

Cultural Policy in Northern Ireland: Making cultural policy for a divided society

Phil Ramsey, Ulster University
pt.ramsey@ulster.ac.uk

<http://ulster.academia.edu/PhilRamsey> | <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5873-489X>

Published as:

Ramsey, P. and Waterhouse-Bradley, B. (2018) Cultural Policy in Northern Ireland: making cultural policy for a divided society. In V. Durrer, T. Miller and D. O'Brien (Eds), *The Routledge Handbook of Global Cultural Policy* (pp.195–211). Abingdon: Routledge. (<http://www.routledge.com/products/9781138857827>)

This is an Accepted Manuscript of a book chapter published by Routledge in *The Routledge Handbook of Global Cultural Policy* on 18 September 2017, available online: <https://www.routledge.com/9781138857827>

Abstract

Northern Ireland (NI) is a small region of the United Kingdom with a history of violent conflict associated with the national and religious identities of its inhabitants. Post-conflict societies face complex challenges in the development of cultural policy, particularly where some cultural markers have become associated with antagonism or political affiliation. This chapter will focus on how the social, spatial, educational, religious and political divisions in NI – coupled with deep socio-economic deprivation and a lack of political consensus – mean that many issues relating to cultural policy are neglected. We chart how the history of NI has left significant barriers to shared culture within NI, leading to inertia on policy in relation to community relations and social cohesion. That being the case, we show how the government Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure (DCAL), and the Arts Council of Northern Ireland (ACNI), the main arm's length body for funding, have clear policies relating to how the arts and culture can alleviate socio-economic problems.

Cultural Policy in Northern Ireland: making cultural policy for a divided society

Phil Ramsey and Bethany Waterhouse-Bradley

Northern Ireland (NI) is a small region of the United Kingdom with a history of violent conflict associated with the national and religious identities of its inhabitants. Post-conflict societies face complex challenges in the development of cultural policy, particularly where some cultural markers have become associated with antagonism or political affiliation. This chapter will focus on how the social, spatial, educational, religious and political divisions in NI – coupled with deep socio-economic deprivation and a lack of political consensus – mean that many issues relating to cultural policy are neglected. We chart how the history of NI has left significant barriers to shared culture within NI, leading to inertia on policy in relation to community relations and social cohesion. That being the case, we show how the government Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure (DCAL), and the Arts Council of Northern Ireland (ACNI), the main arm's length body for funding, have clear policies relating to how the arts and culture can alleviate socio-economic problems. This is shown in the context of how the wider political system gives a central role to cultural policy as a driver of economic development, seen through the work of the publicly funded body Northern Ireland Screen, responsible for attracting international film and television productions to NI through direct financial subsidisation of production costs. With this example we show that there is much clearer consensus on the economic role for culture in NI than there is in relation to the more contentious cultural issues relating to historic divisions.

The Historical Context of Northern Ireland

NI is a small region with a population of 1.81 million under the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom (UK), sharing a border with the Republic of Ireland (ROI). Following the partitioning of Ireland in 1921, which led to the creation of NI, there has been ethnic conflict between the Protestant (largely identifying as British) majority and the Catholic (largely identifying as Irish) minority for several decades

(although conflict and violence in the region dates back centuries). From the late 1960s, NI descended into a violent political struggle known as the ‘Troubles’ which lasted until the 1990s in its most intense phase, and led to the deaths of more than 3500 people in the following thirty years.. Attacks and murders that are sectarian in their nature, and attacks upon the police and armed forces, continue almost to the present day. The economic and social scars of the conflict remain, with NI rated as one of the most deprived regions of the UK. The divided nature of society in NI can be charted back across multiple centuries, although what Hennessy (1997, p.1) calls the “deeper roots of conflict” can be traced to the Ulster Plantation in the Seventeenth Century, which involved the movement of (mainly) Protestants from England and Scotland into the province of Ulster (which maps largely onto the present-day NI). This situation led to hostility between the Planters and the already-existing Catholic population, especially in relation to land displacement, cultural and religious differences (Tonge 2002, p.5).

Following the 1921 establishment of NI, it was ruled by the Ulster Unionist Party (the then dominant political party aligned to maintaining NI’s position within the UK) through the NI Parliament until 1972 (Bew, Gibbon and Patterson 2002). Because the border of NI was drawn with the specific intent of retaining a majority population who identified as British and as such wished to retain the union with the Great Britain, cultural identity, and thus expressions of culture, became fundamental issues in the jurisdiction. After decades of direct rule from Westminster and several attempts at a political solution to the Troubles, the Belfast Agreement (1998) led to the setting up of a Legislative Assembly at Stormont and a devolved Executive Government to NI. Despite that, the NI Assembly has for some time existed in a precarious state. Ongoing threats to political power-sharing include: dealing with continued political violence, the perpetuation of the main paramilitary groupings many years after their ceasefires, and a failure to reach and implement agreement over a raft of cultural issues that include flags and symbols (Bryan 2015).

It is impossible to discuss conflict, culture and identity in NI without some generalisation and simplification of what are invariably complex and nuanced issues. These foundations of these are explored in depth in Ruane and Todd (1996), Miller (1998), and Nic Craith (2003); a historical examination of the conflict in NI can be

found in Hayes and McAllister (2013) and O'Dochartaigh (2016). Within NI entrenched division remains: schools, residential areas, and to a certain extent sport and social pursuits, remain largely segregated through most of the region, the social and economic costs of which will be discussed later in the chapter. The following sections will discuss the demographic, socio-economic, and political backdrop against which cultural policy is developed in NI.

Demographic and socio-economic context of NI

The economy in NI is highly dependent on the public sector, and economic policy has been focused on emphasizing private growth, innovation and skills improvement, and building a more appropriate economic infrastructure (NI Executive 2012a). However, during a period of economic decline across Europe and the UK, NI continues to be one of the most affected regions economically, with a 10% drop in Gross Value Added (GVA) between 2008 and 2011 – the largest decrease in the UK (Nolan 2014). The rate of child poverty in NI in 2012–2013 was 20.5%, one of the highest in the UK, and is predicted to rise to 29% in 2020–2021, a rate higher than the rest of the country (Browne *et al.* 2014, pp.19–21). Unemployment and underemployment contribute to these problems, with almost 15% economically inactive in the 16-74 age group (excluding students and retired persons), 16.81% of working aged unemployed people having never worked and 44.98% of those in long-term unemployment (NISRA 2012). Poor health among those from lower socio-economic backgrounds is also a significant problem (DHSSPS 2011).

In addition, there are myriad socio-economic problems among young people in NI, especially in terms of educational achievement among Protestant boys (Nolan 2014). Segregation in education continues to be the norm, with only 6.5% of children educated in integrated schools (as opposed to Protestant/Catholic schools) (Nolan 2014). There is no sign of change in these figures, as rather than supporting the education of Protestant and Catholic children side by side with a uniform-curriculum, the Executive has opted for the encouragement of shared schools, where students share campus resources while retaining separate teaching and learning (OFMDFM 2010). Finally, space and territory in NI remain substantially segregated, and while

there has been a significant decrease in ‘single identity wards’ across the region from 55% to 37% (wards where more than 80% of residents identify with a single community), at a micro level in many areas segregation still persists (Shuttleworth and Lloyd 2013). In spite of a period of sustained relative peace in the region and a clear shift towards moving away from self-identification as one side or the other, public attitudes reflect a pessimistic view of the future of good relations (McDermott 2014). As we discuss below, opposing politicians more readily find consensus around economic issues than they do cultural issues, which often leads to policy inertia.

Northern Ireland and the politicisation of culture

In this section we advance a discussion of the politicisation of culture within NI, and discuss how the notion of a national cultural policy frame of reference is instead undermined by a ‘bipolar’ notion of culture in NI. NI stands alongside a number of other countries and regions where “ethnic and cultural diversity” (Saukkonen and Pyykkönen 2008) necessitates the management of cultural policy accordingly, such as France (Kiwon 2007), the Netherlands (Delhaye and van de Ven 2014) and Catalonia (Barbieri 2012, p.17). Outside of Europe, there is some relevance to NI of the case of cultural policy in Canada, which has long been required to balance linguistic diversity, in addition to ethnic diversity in its cultural policy (Rabinovitch 2007). In NI culture is often defined within the public sphere, policy development and implementation in a narrow manner. While the reasons for this are myriad, the primary issues are political and historical in their origin (see Nic Craith, 2003).

One prominent issue within cultural policy in NI is the lack of a *national cultural policy* frame of reference (Ahearne 2011, p.155), the like of which can “provide a means of reconciling contending cultural identities by holding up the nation as an essence that transcends particular interests” (Miller and Yudice 2002, p.8). Were a policy official or politician attempting to evoke the nation within their approach, they would not have this as an option available to them, or rather would not have it if they were attempting to achieve consensus within the political and cultural sphere. (Later, one of the first attempts by a government department to construct a national cultural policy for NI will be discussed.) Rather, as we have seen, with marked heterogeneous

national identities being identified by NI's population, cultural policy that seeks to reflect a "distinctive cultural identity" (Mulcahy 1998, p.249) immediately alienates almost half of the population.

Moreover, viewing NI through what might be termed the 'bipolar' notion of culture (Graham and Nash 2006), or the 'two community straitjacket' (Feldman *et al.* 2005), a cultural paradigm seen in the assumption of many politicians, major media outlets, and public policy suggests the majority of people in the region can be neatly divided into these classifications. This notion is challenged through the most recent census data, which points to a marked change in self-identification of nationality and ethnicity, with 29.35% of respondents taking on the moniker of 'Northern Irish', eschewing more 'traditional' identification as either British, 48.41% or Irish, 28.35% (NISRA 2012). Also increasing is the number of respondents from national and ethnic backgrounds (4%) falling outside British, Irish, and Northern Irish, as increasing migration and the associated increase in births to foreign-born mothers begin to be reflected in demographic figures (NISRA 2012).

The so-called two-community straitjacket is particularly difficult for those from minority cultures, where race and ethnicity is seen as 'an extension' of sectarian divisions (Graham and Nash 2006). The creation of cultural identity allied to citizenship, religion and or nationality is already problematic within NI, but it is further problematised when other groups are considered, leaving as it does very little space for layered perceptions of personal identity. Demographic changes, however, are visibly absent or disproportionately attended to by community cohesion and cultural policy. In the next section we discuss the theory of cultural citizenship in relation to NI, and discuss culture that is consumed by the overall population which tends to be less politicised and shared. We then turn to a discussion of 'traditional' culture in NI, the culture often attached to contested practices and those which are often politicised as a means of identifying oneself as one group or differentiating from the 'other'. We focus here on sport, music and language.

Cultural citizenship and cultural practices

We can develop this theme by considering the concept of cultural citizenship (Stevenson 2003). When considering the implications of this theory for NI, we first see first that citizenship as a foundational process is disrupted. For example, O'Brien (2010, p.600) argues that an understanding of citizenship "in the sense that citizens accept the right of other individuals to be citizens" has "never existed in Northern Ireland due to differences in allegiances". Thus, to take Stevenson's authoritative quotation on cultural citizenship, we see that such a concept travels very poorly to NI:

Cultural citizenship therefore is the struggle for a democratic society that enables a diversity of citizens to lead relatively meaningful lives, that respects the formation of complex hybrid identities, offers them the protection of the social state and grants them the access to a critical education that seeks to explore the possibility of living in a future free from domination and oppression. (Stevenson 2010, p.289).

In some regards, Stevenson's conception can be seen to be realisable within NI society, where for example the social state is considered. However, for Stevenson "Only when public spaces become participatory and democratic spaces can we say that the project for an autonomous society has come to fruition" (Stevenson 2010, p.276).

Far from that being the case in NI physical markers of cultural identity are signifiers of territory, and used as a way of creating internal cohesion while 'othering' outsiders. Many of NI's public spaces are contested and segregated (Shirlow and Murtagh 2006), used for commemoration activities that divide (McDowell, Braniff and Murphy 2015) and marked out by the flying of flags that evoke other conflict areas, such as Israeli and Palestinian flags (Hill and White 2008). Moreover, this co-optation of cultural identity for the purpose of marking territory is extended to government departments, where placement of ministers in certain spaces to ensure dominance of a political perspective in that space is clear (Sinn Féin and DUP always look to the Departments of Culture, Arts and Leisure, and Education – areas where there is strong sense of using culture as a marker in the middle classes).

That said, much cultural consumption in NI, at the level of popular culture, is largely shared between the two main communities rather than divided. As such, much popular culture is globalised in its nature (Drache and Froese 2006), with areas such as film, music and fashion and being akin to the picture across Europe, and indeed much of the rest of the developed world. The broadcasting system in NI is dominated by that of the UK (Ofcom 2015, Ramsey 2015), leading to strong British cultural influences especially in terms of television drama, and in radio, in addition to that which is produced locally in NI (Moore 2003). In many of these areas there is often no discernable differences between members of the two main religious-political communities, while culture broadly construed is shaped by aspects of ‘British culture’ (see numerous entries on NI in Childs and Storry 1999) and ‘Irish culture’, though as O’Malley (2011, p.159) notes “Irish culture is deeply entwined with that of Britain” and thus the two are more difficult to demarcate.

Sport

Culture becomes more divided along community lines when the areas of sport and cultural identity are concerned, with sport an area which is greatly divided along religious lines (Hassan 2005). In NI participation in playing and watching rugby union and cricket are dominated by those identifying or brought up as Protestant; those identifying or brought up as Catholic make up an almost exclusive grouping in participating in playing and watching the historic Gaelic Games, under the auspices of the Gaelic Athletic Association (Burgess 2015a, p.107). While these sports are mainly linked to each community, the wearing of sporting apparel has caused tensions in the past, and is banned from certain public places (though this is not exceptional to NI). Soccer is one game which is played by and has spectators from both Protestant and Catholic communities (Hassan 2002), although the teams in the premier division of soccer in NI are supported almost exclusively by Protestants (eg. Linfield F.C.) or Catholics (eg. Cliftonville F.C.). It is the most contested sport in terms of clashes between fans of teams from opposing communities, and many sporting fixtures are heavily policed for this reason. In addition, support for the national soccer team – Northern Ireland – has been traditionally linked to Protestants, though there have been significant efforts in recent years by the governing body to make international matches more accessible (Hargie, Somerville and Mitchell 2015). In other areas of cultural identity and traditions we can see strong demarcations along community lines

(Nic Craith 2003). Space does not allow for a full examination of a range of topics that could be usefully surveyed, and so we choose to focus on music – which “for several centuries ... has been used as a primary means of encoding ‘party’ and religious affiliations” (Cooper 2010, p. 94) – and language, as two key sites for cultural contestation.

Music

Music in NI has the potential to be evocative in nature by virtue of its relationship to historical conflict. Among Protestants, for example, there is a strong musical tradition which accompanies Orange Order events. The most notable example is that of the commemoration of the Battle of the Boyne – a significant historical marker for Unionists which is symbolic of victory over a Catholic monarch. Each 12 July King William III’s victory over King James II in 1690 (Tong 2002, p.4–5) has traditionally been commemorated by those brought-up as Protestants, especially in rural areas. Implicit in this is the tradition that members of the Orange Order parade with marching bands, replete with fifes and ‘Lambeg’ drums (Cooper 2010, p.94), which causes significant tensions in some areas (Bryan and Jarman 1997, Bryan 2015). Accordingly, the Parades Commission is an independent public body set up in 1998 to reach determinations on which public parades can receive approval, and can issue conditions for how they must be conducted.

In recent years there have been attempts to open up Orangeism to a wider audience, and through the introduction of *Orangefest* to make it more culturally relevant (Kennaway 2015). That said, such cultural expressions generally play quite poorly on the European and global cultural stage, no doubt contributing to a sense that Ulster loyalists, one group associated with Orangeism, are “the least fashionable community in Western Europe” (McDonald as cited in Burgess 2015b, p.xii). Among those brought-up Catholic, there are firm Gaelic traditions, especially in relation to Irish traditional music – itself with clear musical connections to Scottish traditional music (Cooper 2009, p.65). The annual traditional music festival *Fleadh Cheoil na hEireann* is a massive event that attracts over 400,000 people (McLaughlin 2013), remarkable given that the all-island population of Ireland is just ~6.4 million people (CSO/NISRA 2014).

Language

The use of the Irish language is linked mainly to the Catholic-Nationalist community, from which the vast majority of its speakers are located in terms of expressed national identity. McMonagle (2010, p.255) argues that “Irish has come to be associated with nationalist/ republican identities”, or for Pritchard (2004, p.62), the language is “an important basis of Irish nationalism”. Often, this had not just been the ‘fault’ of one side or the other, but about how language – both Irish and Ulster-Scots – has been used as political tools in the so-called ‘culture wars’ (Nolan 2014, pp.154–162). However, the politicisation of the Irish language has occurred in a manner that is extremely reductionist when viewed historically. For example, Protestants “have made an important historical cultural contribution to the preservation and development of the Irish language” (Pritchard 2004, p.75) with Presbyterians in particular playing their part. Today, the Irish language has been ‘rediscovered’ among very small pockets among the Protestant-Unionist population. However, as we discuss below, issues in relation to language remain deeply contested at the policy level, with a continued failure among NI’s politicians to find an agreeable role for Irish within Northern Irish public life.

The Legal and Political Context for Cultural Policy in NI

Under the New Labour government (1997–2010), powers were initially devolved to the Scotland, NI and Wales through the Scotland Act (1998), the Northern Ireland Act (1998), and the Government of Wales Act (1998) respectively. Each of these legislatures have different sets of responsibilities (in the case of Scotland, a Parliament and a Government), and different reserved and devolved matters (Trench 2007). NI had a period of devolved government prior to this from 1922–1972, but the NI Parliament became untenable after increasing ethnic conflict between Protestant and Catholic communities in the region and NI underwent a lengthy period of direct rule from Westminster (McQuade and Fagan 2002). When devolution was established as part of the Belfast Agreement in 1998, it was a means of establishing the institutions through which conflict resolution could be achieved (Holloway, 2005). Shortly after devolution was established in 1998, there was another suspension of the local Assembly due to disagreements between the main political parties (2002–2007).

The most recent incarnation of devolution in NI is still in its early stages – at the time of writing the Assembly is in its third term of a mandatory coalition government – and the Executive is currently led by two diametrically opposed political parties: Sinn Féin, the major Irish Nationalist party, and the Democratic Unionist Party (DUP), the major British Unionist party.

The first NI Assembly after the Northern Ireland Act 1998 was elected in June 1998. Led by a First Minister and Deputy First Minister, it was supported by 10 ministries allocated proportionally across political parties (Knox, 1999). The Assembly was based on a consociational model of governance – a model particularly designed for the management of post-conflict governance which seeks to find a balance between the two conflicting communities and preserve the different identities (McGarry and O’Leary 2006, Graham and Nash 2006). However the manner in which the executive is constructed entrenches sectarian division and normalises it in the political sphere (Graham and Nash 2006). This division has the potential to lead to inhibited decision-making and delays in the policy process, with the failure to progress the proposed Irish Language Act that we discuss below a notable example. This delay in particular has resulted in international condemnation from the Council of Europe due to failure to comply with the European Charter for Regional and Minority Languages, and is perceived to be a direct result of divisions between the DUP and Sinn Féin, as well as a result of the politicisation of the Irish Language in the region (Meredith 2014). This section will outline some of the key cultural frameworks in the devolved Assembly, as well as providing insight into how political differences play out in the development of cultural policy.

The proposed Irish Language Act

The statutory requirements to promote and protect the Irish language are embedded in regional legislation and international charters, and the commitment to the production of Irish Language legislation was a condition of the St. Andrews Agreement in 2006 – which outlines the conditions for the main parties to re-enter power sharing at the local Assembly after a period of direct rule (NIHRC 2010). The UK is a signatory to the Council of Europe Framework Convention for National Minorities and the European Charter for Regional and Minority Languages (1992) which came into force in 1998. Nation states choose what languages they register and under which

jurisdiction it will be accountable. The European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages outlines a commitment to positive, proactive duties on minority language rights, and is legally binding under European Law (NIHRC 2010). Irish and Ulster-Scots are both registered for the region of NI, however the region has failed to produce evidence submissions to the monitoring reports in the past two rounds due to failure to reach consensus on the submission (Council of Europe 2013).

The proposal for an Irish Language Act, under a Sinn Féin-led Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure, is the NI Assembly's attempt at developing the Irish language legislation promised by the St. Andrews Agreement, and calls for the following actions (among others): to have Irish defined as an official language; the right to use Irish in courts, tribunals and other legal settings; parity for use of Irish in the NI Assembly; the promotion of Irish in public bodies, including affirmative action for Irish speakers; Irish language schemes in public bodies; parity of English and Irish on road signage and place names; and a guaranteed right to education in Irish (DCAL 2015a). However there has been strong and consistent resistance from the DUP and other Unionist politicians, with claims ranging from economic wastefulness in times of austerity, to Sinn Féin political posturing, to deliberate removal of 'Britishness' from NI (*The Newsletter*, 10.02.15). The argument around the Irish language is another example of the application of zero-sum politics in the region, where rather than being treated like a minority language, Irish is instead treated as a political symbol or emblem and as such is a threat to the 'other side' of the political community (NIHRC 2010).

Good Relations and the Social Cohesion

There is a legislative imperative set out by the Belfast Agreement which requires statutory agencies to address issues of equality and good relations. In spite of this imperative, there has been little documented long-term success of community relations. The *Harbison Review of Community Relations Policy* (2002) found that there had been no substantive change in decreasing division "as measured by greater integration of housing and education" (as cited in Graham and Nash 2006). Measuring the success of community relations policy based on public attitudes, Morrow *et al.* (2013) found that while there are some reasons for optimism, segregation remains significant and individuals are still sceptical about the possibility of sustained peace

and integration. Good relations policy in NI has very rarely been proactive in creating shared space (regardless of the implied language), but rather on creating neutral space to share, and maintaining the rights to separate but equal space elsewhere. The first attempt at social cohesion policy post-conflict, *A Shared Future* (OFMDFM 2005), was imperfect in its response, but attempted to address the issue of shared space. It placed some emphasis on putting integration in the foreground, and fostering trust and interdependence. The document was not implemented, and was ignored in the Programme for Government by the Sinn Féin and DUP led Assembly which took over from the Direct Rule authors of the document. It was followed by the proposed *Cohesion Sharing and Integration*, which was again scrapped after a highly critical reception from both the public respondents to the consultation and other political leadership (Nolan 2014).

Without public consultation, or the involvement of their partners in government – the Ulster Unionist Party, the Social Democratic and Labour Party, and Alliance – the Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister (in the NI Executive) produced *Together: Building a United Community* (TBUC) in 2013. The document, which focuses on children and young people, a shared community, a safe community, and cultural expression (OFMDFM 2013), provides little in the way of new developments in good relations and community cohesion, and did not have overt support from within government outside of the two main parties (Nolan 2014). TBUC follows previous policies in the expression of what Dixon (2002) refers to as ‘constructive ambiguity’ of good relations policy which allow for them to be interpreted however the audience sees fit. This is not restricted to post-conflict societies, but is part of the wider notion of status quo policy making, where this ambiguity can be used to seemingly address relevant concerns without commitment to one or another ideological stance. There is a policy of avoidance in addition to the idea of ambiguity in cultural policy – where discussions of history are often excluded, as is the aspiration for a united Ireland, a regular criticism of Sinn Féin in other policies (Nolan 2014). Constructive ambiguity therefore becomes both a contributor to and a product of consociational governance. To illustrate some of the policy inertia referred to throughout the chapter, we turn to a more-detailed examination of some current cultural policy in NI by addressing the work of the aforementioned DCAL

(Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure) and ACNI (Arts Council of Northern Ireland).

The Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure and the Arts Council of Northern Ireland

The government department in the NI Executive with responsibility for many areas of cultural policy is DCAL, which has legislative powers for cultural policy issues such as museums, libraries, the arts and language issues. However, not all areas in relation to culture are devolved, with broadcasting policy reserved to Westminster (Ramsey 2015) (1). The current minister is Carál Ní Chuilín MLA of Sinn Féin, who has been in post since 2011. DCAL had a budget in 2015–2016 of £91.7 million, which had been reduced by 8% since the previous year (DCAL 2015b). The largest budget item at the department is spending on libraries (£29.4m, 32% of its 2015–16 spending) (DCAL 2015b, p.20). Much of the department's work – like its counterpart the Department for Culture, Media and Sport in Westminster – is carried out by a number of arm's length agencies that include the delivery of the aforementioned library spending through Libraries NI, National Museums Northern Ireland and Sport Northern Ireland (DCAL 2011).

In terms of DCAL's policy approach, there are two main areas on which the department is focused: economic development; and equality and social inclusion. This is clear in its *key objectives*:

1. To ensure that culture, arts and leisure activities positively impact on promoting equality, and tackling poverty and social exclusion
2. To ensure that culture, arts and leisure contribute to the growth of the economy and building a united community. (DCAL 2015b, p.7)

Taken collectively, we can first see the policy approach of DCAL is towards equality and social inclusion as underpinned by economic development. The first of five of DCAL's *strategic pillars* has been focusing on how the arts can help to 'rebalance' the economy, stemming from a long held notion that the NI economy is too dependent

on the public sector. This is followed by the fifth pillar, which is “social inclusion and equality” (DCAL 2011, p.16). In its corporate plan, the department identifies the results that it expects within the year 2015–16 on these matters, through the utilisation of the Promoting Equality, Tackling Poverty and Social Exclusion framework. Here for example, the department’s target is to “increase the proportion of people in the 20% most deprived areas who engage in the arts to 79%” and to “increase the proportion of people in the 20% most deprived areas participating in sport to increase to 50%” (DCAL 2015b, p.14). In terms of its targets for economic development, it focuses on ongoing and planned redevelopment at Windsor Park and Casement Park, NI’s main stadia for soccer and GAA respectively.

The aforementioned lack of an overarching national cultural policy for NI has been tentatively addressed by a DCAL draft strategy (DCAL 2015c), which had just completed its consultation period at the time of writing. The draft strategy maintains the themes of equality promotion, and alleviation of poverty and social exclusion. As one its five themes, Creativity and Skills places an emphasis on the contribution of arts and culture to the economy, a theme which is discussed in more detail below. The draft strategy attempts to fit within current government programmes under the NI Executive, with a cross-departmental approach. However, the draft strategy is very light on detail, particularly in terms of implementation. Indeed, for a policy which strives for innovation, it has a strikingly similar vision statement to the department’s existing ‘key objectives’ discussed above. In this version, the vision is: “To promote, develop and support the crucial role of arts and culture in creating a cohesive community and delivering social change to our society on the basis of equality for everyone” (DCAL 2015c, p.11).

In an arts and cultural sector heavily dependent on public funding, major financial cuts have a substantial impact on many organisations important for the sustenance of diverse sector. To mitigate cuts across government departments in the Assembly, DCAL significantly reduced funding to ACNI, the main arm’s length body working in the arts in 2015–16 (ACNI 2015, p.18, Meridith 2015a). These cuts were set to be passed on to many of the organisations in receipt of ACNI funding, such as the Grand Opera House Belfast, the MAC and the Ulster Orchestra (Meridith 2015b). In terms

of its direct funding of outside organisations, ACNI is responsible for distributing funds to community organisations, many of which are tied almost exclusively to one side of the ethno-politico-religious divide or the other, such as in the funding of marching bands that represent narrow community groupings (Nolan 2012). At the time of writing, it is unclear what the long term impacts of austerity will be on the arts sector, but one can infer that it will have knock-on effects for cultural policy and good relations, given the inextricable links between policy and society, as outlined in this chapter thus far.

ACNI's policy approach, like DCAL's, is marked by an emphasis on fostering social inclusion within the arts. For example, it reported that in the period 2010–2013 that 74% of its funding had “gone directly into the most deprived areas” (ACNI 2013, p.7). Moreover, through its *Arts and Older People Strategy* it conducted programmes with the aim of ensuring older people in NI were not cut off from the arts, a programme underpinned by principles that were recognisably social democratic (Ramsey 2013). As part of its current five-year plan, the ACNI planned to “increase the proportion of arts activities delivered to the top 20% of the most deprived Super Output Areas” (ACNI 2013, p.14). Despite such an approach, the ACNI has reported during this five year cycle that “Arts engagement rates for the least deprived group was 86%, falling to 70% for the most deprived group” (ACNI 2014, p.3), and thus much work remains to be done in this area.

ACNI's *Intercultural Arts Strategy 2011–2016* (ACNI 2011) acknowledges that NI society had become more markedly ethnically diverse in the ten or so years leading up to that point. Accordingly, it set out that ACNI ought to “seek to foster the expression of cultural pluralism; build dialogue and promote understanding, through interchanges within and between communities and their cultures” (ACNI 2011, p.10). In the detail of the strategy, it set out six ‘strategic themes’ that included *Using the Arts to develop Community Cohesion*; *Using the Arts to develop Good Relations*; *Using the Arts as a vehicle to Tackle Racism* (ACNI 2011, p. p.67). Finally, and akin to DCAL, ACNI is also concerned with economic growth, where it argues “Stimulating the growth and development of our creative sector will optimize our economic potential and increase our competitiveness” (ACNI 2014, p.7). The ACNI also has responsibility for the NI's Creative Industries Innovation Fund, which takes us to the second point, where

cultural policy is seen in the service of economic development, a path which closely follows the wider UK model (eg. DCMS 2008).

Cultural policy as a driver of economic development

The creative industries (CIs) in NI have assumed a similar place in political-economy as is the case in the wider UK, where successive governments have both sought to stimulate and measure the sectors (DCMS 2001, 2011.). Statistics for the CIs are measured in the same manner as the rest of the UK, and their contribution to the economy highlighted by government departments. The most recent figures available at the time of writing show that the CIs comprised 3.9% of NI's GVA in 2014, an 11.7% increase since the previous year (DCAL 2015d, p.5). Compared with a national GVA of 5.2%, the NI rating is remarkably high given the weak NI economy, highlighted above. At the forefront of this development has been NI Screen, which has a key economic role to play in the development of the film and television industries. In place since 1997, it took over from the NI Film Council (NI Screen 2015a).

Northern Ireland Screen

The NI Screen approach to investing directly in productions, and seeing the economic benefits returned, correlates directly with that of its core funder Invest NI. Invest NI is a publicly funded body, which provides grants to international companies to locate in NI, and for regional companies to invest and expand with the aim of stimulating a private sector which was greatly suppressed by the Troubles. Seen in its two most recent strategies, in *Driving Global Growth* (NI Screen 2010) and *Opening Doors* (NI Screen 2014), NI Screen argues for the value for economic return on investment, alongside a role in education and the development of a skills base with the television and film industries. The sector grew from being mainly involved with production for local television networks to an industry competing internationally for major productions, the best known of which is HBO's *Game of Thrones* (GOT) (2011–present) which has filmed six series of the show predominantly in NI at the time of writing (NI Screen 2015a).

NI Screen provides direct funding for productions, with a limit of £800,000, “up to a ceiling of 25% of the overall project budget” (NI Screen 2015b). For those companies choosing to film in NI, the UK’s tax relief schemes apply, where companies can claim a maximum of 25% relief on qualifying expenditure, either under the UK Film Tax Relief (BFI 2015a) or under the UK High-end TV Tax Relief (BFI 2015b), with various caveats. The Northern Ireland Screen Fund, had budgeted resources of £15.89m between 2010–2014, while NI Screen’s *Opening Doors* strategy has budgeted £36.3m between 2014–2018 (NI Screen 2014, p.84). Much of this spending has gone, and will continue to go on GOT production (though NI Screen notes that it was able to reduce GOT funding from £3.2m to £1.6m when the UK tax relief was introduced) (NI Screen 2014, p.38) with an anticipated return of £136m by the end of 2018 with an 11.25 ratio between cost and return (NI Screen 2014, p.48). To date, NI Screen “has invested £12.45m in the series ... For that investment, it is estimated that £110.7m has been spent on goods and services in the Northern Ireland economy” (Meridith 2015c).

The Irish Language Broadcast Fund and the Ulster-Scots Broadcast Fund

NI Screen also administers the Irish Language Broadcast Fund (£3m in 2015–16) and the Ulster-Scots Broadcast Fund (£1m in 2015–16). Both funds are available for production companies to apply to for the support production costs for the delivery of broadcasting in the Irish language, and on Ulster-Scots themes, and aim to support 55 hours of Irish programming and 12 hours of Ulster-Scots programming in 2015–16 (NI Screen, 2015c). Broadcasters who have utilised content supported from these funds include the BBC, RTÉ, TG4 and UTV (Ofcom 2014, p.29), with a supposed direct relationship between the public funding and spending in the broadcasting sector.

The Belfast Agreement had provided impetus for such an initiative, though only in relation to Irish (NIO 1998, Section 6, Paragraph 4), and not also to Ulster-Scots (Ulster-Scots was solely mentioned in the context of it being “part of the cultural wealth of the island of Ireland” (NIO 1998, Section 6, Paragraph 3)). Little (2004) observes that Irish language was prioritised over Ulster-Scots in the GFA, and that the latter has always enjoyed a slightly tenuous position in NI. He argued that “making a special case for Irish language does not breach the general intentions of the

Agreement given that Irish language is not, in itself, disrespectful towards the culture of other groups” (Little 2004, p.17). This differentiation is also marked in the UK’s commitments to the European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages, where Ulster-Scots is listed only under category II, focused on the principle of protection in general and Irish is listed under parts II and III, which dictates specific measures to be undertaken in statutory agencies (NIHRC 2010).

Discussion

Following our previous discussion of cultural citizenship, we now return to this theme as a means of further exploring the contested nature of cultural policy in NI. Rather than cultural citizenship taking form – in the Stevenson mould that we discussed above – the equation of citizenship with cultural identity in NI (on both sides) in ways that are binary and oppositional, perpetuates the politicisation of culture at every level (Graham and Nash 2006). This brings us to some key cultural policy questions for NI: is it possible to implement a pluralist cultural policy, one where the concept of cultural citizenship might take root, when culture and territory are inextricably linked, and where territory remains entrenched and divided as contested space (Hughes *et al.* 2005)? What would such a ‘Northern Irish’ cultural policy look like, taking account both of the past, and of the changing nature of NI society with increased immigration? Such an endpoint is so distant under the current paradigm as to seem almost unimaginable, and as we have seen, the recent DCAL attempt falls somewhat short.

Whenever ‘culture’ is evoked in NI, in policy or in discourse, there is division to be found. Graham and Nash’s (2006) point that the language of culture has been co-opted as a means to justify or classify division is relevant here, further underlining how much work would be required: “In Northern Ireland, the attempt to deal with sub-state patterns of ethno-sectarian antagonism through principles of parity of cultural respect and esteem has inadvertently created a legitimating vocabulary of ‘culture’ and ‘cultural rights’ for antagonistic expressions of separatist difference” (Graham and Nash 2006, p.258). That said, the work of DCAL under Sinn Féin has often been rather oddly non-political at the level of party politics, apart from say the proposal for an Irish Language Act. While the emphasis on social exclusion and equality is

stronger than may be the case from the main Right and Centre-Right Unionist parties, examples of where ire has been drawn from Unionist parties has sometimes been found elsewhere (eg. the February 2016 publication of a book about the Republican Bobby Sands, part funded by ACNI (BBC 2016)). Herein lies one particular issue in relation to the formation of cultural policy. Due to the consociational nature of the NI Assembly, as discussed above, and the nature of a power-sharing Executive, DCAL often fails to take on any real kind of political direction as shaped by the Party with that Ministerial responsibility.

While DCAL is now under the control of Sinn Féin, it was previously under DUP control. There are some differences in the discourse used by these Parties – eg. “in this part of Ireland”, used by DCAL under Sinn Féin in the department’s mission statement (DCAL 2015b), as opposed to using the term ‘Northern Ireland’ – however it is less of a politicised department than might be assumed given the myriad cultural issues we have considered here. Indeed political differences at DCAL, depending on which party controls it, are not discernible in the same way that they would be in relation to DCMS either under the control of Labour or the Conservative parties in the UK. As the parties in the NI Executive are tied into the *Programme for Government* (NI Executive 2012b), the work of individual departments cannot deviate far from the collectively agreed policy positions. It is worth noting, however, that these priorities are inherently impacted by party divisions given that they must be approved by both Sinn Féin and DUP, who are the two main parties in the Executive, and hold the current powers for the Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister.

Rather, the policy approach of DCAL has been more in keeping with Bonet and Négrier’s (2011, p.578) notion that the dominant cultural policy trend since 1980 has been one of “economic and cultural development”. In addition to its work on socio-economic matters, the DCAL’s approach here mirrors that of where the NI Executive has arguably found its clearest *shared ground*, that of economic development driven by inward investment, and actualised in the built environment as the hegemonic political-economic vision for NI. This is shown in its work in supporting NI Screen, and its apparent dedication to GOT as a driver of economic growth through jobs creation and tourism transcends community divide. In some ways this is unsurprising, with consensus over job creation perhaps more easily reached as compared to some of

the more contentious issues. However, the unquestioning nature of some of the economic assumptions are made in relation to investment and growth exposes the depth at which the neoliberal paradigm has become engrained.

While government departments in NI are required to take delicate steps over territory and space when it comes to political and social issues, the economic imperative for NI Screen with the blessing of the NI Executive seemingly trumps all other concerns. In this understanding, NI's space is 'ripe' for development, with the attendant picturesque vistas ready to be exploited by global television businesses. Attracting HBO to NI has spurred growth in the tourism sector, with fans travelling to numerous filming locations around NI (eg. Boland 2014). Further attention was drawn to the filming in NI by visits by Queen Elizabeth II in 2014 and the British Prime Minister David Cameron in 2015. Such examples are then used by the supporting politicians to further justify support for the film and television sector. However, the work that NI Screen does and the excitement generated by GOT's filming in NI means that the enterprise has escaped with almost no criticism or detailed scrutiny over its operation, either from journalistic or academic sources. A dearth of analysis has meant that very little has been said about the precarious nature of HBO's relationship with NI, the ethics of providing public funding to a global-national on the scale of HBO (ultimately owned by its parent-company Time-Warner), the nature of the employment it creates – often employing workers on short-term contracts – or indeed on the impact on the environment (2).

Conclusion

NI remains very much shaped by the events of its past, in terms of cultural identity, and in the division of society and the socio-economic conditions which often lag considerably behind the rest of the UK. NI's political institutions, while they remain based on consociational principles, are often found to be inadequate to deal with key cultural policy questions due to a lack of consensus. The agreement between the main parties, that using publicly-funded agencies to attract investment into NI, especially in the area of the creative industries, has led to NI becoming a somewhat unlikely leading site outside of London in film and television production. However, pointing to

the cultural policy ‘success stories’ cannot mask what are deep-rooted problems, further underlined by wider divisions within NI society. Cultural policy could contribute to a more-shared future in NI, but what are at times seemingly intractable cultural problems remain as significant obstacles to be surmounted. Future research on the subject is required to further develop a theory of cultural policy in NI – the current literature specific to the subject is limited – to further understand the role of culture in the context of political, economic and social progress in the region. To this end, more empirical work that deals with arts and cultural institutions is required, along with the further policy analysis that will be required when DCAL transitions into its new departmental context.

Notes

- (1) In January 2015 it was proposed that the work of DCAL could be amalgamated with that of two other government departments in a new department, the Department of Social Welfare, Communities and Sport (Gordon 2015). This later simply became the Department for Communities.
- (2) Thanks to Steve Baker for drawing our attention to this point about the environmental impact.

References

ACNI, 2011. *Intercultural Arts Strategy. December 2011*. Belfast: Arts Council of Northern Ireland.

ACNI, 2013. *Ambitions for the Arts: A Five Year Strategic Plan for the Arts in Northern Ireland 2013-2018*. Belfast: Arts Council of Northern Ireland.

ACNI, 2014. *Arts and Culture in Northern Ireland 2014*. Belfast: Arts Council of Northern Ireland

ACNI, 2015. *Annual Report & Accounts For The Year Ended 31 March 2015*. Belfast: Arts Council of Northern Ireland.

Ahearne, J., 2011. Questions of religion and cultural policy in France, *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 17 (2), 153–169.

Barbieri, N., 2012. Why does cultural policy change? Policy discourse and policy subsystem: a case study of the evolution of cultural policy in Catalonia. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 18 (1), 13–30.

BBC News, 2016. *Bobby Sands comic book: Unionists criticise Arts Council over funding* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.com/news/uk-northern-ireland-35650389> [Accessed 25 February 2016].

Bew, P., Gibbon, P., and Patterson, H., 2002. *Northern Ireland 1921/2001: Political Forces and Social Classes*. London: Serif.

BFI, 2015a. *UK Film Tax Relief* [online]. London, British Film Institute. Available from: <http://www.britishfilmcommission.org.uk/film-production/uk-film-tax-relief/> [Accessed 9 October 2015].

BFI, 2015b. *UK High-end TV Tax Relief* [online]. London, British Film Institute. Available from: <http://www.britishfilmcommission.org.uk/hetvtaxrelief/> [Accessed 9 October 2015].

Boland, V., 2014. 'Game of Thrones' brings fantastical footfall to Northern Ireland [online]. Financial Times. Available from: <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/05688764-02cd-11e4-81b1-00144feab7de.html#axzz3o43Q8uIs> [Accessed 12 October 2015].

Bonet, L., and Négrier, E., 2010. Cultural policy in Spain: processes and dialectics. *Cultural Trends*, 19 (1–2), 41–52.

Browne, J., Hood, A., and Joyce, R., 2014. *Child working age poverty in Northern Ireland over the next decade: an update*. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies.

Bryan, D. and Jarman, N., 1997. Parading tradition, protesting triumphalism: utilising anthropology in public policy. In: H. Donnan and G. McFarlane, eds. *Culture and policy in Northern Ireland: anthropology in the public arena*. Belfast: Institute of Irish Studies, 211–230.

- Bryan, D., 2015. Parades, flags, carnivals, and riots: Public space, contestation, and transformation in Northern Ireland. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 21 (4), 565–573.
- Burgess, T.P., 2015a. This Sporting Life: Anything to Declare? Community Allegiance, Sports and the National Question. In: T.P. Burgess and G. Mulvenna, eds. *The Contested Identities of Ulster Protestants*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 98–112.
- Burgess, T.P., 2015b. Preface. In: T.P. Burgess and G. Mulvenna, eds. *The Contested Identities of Ulster Protestants*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, x–xiv.
- Childs, P. and Storry, M., eds. 1999. *Encyclopedia of Contemporary British Culture*. London: Routledge.
- CSO/NISRA, 2014. *Census 2011 Ireland and Northern Ireland*. Dublin and Belfast: Central Statistics Office and Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency.
- Cooper, D., 2009. *The Musical Traditions of Northern Ireland and its Diaspora: Community and Conflict*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Cooper, D., 2010. Fife and Fiddle: Protestants and Traditional Music in Northern Ireland. In: J.M. O'Connell and S. El-Shawan Castelo-Branco, eds. *Music in Conflict: Ethnomusicological Perspectives*. Champaign, IL.: University of Illinois Press, 89–106.
- Council of Europe, 1992. *European Charter for Regional and Minority Languages*. Strasbourg: Council of Europe.
- DCAL, 2011. *Corporate Plan and Balanced Scorecard 2011–15*: Belfast: Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure.
- DCAL, 2015a. *Proposal for an Irish Language Bill*. Belfast: Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure.
- DCAL, 2015b. *Business Plan 2015–16*. Belfast: Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure.
- DCAL, 2015c. *Strategy for Culture & Arts 2016–2026*. Belfast: Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure.
- DCAL, 2015d. *Creative Industries Economic Estimates Northern Ireland. Experimental Statistics 2014. DCAL Findings 3/2014–15*. Belfast: Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure.
- DCMS, 1998. *Creative industry task force: mapping document*. London: Department for Culture, Media and Sport.
- DCMS, 2008. *Creative Britain: new talents for the new economy*. London:

Department for Culture, Media and Sport.

DCMS, 2011. *Creative industries economic estimates: full statistical release: 8 December 2011*. London: Department for Culture, Media and Sport.

Delhaye, C., and van de Ven, V., 2014. 'A commitment to cultural pluralism'. Diversity practices in two Amsterdam venues: Paradiso and De Meervaart. *Identities*, 21 (1), 75–91.

DHSSPS, 2013. *Health Survey Northern Ireland 2010/2011: Analysis of Results by Socio-Economic Group*. Belfast: Department of Health and Social Services Northern Ireland.

Dixon, P., 2002. *Political skills or lying and manipulation? The choreography of the Northern Ireland peace process*. *Political Studies*, 50 (4), 725–741.

Drache, D., and Froese, M.D., 2006. Globalisation, World Trade and the Cultural Commons: Identity, Citizenship and Pluralism. *New Political Economy*, 11 (3) 361–382.

Feldman, A., Ndakengerwa, D., Nolan, A., and Frese, C., 2005. *Diversity, Civil Society and Social Change in Ireland: A North-South Comparison of the Role of Immigrant/'New' Minority Ethnic-Led Community and Voluntary Sector Organisations*. Dublin: Migration and Citizenship Research Initiative.

Gordon, G., 2015. *Stormont shake-up: Three departments likely to be broken up* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-30922226> [Accessed 8 October 2015].

Graham, B., and Nash, C., 2006. A Shared Future?: territoriality, pluralism and public policy in Northern Ireland. *Political Geography*, 25 (3), 253–278.

Hamilton, J., Hansson, U., Bell, J., and Toucas, S., 2008. *Segregated lives: Social division, sectarianism and everyday life in Northern Ireland*. Belfast: Institute for Conflict Research.

Hargie, O., Somerville, I and Mitchell, D., 2015. *Social Exclusion and Sport in Northern Ireland: Report on Research Funded by the Equality Directorate Research Branch, Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister*. Jordanstown: University of Ulster.

Hassan, D., 2002. A people apart: Soccer, identity and Irish nationalists in Northern Ireland. *Soccer & Society*, 3 (3), 65–83.

Hassan, D., 2005. Sport, Identity and Irish nationalism in Northern Ireland. In: A. Bairner, ed. *Sport and the Irish: Histories, Identities, Issues*. Dublin: UCD Press, 123–139.

Hayes, B. and McAllister, I., 2013. *Conflict to Peace: Politics and Society in Northern Ireland over half a Century*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

- Hennessy, T., 1997. *A History of Northern Ireland: 1921-2006*. Basingstoke. Macmillan Press.
- Hill, A., and White, A., 2008. The Flying of Israeli Flags in Northern Ireland. *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power*, 15 (1), 31–50.
- Hocking, B., 2015. *The Great Reimagining: Public Art, Urban Spaces and the Symbolic Landscapes of a 'new' Northern Ireland*. Oxford: Berghahn Books.
- Holloway, D., 2005. *Understanding the Northern Ireland Conflict: A summary and overview of the conflict and its origins*. Belfast: Community Dialogue.
- Kennaway, B., 2015. The Re-invention of the Orange Order: Triumphalism or Orangefest? In: T.P. Burgess and G. Mulvenna, eds. *The Contested Identities of Ulster Protestants*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 70–82.
- Kennedy-Pipe, C., 2013. *The Origins of the Present Troubles in Northern Ireland*. New York: Routledge.
- Kiwan, N., 2007. A critical perspective on socially embedded cultural policy in France. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 13 (2), 153–167.
- Knox, C., 1999. Northern Ireland: At the Crossroads of Political and Administrative Reform. *Governance: An International Journal of Policy and Administration*, 2 (3), 311–328.
- Knox, C., 2015. Sharing power and fragmenting public services: complex government in Northern Ireland. *Public Money & Management*, 35(1), 23–30.
- Little, A., 2004. *Democracy and Northern Ireland: Beyond the Liberal Paradigm?* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McDermott, P. 2014., *Intolerance of Minority Ethnic Communities: an overview of responses 2005-2015*. Belfast: ARK.
- McDowell, S., Braniff, M., and Murphy, J., 2015. Spacing commemorative-related violence in Northern Ireland: assessing the implications for a society in transition. *Space and Polity*, 19 (3): 231–243.
- McGarry, J., and O'Leary, B., 2006. Consociational theory, Northern Ireland's conflict and its agreement. Part 1: what consociationalists can learn from Northern Ireland. *Government and Opposition*, 41 (1): 43–63.
- McLaughlin, D., 2013. *Derry fleadh week 'biggest ever' say Organisers* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-foyle-west-23752870> [Accessed 18 September 2015].
- McMonagle, S., 2010. Deliberating the Irish language in Northern Ireland: from conflict to multiculturalism? *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*,

31 (3), 253–270.

Meredith, R., 2014. *Irish Language Policy of Northern Ireland Executive Criticised* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-25750658> [Accessed 29 September 2015].

Meridith, R., 2015a. *Arts Council of Northern Ireland facing further budget cuts this year* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-34224303> [Accessed 8 October 2015].

Meridith, R., 2015b. *Northern Ireland arts: 32 leading organisations face cuts of 7%* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-34460938> [Accessed 8 October 2015].

Meridith, R., 2015c. *Game of Thrones: TV drama ‘contributes £110m to NI economy’* [online]. London, BBC News. Available from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-33573492> [Accessed 9 October 2015].

Miller, T., and Yudice, G., 2002. *Cultural Policy*. London: Sage.

Moore, P., 2003. Legacy: Fourth phase public service broadcasting in Northern Ireland. *The Radio Journal: International Studies in Broadcast and Audio Media*, 1 (2), 87–100.

Morrow, D., G. Robinson and Dowds, L., 2013. *The Long View of Community Relations in Northern Ireland*. Belfast: ARK.

Mulcahy, K.V., 1998. Cultural Patronage in Comparative Perspective: Public Support for the Arts in France, Germany, Norway, and Canada. *The Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society*, 27 (4), 247–263.

The Newsletter, 2015. *Unionists brand Irish Language Bill ‘pointless and dictatorial’* [online]. Belfast, News Letter. Available from: <http://www.newsletter.co.uk/news/unionists-brand-irish-language-bill-pointless-and-dictatorial-1-6572058> [Accessed 10 October 2015].

Nic Craith, M., 2003. *Culture and Identity Politics in Northern Ireland*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

NI Executive, 2012a. *Economic Strategy: Priorities for sustainable growth and prosperity*. Belfast: Northern Ireland Executive.

NI Executive, 2012b. *Programme for Government 2011-2015*. Belfast: Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister.

NIO, 1998. *The Agreement: Agreement Reached in The Multi-Party Negotiations* [online]. Belfast, Northern Ireland Office. Available from: <http://cain.ulst.ac.uk/events/peace/docs/agreement.pdf> [Accessed 30 June 2014].

- NI Screen, 2010. *Driving Global Growth: Celebrating our Culture, Enhancing our Children's Education, Boosting our Economy*. Belfast: Northern Ireland Screen.
- NI Screen, 2014. *Opening Doors: A Strategy to Transform the Screen Industries in Northern Ireland: Phase 1 2014-18*. Northern Ireland Screen.
- NI Screen, 2015a. *Northern Ireland Screen Commission. Strategic Report, Directors' Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 March 2015*. Belfast: Northern Ireland Screen.
- NI Screen, 2015b. *Production Funding* [online]. Belfast, NI Screen. Available from: <http://www.northernirelandscreen.co.uk/sections/19/production.aspx> [Accessed 9 October 2015].
- NI Screen, 2015c. *Operating Plan 2015 – 16. Year 2 of the 4-year plan Opening Doors*. Belfast: Northern Ireland Screen.
- NISRA, 2012. *Census 2011: Key Statistics for Northern Ireland*. Belfast: Department of Finance and Personnel.
- Nolan, P., 2012. *Northern Ireland Peace Monitoring Report: Number One*. Belfast: Community Relations Council.
- Nolan, P., 2014. *Northern Ireland Peace Monitoring Report: Number Three*. Belfast: Community Relations Council.
- NIHRC, 2010. *Minority Language Rights: The Irish Language and Ulster-Scots*. Belfast: Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission.
- Northern Ireland Office, 1998. *The Agreement: Agreement reached in the multi-party negotiations*. Belfast: Northern Ireland Office.
- O'Brien, K., 2010. A Weberian approach to citizenship in a divided community. *Citizenship Studies*, 14 (5), 589–604.
- O'Dochartaigh, N., 2016. Northern Ireland Since 1920. In: R. Burke, R. and I. McBride, eds. *The Princeton History of Modern Ireland*. Oxfordshire: Princeton University Press, 141 –167.
- O'Malley, E., 2011. Culture and Lifestyle. In: *Contemporary Ireland*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 159–182.
- Ofcom, 2014. *Public Service Content in a Connected Society: Ofcom's third review of public service broadcasting, December 2014*. London: Ofcom.
- Ofcom, 2015. *Communications Market Report: Northern Ireland*. London: Ofcom.
- OFMDFM, 2005. *A Shared Future: Improving Relations in Northern Ireland*. Belfast:

Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister.

OFMDFM, 2013. *Together: Building a United Community*. Belfast: Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister.

Pritchard, R.M.O., 2004. Protestants and the Irish Language: Historical Heritage and Current Attitudes in Northern Ireland. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 25 (1), 62–82.

Rabinovitch, V., 2007. *Four 'Constants' in Canadian cultural policy* [online]. Quebec, Canadian Museum of History. Available from: <http://www.historymuseum.ca/research-and-collections/research/resources-for-scholars/essays-1/cultures/victor-rabinovitch/four-constants-in-canadian-cultural-policy/> [Accessed 16 October 2015].

Ramsey, P., 2013. *Arts and Older People Strategy 2010–2013*, Arts Council of Northern Ireland, *Cultural Trends*, 22 (3-4), 270–277.

Ramsey, P., 2015. Broadcasting to reflect 'life and culture as we know it': media policy, devolution, and the case of Northern Ireland. *Media, Culture & Society*, 37 (8), 1193–1209.

Saukkonen, P., and Pyykkönen, M., 2008. Cultural policy and cultural diversity in Finland. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 14 (1), 49–63.

Shirlow, P., and Murtagh, B., 2006. *Belfast: Segregation, violence and the city*. London: Pluto Press.

Shuttleworth I., and Lloyd, C., 2013. Moving apart or moving together? *Shared Space*, 16.

Stevenson, N., 2003. *Cultural Citizenship: Cosmopolitan Questions*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Stevenson, N., 2010. Cultural citizenship, education and democracy: redefining the good society. *Citizenship Studies*, 14 (3), 275–291.

Tonge, J., 2002. *Northern Ireland: Conflict and Change*. 2nd ed. Harlow: Pearson.

Trench, A., 2007. *Devolution and Power in the United Kingdom*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.