| 1 | Solar disinfection is an augmentable, in situ-generated photo-Fenton |
|--------|---|
| 2 | reaction—Part 1: A review of the mechanisms and the fundamental |
| 3 | aspects of the process |
| 4 | |
| 5 6 | Stefanos Giannakis ^a , María Inmaculada Polo López ^{b,c} , Dorothee Spuhler ^a , Jose Antonio Sánchez Pérez ^c , Pilar Fernández Ibáñez ^{b,c,**} , César Pulgarin ^{a,*} |
| 7 | |
| 8 9 | ^a Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne, Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland |
| 10 | ^b Plataforma Solar de Almería – CIEMAT, P.O. Box 22, 04200 Tabernas, Almería, Spain |
| 11 | ^c CIESOL, Joint Centre of the University of Almería-CIEMAT, 04120 Almería, Spain |
| 12 | |
| 13 | *Corresponding author: Prof. Dr. César Pulgarin, E-mail: cesar.pulgarin@epfl.ch |
| 14 | **Corresponding author: Dr. Pilar Fernández Ibáñez, E-mail: pilar.fernandez@psa.es |
| 15 | |

16

17 Abstract

The present manuscript is a conceptual review concerning the photo-Fenton reaction at near-neutral 18 19 pH, used for bacterial inactivation. In this first Part, an overview of the mechanisms involved, as well 20 as the fundamental concepts governing the near-neutral photo-Fenton reaction are critically assessed. 21 The two constituents of the process, namely solar light and the Fenton reagents, are dissociated, with 22 their direct and indirect actions thoroughly analyzed. The effects of UVB and UVA on the bacterial cell 23 are firstly discussed, followed by the presentation of the indirect oxidative stress-related inactivation 24 mechanisms initiated into the microorganism, in presence of light. Afterwards, the effect of each 25 Fenton reagent (H2O2, Fe) is analyzed in a step-wise manner, with H2O2 and Fe as enhancements of 26 the solar disinfection mode of action. This approach proves that in fact, the solar photo-Fenton 27 reaction is an enhanced solar disinfection process. Finally, the photo-Fenton reaction is put into 28 context by considering the possible interactions of the separate parts of the combined process with the constituents of the natural environment that can play an important role in the evolution of the 29 30 bacterial inactivation.

31

| 32 | Keywords: sc | olar | disinfection; | near-neutral | photo-Fenton; | light-bacteria | interaction; | mechanisms; |
|----|--------------|-------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| 22 | nhoto chamic | ctrue | nhoto hiolog | | | | | |

33 photo-chemistry; photo-biology

34 Abbreviations

35 AOP – Advanced Oxidation Process, ATP – Adenosine Triphosphate, CAT – Catalase, CDOM –

36 Chromoforic Dissolved Organic Matter, CPC – Compound Parabolic Collector, CPD – Cyclobutane

37 Pyrimidine Dimers, **DHAD** – Dihydroxyacid Dehydratase, **DNA** – Deoxyribonucleic Acid, **ESR** – Electron

38 Spin Resonance, FADH2 – Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide, LMCT – Ligand to Metal Charge Transfer, MDA

- 39 Malonaldehyde, NADH Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide, NER Nucleotide Excision Repair,
- 40 **NOM** Natural Organic Matter, **PET** Polyethylene Tetrapthalate, **POM** Particulate Organic Matter,
- 41 PP Photoproduct, ROS Reactive Oxygen Species, SOD Superoxide Dismutase, SODIS Solar
- 42 Disinfection, tRNA transfer ribonucleic acid, UV Ultraviolet (light), Vis Visible (light).

43 Table of Contents

| 44 | Akhvevietiene | r |
|------------------|---|---------|
| 45 | | Z |
| 46 | | 5 |
| 47 | Chapter I: Direct action of light | 12 |
| 48 | 1. UVB wavelengths (290-320 nm) effect | |
| 49 | 1.1. UVB-induced DNA photoproducts | |
| 50 | 1.1.1. Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) | 13 |
| 51 | 1.1.2. Pyrimidine (6-4) pyrimidone dimers | |
| 52 | 1.1.3. Monomeric pyrimidine (cytosine) photoproducts | 13 |
| 53 | 1.1.4. Purine base photoproducts | 13 |
| 54 | 1.2. Other UVB Targets | 14 |
| 55 | 2. UVA wavelengths (320-400 nm) effect | 14 |
| 56 | 2.1. Direct UVA DNA damage | 15 |
| 57 | 2.2. UVA Oxidative Damage | 15 |
| 58 | 2.3. Other UVA targets | 16 |
| 59 | 3. Simultaneous UVA and UVB exposure | 17 |
| 60 | Chapter II: Indirect action of light | |
| 61 62 | 1. Indirect inactivation mechanisms: UVB or UVA-initiated, iron release - ROS generation and cellular targets | ל 18 |
| 63 | 1.1 Overview of the indirect pathways | 18 |
| 64 | 1.2 Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) as a part of the cell life cycle | 20 |
| 65 | 1.2.1 ROS as physiological intermediates | 20 |
| 66 | 1 2 2 – ROS imbalance in cells | 20 |
| 67 | 1.3 The significance of the internal Fenton process: iron release and facilitation | 20 |
| 68 | 1.3.1 Physiological state of iron into the cell | 22 |
| 69 | 1.3.2 Light-induced changes in iron homeostasis | 23 |
| 70 | 1.4 Internal targets of the oxidative damage | 23 |
| 70 | 1.4.1 Ovidative-driven DNA damage | 24 |
| , <u>1</u> 72 | 1.4.2 Other cellular targets (proteins, linids, membranes, Ee/S clusters) | 24 |
| 72 | Chanter III: Enhancements | 20 |
| 73 | 1 Hydrogen perovide (H-O-) | 20 |
| 74 75 | 1. Hole actions in absence of light | 20 |
| 75 | 1.1. $H_2 O_2$ actions, in absence of light | ۵۵ |
| 70 77 | 1.2. Light-assisted $\pi_2 O_2$ mode of action | 30 |
| 11 | 2. Addition of from (Fe ⁻ /Fe ⁻) | |

| 78 | 2.1. | Iron as the Fenton reaction catalyst. | 32 |
|----------|----------------|--|----------|
| 79 | 2.2. | Influence of the matrix pH | 34 |
| 80 | 2.3. | Iron Oxides: Formation and basic properties | 35 |
| 81 | 2.4. | Iron, light supply and bacterial presence facilitate the photo-Fenton reaction | 39 |
| 82 | 2.4. | 1. Complexed iron: Organic, aqua- and aqua- hydroxy- complexes | 10 |
| 83 | 2.4. | 2. Iron-Microorganism interaction | 11 |
| 84 85 | 2.5. during | Homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton, photo-Fenton and semiconductor action mod simultaneous presence of hv, H ₂ O ₂ and Fe | e, 12 |
| 86 | Chapter | IV: Influence of the water matrix | 19 |
| 87 | 1. Influ | uence of natural organic matter on the photo-Fenton reaction | 19 |
| 88 | 1.1. | Definitions – Distinction among the components of NOM | 19 |
| 89 | 1.2. | DOM functions in natural waters | 50 |
| 90 | 1.2. | 1. Photo-activity: chromophoric and colored DOM | 50 |
| 91 | 1.2. | 2. Complexation with trace metal ions | 50 |
| 92 | 1.3. | DOM photo-chemistry and the Fenton reaction. | 51 |
| 93 | 1.4. | The dual role of DOM | 55 |
| 94 | 1.5. | Other radical species and interactions | 57 |
| 95 | Provisio | nal conclusions | 59 |
| 96 | Reference | ces | 50 |
| 97 | | | |

99 Introduction

100

The year 1894 marked a new era in chemistry, with the postulation of the so-called Fenton reaction, named by H.J.H. Fenton himself. Although accidentally, it was found that iron ions, when combined with oxidizing agents, resulted in a solution with higher oxidative capacities than its original counterparts. The first "application" was the mix of hydrogen peroxide, tartaric acid, a base and iron (II) salt [1]. The identification of this finding marked the "Fenton reaction" or "Fenton reagent and the first full publication which he authored indicated the principles of what we refer today as Fenton chemistry [2]:

108 1) The use of an oxidant,

- 109 2) a metal in its reduced form and
- 110 3) the involvement of higher oxidation state of the used metal.

111 Although the initial formulation involved the application of iron (II) and H_2O_2 or hypochlorous acid, 112 nowadays, we know that many metals can be used to facilitate the reaction, such as Cu, Cr, V, Ni, and 113 the H_2O_2 can be replaced by chlorine water or CaO_2 [1, 3-5].

Fenton himself continued his research using this reaction for the synthesis of hydroxylated compounds. The years that followed were governed by controversy on the action mode of this reaction, such as Bray and Gorin [6] who proposed the involvement of ferryl species $[Fe(IV)O]^{2+}$ or the proposal of Haber and Weiss [7], who proposed the one-electron oxidation of H₂O₂, and other investigators [8] who suggested that the free radical mechanism is not plausible, but other intermediates are involved.

The progress continued with additions (from Baxendale et al. and Barb et al.) [9, 10] and better 120 121 understanding of the process led to the application of treatment of various effluents from industrial 122 activities. Walling contributed significantly to the understanding of the process against pollutants [11-16], but the treatment of microorganisms was still out of question. No one could imagine that the 123 124 massive wastewater flows could be acidified for disinfection of microorganisms. Nevertheless, 125 investigators such as Irwin Fridovich and James Imlay, have contextualized the Fenton reaction and its 126 significance to biological systems (e.g. Imlay et al.) [17], and the first notions of its importance have 127 been made. 100 years after the discovery, unanimity prevailed over the importance of the Fenton 128 reaction in chemical and biological concepts.

129 The final era in photo-Fenton started during the 90's, when the first trials in higher pH were initiated 130 [18], and the contextualization assays of the photo-Fenton reaction were set-up [19-21]. The first 131 effort to inactivate microorganisms with iron complexes was made by Cho et al., [22] and the first 132 actual near-neutral photo-Fenton reaction for microorganisms' inactivation was performed by Rincon 133 and Pulgarin two years later [23]. The enhancing effect of the photo-Fenton process for E. coli 134 inactivation in drinking water was for the first time reported, opening the way for new research 135 directions; the near-neutral photo-Fenton works targeting various microbiological pollutants are 136 presented in Table 1. These past 10 years, until now, have witnessed numerous works in micro-137 contaminant and microbiological pollutant elimination.

In this review, we present a holistic approach in the (solar) photo-Fenton-driven inactivation of 138 139 bacteria, and move from the entirely internal processes towards the external events that take place 140 in aqueous media. More specifically, we begin with the direct effects of light on microorganisms, on 141 their vital components, separating the direct (Chapter I) and the indirect actions of light (Chapter II). 142 A conceptual review of the various actions, focusing on the photo-biological aspects is performed. As 143 the photo-Fenton process is a synergetic sum of different parts based on light exposure, it is in fact a 144 solar disinfection which can be enhanced (Chapter III), either by H_2O_2 , by iron, or both simultaneously; the effects of each process are deeply discussed. The final chapter (Chapter IV), deals with the basic 145 146 interactions of the aqueous media in which solar photo-Fenton may take place. Critical points and details on the effects that simultaneously occur, and elucidation of the process in a high degree is 147 148 provided to the reader.

149

150 Table 1 – Chronological review of the works on near-neutral photo-Fenton inactivation of microorganisms.

| Authors | Year | Reference | Торіс |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|--|
| Cho et al. | 2004 | [22] | Inactivation of <i>Escherichia coli</i> by photochemical reaction of ferrioxalate at slightly acidic and near-neutral pHs |
| Rincon and Pulgarin | 2006 | [23] | Comparative evaluation of Fe ³⁺ and TiO ₂ photoassisted processes in solar photocatalytic disinfection of water |
| Rincon and Pulgarin | 2007a | [24] | Absence of <i>E. coli</i> regrowth after Fe ³⁺ and TiO ₂ solar photoassisted disinfection of water in CPC solar photoreactor |
| Rincon and Pulgarin | 2007b | [25] | Fe ³⁺ and TiO ₂ solar-light-assisted inactivation of <i>E. coli</i> at field scale |

| Moncavo | 2008 | [26] | Bacterial inactivation and organic oxidation via |
|--------------|------|-----------|---|
| | | | immobilized photo-Fenton reagent on structured silica |
| Lasso et al. | | | surfaces |
| | | | Simultaneous E. coli inactivation and NOM degradation in |
| Moncayo- | 2000 | [27] | river water via photo-Fenton process at natural pH in solar |
| Lasso et al. | 2009 | [27] | CPC reactor. A new way for enhancing solar disinfection of |
| | | | natural water |
| Kim et al. | 2010 | [28] | Inactivation of MS2 Coliphage by Fenton's reagent |
| | | | Comparative evaluation of polymer surface |
| | | | functionalization techniques before iron oxide deposition. |
| iviazilie et | 2010 | [29] | Activity of the iron oxide-coated polymer films in the |
| aı. | | | photo-assisted degradation of organic pollutants and |
| | | | inactivation of bacteria |
| Colored at | | | Dramatic enhancement of solar disinfection (SODIS) of |
| Sciacca et | 2010 | [30] | wild Salmonella sp. in PET bottles by H_2O_2 addition on |
| aı. | | | natural water of Burkina Faso containing dissolved iron |
| Coubles at | | | The effect of Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , H_2O_2 and the photo-Fenton reagent |
| Spunier et | 2010 | [31] | at near neutral pH on the solar disinfection (SODIS) at low |
| di. | | | temperatures of water containing Escherichia coli K12 |
| Nieto-Juarez | | | Inactivation of MS2 coliphage in Fenton and Fenton-like |
| ot al | 2010 | 010 [32] | systems: role of transition metals, hydrogen peroxide and |
| | | | sunlight |
| Sciacca et | | | Solar disinfection of wild Salmonella sp. in natural water |
| | 2011 | 2011 [33] | with a 18L CPC photoreactor: Detrimental effect of non- |
| ai. | | | sterile storage of treated water |
| Bandala et | 2011 | [24] | Application of azo dyes as dosimetric indicators for |
| al. | 2011 | [34] | enhanced photocatalytic solar disinfection (ENPHOSODIS) |
| Bernabeu et | 2011 | [25] | Exploring the applicability of solar driven photocatalytic |
| al. | 2011 | [33] | processes to control infestation by zebra mussel |
| Ortega- | 2012 | [26] | Water disinfection using photo-Fenton: Effect of |
| Gomez et al. | 2012 | [30] | temperature on Enterococcus faecalis survival |
| Moncayo- | 2012 | [77] | The detrimental influence of bacteria (E. coli, Shigella and |
| Lasso et al. | 2012 | [37] | Salmonella) on the degradation of organic compounds |

| | | | (and vice versa) in TiO $_{\rm 2}$ photocatalysis and near-neutral |
|--------------|------|------|---|
| | | | photo-Fenton processes under simulated solar light. |
| Polo-Lopez | 2012 | [20] | Mild solar photo-Fenton: An effective tool for the removal |
| et al. | 2012 | [38] | of Fusarium from simulated municipal effluents |
| | | | Treatment of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant |
| Klamerth et | 2012 | [20] | Effluents with Modified Photo-Fenton As a Tertiary |
| al. | 2012 | [33] | Treatment for the Degradation of Micro Pollutants and |
| | | | Disinfection |
| Garcia- | | | Bacteria and fungi inactivation using Fe ³⁺ /sunlight, |
| Fernandez | 2012 | [40] | H_2O_2 /sunlight and near neutral photo-Fenton: A |
| et al. | | | comparative study |
| Bandala et | 2012 | [41] | Inactivation of Ascaris eggs in water using sequential solar |
| al. | 2012 | [41] | driven photo-Fenton and free chlorine |
| Podriguoz | | | Inactivation of Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas |
| Chuses et | 2012 | [42] | aeruginosa and Escherichia coli present in treated urban |
| Chueca et | 2013 | [42] | wastewater by coagulation-flocculation and photo-Fenton |
| aı. | | | processes |
| Ortogo | | | Inactivation of Enterococcus faecalis in simulated |
| Oftega- | 2013 | [43] | wastewater treatment plant effluent by solar photo- |
| Gomez et al. | | | Fenton at initial neutral pH |
| Niete luerez | | | Virus removal and inactivation by iron (hydr)oxide- |
| Nieto-Juarez | 2013 | [44] | mediated Fenton-like processes under sunlight and in the |
| | | | dark |
| Ndoupla of | | | Inactivation by solar photo-Fenton in PET bottles of wild |
| | 2013 | [45] | enteric bacteria of natural well water: Absence of re- |
| ai. | | | growth after one week of subsequent storage. |
| Agulló- | | | Solar Advanced Oxidation Processes as disinfection tertiary |
| Barceló et | 2013 | [46] | treatments for real wastewater: Implications for water |
| al. | | | reclamation |
| | | | Comparative effect of simulated solar light, UV, UV/H $_2O_2$ |
| Rubio et al. | 2013 | [47] | and photo-Fenton treatment (UV-Vis/H $_2O_2$ /Fe $^{2+}$, $^{3+}$) in the |
| | | | Escherichia coli inactivation in artificial seawater |

| Dolo Lonoz | | | Benefits of photo-Fenton at low concentrations for solar |
|---------------|-------|-------|--|
| Polo-Lopez | 2013 | [48] | disinfection of distilled water. A case study: Phytophthora |
| et al. | | | capsici |
| Ruales- | 2014- | [40] | Iron-catalyzed low cost solar activated process for drinking |
| Lonfat et al. | 2014a | [49] | water disinfection in Colombian rural areas |
| | | | Deleterious effect of homogeneous and heterogeneous |
| Ruales- | 20146 | [[0] | near-neutral photo-Fenton system on Escherichia coli. |
| Lonfat et al. | 20140 | [50] | Comparison with photo-catalytic action of TiO $_2$ during cell |
| | | | envelope disruption |
| Ndoupla et | | | Evaluation of the efficiency of the photo Fenton |
| | 2014a | [51] | disinfection of natural drinking water source during the |
| dl. | | | rainy season in the Sahelian region |
| Neleviele et | | | Relevant impact of irradiance (vs. dose) and evolution of |
| Ndounia et | 2014b | [52] | pH and mineral nitrogen compounds during natural water |
| aı. | | | disinfection by photo-Fenton in a solar CPC reactor. |
| Ortogo | | | Solar photo-Fenton for water disinfection: An investigation |
| Ortega- | 2014a | [53] | of the competitive role of model organic matter for |
| Gomez et al. | | | oxidative species |
| Ortega- | 2014 | [[4] | Inactivation of natural enteric bacteria in real municipal |
| Gómez et al. | 20140 | [54] | wastewater by solar photo-Fenton at neutral pH |
| Teodoro et | | | Disinfection of greywater pre-treated by constructed |
| | 2014 | [55] | wetlands using photo-Fenton: Influence of pH on the |
| di. | | | decay of Pseudomonas aeruginosa |
| Rodríguez- | | | Disinfection of wastewater effluents with the Fenton-like |
| Chueca et | 2014a | [56] | process induced by electromagnetic fields |
| al. | | | process induced by electromagnetic fields |
| Rodríguez- | | | Disinfection of real and simulated urban wastewater |
| Chueca et | 2014b | [57] | offluents using a mild solar photo Fonton |
| al. | | | entuents using a milu solar photo-renton |
| Polo-Lonez | | | Assessment of solar photo-Fenton, photocatalysis, and |
| ot al | 2014 | [58] | H_2O_2 for removal of phytopathogen fungi spores in |
| | | | synthetic and real effluents of urban wastewater |

| Rulais- 2015 [59] disinfection: A comparison with photo-Fenton proce Lonfat et al. 2015 [59] disinfection: A comparison with photo-Fenton proce Giannakis et 2015 [60] Ultrasound enhancement of near-neutral photo-Fenton proce | esses at |
|--|----------|
| Giannakis et 2015 [60] Offective F. cell is estimation in water structure | |
| Giannakis et 2015 [60] Ultrasound enhancement of near-neutral photo-Fer | |
| | nton for |
| ai. effective E. coll inactivation in wastewater | |
| Principal parameters affecting virus inactivation b | y the |
| 2015 [61] solar photo-Fenton process at neutral pH and μ | ıM |
| concentrations of H_2O_2 and $Fe^{2+}/{\beta^+}$. | |
| Escherichia coli inactivation by neutral solar | |
| 2015 [62] heterogeneous photo-Fenton (HPF) over hybr | id |
| iron/montmorillonite/alginate beads | |
| Pulgarin C 2015 [63] Fe vs. TiO ₂ photo-assisted processes for enhancin | g the |
| solar inactivation of bacteria in water. | |
| Solar light (hv) and H ₂ O ₂ /hv photo-disinfection of r | atural |
| 2015 [64] alkaline water (pH 8.6) in a compound parabolic co | llector |
| and Pulgarin at different day periods in Sahelian region | |
| Rodríguez- Kinetic modeling of <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Enterococc</i> | cus sp. |
| Chueca et 2015a [65] inactivation in wastewater treatment by photo-Fent | ton and |
| al. H ₂ O ₂ /UV–vis processes. | |
| Rodríguez- | lsed in |
| Chueca et 2015b [66] Disinfection of Treated Urban Wastewater | |
| al. | |
| Effect of iron salt counter ion in dose–response cur | ves for |
| 2015 [67] inactivation of Fusarium solani in water through : | solar |
| driven Fenton-like processes | |
| Buckerial inactivation with iron citrate complex: A | new |
| 2016 [68] source of dissolved iron in solar photo-Fenton proc | cess at |
| near-neutral and alkaline pH | |
| Ruiz-Aguirre Assessing the validity of solar membrane distillation | on for |
| et al. disinfection of contaminated water | |
| Ortega- 2016 [70] Wastewater disinfection by neutral pH photo-Fento | on: The |
| Gómez et al. | |
| Giannakis et Castles fall from inside: Evidence for dominant int | ernal |
| al. | of |

| | | | Saccharomyces cerevisiae by photo-Fenton at near-neutral |
|--------------|-------|--|--|
| | | | pН |
| | | | Simultaneous degradation of microorganisms and |
| Giannakis et | 2016b | | micropollutants in wastewater by Advanced Oxidation |
| al. | | | Processes (AOPs): influence of the secondary |
| | | | (pre)treatment on bacterial inactivation and regrowth |

¹⁵² Chapter I: Direct action of light

| 153 | |
|-----|---|
| 154 | 1. UVB wavelengths (290-320 nm) effect |
| 155 | |
| 156 | The germicidal action of solar disinfection of drinking water is attributed to the wavelengths reaching |
| 157 | the Earth's surface. Although UVC is absorbed during its passage through the atmosphere and is |
| 158 | neglected, UVB is very often not taken into account, when the physical and microbiological aspects of |
| 159 | the process are estimated. This strategy may be true for SODIS taking place in recipient vessels which |
| 160 | filter UVB, but before its diminution due to length limitations, UVB affects significantly a considerable |
| 161 | layer of the exposed natural water bodies, mainly resulting to mutations and possibly apoptosis and/or |
| 162 | imminent cell death. The significance of this process has been long identified [72] and has influenced |
| 163 | the design of solar disinfection units [73]; its germicidal effect is 100-1000 times more efficient against |
| 164 | microbial inactivation than UVA. Hence, the first chapter of this review is dedicated to the biological |
| 165 | effects of the direct UVB action on bacteria. |



The electromagnetic spectrum

Figure 1 – The electromagnetic spectrum, with emphasis on the UV-visible light. The order of increasing
 wavelengths, as well as the decreasing energy are noted.

169 In principal UVB inflicts damages due to its absorbance by the various cellular components. More 170 specifically, Bensasson et al., [74] offer an extensive review on the components directly damaged by

¹⁶⁶

UVB irradiation (for instance, chromophores like the heme groups, enzymes, vitamins, acids), with the principal targets being the genetic material and the proteins. Other components such as lipids and polysaccharides do not undergo direct damage, as their absorption in this light region is limited [75]. Considering the affected entities, the damages will be separated in DNA photoproducts, targets of protein nature and iron bearing compounds. The further implications inflicted to the repair mechanisms will also be assessed.

177

178 1.1. UVB-induced DNA photoproducts

Commonly, the UVB wavelengths leads to the formation of same-strand photo-adducts among
nitrogen-containing bases [76-79], or even in double stranded DNA [80]. These photoproducts fall
within the next categories [78]:

182 1.1.1. Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs)

Light excites pyrimidine bases in a triplet state, and then undergo a [2+2] addition of the C5-C6 bonds of consequent pyrimidine bases, forming the cis-syn cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (P<>P) [78]. This process is very similar to the effects of shortwave UVC irradiation, being the most common photoproduct [81-84].

187 1.1.2. Pyrimidine (6-4) pyrimidone dimers

Under a different energetic transition than CPDs, a pyrimidine base is exited to singlet state and reacts with another pyrimidine base, by [2+2] cycloaddition, forming the stable bonds, the pyrimidine (6-4) pyrimidone dimers [78, 81, 84]. The implications aggravate due to the shift of UV light absorption towards the long UV wavelengths, and the further absorption of UV (A or B) light converts these adducts into different isomers, the Dewar valence isomers [85, 86]. These stereoisomers add to the existing problems of DNA replication.

194 1.1.3. Monomeric pyrimidine (cytosine) photoproducts

Light absorption from the monomeric cytosine compounds has been found to favor the excitation to its single state and a subsequent nucleophilic addition of water. The hydrated product "6-hydroxy-5,6dihydrocytosine" or cytosine photo-hydrate is formed [87].

198 *1.1.4. Purine base photoproducts*

Along with pyrimidine bases, purine bases share the characteristics of high UV light absorbance at 260
 nm, tailing up to the UVB region [75, 85]. As a result, photo-damage is bound to take place. Dewar

adducts in isolated DNA have been reported [75, 88] and at a smaller effect, damages include bistranded OxyPurine or abasic clusters, double strand breaks [89]. However the most common
products are the T<>T, T<>C and (6-4) T<>C dimers [88].

204

205 1.2. Other UVB Targets

206 While the strand itself suffers from extensive photo-damage, there are more, also noteworthy 207 candidates reported in literature, such as some proteins and their constituents and other more 208 complex targets, such as enzymes and proteins. In principal, UVA light (above 320 nm) is not absorbed 209 by proteins without bound co-factors or groups, as they do not contain chromophoric compounds in 210 this region [75, 90]; in the opposite case, i.e. UVB wavelengths, this is deemed possible. However, 211 some amino acids, such as are tryptophan (Trp), tyrosine (Tyr), phenylalanine (Phe), histidine (His), 212 cysteine (Cys) and cysteine residue, are reported to absorb UV light (for UV spectra, see Bensasson et al. [74]). The rest of the amino acids absorb mainly at 190 nm, tailing up to 220 nm, mostly due to the 213 presence of the peptide bond [-C(O)-NH-]. Therefore, as UVC wavelengths are not present in the solar 214 215 spectrum, it is concluded that the absorption by the backbone of the proteins is negligible [90]. 216 Another target, which, as will be analyzed in next chapters, initiates indirect reactions is enterobactin. 217 This powerful iron-chelating agent demonstrates peak absorbance at 316 nm [91]. This behavior suggests chromophoric abilities and the result is an increase of the internal iron concentration in the 218 219 cell. Finally, as a result of the cell exposure to UVB light, depending on the damage levels on the 220 genome, either apoptosis or repair can be initiated. The latter case can be demonstrated that cell 221 death can be repealed by CPD restoration, by nucleotide excision repair (NER) [92, 93]. However, some 222 of the proteins (Fpg, formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase) responsible for DNA repair are suspected 223 to be prone to UVB-induced alterations, ending up compromised [94].

224

225 2. UVA wavelengths (320-400 nm) effect

As explained in the beginning, in the case SODIS is taking place in polyethylene terephthalate (PET) or plain glass bottles, UVA light is the principal wavelength region causing bacterial inactivation during solar exposure of water. Although differences can occur in the absorption wavelengths among the materials that carry the treated water, the largest fraction of these wavelengths will get transmitted; in PET or borosilicate bottles the absorption spectra differ in the near-UVB region, permitting a higher fraction in the latter case. In overall, the direct effects of UVA can be characterized as less harmful,

- compared with the rest of the UV light wavelengths, but the direct absorption by DNA, proteins and
 other structures is noteworthy [75, 78, 95, 96] and will be discussed in this part. The indirect pathways
 will be further analyzed in later stages of this review.
- 235

236 2.1. Direct UVA DNA damage

237 In an analogy with UVB light, UVA is responsible of inflicting a series of different types of damage on 238 the DNA. The hypothesis on UVA-induced CPD formation [83, 97, 98] were verified. Besaratinia et al. 239 [99] proved that CPDs are also CPDs formed under UVA light, but in a different way than UVB [100]. It 240 has been reported that the photo-products are strand breaks, oxidation of pyrimidines, purines (all 241 analyzed afterwards) and CPDs [97] in a ratio of 1:1:3:10. According to the medium carrying the DNA, the degree of damage can differ; high CPD formation is induced in pure water [101]. In the same work, 242 243 and other ones (for instance Mouret et al. [102]) the direct connection of UVA-and CPDs is verified. 244 The wavelengths that can induce the CPD formation tail up to 365 nm, both for isolated and cellular DNA [103-106], with simultaneous absence of (6-4) photo-products. Mainly, the dimerization took 245 246 place among thymine bases at nearly 90% of the total dimers [102], through direct absorption of UVA 247 light although initially a photo-sensitizer was thought to mediate [97]. Finally, the issue of the Dewar 248 valence isomers is also attributed to UVA light absorption, as this photo-transformation peaks at around 320 nm, border among UVA and UVB light [79]. Especially (6-4) PPs produced by UVB 249 illumination will undergo UVA-mediated conversion to an isomer [85, 86, 97], if the light source emits 250 251 both UVB and UVA wavelengths, such as sunlight [79, 107].

252

253 2.2. UVA Oxidative Damage

254 Although CPDs are formed in a higher ratio than the other products [97], UVA light is responsible for 255 a series of other reactions, namely Type I and Type II photo-oxidation reactions [78, 108]. Type I 256 reactions are one-electron oxidation (or hydrogen atom abstraction) processes, and Type II are singlet 257 oxygen ($\Delta g {}^{1}O_{2}$ or more simply ${}^{1}O_{2}$) ones [75, 78, 109]. In Type I reactions, DNA bases are the electron 258 donors, and especially guanine, compared with thymine, adenine, cytosine and 5-methycytosine [78]. 259 The result of this process is a large quantity of base (guanine) cations, possibly hydrated or 260 deprotonated afterwards. However, the excitation by UVA light, in Type II reactions, singlet oxygen is 261 involved, reacting with electron rich bases. As a result, singlet oxygen facilitates the energy transfer 262 from guanine towards molecular oxygen [78, 110], also involving unstable stereoisomers among its C4

and C8 carbon atoms [78, 111]. However, since Type II reactions are oxygen-dependent, their main



action is considered indirect and will be analyzed in next chapters.

265

 266
 Figure 2 - Chemical structural modifications of the DNA during exposure to solar light (adapted from Batista

- **et al.[100]).** The exposure of thymine bases to light can induce the formation of CPDs and (6-4) PPs, while the
- 268 existence of UVA can further inflict modifications in the structure of the chain, the Dewar valence isomers.

269

270 2.3. Other UVA targets

Apart from DNA, UVA light affects other compounds in the cell with significant biological effects. More 271 specifically, compounds that participate in either the metabolic cycle or are vital for cell homeostasis 272 273 exhibit UVA absorption. Catalase, for instance, is an enzyme which regulates the H₂O₂ concentration 274 during the respiration process, and UVA light effects suggest peroxidase activity halting [91]. 275 Dihydroxy acid dehydratase (DHAD) is one of the iron-sulfur containing molecules, which 276 demonstrates photo-sensible behavior; although initially it was detectable, upon irradiation its 277 function was suspended [112, 113]. Its modification can initiate further indirect stresses; more details 278 on the compounds that initiate indirect pathways of damage will be given in following chapters. Furthermore, the thiolated tRNA is a trigger molecule for environmental changes, which indicates 279 280 possible stresses of near-UV nature [91]. Finally, ribonucleotide reductase, a key enzyme in metabolic 281 cycles of living organisms, contains components which demonstrate strong absorption in the UV range 282 and are likely to be affected [91].

284 3. Simultaneous UVA and UVB exposure

During simulated solar exposure, if both wavelength groups are transmitted effectively through the 285 286 medium, the DNA damage resembles mostly the pattern due to the UVB wavelengths [80]. After some 287 hours under simulated solar light, the analyses revealed undetectable levels of (6-4) photoproducts [84]; therefore it was estimated that irradiation under simulated solar light inflicts 20 to 40 times more 288 CDPs than any other photoproducts [83, 84]. Also, the contribution of UVA to thymine dimer 289 290 formation is not negligible, since it produces more thymine dimers, compared to UVB alone [75], in a synergistic way. Finally, the visible light wavelengths alone, around 400-450 nm, yield damage to DNA, 291 292 repairable by the Fpg proteins, but the simultaneous emission of UVB, will hamper its capabilities 293 [114].

294

²⁹⁵ Chapter II: Indirect action of light

296

Indirect inactivation mechanisms: UVB or UVA-initiated, iron release - ROS generation and cellular targets

299

300 1.1. Overview of the indirect pathways

According to the previous chapter, the damage inflicted onto the cells and subsequently, the chain of events followed towards inactivation, can be separated in direct and indirect pathways. In this chapter, locating the indirect inactivation mechanisms is attempted, limited to the ones initiated by light but fulfilled with various intermediaries.

In overall, as far as UVB light is concerned, its main effect is the direct formation of photoproducts, as 305 306 described before. However, there are important findings relating these wavelengths with initiation of 307 secondary mechanisms, crucial to cell survival. In principal, UVB light and catalase are implicated in an 308 unexpected inactivation pathway. First, UVB light is inflicted onto the cell. Direct actions aside, 309 catalase is activated in a dual manner, protective or toxic [115], as follows: UVB light is absorbed by catalase and is converted to reactive chemical intermediates, in order to protect the DNA from the 310 311 direct action against its bases [115]. These intermediates can be easily scavenged by the normal antioxidant enzymes [116], but under light stress, this possibility is jeopardized. The damage is heavily 312 313 related to the presence of oxygen, indicating an indirect, ROS-related pathway of oxidative damage, 314 thanks to protonation from water, against functional moieties of the cell [115]. In our opinion, this behavior confirms an early hypothesis that catalase is not the only, or a primary intracellular enzymatic 315 316 defense mechanism against toxicity of UV light [117], but other mechanisms (such as the peroxidase-317 supported ones, or the light absorbance by pigments and similar substances) exist; further details on 318 the oxidative protection ways will be given in the following chapters.

On the other hand, UVA wavelengths affect the DNA only in a limited extent and affect the overall functions of the cell on different levels. As explained before, UVA initiates Type I or II reactions, with the latter being oxygen dependent, indicating its subsequent implication in indirect mechanisms, distinguished by the initiation by chromophores or photo-sensitizers, for Type I and II, respectively [100]. Type II reactions have even been separated into two categories, minor (superoxide radical anion-) and major (singlet oxygen-related) reactions, depending on the chemical properties of the facilitator [118]. In this review, Type II reactions will not be further distinguished in minor and major.

326 As seen in Figure 3, the damage in this category of reactions, is a result of energy absorption of light by photosensitizers, and excitation to singlet state (¹sens*). Through intersystem crossing, relaxation 327 and/or internal conversion the triplet state generation is induced (³sens^{*}), then energy transfer to 328 329 molecular oxygen takes place plus the subsequent production of ROS. The main enabler of electron transfer is guanine, which demonstrated high reactivity with singlet oxygen [110, 119]. The 330 331 photosensitizing abilities of guanine must not be excluded either; the photo-oxidation of DNA appears 332 most frequently as studied 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (8-oxoGua) [97]. In the same work, the 333 evaluation of hydroxyl radical formation via photosensitization was also evaluated, which can induce 334 a variety of DNA lesions.



336 Figure 3 - Direct of

Figure 3 - Direct and indirect DNA damage mechanisms (adapted from Cadet et al. [120]). The different
 pathways initiated from UVB and the Type I and II induced by UVA are depicted, limited to the DNA damage as
 end-product.

339

335

These modes of action explain the comparative examination performed by Santos et al. [121], who compared the damage inflicted by either UVC, UVB or UVA light. It was found that the lightest damage (high survival rates and activity) was achieved under UVA light, but was induced by the highest ROS measured, as well as protein and lipid oxidation. This order was inversed for double strand breaks, as we move towards UVC light. Here, in order to further elucidate the inactivation mechanisms initiated by light, the different ROS produced and their relationship with the functional moieties of the cell, as

346 well as the targets of damage via indirect pathways are further analyzed in the next subchapters.

347

348 1.2. Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) as a part of the cell life cycle

349

350 *1.2.1. ROS as physiological intermediates*

ROS are a natural part of the respiratory cycle of bacteria [122], when growing in aerobic conditions. 351 352 The prevailing ROS formed in a trivial way are the superoxide anion (O_2^-) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) [123]. The process can be simplified as a spontaneous oxidation of redox enzymes, playing the role of 353 354 reductants, by molecular oxygen. Since oxygen is uncharged, its presence inside the cell is unambiguous, and its internal concentration can be regarded equal to the external one [124]. The 355 356 main reductants that have been identified so far are flavoenzymes [125], which facilitate transfer of 357 electrons onto secondary compounds. Another path includes oxygen collision with a reduced flavoenzyme, resulting in electron transfer from FADH2 [123]. With the abundance of (both oxygen 358 359 and) flavins, these ROS are produced in a relatively steady quantity [126]. It must be noted here that 360 the superoxide radical anion $(O_2^{\bullet-})$ /hydroperoxyl radical $(HO_2^{\bullet-})$ are the initial products of electron transfer, but at near-neutral pH, the non-radical form is prevailing [127]. In principal, since $O_2^{\bullet-}$ is the 361 actual product of the electron acceptance by molecular oxygen, its symmetry (delocalization of 362 electrons in the molecule) dictates little radical character; this explains the often common 363 representation by O_2^- . 364

In *in vitro* tests, it has been found that O_2^- and H_2O_2 also form during electron transport between reductant substances and oxygen [128-131]; therefore it can be concluded that the possible reactions involve both one- and two-electron transfer [131, 132]. The transfer is always completed in single steps, first by reaction of flavins with oxygen and formation of O_2^- and flavosemiquinone [123]. This product can either further react with oxygen (further forming O_2^-) or more commonly, the former O_2^- or the flavosemiquinone undergo transformation, finally forming H_2O_2 , rather than O_2^- [123].

371

372 *1.2.2. ROS imbalance in cells*

Normally, bacterial contain regulators of ROS to counter potential imbalances generated within the
 cells or withstand the ROS production by enzyme auto-oxidation [126]. The most known defense lines

- are catalase [123], Ahp Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase [133] superoxide dismutases (FeSOD, MnSOD),
- 376 hydroperoxidases (HPI, HPII) and glutathione reductase (GR) [134].



377

| 378 | Figure 4 - Internal ROS cycle, before light addition. The opportunistic creation of ROS is depicted here, with the |
|-----|---|
| 379 | pair of superoxide radical anion ($O_2^{\bullet-}$)/hydroperoxyl radical ($HO_2^{\bullet-}$) being the most reactive species. Their |
| 380 | scavenging efficiency determines the auto-damage levels, via direct damage (oxidation) or indirect creation of |
| 381 | more reactive ROS in reduced-metal catalyzed reactions with H ₂ O ₂ . |

382

Catalase is the enzyme mainly responsible for the decomposition of H_2O_2 in water and oxygen [135]. 383 384 Also, Ahp Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase scavenges the activity of the normally produced H₂O₂ in E. coli. Although H₂O₂ itself is not an immediate threat to DNA (may only cause oxidation of adenine 385 386 [136], it engulfs the danger of hydroxyl radical production [137]. However, H_2O_2 accumulation can be 387 detrimental to cell survival, as it will be analyzed later. Superoxide dismutases (Mn, Fe- or CuZn-SOD) 388 are the enzymes burdened with the dismutation of O_2^- to O_2 and H_2O_2 [138]. Their presence is located 389 in both cytoplasm and periplasm of the cell [126]. Function-wise, they are similar, but the diffusion limitation of O_2^- at neutral pH [139, 140] imposes their presence in both places. The superoxide radical 390

391 itself is relatively unreactive towards DNA but is attributed to participate in a variety of biochemical 392 reactions away from it. Among others, it can cause peroxynitrite formation [141, 142], thymine 393 reduction and oxidation of transition metals. Also, superoxide can react with H₂O₂ and result in the 394 production of hydroxyl radicals [134]. Finally, peroxidases mainly dehydrogenate (by H₂O₂) phenolic 395 and endiolic compounds, but are also responsible for the reduction of O_2 to $O_2^{\bullet-}$ and H_2O_2 , using 396 dihydroxyfumarate or NADH [143]. It has been mentioned however, that some other microbes use 397 reductases and peroxidases, rather than dismutase and catalase, respectively, for effective internal 398 ROS scavenging [123].

When solar light is provided to the bacterial cells, the chain reaction of events is comprised from a complex mechanism, initiated by two simultaneous fronts: action of light and action of ROS. Assuming that a cell is preserving its normal ROS cycle, light addition creates a chain of oxidative events. UVB was mentioned to affect catalase functions, and therefore enhance H_2O_2 accumulation, and also, induce excess O_2^- production in *E. coli* cells in vivo [144, 145]. Also, singlet oxygen (${}^{1}O_2$), a key factor in cytotoxicity and gene expression [146-148] can be generated by UVA irradiation, through excitation of chromophoric substances, such as porfyrins [148].

406 As it seems, there is an over-accumulation of ROS inside the cell, which is only made worse by the inactivation of the key enzymes by the action of light; CAT and SOD reduce significantly their activity 407 408 when exposed to UVB or UVA light [121, 123, 126]. It has been long suggested that near-UV induces 409 mutations in bacteria (in macroscopic level) and the explanation has been attributed to the excess 410 H₂O₂ accumulated into the cell and the subsequent reactions involved with it [91]. UVA has also been 411 known to affect the respiratory chain of E. coli, with some of the mechanisms suggested by Bosshard et al. [122] being verified in this cycle of events. The possibility of a malfunctioning electron transport 412 413 chain would provide electrons, with many reductants now available to accept them and convert 414 themselves to reactive intermediates. Also, the oxidizing agents' accumulation will lead to ROS 415 production by internal metal- and NAD(P)H-driven reactions [149]; the reductants will act towards the 416 regeneration of the catalysts of these reactions. Therefore, in this point, it is important to analyze the 417 release of metals and their result.

418

419 1.3. The significance of the internal Fenton process: iron release and facilitation

420

421 1.3.1. Physiological state of iron into the cell

422 Iron homeostasis in bacterial cells is controlled and kept in physiological levels by the Fur protein. It is 423 the most common iron regulator (among others) in bacteria [150], controlling the genes implicated in 424 iron acquisition, but also de-repression of the genes during iron deprivation [151]; the genes which encode proteins concerning direct Fe²⁺ acquisition or the transfer of Fe³⁺ by siderophoric action are 425 negatively regulated by Fur [152, 153], acting as a repressor of transcriptional activity [151]. Fe²⁺ is 426 soluble enough to feed the growth needs of bacteria, but the problems are found with Fe³⁺. Usually, 427 428 it is solubilized by siderophores produced by bacteria, chelating and efficiently delivering Fe³⁺. 429 Especially in near-neutral values, the aqua-complexes of Fe³⁺ are insoluble in water [154], and the siderophoric action facilitates their use. In total, bacteria utilize many transport systems to satisfy their 430 431 needs; for instance, E. coli K-12 use 7 transport systems. Interestingly, although the siderophore 432 movement through the outer membrane is excluded due to size of the protein, the gram-negative 433 bacteria tend to use the outer surface receptor proteins as transport ones [155].

Internally, iron in *E.coli* is deposited in compounds such as bacterioferritin and ferritin [155-158]. 434 Ferritin is essentially an iron storage unit, with a molecular weight of 444.000 kDa and 4500 mol 435 436 Fe/mol protein. Its structure is complex, consisting of 24 sub-units, a protein surface cover 437 (apoferritin) and 6 places for interior communication. Its function consists in storage of "free", nonprotein-bound iron into the cell, oxidizing the Fe²⁺ with the aid of proteins [159]. On a reverse function, 438 439 it can release Fe²⁺ from the stored Fe³⁺ by the use of reducing biological compounds. This function is 440 crucial for the cell, but it can provide a potential target for the oxidants accumulated into the cell 441 during oxidative stress. Also, other iron containing units are the Fe/S clusters. Dehydratases contain [4Fe-4S] clusters which include readily soluble iron atoms, prone to oxidation as well [157]. Finally, 442 443 iron can also bind to the surface of the DNA structure and specifically, it is chelated to the 444 phosphodiester backbone [17].

445 1.3.2. Light-induced changes in iron homeostasis

446 During light exposure, iron is playing a key role in the subsequent oxidative stress. There are two 447 possible ways of iron release into the cell: the ROS-mediated and the direct damage to the iron 448 containing compounds.

The ROS production, as described in the previous chapter can play the role of the intermediate, which "unlock" the structures and release iron into the cell. More specifically, the superoxide anion can extract iron from the iron-storage proteins [160-163], through oxidation of dehydratases, for instance. As described before, the critical iron atom is bound and the cluster is left in an unstable state [126];

the $[4Fe-4S]^{2+}$ form is univalently oxidizing the cluster to $[4Fe-4S]^{3+}$, resulting into released ferrous iron and $[3Fe-4S]^+$ cluster [163, 164]. Hydrogen peroxide causes similar damage [165] by a two-step process, releasing ferric iron and the same $[3Fe-4S]^+$ cluster [164]. The simultaneous production of "free" iron, H_2O_2 and superoxide radical anion which can reduce Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} [17], can effectively facilitate an internal Fenton reaction.

458 As far as the light itself is concerned, the previous actions simply aggravate. Near UV is known to degrade membrane structures inside the cell [166]. More specifically, Fe/S clusters absorb in the UVA 459 460 region [112]. UVA has been found to degrade ferritin and other ferritin-like substances, leading to immediate release of iron into the cytoplasm [148, 167, 168] via destruction of its ligand [112]. Most 461 462 importantly, in presence of these chelating ligands and ROS, the Fenton reaction is already taking 463 place, producing HO^{\bullet} . Taking into account the incident light in these wavelengths, the Fenton reaction will find its catalyst regenerated back to Fe²⁺ with the simultaneous production of another hydroxyl 464 465 radical.

466

467 1.4. Internal targets of the oxidative damage

Light action against the cell presents a uniformity in its application, if saturation conditions are applied. 468 469 Although some compounds demonstrate a photo-absorbing activity, it is rather unlikely that shading 470 occurs significantly, if no physical barriers exist. However, this statement does not stand equally true 471 for the ROS damage during oxidative stress conditions, since ROS are short living, and in their majority, diffusion limited. Therefore, except for the long-living H_2O_2 and O_2^- the rest cause "local" damage. The 472 effects can be separated according to the mediator (ROS) or the target; here, the latter is going to be 473 474 presented, separating the damage on the DNA, and the rest of the involved compounds (proteins, enzymes, lipids etc). 475

476 1.4.1. Oxidative-driven DNA damage

477 DNA was long identified as a weak link in the chain of resistance to ROS damage by light-initiated 478 internal Fenton reactions, for two main reasons: it was mentioned that it can effectively bind loose 479 iron [17, 75] catalyzing the Fenton reaction and suffering oxidative damage at the site of reaction. 480 Then, the possibility of withholding such damage is considerably more crucial to survival than in other 481 compounds of the cell [17]. Diffusion-limited oxidative damage by HO^{\bullet} can induce different effects, 482 such as base oxidation, sites which suffer base loss, inter-strand adducts within DNA, DNA-protein 483 crosslinks and ultimately, DNA strand breaks [136, 137, 169-171]. Strand breaks are a major 484 consequence of the reaction with HO^{\bullet} [172], since the reaction with deoxyribose leads to base loss, 485 as well as with thymine [17, 173].

486 The hydroxyl radicals are non-selective in their mode of action. Their reaction with purine bases leads 487 to C8-hydroxylated radical, which increases 8-oxoGua, FapyGua, 8-oxoAde and FapyAde [167]. Also, 488 their reaction at the C5-C6 double bond ends up in the thymine and cytosine and uracil methyl 489 oxidation by-products, 5,6-dihydroxy-5,6-dihydrothymine, 5,6-dihydroxy-5,6-dihydrocytosine and 490 Hydroxymethyluracil and 5-formyluracil, respectively [174]. Finally, hydrogen abstraction from 2-491 deoxyribose moieties demonstrates strand breaks end-products [174]. Less reactive ROS, such as 492 singlet oxygen, react with nucleotide bases at different k constants reported [175]. It is noteworthy 493 that the most prone base is again guanine, and the final damage by-product being the 8-oxodGua. 494 Furthermore, ROS can attack the sugars of the DNA, with a variety of end-products actually formed 495 [176]. The final result is lesions which are either misread by repair enzymes or blocking this process; 496 the latter type leads to growth impairment and cell death [177].



497

Figure 5 - Light induced changes in cell homeostasis. a) UVB-induced damage to DNA and CAT functions, b)
 UVA affects the functions of enzymes and proteins related with the ROS production (flavins, FADH2, CAT, SOD, peroxidases, porphyrins), leading to accumulation of ROS, c) release of iron and reduction by light, d) LMCT driven reduction of iron and internal photo-Fenton initiation.

503 1.4.2. Other cellular targets (proteins, lipids, membranes, Fe/S clusters)

504 One of the first and major targets of oxidative stress during light exposure of bacteria are proteins 505 [177]. Although it was long believed that DNA damage and lipid peroxidation are the most prone to 506 oxidative stress, proteins have arisen as important points of interest [178]. Both HO^{\bullet} and ${}^{1}\Delta g O_{2}$ have 507 been reported to inflict severe and diverse problems onto the normal protein functions. Firstly, there 508 are functional modifications in proteins, onto amino acids and protein side chains [134]. Proteins 509 suffer from structural modifications and aggregation [179] carbonylation etc [180]. Modifications in 510 sulfur groups (oxidation of sulfhydryl groups or reduction of disulfides), as well as oxidation of amino 511 acids due to hydroxyl radicals, protein agglutination and cross-linking, aldehyde reactions and fragmentation of peptides have also been reported [181-186]. Especially, proteins involved in the 512 513 respiration process are in danger, such as F1F0 ATPase and respiratory enzymes [180]. Modification 514 of 3-D structure [187, 188] changes in metal binding properties, susceptibility towards proteolysis and 515 unfolding [75] should also not be excluded. Protein modifications' effect can vary from mild to severe, 516 inducing irreversible damage to the cell [180], including cellular metabolism failures [134], membrane 517 modifications (loss of function) [189], blocking of DNA replication, mutations [181] etc.

518 Singlet oxygen is not as reactive as the hydroxyl radical, but has a much longer half-life time, however 519 possesses an ability to affect protein functions has stated it as a potentially dangerous agent, as it can 520 react with amino acids directly. It reacts with tryptophan, tyrosine, histidine, methionine, cysteine and 521 cysteine residues [75]. It is also responsible for inactivating enzymes, forming protein peroxides or 522 side-chain by-products, fragmenting the backbone, as well as cross linking and aggregation [90]. Many 523 functions are common with the effect of the hydroxyl radical, proving its significance. Also, if not 524 destroyed, there can be an effect of the properties of the protein, such as its turnover efficiency [90]. 525 Proteins are also in danger from the indirect pathway of the hydrated electrons, which add to 526 molecular oxygen, result in $O_2^{\bullet-}$ and can subsequently damage proteins [75].

Moving to even more inert ROS, O_2^- and H_2O_2 can affect other groups, such as Fe/S dehydratases or mononuclear Fe-enzymes [177]. Superoxide is less harmful although more reactive than H_2O_2 [123] and acts mostly in blocking the [4Fe-4S] clusters as described before; the inactivation of this enzyme causes pathway failure. H_2O_2 on the other hand, can oxidize sulfur atoms (oxidation of cystenyl residues, or oxidation towards sulfinic moieties) [123], or (through HO^{\bullet}) carbonylate proteins, and oxidize Fe/S clusters [123].

533 Finally, although some of the targets presented seem like end-products, there are significant side-534 products possibly forming, inducing secondary damage [75]. For instance, the peroxides formed on

- proteins and peptides can cause oxidation of residues on other proteins or deplete antioxidants [190],
 or even increase the possibility of DNA-base oxidation [191], with the consequences already analyzed
 before (i.e. strand breaks and DNA-protein adducts).
- 538 The second large group of damage is lipids and fatty acids. A proposed chain reaction of autocatalytic lipid peroxidation has been proposed [172], where oxidation by HO^{\bullet} leaves a lipid radical anion readily 539 540 reacting with molecular oxygen to form lipid peroxyl radicals. This radical can potentially play the role 541 of HO^{\bullet} in the next cycle, and form this auto-oxidation process. Metals and H_2O_2 can generate the 542 necessary $H0^{\bullet}$, singlet oxygen [148] or the secondary damage by protein photoproducts could initiate 543 the peroxidation process. Some authors have suggested the dangers of lipid peroxidation [122, 192] but in order to facilitate this reaction, the bacteria must contain the poly-unsaturated lipids; it is 544 545 suggested that most membranes lack these compounds [122].

546

Chapter III: Enhancements

547

- 548 1. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) .
- 549

550 In the previous chapters, we have revised the actions that take place during sole irradiation of bacteria 551 by light, including UVB, UVA and visible light. The various mechanisms that have been described, lead 552 to the assertion that the main mechanisms of cellular inactivation by light are two: direct light action 553 (mutations, strand breaks etc.) and indirect light-initiated pathways (ROS formation, iron release and 554 the subsequent internal Fenton and photo-Fenton reaction). During the ROS formation, superoxide 555 and H_2O_2 have been found critical in the facilitation of the internal photo-Fenton reaction, in both 556 direct damage to bio-molecules and indirect aggravation of ROS production. In this chapter, we assess 557 the enhancement of photo-inactivation of bacteria, by the simple addition of H₂O₂, and present the mechanisms that take part internally and externally, in absence or presence of light. 558

559

560 1.1. H_2O_2 actions, in absence of light

561 Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is a relatively strong oxidant, with potential 1.8 V at pH = 0 and 0.87 V at pH 562 = 14 [193]. In natural waters, its formation is connected with photochemical mechanisms, explained 563 in next chapters of the review, or the release of metals and sulfur from anoxic regions [194]; when 564 near-neutral conditions are encountered, the expected potential is around 1.4 V. Its use in biological-565 related activities was connected with disinfection and biofilm growth control [193].

566 As analyzed in the previous chapter, intracellular H₂O₂ is a normal by-product of the respiration 567 process, through the auto-oxidation of respiratory dehydrogenases of bacteria [123], which in turn 568 can regulate and maintain these ROS concentrations to nanomolar levels, by catalases and 569 peroxidases [195]. However, the H₂O₂ is present in the surroundings of the microorganism, since it is 570 an uncharged molecule, it is known to diffuse through membranes, therefore facilitating its transport 571 into the cell [195]. Therefore, a steady state concentration is preserved, as a balance of its intracellular 572 generation, the potential diffusion from outer sources and the scavenging efficiency from the enzymes 573 [196]. Different physiological states can imply varying steady state concentrations [197]. The 574 imbalance created into the cell can be either scavenged or inactivate enzymes; reports mention 20% 575 of the external concentration of H_2O_2 being able to diffuse into the cell [195], ultimately leading to cell 576 death. In order to separate the different pathways with which H_2O_2 can lead to cell inactivation, the 577 lieu and the mode will be assessed.

578 Beginning with the external actions, as H₂O₂ can be either naturally produced or voluntarily added, a 579 wide range of concentrations can be encountered. Imlay and Linn [198] have experimented with mM 580 concentrations of H_2O_2 , and a correlation with H_2O_2 addition and cell inactivation was confirmed [17, 198]. Two main categories of concentrations can be suggested: low (1-3 mM) H_2O_2 and high 581 582 concentrations (>20 mM). The outcome of this investigation suggested internal and external damage, 583 respectively, for the two categories, namely Mode I and Mode II [199]. Mode II involves external H₂O₂ 584 reacting probably directly with the cellular membrane, thus increasing its permeability; this increase 585 can permit the inflow of extra concentrations of H_2O_2 , as well as the overall detrimental impact on the 586 viability of the cell [200]. A proportionality has been reported up to 100 mM [198].

587 However, the actions implicated in Mode I damage are far more intriguing. In summary, these actions 588 are enhancing the internal Fenton reaction as it was presented in the previous chapter. More 589 specifically, it was evidenced in [201] by the µM concentrations that disrupted catabolic and 590 biosynthetic functions of the cell, by the destruction of Fe/S clusters [157, 164, 202, 203]. The 591 damaged cluster contributes to loose iron release and the excess of H_2O_2 will initiate Fenton reactions. 592 However, H_2O_2 is not the only oxidant, but can act as a scavenger of electrons. More specifically, 593 through one-electron transfer, hydroxyl radicals (HO^{\bullet}) can be generated. Also, via either direct or 594 indirect pathways, Mode I killing will take place [198]. Also, hydrogen peroxide can scavenge HO^{\bullet} , 595 leading to the creation to the less reactive superoxide anion [198], which as we have analyzed before 596 has a lower oxidative potential, but is biologically significant, because of its strong affinity with 597 bacterial components [159]; plus, it is far more long-living than HO^{\bullet} . Therefore, there are interesting 598 Fenton-related implications involved, if a considerable amount of H₂O₂ is added to the bulk and 599 saturation conditions are to be taken into account.

600 A very interesting concept has also been discussed in literature, concerning the nature and significance 601 of the Fenton reaction itself [201, 204, 205], and more specifically, the effect of the reaction kinetics. The k constant for the oxidation of Fe^{2+} at pH values around 3 is 76 M⁻¹/s⁻¹ [11]. This value was 602 considered too low to be important, especially for micro-molar (or lower) concentrations. Also, the 603 reduction of Fe³⁺ back to Fe²⁺ is around 100 times slower. However, at near-neutral pH, it was found 604 605 that [201] Fe^{3+} in aqua- hydroxy- complexes is often found with lower reduction potentials, due to its 606 coordination by the hydroxide anion (OH^{-}) . The result is a reaction constant k around 20.000-30.000 607 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, which withholds more implications; this high reactivity indicates the need for the bacteria to

scavenge the intracellular nano-quantities of H₂O₂, because of the apparent toxic activity implicated[164].

610

611 1.2. Light-assisted H_2O_2 mode of action

In general, H_2O_2 addition is performed in μ M to mM, which place the action into the Mode I killing, but on the other hand, the concentrations used might be considered as low; Rincon and Pulgarin, Spuhler et al., or Garcia-Fernandez et al. [31, 40, 206] below 15 mg/L (0.44 mM) did not find any inactivation, Sciacca et al. with 10 mg/L (0.29 mM) found 2-log reduction and Ndounla et al. negligible inactivation in the dark with 8.5 mg/L (0.25 mM) H₂O₂ [30, 45]. Nevertheless, the diffusion into the cell, and the light addition into the sample can offer conditions for effective internal photo-Fenton reaction and fast regeneration of ferric iron back to ferrous.

The first instance on synergistic inactivation by near-UV light and H_2O_2 was demonstrated by Anathaswamy and Eisenstark [207] for phages and Hartman and Eisenstark some years later [208] for *E. coli* K-12. The following years many works have been developed to assess the H_2O_2 -enhanced photokilling modes and parameters that are involved [30, 31, 40, 209-214]. The majority of the works agree that the involved mechanism is in fact a light-enhanced internal photo-Fenton reaction. The prevailing mechanism is as follows.

- 625 1) The direct damage of the light affects the DNA and the enzymes responsible for its reparation
 626 (direct action).
- Light is disrupting the normal ROS-scavenging enzymes into the cells such as catalase,
 superoxide dismutase, peroxidases etc. (indirect action)
- 629 **3)** H_2O_2 penetrates the cell, causing imbalance of ROS into the cells.
- 630 4) ROS and light release iron into the cytoplasm, with reacts with H_2O_2 to create HO^{\bullet} . Other ROS 631 are involved into the reduction of iron, direct attack to susceptible moieties (oxidative stress).
- **5)** Added H₂O₂ affects bacterial membrane (outer damage), initiating its auto-oxidation.
- 633 6) Light reduces ferric iron to ferrous directly, through ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) or
 634 indirectly, through the reactive intermediates available by the light-induced malfunctioning
 635 into the cell, initiating a photo-catalytic cycle.

Concerning the suggested mechanism, there are some indications that confirm the majority of these
actions or limit to a certain extent. For instance, it is suggested that in aerobic, near-neutral conditions,
the LMCT could not proceed for hours [215], so the sources of iron need to be replenished. In the
majority of the cases, this time frame will not be required for bacterial inactivation; nevertheless, in

640 these conditions Fe^{3+} is expected to precipitate and not participate further into the inactivation 641 mechanism. Also, there was a linear increase of the inactivation kinetics by increasing the added H₂O₂ 642 from 0 to 500 mM or 0-10 mg/L for Fisher et al. or Garcia-Fernandez et al. [40, 209], respectively. It is 643 suggested that the internal Fenton is taking place and also, Fe^{2+} is not the limiting reagent in the 644 reaction. Therefore, there is a constant iron release and reduction, in an efficient catalytic cycle.

646 2. Addition of iron (Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+})

So far, the light-induced oxidative stress and the voluntary addition of H₂O₂ have been assessed. In these actions, internal damage directly or indirectly by light has been inflicted, and an internal photo-Fenton has been established. H₂O₂ addition has proven to enhance the internal photo-Fenton, therefore in this part, we present the events that take place if the matrix contains iron or if iron is added at will. The various events, such as the homogeneous Fenton, the heterogeneous Fenton and the semiconductor mode of action by the iron oxides will be further analyzed. But first, the role of iron, the various forms and formations in natural waters are presented.

654

655 2.1. Iron as the Fenton reaction catalyst.

656 More than 100 years after the discovery of the Fenton reaction, iron still remains the most commonly employed metal catalyst for the fulfillment of HO[•] generation from this method [216]. The use of iron 657 employs a series of characteristics which are rarely encountered simultaneously in other metals. For 658 659 instance, its versatility in gaining various oxidation states (-2 to +6), which derives from its position in 660 the periodic table of elements [217], the characteristic abundance as far as its mass availability is 661 concerned, the low toxicity implicated in its utilization and easy integration, state iron as the principal 662 facilitator of the Fenton reaction [216]. Its coexistence with H_2O_2 initiates the Fenton reaction. The 663 different types of Fenton reaction are summarized in Table 2 [218].

664

Table 2 – The different types of the Fenton reaction (adapted from [218].

| Process | Reagents | Light | pН | Iron Loss |
|-------------------------------|--|-------|-------------------|-----------|
| Classic Fenton | H ₂ O ₂ , Fe ²⁺ | No | 2 to 4 | Yes |
| Fenton-like | H ₂ O ₂ , Fe ³⁺ | No | 2 to 4 | Yes |
| Photo-Fenton | H_2O_2 , iron complexes, free iron ions | Yes | Acidic to neutral | Yes |
| Heterogeneous Fenton | H_2O_2 , solid iron oxide | No | wide range | No |
| Heterogeneous photo-Fenton | H_2O_2 , solid iron oxide | Yes | wide range | No |

665

The most common forms of iron salts used for the Fenton reaction are Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} . These two salts are used mostly due to the low mass transfer limitations among them and the oxidants [219]. One of the main differences among the two forms are the characteristic insolubility of Fe^{3+} in slightly acidic and near-neutral pH values, making it difficult to operate outside the strict acidic region [217]. pH

- dependence is a matter strongly affecting iron speciation, and will be further analyzed later. Also,
 although Fe²⁺ is borderline categorized as a hard acid, Fe³⁺ shows a preference in hard oxygen ligands;
 Fe²⁺ favors sulfur and nitrogen ligands [217]. Finally, among the Fenton reactions initiated by Fe²⁺ or
 Fe³⁺, a small differentiation has been made, and if the starting form of iron is Fe³⁺, the reaction is
 named Fenton like. A summary of the Fenton and Fenton-like reactions is proposed in Table 3.
- 675Table 3 Proposed reaction mechanism for the Fenton (-like) reaction with H_2O_2 (25°C and I=0.1M) (adapted676from [220]).

| Reaction No. | Reaction | Reaction Constant |
|--------------|--|---|
| (1) | $Fe^{3+} + H_20 \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)^{2+} + H^+$ | $(k_1 = 2.9 x 10^{-3} M)$ |
| (2) | $Fe^{3+} + 2H_20 \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_2^+ + 2H^+$ | $(k_2 = 7.62 x 10^{-7} M^2)$ |
| (3) | $2Fe^{3+} + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Fe_2(OH)_2^{4+}} + 2H^+$ | $(k_{2.2} = 0.8x10^{-3}M)$ |
| (4) | $Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \leftrightarrow Fe^{3+}(HO_2)^{2+} + H^+$ | $(kI_1 = 3.1x10^{-3})$ |
| (5) | $\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{OH})^{2+} + H_2O_2 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Fe}^{3+}(OH)(\operatorname{HO}_2)^+ + H^+$ | $(kI_2 = 2x10^{-4})$ |
| (6a) | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{HO}_2)^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet}$ | $(k_6 = x10^{-3}s^{-1})$ |
| (6b) | $\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}(OH)(\operatorname{HO}_2)^+ \to \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + OH^-$ | $(k_6 = x10^{-3}s^{-1})$ |
| (7) | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + HO^{\bullet} + OH^{-}$ | $(k_7 = 63 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (8) | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} + HO^{\bullet} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^{-}$ | $(k_8 = 3.2x10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (9) | $HO^{\bullet} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO_2^{\bullet} + H_2O$ | $(k_9 = 3.3x 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (10a) | $\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} \to \operatorname{Fe}^{3+}(\operatorname{HO}_2)^{2+}$ | $(k_{10a} = 1.2x10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (10b) | $Fe^{2+} + O_2^{\bullet-} + H^+ \to Fe^{3+}(HO_2)^{2+}$ | $(k_{10b} = 1x10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (11a) | $\operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + HO_2^{\bullet} \to \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + O_2 + H^+$ | $(k_{11a} < 2x10^3 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (11b) | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} + O_2^{\bullet-} \to \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} + O_2$ | $(k_{11b} = 5x10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (12a) | $HO_2^{\bullet} \to O_2^{\bullet-} + H^+$ | $(k_{12a} = 1.58x10^5 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (12b) | $O_2^{\bullet-} + H^+ \to HO_2^{\bullet}$ | $(k_{12b} = 1x10^{10}M^{-1}s^{-1})$ |
| (13a) | $HO_2^{\bullet} + HO_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$ | $(k_{13a} = 8.3x10^5 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (13b) | $HO_2^{\bullet} + O_2^{\bullet-} + H_2O \to H_2O_2 + O_2 + OH^-$ | $(k_{13\mathrm{b}} = 9.7x10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (14a) | $HO^{\bullet} + HO_2^{\bullet} \to H_2O + O_2$ | $(k_{14a} = 0.71x10^{10}M^{-1}s^{-1})$ |
| (14b) | $HO^{\bullet} + O_2^{\bullet-} \to O_2 + OH^-$ | $(k_{14\mathrm{b}} = 1.01 x 10^{10} M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |
| (15) | $HO^{\bullet} + HO^{\bullet} \to H_2O_2$ | $(k_{15} = 5.2x10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ |

678 A summary of the main parameters which affect the Fenton reaction efficiency, measured by the 679 production of HO^{\bullet} , through the oxidation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺, are involved in the following equation [221]:

$$\frac{d[Fe^{2+}]}{dt} = k \left[OH^{-} \right]^2 P_{O_2} \left[Fe^{2+} \right]$$
(III.1)

680 Where the pH (represented by OH⁻), partial pressure of oxygen and initial Fe²⁺ concentration are the 681 actors which influence the kinetics of the reaction. As it appears, pH is the most influencing factor in 682 the rates of iron oxidation, and has to be analyzed separately.

683

684 2.2. Influence of the matrix pH

Theoretically, Fe²⁺ drives the homogeneous Fenton reaction. However, Morgan and Lahav [154] have analyzed the importance of pH in the distribution of iron species in the solution. Fe²⁺, forms hydroxide species, which have varying solubility rates in water, depending on the pH. The rate of oxidation and the products are included in the following equation, which accounts for the various soluble iron species.

$$-\frac{d[Fe^{2+}]}{dt} = \left(k_0 [Fe^{2+}] + k_1 [Fe(OH)^+] + k_2 [Fe(OH)^0_{2(aq)}] + k_3 [Fe(OH)^-_{3}]\right) DO,$$
(III.2)

690 Where partial pressure replaced by dissolved oxygen, since this is participating in the oxidation 691 reaction, and k_1 , k_2 , k_3 are oxidation rate constants.

The main regions of interest, as far as Eq. 2 is concerned, are below 4, between 5 and 8 and above 8. At pH<4, Fe²⁺ is the main species. Between 5 and 8, $Fe(OH)_{2(aq)}^{0}$ concentration is pH-dependent (increasing from 5 to 8) and above 8, it is the dominating form. The three species in Eq.III 2 have rate constants of $6 \cdot 10^{-5}$, 1.7, and $4.3 \cdot 10^{+5}$ min⁻¹, which is a big difference and also indicates the main Fespecies in near-neutral pH. Below a pH value of 10, $Fe(OH)_{3}^{-1}$ is not likely to affect the process, since its concentration is insignificant. Also, the necessary time to oxidize Fe²⁺ depending on the pH varies approximately from 50 min at pH=7 to 175 at pH=6.3 and theoretically infinite at pH = 4 [154].

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \to [Fe^{3+} - OH] + HO^{\bullet}$$
 (III.3)

According to the iron speciation diagram [216], at near-neutral pH Fe(OH)₃ and Fe(OH)⁺₂ will be the predominant species. Fe³⁺ may form oxide and or precipitate on existing oxides [222]. However the question of iron oxides will be analytically presented in the next chapter. The oxidized iron, will lead the heterogeneous Fenton reaction, either in the form of ferric hydroxides or as iron oxides.

At neutral pH, ferryl ion and HO^{\bullet} compete on their formation from Fe²⁺, as alternatives from the previous equation [223-225], reducing the efficiency of HO^{\bullet} production, as ferryl is a less reactive species. Ultimately, the ferric species formed will create aqua hydroxy complexes [226]:

$$[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+} + H_2O \leftrightarrow [Fe(OH)(H_2O)_5]^{2+} + H_3O^+$$
(III.4)

$$[Fe(OH)(H_2O)_5]^{2+} + H_2O \leftrightarrow [Fe(OH)_2(H_2O)_4] + H_3O^+$$
(III.5)

707 And at near-neutral pH, we get [227]:

$$2 \left[Fe(0H)(H_2O)_5 \right]^{2+} + H_2O \leftrightarrow \left[Fe(0H)_2(H_2O)_8 \right]^{4+} + 2 H_2O \tag{III.6}$$

$$[Fe(OH)_2(H_2O)_8]^{4+} + H_2O \leftrightarrow [Fe_2(OH)_3(H_2O)_7]^{3+} + H_3O^+$$
(III.7)

$$[Fe_{2}(OH)_{3}(H_{2}O)_{7}]^{3+} + [Fe(OH)(H_{2}O)_{5}]^{2+}$$
(III.8)
$$\leftrightarrow [Fe(OH)_{4}(H_{2}O)_{7}]^{5+} + 2H_{2}O$$

708

710

Iron oxides are the final product of iron transformation in nature. In total, 16 known oxides and 711 712 hydroxides exist [228], presented in Table 4, and a range among them has been used in heterogeneous 713 catalysis processes, recently reviewed by Pouran et al. [219]. As the ferrous state of iron is highly prone to oxidation, oxides are a deterministic product of the evolution through time. Also, oxides derive 714 from ferric iron as well. Therefore, there are Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺-containing iron oxides, such as wüstite and 715 goethite, respectively [218]. Jolivet et al. for instance have summarized the composition in Fe²⁺/³⁺ and 716 hydroxylation ratio among the various iron oxides, indicating the existence of oxides with Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ 717 718 in their composition [229].

Table 4 – Oxides and hydroxides comprehensive list (adapted from [228]).

| Oxide Hydroxides | | Oxides | | |
|------------------|---|-----------|--|--|
| Name | Formula | Name | Formula | |
| Goethite | α-FeOOH | Hematite | α -Fe ₂ O ₃ | |
| Lepidocrocite | γ-FeOOH | Magnetite | Fe_3O_4 ($Fe^{II}Fe_2^{III}O_4$) | |
| Akaganéite | β-FeOOH | Maghemite | γ- Fe ₂ O ₃ | |
| Schwertmannite | $Fe_{16}O_{16}(OH)_{y}(SO_{4})_{z} \bullet nH_{2}O$ | | β - Fe ₂ O ₃ | |
| | δ-FeOOH | | ε- Fe ₂ O ₃ | |
| Feroxyhite | δ'-FeOOH | Wustite | FeO | |
| High pressure | FeOOH | | | |
| Ferrihydrite | $Fe_5HO_8 \bullet 4H_2O$ | | | |
| Bernalite | Fe(OH)₃ | | | |
| | Fe(OH)₂ | | | |
| Green rusts | $Fe_x^{III}Fe_y^{II}(OH)_{3x+2y-z}(A^-)_z$ | | | |

720

721 The different oxides can be formed according to the conditions present in the matrix; for instance for pH > 3 hydroxylation of ferric ions can lead to ferrihydrate and hematite [229], or ferrous sulfate in 722 water has led to lepidocrocite and goethite [59]. A comprehensive list of the possible iron (Fe²⁺ or Fe³⁺) 723 724 to iron oxides can be found in Figure 6 [228]. Nevertheless, the significant/relevant interconversions are the ones taking place in natural water, i.e. slightly acidic or basic conditions, presence of organic 725 726 matter, response to light etc. The initial conditions of the oxides formation on the other hand could 727 lead in the appearance of various forms of oxides in more special contexts; for instance mines or 728 volcanic soils, where temperatures and pressure could lead to transformations and subsequently, 729 transfer of the oxides to surface waters.



Figure 6 - Iron oxides formation and transformation (adapted from [228]). The different pathways of oxides
 transformation are presented, including both the ones taking place in natural waters, as well as the
 (theoretically) potentially present due to previous terrestrial properties.

Table 5 - Interconversion among the iron oxides (adapted from [228]).

| Precursor | Product | Type of Transformation | Preferred medium |
|---------------|----------------|--|---|
| | Hematite | Thermal or mechanical | Gas/Vacuum |
| Coathita | | dehydroxylation | |
| Guetinte | Hematite | Hydrothermal dehydroxylation | Solution |
| | Maghemite | Thermal dehydroxylation | Air + Organic |
| | Maghemite/ | Thermal dehydroxylation | Gas/Vacuum |
| Lonidocrocito | Hematite | | |
| Lepidociocite | Goethite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Alkaline Solution |
| | Magnetite | Reduction | Alkaline Solution with Fe ²⁺ |
| | Hematite | Thermal dehydroxylation | Gas/Vacuum |
| Akaganáita | Goethite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Alkaline Solution |
| ARUYUNENE | Hematite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Acid Solution |
| | Magnetite | Dissolution/Reduction | Alkaline Solution with N_2H_4 |
| δ-FeOOH | Hematite | Thermal dehydroxylation | Gas/Vacuum |
| Feroxyhyte | Goethite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Alkaline Solution |
| | Maghemite | Thermal Dehydration/Dehydroxylation | Gas/Vacuum |
| | /Hematite | | |
| | Goethite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Aqueous Solution pH 3-14 |
| | Akaganéite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Acidic Media+Cl |
| Ferrihydrite | Lepidocrocite | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | pH = 6 + cysteine |
| rennyunce | Hematite | Aggregation | Aqueous Solution pH 6-8 |
| | Hematite | Short-Range Crystallization with Ferrihydrite | Aqueous Solution pH 6-8 |
| | Substituted | Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Alkaline Solution + M ^{II} |
| | Magnetite | | |
| Homatita | Magnetite | Reduction | Reducing gas |
| Tematite | Magnetite | Reduction-Dissolution/re-Precipitation | Alkaline Solution with N_2H_4 |
| Magnetite | Maghemite/ | Oxidation | Air |
| | Hematite | | |
| Maghemite | Hematite | Thermal Conversion | Air |
| | Magnetite | Oxidation | N ₂ + alkaline solution |
| | Goethite | | Alkaline Solution |
| Fe(OH)₂ | Lepidocrocite | | |
| | Magnetite | | |
| | Maghemite | | |
| FeO | Magnetite + Fe | Disproportionation | Air |
| | | | |

736

737 Their solubility in water varies and depends on the composition of the matrix, as well as the properties

of the oxide itself [230]. More specifically, the presence or absence of ligand, and the ionic strength,as well as the pH of the solution.

Table 6 [231] summarizes the pH for the zero point charge for the various oxides. This property is significant, as in natural waters and the corresponding pH values present, their contact with microorganisms could be either favored or prevented. Some other relevant properties, for their
participation in the Fenton reaction is the crystallinity. This property is a good indicator of potential
release of iron into the bulk and subsequent utilization in the homogeneous Fenton (-like) reaction.
For instance, Ferrihydrite and Schwertmannite have low crystalline properties and they are expected
to release more iron ions than oxides with similar content but high crystallinity [218].

747

Table 6 – pH and isoelectric points of the various iron oxides (adapted from [231]).

| Sample | pH (point zero charge) |
|--|------------------------|
| Fe ⁰ | 7.8-8.1 |
| Fe ₃ O ₄ | 6.3-8.72 |
| α -Fe ₂ O ₃ | 5.2-8.96 |
| γ- Fe 2 O 3 | 8.25 |
| α-FeOOH | 7-9.5 |
| в-FeOOH | 6.5-6.9 |
| ү-FeOOH | 7.05-8.47 |
| δ-FeOOH | 8.5 |
| $Fe_5HO_8 \cdot 4H_2O$ | 8.9 |
| | |

748

Finally, of particularly high interest are the oxides which have oxidizing or good photochemical properties, like a-Fe₂O₃, c- Fe₂O₃, a-Fe-OOH, b-FeOOH and c-FeOOH. These oxides will be expected to contribute in the photo-enhanced Fenton reaction in near-neutral media [232, 233], actively participating either as sources of homogenous iron, heterogeneous catalysts or semiconductors.

753

754 2.4. Iron, light supply and bacterial presence facilitate the photo-Fenton reaction

755

756 Before the simultaneous presence of iron and H₂O₂ is further analyzed, the sole addition of iron will 757 follow, as it can have bactericidal properties by itself. After the initial oxidation of Fe^{2+} , the next steps of the process involve Fe³⁺-initiated reactions. Fe³⁺ is thermodynamically more stable than Fe²⁺, but is 758 also less soluble [234]. Even at near-neutral pH, this is not a detrimental constraint, since Fe³⁺ can be 759 760 reduced back to Fe²⁺ by different mechanisms. First of all, it must be noted that reduction process is 761 in competition with precipitation. Since the iron-containing solids have big specific surface area [235] 762 they can complex with ligands, or react with oxidants/reductants; electron transfer is facilitated and the aforementioned competitive processes. Therefore, the possible routes back to Fe²⁺, involve 763 reduction of i) organically or inorganically complexed iron, ii) dissolved inorganic Fe³⁺, iii) 764

microorganism-complexed iron and iv) matrix-assisted (i.e. thermal, abiotic) processes [236-242]. After its conversion back to Fe^{2+} , even in small amounts, electron transfer is very fast, and iron is established as an efficient catalyst and a considerable electron source [235].

768 2.4.1. Complexed iron: Organic, aqua- and aqua- hydroxy- complexes

In principal, the available complexes are encountered in water through multiple routes, including precipitation, exchange with soils and urban activities [243-250]. One option is the carboxylate group (R-COO⁻) which facilitates iron complexation. The polycarboxylates facilitate the photo-Fenton reaction, as they are photo-active under solar light, and initiate a number of Fenton-related actions [251]. Before we analyze the mechanism of reduction, we mention that some of the products of photo-reduction include the superoxide/hydroperoxide radical $(O_2^{\bullet-}/HO_2^{\bullet-})$ and H₂O₂ [243, 252]; the photo-Fenton reaction is again initiated by Fe²⁺ and H₂O₂, and HO[•] are produced anew.

There are two mechanisms of iron regeneration under light, via either an inner or an outer electron 776 transfer mechanism [253]. Firstly, the [Fe³⁺-L_n] is excited to [Fe³⁺-L_n]* state, and i) via the inner-sphere 777 mechanism L^{\bullet^+} is formed, and [Fe²⁺-L_{n-1}]; In reaction with another ligand and oxygen the parent [Fe³⁺-778 L_n is regenerated or ii) via an electron donor (which gets oxidized) the reaction of $[Fe^{2+}-L_n]$ with 779 780 molecular oxygen [253]. In both cases, a sacrificial electron donor is required and superoxide anion is 781 formed, which, as analyzed before, has its own biological significance. Solar light is energetic enough 782 to overpass the ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) band with only if the organic ligand is easily 783 oxidized; in natural waters this is easy to get and therefore, this reaction is deeply meaningful.

The one-electron oxidation of the ligand generated within the process requires a second electron
transfer to return to stable oxidation states, by the following reaction scheme:

$$[Fe^{+3} - L]^{3+} + 2H_2O \xrightarrow{hv (LMCT)} [Fe(H_2O)_2]^{2+} + L^{\bullet+}$$
(III.9)

$$L^{\bullet+} + [Fe^{+3} - L]^{3+} \to [Fe^{2+} - L]^{2+} + L^{2+}$$
(III.10)

$$L^{\bullet+} + O_2 \to L^{2+} + O_2^{\bullet-} \tag{III.11}$$

$$L^{\bullet+} + Cu^{2+} \to L^{2+} + Cu^+ \tag{III.12}$$

The oxidized ligand can react either by reaction a) with the parent Fe^{3+} -L complex, b) with oxygen, creating superoxide radical anion) or c) with other oxidants in the matrix [253, 254]. The unstable superoxide radical anion is leading to H_2O_2 formation or biological damage; it is therefore made clear that the photo-Fenton cycle by-products initiate more pathways towards bacterial inactivation. Within the aqua- hydroxy complexes, there is a limited availability in neutral pH. $[Fe^{3+}OH(H_2O)_5]$ is one of the remaining complexes in slightly acidic environments, which, is photoactive [255]. In the case of aqua and/or aqua hydroxy complexes, the main difference lies in the ligand oxidation product, which in this case is HO^{\bullet} [256]. Therefore, in near neutral pH, inner sphere LMCT can take place and transfer electron to Fe³⁺, to generate Fe²⁺ and HO^{\bullet} :

$$[Fe^{3+}(OH)(H_2O)_5]^{2+} + H_2O \xrightarrow{hv(LMCT)} [Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_6]^{2+} + HO^{\bullet}$$
(III.13)

795 In other Fe-hydroxo complexes, there are similar pathways [232, 242], which can be summarized as:

$$[Fe^{3+}OH_n(H_2O)_{6-n}] + H_2O \xrightarrow{hv(LMCT)} [Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_6] + HO^{\bullet}$$
(III.14)

$$[Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_6] + OH^- + O_2 \to [Fe^{3+}OH_n(H_2O)_{6-n}] + H_2O$$
(III.15)

Among the two categories of ligands, only around 10-20% is waterbound, with the most abundant species, being the organically-complexed iron forms [257, 258].

798 2.4.2. Iron-Microorganism interaction

Iron holds the property of binding to surfaces which can provide the necessary electrostatic conditions. In the previous chapters, the chelating properties of organic ligands were presented and the water-iron complexes, as well as the iron inter-conversion in these cases. Although microorganisms are far more complex entities than organic compounds, there are some noteworthy properties that influence iron, such as: i) the overall solubility of iron in the matrix and ii) the iron formation within it.

805 Bacterial membranes consist in layers, which, on the outer surface, contain lipo-polysaccharide 806 molecules (LPS). These LPS have been documented to bind bivalent molecules [259], and therefore 807 offer binding sites to iron as well. The second macro-observation is that Fe³⁺ can form complexes with 808 big macromolecules, which could mean that iron-bacteria aggregates can be formed [260]. As it is made clear, Fe²⁺ after its oxidation to Fe³⁺ can remain in suspension (even for a short period) and use 809 810 the bacterial membrane as a ligand. Therefore, LMCT can occur, among the iron and the surface binding it [31]. As a result, reduction of Fe^{3+} takes place and the oxidation of the ligand, as it was 811 812 described before, damages the external bacterial surface [50].

Even in absence of light, there were important observations of groups studying the iron oxides' interaction with bacteria [219, 261, 262], where different strains of both Gram negative or positive bacteria were found to be partially, up to fully covered in iron oxides. This could initiate a strong
oxidative damage on the bacterial surface if the proper conditions are met. Also, another set of
observations led to the influence of iron form if bacteria were present in a sample. It was shown [262]
that letting the microorganisms age in a sample and allow the subsequent release of proteins and DNA
(from dead cells) influenced the formation of specific iron oxide structures. As it appears, the iron
oxides' formation is affected also by the presence of microorganisms, in a process called "oriented
aggregation" [263, 264] apart from the pH, temperature and oxygen constraints mentioned before.

822

823 2.5. Homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton, photo-Fenton and semiconductor 824 action mode, during simultaneous presence of hv, H_2O_2 and Fe.

Continuing from the enhancement by H_2O_2 , we assume now that iron is inserted into the photoinactivation process. Fe^{2+} in a previous chapter was subject to analyses and the presence of oxygen, in combination with pH were defined as the combined oxidation triggers. In a similar system, hydrogen peroxide can also determine the oxidation rate [265], converting Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} . The ferrous ion is considerably more soluble, is readily oxidizable or assimilable by bacteria [266], but has lower complexing capabilities than Fe^{3+} ; considering the oxidative conditions present, it is not expected to remain long in this valence [265].



832

Figure 7 - Summary of the contribution by Fe and H₂O₂ enhancements. The analytical explanations of the
 various actions are analyzed in-text, at steps 1-6.

836 Nevertheless, the first step of the Fenton reaction is taking place efficiently, with simultaneous 837 generation of Fe^{3+} and HO^{\bullet} . In this part, we will attempt to concentrate the different photo-catalytic 838 actions involved by the simultaneous addition of Fe salts and H_2O_2 and synthesize the inactivation 839 mechanism dominating bacterial inactivation.

840 Step 1: addition of $Fe^{2+} \rightarrow$ internal action.

Fe²⁺ addition, in absence of H₂O₂ in the water matrix, has itself limited reactivity. However, it can 841 842 diffuse into the bacterial cell quite easily [150, 155] due to low charge density and difference in 843 osmotic pressure between the cell and the matrix. From this point and onwards, it is available as a 844 readily oxidizable catalyst, able to induce oxidative stress internally with the H_2O_2 produced as a normal part of the respiration chain. Considering an illuminated system, which, as we have analyzed, 845 846 affects the regulation of ROS into the cell, the reaction with H_2O_2 becomes a photo-catalytic process; Fe³⁺ binds in various positions and uses a LMCT to regenerate back to Fe²⁺, or $O_2^{\bullet-}$ constantly releasing 847 848 it from the Fe/S clusters around the cell.

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + HO^{\bullet} + OH^-$$
 (III.16)

$$Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + H^+$$
 (III.17)

$$Fe(OH)^{2+} + h\nu \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + HO^{\bullet} \tag{III.18}$$

$$[Fe(COO - R)]^{2+} + hv \to Fe^{2+} + CO_2 + R^{\bullet}$$
(III.19)

This process has been proven of significant contribution [31, 50]. The internal process has been found to be important, when the internal and the external damage were compared through malondialdehyde (MDA) formation [50]. Both in bacteria [267] and in another microorganism (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) it was proven through proteomic analyses that internal photo-Fenton is the main driving force of its inactivation [71].

Step 2: addition of $Fe^{2+} \rightarrow$ external action (including chelating agents).

Fe²⁺ addition, in presence of H_2O_2 in the matrix, can drive a homogeneous photo-Fenton process, for a limited period of time. Fe²⁺ is soluble in water, and by reaction with H_2O_2 , production of HO^{\bullet} is achieved in a big extent, effectively degrading the external cell membrane and resulting in microorganism degradation. However, we have analyzed the fate of Fe²⁺ in near-neutral pH and presence of dissolved oxygen and/or H_2O_2 ; Fe³⁺ is expected to be formed, which in turn has limited dissolution rates in these conditions, except if it is complexed with organic ligands (its activity will be analyzed in step 3). In order to mitigate the problem of iron availability in unfavorable conditions, the use of chelating agents has been assessed for bacterial inactivation [68]. In this work, Fe²⁺ was provided by a stable (in the dark) Fe-citrate complex, whose light-initiated dissociation was as follows:

$$[Fe^{3+} - citrate] + h\nu \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + citrate^{2\bullet-}$$
(III.20)

$$citrate^{2\bullet-} + O_2 \rightarrow product + CO_2 + O_2^{\bullet-}$$
(III.21)

$$Fe^{2+} + O_2 \to Fe(OH)^{2+} \to Fe(OH)^+_2$$
 (III.22)

$$Fe^{3+} + O_2^{\bullet-} \to Fe^{2+} + O_2$$
 (III.23)

Under irradiation of the photo-active complexes (main form at near-neutral pH: [FeHcit], [Fecit]⁻,
 [Fecit]^{2–} and [FeHcit]⁺, [Fecit], [FeOHcit]^{-,} for ferric and ferrous complexes, respectively) Fe²⁺ was
 released, according to the following reactions:

$$[Fe(OH) - citrate]^{-} + hv \xrightarrow{LMCT} Fe^{2+} + 3 - HGA^{2\bullet-}$$
(III.24)

$$[Fe^{2+} - citrate]^{-} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow [Fe^{3+} - citrate] + OH^{-} + HO^{\bullet}$$
(III.25)

$$HO_2^{\bullet} \leftrightarrow O_2^{\bullet-} + H^+, \, \mathsf{pK}_{\mathsf{a}} = 4.8 \tag{III.26}$$

$$HO_2^{\bullet} + O_2^{\bullet-} + H_2O \to H_2O_2 + O_2 + OH^-$$
(III.27)

$$HO_2^{\bullet} + HO_2^{\bullet} \to H_2O_2 + O_2$$
 (III.28)

B67 Due to the presence of the ligand, effective bacterial inactivation was obtained up to pH = 8.5, by B68 production of HO^{\bullet} and $O_2^{\bullet-}$, measured by electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy. The citrate B69 by-products, as the ligands in the LMCT presented in previous chapters, can react with molecular B70 oxygen or H₂O₂ to initiate further ROS production, mainly superoxide radical anion [18].

871 Step 3: Fe³⁺ formation/addition (in presence of bacteria).

Fe³⁺ has been shown to form after the oxidation of Fe²⁺, inside and outside the cell. Into the cell, upon formation Fe³⁺ can bind to proteins and DNA backbone, but efficiently participating in LMCT-initiated oxidative damage. Fe³⁺ can also play the role of electron acceptor during UV-affected dumping of electrons, during malfunctioning of the respiration process [31]. Furthermore, bacteria are known to produce siderophores such as (enterobactin, aerobactin, and ferrichrome), which are able to metabolically chelate Fe³⁺ present in the cell [268, 269], to cover their needs in Fe³⁺. These proteins 878 efficiently bind to Fe³⁺ and create complexes, therefore facilitating internal photo-assisted LMCT and 879 production of HO^{\bullet} .

$$Fe^{3+}$$
-siderophore + hv \xrightarrow{LMCT} $Fe^{2+} + L^{\bullet+}$ (III.29)

880 On the other hand, siderophores are not limited to internal activity, but, along with the bacterial 881 membranes, can facilitate external iron availability, as follows: the reduced diffusion capability of Fe³⁺ is overpassed by transfer proteins, which bring Fe³⁺ into the cytoplasm. From this point it can play the 882 aforementioned roles. Outside the cell, Fe³⁺ binds to the bacterial membrane possessing high affinity 883 884 compounds, such as carboxylic groups [31] or phospholipids and lipo-polysaccharides [270] as described in the previous chapter, forming Fe-bacterium complexes or nFe³⁺-mBacteria agglomerates. 885 886 The photo-initiated electron transfer by LMCT creates local, external oxidative damage and the 887 oxidized ligand could continue the oxidative chain reaction, producing more ROS. The production of 888 Fe^{2+} from this process re-initiates steps 1 and 2.

889 Step 4: Iron Oxides formation from Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ addition.

After conversion of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺, the Fenton process is considered as limited, since Fe(OH)²⁺ has limited 890 solubility at near-neutral pH and therefore, exploitation of its photoactivity is limited [50]. Instead, 891 zero-charge complexes are formed, such as $Fe(OH)_2^0$, which are prone to oxidation and formation of 892 solid iron oxides, such as magnetite, goethite, lepidocrocite, or feroxyhyte [229]. Measurements have 893 894 shown that iron precipitates as ferric oxide or hydroxide; formation of goethite and/or lepidocrocite 895 (α -FeO(OH) and γ -FeO(OH), respectively) [228]; this is why usually soluble iron precipitates after some 896 time in Fenton experiments in near-neutral pH. As analyzed before, the formation of the oxides is 897 affected by a number of parameters, and the different oxides could participate differently in the 898 photo-catalytic inactivation mechanisms. The presence of H₂O₂ in the sample, as well as dissolved 899 oxygen, normally initiates a series of reactions to create the oxides [59]:

$$\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + 6H_2O \to [Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}_{(aq)}$$
 (III.30)

$$[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}_{(aq)} + OH^- \to [Fe(OH)(H_2O)_5]^+_{(aq)}$$
(III.31)

$$[Fe(OH)(H_2O)_5]^+_{(aq)} + OH^- \to [Fe(OH)_2(H_2O)_4]_{(aq)}$$
(III.32)

 $Fe^{2+} + O_2 \to Fe^{3+} + O_2^{\bullet-}$ (III.33)

$$Fe(OH)^{+} + O_2 \to Fe(OH)^{2+} + O_2^{\bullet-}$$
 (III.34)

$$Fe(OH)_2 + O_2 \rightarrow [Fe(OH)_2]^+ + O_2^{\bullet-}$$
 (III.35)

$$4[Fe(0H)_2(H_20)_4]_{(aq)} \rightarrow [Fe_4(0H)_8(H_20)_8]_{(s)} + 8H_20 \tag{III.36}$$

$$[Fe_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_8]_{(s)} + O_2 \to 4FeOOH_{(s)} + 10H_2O \tag{III.37}$$

900 Furthermore, iron oxides, depending on their isoelectric point (IEP), can adsorb to bacterial surfaces 901 [271, 272]; for instance, goethite, with an IEP between 7.6 and 8.9, is positively charged and its 902 connection with bacterial membrane, being negatively charged among pH 3 and 9 [270], is permitted. 903 In addition, Voelker et al. [273] have suggested also a small release of iron from the oxides. However, 904 in presence of bacteria, some of the iron oxides are chelated either by siderophores, bacterial surfaces 905 or bacterial degradation by-products. This increases the normally low solubility which these species 906 present at neutral pH. Even more, their simultaneous availability with H₂O₂ and/or light initiates the 907 next two mechanisms of inactivation, the semiconductor mode of action and the heterogeneous 908 catalyst effect.

909 Step 5: Semiconductor action mode of iron oxides.

910 Iron oxides can function as either heterogeneous photo-catalysts or as semiconductors. Although this
911 is not a step prior to the heterogeneous mechanism, but rather "a parallel" one, it will be presented
912 first, as this pathway can evolve, under condition, even without H₂O₂ addition.

913 Iron oxides, either naturally present in water [228] or laboratory-prepared [228] are among the most
914 reactive components within the matrix. Their chemical activity involves potential photocatalyst
915 activity, if the hole-electron recombination problem is overpassed [274]. The semiconductor action
916 mode is described by the following equations [228]:

$$Fe_2O_3 + hv \to Fe_2O_3 \ (e^- + h^+)$$
 (III.38)

$$e_{(cb)}^{-} + O_2 \to O_2^{\bullet-}$$
 (III.39)

$$h_{(vb)}^+ + O_2^{\bullet-} \to {}^1O_2$$
 (III.40)

$$e_{(cb)}^{-} + > \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \to > \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$$
 (III.41)

 $h^+_{(vb)} + RX_{ad} \to RX^{\bullet+}_{ad} \tag{III.42}$

$$e_{(cb)}^{-} + O_2^{\bullet-} + 2H^+ \to H_2O_2 \tag{III.43}$$

$$e_{(cb)}^{-} + H_2 O_2 \to OH^- + HO^{\bullet} \tag{III.44}$$

917 Briefly, the mechanism involves the absorption of a photon with higher energy than the band gap, 918 generating hole-electron pairs in the conduction and valence bands, respectively. Assuming that there 919 is a fraction of efficient promotion, rather than 100% recombination, redox reaction can take place in the surface of the oxide (marked as $>Fe^{2+}/^{3+}$) [59]. Light is essential to initiate the reaction [228, 275, 920 921 276] creating the hole-electron pairs. The conduction band produces electrons, which can initiate 922 superoxide radical anion production, with molecular oxygen as electron acceptor, and either react 923 with the holes to produce singlet oxygen, which has important biological significance, affect the external bacterial membrane themselves, or convert by-standing Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ [275, 276]. The holes, on 924 the other hand can create oxidative damage to the bacterial membranes themselves, since their 925 926 positive oxidation potential (1.7 at neutral pH), is under the redox potential of bacteria [276-279]. Another suggestion [276] proposes a scheme involving the production of HO^{\bullet} and H_2O_2 . If H_2O_2 is 927 928 added in the bulk, then higher $H0^{\bullet}$ production is achieved, and therefore more significant bacterial 929 inactivation.

Ruales-Lonfat et al. [59] tested 4 iron oxides, 3 of which revealed a semiconductor mode of action, goethite, hematite and wüstite; magnetite failed to demonstrate such capabilities in absence of H_2O_2 , possibly due to low band gap, unfavorable IEP, high agglomeration [280] or high precipitation dynamics of the Fe²⁺ content [281, 282]. In presence of bacteria, the siderophores affected the experiments, possibly by either enhancing dissolution of iron [269, 283, 284], electron transfer through LMCT in the Fe-siderophore complex, or a semiconductor-driven charge transfer of electron towards the oxide surface [284], leading to Fe³⁺ reduction.

937 Step 6: Heterogeneous (photo)Fenton reaction.

Iron oxides in presence of H₂O₂ can play the role of an efficient heterogeneous photo-catalyst, towards, bacterial inactivation [50, 59], in two ways. Firstly, in presence of siderophores, it can contribute to the supply of dissolved Fe²⁺ in the bulk [269]. Furthermore, H₂O₂ can start a series of reactions, at which iron hydroxide ligands can get reduced, with simultaneous hydroperoxyl radical formation [269]. Under light, the production of hydroxyl radicals is also favored [285]. The reactions involved are the following:

$$> \text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{OH} + H_2 O_2 \rightarrow > \text{Fe}^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + H_2 O$$
 (III.45)

$$> Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow > Fe^{3+} - OH + HO^{\bullet} + H_2O$$
 (III.46)

$$> \operatorname{Fe}^{3+}-\operatorname{OH} + hv \to > \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + HO^{\bullet}$$
(III.47)

$$HO_2^{\bullet} \leftrightarrow O_2^{\bullet-} + H^+, \, \mathsf{pK}_a=4.8 \tag{III.48}$$

>
$$\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}-\operatorname{OH} + HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-} \to \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + H_2O/OH^- + O_2$$
 (III.49)

As it is seems, even magnetite, which does not demonstrate semiconductor capabilities, was reported to efficiently inactivate *E. coli* when H_2O_2 was added in the bulk [59]. In step 5, the formation of quantities of H_2O_2 was also proposed, here we assess the possibility of H_2O_2 addition from the beginning; then the preferred pathway for the oxides would be to use H_2O_2 as electron acceptor (under light) or act as heterogeneous catalysts. The H_2O_2 accepting the electrons would further create HO^{\bullet} radicals, and further regeneration of Fe³⁺ back to Fe²⁺ would be achieved.

950 An alternative mechanism includes the disruption of the excited > $Fe^{3+}OOH$ bond, resulting to > $Fe^{4+}=O$ 951 species and HO^{\bullet} [286]. The latter reacts with water and further produces HO^{\bullet} radicals; a summary of 952 the reaction scheme is as follows:

>
$$\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}-\operatorname{OH} + H_2O_2 \to \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + H_2O$$
 (III.45)

>
$$Fe^{3+} - 00H + hv \rightarrow Fe^{4+} = 0 + H0^{\bullet}$$
 (III.50)

$$> Fe^{4+} = 0 + H_2 0 \rightarrow > Fe^{3+} - 0H + H0^{\bullet}$$
 (III.51)

954 Chapter IV: Influence of the water matrix

955

956 1. Influence of natural organic matter on the photo-Fenton957 reaction

The following conceptual part of this review assesses one of the most crucial components facilitating the near-neutral photo-Fenton in natural waters, the presence of natural organic matter (NOM). Its presence has been connected with both enhancement of the photo-Fenton reaction and partial hindering, under circumstances. In this chapter, the various forms, functions and effects of NOM will be presented.

963

964 1.1. Definitions – Distinction among the components of NOM

Natural organic matter (NOM) is a general definition, bringing together all types of organic matter 965 966 normally present in natural water bodies. The two major categories of NOM, are the dissolved organic 967 matter (DOM) and the particulate organic matter (POM). The distinction among the two categories is 968 facilitated through a convention set in the isolation technique, i.e. filtering with 0.1-0.7 µm diameter membranes [287]; DOM is the fraction that is passing through, while POM is retained [288]. A number 969 970 of authors have proposed further distinction, from the permate of ultrafiltration (<10 kDaltons), being 971 the real dissolved organic matter, and the fraction above 10 kDa and below 0.4 or 0.7 μ m the "total 972 dissolved organic carbon". The colloidal sizes are among 1 nm and 1 µm, with the dissolved fraction 973 being a part of it [289-293].

974 DOM is the result of material run-off from soils, the algal or phytoplankton originated biological by-975 products from other surface waters, and the artificial, man-made substances that infiltrate natural 976 waters; the three categories compose the allochthonous organic matter, varying from 10 to 300.000 977 kDa size [294-296]. However, there is a fraction of organic matter (OM) that is present and produced 978 in the water body, the autochthonous part. Humic or fulvic substances, bacterial by-products, as well 979 as organic acids, carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, alcohols, sterols and phenols are the rest of the major 980 autochthonous fraction [288, 297-302]. Finally, the particulate organic matter (POM) is by definition 981 larger in size and is composed by floral debris, bacterial and higher microorganisms' by-products and 982 is also often a function of the neighboring soil properties [287].

983 1.2. DOM functions in natural waters

The two main functions of DOM which facilitate its active participation in the photo-Fenton reaction are the photo-active behavior of certain moieties and its ability to complex metal cations, keeping them in solution and subsequently allow their participation in homogeneous oxido-reductive cycles, without suffering high degree of precipitation.

988 1.2.1. Photo-activity: chromophoric and colored DOM

989 In general, DOM is reported to absorb light in both UV and visible regions of light wavelengths [288, 990 299, 302-305]. The fundamental difference among colored and chromophoric DOM (CDOM) is the 991 absorption in the visible region. The substances absorbing in the visible region are denoted as colored. 992 Among the NOM, a differentiation could be made among the high and low molecular weight DOM 993 constituents (HMW and LMW DOM). HMW DOM absorbs in a range of 250 to 800 nm and more 994 specifically, the allochthonous fulvic and humic acids and the autocthnonous fulvic acids. The 995 aforementioned substances are colored and can be marked as both colored and chromophoric DOM 996 [287, 302, 306-310]. On the contrary, LMW DOM constituents absorb almost exclusively in the UV 997 region and lack color. In detail, Mostofa et al. [287] have reviewed various components of the LMW 998 DOM, such as formaldehyde, acetate, malonate and more, which absorb in 207-250 nm, 204-270 nm 999 and 225-240 nm, respectively. As no color is demonstrated, these substances are classified as 1000 chromophoric DOM, but not colored DOM.

1001 *1.2.2. Complexation with trace metal ions*

1002 The ability of DOM to complex metal ions is of critical importance in rendering metals available in the 1003 environment. This ability is exploited also by the natural cycle of photo-Fenton, further analyzed later. 1004 Their complexation is an indirect regulator of the overall chemistry of metal ions, affecting functions 1005 as transport, acid-base balance, solubility in water and more [287]. Among the DOM constituents, 1006 many of its components can participate in these functions, from both allochthonous and 1007 autochthonous fraction. More specifically, humic and fulvic substances, amino acids, extracellular 1008 polymeric substances produced by bacteria have demonstrated complexing capabilities [311, 312]. 1009 The diversity of the functional groups realize the complexation, with chromophoric and fluorophoric 1010 groups being among the most probable facilitators [288, 313-315]. Finally, the most important 1011 measure of the DOM-metal interaction is the conditional stability constant. This parameter has been 1012 reviewed by Mostofa et al. [287] and the most important parameters have been found to be the size 1013 (and origin) of DOM, the matrix pH, the cations and anions present, the photochemical processes

- 1014 potentially involved and the contribution of microbial species. Since this constant is a function of a set
- 1015 of parameters, its value is expected to differ significantly.



1017Figure 8 - Iron cycling in natural waters (adapted from [243]). The LMCT with oxalate, malonate and citrate1018complexes is presented, as indicative organic ligands of iron. Their photo-induced LMCT leads to reduced iron1019(blue panel) and ligand radicals (yellow panel). The ligand radicals initiate further oxidative-related reactions1020including the formation of H2O2, oxido-reduction of Fe, and HO® generation.

1021

1016

1022 1.3. DOM photo-chemistry and the Fenton reaction.

1023 The interaction between DOM and light has been repeatedly reported to generate ROS in natural 1024 waters. Highly reactive ROS, such as the hydroxyl radical (HO^{\bullet}) or less reactive/more selective, such 1025 as the superoxide radical anion ($O_2^{\bullet-}$), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_2$), are generated 1026 in-situ, when DOM is irradiated. In this chapter, the generation of ROS, the implicated photo-chemistry 1027 and the dual role of DOM will be analyzed further.

Figure 8 summarizes the events that take place in natural waters, where the simultaneous presence of Fe, H₂O₂ and DOM is expected. Measurements have indicated their co-existence in natural waters in USA [316-318], therefore in the case of solar irradiation, once again an in-situ photo-Fenton reaction is initiated. Adding iron and H₂O₂ will only enhance the photo-Fenton already taking place, aggravating the oxidative stress for the microorganisms present in water. The different events (1-14) are analyzed below: 1034

1035 Event 1: Contribution of Particulate Organic Matter (POM).

Particulate organic matter has been identified to contribute in the overall photochemistry, producing
singlet oxygen [319] but also is an indirect source of DOM for the bulk [320-324]. Therefore, it can be
considered as input of DOM for the subsequent steps.

1039 Event 2: Direct photo-reactions of DOM with sunlight.

1040 In presence of organic matter, solar light is absorbed by DOM in the ground state and the excited 1041 singlet state is generated, leading to the conversion to the triplet state as explained in a previous 1042 chapter (³DOM*) [325, 326]. The triplet state is an unstable form and will quickly react with molecular 1043 oxygen [327-331], with the result being singlet oxygen (¹O₂) production:

$$DOM + hv \rightarrow {}^{1}DOM \rightarrow {}^{3}DOM^{*}$$
 (IV.1)

$${}^{3}DOM^{*} + O_{2} \to DOM + {}^{1}O_{2}$$
 (IV.2)

1044 The termination of this reaction is reached with the return of DOM to its ground state. The singlet 1045 oxygen on the other hand will continue reacting (i.e. attacking bacteria), according to the schemes 1046 suggested in the previous chapters, or produce superoxide radical anions [332].

1047 Event 3: Triplet state energy transfer.

1048 The ³DOM* can react with ground state DOM present in water, including energy/electron transfer 1049 and/or hydrogen transfer [333]. The end-product of this reaction is the formation of DOM^{•–} radicals 1050 and oxidized organic matter.

1051 Event 4: Formation of $HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$, as H₂O₂ precursors.

1052 Continuing with energy/electron transfers, reaction of the DOM radical with molecular oxygen will 1053 induce the production of reactive transient species, precursors of ROS, such as $HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$. The most 1054 important contribution of these transient species is derived by their dismutation, where H₂O₂ is 1055 formed [334-337]. During daytime, the maximal concentrations of H₂O₂ were measured [338]. The 1056 type of DOM did not seem to influence the H₂O₂ production [335, 339-343]. The initiator of the 1057 reaction is then oxidized.

1058 Event 5: Iron participation.

1059 Iron can complex with the organic matter forming stable Fe³⁺-DOM species. Fe-DOM species are less 1060 prone to precipitation, plus have high absorption coefficients in near UV and visible range [260]; LMCT 1061 is therefore facilitated, between iron and DOM as a ligand. More specifically, below 450 nm, Fe-humic 1062 complexes absorb light strongly [242, 273] and above 450 nm very few instances have been reported 1063 where efficient LMCT is taking place [265]. The reaction includes the reduction of iron and the 1064 oxidation of the participating ligand (DOM as ligand) as follows [344]:

$$[Fe^{3+} - DOM]_n + hv \rightarrow [Fe^{2+} - DOM]_{(n-1)} + DOM_{ox}^+$$
 (IV.3)

Humic and fulvic acids can induce this reaction in the dark, but the reaction constant is greatly enhanced under illumination [236, 242, 345, 346]. Even more, the presence of oxalate or malonate offer even higher reaction constants [243].

1068 Event 6: The Fenton reaction.

1069 The Fenton reaction between the Fe²⁺ deriving from the LMCT and the H₂O₂ formed by the dismutation 1070 of hydroperoxyl and/or superoxide radicals leads to the production of HO^{\bullet} and Fe³⁺ [18, 241, 344, 347, 1071 348]. Fe³⁺ could re-complex with organic matter due to its strong electrophilic character.

1072 Event 7: Alternative Fe²⁺ oxidation pathways.

1073 Apart from the classical oxidation of Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} with H_2O_2 as oxidant, more pathways exist which 1074 result to Fe^{3+} . Its reaction with $HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$ will result to Fe^{3+} but actually catalyzes the production of 1075 H_2O_2 [273, 338, 349]:

$$Fe^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} / O_2^{\bullet-} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \tag{IV.4}$$

1076 The advantage of this process is the active replenishment of the H_2O_2 in the bulk, which aids the 1077 HO^{\bullet} production of Event 6.

1078 Event 8: Reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ (Non-LMCT pathway).

Apart from the typical photo-Fenton-related pathways of iron reduction and re-initiation of the reactions, an alternative pathway has been reported. A reduced ligand L' reacts with dissolved Fe³⁺ producing Fe²⁺ [241]:

$$Fe^{3+} + L' \to Fe^{2+} + L'_{ox}$$
 (IV.5)

1082 Other pathways include the reaction of Fe^{3+} with the amphoteric $HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$, producing Fe^{2+} [240, 241, 1083 243, 265, 273, 350, 351], in an inverse process compared with the one presented in event 7:

$$Fe^{3+} + HO_2^{\bullet} / O_2^{\bullet-} \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + O_2 \tag{IV.6}$$

1084 The Fenton reaction could then be again initiated anew.

1085 Event 9: Release of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ from iron oxides and vice-versa.

Voelker et al. [273] have included in the potential mechanisms the release of iron into the bulk, through iron oxides. This plausible mechanism will result to "readily available" or "complexable" iron. Since the presence of oxygen is highly probable and the pH of the majority of natural waters is circumneutral, the influence of the iron oxides is to be considered (and will further be assessed in next steps). Also, if microorganisms are present, chelating substances (siderophores) can aid the (photo)dissolution of iron oxides [284].

1092 Event 10: Fe²⁺ - Fe³⁺ cycling at the surface of the iron oxide.

1093 Fe²⁺ at the surface of the iron oxide can react with the H₂O₂ formed in the bulk, producing HO^{\bullet} and 1094 Fe³⁺ [273]. This reaction can be important, in the case of encountering dissolved Fe²⁺ being unlikely 1095 [352].

1096 Event 11: DOM-Oxides complex.

1097 DOM can form complexes with the Fe oxides surface. More specifically, humic and carboxylate 1098 substances can form complexes with the surface of the oxides and participate in LMCT [242, 353]. 1099 Similarly to the Fe-DOM complexes in the bulk, the result is reduction of Fe³⁺ in the surface of the 1100 oxide, with simultaneous Fe²⁺ and oxidized ligand production.

1101 Event 12: Reaction of DOM with molecular oxygen.

1102 A less reactive but nonetheless important reaction under concurrent illumination in presence of 1103 oxygen and DOM, is the reduction of dioxygen by CDOM, resulting to oxidized DOM and $HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$, 1104 as follows [344]:

$$DOM + O_2 + hv \rightarrow DOM_{ox}^+ + HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$$
(IV.7)

1105 The $HO_2^{\bullet}/O_2^{\bullet-}$ pair can then further regulate iron stoichiometry, as well as H₂O₂ production through 1106 dismutation.

1107 Event 13: Scavenging of *HO*• by DOM.

1108 Apart from the role of facilitator, DOM can equally play the role of scavenger in the aquatic 1109 photochemistry implicated, as follows [325, 354, 355]:

$$DOM + HO^{\bullet} \rightarrow DOM_{ox}^{+} + HO_{2}^{\bullet}/O_{2}^{\bullet-}$$
(IV.8)

As it can be understood, since the hydroxyl radicals are highly reactive and non-selective, their harnessing for bacterial inactivation only, is impossible. Side reactions, such as the present with DOM, or with Fe³⁺ (to reduce it to Fe²⁺) are bound to happen, but are a function of the type of DOM.

1113 Event 14: Restarting the DOM cycle.

The oxidized DOM and ligands most possibly do not stop their contribution at the moment of oxidation. It has been reported that HO^{\bullet} can inflict fragmentation of the humic acids in water [347], and end up in lower molecular weight organic compounds [239, 356-358]. These fragments can possibly re-complex with iron and further participate in the photo-chemical cycle. This process however is not infinite, and is macroscopically perceived as discoloration of CDOM, and this photobleaching engulfs the side-effect of decreased absorption coefficients of water [359, 360].

1120

1121 1.4. The dual role of DOM

1122

1123 In many works, the presence of DOM in water has been found identified as an enhancement of the 1124 photo-Fenton reaction [27, 349, 361-369] [27, 349, 361-368, 370]. On the other hand, it has been also 1125 found to hinder the process [53, 371, 372]. Some authors suggested that the presence of humic 1126 substances inhibited [373-375] or had no significant effect [376-378] on the Fenton processes [365].



 1129
 Figure 9 – Overall contribution of the natural water matrix and photochemical conversions. More detailed

 1130
 explanations can be found in-text, presented in events 1-14. DOM: Dissolved Organic Matter, ¹DOM*:Singlet

 1131
 state DOM, ³DOM*: Triplet state DOM, DOM⁺_{ox}: Oxidized DOM, LMW DOM: Low molecular weight DOM, L':

 1132
 reduced ligand, L'_{ox}: Oxidized reduced ligand, POM: Particulate Organic Matter.

1133

In overall, the ability of DOM to enhance or inhibit the photo-Fenton reaction depends primarily on 1134 the complexation capabilities, the efficiency of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ cycling and the types of ROS produced during 1135 1136 illumination [379]. As a principal, allochthonous fulvic acid is a less efficient ³DOM* producing DOM 1137 than autochthonous fulvic acid, while their ability to induce radicals is inversed [380]. Also, terrestrial 1138 DOM is inhibiting $H0^{\circ}$ production than the aquatic DOM [381], depending on their structure. Nevertheless, during solar disinfection of drinking water, the self-degradation of DOM is not a 1139 1140 complete side-effect, since there is requirement to reduce the organics content; hence, the in-situ 1141 photo-Fenton reaction can achieve efficient disinfection and simultaneous DOM degradation/modification. 1142

1144 1.5. Other radical species and interactions

1145

1146 Apart from the DOM-related interactions, the ROS formed during the previous process can either 1147 attack the microorganisms, the DOM itself (self-scavenging) or even anions and inorganic substances 1148 present in water. For instance, the HO^{\bullet} radicals formed can attack chloride ions, generating various 1149 chlorine radicals, such as ${}^{\bullet}Cl_{2}$, ${}^{\bullet}Cl_{2}^{-}$, or *ClOH* [382]. Even more, hypochlorous acid can be formed 1150 from the reaction with H₂O₂. This would have the positive side-effect of inducing further inactivation. 1151 On the other hand, these reactions, or similar ones with bromine could potentially lead to halogenated 1152 by-products. Furthermore, the production of $H0^{\bullet}$ has been linked with nitrite and nitrate photoreactions [383, 384]. The reaction scheme is as follows [385]: 1153

$$NO_3^- + H^+ + hv \to HO^{\bullet} + {}^{\bullet}NO_2 \tag{IV.9}$$

$$NO_3^- + H_2O + hv \to HO^{\bullet} + NO_2^- + OH^-$$
 (IV.10)

$$NO_2^- + H_2O + hv \to HO^{\bullet} + NO + OH^-$$
 (IV.11)

Also, photolysis of nitrogen-containing DOM is found to produce nitrite, as well as nitrate photolysis [369]. However, although nitrites are of less importance than nitrates in the overall photochemistry, their quantum yield is much higher [333]. The composition of the nitrogen-related compounds themselves is a dynamic process, changing during the photo-Fenton process, as it was reported [51], by the following reaction:

$$NH_4^+ \leftrightarrow NH_3 + HO^{\bullet} \rightarrow NH_2OH \rightarrow NOH \rightarrow NO_2^- \leftrightarrow NO_3^-$$
 (IV.12)

1159 The reaction then continues as Equations IV.9-11 indicate.

Finally, the reaction of ROS with (bi)carbonates should not be overlooked, as they scavenge ROS, offering a protective effect on bacteria. HCO_3^- itself absorbs light, shielding the microorganisms along with the ROS-scavenging effect [206, 386-388]. The reactions involved are as follows [47]:

$$H0^{\bullet} + HC0_3^- \to {}^{\bullet}C0_3^- + H_20$$
 (IV.13)

$$HO^{\bullet} + CO_3^{2-} \to {}^{\bullet}CO_3^{-} + OH^{-}$$
 (IV.14)

However, the importance of the organic matter, ions and inorganic matter will be further assessed in a wastewater matrix, where the weight and contribution in either scavenging or producing ROS will

- be explained. In natural waters, either the positive or negative effects are not negligible, but great
- 1166 modifications are expected in wastewater.

1168 **Provisional conclusions**

1169

1170

1171 In this review, we attempted to approach bacterial inactivation by the near-neutral photo-Fenton 1172 process in aqueous media, in an inside-out approach. We began by the description of the effect of 1173 light alone on different components of the bacterial cell (solar disinfection), followed by the individual 1174 responses of the Fenton reagents inside the bacteria, concluding with a contextualization in natural 1175 conditions.

As solar light has been proven to play a key role in the process, a significant part of the review is devoted on the elucidation of its inactivation mechanisms, which in fact share common ground and overlap significantly with the Fenton process. As a matter of fact, it is here proven that solar disinfection is indeed a multi-level photo-Fenton process, internally and possibly in the exterior of the microorganism.

1181 In the following part of the review (Part 2), the applications on drinking water and wastewater are 1182 reviewed, presented in a critical way, thus differentiating the principal components involved in each

1183 of the two contexts.

1185 **References**

1186 [1] K. Barbusiński, Chemia, Dydaktyka, Ekologia, Metrologia 1 (2009) 111,112. 1187 [2] H. Fenton, Journal of the Chemical Society, Transactions 65 (1894) 899-910. 1188 [3] S. Goldstein, D. Meyerstein, G. Czapski, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 15 (1993) 435-445. 1189 [4] M. Masarwa, H. Cohen, D. Meyerstein, D.L. Hickman, A. Bakac, J.H. Espenson, Journal of the 1190 American Chemical Society 110 (1988) 4293-4297. 1191 [5] P. Wardman, L.P. Candeias, Radiation research 145 (1996) 523-531. 1192 [6] W.C. Bray, M.H. Gorin, Journal of the American Chemical Society 54 (1932) 2124-2125. 1193 [7] F. Haber, J. Weiss, The catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by iron salts, Proceedings 1194 of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, The Royal Society, 1195 1934, pp. 332-351. M. Kremer, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 1 (1999) 3595-3605. 1196 [8] 1197 [9] W. Barb, J. Baxendale, P. George, K. Hargrave, Nature 163 (1949) 692-694. 1198 J. Baxendale, M. Evans, C. Park, Transactions of the Faraday Society 42 (1946) 155-169. [10] 1199 [11] C. Walling, Accounts of Chemical Research 8 (1975) 125-131. C. Walling, G.M. Ei-Taliawi, Journal of the American Chemical Society 95 (1973) 844-847. 1200 [12] C. Walling, G. El-Taliawi, Journal of the American Chemical Society 95 (1973) 848-850. 1201 [13] 1202 [14] C. Walling, R.A. Johnson, Journal of the American Chemical Society 97 (1975) 2405-2407. 1203 C. Walling, R.A. Johnson, Journal of the American Chemical Society 97 (1975) 363-367. [15] 1204 [16] C. Walling, S. Kato, Journal of the American Chemical Society 93 (1971) 4275-4281. 1205 [17] J.A. Imlay, S. Linn, Science 240 (1988) 1302-1309. 1206 [18] R.G. Zepp, B.C. Faust, J. Holgné, Environmental Science and Technology 26 (1992) 313-319. 1207 [19] R. Bauer, Chemosphere 29 (1994) 1225-1233. 1208 [20] J. Kiwi, C. Pulgarin, P. Peringer, M. Grätzel, Applied Catalysis B, Environmental 3 (1993) 85-99. 1209 [21] G. Ruppert, R. Bauer, G. Heisler, Chemosphere 28 (1994) 1447-1454. M. Cho, Y. Lee, H. Chung, J. Yoon, Applied and environmental microbiology 70 (2004) 1129-1210 [22] 1134. 1211 A.-G. Rincón, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 63 (2006) 222-231. 1212 [23] 1213 [24] A.-G. Rincón, C. Pulgarin, Catalysis today 124 (2007) 204-214. A.-G. Rincón, C. Pulgarin, Catalysis today 122 (2007) 128-136. 1214 [25] A. Moncayo-Lasso, R.A. Torres-Palma, J. Kiwi, N. Benítez, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: 1215 [26] 1216 Environmental 84 (2008) 577-583. A. Moncayo-Lasso, J. Sanabria, C. Pulgarin, N. Benitez, Chemosphere 77 (2009) 296-300. 1217 [27] 1218 [28] I. Kim, H. Tanaka, Environment international 35 (2009) 793-802. 1219 F. Mazille, A. Moncayo-Lasso, D. Spuhler, A. Serra, J. Peral, N. Benítez, C. Pulgarin, Chemical [29] 1220 Engineering Journal 160 (2010) 176-184. F. Sciacca, J.A. Rengifo-Herrera, J. Wéthé, C. Pulgarin, Chemosphere 78 (2010) 1186-1191. 1221 [30] 1222 D. Spuhler, J. Andrés Rengifo-Herrera, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 96 [31] 1223 (2010) 126-141. 1224 J.I. Nieto-Juarez, K. Pierzchła, A. Sienkiewicz, T. Kohn, Environmental science & technology 44 [32] 1225 (2010) 3351-3356. 1226 [33] F. Sciacca, J.A. Rengifo-Herrera, J. Wéthé, C. Pulgarin, Solar Energy 85 (2011) 1399-1408. 1227 E.R. Bandala, L. González, F. De la Hoz, M.A. Pelaez, D.D. Dionysiou, P.S. Dunlop, J.A. Byrne, [34] 1228 J.L. Sanchez, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 218 (2011) 185-191. 1229 A. Bernabeu, R. Vicente, M. Peribáñez, A. Arques, A. Amat, Chemical engineering journal 171 [35] 1230 (2011) 490-494. E. Ortega-Gomez, P. Fernandez-Ibanez, M.M. Ballesteros Martin, M.I. Polo-Lopez, B. Esteban 1231 [36] 1232 Garcia, J.A. Sanchez Perez, Water research 46 (2012) 6154-6162. 1233 A. Moncayo-Lasso, L.E. Mora-Arismendi, J.A. Rengifo-Herrera, J. Sanabria, N. Benítez, C. [37]

- 1235 [38] M.I. Polo-López, I. García-Fernández, T. Velegraki, A. Katsoni, I. Oller, D. Mantzavinos, P.
 1236 Fernández-Ibáñez, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 111 (2012) 545-554.
- 1237 [39] N. Klamerth, S. Malato, A. Agüera, A. Fernández-Alba, G. Mailhot, Environmental Science & 1238 Technology 46 (2012) 2885-2892.
- 1239 [40] I. García-Fernández, M. Polo-López, I. Oller, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, Applied Catalysis B: 1240 Environmental 121 (2012) 20-29.
- 1241 [41] E.R. Bandala, L. González, J.L. Sanchez-Salas, J.H. Castillo, Journal of Water and Health 10 1242 (2012) 20-30.
- 1243 [42] J. Rodríguez-Chueca, M. Morales, R. Mosteo, M. Ormad, J. Ovelleiro, Photochemical & 1244 Photobiological Sciences 12 (2013) 864-871.
- 1245 [43] E. Ortega-Gómez, B. Esteban García, M.M. Ballesteros Martín, P. Fernández Ibáñez, J.A. 1246 Sánchez Pérez, Catalysis Today 209 (2013) 195-200.
- 1247 [44] J.I. Nieto-Juarez, T. Kohn, Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences 12 (2013) 1596-1605.
- 1248 [45] J. Ndounla, D. Spuhler, S. Kenfack, J. Wéthé, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 1249 129 (2013) 309-317.
- 1250 [46] M. Agulló-Barceló, M. Polo-López, F. Lucena, J. Jofre, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, Applied Catalysis
 1251 B: Environmental 136 (2013) 341-350.
- 1252 [47] D. Rubio, E. Nebot, J.F. Casanueva, C. Pulgarin, Water research 47 (2013) 6367-6379.
- 1253 [48] M.I. Polo-López, I. Oller, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, Catalysis Today 209 (2013) 181-187.
- 1254 [49] C. Ruales-Lonfat, A.V. López, J.F. Barona, A. Moncayo-Lasso, N.B. Vásquez, C. Pulgarín, 1255 Technologies for Sustainable Development, Springer, 2014, pp. 113-128.
- 1256 [50] C. Ruales-Lonfat, N. Benítez, A. Sienkiewicz, C. Pulgarín, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 1257 160 (2014) 286-297.
- 1258 [51] J. Ndounla, C. Pulgarin, Science of the Total Environment 493 (2014) 229-238.
- 1259 [52] J. Ndounla, S. Kenfack, J. Wéthé, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 148–149 1260 (2014) 144-153.
- 1261 [53] E. Ortega-Gómez, M.M. Ballesteros Martín, B. Esteban García, J.A. Sánchez Pérez, P.
 1262 Fernández Ibáñez, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 148–149 (2014) 484-489.
- 1263 [54] E. Ortega-Gómez, B.E. García, M.B. Martín, P.F. Ibáñez, J.S. Pérez, Water research 63 (2014) 1264 316-324.
- 1265 [55] A. Teodoro, M.Á. Boncz, A.M. Júnior, P.L. Paulo, Journal of Environmental Chemical 1266 Engineering 2 (2014) 958-962.
- 1267 [56] J. Rodríguez-Chueca, A. Mediano, M. Ormad, R. Mosteo, J. Ovelleiro, Water research 60 (2014)1268 250-258.
- 1269 [57] J. Rodríguez-Chueca, M. Polo-López, R. Mosteo, M. Ormad, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, Applied 1270 Catalysis B: Environmental 150 (2014) 619-629.
- 1271 [58] M.I. Polo-López, M. Castro-Alférez, I. Oller, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, Chemical Engineering Journal 1272 257 (2014) 122-130.
- 1273 [59] C. Ruales-Lonfat, J.F. Barona, A. Sienkiewicz, M. Bensimon, J. Vélez-Colmenares, N. Benítez, C.
 1274 Pulgarín, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 166–167 (2015) 497-508.
- 1275 [60] S. Giannakis, S. Papoutsakis, E. Darakas, A. Escalas-Cañellas, C. Pétrier, C. Pulgarin, Ultrasonics 1276 sonochemistry 22 (2015) 515-526.
- 1277 [61] E. Ortega-Gómez, M.M. Ballesteros Martín, A. Carratalà, P. Fernández Ibañez, J.A. Sánchez 1278 Pérez, C. Pulgarín, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 174-175 (2015) 395-402.
- 1279 [62] S. Barreca, J.J. Velez Colmenares, A. Pace, S. Orecchio, C. Pulgarin, Journal of Environmental 1280 Chemical Engineering 3 (2015) 317-324.
- 1281 [63] C. Pulgarin, CHIMIA International Journal for Chemistry 69 (2015) 7-9.
- 1282 [64] J. Ndounla, C. Pulgarin, Environ Sci Pollut Res (2015) 1-13.
- 1283 [65] J. Rodríguez-Chueca, M.P. Ormad, R. Mosteo, J.L. Ovelleiro, Chemical Engineering Science 138 1284 (2015) 730-740.

- 1285 [66] J. Rodríguez-Chueca, M.P. Ormad, R. Mosteo, J. Sarasa, J.L. Ovelleiro, Water Environment 1286 Research 87 (2015) 281-288. 1287 V. Aurioles-López, M.I. Polo-López, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, A. López-Malo, E.R. Bandala, Physics [67] 1288 and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C (2015). C. Ruales-Lonfat, J.F. Barona, A. Sienkiewicz, J. Vélez, L.N. Benítez, C. Pulgarín, Applied 1289 [68] 1290 Catalysis B: Environmental 180 (2016) 379-390. A. Ruiz-Aguirre, M.I. Polo-López, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, G. Zaragoza, Desalination and Water 1291 [69] 1292 Treatment 55 (2015) 2792-2799. 1293 [70] E. Ortega-Gómez, M.B. Martín, B.E. García, J.S. Pérez, P.F. Ibáñez, Applied Catalysis B: 1294 Environmental 181 (2016) 1-6. 1295 [71] S. Giannakis, C. Ruales-Lonfat, S. Rtimi, S. Thabet, P. Cotton, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: 1296 Environmental 185 (2016) 150-162. 1297 [72] R.B. Setlow, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 71 (1974) 3363-3366. 1298 [73] E.G. Mbonimpa, B. Vadheim, E.R. Blatchley, 3rd, Water research 46 (2012) 2344-2354. 1299 [74] R. Bensasson, E. Land, T. Truscott, Excited states and free radicals in biology and medicine: 1300 contributions from flash photolysis and pulse radiolysis, Tokyo, 1993. D.I. Pattison, M.J. Davies, Cancer: cell structures, carcinogens and genomic instability, 1301 [75] 1302 Springer, 2006, pp. 131-157. H. Black, P. Forbes, J. Cleaver, H. Ananthaswamy, S. Ullrich, R. Tyrrell, Journal of 1303 [76] 1304 Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology 40 (1997) 29-47. 1305 J. Cadet, C. Anselmino, T. Douki, L. Voituriez, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: [77] 1306 Biology 15 (1992) 277-298. 1307 [78] J.-L. Ravanat, T. Douki, J. Cadet, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology 63 1308 (2001) 88-102. 1309 [79] R.P. Sinha, D.-P. Häder, Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences 1 (2002) 225-236. 1310 T. Douki, G. Laporte, J. Cadet, Nucleic acids research 31 (2003) 3134-3142. [80] D.L. MITCHELL, R.S. NAIRN, Photochemistry and photobiology 49 (1989) 805-819. 1311 [81] 1312 [82] G.P. Pfeifer, Photochemistry and photobiology 65 (1997) 270-283. 1313 G.P. Pfeifer, Y.-H. You, A. Besaratinia, Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular [83] 1314 Mechanisms of Mutagenesis 571 (2005) 19-31. J.-H. Yoon, C.-S. Lee, T.R. O'Connor, A. Yasui, G.P. Pfeifer, Journal of molecular biology 299 1315 [84] 1316 (2000) 681-693. J.S. Taylor, M.P. Cohrs, Journal of the American Chemical Society 109 (1987) 2834-2835. 1317 [85] 1318 [86] J.S. Taylor, H.F. Lu, J.J. Kotyk, Photochemistry and photobiology 51 (1990) 161-167. 1319 [87] K. Wierzchowski, D. Shugar, Acta Biochimica Polonica 8 (1960) 219-234. 1320 T. Douki, J. Cadet, Biochemistry 40 (2001) 2495-2501. [88] 1321 [89] J.M. Song, J. Milligan, B.M. Sutherland, Biochemistry 41 (2002) 8683-8688. M.J. Davies, Biochemical and biophysical research communications 305 (2003) 761-770. 1322 [90] 1323 [91] A. Eisenstark, Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis 1324 422 (1998) 85-95. 1325 [92] H.-L. Lo, S. Nakajima, L. Ma, B. Walter, A. Yasui, D.W. Ethell, L.B. Owen, BMC cancer 5 (2005) 1326 135. 1327 [93] W.P. Roos, B. Kaina, Trends in molecular medicine 12 (2006) 440-450. 1328 [94] O. Sidorkina, S. Kuznetsov, J. Blais, M. Bazin, J. Laval, R. Santus, Photochemistry and 1329 photobiology 69 (1999) 658-663. 1330 M.J. Davies, R.J. Truscott, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology 63 (2001) [95] 1331 114-125. 1332 [96] Y. Matsumura, H.N. Ananthaswamy, Toxicology and applied pharmacology 195 (2004) 298-1333 308.
- 1334 [97] T. Douki, A. Reynaud-Angelin, J. Cadet, E. Sage, Biochemistry 42 (2003) 9221-9226.

- 1335 [98] P.J. Rochette, J.P. Therrien, R. Drouin, D. Perdiz, N. Bastien, E.A. Drobetsky, E. Sage, Nucleic 1336 acids research 31 (2003) 2786-2794.
- 1337 [99] A. Besaratinia, T.W. Synold, H.-H. Chen, C. Chang, B. Xi, A.D. Riggs, G.P. Pfeifer, Proceedings of 1338 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102 (2005) 10058-10063.
- 1338 [100] L.F. Batista, B. Kaina, R. Meneghini, C.F. Menck, Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation
- 1340 Research 681 (2009) 197-208.
- 1341 [101] Y. Jiang, M. Rabbi, M. Kim, C. Ke, W. Lee, R.L. Clark, P.A. Mieczkowski, P.E. Marszalek, 1342 Biophysical journal 96 (2009) 1151-1158.
- 1343 [102] S. Mouret, C. Philippe, J. Gracia-Chantegrel, A. Banyasz, S. Karpati, D. Markovitsi, T. Douki, 1344 Organic & biomolecular chemistry 8 (2010) 1706-1711.
- 1345 [103] A. Emrick, J. Sutherland, Photochem. Photobiol 49 (1989) 35S.
- 1346 [104] S.E. Freeman, H. Hacham, R. Gange, D. Maytum, J.C. Sutherland, B. Sutherland, Proceedings 1347 of the National Academy of Sciences 86 (1989) 5605-5609.
- 1348 [105] H. Hacham, S.E. Freeman, R.W. Gange, D.J. Maytum, J.C. Sutherland, B.M. Sutherland, 1349 Photochemistry and photobiology 52 (1990) 893-896.
- 1350 [106] F. Quaite, B.M. Sutherland, J.C. Sutherland, Nature 358 (1992) 576-578.
- 1351 [107] J. Cadet, E. Sage, T. Douki, Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of 1352 Mutagenesis 571 (2005) 3-17.
- 1353 [108] J. Cadet, M. Berger, T. Douki, B. Morin, S. Raoul, J. Ravanat, S. Spinelli, Biological chemistry 1354 378 (1997) 1275-1286.
- 1355 [109] C.S. Foote, Photochemistry and photobiology 54 (1991) 659-659.
- 1356 [110] J. Cadet, R. Teoule, Photochemistry and photobiology 28 (1978) 661-665.
- 1357 [111] C. Sheu, C.S. Foote, Journal of the American Chemical Society 115 (1993) 10446-10447.
- 1358 [112] J. Hoerter, A. Pierce, C. Troupe, J. Epperson, A. Eisenstark, Photochemistry and photobiology1359 64 (1996) 537-541.
- 1360 [113] E. Smyk-Randall, O. Brown, A. Wilke, A. Eisenstark, D. Flint, Free Radical Biology and Medicine1361 14 (1993) 609-613.
- 1362 [114] C. Kielbassa, L. Roza, B. Epe, Carcinogenesis 18 (1997) 811-816.
- 1363 [115] D.E. Heck, M. Shakarjian, H.D. Kim, J.D. Laskin, A.M. Vetrano, Annals of the New York Academy 1364 of Sciences 1203 (2010) 120-125.
- 1365 [116] D.E. Heck, A.M. Vetrano, T.M. Mariano, J.D. Laskin, Journal of Biological Chemistry 278 (2003)
 1366 22432-22436.
- 1367 [117] A. Eisenstark, G. Perrot, Molecular and General Genetics MGG 207 (1987) 68-72.
- 1368 [118] S. Kawanishi, T. Hiraku, Oxidants and Antioxidants in Cutaneous Biology. Curr Probl Dermatol.
 1369 Basel: Karger 29 (2001) 74-82.
- 1370 [119] J.L. Ravanat, C. Saint-Pierre, P. Di Mascio, G.R. Martinez, M.H. Medeiros, J. Cadet, Helvetica 1371 chimica acta 84 (2001) 3702-3709.
- 1372 [120] J. Cadet, T. Douki, J.-L. Ravanat, P. Di Mascio, Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences 8 1373 (2009) 903-911.
- 1374 [121] A.L. Santos, V. Oliveira, I. Baptista, I. Henriques, N.C. Gomes, A. Almeida, A. Correia, Â. Cunha,
 1375 Archives of microbiology 195 (2013) 63-74.
- 1376 [122] F. Bosshard, M. Bucheli, Y. Meur, T. Egli, Microbiology 156 (2010) 2006-2015.
- 1377 [123] J.A. Imlay, Annual Reviews in Microbiology 57 (2003) 395-418.
- 1378 [124] A. Ligeza, A.N. Tikhonov, J.S. Hyde, W.K. Subczynski, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)1379 Bioenergetics 1365 (1998) 453-463.
- 1380 [125] V. Massey, S. Strickland, S.G. Mayhew, L.G. Howell, P. Engel, R.G. Matthews, M. Schuman, P.
- 1381 Sullivan, Biochemical and biophysical research communications 36 (1969) 891-897.
- 1382 [126] J.A. Imlay, Annual review of biochemistry 77 (2008) 755.
- 1383 [127] P.M. Wood, Biochemical Journal 253 (1988) 287-289.
- 1384 [128] B. González-Flecha, B. Demple, Journal of Biological Chemistry 270 (1995) 13681-13687.
- 1385 [129] J. Imlay, I. Fridovich, Journal of Biological Chemistry 266 (1991) 6957-6965.

- J.A. Imlay, Journal of Biological Chemistry 270 (1995) 19767-19777. 1386 [130] 1387 [131] K.R. Messner, J.A. Imlay, Journal of Biological Chemistry 274 (1999) 10119-10128. 1388 [132] V. Massey, Journal of Biological Chemistry-Paper Edition 269 (1994) 22459-22462. 1389 [133] L.C. Seaver, J.A. Imlay, Journal of bacteriology 183 (2001) 7173-7181. 1390 J.D. Hoerter, A.A. Arnold, D.A. Kuczynska, A. Shibuya, C.S. Ward, M.G. Sauer, A. Gizachew, T.M. [134] 1391 Hotchkiss, T.J. Fleming, S. Johnson, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology 81 (2005) 1392 171-180. [135] P. Chelikani, I. Fita, P.C. Loewen, Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences CMLS 61 (2004) 192-208. 1393 1394 J. Cadet, IARC scientific publications (1993) 245-276. [136] 1395 [137] J. Cadet, T. Delatour, T. Douki, D. Gasparutto, J.-P. Pouget, J.-L. Ravanat, S. Sauvaigo, Mutation 1396 Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis 424 (1999) 9-21. 1397 J.M. McCord, I. Fridovich, Journal of Biological chemistry 244 (1969) 6049-6055. [138] 1398 S.S. Korshunov, J.A. Imlay, Molecular microbiology 43 (2002) 95-106. [139] 1399 R.E. Lynch, I. Fridovich, Journal of Biological Chemistry 253 (1978) 4697-4699. [140] 1400 [141] S. Burney, J.L. Caulfield, J.C. Niles, J.S. Wishnok, S.R. Tannenbaum, Mutation 1401 Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis 424 (1999) 37-49. 1402 W. Koppenol, J. Moreno, W.A. Pryor, H. Ischiropoulos, J. Beckman, Chemical research in [142] 1403 toxicology 5 (1992) 834-842. 1404 [143] S.x. Chen, P. Schopfer, European Journal of Biochemistry 260 (1999) 726-735. 1405 A. Gomes, L. Asad, I. Felzenszwalb, A. Leitão, A. Silva, H. Guillobel, N. Asad, Radiation and [144] 1406 environmental biophysics 43 (2004) 219-222. 1407 R.L. Knowles, A. Eisenstark, Environmental health perspectives 102 (1994) 88. [145] 1408 [146] S. Basu-Modak, R.M. Tyrrell, Cancer research 53 (1993) 4505-4510. 1409 [147] R.M. TYRRELL, M. PIDOUX, Photochemistry and photobiology 49 (1989) 407-412. 1410 R.M. Tyrrell, C.A. Pourzand, J. Brown, V. Hejmadi, V. Kvam, S. Ryter, R. Watkin, Radiation [148] 1411 protection dosimetry 91 (2000) 37-39. R.L. Levine, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 32 (2002) 790-796. 1412 [149] 1413 [150] D. Touati, Archives of biochemistry and biophysics 373 (2000) 1-6. 1414 A. Bagg, J. Neilands, Microbiological reviews 51 (1987) 509. [151] 1415 [152] J.H. Crosa, Microbiological Reviews 53 (1989) 517-530. 1416 K. Hantke, FEMS microbiology letters 44 (1987) 53-57. [153] 1417 B. Morgan, O. Lahav, Chemosphere 68 (2007) 2080-2084. [154] 1418 [155] V. Braun, International journal of medical microbiology 291 (2001) 67-79. 1419 A.J. Hudson, S.C. Andrews, C. Hawkins, J.M. Williams, M. Izuhara, F.C. Meldrum, S. Mann, P.M. [156] 1420 Harrison, J.R. Guest, European Journal of Biochemistry 218 (1993) 985-995. 1421 K. Keyer, J.A. Imlay, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 93 (1996) 13635-13640. [157] 1422 [158] J. Yariv, A. Kalb, R. Sperling, E. Bauminger, S. Cohen, S. Ofer, Biochemical Journal 197 (1981) 1423 171-175. 1424 [159] B. Halliwell, J. Gutteridge, Biochemical journal 219 (1984) 1. 1425 [160] S.D. Aust, L.A. Morehouse, C.E. Thomas, Journal of free radicals in biology & medicine 1 (1985) 1426 3-25. 1427 [161] P. Biemond, A. Swaak, C.M. Beindorff, J.F. Koster, Biochemical Journal 239 (1986) 169-173. P. Biemond, A.J. Swaak, H.G. van Eijk, J.F. Koster, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 4 (1988) 1428 [162] 1429 185-198. 1430 [163] J.A. Imlay, Molecular microbiology 59 (2006) 1073-1082. 1431 S. Jang, J.A. Imlay, Journal of Biological Chemistry 282 (2007) 929-937. [164] 1432 [165] S. Varghese, Y. Tang, J.A. Imlay, Journal of bacteriology 185 (2003) 221-230. 1433 L. Kelland, S. Moss, D. Davies, Photochemistry and photobiology 37 (1983) 617-622. [166] 1434 [167] J. Cadet, S. Mouret, J.L. Ravanat, T. Douki, Photochemistry and photobiology 88 (2012) 1048-
- 1435 1065.

- 1436 [168] C. Pourzand, R.D. Watkin, J.E. Brown, R.M. Tyrrell, Proceedings of the National Academy of 1437 Sciences 96 (1999) 6751-6756.
- 1438 [169] J. Cadet, M. Berger, T. Douki, J.-L. Ravanat, Reviews of Physiology Biochemistry and 1439 Pharmacology, Volume 131, Springer, 1997, pp. 1-87.
- 1440 [170] D.R. Lloyd, D.H. Phillips, P.L. Carmichael, Chemical research in toxicology 10 (1997) 393-400.
- 1441 [171] K. Randerath, E. Randerath, C.V. Smith, J. Chang, Chemical research in toxicology 9 (1996) 247-1442 254.
- 1443 [172] J.L. Farber, Environmental health perspectives 102 (1994) 17.
- 1444 [173] G.W. Teebor, R.J. Boorstein, J. Cadet, International journal of radiation biology 54 (1988) 131-1445 150.
- 1446 [174] W.K. Pogozelski, T.D. Tullius, Chemical reviews 98 (1998) 1089-1108.
- 1447 [175] J. Cadet, J.L. Ravanat, G.R. Martinez, M.H. Medeiros, P.D. Mascio, Photochemistry and 1448 photobiology 82 (2006) 1219-1225.
- 1449 [176] F. Hutchinson, Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology 32 (1985) 115-154.
- 1450 [177] J.A. Imlay, Current opinion in microbiology 24 (2015) 124-131.
- 1451 [178] E. Bourdon, D. Blache, Antioxidants and Redox Signaling 3 (2001) 293-311.
- 1452 [179] H.-R. Shen, J.D. Spikes, C.J. Smith, J. Kopeček, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A:
 1453 Chemistry 133 (2000) 115-122.
- 1454 [180] F. Bosshard, K. Riedel, T. Schneider, C. Geiser, M. Bucheli, T. Egli, Environmental microbiology 1455 12 (2010) 2931-2945.
- 1456 [181] E. Cabiscol, J. Tamarit, J. Ros, International Microbiology 3 (2010) 3-8.
- 1457 [182] F. Chiti, Protein Misfolding, Aggregation, and Conformational Diseases, Springer, 2006, pp. 43-1458 59.
- 1459 [183] L. Fucci, C.N. Oliver, M.J. Coon, E.R. Stadtman, Proceedings of the National Academy of 1460 Sciences 80 (1983) 1521-1525.
- 1461 [184] T. Grune, T. Jung, K. Merker, K.J. Davies, The international journal of biochemistry & cell 1462 biology 36 (2004) 2519-2530.
- 1463 [185] T.C. Squier, Experimental gerontology 36 (2001) 1539-1550.
- 1464 [186] E.R. Stadtman, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 9 (1990) 315-325.
- 1465 [187] Y.-J. Rang, J.D. Spikes, Archives of biochemistry and biophysics 172 (1976) 565-573.
- 1466 [188] E. Silva, C. De Landea, A.M.a. Edwards, E. Lissi, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: 1467 Biology 55 (2000) 196-200.
- 1468 [189] R. Pizarro, International journal of radiation biology 68 (1995) 293-299.
- 1469 [190] P.E. Morgan, R.T. Dean, M.J. Davies, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 36 (2004) 484-496.
- 1470 [191] C. Luxford, R.T. Dean, M.J. Davies, Chemical research in toxicology 13 (2000) 665-672.
- 1471 [192] K.B. Choksi, J. Papaconstantinou, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 44 (2008) 1795-1805.
- 1472 [193] R. Venkatadri, R.W. Peters, Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials 10 (1993) 107-149.
- 1473 [194] J.A. Imlay, Annual Review of Biochemistry 77 (2008) 755-776.
- 1474 [195] L.C. Seaver, J.A. Imlay, Journal of bacteriology 183 (2001) 7182-7189.
- 1475 [196] E. Cadenas, K.J. Davies, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 29 (2000) 222-230.
- 1476 [197] C. Sichel, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, M. de Cara, J. Tello, Water research 43 (2009) 1841-1850.
- 1477 [198] J.A. Imlay, S. Linn, Journal of Bacteriology 166 (1986) 519-527.
- 1478 [199] L. Uhl, A. Gerstel, M. Chabalier, S. Dukan, Heliyon 1 (2015) Article e00049.
- 1479 [200] B. Halliwell, O.I. Aruoma, FEBS letters 281 (1991) 9-19.
- 1480 [201] S. Park, X. You, J.A. Imlay, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United 1481 States of America 102 (2005) 9317-9322.
- 1482 [202] S.I. Liochev, I. Fridovich, Free Radical Biology and Medicine 16 (1994) 29-33.
- 1483 [203] D. Touati, M. Jacques, B. Tardat, L. Bouchard, S. Despied, Journal of bacteriology 177 (1995) 1484 2305-2314.
- 1485 [204] B. Halliwell, J. Gutteridge, FEBS letters 307 (1992) 108-112.
- 1486 [205] J. Rush, Z. Maskos, W. Koppenol, FEBS letters 261 (1990) 121-123.

- 1487 [206] A.-G. Rincon, C. Pulgarin, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 51 (2004) 283-302. 1488 [207] H. Ananthaswamy, A. Eisenstark, Photochemistry and photobiology 24 (1976) 439-442. 1489 [208] P. Hartman, A. Eisenstark, Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of 1490 Mutagenesis 72 (1980) 31-42. 1491 M. Fisher, C. Keenan, K. Nelson, B. Voelker, Journal of water and health 6 (2008) 35-51. [209] 1492 [210] M.B. Fisher, M. Iriarte, K.L. Nelson, Water research 46 (2012) 1745-1754. 1493 P. Hartman, A. Eisenstark, Journal of Bacteriology 133 (1978) 769-774. [211] 1494 C. Keenan, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA, USA (2001). [212] 1495 R. Khaengraeng, R. Reed, Journal of applied microbiology 99 (2005) 39-50. [213] T.W. Ng, T. An, G. Li, W.K. Ho, H.Y. Yip, H. Zhao, P.K. Wong, Journal of Photochemistry and 1496 [214] 1497 Photobiology B: Biology 149 (2015) 164-171. 1498 M.B. Fisher, K.L. Nelson, Applied and environmental microbiology 80 (2014) 935-942. [215] 1499 A.D. Bokare, W. Choi, Journal of hazardous materials 275 (2014) 121-135. [216] 1500 J. Pierre, M. Fontecave, R. Crichton, Biometals 15 (2002) 341-346. [217] 1501 [218] C. Wang, H. Liu, Z. Sun, International Journal of Photoenergy 2012 (2012). 1502 S.R. Pouran, A.A.A. Raman, W.M.A.W. Daud, Journal of Cleaner Production 64 (2014) 24-35. [219] 1503 [220] J. De Laat, H. Gallard, Environmental Science & Technology 33 (1999) 2726-2732. 1504 [221] W. Sung, J.J. Morgan, Environmental Science & Technology 14 (1980) 561-568. 1505 [222] L. Demarchis, M. Minella, R. Nisticò, V. Maurino, C. Minero, D. Vione, Journal of 1506 Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 307 (2015) 99-107. 1507 H. Bataineh, O. Pestovsky, A. Bakac, Chemical Science 3 (2012) 1594-1599. [223] J. Gomis, R. Vercher, A. Amat, D. Mártire, M. González, A.B. Prevot, E. Montoneri, A. Arques, 1508 [224] 1509 L. Carlos, Catalysis today 209 (2013) 176-180. [225] C. Minero, M. Lucchiari, V. Maurino, D. Vione, Rsc Advances 3 (2013) 26443-26450. 1510 1511 [226] S.H. Lin, C.C. Lo, Water research 31 (1997) 2050-2056. 1512 E. Nevens, J. Baeyens, Journal of hazardous materials 98 (2003) 33-50. [227] 1513 R.M. Cornell, U. Schwertmann, The iron oxides: structure, properties, reactions, occurrences [228] 1514 and uses, John Wiley & Sons, 2006. 1515 J.P. Jolivet, C. Chanéac, E. Tronc, Chemical Communications 10 (2004) 481-487. [229] 1516 [230] S.M. Kraemer, Aquatic sciences 66 (2004) 3-18. 1517 [231] W.Y. Guohua, Zhao; Hongying, Zhao; Xiuchun, Wang; Yujing, Yang, Progress in Chemistry 8 1518 (2013) 003. W. Feng, D. Nansheng, Chemosphere 41 (2000) 1137-1147. 1519 [232] 1520 [233] J.K. Leland, A.J. Bard, Journal of Physical Chemistry 91 (1987) 5076-5083. 1521 [234] F. Millero, WATER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LIBRARY 25 (1997) 381-398. 1522 W. Stumm, B. Sulzberger, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 56 (1992) 3233-3257. [235] 1523 [236] Y. Deng, W. Stumm, Applied Geochemistry 9 (1994) 23-36. 1524 [237] G.J. Jones, B.P. Palenik, F.M. Morel, Journal of Phycology 23 (1987) 237-244. 1525 [238] D.W. King, Environmental science & technology 32 (1998) 2997-3003. 1526 [239] W.L. Miller, R.G. Zepp, Geophysical Research Letters 22 (1995) 417-420. 1527 [240] B.M. Voelker, D.L. Sedlak, Marine Chemistry 50 (1995) 93-102. 1528 [241] B.M. Voelker, B. Sulzberger, Environmental Science & Technology 30 (1996) 1106-1114. 1529 T.D. Waite, F.M. Morel, Environmental science & technology 18 (1984) 860-868. [242] 1530 [243] B.C. Faust, R.G. Zepp, Environmental Science & Technology 27 (1993) 2517-2522. 1531 [244] T. Fox, N. Comerford, Soil Science Society of America Journal 54 (1990) 1139-1144. D. Grosjean, K. Van Cauwenberghe, J.P. Schmid, P.E. Kelley, J.N. Pitts Jr, Environmental Science 1532 [245] 1533 & Technology 12 (1978) 313-317. 1534 K. Kawamura, S. Steinberg, I. Kaplan, International journal of environmental analytical [246] 1535 chemistry 19 (1985) 175-188. 1536 [247] W.L. Lamar, D.F. Goerlitz, Organic acids in naturally colored surface waters, USGPO, 1966.
- 1537 [248] G.E. Likens, E.S. Edgerton, J.N. Galloway, Tellus B 35 (1983) 16-24.

1538 H. Satsumabayashi, H. Kurita, Y. Yokouchi, H. Ueda, Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General [249] 1539 Topics 24 (1990) 1443-1450. 1540 [250] E.M. Thurman, Organic geochemistry of natural waters, Springer Science & Business Media, 1541 2012. 1542 [251] G.R. Helz, R.G. Zepp, D.G. Crosby, (1994). 1543 [252] Y. Zuo, J. Hoigne, Environmental Science & Technology 26 (1992) 1014-1022. P. Cieśla, P. Kocot, P. Mytych, Z. Stasicka, Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 224 (2004) 1544 [253] 1545 17-33. 1546 [254] J. Šima, J. Makáňová, Coordination Chemistry Reviews 160 (1997) 161-189. 1547 H. Kawaguchi, A. Inagaki, Chemosphere 27 (1993) 2381-2387. [255] P. Boule, M. Bolte, C. Richard, Environmental Photochemistry, Springer, 1999, pp. 181-215. 1548 [256] 1549 E.P. Achterberg, T.W. Holland, A.R. Bowie, R.F.C. Mantoura, P.J. Worsfold, Analytica Chimica [257] 1550 Acta 442 (2001) 1-14. 1551 W. Sunda, S. Huntsman, Marine Chemistry 84 (2003) 35-47. [258] 1552 [259] M. Schindler, M. Osborn, Biochemistry 18 (1979) 4425-4430. J.J. Pignatello, E. Oliveros, A. MacKay, Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and 1553 [260] 1554 Technology 36 (2006) 1-84. 1555 [261] X. Châtellier, D. Fortin, G.G. Leppard, F.G. Ferris, European Journal of Mineralogy 13 (2001) 1556 705-714. 1557 [262] X. Châtellier, M.M. West, J. Rose, D. Fortin, G.G. Leppard, F.G. Ferris, Geomicrobiology Journal 1558 21 (2004) 99-112. [263] J.F. Banfield, S.A. Welch, H. Zhang, T.T. Ebert, R.L. Penn, Science 289 (2000) 751-754. 1559 1560 [264] J.F. Banfield, H. Zhang, Reviews in mineralogy and geochemistry 44 (2001) 1-58. L. Emmenegger, R. Schönenberger, L. Sigg, B. Sulzberger, Limnology and Oceanography 46 1561 [265] 1562 (2001) 49-61. 1563 R.J. Hudson, F.M. Morel, F. Morel, Limnol. Oceanogr 35 (1990) 1002-1020. [266] R. Shwetharani, R. Geetha Balakrishna, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: 1564 [267] 1565 Chemistry 295 (2014) 11-16. 1566 W. Köster, Research in microbiology 152 (2001) 291-301. [268] 1567 [269] H.G. Upritchard, J. Yang, P.J. Bremer, I.L. Lamont, A.J. McQuillan, Langmuir 23 (2007) 7189-1568 7195. 1569 P.-C. Maness, S. Smolinski, D.M. Blake, Z. Huang, E.J. Wolfrum, W.A. Jacoby, Applied and [270] 1570 environmental microbiology 65 (1999) 4094-4098. 1571 [271] C.L. Peacock, D.M. Sherman, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 68 (2004) 2623-2637. 1572 [272] H. Wu, D. Jiang, P. Cai, X. Rong, Q. Huang, Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces 82 (2011) 147-1573 151. 1574 [273] B.M. Voelker, F.M.M. Morel, B. Sulzberger, Environmental Science & Technology 31 (1997) 1575 1004-1011. 1576 [274] Z. Zhang, C. Boxall, G. Kelsall, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering 1577 Aspects 73 (1993) 145-163. 1578 [275] P. Mazellier, M. Bolte, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 132 (2000) 1579 129-135. 1580 [276] J. Xu, N. Sahai, C.M. Eggleston, M.A. Schoonen, Earth and Planetary Science Letters 363 (2013) 1581 156-167. 1582 [277] M. Brasca, S. Morandi, R. Lodi, A. Tamburini, Journal of applied microbiology 103 (2007) 1516-1583 1524. [278] F. Widdel, S. Schnell, S. Heising, A. Ehrenreich, B. Assmus, B. Schink, Nature 362 (1993) 834-1584 1585 836. 1586 [279] Y. Xu, M.A. Schoonen, American Mineralogist 85 (2000) 543-556. 1587 V. Buzmakov, A. Pshenichnikov, Journal of colloid and interface science 182 (1996) 63-70. [280]

- 1588 [281] R.C. Costa, M. Lelis, L. Oliveira, J. Fabris, J.D. Ardisson, R. Rios, C. Silva, R. Lago, Journal of 1589 hazardous materials 129 (2006) 171-178.
- 1590 [282] I.S. Pinto, P.H. Pacheco, J.V. Coelho, E. Lorençon, J.D. Ardisson, J.D. Fabris, P.P. de Souza, K.W.
- 1591 Krambrock, L.C. Oliveira, M.C. Pereira, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 119 (2012) 175-182.
- 1592 [283] S.C. Andrews, A.K. Robinson, F. Rodríguez-Quiñones, FEMS microbiology reviews 27 (2003) 1593 215-237.
- 1594 [284] P.M. Borer, B. Sulzberger, P. Reichard, S.M. Kraemer, Marine Chemistry 93 (2005) 179-193.
- 1595 [285] S.K. Han, T.-M. Hwang, Y. Yoon, J.-W. Kang, Chemosphere 84 (2011) 1095-1101.
- 1596 [286] J. He, X. Tao, W. Ma, J. Zhao, Chemistry letters (2002) 86-87.
- 1597 [287] K.M. Mostofa, C.-q. Liu, M.A. Mottaleb, G. Wan, H. Ogawa, D. Vione, T. Yoshioka, F. Wu, 1598 Photobiogeochemistry of Organic Matter, Springer, 2013, pp. 1-137.
- 1599 [288] K. Mostofa, F. Wu, T. Yoshioka, H. Sakugawa, E. Tanoue, Natural organic matter and its 1600 significance in the environment. Science Press, Beijing (2009) 3-66.
- 1601 [289] R. Benner, J.D. Pakulski, Science 255 (1992) 1561.
- 1602 [290] K. Isao, S. Hara, K. Terauchi, K. Kogure, Nature 345 (1990) 242-244.
- 1603 [291] J.H. Sharp, Limnol. Oceanogr 18 (1973) I-447.
- 1604 [292] R.D. Vold, M.J. Vold, Colloid and interface chemistry, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- 1605 [293] M.L. Wells, Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter (2002) 367-404.
- 1606 [294] K. Hayase, H. Tsubota, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 49 (1985) 159-163.
- 1607 [295] X. Ma, N. Ali, Natural organic matter and its significance in the environment. Science Press, 1608 Beijing (2009) 66-89.
- 1609 [296] F. Stevenson, G.R. Aiken, D.M. McKnight, R.L. Wershaw, P. MacCarthy, eds. Aiken GR,
 1610 McKnight DM, Wershaw RL, and Maccarthy P, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1985) 13-52.
- 1611 [297] A.M. Amado, J.B. Cotner, A.L. Suhett, F.d.A. Esteves, R.L. Bozelli, V.F. Farjalla, Aquatic 1612 Microbial Ecology 49 (2007) 25-34.
- 1613 [298] P.G. Coble, Marine chemistry 51 (1996) 325-346.
- 1614 [299] P.G. Coble, Chemical reviews 107 (2007) 402-418.
- 1615 [300] K. Mostofa, C. Liu, F. Wu, P. Fu, W. Ying, J. Yuan, Overview of key biogeochemical functions in
 1616 lake ecosystem: impacts of organic matter pollution and global warming, Proceedings of 13th World
 1617 Lake Conference, Wuhan, China, 2009, pp. 1-5.
- 1618 [301] E. Parlanti, K. Wörz, L. Geoffroy, M. Lamotte, Organic Geochemistry 31 (2000) 1765-1781.
- 1619 [302] Y. Zhang, M.A. van Dijk, M. Liu, G. Zhu, B. Qin, Water research 43 (2009) 4685-4697.
- 1620 [303] K.R. Arrigo, C.W. Brown, (1996).
- 1621 [304] N. Nelson, D. Siegel, A. Michaels, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers1622 45 (1998) 931-957.
- 1623 [305] N.B. Nelson, C.A. Carlson, D.K. Steinberg, Marine Chemistry 89 (2004) 273-287.
- 1624 [306] C. Du, S. Shang, Q. Dong, C. Hu, J. Wu, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 88 (2010) 350-356.
- 1625 [307] J.R. Helms, A. Stubbins, J.D. Ritchie, E.C. Minor, D.J. Kieber, K. Mopper, Limnology and 1626 Oceanography 53 (2008) 955-969.
- 1627 [308] C.J. Hulatt, D.N. Thomas, D.G. Bowers, L. Norman, C. Zhang, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf 1628 Science 84 (2009) 147-153.
- 1629 [309] E. Rochelle-Newall, T. Fisher, Marine Chemistry 77 (2002) 23-41.
- 1630 [310] S. Wada, M.N. Aoki, Y. Tsuchiya, T. Sato, H. Shinagawa, T. Hama, Journal of experimental 1631 marine biology and ecology 349 (2007) 344-358.
- 1632 [311] Y. Dudal, R. Holgado, G. Maestri, E. Guillon, L. Dupont, Science of the total environment 3541633 (2006) 286-291.
- 1634 [312] A. Manciulea, A. Baker, J.R. Lead, Chemosphere 76 (2009) 1023-1027.
- 1635 [313] J. Klinck, M. Dunbar, S. Brown, J. Nichols, A. Winter, C. Hughes, R.C. Playle, Aquatic toxicology 1636 72 (2005) 161-175.
- 1637 [314] M.L. Schwartz, P.J. Curtis, R.C. Playle, Environmental toxicology and chemistry 23 (2004) 2889-
- 1638 2899.

1639 A.C. Stenson, A.G. Marshall, W.T. Cooper, Analytical Chemistry 75 (2003) 1275-1284. [315] 1640 [316] A.T. Chow, J. Dai, W.H. Conner, D.R. Hitchcock, J.-J. Wang, Biogeochemistry 112 (2013) 571-1641 587. 1642 [317] D.M. White, D.S. Garland, J. Narr, C.R. Woolard, Water research 37 (2003) 939-947. 1643 H. Xie, O.C. Zafiriou, W.-J. Cai, R.G. Zepp, Y. Wang, Environmental science & technology 38 [318] 1644 (2004) 4113-4119. 1645 [319] E. Appiani, K. McNeill, Environmental science & technology 49 (2015) 3514-3522. M.L. Estapa, L.M. Mayer, Marine Chemistry 122 (2010) 138-147. 1646 [320] 1647 J.R. Helms, D.A. Glinski, R.N. Mead, M.W. Southwell, G.B. Avery, R.J. Kieber, S.A. Skrabal, [321] 1648 Organic Geochemistry 73 (2014) 83-89. R.J. Kieber, R.F. Whitehead, S.A. Skrabal, Limnology and Oceanography 51 (2006) 2187-2195. 1649 [322] 1650 L.M. Mayer, L.L. Schick, K. Skorko, E. Boss, Limnology and Oceanography 51 (2006) 1064-1071. [323] 1651 [324] M.W. Southwell, R.J. Kieber, R.N. Mead, G.B. Avery, S.A. Skrabal, Biogeochemistry 98 (2010) 1652 115-126. 1653 [325] S. Canonica, CHIMIA International Journal for Chemistry 61 (2007) 641-644. 1654 [326] G. McKay, F.L. Rosario-Ortiz, Environmental science & technology 49 (2015) 4147-4154. 1655 J. Buschmann, S. Canonica, L. Sigg, Environmental science & technology 39 (2005) 5335-5341. [327] 1656 [328] S. Canonica, M. Freiburghaus, Environmental science & technology 35 (2001) 690-695. 1657 [329] K.M. Cawley, J.A. Hakala, Y.P. Chin, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 7 (2009) 391-398. 1658 S. Halladja, A. Ter Halle, J.-P. Aguer, A. Boulkamh, C. Richard, Environmental science & [330] 1659 technology 41 (2007) 6066-6073. L.E. Jacobs, L.K. Weavers, E.F. Houtz, Y.-P. Chin, Chemosphere 86 (2012) 124-129. 1660 [331] 1661 [332] P.G. Tratnyek, J. Hoigné, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 84 (1994) 1662 153-160. 1663 [333] D. Vione, M. Minella, V. Maurino, C. Minero, Chemistry – A European Journal 20 (2014) 10590-1664 10606. 1665 [334] W.J. Cooper, R.G. Zika, Science(Washington) 220 (1983) 711-712. 1666 [335] W.J. Cooper, R.G. Zika, R.G. Petasne, J.M. Plane, Environmental science & technology 22 1667 (1988) 1156-1160. 1668 [336] W.M. Draper, D.G. Crosby, Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 12 (1983) 1669 121-126. N. Scully, D. McQueen, D. Lean, Limnology and Oceanography 41 (1996) 540-548. 1670 [337] 1671 [338] D.T. Scott, R.L. Runkel, D.M. McKnight, B.M. Voelker, B.A. Kimball, E.R. Carraway, Water 1672 resources research 39 (2003). 1673 [339] S.S. Andrews, S. Caron, O.C. Zafiriou, Limnology and Oceanography 45 (2000) 267-277. 1674 [340] J.H. Jerome, R.P. Bukata, Journal of Great Lakes Research 24 (1998) 929-935. 1675 [341] C.A. Moore, C.T. Farmer, R.G. Zika, Journal of Geophysical Research 98 (1993) 2289-2298. 1676 [342] R.J. Sikorski, R.G. Zika, Journal of Geophysical Research 98 (1993) 2315-2328. 1677 B.H. Yocis, D.J. Kieber, K. Mopper, Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers [343] 1678 47 (2000) 1077-1099. 1679 [344] E.M. White, P.P. Vaughan, R.G. Zepp, Aquatic Sciences 65 (2003) 402-414. 1680 [345] D.M. McKnight, B.A. Kimball, R.L. Runkel, Hydrological Processes 15 (2001) 1979-1992. R.K. Skogerboe, S.A. Wilson, Analytical Chemistry 53 (1981) 228-232. 1681 [346] 1682 [347] M. Sanly, K.C. Lim, R. Amal, R. Fabris, C. Chow, M. Drikas, Separation Science and Technology 1683 42 (2007) 1391-1404. 1684 B.A. Southworth, B.M. Voelker, Environmental Science and Technology 37 (2003) 1130-1136. [348] 1685 [349] A. Moncayo-Lasso, A.G. Rincon, C. Pulgarin, N. Benitez, Journal of Photochemistry and 1686 Photobiology A: Chemistry 229 (2012) 46-52. N.V. Blough, R.G. Zepp, Active oxygen in chemistry, Springer, 1995, pp. 280-333. 1687 [350] 1688 [351] H. Gao, R.G. Zepp, Environmental Science & Technology 32 (1998) 2940-2946. 1689 H. Tamura, K. Goto, M. Nagayama, Corrosion Science 16 (1976) 197-207. [352]

1690 [353] C. Siffert, B. Sulzberger, Langmuir 7 (1991) 1627-1634. [354] A. Paul, S. Hackbarth, R.D. Vogt, B. Röder, B.K. Burnison, C.E.W. Steinberg, Photochemical and 1691 1692 Photobiological Sciences 3 (2004) 273-280. 1693 [355] S.L.H. Sandvik, P. Bilski, J.D. Pakulski, C.F. Chignell, R.B. Coffin, Marine Chemistry 69 (2000) 1694 139-152. 1695 [356] D.J. Kieber, N.V. Blough, Free Radical Research 10 (1990) 109-117. M.A. Moran, R.G. Zepp, Limnology and Oceanography 42 (1997) 1307-1316. 1696 [357] R.L. Valentine, R.G. Zepp, Environmental Science and Technology 27 (1993) 409-412. 1697 [358] 1698 R. Del Vecchio, N.V. Blough, Marine Chemistry 78 (2002) 231-253. [359] 1699 R.G. Zepp, UV effects in aquatic organisms and ecosystems. The Royal Society of Chemistry [360] 1700 (2003) 137-184. 1701 [361] A. Georgi, A. Schierz, U. Trommler, C.P. Horwitz, T.J. Collins, F.D. Kopinke, Applied Catalysis B: 1702 Environmental 72 (2007) 26-36. 1703 S.G. Huling, R.G. Arnold, R.A. Sierka, M.R. Miller, Water research 35 (2001) 1687-1694. [362] 1704 [363] J. Kochany, E. Lipczynska-Kochany, Environmental Technology 28 (2007) 1007-1013. C. Kuei-Jyum Yeh, Y.A. Kao, C.P. Cheng, Chemosphere 46 (2002) 67-73. 1705 [364] 1706 E. Lipczynska-Kochany, J. Kochany, Chemosphere 73 (2008) 745-750. [365] 1707 [366] C.A. Murray, S.A. Parsons, Chemosphere 54 (2004) 1017-1023. D. Spuhler, J.A. Rengifo-Herrera, C. Pulgarin, Applied catalysis. B, Environmental 96 (2010) 1708 [367] 1709 126-141. A.W. Vermilyea, B.M. Voelker, Environmental Science and Technology 43 (2009) 6927-6933. 1710 [368] D. Vione, G. Falletti, V. Maurino, C. Minero, E. Pelizzetti, M. Malandrino, R. Ajassa, R.I. Olariu, 1711 [369] 1712 C. Arsene, Environmental Science and Technology 40 (2006) 3775-3781. D. Vione, F. Merlo, V. Maurino, C. Minero, Environmental Chemistry Letters 2 (2004) 129-133. 1713 [370] 1714 [371] G.K.B. Lopes, H.M. Schulman, M. Hermes-Lima, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General 1715 Subjects 1472 (1999) 142-152. C. Tixier, H.P. Singer, S. Canonica, S.R. Müller, Environmental Science and Technology 36 1716 [372] 1717 (2002) 3482-3489. 1718 B.W. Bogan, V. Trbovic, Journal of hazardous materials 100 (2003) 285-300. [373] 1719 [374] M.E. Lindsey, M.A. Tarr, Environmental Science and Technology 34 (2000) 444-449. 1720 [375] M.E. Lindsey, M.A. Tarr, Chemosphere 41 (2000) 409-417. L.L. Bissey, J.L. Smith, R.J. Watts, Water research 40 (2006) 2477-2484. 1721 [376] 1722 [377] Z.M. Li, P.J. Shea, S.D. Comfort, Chemosphere 36 (1998) 1849-1865. 1723 B.W. Tyre, R.J. Watts, G.C. Miller, Journal of Environmental Quality 20 (1991) 832-838. [378] 1724 [379] T.W. Ng, A.T. Chow, P.K. Wong, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 290 1725 (2014) 116-124. 1726 [380] J.J. Guerard, P.L. Miller, T.D. Trouts, Y.P. Chin, Aquatic Sciences 71 (2009) 160-169. 1727 [381] J. Wenk, U. von Gunten, S. Canonica, Environmental Science & Technology 45 (2011) 1334-1728 1340. 1729 [382] M.F. Budyka, M.V. Alfimov, Russian Chemical Reviews 64 (1995) 705-717. 1730 [383] M. Roca, J. Zahardis, J. Bone, M. El-Maazawi, V.H. Grassian, Journal of Physical Chemistry A 1731 112 (2008) 13275-13281. 1732 R.G. Zepp, J. Hoigné, H. Bader, Environmental Science and Technology 21 (1987) 443-450. [384] 1733 [385] P. Warneck, C. Wurzinger, Journal of Physical Chemistry 92 (1988) 6278-6283. 1734 [386] H.Y. Chen, O. Zahraa, M. Bouchy, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 1735 108 (1997) 37-44. [387] J.E. Grebel, J.J. Pignatello, W.A. Mitch, Environmental science & technology 44 (2010) 6822-1736 1737 6828. 1738 [388] C.-H. Liao, S.-F. Kang, F.-A. Wu, Chemosphere 44 (2001) 1193-1200. 1739