more extreme levels and where SIB was most recent and/or  
20  
21 sustained. These preliminary hypotheses were formulated to offer a necessary first investigatory step  
22  
23 before exploration of the broader proposed threat response aspects of the hypothesis could be  
24  
25 considered.

## 26 27 **Materials and methods**

### 28 29 *Samples*

30  
31 Two separate data resources were utilised to test the proposed hypotheses. All data handling, analyses  
32  
33 and dissemination of findings were conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines set out by the  
34  
35 Danish (the National Centre for Social Research; <https://en.sfi.dk/>) and UK (UK Data Service;  
36  
37 <https://www.ukdataservice.ac.uk/>) data custodians.

### 38 39 *Danish data*

40  
41 To investigate the temporal occurrence of suicidal behaviour and psychotic disorder,  
42  
43 prospective cohort data from Denmark was accessed. A complete cohort of all individuals born in  
44  
45 Denmark in 1984 (N=27,840 males and 26,618 females followed from birth in 1984 to early  
46  
47 adulthood in 2005) was sourced. Using the Danish Psychiatric Nationwide Case Register and the  
48  
49 National Patient Register, annual hospital admissions data for suicidal behaviour (hospitalisation for  
50  
51 self-harm or suicidal behaviour) and psychosis (psychotic disorder diagnosis) were targeted  
52  
53 specifically (see Shevlin, Elklit, Hyland, Christofferen & Murphy, 2016; Thygesen, Daasnes,  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Thaulow & Brønnum-Hansen, 2011) for full details on structure and access of Danish health and  
4 social registry data).

### 5 6 7 *Measures*

#### 8 9 10 *Psychotic disorder & suicidal behaviour*

11  
12 To attain a suitable measure of psychosis, annually recorded status denoting presence of  
13 schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders (ICD-10 F20-F29) was selected from the  
14 Psychiatric Case Register. In Denmark, every time a person is treated in a psychiatric hospital or  
15 department they receive an ICD-10 (previously ICD-8/9) diagnosis code, made by a psychiatrist,  
16 which is recorded on the appropriate register. Diagnosis status (has received diagnosis / no diagnosis)  
17 was identifiable annually for all individuals in the cohort therefore it was possible to establish in  
18 which year(s) psychotic disorder was present, if at all, for the entire sample. Overall, from 1984 to  
19 2005 406 (0.7%) individuals from the cohort had received a psychotic disorder diagnosis (Average  
20 age of first diagnosis = 18.14 years; SD = 3.37).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 To attain a suitable measure of suicidal behaviour, data denoting self-harm and suicide  
32 attempts was sourced from the Danish Psychiatric Nationwide Case Register and the National Patient  
33 Register. The definition of suicide attempts included behaviour that conformed to the following three  
34 conditions: (i) Suicide attempts that led to hospitalisation, (ii) assessment of trauma being an act of  
35 self-mutilation according to the international statistical classification of injuries when discharged from  
36 hospital, (iii) trauma included in a specified list of traumas traditionally connected with suicide  
37 attempts: e.g. cutting in wrist (carpus), firearm wounds, hanging, self-poisoning with drugs, pesticide,  
38 cleaning fluids, alcohol, or carbon monoxide. Also included was intentional self-harm according to  
39 hospital admissions in a psychiatric ward. Once again suicidal behaviour status was identifiable  
40 annually therefore it was possible to establish in which year(s) suicidal behaviour was present, if at  
41 all, for the entire sample. Overall, from 1984 to 2005 1,034 (1.8%) individuals from the cohort had a  
42 hospital/psychiatric record denoting self-harm and/or suicide attempts (Average age of first  
43 hospitalisation = 15.13 years; SD = 5.95).  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*UK data*

To explore prevalence estimates of PEs and SIB items in relation to high risk age categories for SIB and to investigate whether the strength of the association between PEs and SIB would vary according to SIB recency and severity, data from two UK based epidemiological surveys was accessed. Specifically, data was taken from the British Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (BPMS; 2001) and the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS; 2007). The primary aim of these epidemiological surveys was to estimate the prevalence and correlates of mental health problems among adults aged 16 and over living in private households in the United Kingdom (UK). A two-phase approach was used in both surveys. The first phase interviews included structured assessments serving diagnostic criteria and screening instruments for a range of mental disorders, as well as questions on topics such as general health, service use, risk factors and demographics. The second phase interviews were carried out by clinically trained research interviewers. Using the small users' postcode address file (PAF) the National Centre for Social Research (NatCen) adopted a multi-stage stratified probability sampling design. One adult aged 16 years or over was selected for interview within each household. Details of both survey methodologies are available (Mc Manus, Meltzer, Brugha, Bebbington & Jenkins, 2009; Singleton, Bumpstead, O'Brien, Lee & Meltzer, 2001). In the BPMS Interviews were successfully conducted with 8580 adults (3852 males and 4728 females; mean age 45.36 (SD = 15.61) while in the APMS Interviews were successfully conducted with 7403 adults (3197 males and 4206 females; mean age 51.12 (SD = 18.59) years).

While the Danish data was limited to clinical records of psychotic disorder and hospital treatment for SIB, analyses conducted on the UK data were specifically designed to investigate sub-clinical PE. Importantly, individuals experiencing subclinical PE in the general population were less likely to have been exposed to factors that have been associated with SIB and PE in a clinical context. E.g. hopelessness, stigma and social exclusion often attached to receiving treatment for psychotic disorder (TARRIER, Khan, Cater & Picken, 2007), and the distress commonly associated with admission to hospital for many who are diagnosed with a psychotic disorder (Morrison, Bowe, Larkin & Nothard, 1999) were recognised as potentially important factors that were unlikely to be applicable to



1  
2  
3 the entire sample. Therefore, those individuals who were diagnosed with a psychotic disorder were  
4 omitted from the analyses (the overall weighted prevalence of psychosis was; BPMS = 0.4% (N =  
5 32); APMS = 0.4% (N = 29). The remaining sample sizes were; BPMS N=8548; APMS N = 7266.  
6  
7

### 8 9 *Measures*

#### 10 11 12 *SIB*

13  
14 A positive response to suicidal thoughts or attempts was followed up with a question on  
15 whether this last occurred in the past week, the past year or during the respondent's lifetime before the  
16 past year. Given the low endorsement of suicidal attempts 'in the past week' in both samples (BPMS  
17 N = 2; APMS N = 0) data denoting SIB in the past year and the past week were aggregated to produce  
18 variables denoting SIB in the past year. To acquire a combined measure of change in recency and  
19 severity of SIB the variables in each dataset were recoded to produce five categories of SIB recency  
20 and severity (see Table 1).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

#### 28 29 *PEs*

30  
31 In both UK surveys, the psychosis screening questionnaire (PSQ; Bebbington & Nayani,  
32 1995) was administered to assess psychotic symptoms within the past year. The PSQ consisted of five  
33 main questions enquiring about mania, thought insertion, paranoia, strange experiences and  
34 hallucinations, (P1 to P5), their subsidiary question(s) (a and or b) and sections to record verbatim  
35 descriptions of the symptoms described. For the purposes of the current study, analysis was based  
36 solely on selected subsidiary questions of the PSQ items to attempt to capture clinically relevant  
37 psychotic experiences within the sample. The current analyses focussed specifically on PSQ items 2  
38 through 5 due to the inflated endorsement of the mania probe in both surveys (BPMS = APMS =  
39 PSQ1 = 52 % endorsement). This item ('Over the past year, have there been times when you felt very  
40 happy indeed without a break for days on end?') was considered less reliable as an accurate screen for  
41 psychosis given that over half of both samples endorsed the probe, whereas no more than 18 % of the  
42 samples endorsed either of the remaining four probe items. Responses to each of the selected  
43 questions were coded 1 = item endorsed; 0 = item rejected. An 'unsure' response to any of the four  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 items was recoded and treated as missing data. The main and subsidiary questions of the selected PSQ  
4  
5 items were (see Table 1: analysis relevant questions in bold):  
6

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1 here

### *Covariate selection*

A range of PE covariates were also selected, re-coded and statistically controlled for in the analyses of the UK data. These included respondent: sex, age, ethnicity (white/non-white), education (possessed qualifications/no qualifications), employment status (employed/unemployed), living status (lived alone/co-habited), trauma history (experienced sexual abuse, homelessness or violence in the home/no trauma), alcohol dependence (present/absent), cannabis use (used at least once/never used), and any neurotic disorder (present/absent).

## **Results**

### *Danish data analysis of suicidal behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder*

To begin, prospective cohort data from the Danish health and social registry was sourced to investigate the temporal occurrence of suicidal behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder where both phenomena co-occurred. In total, 406 (0.7%) individuals from the cohort had received a psychotic disorder diagnosis in their lifetime and 1,034 (1.8%) had a hospital/psychiatric record denoting suicidal behaviour/self-harm. Overall, 82 (0.1%) individuals had a record of both psychotic disorder diagnosis and treatment for suicidal behaviour/self-harm. Among those with dual status (occurrence of both suicidal behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder) 31 (37.8%) received hospital treatment for suicidal behaviour/self-harm and were diagnosed with a psychotic disorder in the same year; 34 (41.4%) were hospitalised for suicidal behaviour/self-harm in the year(s) prior to psychotic disorder diagnosis; and 17 (20.7%) were diagnosed with a psychotic disorder in the year(s) prior to hospitalisation for suicidal behaviour/self-harm ( $\chi^2 = 6.02$  (df = 2) p = 0.049). Notably, most individuals (38%) who were treated for suicidal behaviour/self-harm prior to treatment for psychosis were treated in the year before psychotic disorder diagnosis and most individuals who were diagnosed with a psychotic disorder prior to treatment for suicidal behaviour/self-harm were diagnosed in the

1  
2  
3 year before hospitalisation for suicidal behaviour/self-harm (82.3%). Proportionally however the  
4  
5 analysis showed that suicidal behaviour/self-harm either preceded or co-occurred with psychotic  
6  
7 disorder in 79% of dual status cases.

#### 9 *UK data analysis of SIB and PE*

11  
12 Next, analyses were then employed to test whether PE prevalence increased in relation to SIB  
13  
14 recency and severity. In the BPMS and the APMS PE prevalence among those who did not report SIB  
15  
16 was below 1% for all PE items except item 4 ('strange experiences'; BPMS = 2.1%; APMS = 1.8%).  
17  
18 Overall, the prevalence of strange experiences (PSQ 4) was higher for each of the SIB recency-  
19  
20 severity categories compared to the other PE items. Generally, PE prevalence increased steadily in  
21  
22 relation to both the recency and the severity of SIB. Among those who both thought about and  
23  
24 attempted suicide in both their lifetime preceding the year of assessment and during the year of  
25  
26 assessment, 17.47% on average reported a PE. Cross-tabulations between PE items and SIB recency  
27  
28 and severity categories are presented in Table 2.

30 Table 2 here

31  
32  
33 To test whether the association between PEs and SIB would vary according to both the  
34  
35 recency of the ideation and the severity of the ideation, while controlling for a range of variables  
36  
37 known to be associated with PE, a multivariate logistic regression model was specified and estimated.  
38  
39 Mplus 5.21 (Muthén & Muthén, 2007) was used to estimate the model parameters using robust full  
40  
41 information maximum likelihood. This method allowed parameters to be estimated using all available  
42  
43 information and has been found to be superior to alternative methods such as listwise deletion  
44  
45 (Enders, 2001; Schafer & Graham, 2002). Regarding covariate effects, both regression models (BPMS  
46  
47 & APMS) were largely consistent with one another.

#### 49 *SIB recency-severity & PE*

51  
52 Regarding SIB recency and severity, overall in both regression models (Table 3 & 4) the  
53  
54 likelihood of experiencing psychosis was lowest for those characterised by the least severe (thought  
55  
56 only) and most distant (lifetime before year of assessment) SIB. Conversely, the likelihood of  
57

1  
2  
3 experiencing psychosis in the year of assessment was highest for those who reported sustained SIB  
4 (i.e. thought about and attempted suicide in lifetime both during and before the year of assessment).  
5  
6 Generally, odds ratios for PE were larger in the APMS analysis. Regarding individual PEs, reported  
7 by those with the most severe and recent SIB, hallucinations were most likely in the BPMS (Adjusted  
8 OR = 7.48; 95% CI = 2.31 – 24.27) whereas paranoia was most likely in the APMS (Adjusted OR =  
9 16.88; 95%CI = 6.61 – 43.08). In both models also, the odds of reporting hallucinations were higher  
10 in cases where SIB included a suicide attempt i.e. regardless of general SIB recency. The unadjusted  
11 odds ratios indicated that on average PEs were significantly more likely to be reported in all SIB  
12 recency-severity categories (Average unadjusted ORs from least severe and most distant SIB to most  
13 recent and severe SIB, across both surveys = Thought Lifetime Only = 3.82, Thought & Attempt  
14 Lifetime Only = 8.35, Thought Life Time & Last Year = 8.52, Thought & Attempt Lifetime &  
15 Thought Last Year = 23.10, Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Last Year = 24.74)

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Table 3 & 4 here  
28  
29

## 30 Discussion

31  
32 *Does suicidal behaviour/self-harm precede psychotic disorder?*  
33  
34

35 The findings from the Danish prospective data suggested that, where both suicidal  
36 behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder co-occurred, suicidal behaviour/self-harm was more  
37 likely to precede psychotic disorder. Specifically, Danish registry records showed that 41% of those  
38 who had a history of both suicidal behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder were hospitalised for  
39 suicidal behaviour/self-harm before psychotic disorder was diagnosed. Conversely, records showed  
40 that 21% of those with 'dual status' were diagnosed with a psychotic disorder before they received  
41 hospital attention for suicidal behaviour/self-harm. These prospective findings, while offering  
42 tentative support for the proposed hypotheses, must also however be considered in light of some  
43 notable limitations. Firstly, it was not possible to establish which experience came first among those  
44 whose records showed suicidal behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder in the same year. Given  
45 that data was available on an annual basis only, temporal ordering of both phenomena within the same  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 year was not possible. Second, suicidal behaviour/self-harm and psychotic disorder status in the  
4  
5 Danish data was entirely restricted to cases that were clinically and publically recorded. The Danish  
6  
7 cohort data therefore did not recognise suicidal ideation, which generally precedes behaviour.  
8  
9 Importantly also, the Danish data was likely to be inclusive of non-suicidal traumas, injuries and self-  
10  
11 harm without suicidal intent. Likewise, it did not assess PE which typically precedes psychotic  
12  
13 disorder. Therefore, the fact that hospitalization for suicidal behaviour/self-harm was more likely to  
14  
15 occur before hospitalization for psychotic disorder does not necessarily mean that suicidal ideation  
16  
17 occurred before PE. This analysis therefore is only representative of the more extreme manifestations  
18  
19 of these phenomena.

20  
21 *Does PE vary in relation to SIB?*

22  
23  
24 The findings from the analyses of both UK survey datasets revealed that (i) that PE  
25  
26 prevalence seemed to increase in relation to both the recency and the severity of SIB and (ii) that PEs  
27  
28 were statistically more likely to be associated with more recent and more severe SIB. that have  
29  
30 favoured a PE → SIB framework. For example, this was not the first analysis of PE and SIB using the  
31  
32 UK data. Recently Koyanagi and colleagues (2015) found that individual PEs in the APMS were  
33  
34 significantly associated with suicidal thoughts (ORs 3.22 to 4.20) and suicide attempts (ORs 3.95 to  
35  
36 10.23). In addition, a greater number of PEs was associated with higher odds for suicidal ideation and  
37  
38 suicide attempt. Koyanagi's findings also complimented and seemed consistent with other 'sub  
39  
40 threshold PE-SIB' studies based on Australian (Taylor et al. 2015) and US (Saha, Scott & Johnston,  
41  
42 2011) population samples. These studies however, did not consider an inverse relationship between  
43  
44 these phenomena. The findings from the current set of analyses therefore suggest that SIB → PE  
45  
46 effects lie in the same range as effects reported in PE → SIB studies, and as such merit equal  
47  
48 consideration.

49  
50 A number of analytic limitations must also be considered in relation to the UK findings. First,  
51  
52 while PE was anchored to the year of assessment in both UK surveys it was not possible to determine  
53  
54 whether PE was present before SIB in the years preceding assessment. Prospective data analysis will  
55  
56 be required to offer a more robust test however to date, as mentioned, findings from extant research,  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 modelling SIB as an outcome variable, have adopted a similar analytic strategy using similar data  
4 (Taylor et al. 2015; Koyanagi et al. 2015; Saha et al. 2011). Second, while every effort was made in  
5 the UK analyses to measure “true” subclinical psychotic experiences, the measurement of psychosis-  
6 like symptoms can be confounded by numerous factors such as respondents’ misunderstanding the  
7 nature of the questions or normalizing the experiences (Wittchen & Kessler, 2010).  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

### 13 *Extant evidence suggesting SIB → psychosis directionality*

14  
15

16 While such findings are a necessary entry point for the proposed hypothesis they are in  
17 themselves however only a crude suggestion of causality. Similar findings using alternative  
18 prospective data will be required before the hypothesis can be justifiably explored further. At present  
19 however the hypothesis is potentially supported by findings elsewhere in the psychosis research  
20 literature. Most notably, the proposed hypothesis seems consistent with much of the extant cognitive  
21 literature surrounding PEs. For example, at a phenomenological level, PEs, particularly positive PEs,  
22 are commonly characterised by negative beliefs about the self, and threat-related content. Cognitive  
23 theorists have hypothesized that these experiences occur when intrusive and distressing mental events  
24 are experienced as ego-dystonic and therefore externalized (Morrison, Bowe, Larkin, Nothard, 1999).  
25 Paranoia and persecutory delusions for example become more prominent when the activation of  
26 negative self-schemas leads to the expectation of harm from others (Fowler, Hodgekins & Garety, et  
27 al. 2012). Moreover, it is believed that AVHs result from the misattribution of self-directed inner  
28 speech to an external source (Harkavy-Friedman, Kimhy, Nelson, Venarde, Malaspina & Mann,  
29 2003). There is evidence that these experiences may emerge from distressing intrusive thoughts (e.g.  
30 negative self-evaluation; suicidal ideation) (e.g. Langdon, Jones, Connaughton & Fernyhough, 2009).  
31 Moreover, research on source monitoring has consistently shown that auditory hallucinations are  
32 associated with an externalizing bias for negative internal events (Morrison & Baker, 2000); in the  
33 context of the current hypothesis, we argue that the inner speech that is externalised may be suicide-  
34 related.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 *Conclusion*

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Given the novelty of the hypothesis the authors wish to be cautious about proposing potential clinical  
4 implications at this time. However, if psychosis is shown to be sometimes consequential to internally  
5 generated, self-directed and inescapable threat to the self, then some initial implications are worth  
6  
7 considering. First, SIB should be explored as a potential risk factor that might be included in  
8  
9 prospective assessment tools to be used with, for example high-risk groups. Second, clinical  
10  
11 interventions with high-risk and first episode psychosis samples might pay particular attention to  
12  
13 episodes of suicidal ideation in patients' histories.  
14  
15

16  
17 It is also important however to recognise that, for some, these phenomena may be entirely  
18  
19 separate but arise due to shared risk factors. Both phenomena are also, regardless of co-occurrence or  
20  
21 order, likely to represent maladaptive attempts to deal with unwanted/distressing thoughts, emotions,  
22  
23 or situations. Clinical interventions therefore will likely need to focus on helping individuals to  
24  
25 recognise the context and history of their experiences, beliefs and behaviours but more importantly to  
26  
27 help them to recover or attain a sense of worth, value and belonging.  
28  
29

30 In conclusion, many others before have introduced/entertained concepts such as e.g.  
31  
32 'splitting', 'projection' and 'dissociation' in attempts to explain the 'strange' phenomenology of  
33  
34 psychosis (e.g. Klein; Feigenbaum; Bleuler). In 1911, Bleuler in fact referred to the "suicidal drive" as  
35  
36 "the most serious of *schizophrenic* symptoms". While our proposed hypothesis does not necessarily  
37  
38 recognise SIB as a symptom of psychosis, it does recognise SIB as a potential driver of psychosis and  
39  
40 in turn, suggests that psychosis may be, in part, a response to one of the most intolerable threats that  
41  
42 an individual can experience.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**References**

- Addington, J., Williams, J., Young, J., & Addington, D. (2004). Suicidal behaviour in early psychosis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 109, 116-120.
- Agerbo, E., Nordentoft, M., & Mortensen, P. B. (2002). Familial, psychiatric, and socioeconomic risk factors for suicide in young people: nested case-control study. *British Medical Journal*, 325, 74.
- Ayesa-Arriola, R., Alcaraz, E. G., Hernández, B. V., Pérez-Iglesias, R., Moríñigo, J. D. L., Duta, R., ... & Crespo-Facorro, B. (2015). Suicidal behaviour in first-episode non-affective psychosis: Specific risk periods and stage-related factors. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.09.008.
- Bebbington, P., & Nayani, T. (1995). The psychosis screening questionnaire. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 5, 11-19.
- Bentall, R. P., Kinderman, P., & Kaney, S. (1994). The self, attributional processes and abnormal beliefs: towards a model of persecutory delusions. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 32, 331-341.
- Bleuler, F. *Dementia Praecox or the Group of Schizophrenias*. (1911) Translated by J. Zinkin. New York, NY: International Universities Press, 1950; 488.
- Chapman, C. L., Mullin, K., Ryan, C. J., Kuffel, A., Nielssen, O., & Large, M. M. (2015). Meta-analysis of the association between suicidal ideation and later suicide among patients with either a schizophrenia spectrum psychosis or a mood disorder. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 131, 162-173.
- Cisler, J. M., Bacon, A. K., & Williams, N. L. (2009). Phenomenological characteristics of attentional biases towards threat: A critical review. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 33: 221-234.
- DeVylder, J. E., Lukens, E. P., Link, B. G., & Lieberman, J. A. (2015). Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among adults with psychotic experiences: data from the Collaborative Psychiatric Epidemiology Surveys. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 72, 219-225.
- DeVylder, J. E., Oh, A. J., Ben-David, S., Azimov, N., Harkavy-Friedman, J. M., & Corcoran, C. M. (2012). Obsessive compulsive symptoms in individuals at clinical risk for psychosis: association with depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation. *Schizophrenia Research*, 140, 110-113.
- Ehlers, A., Hackmann, A., Steil, R., Clohessy, S., Wenninger, K., & Winter, H. (2002). The nature of intrusive memories after trauma: The warning signal hypothesis. *Behavior Research and Therapy*, 40, 995-1002.
- Elwood, L. S., Hahn, K. S., Olatunji, B. O., & Williams, N. L. (2009). Cognitive vulnerabilities to the development of PTSD: A review of four vulnerabilities and the proposal of an integrative vulnerability model. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 29, 87-100.



- 1  
2  
3 Enders, C. K. (2001). The performance of the full information maximum likelihood estimator in  
4 multiple regression models with missing data. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 5,  
5 713–740.
- 6  
7 Fisher, H. L., Caspi, A., Poulton, R., Meier, M. H., Houts, R., Harrington, H., ... & Moffitt, T. E.  
8 (2013). Specificity of childhood psychotic symptoms for predicting schizophrenia by 38 years  
9 of age: a birth cohort study. *Psychological medicine*, 43, 2077-2086.
- 10  
11 Foa, E. B., Ehlers, A., Clark, D. M., Tolin, D. F., & Orsillo, S. M. (1999). The posttraumatic  
12 cognitions inventory (PTCI): Development and validation. *Psychological Assessment*, 11, 303.
- 13  
14 Fowler, D., Hodgekins, J., Garety, P.A., Freeman, D., Kuipers, E., Dunn, G., . . . & Bebbington, P.E.  
15 (2012). Negative cognition, depressed mood, and paranoia: A longitudinal pathway analysis  
16 using structural equation modeling. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 38, 1063-1073.  
17 doi:10.1093/schbul/sbr019.
- 18  
19 Fox, A. S., Oler, J. A., Tromp, D. P., Fudge, J. L., & Kalin, N. H. (2015). Extending the amygdala in  
20 theories of threat processing. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 38, 319-329.
- 21  
22 Freeman, D. (2007). Suspicious minds: the psychology of persecutory delusions. *Clinical*  
23 *Psychological Review*, 27, 425-457.
- 24  
25 Frith, C. (2012). Explaining delusions of control: The comparator model 20years on. *Conscious*  
26 *Cognition*, 21, 52-54.
- 27  
28 Gallego, J. A., Rachamalla, V., Yuen, E. Y., Fink, S., Duque, L. M., & Kane, J. M. (2015). Predictors  
29 of suicide Attempts in 3,322 Patients with affective Disorders and schizophrenia spectrum  
30 Disorders. *Psychiatric Research*, doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2015.05.024.
- 31  
32 Garety, P. A., Freeman, D., Jolley, S., Dunn, G., Bebbington, P. E., Fowler, D. G., ... & Dudley, R.  
33 (2005). Reasoning, emotions, and delusional conviction in psychosis. *Journal of Abnormal*  
34 *Psychology*, 114, 373.
- 35  
36 Granö, N., Karjalainen, M., Suominen, K., & Roine, M. (2011). Poor functioning ability is associated  
37 with high risk of developing psychosis in adolescents. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 65, 16–21.
- 38  
39 Harkavy-Friedman, J. M. (2015). Suicide risk and prevention in patients with schizophrenia.  
40 *Suicidologi*, 14, 2.
- 41  
42 Harkavy-Friedman, J. M., Kimhy, D., Nelson, E. A., Venarde, D. F., Malaspina, D., & Mann, J. J.  
43 (2003). Suicide attempts in schizophrenia: the role of command auditory hallucinations for  
44 suicide. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 64, 871-874.
- 45  
46 Haw, C., Hawton, K., Sutton, L., Sinclair, J., & Deeks, J. J. (2005). Schizophrenia and deliberate self-  
47 harm: A systematic review of risk factors. *Suicide and Life- Threatening Behaviour*, 35, 50–62.
- 48  
49 Hawton, K., Sutton, L., Haw, C., Sinclair, J., & Deeks, J. J. (2005). Schizophrenia and suicide: a  
50 systematic review of risk factors. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 187, 9–20.
- 51  
52 Harkavy-Friedman, J. M. (2015). Suicide risk and prevention in patients with schizophrenia.  
53 *Suicidologi*, 14, 2.
- 54  
55 Hor, K., & Taylor, M. (2010). Suicide and schizophrenia: a systematic review of rates and risk  
56 factors. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 24, 81–90.
- 57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Hui, C., Morcillo, C., Russo, D. A., Stochl, J., Shelley, G. F., Painter, M., Jones, P., & Perez, J.  
4 (2013). Psychiatric morbidity, functioning and quality of life in young people at clinical high  
5 risk for psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research*, 148, 175–180.
- 6  
7 Hutton, P., Bowe, S., Parker, S., & Ford, S. (2011). Prevalence of suicide risk factors in people at  
8 ultra-high risk of developing psychosis: a service audit. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 5,  
9 375-380.
- 10  
11 Kelleher, I., Corcoran, P., Keeley, H., Wigman, J. T., Devlin, N., Ramsay, H., ... & Wasserman, D.  
12 (2013). Psychotic symptoms and population risk for suicide attempt: a prospective cohort study.  
13 *JAMA psychiatry*, 70, 940-948.
- 14  
15 Koyanagi, A., Stickley, A., & Haro, J. M. (2015). Subclinical psychosis and suicidal behavior in  
16 England: Findings from the 2007 Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey. *Schizophrenia Research*,  
17 168, 62-67.
- 18  
19 Langdon, R., Jones, S. R., Connaughton, E., & Fernyhough, C. (2009). The phenomenology of inner  
20 speech: comparison of schizophrenia patients with auditory verbal hallucinations and healthy  
21 controls. *Psychological medicine*, 39(04), 655-663.
- 22  
23 Mc Manus, S., Meltzer, H., Brugha, T., Bebbington, P., & Jenkins, R. (2009). Adult Psychiatric  
24 Morbidity in England, 2007: Results of a Household Survey. UK: The Health and Social Care  
25 Information Centre, Social Care Statistics. UK Data Archive Study Number 6379.
- 26  
27 Morrison, A. P., & Baker, C. A. (2000). Intrusive thoughts and auditory hallucinations: a comparative  
28 study of intrusions in psychosis. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 38(11), 1097-1106.
- 29  
30 Morrison, A. P., Bowe, S. B., Larkin, W., & Nothard, S. (1999). The psychological impact of  
31 psychiatric admission: some preliminary findings. [Report]. *Journal of Nervous Mental  
32 Disorders*, 187, 250–253.
- 33  
34 Muthén, L., & Muthén, B. (2007). *Mplus User Guide*. Los Angeles, CA: Statmodel.
- 35  
36 Palmer, B. A., Pankratz, S., & Bostwick, J. M. (2005). The life time risk of suicide in schizophrenia:  
37 are examination. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 62, 247–253.
- 38  
39 Preti, A., Meneghelli, A., Pisano, A., & Cocchi, A. (2009). Risk of suicide and suicidal ideation in  
40 psychosis: results from an Italian multi-modal pilot program on early intervention in psychosis.  
41 *Schizophrenia Research*, 113, 145-150.
- 42  
43 Radomsky, E. D., Haas, G. L., Mann, J. J., & Sweeney, J. A. (1999). Suicidal behavior in patients with  
44 schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 156, 1590-1595.
- 45  
46 Saha, S., Scott, J. G., Johnston, A. K., Slade, T. N., Varghese, D., Carter, G. L., & McGrath, J. J.  
47 (2011). The association between delusional-like experiences and suicidal thoughts and  
48 behaviour. *Schizophrenia Research*, 132, 197-202.
- 49  
50 Schafer, J. L., & Graham, J. W. (2002). Missing data: our view of the state of the art. *Psychological  
51 Methods*, 7, 147–177.
- 52  
53 Schalinski, I., & Teicher, M. H. (2015). Type and Timing of Childhood Maltreatment and Severity of  
54 Shutdown Dissociation in Patients with Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorder. *PLOS ONE*, DOI:  
55 10.1371/journal.pone.0127151
- 56  
57 Schauer, M., & Elbert, T. (2015). Dissociation following traumatic stress. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie*,  
58 218, 109-127.
- 59  
60

## Suicidal Drive Hypothesis

- 1  
2  
3 Shevlin, M., Elklit, A., Hyland, P., Christofferen, M., & Murphy, J. (In Press). Social, Familial and  
4 Psychological Risk Factors for Psychosis: A Birth Cohort Study Using the Danish Registry  
5 System. *Psychosis*.
- 6  
7 Singleton, N., Bumpstead, R., O'Brien, M., Lee, A., & Meltzer, H. (2001). Psychiatric morbidity  
8 among adults living in private households. London: TSO.
- 9  
10 Tarrier, N., Khan, S., Cater, J., & Picken, A. (2007). The subjective consequences of suffering a first  
11 episode psychosis: trauma and suicide behaviour. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric*  
12 *Epidemiology*, 42, 29–35.
- 13  
14 Taylor, P. J., Hutton, P., & Wood, L. (2015). Are people at risk of psychosis also at risk of suicide and  
15 self-harm? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 45, 911-926.
- 16  
17 Thygesen, L. C., Daasnes, C., Thaulow, I., & Brønnum-Hansen, H. (2011). Introduction to Danish  
18 (nationwide) registers on health and social issues: structure, access, legislation, and archiving.  
19 *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 39, 12-16.
- 20  
21 Verdoux, H., Liraud, F., Gonzales, B., Assens, F., Abalan, F., & Van Os, J. (2001). Predictors and  
22 outcome characteristics associated with suicidal behaviour in early psychosis: a two-year  
23 follow-up of first-admitted subjects. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 103, 347-354.
- 24  
25 Welsh, P., & Tiffin, P. A. (2013). The 'at-risk mental state' for psychosis in adolescents: clinical  
26 presentation, transition and remission. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 45, 90–98.
- 27  
28 Wisco, B. E., Marx, B. P., Sloan, D. M., Gorman, K. R., Kulish, A. L., & Pineles, S. L. (2015). Self-  
29 Distancing From Trauma Memories Reduces Physiological but Not Subjective Emotional  
30 Reactivity Among Veterans With Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Clinical Psychological*  
31 *Science*, 2167702614560745.
- 32  
33 Wittchen, H-U., & Kessler, R. C. (2010). Modifications of the CIDI in the National Comorbidity  
34 Survey: the Development of the UMCIDI: NCS Working Paper #2. 1994. [http://www.hcp.med.  
35 harvard.edu/ncs/ftpdir/um-cidi.pdf](http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/ncs/ftpdir/um-cidi.pdf). Accessed May 7, 2010.
- 36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.** UK data SIB and PSQ item selectionCategories of SIB recency and severity

- i Thought about suicide in lifetime only (i.e. lifetime preceding year of assessment)
- ii Thought about & attempted suicide in lifetime only
- iii Thought about suicide in the last year only
- iv Thought about & attempted suicide in lifetime AND Thought about suicide in the last year
- v Thought about & attempted suicide both in lifetime & last year

Main and subsidiary questions of the selected PSQ items

- 2. Over the past year, have you ever felt that your thoughts were directly interfered with or controlled by some outside force or person?
- 2a. Did it come about in a way that many people would find hard to believe, for instance, through telepathy?**
- 3. Over the past year, have there been times when you felt that people were against you?
- 3a. Have there been times when you felt that people were deliberately acting to harm you or your interests?
- 3b. Have there been times when you felt that a group of people was plotting to cause you serious harm or injury?**
- 4. Over the past year, have there been times when you felt that something strange was going on?
- 4a. Was it so strange that other people would find it very hard to believe?**
- 5. Over the past year, have there been times when you heard or saw things that other people could not?
- 5a. Did you at any time hear voices saying quite a few words or sentences when there was no one around that might account for it?**

---

PSQ = Psychosis screening questionnaire (for PSQ items the analysis relevant questions are in bold).

## Suicidal Drive Hypothesis

**Table 2.** Associations between PEs and SIB items in the BPMS & APMS

<u>SIB (Recency &amp; Severity)</u>	<b>PSQ2</b>		<b>PSQ3</b>		<b>PSQ4</b>		<b>PSQ5</b>	
	<u>Thought Control</u>		<u>Paranoia</u>		<u>Strange Experiences</u>		<u>Hallucinations</u>	
	Yes N (%)		Yes N (%)		Yes N (%)		Yes N (%)	
	BPMS	APMS	BPMS	APMS	BPMS	APMS	BPMS	APMS
None	48 (0.7)	32 (0.5)	63 (0.9)	50(0.8)	152 (2.1)	110 (1.8)	28 (0.4)	11 (0.2)
Thought Lifetime-Only	15 (2.1)	7 (1.5)	22 (3.1)	16 (3.3)	29 (4.1)	31 (6.5)	8 (1.1)	5 (1.0)
Thought & Attempt Lifetime Only	11 (3.6)	6 (2.4)	18 (5.9)	14 (5.7)	28 (9.1)	22 (9.0)	11 (3.6)	8 (3.2)
Thought Lifetime & Last Year	7 (3.0)	11 (5.2)	20 (8.4)	14 (6.5)	29 (12.3)	25 (11.8)	8 (3.4)	5 (2.3)
Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Thought Last Year	6 (10.5)	3 (7.0)	9 (15.8)	5 (11.6)	16 (28.6)	6 (14.6)	5 (8.6)	3 (7.0)
Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Last Year	5 (10.6)	3 (8.6)	8 (17.4)	9 (25.7)	18 (38.3)	9 (25.7)	5 (10.6)	1 (2.9)
$\chi^2$ p	132.41***	107.79***	277.78***	267.59 ***	431.00***	233.68***	175.81***	117.76***

\*\*\* = p<0.001;  $\chi^2$  df=5

**Table 3.** Associations between PEs, PE correlates and SIB items for **BPMS**

	ORs (95% CIs)			
	PSQ2 Thought Control	PSQ3 Paranoia	PSQ4 Strange Experiences	PSQ5 Hallucinations
<b>Demography</b>				
Sex (female 55.1%)	1.02 (0.62-1.68)	0.54** (0.36-0.82)	1.04 (0.77-1.41)	1.15 (0.57-2.32)
Age ( $\bar{x}$ = 45.38; SD=15.62)	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	1.00 (0.98-1.01)	0.98*** (0.97-0.99)	1.00 (0.98-1.03)
Ethnicity (non-white 5.6%)	1.25 (0.47-3.34)	3.39*** (1.79-6.42)	1.31 (0.77-2.22)	2.25 (0.90-5.58)
Education (no qualifications 28.5%)	1.67 (0.98-2.83)	1.25 (0.77-2.01)	0.98 (0.69-1.40)	1.38 (0.78-2.45)
Employment (unemployed 40.9%)	1.26 (0.75-2.14)	1.10 (0.71-1.71)	1.43* (1.04-1.97)	0.85 (0.45-1.65)
Living Alone (alone 22.4%)	1.31 (0.80-2.15)	0.95 (0.62-1.46)	1.25 (0.92-1.69)	1.02 (0.56-1.87)
<b>Environmental Correlates</b>				
Trauma (present 12.5%)	2.73** (1.50-4.96)	3.77*** (2.41-5.89)	1.73** (1.21-2.48)	2.14* (1.10-4.15)
Alcohol (problem 24.1%)	1.28 (0.69-2.36)	0.74 (0.49-1.12)	0.79 (0.58-1.08)	1.47 (0.72-2.99)
Cannabis (used 21.9%)	1.40 (0.71-2.76)	1.34 (0.83-2.16)	1.17 (0.84-1.62)	1.33 (0.59-3.01)
<b>Clinical Correlates</b>				
Any neurotic disorder (present 17.4%)	1.70 (0.91-3.19)	2.98*** (1.89-4.69)	3.41*** (2.45-4.76)	3.17*** (1.70-5.89)
<b>SIB (Recency &amp; Severity)</b>				
Thought Lifetime Only	2.38* (1.23-4.62)	1.77 (0.95-3.30)	1.09 (0.67-1.80)	1.99 (0.70-5.64)
	<b>3.30***</b>	<b>3.07***</b>	<b>1.99***</b>	<b>3.06*</b>
Thought & Attempt Lifetime Only	3.23** (1.39-7.51)	2.81** (1.41-5.60)	1.85* (1.04-3.27)	3.84** (1.42-10.39)
	<b>6.82***</b>	<b>7.83***</b>	<b>4.67***</b>	<b>8.99***</b>
Thought Life Time & Last Year	2.43 (0.89-6.63)	4.34*** (2.11-8.93)	2.40** (1.42-4.08)	3.25* (1.28-8.26)
	<b>4.17**</b>	<b>10.06***</b>	<b>6.10***</b>	<b>6.99***</b>
Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Thought Last Year	3.22* (1.06-9.83)	5.16** (1.95-13.66)	4.71*** (2.13-10.42)	6.39** (1.92-21.30)
	<b>14.28***</b>	<b>25.81***</b>	<b>21.72***</b>	<b>25.08***</b>
Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Last Year	4.47* (1.11-18.05)	4.62** (1.72-12.42)	5.64*** (2.45-12.97)	7.48** (2.31-24.27)
	<b>14.43***</b>	<b>18.78***</b>	<b>22.93***</b>	<b>21.16***</b>

Unadjusted Odds Ratios are in bold; \* = p<0.05; \*\* = p<0.01; \*\*\* = p<0.001

## Suicidal Drive Hypothesis

**Table 4.** Associations between PEs, PE correlates and SIB items for APMS

	ORs (95% CIs)			
	PSQ2 Thought Control	PSQ3 Paranoia	PSQ4 Strange Experiences	PSQ5 Hallucinations
<b>Demography</b>				
Sex (female 56.5%)	0.86 (0.47-1.58)	0.46** (0.27-0.78)	0.69* (0.49-0.99)	0.58 (0.26-1.32)
Age ( $\bar{x}$ = 51.17; SD=18.59)	1.02 (0.99-1.04)	0.97*** (0.96-0.99)	0.99* (0.97-1.00)	0.99 (0.96-1.02)
Ethnicity (non-white 7.3%)	2.80* (1.09-7.22)	4.52*** (2.43-8.42)	0.76 (0.43-1.34)	4.21 (0.51-34.58)
Education (no qualifications 28.4%)	0.98 (0.48-2.00)	1.42 (0.78-2.57)	0.73 (0.49-1.10)	1.26 (0.37-4.29)
Employment (unemployed 48.1%)	1.33 (0.72-2.45)	0.98 (0.60-1.61)	0.74 (0.52-1.06)	0.57 (0.25-1.27)
Living Alone (alone 28%)	1.55 (0.87-2.74)	1.52 (0.88-2.62)	1.20 (0.85-1.69)	0.84 (0.37-1.92)
<b>Environmental Correlates</b>				
Trauma (present 13.8%)	1.74 (0.80-3.80)	2.97*** (1.72-5.13)	1.71** (1.15-2.54)	1.15 (0.44-3.03)
Alcohol (problem 21.6%)	1.48 (0.79-2.79)	1.67 (0.99-2.80)	1.38 (0.95-2.01)	1.04 (0.39-2.77)
Cannabis (used 19.2%)	1.17 (0.57-2.37)	0.70 (0.41-1.19)	0.79 (0.52-1.20)	0.69 (0.21-2.27)
<b>Clinical Correlates</b>				
Any neurotic disorder (present 16.4%)	1.99 (0.97-4.08)	2.80*** (1.62-4.83)	4.10*** (2.77-6.07)	3.54 (0.91-13.84)
<b>Suicidality</b> (Recency & Severity)				
Thought Lifetime Only	2.24 (0.80-6.27)	2.79** (1.34-5.83)	2.75*** (1.65-4.59)	6.27** (1.58-24.77)
	<b>2.66*</b>	<b>4.09***</b>	<b>4.38***</b>	<b>7.99***</b>
Thought & Attempt Lifetime Only	2.92* (1.00-8.53)	2.78* (1.18-6.56)	2.58** (1.31-5.09)	11.70** (2.72-50.26)
	<b>4.32**</b>	<b>6.10***</b>	<b>5.93***</b>	<b>22.15***</b>
Thought Life Time & Last Year	5.64** (2.09-15.20)	3.53** (1.57-7.92)	3.67*** (2.01-6.70)	7.52** (1.67-33.79)
	<b>8.16***</b>	<b>7.79***</b>	<b>9.88***</b>	<b>15.07***</b>
Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Thought Last Year	6.17* (1.05-36.38)	9.91** (2.42-40.67)	2.75 (0.90-8.43)	13.61* (1.85-100.14)
	<b>18.58***</b>	<b>24.97***</b>	<b>12.46***</b>	<b>41.90***</b>
Thought & Attempt Lifetime & Last Year	5.71* (1.23-26.38)	16.88*** (6.61-43.08)	5.32*** (2.17-13.05)	4.41 (0.45-43.60)
	<b>10.72***</b>	<b>47.64***</b>	<b>20.61***</b>	<b>41.63***</b>

Unadjusted Odds Ratios are in bold; \* = p&lt;0.05; \*\* = p&lt;0.01; \*\*\* = p&lt;0.001