



## Nanocomposite-Coated Scaffold Materials with Tailorable Hydrated Mechanical Behaviour

Acheson, J.<sup>1</sup>, Ziminska, M.<sup>1</sup>, Goel, S.<sup>2</sup>, Lennon, A.<sup>1</sup>, Dunne, N.<sup>3</sup>, Hamilton, A.<sup>4</sup>

School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Queen's University Belfast, UK
 Precision Engineering Institute, Cranfield University, UK
 School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Dublin City University, Ireland
 Engineering Sciences, University of Southampton, UK

## Introduction



- Tissue engineering solutions are an attractive alternative to autograft treatment for bone trauma patients
- Bone tissue scaffold development has challenges:-
  - High porosity in conjunction with suitable mechanical properties
  - Limitation in selection of materials



# Thin film nanocomposite coating to tailor mechanical properties of open cell structures

[Image] Alessandra Giuliani, Synchrotron Radiation and Nanotechnology for Stem Cell Research, Stem Cells in Clinic and Research, 2011











Coating has only been tested under ambient conditions. Testing must be done when submerged to examine efficacy under hydrated conditions

100 µm

[µm]

186

**Un-coated** 

- Highly porous
- Less than desirable mechanical properties

#### Coated

- Slightly reduced porosity
- Tailored mechanical properties to match surroundings





100 um

### **Materials**

- Open cell polyurethane foam
- Coated with varying number of quadlayers of:
  - » Poly(ethyleneimine)
  - » Poly(acrylic acid)
  - » Cloisite Na<sup>+</sup> nanoclay

### <u>Methods</u>

- Uniaxial compression testing
- SEM
- Surface profilometry
- MicroCT
- Mass and elastic modulus in environments of increasing RH











CONCLUSIONS

## **Coated Foam Properties when Dry**







## **Coated Foam Properties when Wet**



Queen's University

Belfast

Bioengineering Research Group

Mass (mg)







Two-level factorial design of experiments (DoE) to investigate crosslinking effect

 Table 5.1 Design of Experiment Factors

Factor	Parameter	Low	High	Units	Factor Type
А	Glutaraldehyde Molarity	0	2.5	М	Continuous
В	Glutaraldehyde Time	30	300	mins	Continuous
С	Temperature	0	120	°C	Discrete
D	Temperature Time	60	1500	mins	Continuous
Е	Crosslink Interval	5	30	QL	Discrete

## Optimise for output:

Hydrated elastic modulus

### **Optimal Crosslinked Coated Foams Characterised:**

- Hydrated elastic modulus
- Coating thickness SEM
- Hydrated coated thickness surface profilometry
- Mass and elastic modulus in environments of increasing RH
- FTIR





## **Design of Experiments**





### **Optimal Crosslinking:**

- Glutaraldehyde Crosslinking at 2.5 M
- Glutaraldehyde treatment time of 30 mins
- Crosslinking coating every 5 quadlayers deposited

INTRODUCTION

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RESULTS



## **Optimal Crosslinked Coated Foams**







## Conclusions



- Nanocomposite coatings provide significant improvement in elastic modulus, under ambient conditions
- Coating loses almost **all of its mechanical properties** when hydrated
- Effects of water on coating analogous with **water acting as a plasticiser** as described by others<sup>[1,2]</sup>
- Design of Experiments identified optimised crosslinking parameters:
  - » Glutaraldehyde treatment at 2.5 M for 30 mins, every 5 quadlayers
- Crosslinked coated foams retained **57%** of their ambient mechanical properties when hydrated compared to **1.97%** for uncrosslinked coated foams
- Crosslinking of coating allows for tailored hydrated physio-mechanical properties
- Coatings can be used to tailor the mechanical and physical structure of bone tissue scaffold materials to match that of surrounding bone

[1] Tanchek et al. Langmuir. 2006;22(11):5137–43.[2] Nolte, et al. Macromolecules, 2008:41, 5793–5798.





## Acknowledgements









NORTHERN IRELAND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING SOCIETY

**Special Thanks** 

Dr A Hamilton

Dr M. Ziminska

Prof N Dunne

Dr S Goel

Dr A Lennon

### Bioengineering Research Group



Queen's University Belfast

