## **Queries for Author**

BMJ

Journal: Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition Paper: fetalneonatal-2013-303777 Title: Mathematics difficulties in children born very preterm: current research and future directions

The proof of your manuscript appears on the following page(s).

It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check against the original manuscript and approve or amend these proofs.

Please read the proofs carefully, checking for accuracy, verifying the reference order and checking figures and tables. When reviewing your page proof please keep in mind that a professional copyeditor edited your manuscript to comply with the style requirements of the journal.

This is not an opportunity to alter, amend or revise your paper; it is intended to be for correction purposes only. The journal reserves the right to charge for excessive author alterations or for changes requested after the proofing stage has concluded.

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen (the query number can also be found in the gutter close to the text it refers to). Please attend to these matters and return the answers to these questions when you return your corrections.

#### Please note, we will not be able to proceed with your article if these queries have not been addressed.

#### A second proof is not normally provided.

Query Reference	Query
Q1	IMPORTANT: Corrections at this stage should be limited to those that are <b>essential</b> . Extensive corrections will delay the time to publication and may also have to be approved by the journal Editor.
Q2	Please note that alterations cannot be made after you have approved for publication, irrespective of whether it is Online First.
Q3	Author SURNAMES (family names) have been highlighted - please check that these are correct.
Q4	Please check affiliations and correspondence details.
Q5	Please check that you have listed any funding you received, and given the grant numbers.
Q6	Please confirm that all names are spelt correctly
Q7	Please check and provide the department name (if any) for affiliations [2, 3].
Q8	Please check provide details address and postal code for corresponding address.
Q9	'to simple additional fact retrieval'. Change of 'addition' to 'additional' okay?
Q10	Please provide page range in reference [52].
Q11	Please provide volume number and page range in reference [32, 66].

If you are happy with the proof as it stands, please email to confirm this. Minor changes that do not require a copy of the proof can be sent by email (please be as specific as possible). Email: production.adc@bmjgroup.com

If you have any changes that cannot be described easily in an email, please mark them clearly on the proof using the annotation tools and email this by reply to the eProof email.

Please respond within 48 hours

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, Leics, UK <sup>2</sup>School of Psychology, University of Nottingham. Nottingham, Notts, UK <sup>3</sup>Centre for Mathematics Education, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leics LIK <sup>4</sup>Research Department of Academic Neonatology, Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK Correspondence to Dr Samantha Johnson. Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, Leics, UK; sjj19@leicester.ac.uk Received 1 April 2013 Revised 17 May 2013 Accepted 18 May 2013

To cite: Simms V, Cragg L, Gilmore C, et al. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/ archdischild-2013-303777

# Mathematics difficulties in children born very preterm: current research and future directions

Victoria Simms,<sup>1</sup> Lucy Cragg,<sup>2</sup> Camilla Gilmore,<sup>3</sup> Neil Marlow,<sup>4</sup> Samantha Johnson<sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Children born very preterm have poorer attainment in all school subjects, and a markedly greater reliance on special educational support than their term-born peers. In particular, difficulties with mathematics are especially common and account for the vast majority of learning difficulties in this population. In this paper, we review research relating to the causes of mathematics learning difficulties in typically developing children, and the impact of very preterm birth on attainment in mathematics. Research is needed to understand the specific nature and origins of mathematics difficulties in very preterm children to target the development of effective intervention strategies.

Over the past three decades, there has been a substantial increase in survival rates for children born very preterm (VP; <32 weeks) or with very low birth weight (VLBW, <1500 g).<sup>1</sup> This has been accompanied by increasing preterm birth rates resulting in a growing number of preterm survivors in recent years.<sup>2</sup> Despite improved survival, long-term outcomes have remained remarkably consistent.<sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> Although severe disabilities, such as neurosensory impairments and cerebral palsy are associated with VP birth, the most common childhood impairments are neurocognitive deficits.<sup>5</sup> The average weighted mean difference in general intelligence between children born <28 weeks/<1000 g (ELBW: extremely low birth weight) and their term-born peers has been reported as 11 IQ points, with greater deficits found for children born <26 weeks of gestation.<sup>6</sup>

Neurocognitive deficits can have a wide-reaching impact on children's learning and academic performance. As such, 53% of ELBW children are reported to have school problems in comparison with 13% of term-born peers.<sup>8</sup> These difficulties are observed in the pre-school years and persist throughout schooling.<sup>9</sup><sup>10</sup> There are also increased rates of special educational needs (SEN); up to 2/3 of children born <26 weeks/ELBW require SEN support in school.<sup>11 12</sup> Compared with term-born children, school difficulties and SEN are significantly increased in children born across the full spectrum of preterm gestations, including children born near term (37-38 weeks gestation).<sup>13 14</sup>

Although VP children have poor performance across all school subjects,<sup>15</sup><sup>16</sup> they have specific difficulties with mathematics.<sup>8</sup> <sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup> A recent meta-analysis identified a 0.60 SD deficit in mathematics scores compared with a 0.48 SD deficit in reading.<sup>19</sup> These differences persist after controlling for IQ<sup>11 16 20 21</sup> or excluding children who have neurosensory impairments.<sup>11</sup> <sup>18</sup> Using discrepancy-based measures (ie, a significant

difference between IO and academic attainment), 73 VP children also have increased rates of learning 74 difficulties in mathematics compared with other 75 subjects: for example, 23% of VLBW children have 76 specific mathematics difficulties compared with 77 10% in reading.<sup>22</sup> It has been suggested that math-78 ematics difficulties become more pronounced with 79 age in VP children, perhaps due to the increasing 80 complexity of tasks, or to the cumulative effects of 81 early problems, however, more thorough longitu-82 dinal studies are needed to confirm this.<sup>10</sup> As math-83 ematics skills are predictive of overall educational 84 attainment, future employment and economic 8.5 productivity, the selective difficulty that VP children 86 experience in this area is likely to have far-reaching 87 consequences.<sup>23</sup> <sup>24</sup> Although mathematics difficul-88 ties are widely reported, the nature and causes of 89 these problems in preterm children are poorly 90 understood.<sup>10</sup> <sup>25</sup> 91

Solving mathematical problems uses numerous 92 component processes, or domain-specific skills. 93 Strengths and weaknesses in these domain-specific 94 skills affect an individual's overall proficiency with 95 mathematics and performance in curriculum-based 96 achievement tests.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, a variety of more general cognitive skills, termed domain-general 97 98 skills, contribute to overall proficiency.<sup>27</sup> In this 99 paper, we review developmental psychology litera-100 ture pertaining to domain-specific and domain-101 general factors underlying the typical development 102 of mathematics before applying this to help 103 advance our understanding of the nature and 104 causes of mathematics difficulties in preterm 105 populations. 106

#### DOMAIN-SPECIFIC PREDICTORS OF MATHEMATICAL ABILITY

There is burgeoning evidence that a range of 110 domain-specific skills are required to perform 111 mathematics. Numerous studies indicate that 112 having precise and accurate internal representations 113 of number has a positive effect on overall achieve-114 ment.<sup>28-30</sup> Experimentally, the nature of numerical 115 representations is explored by asking children to 116 discriminate between sets of non-symbolic or sym-117 bolic quantities (figure 1a,b, respectively),<sup>31</sup> or to 118 place numbers on a number line (figure 1c).<sup>32</sup> 119 Neuroimaging research has indicated that the 120 nature of internal numerical representations is 121 linked to the functioning of the left and right hori-122 zontal intraparietal sulci, areas which are believed 123 to be responsible for low-level numerical 124 processing.33 125

Children's ability to carry out basic mathematical 126 procedures, such as being able to count sets of 127 objects (eg, accurately counting a set of buttons) 128

107

108

129 Figure 1 Examples of experimental 130 tests used to assess non-symbolic 131 numerical representations (a), symbolic 132 representations (b) and number line 133 tasks (c). 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 position of numbers on the number line. 155 156

(a) "Which set has more dots?" (b) "Which number is more?" 17 74 Tasks are presented on a computer screen. Children are asked to press a corresponding left or right key to indicate their answer. (c) "Where would you put 42?" 0 100 Tasks are presented on A4 sheets of paper. Children are asked to mark the

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

2.05 206

207

2.08

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

235

236

and recite a number word list (eg, counting accurately and 158 unassisted) have also been identified as important predictors of 159 mathematical success.<sup>32</sup> Additionally, the ability to assess, select 160 and apply appropriate computational strategies is an important 161 skill. Taking the development of addition strategies as an 162 163 example, children develop from using predominantly fingerbased counting strategies in the early years, to using verbal 164 counting strategies, before reaching maturation with the domin-165 ance of retrieval strategies.<sup>34</sup> <sup>35</sup> Mathematical success is related 166 to the accurate and efficient application of the most appropriate 167 strategies in different scenarios.<sup>36 37</sup> 168

Basic conceptual understanding of mathematics is also import-169 ant for the development of mathematics skills: young children 170 who show a grasp of the rules that govern the counting process, 171 measured, for example, by pointing out when a cartoon charac-172 ter makes a counting mistake (figure 2a), outperform their peers 173 on achievement tests.<sup>38</sup> Complex conceptual understanding of 174 mathematical processes (figure 2b) is also linked to the success-175 ful development of calculation skills,<sup>39</sup> and has been shown to 176 underpin complex mathematical processing providing a founda-tion stone for mathematical development.<sup>26</sup> These multiple 177 178 domain-specific components are essential for success in mathem-179 atics and a difficulty with one component may cascade into pro-180 blems with another.<sup>40</sup> This may be due to over-reliance on 181 competent strategies or skills to the detriment of the develop-182 183 ment of more effective strategies.

#### DOMAIN-GENERAL PREDICTORS OF MATHEMATICAL 185 ABILITY 186

A range of domain-general factors, such as language,<sup>41</sup> process-187 ing speed<sup>42</sup> and general intelligence,<sup>43</sup> contribute to success in 188 mathematics. In particular, executive functions; skills required 189 to monitor and control thought and action, have been found to 190 be critical. Correlational studies have demonstrated that 191 192 working memory, the ability to hold and manipulate information in mind, accounts for unique variance in written 222 and verbal calculation, as well as mathematical word problems, 223 across a range of different age groups.<sup>44</sup> Experimental studies 224 investigating the role of working memory in different strategies 225 have shown that it plays a larger role in procedural strategies, 226 particularly counting, compared with retrieval strategies. 227 Importantly, it is the ability to manipulate and update, rather 228 than simply maintain information in working memory, that 229 seems to be critical for mathematics proficiency. The executive 230 skills of inhibition, the ability to suppress distracting informa-231 tion and unwanted responses<sup>46</sup> and shifting, the ability to flex-232 ibly switch attention between different tasks,47 have also been 233 implicated in mathematics achievement. 2.34

### MATHEMATICAL LEARNING DIFFICULTIES

One way to identify the mechanisms underlying VP children's 2.37 difficulties with mathematics is to examine the characteristics of 238 term-born children who have mathematical learning difficulties. 239 However, a critical issue here is differences in the criteria used 240 for defining mathematical learning difficulties, stemming from a 241 lack of consensus in the definition of the developmental dis-242 order itself.<sup>48</sup> Research, to date, has used a variety of criteria, 243 the most popular being a discrepancy-based definition; for 244 example, a low mathematics test score (<25th or <30th per-245 centile) in combination with low, average or above IQ (ie, scores 246 of 80-120).49 A major problem with discrepancy-based defini-247 tions is the lack of sensitivity of these criteria; children with a 248 clear discrepancy between IQ and mathematical performance 249 will be identified as having problems, however, children with 250 low IQ, but who also have specific difficulties with mathematics, 251 may not.<sup>48</sup> Mathematical learning difficulties in VP populations 2.52 may, therefore, be underestimated using such criteria. Other 253 conventional identification methods, such as low standardised 2.54 mathematics scores irrespective of IQ (eg, <25th percentile on a 2.5.5 standardised test),<sup>50</sup> or consistently poor mathematical 256

184



achievement over a period of two school years,<sup>51</sup> may be more appropriate for this population.

2.62

mathematics.

Research with non-clinical populations has identified a variety of factors associated with mathematical learning difficulties. Two distinct profiles can be identified. Many children with mathem-atical learning difficulties may have poor domain-general skills, particularly working memory, visuospatial skills, or attention (eg, see ref <sup>52</sup> for a review). While their underlying difficulties may have a domain-general source, this results in a specific impairment with mathematics achievement. Other children appear to have a specific deficit with numerical representations. often termed 'Developmental Dyscalculia' (DD), which can lead to profound difficulties learning even basic mathematics. The underlying functional deficit is thought to be imprecise and inaccurate representations of numbers which may affect the representations of numerical symbols themselves, the representa-tion of semantic magnitude information, or the connection between the symbolic and semantic information (eg, refs. 53-55). Nevertheless, these difficulties all lead to problems with simple tests of numerical magnitude (eg, figure 1a,b), as well as higher-order mathematical skills. Neuroimaging research has explored whether DD is associated with measureable differ-ences in the structure or function of the intrapareital sulci, areas identified as key for basic numerical processing, with mixed results. While some studies have found evidence for differences between DD and control participants in activation patterns in this region,<sup>56 57</sup> others have found no difference.<sup>58</sup> 

#### MATHEMATICAL DIFFICULTIES IN VERY PRETERM **CHILDREN**

Mathematics difficulties in VP children have been investigated as part of a comprehensive outcome assessment in population-based studies. Table 1 summarises case-control studies of mathematical achievement in cohorts born since 1990. As the achievement measures used vary between studies, standardised effect sizes are provided for comparison. 

All differences between VP and term-born children in stan-dardised mathematics tests are of moderate to large effect sizes, with the greatest effect found for children who were born extremely preterm/ELBW.<sup>16</sup> Remarkably similar effect sizes have also been observed using curriculum-based measures<sup>21</sup> and teacher reports.<sup>16</sup> <sup>18</sup> <sup>20</sup> This suggests that simple teacher ratings, such as the Teacher Academic Attainment Scale (TAAS), can be used with confidence to assess achievement in mathematics where standardised tests are not feasible.<sup>11</sup> Given the wide vari-ation in mathematics tests, comparing between studies is prob-lematic. However, when identical measures are used, such as the Woodcock-Johnson-III, a similar pattern of difficulties is observed across studies with VP children displaying larger defi-cits in the Applied Problems subscale compared with the Math Fluency subscale<sup>59</sup> <sup>60</sup>; this indicates greater difficulty with the application of mathematical concepts, rather than with knowl-edge of basic mathematics facts. Thus, VP children's problems in mathematics may be related to the application of domain-specific skills in more complex mathematical problem-solving scenarios, rather than performance in low-level mathematical tasks. Importantly, a major problem with the use of standardised tests is that it is impossible to pinpoint the specific areas of mathematics with which children struggle. Although significant progress has been made in understanding the aetiology of general cognitive deficits in VP children,<sup>61 62</sup> the exact nature and causes of their difficulty with mathematics remain poorly understood.<sup>25</sup> <sup>63</sup>

#### Domain-specific predictors of mathematical difficulties in very preterm children

A major limitation of existing studies is their reliance on stan-dardised tests. These very general tests provide a single compos-ite measure of attainment in mathematics and do not allow exploration of specific areas of difficulty. Only a handful of studies have used tests of domain-specific skills in an attempt to pinpoint areas of deficit, from which it has been suggested that 

423

424

42.5

426

	Birth year	Age (years)	Sample number		Index Selection Criteria			
Study			Index	Control	Birth weight (g)	Gestational age (weeks)	Achievement test	Effect size
Anderson & Doyle (2003)	1991–1992	8	275	223	<1000	<28	WRAT arithmetic	0.64
							CSSS mathematics	0.65
Esbjorn, Hansen, Greissen & Mortensen (2005)	1994–1995	5	207	76	<1000	<28	WPPSI arithmetic	0.50
Pritchard, Clark, Liberty, Champion, Wilson, & Woodward (2009)	1998–2000	6	102	108	-	<33	WJ-III math fluency	0.77
							Mean stage on numeracy	0.61
							framework	
							Teacher rating below average/delayed maths	0.67
Taylor, Klein, Anselmo, Minich, Espy & Hack	2001–2003	5.96	148	111	<1000	<28	WJ-III math fluency	0.31
(2011)								
							WJ-III applied problems	0.65
							Sum of teacher ratings of learning progress; Maths	0.63
Aarnoudse-Moens, Oosterlaan,	1996–2004	8	200	230	-	<30	Dutch National Pupil	
Duivenvoorden, van Goudoever &							Monitoring System	
weisglas-kuperus (2011)							Pro school Possoning	0.4
							Test	0.4
							Primary Mathematics/	0.6
							Arithmetic Test	
Johnson, Wolke, Hennesy & Marlow (2011)	1995	10.9	219	153	-	<26	WIAT-II numerical	1.5
							WIAT-II mathematical	1.3
							reasoning	
							teacher-rated assessment;	1.4
							Maths	
Rose, Feldman & Jankowski (2011)	1995–1997	11.18	44	90	<1750	< 37	WJ-III math fluency	0.22
							WJ-III applied problems	0.53
Litt, Taylor, Margevicius, Sschluchter, Andreias & Hack (2012)	1992–1995	8	181	115	<1000	-	WJ-R calculation	0.88
		14					W/L D. colculation	0.62

All effect sizes were reported or calculated based on unweighted means and SDs.

CSSS, Comprehensive Scales of Student Success; WIAT-III, Weschler Individual Achievement Test-III; WJ-III, Woodcock Johnson-III; WJ-R, Woodcock Johnson-Revised; WPPSI, Weschler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence Revised; WRAT, Wide Range Achievement Test.

VP children have basic numerical processing deficits similar to 427 children with DD. A neuroimaging study found that VP 42.8 (<33 weeks) children with calculation difficulties had signifi-429 cantly less grey matter in the left parietal lobe than those 430 without calculation problems.<sup>22</sup> As this area is believed to be 431 responsible for basic numerical processing,<sup>64</sup> the authors con-432 433 cluded that impairments in these types of low-level skills were responsible for poor achievement in mathematics. However, no 434 other domain-specific skills were assessed, and conflicting evi-435 dence from another sample of VP children suggests similar basic 436 number processing as term-born controls, implying that these 437 basic processes are an unlikely source of VP children's mathem-438 atical difficulties.<sup>65</sup> In only one other study in which diagnostic 439 interviews were used, specific issues with number sequences, 440 number identification and place value were identified as pro-441 blems areas.<sup>18</sup> Due to the lack of research investigating low-level 442 numerical processes in VP children, it is difficult to establish 443 whether these factors do indeed contribute to their deficits in 444 mathematics and impossible to ascertain whether they have 445 similar cognitive profiles as children with DD. 446

In a recent report from the EPICure Study cohort, we estab-447 448 lished that basic number processing, as measured by a brief

estimation test like those shown in figure 1, predicted mathematical performance in extremely preterm children and, thus, provided evidence of a domain-specific deficit in this population. However, this was alongside domain-general simultaneous and sequential processing skills, reading ability and visuospatial skills, which were also significant predictors of achievement.<sup>66</sup> In fact, these domain-general skills explained substantially more variance in mathematics scores for the extremely preterm population compared with controls (70% vs 48%, respectively). Thus, we hypothesise that the mathematics difficulties associated with preterm birth are likely to be the result of a complex interplay between both domain-specific and domain-general factors.

487

488

489 490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

#### Domain-general predictors of mathematical difficulties in very preterm children

Although little research has been focused on domain-specific 506 mathematics processes, there is now a large body of studies that 507 have investigated the impact of VP birth on domain-general cog-508 nitive skills, and these have highlighted a variety of potential 509 causes for difficulty with mathematics. A number of studies 510 suggest that VP children's difficulties with mathematics originate 511 from poor IQ<sup>7</sup>; that is, general intelligence impairments impact 512

513 more substantially on mathematical attainment than any other 514 academic subject. Deficits in speed of processing, over and above poor IQ, are commonly observed, which may also con-515 tribute to mathematical difficulties.<sup>15</sup> As many attainment tests 516 517 are timed, the effect of slow encoding and processing of infor-518 mation can have obvious effects on performance. In fact, slow processing speed has been suggested as a core deficit underlying 519 numerous cognitive deficits in this group.<sup>59</sup> VP children also 520 find simultaneous processing of information a particular strug-521 gle; this is a pertinent ability for mathematics, for example, 52.2 523 being able to encode various pieces of concurrently presented information in order to successfully carry out a mental 524 calculation.11 16 525

Executive functions have also been identified as an important 526 set of skills for mathematical attainment in VP children.<sup>25 67</sup> 527 Particular areas of deficit include verbal fluency, planning and 528 529 verbal/spatial working memory.<sup>68</sup> As already mentioned, working memory is critical for mathematical performance, and spatial 530 working memory and spatial span length have been shown to be 531 532 strong predictors of mathematical performance in VLBW children.<sup>10</sup> Poor visuospatial skills are also common in VP children 533 and have been shown to contribute to their difficulty in mathemat-534 ics.<sup>16</sup> <sup>17</sup> <sup>69</sup> <sup>70</sup> Even at 3–4 years of age, deficits in visuospatial pro-535 cessing and spatial working memory are evident<sup>71</sup>; such skills may 536 be important for the development of early number skills, particu-537 larly, learning the process of counting.<sup>72</sup> Poor early number knowl-538 edge, such as difficulties in mastering counting and sorting in 539 preschool, has also been suggested as a potential cause of poor 540 mathematical skills in VLBW children, however, these develop-541 mental pathways have not been robustly tested.<sup>21</sup> 542

#### 543

#### 544 **FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR RESEARCH**

There are a number of key methodological issues relating to 545 existing research into mathematical difficulties in VP children. 546 547 First, the selection of control groups is pertinent. Mathematical 548 performance is affected by educational experience and, therefore, the use of appropriate controls is important. Numerous 549 studies either compare the performance of preterm children to 550 set norms,<sup>22</sup> or to groups of children from different schools.<sup>7</sup> 551 Future studies should endeavour to carefully match preterm 552 children to term-born classmates in order to reduce the impact 553 of different educational input on assessment of performance. 554

Second, the over-reliance on standardised tests does not help 555 identify specific areas of difficulty. In order to develop effective 556 557 educational interventions, it is essential to identify common specific difficulties and their underpinning cognitive factors.<sup>25</sup> The 558 use of more detailed mathematical diagnostic tests and experi-559 mental measures of basic mathematics skills (such as those 560 detailed in figures 1 and 2) should enable a better understanding 561 of VP children's mathematical processing profiles. 562

Third, as the vast majority of previous studies have focused 563 predominantly on general cognitive processes or used very brief 564 measures of specific mathematical skills,<sup>66</sup> it is difficult to iden-565 tify the cognitive mechanisms that underpin VP children's diffi-566 culties. Future studies should explore multiple domain-specific 567 components<sup>27 39</sup> and *concurrently* investigate domain-general 568 cognitive skills in order to quantify the relative contributions of 569 these factors to curriculum-based achievement. 570

#### 572 IMPLICATIONS

571

573 Many VP children have particular difficulty with mathematics. 574 Therefore, clinicians and teachers may wish to monitor per-575 formance in this subject. There are a number of standardised 576 assessment batteries that may be suitable in this case. For

example, the Weschler Individual Achievement Test<sup>73</sup> includes 577 assessments of numerical operations (paper and pencil calcula-578 tions) and mathematical reasoning skills (applying mathematical 579 skills to real-world scenarios, eg, telling the time or using 580 money). Careful analysis of errors on these tests may indicate 581 specific areas of difficulty for individual children. The Test of 582 Early Mathematics Ability<sup>74</sup> is also a useful diagnostic tool in 583 the form of a semistructured interview focusing on informal 584 and formal mathematics concepts. This assessment can be used 585 to identify strengths and weaknesses in children's knowledge 586 and also provides some suggestions for interventions. As with 587 numerous other cognitive difficulties, early identification and 588 intervention appears to have most success in improving mathem-589 atical outcomes.75 590

As we do not currently know the specific areas of mathemat-591 ics with which VP children struggle, or the cognitive mechan-592 isms that underpin these difficulties, we cannot as yet 593 recommend appropriate interventions. However, we can make 594 some suggestions for interventions that may show promise for 595 VP children. In relation to domain-general skills, the adaptive 596 computerised working memory intervention 'Cogmed'76' has 597 received recent interest in terms of transfer to performance on 598 untrained working memory skills,<sup>77</sup> <sup>78</sup> attention<sup>77</sup> and non-599 verbal IQ.78 Recently, there has been some success with this 600 intervention with small groups of VLBW pre-schoolers79 and 601 ELBW adolescents,<sup>80</sup> with improvements in a variety of memory 602 tasks and attention. However, more carefully controlled inter-603 vention studies are required to confirm the efficacy of this inter-604 vention and to demonstrate evidence of transfer to academic 605 performance, which is currently lacking.<sup>81</sup> For domain-specific 606 skills, simple board games have been noted to improve the 607 internal numerical representations of children from low-income 608 backgrounds, with evidence of transfer to simple additional fact 609 retrieval, a core skill in basic mathematics.<sup>82</sup> The use of concrete ØØ manipulatives, such as blocks or rods, in the classroom has also 61 had some success in improving mathematical performance (75 612 for review). However, again, more wide-scale, well-controlled 613 studies are required to substantiate the effects of these interven-614 tions. It is anticipated that with a deeper understanding of VP 615 children's specific difficulties in mathematics, targeted and 616 effective interventions can be developed. 617

#### CONCLUSIONS

VP children have specific difficulties in mathematics that can have 620 lifelong consequences. A major limitation of existing research is 621 the reliance on standardised tests that provide a single, composite 622 measure of achievement. Cognitive psychologists are continuing 623 to develop experimental paradigms for assessing components of 624 mathematics skills, but as yet, research has not capitalised on 625 these advances to study preterm populations. Among the handful 626 of studies that have investigated the impact of preterm birth on 627 specific components of mathematics, the results are equivocal 628 and suggest both low-level and higher-order mathematics skills 629 may be affected. As yet, no studies have concurrently investigated 630 both domain-general and domain-specific skills. Such studies are 631 needed to determine the nature and cause of mathematics diffi-632 culties in preterm populations. VP children are part of a new gen-633 eration of children with complex learning difficulties who are 634 different in nature to those found in more mature populations.<sup>83</sup> 635 Understanding the similarities and differences in the processes 636 underlying mathematics difficulties between VP and term-born 637 children is needed for developing intervention strategies to 638 improve achievement in this core academic subject, and also the 639 lifelong outcomes of this growing population. 640

618

## Review

**Contributors** SJ, LC, CG and NM conceived the study. VS undertook the literature search and first draft of the paper. All other authors have contributed equally to the paper and have reviewed and approved the paper before submission.

**Funding** Dr Victoria Simms was funded by an Action Medical Research (AMR) project grant for the Premature Infants Skills in Mathematics (PRISM) Study. Professor Neil Marlow receives a proportion of funding from the Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme at UCLH/UCL. Dr Camilla Gilmore is supported by a British Academy Fellowship.

#### Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

#### REFERENCES

- Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, *et al.* Short term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: comparison of two birth cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *Br Med J.* 2012;345:e7976–e.
   Howson CP, Kinney MV, Lawn JE. *Born too soon: the global action report on*
- preterm birth. Geneva: March of Dimes, PMNCH, Save the Children, WHO., 2012.
- Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, et al. Members of the Vermont Oxford Network. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants.
- Pediatrics 2002;110:143–51.
   Moore T, Johnson S, Haider S, et al. Relationship between test scores using the
- second and third editions of the Bayley Scales in extremely preterm children. *J Pediatrics* 2012;160:553–8.
- Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet* 2008;371:261–9.
- Johnson S, Fawke J, Hennessy E, *et al.* Neurodevelopmental disability through
   11 years of age in children born before 26 weeks of gestation. *Pediatrics* 2009;124:
   e249–57.
- 7 Esbjorn B, Hansen B, Greisen G, *et al.* Intellectual development in a Danish cohort of prematurely born preschool children: specific or general difficulties? *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2006;27:477–84.
- 8 Saigal S, Hoult L, Streiner D, et al. School difficulties at adolescence in a regional cohort of children who were extremely low birthweight. *Pediatrics* 2000:105:325–31.
- 9 D'Angio CT, Sinkin RA, Stevens TP, *et al.* Longitudinal, 15-year follow-up of
   children born at less than 29 weeks' gestation after introduction of surfactant
   therapy into a region: neurologic, cognitive, and educational outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:1094–102.
- <sup>1</sup> Litt JS, Taylor GH, Margevicius S, *et al*. Academic achievement of adolescents born with extremely low birth weight. *Acta Paediatr* 2012;101:1240–5.
- Johnson S, Hennessy E, Smith R, *et al*. Academic attainment and special
   educational needs in extremely preterm children at 11 years of age: the EPICure
   study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2009;94:F283–9.
- Hack M, Taylor T, Drotar D, *et al.* Chronic conditions, functional limitations, and special health care needs of school-aged children born with extremely low-birth-weight in the 1990s. *JAMA* 2005;294:318–25.
- MacKay DF, Smith GC, Dobbie R, *et al.* Gestational age at delivery and special
   educational need: retrospective cohort study of 407,503 schoolchildren. *PLoS Med* 2010;7:e1000289.
- Quigley MA, Poulsen G, Boyle E, *et al.* Early term and late preterm birth are associated with poorer school performance at age 5 years: a cohort study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2012;97:F167–73.
- 15 Wocadlo C, Reiger I. Phonology, rapid naming and academic achievement in very preterm children at eight years of age. *Early Hum Dev* 2007;83:367–77.
- Johnson S, Wolke D, Hennessy E, et al. Educational outcomes in extremely preterm children: Neuropsychological correlates and predictors of attainment. Dev Neuropsychol 2011;36:74–95.
- Taylor HG, Klein N, Minich N, et al. Middle school-age outcomes in children with very low birthweight. *Child Dev* 2000;71:1495–511.
- Pritchard VE, Clark CAC, Liberty K, *et al.* Early school-based learning difficulties in children born very preterm. *Early Hum Dev* 2009;85:215.
- Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, *et al*. Meta-analysis
   of neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children.
   *Pediatrics* 2009;124:717–28.
- Anderson P, Doyle L, for the Victoria Infant Collaborative Study Group.
   Neurobehavioral outcomes of school-age children born extremely low birth weight or very preterm in the 1990s. JAMA 2003;289:3264–72.
- Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Oosterlaan J, Duivenvoorden HJ, et al. Development of preschool and academic skills in children born very preterm. J Pediatrics 2011;158:51–6.
- Z2 Issacs EB, Edmonds CJ, Lucas A, *et al.* Calculation difficulties in children of very low birth weight: a neural correlate. *Brain* 2001;124:1701–7.
- Williams J, Clemens S, Oleinikova K, *et al*. The skills for life survey. A national needs and impact survey of literacy, numeracy and ICT skills. London: Department for Education and Skills, 2003.

24	Crawford CC, Cribb J. Reading and maths skills at age 10 and earnings in later life:	705
	a brief analysis using the British Cohort Study. Centre for Analysis of Youth	706
25	Transitions. 2013. Report No. 3.	707
25	laylor HG, Espy KA, Anderson PJ. Mathematics deficiencies in children with very	708
26	Dowker A Individual differences in arithmetic: implications for psychology	709
20	neuroscience and education. Hove, UK: Psychology Press, 2005.	710
27	LeFevre J-A, Fast L, Skwarchuk S-L, et al. Pathways to mathematics: longitudinal	711
	predictors of performance. Child Dev 2010;81:1753-67.	711
28	Holloway ID, Ansari D. Mapping numerical magnitudes onto symbols: the numerical	/12
	distance effect and individual differences in children's mathematics achievement.	713
20	J EXP Child PSYCHOI 2009;103:17–29. Do Smodt B. Verschaffel L. Ghasquiero P. The predictive value of numerical	714
29	magnitude comparison for individual differences in mathematics achievement <i>LExp</i>	715
	Child Psychol 2009:103:469–79.	716
30	Booth JL, Siegler R S. Numerical magnitude representations influence arithmetic	717
	learning. Child Dev 2008;79:1016–31.	718
31	Gilmore C, Attridge N, Inglis M. Measuring the approximate number system. Q J	719
22	EXP Psychol 2011;64:2099–109. Muldeen K. Towse I. Simms V. et al. A longitudinal analysis of estimation accuracy.	720
52	counting skills and mathematical ability across the first school year. <i>Dev Psychol</i>	721
	2012: On-line first.	<b>O</b> \$\$1
33	Dehaene S, Piazza M, Pinel P, et al. Three parietal circuits for number processing.	723
	Cognitive Neuropsych 2003;20:487–506.	724
34	Groen GJ, Parkman JM. A chronometric analysis of simple addition. <i>Psychol Rev</i>	72.5
<b>Э</b> Е	1972;79:329. Accessft MIL Fierman BA, Montal addition in third, fourth, and eivth graders, J. Fun	726
30	Child Paychol 1982:33:216	727
36	Geary DC. Brown SC. Cognitive addition: Strategy choice and speed-of-processing	727
	differences in gifted, normal, and mathematically disabled children. <i>Dev Psychol</i>	720
	1991;27:398.	729
37	Torbeyns J, Verschaffel L, Ghesquiere P. Simple addition strategies in a first-grade	/30
20	class with multiple strategy instruction. <i>Cognition and Instruct</i> 2005;23:1–21.	731
38	Lefevre JA, Smith-Chant BL, Fast L, <i>et al</i> . What counts as knowing? The	732
	kindergarten through Grade 2 <i>J Exp Child Psychol</i> 2006;93:285–303	733
39	Cowan R, Donlan C, Shepherd D-L, <i>et al</i> . Basic calculation proficiency and	734
	mathematics achievement in elementary school children. J Educ Psychol	735
	2011;103:786–803.	736
40	Dowker A, Sigley G. Targetted interventions for children with arithmetical	737
	difficulties. Brit J Educ Psychol: Understanding Number Development and Difficulties: 2010: Monograph Series: II/7):65–81	738
41	Donlan C Cowan R Newton F <i>et al</i> . The role of language in mathematical development:	739
	Evidence from children with specific language impairments. <i>Cognition</i> 2007;103:23.	740
42	van der Sluis S, de Jong PF, van der Leij A. Executive functioning in children, and	741
	its relations with reasoning, reading, and arithmetic. <i>Intelligence</i> 2007;35:427–49.	742
43	Mayes SD, Calhoun SL, Bixler EO, et al. IQ and neuropsychological predictors of	743
лл	academic achievement. Learn individ Dirier 2009; 19:238–41. Radhubar KP Ramas MA Hacht SA Working memory and mathematics: a review	744
44	of developmental, individual difference, and cognitive approaches, <i>Learn Individ</i>	745
	Differ 2010;20:110–22.	746
45	Gilmore C, Cragg L, Richardson S, et al. The role of working memory in arithmetic	747
	performance differs by strategy. British psychological society developmental section	748
10	annual conference; 5–7 September 2012; Glasgow, UK 2012.	740
40	st Clair-mompson HL, Gamercole SE. Executive functions and achievements in school: shifting, undating, inhibition, and working memory O. J. Exp. Rev.chol.	750
	2006.59.745–59	750
47	Yeniad N, Malda M, Mesman J, et al. Shifting ability predicts math and reading	/31
	performance in children: a meta-analytical study. Learn Individ Differ 2013;23:1–9.	/52
48	Mazzocco MM, Myers GF. Complexities in identifying and defining mathematics	753
40	learning disability in the primary school-age years. <i>Ann Dyslexia</i> 2003;53:218–53.	754
49	theoretical and ampirical percreatives. In: Campbell IID of Handbook of	755
	mathematical cognition New York: Psychology Press, 2005:253–67	756
50	Swanson HL, Jerman O. Math disabilities: a selective meta-analysis of the literature.	757
	Rev Educ Res 2006;76:249.	758
51	Geary DC, Hoard MK, Hamson CO. Numerical and arithmetical cognition: patterns	759
	ot functions and deficits in children at risk for a mathematical disability. J Exp Child	760
ED	Psychol 1999;/4:213-39.	761
52	ueary UC. The classification and cognitive characteristics of mathematical disabilities in children. In: Dowker RCKA ed. Oxford handbook of numerical cognition Oxford	762
	UK: Oxford University Press: In press.	Ø\$0
53	De Smedt B, Gilmore CK. Defective number module or impaired access? Numerical	764
	magnitude processing in first graders with mathematical difficulties. J Exp Child	765
	Psychol 2011;108:278–92.	766
54	Mazzocco MM, Feigenson L, Halberda J. Impaired acuity of the approximate	767
	number system underlies mathematical learning disability (dyscalculia). Child Dev	/0/

768

2011:82:1224-37.

<sup>55</sup> Rousselle L, Noel M. Basic numerical skills in children with mathematics learning disabilities: a comparison of symbolic vs non-symbolic number magnitude. *Cognition* 2007;102:361–95.
<sup>56</sup> Price GR, Holloway I, Rasanen P, *et al.* Impaired parietal magnitude processing in developmental dyscalculia. *Curr Biol* 2007;17:R1042–3.

 57 Mussolin C, De Volder A, Grandin C, et al. Neural correlates of symbolic number comparisons in developmental dyscalculia. J Cognitive Neurosci 2010;22:860–74.

 58 Kucian K, Loenneker T, Martin E, *et al.* Non-symbolic numerical distance effect in children with and without developmental dyscalculia: a parametric fMRI study. *Dev Neuropsychol* 2011;36:741–62.

 777 59 Rose SA, Feldman JF, Jankowski JJ. Modeling a cascade of effects: the role of speed and executive functioning in preterm/full-term differences in academic achievement. *Dev Sci* 2011;14:1161–75.

Taylor G, Klein N, Anselmo M, *et al.* Learning Problems in Kindergarten Students with Extremely Preterm Birth. *Arch Pediat Adol Med* 2011;165:819–25.

- Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Duivenvoorden HJ, Weisglas-Kuperus N, et al. The profile of
   executive function in very preterm children at 4 to 12 years. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2012;54:247–53.
- Anderson PJ. Executive functioning in school-aged children who were born very preterm or with extremely low birth weight in the 1990s. *Pediatrics* 2004;114:50–7.

786 63 Gross SJ, Mettelman BB, Dye TD, *et al.* Impact of family structure and stability on 787 academic outcome in preterm children at 10 years of age. *J Pediatrics* 2001;138:169–75.

Rubinsten OH, Henik A. Developmental dyscalulia: Heterogeneity may not mean different mechanisms. *Trends Cog Sci* 2009(13):92–9.

Guarini A, Sansavini A, Giovanelli G, *et al.* Basic numerical processes in preterms.
 *World J Pediatrics* 2006;2:102–8.

791 66 Simms V, Gilmore C, Cragg L, *et al.* Mathematics difficulties in extremely preterm
 792 children: evidence of a specific deficit in basic mathematics processing. *Pediatric Res* 793 2012.

67 Mulder H, Pitchford NJ, Hagger MS, *et al.* Development of executive function and attention in preterm children: a systematic review. *Dev Neuropsychol* 2009;34:393–421.

79668Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Duivenvoorden H, Weisglas-Kuperus N, et al. The profile of797executive functions in very preterm children at 4 to 12 years. Dev Med Child Neurol7982012;54:247–53.

69	Marlow N, Hennessy EM, Bracewell MA, <i>et al</i> . Motor and executive function at 6 years of age after extremely preterm birth. <i>Pediatrics</i> 2007;	833 834
	120:793–804.	835
70	Klein NK, Hack M, Breslau N. Children who were very low birth weight:	836
	development and academic achievement at nine years of age. J Dev Benav Pediatr 1989;10:32–7.	837
71	Vicari S, Caravale B, Carlesimo GA, et al. Spatial working memory deficits in	838
	children at ages 3–4 who were low birth weight, preterm infants. <i>Neuropsychology</i> 2004;18:673–8.	839
72	Assel MA, Landry SH, Swank P, et al. Precursors to mathematical skills: examining	840
	the roles of visual-spatial skills, executive processes, and parenting factors. Appl	841
72	Dev Sci 2003;7:27—38. Weschlar D. Weschsler individual achievement test. London, LIK: Harcourt	842
15	Assessment 2005	843
74	Ginsberg HP, Baroody AJ. <i>Test of early mathematics ability</i> . 3rd edn. Austin, Texas: PRO-ED. 2003.	844 845
75	Dowker A. What works for children with mathematical difficulites? The effectiveness of intervention schemes. Lewes, UK: National Numeracy, 2009.	846 847
76	Cogmed Systems, Stockholm, Sweden. http://www.cogmed.com/	848
77	Torell LB, Lindqvist S, Bergman Nutley S, et al. Training and transfer effects of	010
70	executive functions in preschool children. <i>Dev Sci</i> 2009;12:106–13.	050
/8	Klingberg I, Forssberg H, Westerberg H. Training of working memory in children with ADHD. <i>J Clin Exp Neuropsychol</i> 2002;24:781–91	830
79	Grunewaldt KH Lohaugen GC Austeng D <i>et al.</i> Working memoory training	851
	improves cognitive function in VLBW preschoolers. <i>Pediatrics</i> 2013;	852 853
80	Lohaugen GC Antonsen I. Haberg A. et al. Computerized working memory training	854
00	improves function in adolescents born at extremely low birth weight. <i>J Pediatr</i> 2011:158:555–61.	855
81	Melby-Lervag M, Hulme C, 2013, Is Working memory training effective? A meta-analytic review. <i>Dev Psychol</i> 49:270–91.	857
82	Siegler RS, Ramani GB. Playing linear number board games -but not circular ones- improves low-income preschoolers' numerical understanding. <i>J Educ Psychol</i> 2000;101:E4E, 60	858 859
83	Complex learning difficulties and disabilities research project. Specialist Schools and	860
	Academies Trust [25 Mar 2013]. http://complexld.ssatrust.org.uk/	861
		862
		863
		864
		865
		866
		867
		868
		869
		870
		871
		872
		873
		874
		875
		876