#### INFORMATION TO USERS

This material was produced from a microfilm copy of the original document. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or patterns which may appear on this reproduction.

- The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting thru an image and duplicating adjacent pages to insure you complete continuity.
- 2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a large round black mark, it is an indication that the photographer suspected that the copy may have moved during exposure and thus cause a blurred image. You will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
- 3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., was part of the material being photographed the photographer followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin photoing at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue photoing from left to right in equal sections with a small overlap. If necessary, sectioning is continued again – beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
- 4. The majority of users indicate that the textual content is of greatest value, however, a somewhat higher quality reproduction could be made from "photographs" if essential to the understanding of the dissertation. Silver prints of "photographs" may be ordered at additional charge by writing the Order Department, giving the catalog number, title, author and specific pages you wish reproduced.
- PLEASE NOTE: Some pages may have indistinct print. Filmed as received.

Xerox University Microfilms 300 North Zeeb Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

73-24,062

BERG, Richard Leon, 1939-THERMOINSULATING MEDIA WITHIN EMBANKMENTS ON PERENNIALLY FROZEN SOIL.

University of Alaska, Ph.D., 1973 Engineering, civil

ł

University Microfilms, A XEROX Company , Ann Arbor, Michigan

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED.

# THERMOINSULATING MEDIA WITHIN EMBANKMENTS

on

# PERENNIALLY FROZEN SOIL

A

### DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the University of Alaska in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

> By Richard Leon Berg, BSCE College, Alaska May 1973

THERMOINSULATING MEDIA WITHIN EMBANKMENTS

ON

PERENNIALLY FROZEN SOIL

RECOMMENDED:

non aw

APPROVED:

College of Mathematics, Physical Sciences & Engineering Dean of the

5-15-73 Date

Research and Advanced Study Vice President for

<u>1973</u> 15 Date

#### ABSTRACT

Numerous transportation facilities have been proposed for arctic and subarctic regions. Most will be constructed on embankments. Incorporation of a thermoinsulating layer within the embankment may permit use of reduced quantities of embankment material.

Thermal design and analysis procedures applicable to embankments are reviewed and a two-dimensional numerical method coupling heat and mass transfer and vertical displacement is proposed. The modified Berggren equation, a method developed by Lachenbruch, and a finite difference technique are used to illustrate design and analysis methods for insulated embankments on permafrost.

More than sixty thermoinsulating materials suitable for incorporation into embankments are currently available; however, only seventeen materials have been used. Most applications of insulation have been in seasonal frost areas but a few test sections have been constructed on permafrost.

Stability of thermal and physical properties is a desirable characteristic of thermoinsulating layers. Moisture absorption causes increased thermal conductivity and degradation of strength of some insulating materials. Several types of moisture barriers have been used but the most successful have been polyethylene sheets.

Laboratory tests presently used to evaluate properties of insulating materials do not provide quantitative design information. A new device that could provide this information is proposed. Other suggestions for future research are made.

iii

#### PREFACE

The concept of embankment construction incorporating thermoinsulating media above perennially frozen soil has only recently been developed and utilized in functional roadways and runways. Prior to this study, only empirical design procedures had been formulated and laboratory tests developed for other applications of insulation were utilized. Laboratory tests had not been critically analyzed and correlated with insulation performance in an embankment environment. In researching this subject, deficiencies and discrepancies were observed. Supplementary tests made two major problems apparent, one relates to thermal design methods and the other concerns laboratory testing methods. The purpose of this study was to extract pertinent data from existing literature, validate it by additional testing if necessary, and extend the state-of-the-art by additional testing and analysis of information. In the body of the dissertation a format incorporating observations made in this study, with pertinent applicable information from the literature, is used.

Information in Chapter I suggests that development of areas underlain by permafrost is imminent. Embankment construction for roads, airfields, pipelines, and other facilities will be required. Transportation networks will be necessary to carry hydrocarbons and minerals to existing markets. These networks will also permit a more adequate supply system to villages which are now remote from existing land transportation networks. Use of insulating materials in embankments over permafrost will minimize requirements for granular material and, in many instances, can diminish

iv

construction costs. The primary use of insulating layers will be in areas of high ice-content permafrost which would become unstable and cause undesirable subsidence after thawing.

In Chapter II the primary methods of thermal analysis and design for insulated embankments are presented. The author prepared, or assisted in the preparation of, three computer programs which are used to analyze existing embankments and to design new embankments in Chapter IV. These three programs assume unidirectional heat flux through the embankment. Others have used these programs, or similar ones, for design and analysis. A more comprehensive two-dimensional heat and mass transfer model which also considers consolidation and heaving is proposed.

In Chapter III laboratory and field studies conducted in the United States, Canada, and several European countries are summarized. The most widely used materials are petrochemical products which have been developed in the last few years. This is a rapidly expanding, ever changing category of materials. Technological advances, formulation changes, and fabrication techniques influence the properties of these materials. The laboratory tests conducted in this study provided data that is presented with applicable research findings from the literature. The oldest insulated embankment which has been continuously subjected to vehicular traffic is less than ten years old; thus in-service, long-term durability has not been proven. Results from different types of laboratory tests have been used to estimate field performance of insulating materials. The effect of moisture intrusion into the insulating materials and resulting changes in thermal and mechanical properties is the primary concern. None of the laboratory tests suitably couples the thermal and moisture regime within an embankment environment with dynamic loads imposed on it. A new apparatus is proposed which will perform this function. It will provide quantitative design data rather than information which can only be used in a qualitative manner to compare materials. Some insulating materials may require a permanent moisture barrier around them, but field experience is limited and barriers used with foamed-in-place polyurethane have not performed adequately for application to permanent facilities. The proposed device may also be used to evaluate various moisture barriers.

In Chapter IV the three computer programs developed for design and analysis of insulated embankments are applied. The three-layer technique illustrates a design procedure for complete protection, *i.e.* seasonal thaw does not penetrate the insulating layer. The modified Berggren equation illustrates a design method allowing limited seasonal thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer, and a finite differencing technique illustrates the possible long-term behavior of an insulated embankment on warm permafrost. This capability is a definite advantage for numerical methods because the three-layer method and the modified Berggren equation must be applied on a seasonal basis.

Chapter V reiterates conclusions and recommendations for further advancing the state-of-the-art as presented in prior chapters. The functional life of an insulating material within an embankment environment has not yet been established, but for extruded polystyrene it is greater than ten years. The proposed laboratory apparatus will closely simulate an embankment environment and will provide data for evaluating the durability of various materials. The two-dimensional numerical procedure considering simultaneous heat and mass flux and consolidation or heave

should be developed. This refinement of existing capabilities will permit a more complete evaluation of alternate designs.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory sponsored an international symposium on the use of insulating materials in runways and roadways at my suggestion. Approximately 100 persons from state and federal government agencies, consulting firms, and chemical manufacturing companies participated in the meeting. Some information from the symposium is used herein. These two agencies also sponsored the work reported in this dissertation. Special thanks go to Mr. W. F. Quinn, Mr. E. F. Clark, and Mrs. V. Daugherty of USACRREL.

Special appreciation is given to Professor George R. Knight, who was chairman of my advisory committee. His counseling and encouragement have been greatly appreciated.

The assistance and advice of my other advisory committee members, Dr. F. L. Bennett, Dr. G. L. Guymon, and Dr. J. L. Morack, is appreciated.

Thanks are extended to the many companies, agencies, and individuals who provided data and information for this study.

Lastly, sincere gratitude is expressed to my wife Sue for her patience, support, and assistance during this study.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter			Page
Ι.	INTRODUCTI	ION	1
	Perma Trans Embar Synth Requi	ifrost distribution sportation facilities kment design lesis of current knowledge irements for embankment	1 5 5 9 12
II.	THERMAL MC	DDELS	14
	Funda Analy Numer Propo	amental principles rtical solutions rical methods osed model	14 16 20 23
III.	THERMOINSU	JLATING MATERIALS	29
	Rheol Therm Envin Propo	logical studies nal properties ronmental effects sed laboratory test apparatus	31 44 52 83
IV.	THERMAL DE	ESIGN	86
	Lache Modif Finit	enbruch 3-layer method fied Berggren equation te difference technique	86 104 115
v.	CONCLUSION	IS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	122
LITER/	TURE CITED		126
APPENI	IX A: SELF	ECTED DEFINITIONS	136
APPENI	IX B: TYPE	ES AND PROPERTIES OF INSULATING MATERIALS	137
APPENI	IX C: FREE	EZE-THAW STUDIES OF INSULATIONS, Series 1	141
APPENI	IX D: FREE	EZE-THAW STUDIES OF INSULATIONS, Series 2	154
APPEN	IX E: COM	PUTER PROGRAM FOR LACHENBRUCH 3-LAYER METHOD	169

viii

# ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1.	Permafrost distribution in the Northern Hemisphere.	3
2.	Major hydrocarbon deposits and mines in the Far North.	4
3.	Present transportation routes in the Arctic.	6
4.	Proposed transportation facilities in North American permafrost regions.	7
5.	Recommended design procedure.	13
6.	Boundary conditions, initial conditions, and constitutive equations for proposed model.	28
7.	$\ensuremath{Density-compressive}$ strength relationships for polyurethane and molded polystyrene.	32
8.	Density-compressive strength relationships for high density insulating materials.	33
9.	Deformation from cyclic loading, laboratory studies.	35
10.	Flexible insulated pavement test sections at WES.	42
11.	Rigid insulated pavement test sections at WES.	43
12.	Density-thermal conductivity relationships for molded polystyrene and aged polyurethane foams.	45
13.	Density-thermal conductivity relationships for high density insulating materials.	47
14.	Temperature-thermal conductivity relationships for insulating materials.	48
15.	Influence of moisture on the thermal conductivity of poly- urethane, molded polystyrene, and extruded polystyrene.	50
16.	Thermal conductivity versus years of service for highway installations using Styrofoam HI.	51

17.	Sectioning samples for moisture distribution studies.	56
18.	Freeze-thaw device used by Dow Chemical Company.	59
19.	Results of freeze-thaw tests conducted by Dow Chemical Company.	60
20.	Moisture absorption and number of freeze-thaw cycles for Series 1 materials.	62
21.	Moisture absorption and number of freeze-thaw cycles for Series 2 materials.	65
22.	Compressive strength of molded polystyrene specimen with no freeze-thaw cycles and subjected to 20 freeze-thaw cycles.	66
23.	Moisture distribution after immersion for 18 months.	71
24.	Prototype apparatus for applying polyurethane insulation to embankments.	81
25.	Application of sub-base seal coat prior to placing poly- urethane insulation to embankments.	82
26.	Proposed laboratory test device.	84
26. 27.	Proposed laboratory test device. Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem.	84 88
26. 27. 28.	Proposed laboratory test device. Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem. Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F).	84 88 90
26. 27. 28. 29.	Proposed laboratory test device. Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem. Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F). Indexes and equivalent sinusoidal temperature amplitude.	84 88 90 91
<ol> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> </ol>	Proposed laboratory test device. Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem. Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F). Indexes and equivalent sinusoidal temperature amplitude. Method of estimating the degree-days to thaw a granular layer.	84 88 90 91 92
<ol> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Proposed laboratory test device.</li> <li>Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem.</li> <li>Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F).</li> <li>Indexes and equivalent sinusoidal temperature amplitude.</li> <li>Method of estimating the degree-days to thaw a granular layer.</li> <li>Relationship between thermal conductivity of insulation, F/A ratios, gravel base thickness, and insulation thickness.</li> </ul>	84 88 90 91 92 96
<ol> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> <li>32.</li> </ol>	Proposed laboratory test device. Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem. Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F). Indexes and equivalent sinusoidal temperature amplitude. Method of estimating the degree-days to thaw a granular layer. Relationship between thermal conductivity of insulation, F/A ratios, gravel base thickness, and insulation thickness. F/A ratios for embankments incorporating code number 1, 8, and 4 materials.	84 90 91 92 96 97
<ol> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> <li>32.</li> <li>33.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Proposed laboratory test device.</li> <li>Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem.</li> <li>Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F).</li> <li>Indexes and equivalent sinusoidal temperature amplitude.</li> <li>Method of estimating the degree-days to thaw a granular layer.</li> <li>Relationship between thermal conductivity of insulation, F/A ratios, gravel base thickness, and insulation thickness.</li> <li>F/A ratios for embankments incorporating code number 1, 8, and 4 materials.</li> </ul>	84 88 90 91 92 96 97 98

х

35.	F/A ratios for embankments incorporating code number 2, 8, and 5 materials.	100
36.	F/A ratios for embankments incorporating code number 2, 7, and 4 materials.	101
37.	F/A ratios for embankments incorporating code number 2, 6, and 4 materials.	102
38.	Calculated thaw depths near Fairbanks, Alaska.	109
39.	N-factors for paved surfaces during thawing.	110
40.	Calculated thaw depths for various thawing indexes and various thicknesses of insulation.	112
41.	Comparison of calculated and measured temperatures in the Prudhoe insulated road.	117
42.	Measured and calculated penetration of the 32° F isotherm, highway test sections, 1965-1966.	118
43.	Long term behavior of insulated and uninsulated test sections near Chitina, Alaska.	121

xi

# TABLES

Table		Page
г.	Sources of information for Figure 4.	8
II.	Thermal, fluid, and electric analogies.	19
III.	Numerical methods applied to heat transfer in soil- water systems.	22
IV.	Insulating materials used in embankment construction.	29
v.	Permanent pavement deflection due to repetitive loading.	38
VI.	Average Benkelman Beam deflections on different dates.	39
VII.	Deflection of insulated and uninsulated bituminous pavements.	41
VIII.	Sources, types, and densities of materials used in tests conducted at USACRREL.	54
IX.	Moisture distribution after freeze-thaw tests.	57
х.	Thermal conductivity of Series 1 specimen before and after freeze-thaw tests.	63
XI.	Moisture absorption in insulating materials, laboratory test results.	6 <b>9</b>
XII.	Moisture distribution after 7-day pressure tests.	73
XIII.	Moisture distribution after embedment in wet soil.	75
XIV.	Moisture absorption under field conditions, lightweight plastic materials.	77
xv.	Moisture absorption under field conditions, various types of insulating materials.	78
XVI.	Properties of materials used in thermal calculations.	87
XVII.	F/A ratio for various types of insulations and embank- ment thicknesses.	94

XVIII.	Recommended N-factors.	108
XIX.	Stations used in the modified Berggren equation solutions for Figure 40.	113
xx.	Changes in thaw depth due to moisture absorption by the insulating layer.	114

#### CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

The concept of embankment construction incorporating thermoinsulating media above perennially frozen soil has only recently been developed and utilized in functional roadways and runways. Prior to this study, only empirical design procedures had been formulated and laboratory tests developed for other applications of insulation were utilized. Laboratory tests had not been critically analyzed and correlated with insulation performance in an embankment environment. In researching this subject, deficiencies and discrepancies were observed. Supplementary tests made two major problems apparent, one relates to thermal design methods and the other concerns laboratory testing methods. The purpose of this study was to extract pertinent data from existing literature, validate it by additional testing if necessary, and extend the state-of-the-art by additional testing and analysis of information. In the body of the dissertation a format incorporating observations made in this study with pertinent applicable information from the literature is used.

#### PERMAFROST DISTRIBUTION

Black (1954) estimated that approximately 26% of the land surface of the world is underlain by permafrost. This is an area of about 14.7 million square miles. Approximately 9 million square miles of this total are in the Northern Hemisphere. He estimates that approximately 40-50%

of the land surface of Canada is underlain by permafrost, and about 80% of Alaska contains permafrost. Tsytovich (1958) estimated that over 47% of the USSR is underlain by permafrost.

Permafrost has been defined in several ways (Sterns, 1966). In this report, permafrost is defined as material which has remained below 32° F continuously for more than two years. A more complete definition of permafrost and other terms used in this report are in Appendix A.

Geographical distribution of permafrost is commonly divided into two zones, continuous and discontinuous. If the permafrost is uninterrupted in lateral and vertical extent, except under large bodies of water, it is continuous permafrost. Widely scattered thawed areas may exist. When the occurrence of unfrozen islands, layers, or strips becomes the rule rather than the exception, permafrost is discontinuous. Thawed portions may occur laterally or vertically to break the continuity of the permafrost. Figure 1, from Sterns (1966), shows the distribution of permafrost in the Northern Hemisphere.

Development of the permafrost regions in North America has been sporadic. Initial growth was due to development of gold deposits in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Construction of the Distant Early Warning System (DEW Line) was accomplished during the late 1950's. Vast oil reserves were discovered near Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, in 1968. Subsequently, oil and gas deposits have been found in the Canadian Archipelago and the McKenzie River delta area. Other regions in Canada and Alaska are being explored for additional hydrocarbon deposits.

Oil and gas deposits have also been discovered in northern Russia. Exploration and development in these regions are continuing. Figure 2,





Figure 2 Major hydrocarbon deposits and mines in the Far North, courtesy of the Greenarctic Consortium (1973).

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

courtesy of the Greenarctic Consortium, shows several hydrocarbon deposits and potential mining sites in the Far North.

## TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

The existing transportation network, from the Arctic Institute of North America (1969), is shown in Figure 3. Few roads and railroads exist and primary transportation routes are via sea and river. Aircraft also play an important role in Far North transportation. To transport oil and gas, and other minerals, from the northern areas to existing markets it will be necessary to develop additional transportation facilities. Many of the recently proposed roads, railroads, and pipelines for the North American arctic and subarctic are shown in Figure 4. Some routes are alternates; however, others have been studied by different groups, each recommending slightly different alignment. Due to the scale of this map the alignments are approximate.

Oil and gas deposits in North America will be developed primarily for economic and political reasons. Government and industry funding may be used. Initially, the transportation routes will be established to serve the oil and gas resources. Several of these routes, however, will serve as a base for secondary transportation routes to serve other mineral resources in northern areas.

#### EMBANKMENT DESIGN

Nearly all segments of roadways, airfields, and railroads in permafrost areas will be constructed on embankments providing some thermal protection to the permafrost. Portions of pipelines will be constructed on





Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Reproduced with permission of the capyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission





# Table I

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION FOR FIGURE 4

Canadian Institute of Guided Ground Transport (1972). Consedine (1972). Kipling (1972). Pipe Line Industry (1972). State of Alaska (1972). Tudor, Kelly and Shannon (1972). Wolff, Lambert, Johansen, Rhoads, and Solie (1972). Woodward (1971). or built from embankments. One important reason for placing transportation facilities on embankments is to contain thaw within the embankment or to reduce thaw into the subgrade. Embankments designed for thermal protection are usually essential over soils which are saturated or oversaturated with ice. Exceptions may occur when conditions allow pre-thawing and consolidation of the ice rich soils. Embankments are unnecessary, or much thinner ones can be used, where the subgrade soils are unsaturated, coarse-grained materials or competent rock. The embankment thickness necessary for thermal protection of the subgrade varies geographically and is also influenced by the use and design life of the facility. Ferrians, *et al.* (1969), describe and discuss several situations where insufficient embankment thickness effected problems due to melting of the permafrost.

Inclusion of thermal barriers within embankments may reduce the required thicknesses. Two types of thermal barriers are available: (1) heat sink materials, *i.e.* those containing large volumes of water, thereby having large volumetric latent heats of fusion, and (2) materials of high thermal resistance, *i.e.*, thermal insulators. The use of thermal barriers may permit using less granular material, thereby causing less environmental disturbance.

## SYNTHESIS OF CURRENT KNOWLEDGE

Beskow (1935) reported the original studies with thermal barriers. In 1946 several test sections were constructed near Fairbanks, Alaska (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1950). Several types of insulating materials were used, including cellular glass boards, lightweight concrete, and compacted branches. The cellular glass boards were most effective in reducing

seasonal thaw but were very expensive, due in part to their high installation cost caused by their small size. No additional studies on insulated embankments were reported until Quinn and Lobacz (1962) published data from some small test sections in Waltham, Massachusetts.

Young (1965), and Oosterbaan and Leonards (1965) discussed performance of test sections in seasonal frost zones of Canada and Michigan. Extruded polystyrene boards were used in both of these studies. Development continued, using these materials, in both highway and railroad embankments. Williams (1968 and 1971) and Berg (1972) provide more detailed summaries of insulated embankments.

In 1969 three projects employing insulating layers were constructed on permafrost in Alaska. The Alaska Department of Highways (Esch, 1973) constructed test sections near Chitina, incorporating boards of Styrofoam HI, an extruded polystyrene. The same material was also used at Kotzebue, Alaska, where a portion of the runway was insulated. Knight and Condo (1971) report that various plank materials and different grades of polyurethane developed by ARCO Chemical Company were installed near Prudhoe Bay, Alaska. More recently, several "expedient road" test sections incorporating insulating layers have been constructed near Fairbanks, Alaska, by USACRREL. Insulating materials used include: foamed-in-place polyurethane, foamed-in-place sulfur. Styrofoam HD-300, a composite insulator incorporating polystyrene beads bound by Portland cement, and another composite incorporating polystyrene beads bound by sulfur. In all of these tests an expedient metal or glass-fiber matting was placed on the insulating material and traffic was imposed on the matting. Construction information and test results are described in Smith, Berg and Muller (1973),

and Pazsint and Smith (1972a and 1972b). In 1972 the Alyeska Pipeline Service Company (ALPS) constructed and trafficked "construction pad" test facilities near Fairbanks, Alaska, and Glennallen, Alaska. Each facility was comprised of eighteen test sections. Seven of the sections in each facility contained an insulating layer. Various types and thicknesses of insulation were used (Alaska Construction and Oil, 1972, and Langan, 1972). Johnston (1972) reported two installations using Styrofoam were constructed near Inuvik, Canada.

Most insulating materials currently used in building construction may have application for incorporation into embankments. Malloy (1969) lists five major categories of insulation: (1) flake, (2) fibrous, (3) granular, (4) cellular, and (5) reflective. A particular insulating system may be a hybrid of several types.

Insulating materials may be available in one or more of six general forms. They are loose fills, blankets or batts, flexible stock, reflective materials, aerated or lightweight concretes, and rigid or semi-rigid boards and slabs. Flexible stock has not been considered for use in embankments. And with the exception of a few light-colored pavement surfaces to reflect larger quantities of incident solar radiation, reflective materials have not been used either. Reflective insulating materials beneath the embankment surface have not been considered for incorporation into embankments. A summary of other materials and selected properties is shown in Appendix B. Considerable data are available on some properties of the materials. However, since insulating materials are not normally required to carry loads in building construction, little information is available concerning their behavior under dynamic load conditions.

Insulating systems, rather than insulating materials alone, are generally designed in building construction. The insulating systems include a vapor barrier where necessary, and materials for abrasion and/or impact protection. For embankment insulation a barrier resistant to petroleum products may be desirable in some locations.

# REQUIREMENTS FOR EMBANKMENT INSULATION

Insulated embankments are one of several alternate designs, rather than a panacea for all embankments. A schematic illustration of the recommended design procedure is shown in Figure 5.

A systematic procedure is employed to select the final design, Figure 5. First, construction constraints are established. They are normally levied by the funding agency and include geometric criteria, thermal and structural loads, environmental constraints, and longevity of the facility. Next, a survey and cataloguing of available material is accomplished. Then several design procedures, which may also be directed by the funding agency, are applied to establish congruous uninsulated cross sections. Thermal and structural design methods must be applied simultaneously in developing suitable insulated cross sections. An iterative process, using the materials available in various combinations, is used to establish the cross sections. A cost estimate of each cross section is determined and optimum designs of insulated and uninsulated embankments are selected. Other considerations, e.g. political constraints, may be included before the final design recommendation is resolved.



Figure 5 Recommended design procedure.

#### CHAPTER II

#### THERMAL MODELS

Generally, the thermal regime in existence prior to construction of a surface facility will be altered by constructing the facility. In permafrost areas it is frequently necessary to estimate the extent of this change. Fluctuations in the permafrost table are of primary interest and if the in-situ soils contain large quantities of ice, estimates of changes in the permafrost table are of utmost importance. Computations of thaw depths into the original soil are usually necessary. Surface subsidence can then be estimated from these depths and the known ice volume in the soil. Calculation of frost penetration is also important as it represents frost heaving potential. If the seasonal frost depth does not reach the permafrost table, a talik is formed. If the talik is enlarged in subsequent thawing seasons, the facility may be unstable for several years.

In this chapter techniques which may be used to estimate seasonal thaw and seasonal frost depths are reviewed and a two-dimensional numerical method which considers simultaneous heat and mass flux, and consolidation or heave, is proposed. The proposed method more closely represents most embankment environments than presently used techniques.

## FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES

In the absence of sources and sinks, the local time rate of change of internal energy ( $\mu$ ) must equal the net heat flux ( $\vec{q}$ ) at any instant of time ( $\tau$ ) and at any point in a given space. This is the principle of conservation of energy. The continuity equation states this principle:

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \tau} + \nabla \cdot \vec{q} = 0 \qquad 1.$$

The heat flux vector has been found, experimentally, to be proportional to the gradient of temperature (T). The constant of proportionality is defined as the thermal conductivity  $(k_{\eta})$ . Thus a second fundamental heat flow equation can be written:

where  $[k_m]$  is a tensor of thermal conductivity data.

Experimental data also illustrate that the internal energy is dependent on temperature. Under constant volume conditions, the constant of proportionality, or slope of the temperature versus internal energy diagram, is defined as the volumetric specific heat at constant volume (C). For a system which is not undergoing a phase transition, the following equation is valid:

$$C = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial T} \qquad 3.$$

Rewriting equation 3 and placing it and equation 2 into equation 1, equation 4 is obtained:

$$C \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau} + \nabla \cdot (-[k_T] \stackrel{\neq}{\nabla} T) = 0 \qquad 4.$$

If the thermal conductivity is constant throughout the region, *i.e.* if the region is homogeneous and isotropic, and if no portion of the region is at the phase transition temperature, equation 4 can be rewritten in differential form:

$$C \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau} = k_{T} \nabla^{2} T \qquad 5a$$

or

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau} = \alpha \nabla^2 T$$
 5b

where the thermal diffusivity  $(\alpha)$  is defined as:

$$\alpha = \frac{kT}{C} \qquad 6.$$

While equations 1 through 5,

"describe the frost penetration problem in a mathematically correct fashion, exact solutions can be found only for a small number of idealized cases, due to the complex conditions of latent heat transfer and other effects." Aldrich and Paynter (1953).

Subsequent sections of this chapter discuss empirical and approximate techniques for solving problems including phase change of soil moisture.

#### ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS

Carslaw and Jaeger (1959) present solutions to many one, two, and three dimensional heat flow problems. Homogeneous isotropic materials are used in most solutions; however, some solutions are presented for layered systems. Lachenbruch (1959) developed a technique for predicting the damping of a periodic surface perturbation at different depths in a two and three layered soil system. Unidirectional heat flux was considered. Lachenbruch (1957) developed a method for estimating the three dimensional thermal regime in a homogeneous isotropic soil beneath a heated structure. None of these techniques considers phase change of the soil moisture. Neglecting the effects of latent heat of fusion (abbreviated to latent heat in the remainder of this report) of the soil moisture normally does not cause substantial error in location of frost depths provided the soils are low-moisture content materials. Differences between actual and computed thaw depths increase rapidly with increasing moisture content due to the increased volumetric heat capacity and larger latent heat of the wetter soil.

Several empirical and semi-empirical equations have been developed which consider latent heat. The Stephen Equation, equation 7, was originally developed for calculating the thickness of ice on a calm body of water, which was isothermal at the freezing temperature.

$$X_{i} = \sqrt{48k_{Ti} F/L_{i}}$$
 7.

where: X<sub>i</sub> = ice thickness, ft

kTi = thermal conductivity of ice, Btu/ft hr °F

F = freezing index, °F - days

 $L_i$  = volumetric latent heat of fusion of ice, Btu/cu ft

The Stephen equation has been modified by many individuals and agencies, and many similar equations have been developed. Some of the equations use slightly different functions or slightly different initial conditions from the original Stephen model. The most widely used equation for estimating seasonal frost and seasonal thaw depths is the modified Berggren equation developed by Aldrich and Pavnter (1953). Application of this equation has been very widespread in North America. Sanger (1963) discusses many of the variables and parameters influencing the modified Berggren equation, and the Departments of the Army and Air Force (1966) suggest using this technique to estimate seasonal thaw depths in arctic and subarctic regions. Aitken and Berg (1968) developed a computer program for calculating frost and thaw depths in layered systems using the modified Berggren equation, equation 9.

$$X = \lambda \sqrt{48 \text{ km N I/L}}$$
8.

where: X = thaw depth, ft.

k<sub>T</sub> = average thermal conductivity, Btu/ft hr °F N = an empirical constant relating air and surface thawing indexes, dimensionless

I = air thawing index, °F - days

L = latent heat, Btu/cu ft

λ = a coefficient which considers the effect of temperature changes within the soil mass. It is a function of the thawing (or freezing) index, the mean annual temperature, and the thermal properties of the soils.

An equation very similar to the Stephen Equation is currently used in the USSR to calculate the "standard" depth of freezing for foundation design purposes (Porkhaev and Zhukov, 1971). Many other closed form analytical techniques are also used in the USSR, as evidenced by Luk'yanov (1963) and Kudryavtsev (1971). Aldrich and Paynter (1953) show other equations which have been used in the USSR and elsewhere.

#### GRAPHICAL AND ANALOG METHODS

Graphical methods have also been used to calculate frost and thaw depths. The flow net technique, commonly applied to seepage problems, can be used to estimate steady-state temperature conditions. Brown (1963) presents another graphical procedure.

Analog techniques are also used to estimate frost and thaw depths. Table II shows thermal, fluid, and electric analogies. Electrical analog computers are available and are relatively low cost and reasonably simple to use. The primary disadvantages of these machines are that re-programing is normally necessary for each problem and complex geometries are difficult to simulate adequately. Hydraulic analog computers are also available. Hawk and Lamb (1963) used a small hydraulic analog computer belonging to USACRREL to study heat flow through building walls. Luk'yanov (1963)

Table	I	I
-------	---	---

•				MEDIUM			
ITEM		THERMAL		FLUID		ELECTRIC	
A - Variables (1)		Heat	μ	Volume	s	Charge density	ρ
(2)		Heat flux	ą	Flow	¢	Current density	ĵ
(3)		Temperature	Т	Head	Н	Voltage	е
B - Principles:							
Continuity	(1)	<del>∂μ</del> + <b>∛</b> • <b>q</b> = 0	)	<del>∂S</del> + ₹•₹	= 0	<u>∂ρ</u> + ∛•] =	• 0
Conductivity	(2)	<b>q</b> = -k∛T		<b>ऎ</b> = -k <b>ऎ</b> H		j̃ = -σ∛e	
Capacitance	(3)	$d\mu$ = CdT		dS = AdH		pdV = Cde	

# THERMAL - FLUID - ELECTRIC ANALOGIES

discussed a large hydraulic analog computer used in the USSR. The primary disadvantages of these computers are their complex "plumbing systems" and the necessity to reconstruct them for each problem. However, at any instant of time they exhibit graphically the temperature distribution.

### NUMERICAL TECHNIQUES

Due to greater availability of electronic digital computers, their application to numerical solutions to the continuity equation, equation 1, has increased. Numerical procedures are approximations to the partial differential equation; however, they are normally much more accurate in transient heat flow problems than the analytical techniques previously available. Computer programs have been written with sufficient flexibility to allow input of various boundary and initial conditions. Solutions to one and two dimensional problems have been obtained. Dusinberre (1961) discussed the general finite difference methods available for solving heat flow problems. With this technique explicit and implicit procedures have been applied. Since rectangular elements are normally used, complex geometries are difficult to simulate unless small element sizes are employed. The finite element technique has been developed more recently (Zienkiewicz, 1967 and 1971). Elements of various shapes can be used with this technique; however, the triangular shape is normally used in two dimensional problems. Boundaries in complex geometries can be more closely simulated using finite element procedures. For multi-dimensional flow problems the finite element procedure is frequently more efficient, i.e. requires less computer time. than the finite difference technique.

Table III is a summary of numerical methods which have been applied to heat transfer problems in soil/water systems. Twenty computer programs are currently available. Others undoubtedly have been developed but similar information concerning them has not been published. Three finite element programs and 17 finite difference programs are available. The explicit procedure has been used in ten of the finite difference programs and five have used the implicit procedure. Solutions to one dimensional problems can be obtained from all but one of the programs and radial or two dimensional solutions can be obtained from nearly one-half of them. None of the programs has been written to solve three dimensional problems directly. All of the programs have been written to accept homogeneous soil systems and most allow layered systems. Only the three finite element programs and the McDonnell-Douglas finite difference program allow non-layered, nonhomogeneous soils. Dow Chemical Company's finite element program will accept anisotropic materials. Several types of upper boundary conditions have been used and nearly all of the programs allow more than one type. Normally a constant temperature is used for the lower boundary condition; however, some programs allow a variable temperature or heat flux at the lower boundary. In most programs the initial temperature distribution is specified. Only one of the programs assumes an initial uniform temperature distribution. All except one of the programs include consideration of latent heat and several allow for unfrozen moisture near the freezing front. All except one of the computer programs permit the thermal conductivity and volumetric heat capacity to vary with temperature. In several of the programs, however, these two properties vary with the state of the soil moisture rather than temperature; i.e., they are functions
|--|

#### Numerical Methods Applied to Rear Transfer in Soll-Pater System

Ту		724	•	Dimension			20.		503	1		aou en:	per nda 1et	5	20 20 Cer	204 423	r ary lai		Ini Con cia	5. 11- 11-	_	71	her	rai rti				ii Tra Yec	eat nsi	er		50	Typ of	• Len		
-		Fini Dit	ř.					-	Isc	otro	1 <b>0</b> 10			sture			ture	ture		sture	rature			tesp.	rup.	terp.	- 20	2	lass Flux	i.			f De			
K134	Date	Explicit	Puplicit	Finite Liccont	One Discasional	Endini	Two Discontional	Three Blacustons	Ilseofencous	Layered	Run-Insagencous	And soft of Sc	Afr temporationed	Programed Longer	Surface heat the	Constant terper-	Perfodic temper-	Variable terper-	liest flux	Constant tempor	Specified tespe-	Latent lient	Volyoven solsen	E constant with	K waries with t	C constant with	C varies with t	Variat	Vertical liquid	Nor. Ifraid	Conduction	Redistion	Torrerature pro	32° F Isothera	Tabular	Graphical
Hashezi & Sliepcevich	1965		x		z	х	x		x	x			x		x			х	x		x	x	x	_	x		x		1	x	1		x	x	L	×
Carroll, Schenck, & Williams	1946	x			x	i.			x	x			х	}	x	x					x	x			x		x	i			x		x		x	L.,
Despacy & Thompson	1969	x		1	x				x	x			x		x	x					x	×			x		х				x		x	x	x	
50	1969		x		x		x	Γ	x	x			x	x		x					x	x	×		x		x				x		x	x	x	
Doherty	1970	×				Γ.	x		x					x		x					x	x			x	[					x		x		x	
McDonnell-Douglas	1971	x	Γ	-	x	x	x		x	х	×		x	x	x	x					x	x	x		x		x	1		[	x		x	x	x	x
Nakane & Brown	1971	1	x	1	x	Г	ļ	1	x	x	1	ĺ.	x	x	1	x		1			z	x	x		x		x	1			x		x	x	x	
Zal'Ken	1971	x	Γ		x	x	x	1	x	11			x	x		x			1	1	1	×			x		x		i.		x		x	x	x	
Serg & McDougall	1572	x		1	x			1	x	x	1		x	x	Ι.	×					x	x		x		x					x		x	x	łx.	x
Christison & Anderson	1972	x	Γ	1	x	Γ	Γ	1	x	ļχ	i	i	ĺ.	į	x	x			ļ		x	x	x		χ		x		i.		x	1	11	1	1	L
Daw Chamical Company <sup>2</sup>	1972	x		x	x	x	x	1	x	Ā	x	x	z	×	ä	x	x	! x	x	x	x	x	1		x		x				x	L	x	x	1x	
Goodrich	1572	x			x		Γ	1	x	x	1	1	x	i.	1	1	1	x	x		x	x	х		x		x			!	x		x	x	1x	lx.
Harlan .	1972	1	x	1	x				x	İ	1			x	Γ	x		1		x		×	x		x	1	h.		x		×	1	1		1	
Rwang, Murray, 4 Brooker	1972	1	Γ	x	x	x	x	1	x	x	x		x		lx.	x		1	x	1	x	x	x		x		x	1	1	Γ	x		!x	x	x	
Meyer, Xaller, & Couch	1972	1	x	Į.	x	-	-	1	x	x	1		1	x		x		Г	Γ	1	x	z			x	1	x	T	Γ	T	x	Γ	T	x	T	x
Yohan	1972	1	Γ	z	14	X	x	1	×	x	x	1	z	1	1	x	Γ	1	1	1	x	x	ļ		×	1	x	Γ	1	Τ	x		1x	x	×	Ī
Williamson	1972	x	Γ	Г	1	1	Т	1	x	X	1	ŗ	Ì,	ſ	! x	x	ŗ	1	l	1	x		!	ł	×	1	x	Τ	T	Γ	x	Г	1:	Τ	1.	Γ
Esso Production Res.	1970	1	x	Ī	İ	x	x	1	x	x	x	1	į,	x	×	x	Γ	Γ	x	1	x	x	x	Ī	x	ł	x	Ī	Γ	Γ	x	Γ	x	x	x	1×
Chivang	1967	X	1	1	i	1	i z	1	12	x	13	1	1x	12	1	X	i	ì	1	ì	×	jx	ł	1	X	1	I	Г	Т	T	I	T	T	T	Ix	T

HOTES: 1 Not stated or unclear. 2 Dow Chanical Company has two programs.

22

of whether the material is frozen or thawed. Heat transfer by conduction is used in all programs and two allow for mass flux. Types of solutions or types of output varied considerably and all of the programs provide more than one type of information.

## PROPOSED MODEL

Ideally mathematically exact analytical equations could be derived to predict the time-dependent thermal and moisture regimes in embankments. However, the mathematics become complex and cumbersome when non-homogeneous, anisotropic, two-dimensional problems involving simultaneous heat and mass transfer are considered. Further complications are introduced when moveable boundaries to consider thaw consolidation and/or frost heaving are introduced. Additionally, several parameters are dependent on the thermal and/or moisture regimes. Due to these complications, the proposed model deviates from a mathematically exact one in that empirical and semiempirical methods and approximation techniques, *i.e.* numerical methods, are employed.

The basic difference between most numerical methods summarized in the preceding section and the one proposed in this section is that the proposed model combines heat and mass flux and allows heaving and/or consolidation. Frost heaving and thaw consolidation are visible results of mass flux; changes in the subsurface thermal regime due to mass flux are less obvious. Although the author believes that the proposed model is a significant improvement over most existing ones, no instances attributing mass flux to differences between thaw depths computed from conduction models and measured

thaw depths have been documented. There are several reasons for the lack of documentation, but the three most important are (1) lack of instrumentation to adequately monitor in-situ moisture conditions over a period of time; (2) application of closed-form solutions which provide only maximum seasonal thaw depths, *i.e.* thermal properties can be "refined" to provide the desired correlation between calculated and measured data; and (3) moisture migration may not be a significant factor in many situations.

Only mass flux in the liquid phase is considered in the proposed model. Harlan (1972) and Jumikis (1967) state that mass transport in the vapor phase is considerably less than moisture movement in the liquid phase via capillaries and film flow. Heat transfer by radiation is not considered due to the relatively small temperature gradient normally present in soils. The void spaces are also generally small, thus radiating surfaces have small temperature differentials between them.

Only the constitutive equations for the proposed model are presented in this dissertation. Relatively few attempts have been made to couple heat and mass transport in porous media. Due to the interdependence and/or non-linearity of several parameters, development of an operational computer program will be a time-consuming and perplexing process. Development of the program was beyond the scope of this study.

Preceding portions of this chapter provide sources of information concerning the thermal properties and heat flux aspects of the proposed model. Various methods have been used by different authors.

Freeze (1967) presented a summary of "Available numerical solutions to one-dimensional, vertical, unsaturated, unsteady flow problems" and

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Jumikis (1967), Harlan (1972), and Hoekstra (1972) investigated moisture movement during the freezing process. The thermodynamic free energy concept discussed in detail by Knight (1967) and Low, Anderson, and Hoekstra (1966 and 1967) may be the most simple method of estimating the unfrozen moisture content and latent heat in this ephemeral system.

Equation 9 is similar to that presented in Bird, Stewart, and Lightfoot (1960) except that the porosity has been added and the viscous dissipation components have been neglected. It is valid for a non-rigid, nonhomogeneous, anisotropic porous medium with no sources or sinks. It is the two-dimensional equation in terms of the transport properties in a porous medium:

$$\rho c \left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau} + n(v_X \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v_Z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z})\right] = k_{TX} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + k_{TZ} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \qquad 9.$$

where

ρ = density, lb/cu ft
c = specific heat, Btu/lb °F
T = temperature, °F
τ = time, hr
n = porosity, dimensionless

$$v_{\rm X},\;v_{\rm Z}$$
 = fluid velocities in the x and z directions, respectively, ft/hr

 $k_{\rm Tx},~k_{\rm Tz}$  = thermal conductivities in the x and z directions, respectively, Btu/ft hr °F

Equation 10 is the two-dimesional continuity equation describing moisture movement in an anisotropic, heterogeneous porous media with no sources or sinks.

$$\frac{\partial (k_{\Phi X} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (k_{\Phi Z} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Z})}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau}$$
 10.

 $\Phi$  = total head, ft

- $\psi$  = pore water pressure, ft
- hg = gravitational head, ft
- w = moisture content, %
- $\tau$  = time, hr
- $k_{\varphi_X}$  and  $k_{\varphi_Z}$  are coefficients of permeability in the x and z directions respectively, ft/hr

One-dimensional conolidation theory was developed from equation 10 by Terzaghi (Taylor, 1948), and De Wiest (1965) developed a threedimensional equation for estimating one-dimensional consolidation of an aquifer. The possibility that thaw consolidation may deviate considerably from the classical one-dimensional consolidation theory was discussed by Aldrich and Paynter (1953). Morgenstern and Nixon (1971) and Crory (1973) developed analytical methods for estimating thaw consolidation in soils. Moisture movement and frost heaving during freezing periods must also be considered in the proposed model. Work by Jumikis (1967), Harlan (1972), and Hoekstra (1972) was previously discussed.

Although the equations presented above are readily adaptable to numerical methods, practical considerations will complicate coupling and programming. The complications will arise primarily from interdependence and/or non-linearity of several parameters.

Further development of the proposed numerical model is not within the objectives of this investigation. However, in Chapter IV a one-dimensional finite differencing technique is used to illustrate the capability of numerical methods for design applications. Several of the simplifying assumptions necessitated for the design examples therein could be more satisfactorily considered by developing and applying the proposed model.

Figure 6 illustrates the boundary conditions, initial conditions, and constitutive equations for the proposed model.



Figure 6 Boundary conditions, initial conditions and constitutive equations for proposed model.

28

#### CHAPTER III

#### THERMOINSULATING MATERIALS

The thermoinsulating material used in a specified embankment may be chosen by optimizing several parameters, including:

- Thermal conductivity (retention of low values desirable).
- 2. Strength (retention of high values desirable).
- Property degradation (minimal reduction due to environmental conditions desirable).
- 4. Economics (low cost desirable).

In addition to the cost of the thermoinsulating material, other prime cost considerations are: placement rate, membrane costs, sub base preparation, backfill precautions, and forming for the insulating layer. Incorporation of thermoinsulating materials into embankments may permit savings of other materials, reduced environmental damage, and reduced construction time. The life cycle cost, *i.e.* initial cost plus maintenance costs, etc., of an insulated embankment may be less than that of an uninsulated embankment.

The list of thermoinsulating materials in Appendix B contains more than 60 items. However, only the 13 materials listed in Table IV have been used in insulated embankments. Composite materials have been manufactured from those listed in Appendix B; for example, item 3 in Table IV used a higher strength material over a lower strength material for load distribution. Other techniques for strengthening the insulating layer include

## Table IV

Insulating materials used in embankment construction.

- 1. Cell concrete.
- 2. Cellular glass blocks.
- Composite polystyrene beads with cement binder and molded polystyrene boards.
- 4. Expanded clay unbound and bound with bitumen or cement.
- 5. Expanded shale unbound and bound with bitumen or cement.
- 6. Insulating asphalt.
- 7. Mineral wool.
- 8. Polystyrene molded boards and extruded boards.
- 9. Polyurethane boards and spray-in-place.
- 10. Polystyrene beads with cement binder.
- 11. Polystyrene beads with sulfur binder.
- 12. Sulfur spray-in-place.
- 13. Wood chips, logs, and bark.

incorporating the material into a paper, plastic, or metal honeycomb. Fiber reinforcement may also be incorporated into the insulating material for added strength. Reichard (1972) discusses the physical properties of honeycomb materials which have been used in building construction. Kritz and Wechsler (1967) discussed the use of honeycomb materials for roadway embankments. Cement and sulfur have been mixed with polystyrene beads to make a relatively high strength, low thermal conductivity material, and both bitumen and cement have been added to expanded clay materials to increase their strength. It is possible that sulfur, bitumen, or cement can be used with other loose-fill materials to provide insulating layers suitable for incorporation into embankments.

"One-way" insulators are attractive for embankments where subgrade temperatures are slightly below 32° F. The heat pipe principle could be used. Two types are available. The first type operates by convection and its function is due to natural convection caused by density differences between warmer and colder zones in the working fluid. The second type is a two-phase system and operates by vaporization and condensation of the working fluid. Heat pipes function only when their upper surface is colder than their lower surface, and no valves are necessary for their operation. The heat pipes would not operate during the summer months but during the winter months they would remove additional heat from beneath the insulating layer. The geometric arrangement of the heat pipes would be controlled by their heat removal rate and also by the quantity of heat to be removed. This is a new concept and has not been tested in embankments.

## RHEOLOGICAL STUDIES

Rheological studies of insulating materials can be categorized into two groups depending on the mode of failure. The more rigid brittle materials fail by fracture in unconfined compression, whereas failure. *i.e.* the unconfined compressive strength, is defined at some arbitrary deformation for cellular plastics. For embankment materials the deformation is normally 5% or 10% strain based on the original thickness. ASTM Standard D 1621-64, "Compressive Strength of Rigid Cellular Plastics". states that the compressive strength should be determined at 10% deformation unless a maximum load occurs before that time. Figure 7 shows the variation of compressive strength with density for molded polystyrene and polyurethane. Figure 8 shows compressive strength versus density of selected higher density materials which can be used for embankment insulation. Ferrigno (1963) and Mark. et al. (1965). contain information on other lightweight plastic materials. Data in Figure 7 indicate that the compressive strength of polystyrene and polyurethane vary widely at a given density. In discussing the two-component polyurethane materials used in a test road near Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, Knight and Condo (1971) state,

> "A typical polyol master batch may have as many as 15 additives to develop the special properties desired. By changing the base material of the polyol, the strength, closed cell content, and thermal properties can be greatly varied."

Ferrigno (1963) suggests that the variation in compressive strength of the molded polystyrene materials is caused by the manufacturing processes of the materials. He also states that the strength properties of the extruded polystyrene are considerably different from those of the molded









material. At a given density the extruded materials normally have higher compressive strengths than the molded ones.

The behavior of thermoinsulating materials when subjected to repetitive dynamic loading is of interest if the material will be used in embankments subjected to vehicular traffic. Williams (1968), Weil (1969), Saetersdal (1971), and Knight (1972) describe laboratory devices for conducting tests of this type. They also reported test results. Williams and Saetersdal used pistons moving vertically in applying loads to the samples. Equipment used by Saetersdal produced a step function and Williams stated that his device could apply either a step function or a sinusoidal stress function to the top of the sample. The device described by Weil consisted of a lever whose vertical movement was controlled by an offcentered circular cam, and the device used by Knight applied a hydraulic load to the surface of a simulated granular embankment containing the insulating materials. Williams (1968) noted that results of laboratory studies do not necessarily reflect the behavior of a material in actual roadways. His laboratory results indicated that short loading cycles of the same magnitude as longer loading pulses resulted in greater permanent deformation. He also stated that the application of a confining pressure increased the deformation after a given number of load cycles.

Representative results of all four studies are shown in Figure 9. One material, 2.1 lb/cu ft extruded polystyrene, was used in three of the tests. Saetersdal applied an 8.5 psi stress to the samples, and his data indicated that after approximately one million load cycles little additional permanent deformation occurred through three million load cycles.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.





Williams used a 10 psi stress and his results indicated that the deformation after one million load cycles was slightly greater than that observed by Saetersdal after a similar number of cycles. The deflection observed by Knight after one million load cycles was approximately three times greater than that observed by Saetersdal. Knight used a 22.5 psi peak load which is approximately equivalent to 1/2 of the compressive strength of the material. The peak stress applied in tests reported by Saetersdal was less than 1/3 of the compressive strength of the material. These data indicate that as the maximum stress increases, the permanent deflection also increases after a given number of load cycles.

Various types of loading tests have also been conducted on full scale field test sections. Joseph, Jackson, and Rosser (1971) reported the use of thick cellular plastic layers as load distributing media over low-load bearing capacity soils. A polypropylene membrane was placed over the cellular plastic material and trafficking was conducted immediately on the polypropylene. They report that the equation developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for estimating the thickness of flexible pavements can be used to estimate the thickness of cellular plastic material over a weak subgrade. The insulating potential of the cellular plastic materials was not of concern in these tests. Smith, Berg, and Muller (1973) discuss somewhat similar tests conducted near Fairbanks, Alaska. Insulating materials were used to reduce thaw into ice-rich subgrade soils and metal or glass-fiber matting was placed on the insulating layers. Vehicular traffic was imposed on the matting.

Andersson, *et al* (1972) present results of repetitive plate loading tests on insulated and uninsulated test sections in Sweden. Their data are summarized in Table V. The insulated sections showed greater permanent deformation than did the uninsulated sections after a similar number of loading cycles. Their "crack index", defined in Table V, determined prior to conducting the dynamic loading tests also indicated that degradation of the insulated sections had progressed more rapidly than degradation of the uninsulated sections.

The Maine State Highway Commission (1965) and Schneider (1969) conducted Benkelman beam studies at various times of the year on insulated and uninsulated roadways. In the Maine studies the thickness of pavement and base above the insulating layer varied. Table VI contains a summary of these data taken prior to opening the roadway to traffic. Prior to spring thaw deflections in the uninsulated section ranged from 0.011 to about 0.017 inches. Deflections of the insulated pavement with approximately 29 inches of pavement and base above the insulation were approximately equivalent to those in the uninsulated section. The insulated section with only 21 inches of pavement and base above the insulating layer deflected approximately 0.003 to 0.004 inches more than the other two sections. During spring breakup deflections in the uninsulated section nearly doubled, while those from the insulated sections increased only slightly. Discussing these same test sections three years later, Bigelow (1968) stated,

> "Visual inspection of the pavement indicated that there are now more cracks in all three sections of this project than were found in previous years, but there are fewer cracks in the insulated sections than in the uninsulated section."

#### Table V

#### PERMANENT PAVEMENT DEFLECTION DUE TO REPETITIVE LUADING FROM ANDERSSUN, ORGUM AND KINGSTRUM(1972)

79	ST	CRACK			NUMBER OF L	GAD CYCLES		
SEC	TION	THDEX	' 5	· 10	100	1000	5000	8000
					DEFLECTIO	N, INCHES		
	1	1	.03	.06	.09	.14	.16	.17
	2	0	.04	.06	•11	•20	40	.47
	3	2	.05	.05	- 12	.22	.50	.63
	4	4	.09	-11	.18	.35	.64	
	5	-	.04	.10	.20	.36	.51	.57

NOTES THE CYCLLC STREES VAS GOVERNINGTELY 05 751. THE CACL TORS ACCE VAS GOVERNING STITM AN INCLASED AVENENT EDUKL TO 0 AND A PAVENENT CONNECTLY USINGTON AY CAARS SAULT 05 THE LOADING CAVE WAID STREETLY USINGTON AY CAARS SAULT 05 THE LOADING WAIT AND STREETLY A TRIANGULAR SHAPE AND THE LOADING WAIT WAS GOVERNING PAIRS TO REPETITIVE LOADING TEST.

PAVENE	NT CROSS SE	CT LUNS**	(THICK	NESS IN INCH	ES)	
EST	ASPHALTIC	BITUMEN	GRAVEL	INSULATI	NG LAYER	
SECTION	CONCRETE	80010	B A SE	THECKNESS	TYPE	
	PAVENEN1	GRAVEL				
1	1.6	0.0	9.8	0.0	UNINSULATED	
2	1.6	4.7	3.9	0.0	UNTINSULATED	
3	1.6	4.7	3.9	1.6	EXTRUDED PO	LYSTYRENE
4	1.6	4.7	3.9	3.1	EXTRUDED PO	LYSTYRENE
5	1.6	4.7	3.9	7.9	EXPANDED CL	AY

#### Table VI

#### AVERAGE RENKELMAN BEAM DEFLECTIONS ON DIFFERENT DATES FROM MAINE STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION(1965)

DATE OF	TEST	. AVER	AGE BENKELMAN	DEFLECTION(INCHES	5)
TESTS	SECTION	TRAVEL	LANE	PASSING	5 LANE
		DUTER	INNER	INNER	OUTER
		WHEEL PATH	WHEEL PATH	WHEEL PATH	WHEEL PATH
11-10-64	A	.018	.016	.018	.017
11-10-64	8	.016	.016	.015	.018
11-10-64	c	.016	.015	.015	.015
03-04-65	A	.020	.013	.018	.018
03-04-65	6	.011	.008	.013	.013
03-04-65	ċ	.012	.011	.011	.012
03-11-65	Ā	.019	.017	.019	.017
03-11-65	в	.016	.016	.016	.016
03-11-65	č	.016	.013	.015	.019
04-07-65	Å	.0.21	.020	.022	.021
04-07-65	8	.020	.018	.022	•022
04-07-65	c	.028	.025	.029	.037
04-20-65	Ā	.021	.018	.021	.019
04-20-65	8	.019	+019	.018	.020
04-20-25	· č	.030	.024	.025	.031
05-04-65	Å	.025	.021	.021	.022
05-04-65	8	.0.21	.020	.020	.023
05-06-65	č	-025	.024	.026	.036
06=03=65	Ă	.021	.023	.020	.022
06-03-65		-022	.021	.020	.021
26-03-65	č	.021	.019	.023	.027

NOTES ROAD NOT OPEN TO TRAFFIC UNTIL FALL OF 1965. EACH VALUE IS AN AVERAGE OF 12 TO 16 TEST POINTS.

	PAVENE	NT CROSS SEC	T10%S** (1	HICKNESS	IN INCHE	51		
T	EST	BITURIDOUS	ASPHALT	GRAVEL	GRAVEL	SAND	INSUL 4	TING LAYER
ŝ	ECTION	CUNCRETE	STABIL 12FD	SURFACE	BASE	BASE	THICK-	TYPE
		PAVEMENT	BASE					
	A	3.0	4.0	1.0	7.0	6.0	1.5	EXTRUDED
	в	3.0	4.0	1.0	15.0	6.0	1.5	PULYSTYRENE
	Ċ.	3.0	4.0	1.9	17.0	6.0	0.0	UNINSULATED

Variables in the study reported by Schneider (1969) included thickness of pavement and base above the insulation, density of the insulation, and height of insulation. Results are summarized in Table VII. The test sections containing the low-density, molded polystyrene boards under only 12 inches of pavement and base, showed deflections nearly double those from the control section. When this same insulating material was covered with approximately 22 inches of pavement and base, the surface deflections were only slightly greater than those in the control section. In the test section containing the higher density molded polystyrene boards covered by 12 inches of pavement and base, the surface deflection was less than 20% greater than that of the control section. When 22 inches of payement and base were used over this material, the deflections were roughly equivalent to those in the uninsulated control section. In the test sections containing polystyrene beads bound by cement, the deflections were greater than those observed in the adjacent control section. No granular base was used in either of these two sections, and in the higher density section (section 10) the bituminous pavement was placed immediately on the insulating laver.

The US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) has recently installed insulated test sections and subjected them to simulated heavy aircraft loadings (Hutchinson, 1972). Testing was recently completed and results are unavailable. Figures 10 and 11, from Hutchinson (1972), illustrate the sections which were tested. The Styropour referred to in the figures is composed of polystyrene beads bound by Portland Cement; extruded polystyrene materials were used.

#### Table VII

# DEFLECTION OF INSULATED AND UNINSULATED BITUMINOUS PAVEMENTS FROM SCHNEIDER(1969)

	PEPCE	NT OF	95FL	661103	N IN	CONTR	OL SI	ECTION	1		
	TEST SECTION NUMBER										
1	z	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
100	186	149	99	112	109	92	100	129	180		
1.00	215	175	107	120	128	100	100	140	182		
100	186	145	197	118	126	108	100	145	248		
IN TH	e tes	T SEC		(INC	HE S )						
3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	2.8	2.8	2.8		
5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.1	3.9	0.0		
12.8	2.0	5.9	11.8	2.0	5.9	11.8	15.8	0.0	0.0		
0.	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.	3.2	4.7		
••					••••						
-	9.8	5.9	0.0	9.8	5.9	0.0	-	0.0	0.0		
	101	000		VOENE	80.41	205		POLV	TYPEN		
	2000		0.131		0.014			BEAD	TN P		
			1 2	3 0		2 0	-	31 8	35.0		
	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	100 186 130 215 100 186 1N THE TES 3.9 3.9 5.9 5.9 12.8 2.0 0. 1.0 - 9.8 MDL - 1.3	100 126 145 100 125 175 100 186 145 1* THE TEST SEC 3.0 3.9 3.9 5.9 5.9 5.9 12.8 2.0 5.9 0. 1.0 1.0 - 9.8 5.9 MOLDON - 1.3 1.3	100 186 140 99 100 215 175 107 100 186 145 197 101 186 145 197 103 9.9 3.9 3.9 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.9 12.6 2.0 5.9 11.8 0. 1.0 1.0 1.0 9.8 5.9 3.0 MOLDID PELYST - 1.3 1.3 1.3	100 186 140 99 112 100 215 175 107 120 100 185 145 107 118 11 115 145 107 118 11 115 145 107 118 11 115 145 107 118 11 115 157 118 12 15 157 118 12 15 157 118 12 15 157 118 12 15 157 157 158 13 15 158 15 15 158 15 15 158 15 15 158 15 158	100         180         100         91         110           100         166         145         107         118         120           100         166         145         107         118         120           100         166         145         107         118         120           100         166         145         107         118         120           100         140         140         140         140         140           100         140         140         140         140         140           100         140         140         140         140         140         140           100         140         140         140         140         140         140         140           100         140<	100         180         100         91         110         100 <td>100         185         143         39         112         103         100<td>100         186         143         99         112         108         92         100         160           100         186         145         197         118         126         100         160           100         186         145         197         118         126         100         160           100         145         197         118         126         108         100         145           1.0         3.0         3.0         3.4         3.4         3.4         3.9         3.6         3.9         1.8         1.5         1.3         1.3         3.9         5.9         5.9         5.9         5.1         3.9           12.8         2.0         5.9         1.6         5.9         5.9         5.1         3.9         3.2</td></td>	100         185         143         39         112         103         100 <td>100         186         143         99         112         108         92         100         160           100         186         145         197         118         126         100         160           100         186         145         197         118         126         100         160           100         145         197         118         126         108         100         145           1.0         3.0         3.0         3.4         3.4         3.4         3.9         3.6         3.9         1.8         1.5         1.3         1.3         3.9         5.9         5.9         5.9         5.1         3.9           12.8         2.0         5.9         1.6         5.9         5.9         5.1         3.9         3.2</td>	100         186         143         99         112         108         92         100         160           100         186         145         197         118         126         100         160           100         186         145         197         118         126         100         160           100         145         197         118         126         108         100         145           1.0         3.0         3.0         3.4         3.4         3.4         3.9         3.6         3.9         1.8         1.5         1.3         1.3         3.9         5.9         5.9         5.9         5.1         3.9           12.8         2.0         5.9         1.6         5.9         5.9         5.1         3.9         3.2		

41







Figure 11 Rigid insulated pavement test sections at WES, from Hutchinson (1972).

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

In insulated embankments pavement failure can be controlled by proper design. Either the insulating layer can be placed at a sufficiently deep embedment or the asphalt pavement thickness can be increased. In North America most of the paved roadways and runways over permafrost are in Alaska. This may change radically in the future, however, and Baker (1971) states,

> "Although for many years the traveling public accepted gravel roads, it is now apparent that with the influx of people who are familiar with asphalt or concrete roads an even greater demand is being expressed to improve existing road surfaces. This must be accepted and consequently, it may not be too far in the future when all the trunk roads in the Yukon will be paved just as they now are in the State of Alaska."

#### THERMAL PROPERTIES

Most important of the thermal properties of candidate materials for embankment construction is the thermal conductivity. A low thermal conductivity is desirable. Ferrigno (1963) stated that the insulating efficiency depends upon many factors, including: the structure, environment, thickness, aging history, and composition of the material.

Approximate thermal conductivity values are listed for all of the materials in Appendix B. Figure 12 illustrates the effect of density on the thermal conductivity of polystyrene and polyurethane foams. The values shown for polyurethane are the "aged" values for the material. For a time after manufacture (several days to several months, depending upon the environmental conditions and types of "skins" on the surfaces) the thermal conductivity of polyurethanes increases. Landrock (1969) states,

"It is not the loss of the fluorocarbon through the cell walls, but rather the diffusion of atmospheric gases into the foam, with resultant dilution of the fluorocarbon, that causes the drift in K-factor."





The drift continues until the partial pressures of gases in the cells and in the atmosphere are at equilibrium. Ferrigno (1963) shows data indicating that a one-inch sample of urethane kept in a 140° F environment reached its "aged" thermal conductivity value in approximately 120 days. A lower temperature in the environment surrounding the sample would have increased the time required to reach the "aged" condition. The aging process of urethane foams can be essentially eliminated by placing the material between impervious membranes such as high-density plastic or metal skins. Ferrigno also indicates that the formulation of the polymer and the method of manufacture of the material also influence its aging characteristics. Most other insulating materials do not exhibit this prolonged aging process.

Relationships between thermal conductivity and density for higherdensity materials are shown in Figure 13. Two curves are shown for the cement, sand, and polystyrene bead material. The lower curve is for use in low-humidity conditions and the upper curve is suggested for use when high-humidity conditions are encountered. The behavior of this material is probably typical of lightweight cement and asphalt-bound materials. They tend to have a rather porous structure and when subjected to humid conditions, the voids tend to fill with moisture and thus the thermal conductivity increases. The magnitude of this increase is dependent upon the structure of the material.

The thermal conductivity of insulating materials normally decreases with decreasing temperature. Figure 14 illustrates this behavior for several materials. Data from this figure are for dry materials; however, if the materials contain substantial amounts of moisture, an increase in

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.







Figure 14 Temperature-thermal conductivity relationships for insulating materials, from Landrock (1969).

thermal conductivity may occur at the freezing point of moisture within the structure of the material. The thermal conductivity of polyurethanes differs from the others because between approximately  $*40^{\circ}$  F and  $-40^{\circ}$  F, the thermal conductivity increases slightly.

The quantity of moisture in an insulating material may have a significant influence on its thermal conductivity. Figure 15 illustrates the increase in thermal conductivity due to moisture absorption for polyurethane, molded polystyrene, and extruded polystyrene. Equations relating volumetric moisture content and thermal conductivity for the polvurethane and extruded polystyrene materials were presented by Levy (1966). Both were linear relationships and lines obtained from the equations are shown in Figure 15. Lines obtained by Saetersdal for molded polystyrene and Levy for extruded polystyrene are the same. Joy (1957) presents similar data for three other insulating materials. He does not indicate, however, what materials he tested. He shows curves similar to those in Figure 15 for the three samples, above freezing and below freezing. In general, the thermal conductivity values below freezing are slightly higher than those above freezing. Data in Figure 13 illustrate a significant increase in thermal conductivity of a cement, sand, and polystyrene bead mixture when placed in a humid environment.

Figure 16 illustrates the change in thermal conductivity versus years of service for Dow Chemical Company's Styrofoam HI, an extruded polystyrene. Data indicate that the thermal conductivity may be increasing very slowly with age. It should be noted, however, that in nearly all laboratory and field studies conducted to date, Styrofoam HI has absorbed considerably less moisture than any of the other insulating materials. This point will





Influence of moisture on the thermal conductivity of polyurethane, molded polystyrene and extruded polystyrene.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



Figure 16 Thermal conductivity vs. years of service for highway installations using Styrofoam HI, from Mackey (1973).

be discussed more thoroughly in subsequent portions of this chapter. One would therefore expect that the rate of increase in thermal conductivity of Styrofoam HI is less than that of other materials unless they are protected with an impervious membrane. Discussing test sections after three years of service near Anchorage, Alaska, Esch (1971) stated,

> "Thermal analysis indicates a tendency toward increases in thermal conductivity of the foamed-in-place urethane insulation layer with time, in spite of the asphalt coatings used before and after insulation placement."

He stated that the thermal conductivity of the urethane layers apparently increased by at least 10-20% during the first three years of use.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

Most materials listed in Appendix B are inert to the chemicals and bacteria normally found in soil water. Assuming that the thermal insulating layer has been designed for adequate strength or is buried at sufficient depth to sustain the loadings imposed, the most potentially dangerous environmental effect is that of moisture absorption by the insulating material.

Most insulating materials absorb moisture unless protected by an impervious membrane. Some materials, however, absorb significantly greater amounts of moisture than do others. The most important result of moisture intrusion into the insulating material is increased thermal conductivity. Figure 15 illustrated the effect of increased moisture on the thermal conductivity for three materials. Relatively small volumes of moisture increase the thermal conductivity significantly in these cellular plastic materials. Two additional points concerning Figure 15 must be stressed.

(1) Although the volumes of moisture absorbed and the volumetric moisture contents are relatively small, moisture contents on a dry weight basis are large due to the low density of the materials. (2) The initial thermal conductivity of polyurethane is considerably lower than those for extruded polystyrene or molded polystyrene. Thus, although data from Levy indicate a much steeper curve for polyurethane than Saetersdal determined for molded polystyrene, the thermal conductivity for moist polyurethane may be lower than that for moist molded polystyrene. Similarly, the thermal conductivity of moist polyurethane will be lower than that of moist extruded polystyrene up to some particular moisture content which can be computed.

Other potentially dangerous problems of moisture within the insulating layer are those of rupture of the cell walls or cell separation during freezing. Cell walls in most lightweight plastic materials are sufficiently elastic to allow the expansion of water upon freezing without rupturing. In more rigid materials such as cellular glass or cell concrete, cell walls may be ruptured by freeze-thaw cycles. Rupture of the cell walls may cause a decrease in the compressive strength and an increase in the thermal conductivity of the material.

Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967) summarized results of laboratory freezethaw cycles conducted at USACRREL. Materials included in these studies are listed in Table VIII. Each test specimen was five inches square by two inches thick. Two specimens of each material were included in the tests. One sample of each material was removed after 15 freeze-thaw cycles and the freeze-thaw tests were terminated after 30 cycles. For the freezethaw cycling tests nine specimens were placed in each tray with 1/16 to

#### Table VIII

#### MATERIAL SOURCES, TYPES AND DENSITIES FRUM KAPLAR AND WIESELOUIST(1967)

TRADE NAME	MANIFACTURES	TYPE	AVERAGE DENSITY.	140 EDED
			SAMPLES	SAMPLES
ARMALITE	ARMSTRONG	MOLDED PS	0.89	0.84
FDANGLAS	OWENS-CORNENG	CELLJLAP GLASS	8.66	9.26
HONEYEDAM	SERVICE PROD.	EXTRIDED PS	1.68	1.64
SCOREBOARD	DOM CHEM. CO.	EXTPUSED PS	2.51	2.54
STYRDEDAM CB	DOW CHEY. CO.	EXTRUDED PS	1.94	2.04
STYROFOAN HD-1	DOW CHEN. CO.	EXTRUDED PS	2.91	2.88
STYRDEDAM HD-2	DOW CHEM. CO.	EXTRUDED PS	4.42	4.45
URETHANE 200	UNION ASBESTOS	URETHANE BOARD	2.24	2.25

NOTE (1) DENSITIES ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF MATERIALS USED IN 7-DAY TESTS TO DETERMINE EFFECTS OF PRESSURE ALSO.

. inc.

ς.

ì ..

54

1/8 inch of water surrounding each specimen. The sides of the large trays were insulated to establish one-dimensional freezing and thawing of the samples. Maximum heat flow was perpendicular to the 5" x 5" faces of the samples. Usually the trays containing the samples were placed in a cold room at -10° F in the morning and removed that afternoon. The trays were then left in the laboratory environment at about 70° F overnight. After the specimen had undergone the desired number of freeze-thaw cycles, it was removed, the edges trimmed, and sectioned into approximately 1/4 inch thick pieces. The volumetric moisture content of each piece was then determined. Figure 17 illustrates sectioning of the samples, and Table IX shows the moisture distribution within the samples upon completion of the freeze-thaw studies. Moisture contents for the outer surfaces are not shown in Table IX. The amount of moisture in these areas is controlled by the surface characteristics rather than the structure of the material. Data from the interior of the samples as shown in Table IX are felt to be more indicative of the performance of the materials. Armalite and Foamglas performed much more poorly than other samples in this study. One of the Foamglas samples fractured prior to reaching 30 freeze-thaw cycles and after only 15 freeze-thaw cycles the moisture content on the upper surface was very high, indicating that several of the cell walls had been ruptured, allowing moisture to intrude into the sample. Moisture distribution through the Armalite was more uniform but very substantial. The volumetric moisture content of the urethane samples was also relatively high. The moisture content of this material increased considerably between 15 and 30 freezethaw cycles. None of the Styrofoam or Scoreboard materials absorbed



Figure 17 Sectioning samples for moisture distribution studies, from Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967).
# Table IX

### MOISTURE DISTRIBUTION AFTER FREEZE-THAW TESTS Landatory test results From Kaplar and Wieselouist(1967)

MATERIAL	NUMBER		VULI	JHETRIC	MOISTUR	LE CUNTI	ENT, PE	RCENT		
	OF		SECTION NUMBER							
	CYCLES	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	AVE	
ARMAL ITE	15	10.1	15.4	13.3	9.8	9.4	11.8	13.8	11.9	
	30	37.1	36.5	31.0	26.7	23.0	26.5	39.8	31.5	
FOAMGLAS	15	48.1	36.6	4.4	1.1	1.3	0.4	0.5	13.2	
	30	SAM	PLE FRAG	TURED	PRIOR TO	30 CYC	LES			
HONEYEDAM	15	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	
none n o n i	30	2.6	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	6.4	1.8	
SCOREBOARD	15	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	
	30	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
STYROFOAM CB	15	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
•	30	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	
STYROFOAM HD-1	15	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
	30	1.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	11.7	2.0	
STYRDEDAM HD-2	15	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
	30	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
INPETHANE 200	15	1.3	0.6	0.5	.0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	
onermine coo	30	9.3	4.7	6.7	5.6	4.0	6.0	16.5	7.5	

NOTE- SPECIMEN SECTIONED AS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.

significant amounts of moisture although it appears that the Styrofoam HD-1 had developed surficial cracks prior to 30 cycles, as indicated by the large moisture content near one face. Styrofoam HI was not used in this study; however, the performance of Scoreboard is probably indicative of Styrofoam HI.

Williams (1968) described and discussed freeze-thaw studies conducted by Dow Chemical Company. The apparatus used in these studies is shown in Figure 18. An attempt was made to simulate a roadway embankment in these tests. Samples eight inches square by one inch thick were used. Subfreezing brine was circulated through the upper plate until the temperature in the clay subgrade reached  $31^{\circ}$  F. At this time the brine temperature in the surface plate was increased and the flow of warmed liquid continued until a temperature of  $34^{\circ}$  F was reached in the clay subgrade. Then the cycle was repeated. One to three days were required for a complete freezethaw cycle, depending on the ambient air temperature.

Figure 19 summarizes results of the freeze-thaw tests by Dow Chemical Company. Volumetric moisture contents shown in the figure are those for the entire sample. For similar samples, then, these moisture contents should be somewhat higher than those in Table IX. Styrofoam HI absorbed the smallest amounts of moisture, its moisture content being slightly less than 1.5% by volume after 180 freeze-thaw cycles. The 1 lb/cu ft beadboard material absorbed the most mcisture, being slightly over 10% at 180 cycles. Urethane had absorbed about 5% after 180 freeze-thaw cycles.

Two series of freeze-thaw tests were conducted at the University of Alaska in conjunction with this work. Materials used in the first series





Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



Figure 19 Results of freeze-thaw tests conducted by Dow Chemical Company, from Williams (1968).

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

and results obtained are shown in Appendix C. Materials and results from the second series of studies are shown in Appendix D.

Samples four inches square and of various thicknesses were used in the first series of tests. The thermal conductivity of most materials was determined prior to the freeze-thaw studies and again after freeze-thaw cycling had been completed. Each sample was subjected to 20 freeze-thaw cycles. The following procedure was used: samples were immersed beneath a 2-inch head of water for approximately six hours. At that time they were removed from the water and placed in a drip rack at room temperature for approximately 30 minutes. They were then placed in a deep-freeze at about 0° F overnight. The next morning they were removed from the deep-freeze, allowed to sit in the room temperature environment for approximately 30 minutes, reweighed, and then immersed in the water bath again. This procedure was continued until 20 freeze-thaw cycles had been achieved. Moisture absorption of these samples is shown in Figure 20 and Table X contains thermal conductivity data before and after freeze-thaw cycling. The Styrofoam HI absorbed essentially no moisture and its thermal conductivity did not change after the freeze-thaw cycles. The other materials absorbed relatively small amounts of moisture. The thermal conductivity values before and after the freeze-thaw tests were essentially the same.

Appendix D contains data from the second series of freeze-thaw tests. Molded polystyrene of various grades and densities was used in this series of tests and the samples were approximately two inches square by 1.5 inches thick. The procedure for testing the durability of these materials when subjected to freeze-thaw cycles was similar to that in series 1 with two



Figure 20 Moisture absorption and number of freeze-thaw cycles for Series 1 materials.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

# Table X

#### THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF SERIES 1 SPECIMEN BEFURE AND AFTER FREEZE-THAW CYCLES

SAMPLE						THERMAL CO	NDUCTIVITY
NUMBER			MATERI	AL		BEFORE F-T	AFTER F-T
720804.00029	CEMENT	POLYSTYR	ENF BEAD	MIXTURE		1.114	0.95
720804.00034	CENENT	POLYSTYR	SNE READ	MIXTURE			1.20
720804.00037	CEMENT	PS READ	REXTURE	+ MOLDED	PSILAMINATES		0.50
720304.00041	CENENT	PS 95AD	MIXTURE	+ MOLDED	PS(LAMINATE)		0.54
720830.2 TPOV	POLYURE	THANE				0.18	0.18
720330.31049	POLYURE	THANE				0.21	0.21
720830.4 TPAV	POL YURF	THANE				0.19	0.19
720906.00001	EXTRUDE	D POLYST	YRENE			0.224	0.21
720906.00007	EXTRUDE	D POLYST	YRENE			0.224	0.21

NOTF- THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY UNITS ARE BIU-IN/SO FT HR F. A- SIMIAR SPECIMAN, THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF SPECIMAN SUBJECTFO TO FREEZE - TMAN CYCLES NOT MEASURED PRIOR TO F-T CYCLES.

exceptions. First, thermal conductivity values were not measured for the samples, and second, an alcohol-water mixture (0.5% by weight ethyl alcohol) was used. Alcohol was added to the water to reduce the surface tension, thus allowing more rapid and deeper penetration of the mixture into the samples without significant depression of the freezing temperature.

Moisture absorption by specimens in this test series is shown in Figure 21. Results from these tests were similar to those from the first series of tests; *i.e.*, the moisture content of most samples generally increased with increasing number of freeze-thaw cycles. The material having an average density of 1.52 lb/cu ft absorbed the largest quantities of the alcohol-water mixture. It also had the largest beads and, probably, the largest voids between adjacent beads.

As part of the tests in series 2, specimens were tested to determine their unconfined compressive strength. Similar specimens were tested with no freeze-thaw cycles and after being subjected to 20 freeze-thaw cycles. Results of tests on one set of similar samples are shown in Figure 22. No decrease in compressive strength after 20 freeze-thaw cycles is noted. This behavior was common to all six sets of similar samples.

Had the freeze-thaw testing been more severe, *i.e.* had the samples absorbed more of the alcohol-water mixture during the freeze-thaw cycling, cell walls may have separated or individual beads may have been deformed, causing a loss of compressive strength. To evaluate this possibility another series of tests is suggested. Samples of similar density and composition should be used. Samples should be allowed to drain for various time periods prior to being placed in the freezer. For example, one specimen may be placed in the freezer allowing no drainage and another after

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

SPECINEN RVERICE DENSITY NATERIA 0.87 105 FOLGED FOLTSTIFOR 004 + X + 3 1.42 FOF KOLCEP POLITITIENE 333 2.27 PCF NOLDED POLISTIFENE 3.04 POF KOLGED POLISTIPEN CONTENT, PERCENT 1.48 PCF NOLCED POLISTIPENE 1.52 PCF KOLDED POLITSTIREDE VOLUMETRIC MOISTURE 0.0L 16 THAW 04 80 12 NUMBER OF FREEZE CYCLES



Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



Figure 22 Compressive strength of molded polystyrene specimen with no freeze-thaw cycles and subjected to 20 freeze-thaw cycles.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

two to three minutes of drainage, etc. Individual specimens should undergo the same procedure during each cycle to properly evaluate the desired effects.

Several laboratory tests have also been conducted to determine the amount of moisture absorption in various insulating materials. Powell and Robinson (1971) studied the effect of moisture on the thermal efficiency of insulated flat roofs. A few cellular plastic materials were used in their investigation; however, most of their data were obtained for lightweight concrete materials. An interesting concept discussed by Powell and Robinson is that of a "self-drying" roof insulation. It is unlikely, however, that this concept could be applied to insulated embankments.

Several tests for determining the moisture absorption and the water vapor permeability of insulating materials are available. The following standards are from the American Society for Testing and Materials (1971): Standard D 2842-69, "Water Absorption of Rigid Cellular Plastics"; Standard C 272-53 (reapproved 1970), "Water Absorption of Core Materials for Structural Sandwich Constructions"; and Standard C 355-64, "Water Vapor Transmission of Thick Materials". The American Association of State Highway Officials (AASHO) specification M 230-70, "Standard Specification for Extruded Insulation Board (Polystyrene)", suggests using ASTM Standard C 272-53 for determining the moisture absorption of this material. They recommend using a slightly different procedure in drying the sample prior to weighing, however.

The standard tests are for a duration of 24 to 96 hours; however, many investigators feel that the amount of the moisture absorbed during this short period of time is not indicative of the performance of the

material during a long period of embedment in an embankment. Some materials have been soaked for up to 18 months. Table XI contains information from Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967). Orama (1972). and Saetersdal (1971). Data are shown for up to 150 days of immersion. The testing procedures varied somewhat as Kaplar and Wieselquist reported the boards in their studies were covered with approximately 1/4 inch of water and the system was isothermal. Both Orama and Saetersdal applied a temperature gradient across the sample, thereby increasing the driving potential of moisture through the insulation. Extruded polystyrene boards absorbed the smallest quantities of moisture in all three series of tests and the corkboard samples of Kaplar and Wieselquist absorbed the most moisture after 100 days. The amount of moisture absorbed by the molded polystyrene samples varied considerably and appears to be independent of the sample density. The polyurethane samples used by Saetersdal and Kaplar and Wieselquist also absorbed relatively large volumes of moisture. After 100 days of immersion, both materials contained more than 5% moisture by volume. Williams (1968) reported that a sample of urethane in tests conducted by Dow Chemical Company had absorbed approximately 2% moisture by volume after 95 days of immersion. These variations may be due to differences in formulation or differences in the manufacturing process, as discussed previously.

Williams (1968) also included samples of Styrofoam HI and two densities of molded polystyrene in his tests. The Styrofoam HI, an extruded polystyrene, absorbed the smallest amount of water in his tests and a molded polystyrene sample of 1.5 pounds per cubic foot density absorbed the most.

Assuming that the moisture content versus time curve is composed of a linear segment succeeded by a non-linear segment as the maximum moisture

## Table XI

#### MOISTURE ABSORPTION IN INSULATING MATERIALS LABURATORY TEST RESULTS

MATERIAL	DENSITY	REFERENCE		ELA	PSED 1	INE. D	AYS	
	LB/CU FT		10	25	50	75	100	150
				VOLUME	TRIC M	OISTUR	E CONT	ENT
					F	ERCENT		
MOLDED	1.0	KAPLAR AND	4.5	5.1	5.6	6.0	6.3	7.1
POLYSTYRENE		WIESELQUIST(1967)						
CELLULAR	9.2	KAPLAR AND	0.4	0.7	1.1	1.5		
GLASS		WIESELOUIST(1967)						
EXTRUDED	2.5	KAPLAR AND	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1
POLYSTYRENE		WIESELOUIST(1967)						
EXTRUDED	2.9	KAPLAR AND	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.9
POLYSTYRENE		WIESELQUIST(1967)						
POLYURE THANE	2.2	KAPLAR AND	2.4	3.4	4.3	5.0	5.6	7.1
		WIESELQUIST(1967)						
CORK BOARD	16.3	KAPLAR AND	5.8	9.0	14.6	14.2	13.8	
		WIESELQUIST(1967)						
CORK BOARD	14.7	KAPLAR AND .	5.4	7.3	10.5	11.2	11.9	
		HITEFEL DUITET/10471						

MIESELQUISTIJAGT) NUTE SAMPLES WERE OTN XIZIN X ZIN THICK. EDGES WERE TAPED TO MINIMIZE EDGE EFFECT. SAMPLES DRAINED 2 MINUTES PRIOR TO WEIGHING. ABOUT I/4 INCM OF MATER OVER BOARDS. THE 6X12 SURFACES WERE IN THE MORZIOWATA PLANE. NO TEMPERATURE CRADIENT IMPOSED OM SAMPLES.

MOLDED	2.5	ORAMA(1972)	1.1	1.5	2.2	2.9	3.6	4.5
MOLDED	2.5	ORAMA(1972)	1.5	2.1	3.2	3.9	4.2	4.8
POLYSTYRENE MOLDED	2.0	ORAMA(1972)	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.9	3.5	4.9
POLYSTYRENE MOLDED	3.1	ORAMA( 1972)	1.7	2.1	3.0	3.7	4.4	5.3
POLYSTYRENE HOLDED	2.5	ORAMA(1972)	0.6	1.1	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.5
POLYSTYRENE MOLDED	2.5	GRAMA( 1972)	1.2	1.8	2.6	3.5	4.4	6.1
POLYSTYRENE EXTRINIED	2.5	ORAMA( 1972)	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.9
POLYSTYRENE	2.5	ORAMA(1972)	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8
POLYSTYRENE								

STYCRE NOTE SAMPLES WERE 2IN THICK. NO LENGTH AND WIDTH DIMENSIONS WERE GIVEN BUT THICKWYSS WAS MINIMUM JIMERKSION AS INDICATED IN FIGURES, SAMPLES WERE FULCED IN GUGE IN WATER, WATER TEMPERATURES UN OPPOSITE SIDES OF THE SAMPLES UFFERED BY 14F TO 18F WITH THE COLD SIDE VARYING FROM 40F TO 80F.

POLYURE HAI	NE 2.2	SAETER SDAL (1971)	0.5	1.3	2.6	3.8	5.1
MOLDED	.1.6	SAE TER SDAL (1971)	1.2	3.0	6.0	9.0	12.0
POLYSTYRE MOLDED POLYSTYRE	NE ' 2.1	SAETER SDAL (1971)	0.4	1.1	2.2	3.3	4.4
801020	1.7	SAETER SOAL (1971)	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.8	6.4
POLYSTYRE EXTRUDED	NE 2.0	SAETERSDAL (1971)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7
POLYSTYRE EXTRUDED POLYSTYRE	2.5 NF	SAETER SDAL (1971)	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
NITE	FIRST THREE	SAMPLES ZIN THICK AN	O LAST	THREE	ABOUT	41N T	HICK. T
	2.1LB/CU FT	MOLDED POLYSTYRENS WA	S MOLDE	DAT	THE 217	КН ТН	ICKNESS.
	OTHER MULDED	PULYSTYRENE SAMPLES	WERE CL	JT FROM	M THICH	CER MA	TERIAL.

C. LEVEL 1 AUDED POLYSTRYEY SAMPLES WHE CUT FROM THICKER MATERIAL. NO LENGTH AND WIDTH UTMENSIONS HERE CIVEN NOT FLOWES INDICATED THAT THICKNISS LAS MINIMUM DIMENSIONS, SAMPLES HERE PLACED ON EDGE. OPPOISTIE FACES WHERE KET AT THE HERATURES OF 597 AND TTF. THE RELATIVE MUNITIT UN BOILS AND STOP SHORT. 69

THE

content is approached, *i.e.*, a shape similar to that of a stress-strain curve for an elasto-plastic material, nearly all of the materials in Table XI are still in the linear range. Using these data it is not possible to estimate the ultimate moisture content. One exception is the 16.3 lb/cu ft corkboard tested by Kaplar and Wieselquist. It reached a maximum value after only 50 days of soaking.

Hartmark (1971) stated that the properties of molded polystyrene boards cut from larger blocks are quite variable. Therefore, for Norwegian State Railways molded polystyrene boards must be cast at the desired thickness. Properties of molded polystyrene boards manufactured by this technique are much more uniform.

Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967) showed the moisture distribution within several samples after immersion for 18 months. Some of their results are reproduced in Figure 23. The moisture distribution within the Armalite was relatively uniform and varied between approximately 8.5% and 7% by volume. The moisture content in the urethane was also quite high; however, the interior contained considerably less moisture than the exterior portion. The Scoreboard and Styrofoam HD-1 contained interior moisture contents of less than 0.5% by volume. Due to the smooth texture of its surface, the Scoreboard absorbed only approximately 1% by volume near its surface. This was considerably less than the surface moisture content of any other sample.

Effects of increasing the pressure head on the quantity of moisture absorbed by various materials was reported by Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967). Samples of the same materials used in their freeze-thaw tests were included in this study. Gauge pressures of 0 and 15 psi were used. Moisture contents of the Foamglas, Honeyfoam, Scoreboard, and Urethane increased with



Figure 23 Moisture distribution after immersion for 18 months, from Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967).

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

increasing pressure, and that of Styrofoam CB was essentially unaffected by the increased pressure. The Armalite, Styrofoam HD-1, and Styrofoam HD-2 absorbed less moisture at the higher pressure. The change in Armalite was much greater than in the two Styrofoam samples, however. The decreases in moisture content may have been caused by compression of the sample under the higher pressures, thus reducing the size and amount of voids in the material. This rendered the samples more impervious to moisture. The volumetric moisture contents shown in Table XII for these tests are considerably lower than those shown in previous tables because the duration of these tests was only seven days. For these tests two specimens of each material were used. One was immersed under approximately 1/4 inch of water and the other was placed in a sealed vessel and submerged under approximately the same head; a 15 psi air pressure was applied inside the vessel.

Kaplar and Wieselquist (1967) also reported the moisture distribution within several samples after being embedded in a wet soil for up to 34 months. The following description of their apparatus was given:

> "A sheet metal tank 44 inches by 32 inches by 18 inches was constructed to contain the moist silt. A two-inch gravel layer was placed on the bottom with a one-inch thick coarse filter layer, topped with a one-inch fine filter layer. The moist soil was placed on top of the fine filter layer. This was designed so that a continuous supply of water would be available at the bottom of the tank in contact with the silt to maintain a moist condition by capillarity. The tank was equipped on the side with a 5-gallon water supply feeding to a constant-water-level control device which maintained the water level in the tank at about 6 inches from the bottom or two inches into the silt."

Samples used in this study were fives inches wide by 12 inches long by two inches thick. They were oriented such that the long dimension was in the vertical plane and approximately 1/2 inch of silt covered the upper

## Table XII

#### MOISTURE DISTRIBUTION AFTER T-DAY PRESSURE TESTS LAROXATRRY TEST RESULTS EROM KAPLAR AND WIESELOUIST(1967)

MATERIAL	GAGE	•	VULU	ME TRIC	MOLISTUR	E CONTE	T. PREC	ENT	
	PRES.	,	2	4	SECTIO	IN NUMBER	<b>`</b> 7	8	AVE
				•	-				
ARMAL ITE	0	2.03	2.58	3.18	3.36	2.83	2.06	1.54	2,39
	15	1.65	1.95	1.93	2.01	1,95	1.84	1.57	1.84
EDANGLAS	0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
	15	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.79	0.11
HONEYEDAM	0	0.97	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.05
ind the first of the	15	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07
SCOREBOARD	0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Junicosulo	15	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.0%	0.03
STYRDEDAM CB	0	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05
	15	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05
STYRDEDAN HD-1	0	0.43	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.92	0.02
311001041100(1	15	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0,02	0.02	0.01
STYRDEDAM HD-2	0	0.07	0.18	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.05	0.09
311101041110	15	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.06
INPETHANE 200		0.25	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21
ORETHING 200	15	7.14	0.93	0.41	0.27	0.24	3.23	0.51	0.67
NOTE- SP	ECTHEN	SECTIONED	AS SH	OWN IN	FIGURE	17.			

end of the samples. The lower ends of the samples were then approximately 1/2 inch below the water level maintained in the reservoir. Samples of the same type used in the freeze-thaw studies were used in this study and their average densities are shown in Table VIII. Three specimens of each type were included and one specimen of each material was removed after six months, another was removed after 18 months, and the test was terminated after 34 months and the remaining samples removed. Upon removing the samples from the moist soil, a one-inch thick strip was cut from each end and each side. The remainder of the sample was sectioned as discussed in the freeze-thaw tests. The interior moisture distribution of these samples is shown in Table XIII. Data for 6-, 18-, and 34-months are shown for each material. The Foamplas absorbed only 0.1% by volume after 34 months. The Styrofoam and Scoreboard samples had average moisture contents of approximately 0.2% by volume, or less, after 34 months. The Armalite had a 1.6% by volume moisture content by the end of 34 months, and the Urethane had an average moisture content of 4.6% after 34 months. Moisture distribution within the Urethane and within the Armalite was relatively uniform.

In the tests described above, the Styrofoam (extruded polystyrene) materials normally absorbed less moisture than the others. The Foamglas also absorbed a small quantity of water except in the freeze-thaw tests of Kaplar and Wieselquist. Although the tests described above may provide an indication of the relative moisture absorption in laboratory studies, they may not be valid for field installations. None of the above methods provides data from which field moisture contents at future times can be estimated.

# Table XIII

#### HOISTURE DISTRIBUTION AFTER INGEDHENT IN WET SOIL LABORATURY-TEST RESULTS FROM KAPLAR AND HIESELOUIST(1767)

MATERIAL	ELAPSED		VOLU	METRIC	MUISTURE	CONTENUES	NT. PER	CENT	
	MONTHS	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	AVE
ARMALITE	6	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7
	18	2.7	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.1
	34	2.4	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.5	2.6	1.6
FOAHGLAS	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	34	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
HONEYFOAM	6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	18	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
	34	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.8
SCOREBOARD	6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	18	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	34	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
STYRDEDAM CB	6	0.1	0.1	0+1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	18	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	34	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
STYROFOAM HD-1	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	18	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
	34	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
STYRDEDAM HD-2	6	0.2	0.2	0.2	.0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
5711151 01111 110 1	18	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	34	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
HRETHANE 200	6	2.6	1.8	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.6	2.1	1.7
	18	5.2	2.3	2.2	2.2	1.4	1.6	5.1	2.9
	34	5.8	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.8	4.1	6.4	4.6

NOTE- SPECIMEN SECTIONED AS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.

After reviewing procedures and results from the laboratory studies discussed above, two vital questions arise: (1) "Do any of the laboratory tests provide data sufficient to predict the performance of the insulating material in an actual embankment?" and (2) "Which test or tests are the best indicators?" Answers to these questions can only be obtained by comparing laboratory and field data. Tables XIV and XV contain data from field studies, Table XIV for lightweight plastic materials and Table XV for various other types of insulating materials.

Results from the field studies are similar to those obtained in the laboratory in that for a given material the moisture content tends to increase with time. Materials which performed most suitably in the laboratory also performed most suitably in actual embankments. Extruded polystyrene boards absorbed the smallest amount of moisture and many of the molded polystyrene materials also absorbed relatively low amounts of water in field studies. Two molded polystyrene materials reported by Saetersdal (1971) absorbed very large amounts of moisture, however. The polyurethane materials also generally tended to absorb much more moisture than the extruded polystyrenes. Results from the field studies showed a wide variation similar to those observed in the laboratory, and were undoubtedly caused by the same factors such as fabrication process and materials used. In addition to these variables, insulating materials in the field studies also existed in different micro-environments. Data in Table XV indicate that the extruded polystyrene material with a plastic membrane over it absorbed slightly more moisture than the same material which had no external protection. Moisture contents of the excelsior, expanded clay, and

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

# Table XIV

### NOISTURE ABSORPTION UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS LIGHTHEIGHT PLASTIC MATERIALS

REFERENCE	YEARS AFTER	MATERIAL	DENSITY	NUMBER	VOLUME	1910 47	ISTURE
	INSTALLATION		LB/CU FT	GE	CONTR	ENT, PE	RCFNT
				SAMPLES	MAX.	HIN.	AVE.
WILLIAMS(1968)	1.7	PU	1.9	1			4.2
DPAMA(1972)	1.5	PU	-	3	- 1-1	0.7	0.9
ESCH(1969)	0.7	PU	2.1	3	3.7	1.9	2.7
SACTERSDAL(1971)	1.0	MPS	2.8	1	-	-	4.5
SAFTEROAL(1971)	1.5	MPS	2.5	1	-	-	23.0
SAETFRSDAL(1971)	3.0	MP S	1.9	6	20.0	4.0	11 - 0
HARTMARK (1971)	1.0	MPS	-	19		-	1.3
HARTMARK(1971)	2.0	MPS	-	30	-	-	2.0
HARTMARK (1971)	3.0	MPS	-	43	-	-	3.0
HARTMAPK (1971)	4.0	MPS		40	-	-	2.5
HARTMARK(1971)	5.0	MPS	-	16	-	-	3.7
HARTMARK (1971)	6.0	MPS	-	6.	-	-	3.7
WILLIA45(1968)	1.7	MPS	1.2	1	-	-	0.4
DRAMA(1972)	0.5	MPS	2.5	2	2.1	0.7	1.4
ORAMA(1972)	0.7	MPS	2.5	2	0.2	0.1	0.2
ORAMA(1972)	0.8	MPS .	2.5	1	-	-	0.0
ORAMA(1972)	0.9	MPS	2.5	7	2.2	0.0	0.9
OR144(1972)	1.5	MPS	2.5	5	0.5	0.1	0.3
OR444(1972)	1.6	MPS	2.5	3	1.7	0.1	0.9
ORA44(1972)	1.8	MPS	2.5	9	1.9	0.0	0.3
OR444(1972)	2.5	MPS	2.5	13	2.3	0.0	0.9
ORAMA(1972)	2.9	MPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.8
ORAMA(1972)	1.4	MPS	2.2	8	1.4	0.3	0.7
ORAMA(1972)	1.5	MPS	1.9	z	1.1	0.9	1.0
ORA4A(1972)	0.8	MPS	2.5	8	1.2	0.1	0.4
ORAMA(1972)	0.5	MPS	2.5	4	1.1	0.2	0.4
OPA44(1972)	0.4	EPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.0
ORA4A(1972)	0.6	EPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.1
OR494(1972)	2.8 .	EPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.4
DRAMA(1977)	4.3	EPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.8
ORA4A(1972)	4.8	EP 5	2.5	1	-	-	1.2
ORAMA(1972)	5.3	EPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.9
SAFTEPSDAL(1971)	1.5	EPS	2.5	3	2.8	1.1	1.8
SAETERSOAL(1971)	3.0	EPS	2.5	• 2	1.4	0.9	1.2
SAFTERSOAL (1971	3.0	EPS	2.0	4	1.8	1.0	1.4
ESCH(1969)	0.7	EPS	2.2	3	0.7	0.5	0.6
WILL[1445(1968)	0.5	EPS	2.5	1	-	-	0.2
WILLIAMS(1968)	0.8	EPS	2.5	3	0.9	0.2	0.5
WILL [ 445 ( 1969 )	1.5	EPS	2.5	2	0.6	0.3	0.4
WILLIAMS(1968)	2.0	EPS	2.5	5	. 0.7	0.4	0.6
WILLIAMS(1963)	2.1	EPS	2.5	2	0.7	0.5	0.6
WILL [AMS(1963)	2.8	FPS	2.5	3	1.4	0.7	1.0
WILLIAMS(1963)	3.0	FPS	2.5	5	1.7	0.9	1.2
WILLI14S(1968)	4.0	EPS	2.5	2	1.6	0.9	1.2
WILL 1445(1968)	4.4	FPS	2.5	2	1.6	1.2	1.4
WILL[AMS(1968)	. 5.7	EPS	z.5	2	1.8	0.8	1.3

NOTES PU - POLYUPSTHANE HPS - HOLDED POLYSTYPENE EPS - EXTRUDED POLYSTYPENE

# Table XV

#### HOISTURE ABSORPTION UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS VARIOUS TYPES OF INSULATING MATERIALS

** DATA F	ROM CRAMA(1972						
TIME AFTER	R EXCELSION	EXCELSIOR	EXCELSION	EXTRUDED	EXTRUDED	EXPANDED	MINERAL
CONSTRUCT	ION (1)	(2)	(3)	P. STYRENE	P.STYRENE	CLAY	HOOL
YFARS				141	(5)	(6)	(7)
ο.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0.5	-	-	15.	-	.0.0	7.	-
2.5	-	12.	12.	1.4	0.8	9.	-
4.0	9.	19.	11.	1.4	1.2	12.	28.
5.0	13.	19.	8.	1.4	0.9	7.	-
NOTES	(1) PLASTIC /	<b>HEMBRANE OV</b>	/ER				
	(2) PLASTIC :	MENGRANE OV	/ER		-		
	(3) PLASTIC #	<b>IEXBRANE GV</b>	ER AND UND	DER			
	(4) PLASTIC .	TEMBRANE OV	ER				
	(5) NO MEMBR	NNE .					
	(6) PLASTIC I	MEMBRANE OV	ER AND UND	DER			
	(7) PLASTIC P	ENBRANE OV	ER AND UND	DER			
	THIS TEST ROS	D CONSTRUC	TED IN 196	56.			
	MOISTURE CONT	TENTS ARE V	OLUME PER	CENTAGES			
	**************	**********	*********	********			*******
** DATA F	ROM LINELL(19)	531 AND 8AN	FIELD AND	CSERGEI(1	966] **		
TIME AFTER	R CELLULAR	CELLULAR	CELLULAR	ZONULITE			
CONSTRUCT	ION GLASS	CONCRETE	CUNCRETE	CONCRETE			
YEARS		(1)	(2)	(3)			
				•			
0.							
	0.	20.	35.				
20.	3.1	22.	22.	47.			
					6.T. IN 106	-	
NUIES	(1) AVERAGE L	NUSTIN APP	DOVE ANY ELS	20 18/00	ET IN 105	AND 1900	
	121 AVENANCE	1 X 47 1040	CT IN 10	50 10/00	11.10 192.		
	APPROXIMAL C	LI 45 LO/G	U FI IN L	/ 27 10/04	c 7		
	TEST SECTION	IS WERE CON	STRUCTED	N 1946	···		
	NONE OF THE	SAMPLES CON	UTOTICO VI	1940.	COLORATION	UNCH DAM	
	IN LOSS 1	SUPPLES CA	CAS PERS	ATCINIC* IN	THE CELLU	AP GLASS	1.0
	1644 901	1026 CONTE	NIC NEAD 1	THE SUPERC	F DE THE CE		
	1900. 1011		10 14 0520	COLL BY NO	LINE AND B	POR 0 TO	
	DEPENT BY	VOLUME IN	THE INTER	102 OS THE	ALLERS IN	1966 N	, <b>*</b>
	UTCIOLE DOL	THE DATION OF	E THE COLL		VENC UNC C	IDENT IN	
	1044	ACOMITING D	ar me ucu	JULAN CUNC	NETE HAS ET	TODAT IN	
	1900.						

mineral wool are considerably higher than most of the cellular plastic materials in Table XIV.

Again referring to Table XV, data from Linell (1953) and Banfield and Csergei (1966) indicate the lightweight concretes have absorbed considerable volumes of moisture. Samples of all the materials removed in 1953 showed no visible deterioration. When excavated in 1966, 20 years after construction, the outer layer of the cellular glass material had accumulated moisture and the cells were very weak due to partial destruction by entrapped water during freezing and thawing. The lightweight concretes had been relatively unaffected by the freeze-thaw cycles although they had considerably more moisture than the cellular glass.

After comparing data from Tables XIV and XV with data obtained from laboratory studies, it is obvious that none of the laboratory tests adequately predicts the performance of a candidate material in an actual embankment. It must be noted, however, that very few of the laboratory tests were actually designed to simulate an embankment environment and most were used only to evaluate the possible relative performance of various materials.

Data from Orama in Table XV indicate that simply placing a moisture barrier above the insulating layer does not adequately protect the insulating material from moisture. The extruded polystyrene material, when so treated, actually absorbed more moisture than the material in a similar section which had no moisture barrier. The excelsior section, which had a moisture barrier on both sides, exhibited a continual decrease in moisture content; whereas the same material, with a moisture barrier on the

upper surface, has apparently increased over the same time period. Volumetric moisture contents in the expanded clay material having a vapor barrier on both sides of the layer have fluctuated somewhat.

Knight and Condo (1971) described the use of a special wax material to coat polyurethane materials installed near Prudhoe Bay, Alaska. Esch (1969) stated that an asphalt coating was applied prior to and subsequent to placing a polyurethane layer near Anchorage, Alaska. Data from Table XIV indicate that the asphalt coating was not effective because moisture penetrated into the polyurethane. Additional work must be accomplished to determine the most adequate and economical moisture barrier material to use with insulating materials which absorb excessive amounts of moisture when unprotected.

The Atlantic-Richfield Company designed and constructed a prototype apparatus for applying Urethane insulation to roadway embankments (this machine is now owned by Bechtel Incorporated). The apparatus is shown in Figure 24. It was constructed so that a preliminary coating of petroleumbased material could be applied to the subgrade prior to placement of the polyurethane (Figure 25). Under contract with the US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, the ARCO Chemical Company used the machine to construct a test section near Fairbanks, Alaska. The upper surface was painted and a flexible glass-fiber matting was placed directly on the insulating layer. Traffic was applied directly on the flexible surfacing material. Similar tests were discussed in the section, "Rheological Studies".



Figure 24 Prototype apparatus for applying polyurethane insulation to embankments.



Figure 25 Application of subbase seal coat prior to placing polyurethane insulation.

## PROPOSED LABORATORY TEST APPARATUS

A laboratory test which more closely simulates a prototype embankment can be designed by "borrowing" concepts from the devices used in the dynamic loading tests reported by Knight (1972) and the freeze-thaw apparatus discussed by Williams (1968). A sketch of the proposed apparatus is shown in Figure 26. The insulation samples are embedded in a simulated embankment with moisture available beneath the insulating materials and a temperature gradient imposed across the simulated embankment. Since the amount of moisture intrusion into a sample is dependent upon time and the temperature gradient across the sample, various field conditions could be modeled. It would also be possible to test the effectiveness and longevity of various membranes in this apparatus.

Theoretically, samples can be forced to absorb moisture more rapidly by increasing the temperature gradient across the material. This in turn increases the vapor pressure gradient across the material. Depending on the materials under test, there may be an upper limit to the temperature difference across the sample because strength normally decreases with increasing temperature in cellular plastics.

A question arises concerning the desirability of freeze-thaw cycles in the apparatus. Although freeze-thaw cycling has not been shown to affect the strength of cellular plastic materials to any great extent, some materials such as cellular glass or lightweight concrete may be affected. By imposing dynamic loading on the insulating materials with the overlying materials always in the thawed state, maximum degradation should occur under a given number of loading cycles because the



Figure 26 Proposed laboratory test device.

84

"reinforcing" effect of frozen material above the insulation is not allowed. This apparatus would more closely approach the conditions in an actual field installation than any previous test apparatus. The proposed device would be capable of imposing repeated dynamic loads and maintaining either a cyclic temperature variation within the apparatus or a constant temperature distribution across the specimens. The free-water table could be simulated by providing a source of moisture beneath the insulating material.

### CHAPTER IV

## THERMAL DESIGN

All of the computational techniques discussed in Chapter II can be applied to the thermal design of insulated embankments on permafrost. Three widely dissimilar one-dimensional techniques will be used in this chapter. The primary advantages and disadvantages of each method are described subsequently.

Pertinent physical and thermal properties of materials used for the computations in this chapter are summarized in Table XVI. In selecting materials for the computations, primary emphasis was placed on choosing a wide, but realistic, range of thermal properties. The particular combinations of thermal and physical properties may not be encountered in an actual embankment.

### LACHENBRUCH 3-LAYER METHOD

Lachenbruch (1959) applied the heat conduction theory and developed equations for a multi-layer heat flow procedure. As the title implies, a 3-layer system was considered. Figure 27 illustrates the profile used in the 3-layer technique. For this discussion the upper layer is gravel, the second layer insulation, and the third layer may be either a sub-base or a subgrade material.

A sinusoidal temperature variation is applied at the surface and the amplitude of the sinusoidal temperature variation at the interface of the

# Table XVI

### PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS USED IN THERMAL CALCULATIONS

5 0 0 F	DRY HULLT	NOTSTURE	THERMAL	VOLUMETRIC	LATENT HEAT
NIMBER	RETGHT	CONTENT	CONDUCTIVITY	HEAT CAPACITY	OF FUSION
	LA/CU FT	I DRY WT	RTU/FT HR F	BTU/CU FT F	BTU/CU FT
1	135.	7.	1.98	30.	1360.
;	120.	5.	1.24	25.	864.
3	110.	8.	1.10	26.	1267.
4	100.	15.	0.76	28.	2160.
ś	56.	100.	0.82	53.	8064.
í.	55.	10-	0.1665	15.15	792.
7	35.	5.	0.0033	8.33	252.
8	2.	ő.	0.0175	1.	0.
÷		••			



A=Surface Temperature Amplitude F=Temperature Amplitude at Interface

Figure 27 Conditions for the Lachenbruch 3-layer problem.

second and third layers is calculated. As shown in Figure 28, the temperature amplitude at the interface between the second and third layers is defined as "F". The surface temperature amplitude is defined as "A". The equation developed by Lachenbruch is applied to determine the ratio of F/A.

Assuming that no thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer will be permitted, the magnitude of F is simply the difference between the mean annual temperature and the freezing point of the soil moisture, normally assumed to equal 32° F. This method, then, can be used to design insulated embankments for "complete protection", that is, allowing no thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer.

As stated in Chapter II, this procedure does not consider effects of latent heat of the soil moisture. It is possible, however, to reduce the surface thawing index to consider the effects of latent heat indirectly. After the thawing index has been adjusted, it can be converted into an equivalent sine wave by using the mean annual temperature (Figure 29).

The following example illustrates the procedure for using Figure 30 to estimate the reduction in surface thawing index due to latent heat in the gravel. Assume 2.0 feet of 135 lb/cu ft gravel base (code number 1 material in Table XVI) overlay the insulating layer and the corrections to be applied to air thawing indexes at Barrow, Alaska, and Fairbanks, Alaska, are desired. From Johnson and Hartman (1969) the mean thawing indexes are: Fairbanks, 3000 °F-days and Barrow, 500 °F-days. The gravel base has the following properties: K = 1.98 Btu/ft hr °F, and L = 1360 Btu/cu ft. Then,

$$\frac{L}{48K} = \frac{1360}{48(1.98)} = 14.3$$



Depth

Figure 28 Relationship between surface temperature amplitude (A) and temperature amplitude at the interface between layers 2 and 3 (F).

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



Figure 29 Indexes and equivalent sinusoidal temperature emplitude, from the Departments of the Army and Air Force (1966).

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



Figure 30 Method of estimating the degree-days to thaw a granular layer.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.
From Figure 30, 2.0 ft of material with L/48K = 10 provides an F value of 40  $^{\circ}$ F-days. For the material in this example the F value is:

(40)(14.3)/10 = 57 °F-days

And the adjusted thawing indexes are:

Fairbanks: 3000-57 = 2943 °F-days Barrow: 500-57 = 443 °F-days

The correction at Barrow is more important than the correction for Fairbanks due to the relative sizes of the mean thawing index and the correction. The correction will increase with added gravel thickness, as illustrated in Figure 30.

Several different 3-layer cross-sections can be constructed from the eight materials listed in Table XVI. In the subsequent studies the first three materials are used as granular base materials above the insulation; the fourth and fifth materials are those below the insulating layer and the last three materials are insulating media.

A computer program was written, in FORTRAN IV language, to solve the equation for the 3-layer model developed by Lachenbruch. The program is listed in Appendix E. It was used to develop data discussed in the remainder of this section.

Table XVII illustrates the effects of changing the thermal conductivity of the insulating layer and the influence of different thicknesses of base material over the insulating layer. For the computations in Table XVII the 135 lb/cu ft granular material (code number 1 material in Table XVI) was used above the insulating layer and the 100 lb/cu ft material (code number 4 material) was used below the insulating layer. The volumetric heat capacity for the different thermal conductivity values was

## Table XVII

#### F/A RATIO FOR VARIANS TYPES OF INSULATIONS AND EMPANEMENT THICKNESSES

EMB, KT	THERMAL				1N	SULATE	ON T	HICKNS	•SS+	INCHE:	s				
DEPTH	COND.	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	5.0	9.0	10.	11.	12.	
FT	BTU PER					F/A RA	110+	DINEN	IS LONG	FSS					
	(FT HR F)														
1.5	0.0125	.711	.563	.391	-298	.240	.200	.172	.151	.134	.121	.110			
	0.0175	.766	• 640	.475	.375	.303	.262	• 2 2 7	• 200	.179	•1ó2	.149	.136		
	0.9250	.312	.711	.563	.463	.391	.338	.298	.256	.240	-218	.200	•185	.172	
	0.0417	.358	.790	.677	.538	.519	.463	.417	.390	.348	.321	.298	.278	• 260	
	0.0833	.896	.858	.790	.739	.576	.630	• 588	.551	.513	•487	•462	•439	-417	
	0.1666	.915	.896	.858	.823	.793	.759	.730	.702	.675	.652	.630	-608	.588	
				250	270	221	1.01		1/6	120	117	107	0.00	000	
5.	0.0125	.013	.500	. 379	.210	.270	. 1 91	.105	.145	.159	• • • • • •	.1.57	.090	.090	
	0.0175	.651	.552	. 429	. 344	.287	.246	• 21 5	.191	.172	.155	.145	.132	• 1 2 2	
	0.0250	.695	.616	• 201	.413	. 354	. 31 3	.210	.290	.220	.207	• 1 7 1	•1//	.107	
	0.0417	. 132	.579	. 591	-521	+ 464	• 419	. 361	. 349	. 322	.293	.213	+200	+245	
	0.0833	.761	-132	. 6/4	. 6.11	. 590	• > > *	. 520	- 491	. 464	.440	.415			
	0.1665	• / /6	.761	. /32	.705	.614		.632	. 611	. 390	. 5/1	• > > > >	.536	• 520	
10	0.0125	. 4 83	- 404	- 300	.238	.197	.157	.146	.129	.115	-104	.095	.038	.081	
	0.0175	.511	. 446	. 352	- 290	.245	.212	.187	.167	.151	.138	.126	.117	.109	
	0.0250	534	413	. 4.34	.345	.300	. 265	.238	.215	.196	.181	.167	.156	.145	
	0.0417	.554	. 523	- 465	.418	- 378	.345	. 317	.293	. >7>	.254	.238	.224	.211	
	0.0833	.574	- 556	. 573	. 492	.465	.440	.417	.397	.379	.360	.345	.330	.316	
	0.1666	.584	. 574	.556	.539	.523	.597	492	.478	.465	.452	.440	.428	.417	
*** PR	OPERTIES :	OF SO	IL LA	YERS	***										
GRAN	ULAP BASE	<ul> <li>DES</li> </ul>	NSTTY	=135L	37CH	ET, MC	11 STU	RE COM	NTENT	7 PE	RCENT	3Y D	SA ME.	IGHT	
		THES	MAE C	(IND)IP	11111	Y=1.98	33 TU/	FT HR	F, V(	DLUME:	T91C	1E A T			
•		CAPS	C   T Y =	30.09	TU/CU	FT F.									
SILT	SUBBASE	- DE	NSITY	=1701	8700	F7, M3	DISTU	RE CO!	VTENT	=15 PI	ERCEN	1 9 Y I	DRY W	۲.,	
		THER	MAL C	01000	11/11	Y= J.76	311/	FT HR	F. V.	IT OWE.	TRIC 1	1EAT			
		CAPA	CITY=	23.2R	TU/CU	FT F.									
NOT	E- THE VO	LUMET	RIC H	EAT C	APAC I	TY OF	ALL	INSUL4	ATING	MATE	RIALS	WAS			
	ASSUM	ED TO	FOUA	1.0	BTU/	CU FT	۶.								

assumed to be constant and equal to 1.0 Btu/cu ft °F. Thus, for a particular embankment thickness, changes in the F/A ratio are influenced only by the thermal conductivity and thickness of the insulating layer.

Figure 31 contains data from Table XVII and illustrates relationships between the thermal conductivity, gravel base thickness, F/A ratios, and the insulation thickness. As the embankment thickness above the insulating layer increases, the thickness of insulation required to provide equivalent protection decreases. Increasing the gravel layer from 1.5 ft to 5 ft thick reduces the insulation requirements more at the higher F/A ratio than at the lower value. For a given gravel thickness and a given F/A ratio, the insulation thickness is directly proportional to the thermal conductivity; *i.e.*, if the thermal conductivity is doubled, the required insulation thickness is also doubled. When the F/A ratio is reduced from 0.6 to 0.3, a decrease of 50%, the required thickness of insulation is nearly quadrupled.

Figures 32 through 37 show F/ A ratios for various combinations of materials from Table XVI. Burt (1970) developed similar curves for other combinations of materials. Data in these figures, or similarly constructed ones, may be used to determine the thermal design of insulated embankments permitting no thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer. For example, assume available materials have properties similar to those in Figure 34 and the site where the embankment is to be constructed has an F/A ratio of 0.45. Then combinations of gravel and insulation which will permit no thaw beneath the insulation are obtained by constructing a horizontal line from F/A = 0.45. Thus approximately 2-1/2 inches of



Figure 31 Relationships between thermal conductivity of insulation, F/A ratios, gravel base thickness and insulation thickness.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



















Figure 36 F/A ratios for embankments incorporating code number 2, 7 and 4 materials.





insulation and no gravel could be used. Two feet of gravel over two inches of insulation would also be adequate, as would seven feet of gravel and one inch of insulation, or nine feet of gravel and one-half inch of insulation. If structural requirements necessitated a minimum of two feet of gravel over the insulation, then two feet of gravel and two inches of insulation would provide an adequate design.

The most economical design could be ascertained by using suitable combinations of gravel and insulation thicknesses. Suitable combinations of the two materials are points on the horizontal line from F/A = 0.45.

The insulation thickness required for the example given above could be reduced by considering the latent heat of the gravel. The procedure to be used in the refinement was discussed previously in this section and necessitates using Figure 30.

Figures 32 through 37 also illustrate several other important features of insulated embankments. Data in these figures and in Table XVII illustrate the decreasing effect of greater insulation thicknesses. For example, referring to the 2-foot gravel thickness in Figure 32, the reduction in F/A ratio is nearly as great when increasing from 0.5 inches to 1.0 inches of insulation as when increasing from 3.0 inches to 6.0 inches of insulation.

Since each set of curves in Figures 32 through 37 are distinct from the others, a set of design curves will normally be required for each combination of three materials. The computer program listed in Appendix E can be used to develop data for the curves. Figures 32, 33, and 34 illustrate the effect of changing properties of the gravel base. Figures

33 and 35 illustrate the effect of changing the sub-base material, and Figures 33, 36, and 37 illustrate effects of different insulating layers. One should note that the insulation thicknesses in Figures 32, 33, 34, and 35 are 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 6.0 inches; but in Figures 36 and 37, insulation thicknesses are 1.0, 3.0, 6.0, 12.0, and 24.0 inches. Figures 33 and 36 illustrate that 24 inches of the 35 lb/cu ft (code number 7) insulating layer are not as effective as 6 inches of 2 lb/cu ft (code numer 8) material. Similarly, Figures 33 and 37 show that 24 inches of the 55 lb/cu ft (code number 6) material are less effective than 3 inches of the 2 lb/cu ft (code number 8) material.

In summary, the 3-layer method can be used to determine insulation thicknesses required for complete protection of the sub-base; *i.e.*, for situations allowing no thaw penetration beneath the insulation. And the procedure for estimating the effect of latent heat of the gravel base can be applied to reduce the required insulation thickness. The importance of the latent heat correction increases as the thawing index decreases and/or as the thickness of granular material above the insulating layer increases.

## MODIFIED BERGGREN EQUATION

The modified Berggren equation can be used for the thermal design of insulated embankments on permafrost. The form for a homogeneous soil was presented in Chapter II (equation 8). Since embankments are normally layered systems, a second form was developed (Departments of the Army and Air Force, 1966). In this form, the degree-days required to penetrate individual layers are accumulated until the summation equals the thawing

index. The sum of the thicknesses of all the thawed layers is the thaw depth. The degree-days necessary to penetrate each layer are determined from:

$$F_{n} = \frac{L_{n}}{24 \lambda^{2}} \frac{d_{n}}{\lambda} (\Sigma R + \frac{R_{n}}{2})$$
 12.

where  $\Sigma R$  is the total thermal resistance of the layers above the n<sup>th</sup> layer and R<sub>n</sub> is the thermal resistance of the n<sup>th</sup> layer. The other parameters were defined in Chapter II. Computer programs have been written to determine thaw depths in layered systems using the modified Berggren equation (Aitken and Berg, 1968, and McDougall and Berg, 1970).

Unlike the Lachenbruch method discussed in the previous section, the modified Berggren equation should not be used to determine insulation thicknesses required to completely eliminate thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer. The primary reason for this is that insulating materials are normally assumed to have a negligible moisture content. Thus, these materials have no latent heat. From equation 12 it is seen that the number of degree-days required to penetrate a layer having zero latent heat is also zero. Therefore, no degree-days are accumulated in penetrating the insulating layer and an infinite insulation thickness would be required to prevent thaw penetration beneath it.

Data from Chapter III indicate that all of the materials used as insulators in embankments absorbed moisture. By including the moisture content of the insulating layer, the modified Berggren equation can be used to estimate insulation thicknesses for complete subgrade protection.

A question that immediately arises is: How accurately can thaw depths in insulated embankments be computed using the modified Berggren equation? Unfortunately, no information is available from insulated embankments where thaw penetration has penetrated only a few inches beneath the insulation. However, data from seasonal frost areas indicate that the modified Berggren equation may reliably estimate thaw depths greater than 8 to 12 inches beneath the insulating layer.

Figures 32 through 35 in the last section illustrate that F/A ratios less than 0.2 generally can only be achieved with insulation thicknesses in excess of six inches. These thicknesses are required to eliminate thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer. As illustrated by data in the figures and in Table XVII. increased thicknesses of insulation have a diminishing effect on the F/A ratio. Therefore, it may be desirable to allow some thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer in areas where the F/A ratio is small. For example, at Fairbanks, Alaska, the mean air thawing index is about 3000 °F-days and the mean annual soil temperature is approximately 30° F. To prevent thaw beneath the insulating layer, an F/A ratio of approximately 0.07 would be required. From Table XVII it is seen that a 10-foot gravel embankment overlaying 12 inches of an insulating material having a thermal conductivity of 0.0125 Btu/ft hr °F does not provide sufficient protection to eliminate thaw penetration beneath the insulating layer. A more economical design would use a thinner insulating layer and a thinner layer of gravel over the insulating layer. This design would permit a limited amount of thaw penetration beneath the insulation. Figure 38 illustrates thaw depths into insulated and uninsulated

embankments near Fairbanks, Alaska. Materials used in this analysis are shown at the top of the figure and their properties can be determined from Table XVI. Computations were made for an uninsulated embankment and embankments incorporating insulation thicknesses of 1, 2, 3, and 6 inches. Various thawing indexes anticipated to occur in the Fairbanks area were utilized.

The different thawing indexes were obtained by applying "N-factors" to the mean air thawing index. "N-factors", as explained in Chapter II, are empirical relationships between air thawing indices and surface thawing indices. The Departments of the Army and Air Force (1966) provide suggested "N-factors" for various surfaces subjected to freezing or thawing conditions. Table XVIII contains "N-factors" suggested by the Army and Air Force for freezing conditions and for unpaved surfaces under thawing conditions. Figure 39 illustrates suggested values for pavements during the thawing season. "N-factors" of 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 were used to develop each curve in Figure 38. The air thawing index used in these calculations was 3160 °F-days and the mean annual soil temperature was equal to 30° F.

From Table XVIII it is seen that an "N-factor" of 2.0 is suggested for gravel surfaces subjected to thawing temperatures. Multiplying the air thawing index by this value provides a gravel surface thawing index in excess of 6000 °F-days. For an uninsulated embankment, a thaw depth greater than ten feet deep is calculated and when three inches of insulation are used the thaw depth is decreased to approximately 5.3 feet, or about three feet of thaw beneath the insulating layer. The curves in

# Table XVIII

#### RECOMMENDED N-FACTORS FROM THE DEPARTMENTS OF THE ARMY AND AIR FORCE(1966)

SURFACE TYPE	N-FACTOR
FREEZING CONDITIONS	
SNUK	1.0
PAVEMENTS FREE OF SNOW AND ICE	0.9
SAND AND GRAVEL	0.9
TURF	0.5
THAWING CONDITIONS	
SAND AND GRAVEL	2.0
TURF	1.0
PAVEMENTS SEE FIGURE 39.	







Figure 39 N-factors for paved surfaces during thawing. 1.10

Figure 38 are for a particular combination of materials and for other combinations of materials, the computed thaw depths may be different from those shown.

Figure 40 is similar to Figure 38, except a wider range of thawing indexes has been used. Mean air thawing indexes and approximate mean annual soil temperatures from four different locations in Alaska were used to construct Figure 40. The thawing indexes and mean annual temperatures are shown in Table XIX. Linear regression analyses were performed on data for each insulation thickness and the regression lines are shown in Figure 40. The equation for each line is also shown on the figure. Thawing indices less than 1400 °F-days and the corresponding thaw depths were not used in the linear regression for the uninsulated embankment because the relationship between surface thawing index and thaw depth is non-linear in this region.

Referring to the data in Figure 40, it is seen that very little reduction in thaw depth is accomplished by insulation thicknesses greater than approximately one inch when the surface thawing index is less than about 1400 °F-days. In fact, a few calculated thaw depths increase due to greater thickness of insulation.

To investigate possible changes in thaw depths in an insulated embankment due to moisture absorption by an insulating layer, five additional modified Berggren equation solutions were accomplished. Results of this study are summarized in Table XX. In the first solution a dry, highquality, polyurethane foam was assumed. In subsequent solutions the effects of increased thermal conductivity and increased moisture content





## Table XIX

### STATIONS USED IN MUDIFIED BERGGREN FOUATION SOLUTIONS FOR FIGURE 40.

STATION NAME	HEAN AIR THAWING INDEX F-DAYS	PEAN ANNHAL SUIL TEMPERATURE F	LENGTH OF SEASON DAYS
BARROW	500.	14.	82.
BETTLES	1449.	17.	110.
FT. YUKON FA1PBANKS	2600. 3160.	30.	180.

## Table XX

EXAMPLES OF CHANGES IN THAN DEPTH DUE TO MULSTURE ABSORPTION BY THE INSULATING LAYER

DRY DENSITY -	MOISTURE CONTENT ( DRY WT	THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY BIU/FI HR F	VOLUMETRIC HEAT CAPACITY BTU/CU FT F	LATENT HEAT UF FUSION BTU/CU FT	THAN DEPTH Feet
2.0	0.	0.0125	1.0	0.	3.6
2.0	150.	0.0175	2.6	432.	4.0
2.0	240.	0.0217	4.0	690.	4.4
2.0	300.	0.0250	4.9	864.	4.6
2.0	400.	0.0333	6.4	1150.	5.2

NOTE - THE FULLOWING DATA WERE USED IN ALL COMPUTATIONS AIR THAWING INDEX=2600, F-DATS, HEAN ANVOLS SOLD ISAFKANIDE=24, F, LENGTH OF THAWING SASSANEDD UATS AND N=ATCHN=2,0, CONE NUMBER MATERIAL(FROM TABLE XVI) WAS ADJVE THE INSULATION AND CODE NUMBER 4 MATERIAL BENEATI HE INSULATION. were evaluated. The relationship between changes in moisture content and changes in thermal conductivity illustrated in Figure 15 was used for these computations. It should be noted that the moisture contents shown in Figure 15 are on a volumetric basis and those shown in Table XX are on a dry-weight basis.

Comparing the first solution in Table XX with the fourth solution, it is found that the thaw depth has increased approximately 28% due to a 100% increase in the thermal conductivity of the insulation layer. Assuming two dry insulating materials with one material having a thermal conductivity twice as great as the other, thaw depths in otherwise identical embankments would differ by about 41%  $(1 - \sqrt{2})$ . The latent heat effects of the wet insulation reduced the change from 41% to 28%. Data in Table XX illustrate that the net result of moisture absorption by the polyurethane is to increase thaw penetration. Thus, the increased latent heat and increased volumetric heat capacity of the wet insulating layer are more than offset by the increase in thermal conductivity of the material. The effect of moisture absorption by other insulating materials may be evaluated in a similar manner.

## FINITE DIFFERENCE TECHNIQUE

Mumerical methods can also be used to design insulated embankments on permafrost. Several of the programs described in Table III were developed for this purpose. The finite difference technique described by Berg and McDougall (1971) was used for the analyses presented herein. The basic computer program was written by Berg and Aitken at USACRREL

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

prior to the author's studies at the University of Alaska. Since the University of Alaska digital computer has a much greater capacity than the one owned by USACRREL, the original computer program was considerably expanded and improved during the present studies. Several features were added which could not be accomplished on the USACRREL machine.

The primary advantage of using a numerical technique is that the time dependency of seasonal thaw depths and subsurface temperature fluctuations can be calculated. The long term behavior of a facility can also be evaluated with this technique. The modified Berggren equation and the 3-layer method described previously are generally applied only for a mean or design thawing season, and only the maximum thaw depth for that particular season is computed. The most important disadvantage of numerical techniques is that much larger digital computers are generally necessary than for closed form solutions.

Figure 41 is a comparison of calculated and measured subsurface temperatures in an insulated roadway near Prudhoe Bay, Alaska. The solid lines are calculated temperatures and the symbols are measured temperatures at equivalent depths. Correlation between measured and calculated data is very good.

Figure 42 illustrates the effects of two different time increments and two different upper boundary conditions on calculated thaw depths. Measured thaw depths are also shown in the figure. These data are for an uninsulated roadway embankment near Fairbanks, Alaska. The sinusoidal temperature variation applied at the surface had a thawing index equivalent to the thawing index computed from measured surface temperatures.



Figure 41 Comparison of calculated and meesured temperatures in the Prudhoe insulated road, from Condo, NcGrogan and Burt (1971).



Figure 42 Measured and calculated penetration of the 32°F isotherm, highway test sections, 1965-1966, from Berg and Aitken (1973).

Correlation between measured and computed thaw depths is good and the difference between thaw depths computed using hourly time intervals and those using daily time increments is generally quite small. Calculated seasonal thaw progression using the sinusoidal surface temperature variation is similar to that computed using measured surface temperatures.

Esch (1973) described insulated roadway test sections constructed by the Alaska Department of Highways near Chitina, Alaska. The test sections were installed in 1969 and by the end of 1972 subsurface temperatures approximately 20 feet below the 1969 peat surface had increased approximately 1° F (to 31° F). Since warm permafrost, i.e. permafrost with temperatures about 29° F or greater, occurs in many locations in Alaska, the long term behavior of an insulated embankment on permafrost is of considerable interest. The test sections at Chitina are used to estimate the long term effects and computations were made for a twenty-year period. The soil profile consisted of the following materials: 0.08 ft of "chips and oil" pavement, 0.5 ft of crushed rock, 4.75 ft of gravel, 0.33 ft of Styrofoam HI insulation, 1.5 ft of sand, 22 ft of silty peat, and the material beneath the peat was assumed to be ice-rich silt. The temperature at a depth of 60 ft below the pavement surface was assumed to remain at a constant 31.9° F for the entire period. A sinusoidal surface temperature variation was applied. The surface thawing index was 5180 °F-days and the surface freezing index was 4700 °F-days.

Prior to making the computations for a twenty-year period, preliminary calculations were made for one year. Computed and measured temperatures were compared and the soil thermal properties were refined until good agreement was reached.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

For the computations of long term behavior, moisture released upon thawing of the peat was assumed immobile and possible surface subsidence was neglected, *i.e.*, the complicating effects of thaw consolidation were not considered. Figure 43 illustrates the level of the permafrost table with time. The <u>maximum</u> depth of seasonal frost is also shown in the figure. Although this line is continuous in the figure, it should be understood that the position of the seasonal frost front is mobile and fluctuates on an annual cycle. Seasonal frost completely melts by late summer.

Using the same soil properties and the same initial and boundary conditions, the long term effects of an uninsulated section having the same properties as the insulated section were computed. Results of these computations are also shown in Figure 43. Considerably more permafrost degradation would have occurred under an uninsulated section than is estimated to occur under the insulated section.

From this relatively simple approach it appears that significantly less permafrost degradation and associated surface subsidence will occur during the 20-year lifetime of an insulated roadway embankment than would be expected to occur in a similar uninsulated embankment.





## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Several hundred miles of new transportation networks have been proposed for permafrost regions in North America. Insulating layers within the embankments have been considered in several of the proposals. Incorporating insulating layers into the embankments may frequently reduce the embankment thickness, thereby reducing the requirements for backfill material and possibly reducing the overall cost and environmental effects of the project.

The concept of entirely eliminating seasonal thaw penetration beneath insulating layers appears practical in areas where the permafrost temperatures are relatively cold and the seasonal thawing indexes are relatively small, as typical of the Alaskan North Slope.

It may be economically undesirable to eliminate permafrost degradation beneath embankments in discontinuous or warm permafrost areas. However, the magnitude and rate of permafrost degradation and resultant surface subsidence can be reduced and controlled by incorporating insulating layers into the embankment. In test sections constructed near Chitina, Alaska, insulated embankments have allowed less permafrost degradation with considerably less surface subsidence than the adjacent uninsulated test sections (Esch, 1973). The embankment used at Chitina is a paved roadway. The mean annual soil temperature at the time of construction was approximately 30° F and in October 1972 (3 years after construction of the test

122

sections) the mean annual soil temperature in nearly all locations beneath the roadway had increased to approximately 31° F. The eventual equilibrium state of these test sections remains to be determined.

Inclusion of a "one-way insulator" into the embankment may permit sufficient winter heat removal beneath the insulating layer to maintain the original warm permafrost temperatures. The efficiency and economy of a one-way insulating system remain to be determined.

Several methods can be used for the thermal design of insulated embankments on permafrost. Advantages and disadvantages of the three methods used in this study were discussed in Chapter IV. All three methods assumed one-dimensional heat flux. A two-dimensional numerical procedure is necessary to estimate edge effects and to evaluate various toe of slope designs. To date, failure of side slopes of uninsulated embankments on high ice content permafrost has been common.

All insulating materials used in embankments have absorbed moisture. However, some have absorbed considerably more moisture than others. The extruded polystyrene insulation has generally absorbed less moisture than other insulating materials.

The absorption of moisture by an insulating layer increases its thermal conductivity and permits greater thaw depths beneath the insulating layer.

Various types of field-installed membranes have been used on polyurethane materials to minimize moisture absorption; however, success has been limited. Other types of materials have been successfully enclosed in plastic membranes. The use of a plastic membrane on only one side of the

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

insulating layer has been more detrimental than having no membrane at all. Membranes other than plastic may be used in the future and the durability of moisture-proofing membranes must be evaluated.

None of the laboratory tests currently used to evaluate moisture absorption by insulating materials can be used to provide quantitative data on the amount of moisture absorption by the material under field conditions. A laboratory test apparatus which may provide the desired data was proposed in Chapter III. The proposed laboratory test device combines the effects of cyclic loading and moisture/vapor drive due to a temperature gradient. Using this device, various insulating materials and encapsulating membranes to minimize moisture absorption can be evaluated. It will be possible to duplicate actual field conditions more closely with this device than with laboratory testing devices currently used.

In seasonal frost areas, surface deflections are normally greater for insulated roadways than for similarly constructed uninsulated roadways except during spring breakup. No conclusive evidence suggesting that these larger deflections decrease pavement life has been presented.

Freeze-thaw cycles may have detrimental effects on some materials. Materials expected to be most greatly affected are those with relatively rigid cell walls or a rigid matrix and/or those materials absorbing a relatively large amount of moisture.

The number of load repetitions to failure or the fatigue life of an insulating layer is dependent upon the ratio of the working stress to the unconfined compressive strength of the material. As this ratio approaches unity, the fatigue life decreases.

Specific recommendations for future research are:

 Develop a two-dimensional numerical method which combines effects of heat and mass transport and consolidation and/or heaving.

 Construct the proposed laboratory device and study the effects of cyclic loading and temperature gradient on thermal and physical degradation of thermoinsulating materials.

 Evaluate various types of moisture barriers for durability and economic advantage.

4. Investigate the mechanism of moisture absorption by various thermoinsulating materials with the primary objective being to establish rapid laboratory tests for estimating the moisture regime within the material after installation in an embankment.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Aitken, G. W., and R. L. Berg. (1968) Digital solution of the modified Berggren equation to calculate depths of freeze or thaw in multi-layered systems. USACRREL Special Report 122, 18 p.
- <u>Alaska Construction and Oil Report.</u> (1972) ALPS conducts extensive tests on gravel work-pad sections. Vol. 13, No. 10, October 1972, pp 54 & 56.
- Aldrich, H. P., Jr., and H. M. Paynter. (1953) Analytical studies of freezing and thawing of soils, ACFEL Technical Report 42, 103 p.
- American Association of State Highway Officials, 1965. (1966) <u>A poli-</u> cy on geometric design of rural highways. AASHO, Washington, DC.
- American Society for Testing Materials. (1971) ASTM Standards, 1971. Parts 11, 14, and 26. ASTM, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Andersson, O., B. Orbom, and G. Ringstrom. (1972) The bearing capacity of pavements with frost retarding layers. A test road study. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements, Cushing-Malloy, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan. pp 5-16.
- Baker, K. J. (1971) Yukon roads. <u>Proceedings of the Arctic Transportation Conference</u>, Ministry of Transport and the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, Vol. 2, pp 189-195.
- Banfield, A. F., and H. Csergei. (1966) Results of sampling insulating layers in runway test sections. USACRREL Technical Note, unpublished.
- Berg, R. L. (1972) The use of insulating materials in highway construction in the United States. Frost I Jord Nr 6, pp 19-23.
- Berg, R. L., and G. W. Aitken. (1973) Some passive methods of controlling geocryological conditions in roadway construction. Prepared for the Second International Conference on Permafrost. Yakutsk, USSR.
- Berg, R. L., and J. C. McDougall. (1971) A finite differencing program for computing subsurface soil temperatures. USACRREL Technical Note.
- Beskow, G. (1935) Soil freezing and frost heaving with special application to roads and railroads. Swedish Geological Society, Series 6, No. 375, 26th Yearbook, No. 3. Translated by J. O. Osterberg (1947).

126

- Bigelow, N. (1968) Insulation of subgrade Third year summary. Materials and Research Technical Paper 68-IR. Maine State Highway Commission, Material and Research Division and Planning Division, Agusta, Maine. 51 p.
- 14. Bird, R. B., W. E. Stewart, and E. N. Lightfoot. (1960) <u>Transport</u> Phenomena. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.
- Black, R. F. (1954) Permafrost a review. <u>Bulletin, Geological</u> Society of America, Vol. 65, p. 839.
- Brown, W. G. (1963) Graphical determination of temperature under heated or cooled areas on the ground surface. Division of Building Research Paper No. 163. National Research Council -Canada. 38 p.
- Burt, G. R. (1970) Preservation of permafrost under roadways utilizing man-made insulations. MSCE Thesis, University of Alaska, College, Alaska.
- Canadian Institute of Guided Ground Transport. (1972) Railway to the Arctic. Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
- Carroll, C., H. Schenk, Jr., and W. Williams. (1966) Digital simulation of heat flow in soils. ASCE Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundation Division, Vol. 92, No. SM4, pp 31-49.
- Carslaw, H. S., and J. C. Jaeger. (1959) <u>Conduction of heat in solids</u>, Oxford University Press, London, England. Second Edition.
- Chevron Research Company. (1973) Personal correspondence with Dr. R. W. Campbell.
- 22. Christison, J. T., and K. O. Anderson. (1972) The response of asphalt pavements to low temperature climatic environments. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Structural Design of <u>Asphalt Pavements</u>. Cushing-Malloy, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, pp 41-52.
- Chwang, A. T. (1967) Temperature distribution in freezing and thawing ground. University of Saskatchewan, MS Thesis, Saskatcon, Saskatchewan. 88 p.
- Condo, A. C., J. F. McGrogan, and G. R. Burt. (1971) Insulated gravel embankments for arctic road construction. Paper Number SPE 3378 presented at the Alaska Section Regional Meeting of the Society of Petroleum Engineers of ALME, Anchorage, Alaska, May 5-7, 1971.
- Consedine, R. L. (1972) Road building pioneers 1972: contractors face unique problems on northern Manitoba road project. <u>Engineer-</u> ing and Contract Record, Vol. 85, No. 9, pp 60-64.

- Crory, F. E. (1973) Settlement associated with the thawing of permafrost. Prepared for the Second International Conference on Permafrost. Yakutsk, USSR.
- Dale, J. M., and A. C. Ludwig. (1967) Preparation of low density sulfur foam. USACRREL Technical Report 206. US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. 14 p.
- 28. Dempsey, B. J., and M. R. Thompson. (1969) A heat-transfer model for calculating frost action and temperature related effects in multi-layered pavement systems. Illinois cooperative highway research program, Project IHR-401, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.
- 29. Departments of the Army and Air Force. (1966) Arctic and subarctic construction: calculation methods for determination of depths of freeze and thaw in soils. Technical Manual TM 5-852-6. Department of the Air Force Manual AFM 88-19, Chap. 6.
- De Wiest, R. J. (1965) <u>Geohydrology</u>. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y. 366 pp.
- Doherty, P. C. (1970) Hot Pipe. US Geological Survey computer contribution No. 4. Menlo Park, California.
- Dow Chemical Company. (1972) Personal correspondence with G. A. MacKey, 1972.
- Dusinberre, G. M. (1961) <u>Heat transfer calculations by finite</u> differences, International Textbook Company, Scranton, Penn.
- The Engineering Equipment Users Association. (1965) <u>Thermal insulation of industrial buildings</u>. EEUA Handbook No. 14. Constable and Company, Ltd., London, England.
- Esch, D. C. (1969) Subgrade insulation for frost heave control construction, instrumentation, and first year summary. State of Alaska, Department of Highways, Materials Division, College, Alaska. 38 pp.
- Esch, D. C. (1971) Subgrade insulation for frost heave control, summary of second and third winter's performance. State of Alaska, Department of Highways, Materials Division, Juneau, Alaska. 27 pp.
- Esch, D. C. (1973) Control of permafrost degradation beneath a roadway by subgrade insulation. Prepared for Second International Conference on Permafrost. Yakutsk, USSR.
- Esso Production Research. (1970) Personal communication with Dr. Harold R. Peyton in October 1972.
- Ferrians, O. J., Jr., R. Kachadoorian, and G. W. Greene. (1969) "Permafrost and Related Engineering Problems in Alaska", Geological Survey Professional Paper 678.
- Ferrigno, T. H. (1963) <u>Rigid Plastics Foams</u>. Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, N.Y. 276 p.
- Freeze, R. A. (1967) The continuity between groundwater flow systems and flow in the unsaturated zone. Reprinted from <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>Hydrology Symposium No. 6, Soil Moisture</u>. Department of Energy, <u>Mines and Resources, Calgary, Alberta.</u>
- Goodrich, L. C. (1972) Personal communication with G. H. Johnston, 1972.
- Greenarctic Consortium. (1973) Personal communication. This figure was on the front cover of the October 1972 issue of the <u>Arctic</u> and Northern Development Digest.
- 44. Harlan, R. L. (1972) Ground conditioning and the groundwater response to winter conditions. International Symposia on the Role of snow and ice in hydrology, Symposium on properties and processes. Banff, Alberta.
- Hartmark, H. (1972) Kontroll av skumpolysteren (PS) for isolasjon mot frost in jord. (Control of polystyrene materials for frost insulation.) <u>Frost I Jord</u>, No. 2, February 1971. Committee on frost action in soils. Oslo, Norway. pp 61-64.
- Hashemi, H. T., and C. M. Sliepcevich. (1965) Solution of the twodimensional transient temperature distribution in a melting or solidifying object. Report to USACRREL for Grant No. DA-AMG-27-021-65-G12. University of Oklahoma Research Institute, Norman, Oklahoma.
- Hawk, R., and W. Lamb. (1963) Hydraulic analog study of periodic heat flow in typical building walls. USACRREL Technical Report 135.
- Heavy Construction News. (1970) "'Oil and gas explorations spur roadbuilding plans." Heavy Construction News, December 14, 1970. Maclean-Hunter Ltd., Toronto, Canada. pp 1 & 28.
- Ho, D. M. (1969) Prediction of frost penetration into a soil-water system. Ph.D thesis, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana.
- Hoekstra, P. (1972) The physics of water and ice in soil. USACRREL Monograph II-D2, Draft.

- Hutchinson, R. L. (1972) Presentation at "An informal symposium on the use of insulation in airfield and highway pavements." USACRREL, Hanover, N.H. (No proceedings published.)
- Hwang, C. T., D. W. Murray, and E. W. Brooker. (1972) A thermal analysis for structures on permafrost. <u>Canadian Geotechnical</u> Journal, Vol. 9, pp 33-46.
- Jennings, B. H., and S. R. Lewis. (1968) <u>Air conditioning and</u> Refrigeration, fourth edition. International Textbook Company, Scranton, Pennsylvania.
- Johnson, P. R., and C. W. Hartman. (1969) "Environmental Atlas of Alaska." Institute of Arctic Environmental Engineering and Institute of Water Resources, University of Alaska, College, Alaska.
- 55. Johnston, G. H. (1972) Personal communication.
- 56. Joseph, A. H., R. D. Jackson, and T. B. Rosser, III. (1971) Evaluation of foamed plastics for use as structural supporting layers in pavements and foundations. Miscellaneous paper S-71-24. US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss. 76 p.
- Joy, F. AC. (1957) Thermal conductivity of insulation containing moisture. ASIM Special Technical Publication No. 217. Symposium on Thermal Conductivity Measurements and Applications of Thermal <u>Insulations</u>. American Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia, Penn. pp 65-79.
- Jumikis, A. R. (1967) Amount of soil moisture transferred in a thermoosmotic process of freezing as a function of porosity of soils. IN Highway Research Record No. 209. HRB, Washington, DC. pp 86-92.
- Kaplar, C. W., and K. Wieselquist. (1967) Summary report of laboratory studies performed under Project SP-15 and SP-48, Materials in Cold Climates. USACRREL Internal Report 46, US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. 270 p.
- Kersten, M. S. (1949) Laboratory research for the determination of the thermal properties of soils. ACFEL Technical Report 23.
- Kipling, B. (1972) The MacKenzie River highway: part of a "staggering" plan. Engineering and Contract Record, Vol. 85, No. 6, p. 23.
- 62. Knight, C. A. (1967) The freezing of supercooled liquids. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.
- Knight, G. R. (1972) Presentation at "An informal symposium on the use of insulation in airfield and highway pavements." USACRREL, Hanover, N.H. (No proceedings published.)

- 64. Knight, G. R., and A. C. Condo. (1971) Design and evaluation of insulated and uninsulated roadway embankments for the arctic. Proceedings of the Symposium on Cold Regions Engineering, Alaska Section ASCE and Department of Civil Engineering, University of Alaska. J. L. Burdick, editor. pp 195-227.
- Kritz, M. A., and A. E. Wechsler. (1967) Surface characteristics; effect on thermal regime, Phase II. USACRREL Technical Report 189. US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. 40 p.
- 66. Kudryavtsev, V. A. (1971) New method of determining the depth of seasonal freezing of soils. <u>Osnovaniya, Fundamenty i Mekhanika</u> <u>Gruntov</u>, No. 6, November, pp <u>35-36</u>. Translated by Consultants <u>Bureau</u>, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, N.Y. (1972)
- Lachenbruch, A. H. (1957) Three-dimensional heat conduction in permafrost beneath heated buildings. US Geological Survey Bulletin 1052-B.
- Lachenbruch, A. H. (1959) Periodic heat flow in stratified medium with application to permafrost problems. Geological Survey Bulletin 1083-A.
- Landrock, A. H. (1969) Polyurethane foams; technology, properties, and applications. PLASTEC Report 37. Plastics Technical Evaluation Center, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, N.J. 246 p.
- Langan, M. C. (1972) Test pads provide pipeline construction data. Alaska Industry, October 1972, pp 41-42, 61, 63-64.
- Levy, M. M. (1966) Moisture, vapor transmission and its effect on thermal efficiency of foam plastics. Journal of Cellular Plastics, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp 37-45.
- Linell, K. A. (1953) Office memorandum to F. F. Kitze; subject insulating layers in the runway test sections, sub area No. 2. Dated 30 June 1953.
- Low, P. F., D. M. Anderson, and P. Hoekstra. (1966) Some thermodynamic relationships for soils at or below the freezing point, part I - freezing point depression and heat capacity. USACRREL Research Report 222, US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. 15 p.
- 74. Low, P. F., D. M. Anderson, and P. Hoekstra. (1967) Some thermodynamic relationships for soils at or below the freezing point, part 2 - effects of temperature and pressure on unfrozen soil water. USACRREL Research Report 222. US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. 5 p.

- Luikov, A. V. (1966) Heat and mass transfer in capillary-porous bodies, Minsk, USSR (1961). Translated by P. W. B. Harrison, Pergamon Press Ltd., London, England.
- 76. Luk'vanov, V. S. (1963) Computation of the depth of freezing and thawing in soils. Proceedings of the International Conference on Permafrost. Academy of Sciences - National Research Council Publication No. 1287. Washington, DC. pp 281-285.
- 77. Mackey, G. A. (1973) Personal correspondence.
- 78. Maine State Highway Commission. (1965) Subgrade insulation -Benkelman deflections. Soil Mechanics Series Technical Paper 65-2. Maine State Highway Commission, Soils and Planning Division, Agusta, Maine. 62 p.
- 79. Malloy, S. F. (1969) Thermal insulation. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company. New York, N.Y.
- 80. Mark, H. F., N. G. Gavlord, and N. M. Bikales (editors) (1965) Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology. Vol. 3. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, N.Y. pp 80-129.
- 81. McDonnell-Douglas. (1971) Personal correspondence with Mr. E. D. Waters, 1972.
- 82. McDougall, J. C., and R. L. Berg. (1971) Digital solution of the modified Berggren equation to calculate depths of freeze or thaw in multilavered systems.
- 83. Meyer, G. H., H. H. Keller, and E. J. Couch. (1972) Thermal model for roads, airstrips, and building foundations in permafrost regions. The Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, April-June, pp 13-25.
- 84. Mohan, A. (1972) Thermal analysis and rate of frost penetration into soil-water systems by finite element method. Research proposal from Purdue University submitted to USACRREL.
- 85. Morgenstern, N. R., and J. F. Nixon. (1971) One-dimensional consolidation of thawing soils. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. S, pp 558-565.
- 86. Nakano, Y., and J. Brown. (1971) Effect of a zone of finite width on the thermal regime of soils. Water Resources Research, Vol. 7, No. 5, pp 1226-1233.
- 87. Oosterbaan, M. D., and G. A. Leonards. (1965) The use of an insulating layer to attenuate frost action in highway pavements. Highway Research Board Record No. 101. HRB, Washington, DC.

- Orama, R. (1972) Värmeisolering på finska vägar och flygfält (Thermal Insulation in the Finish Highways and Airports). Frost I Jord, No. 6, April 1972. Committee on frost action in soils. Oslo, Norway. pp 5-17.
- Pal'kin, Yu. S. (1971) Algorithmization of calculations of the temperature conditions of the foundations of buildings and structures. <u>Osnovaniya, Fundamenty i Mekhanika Gruntov</u>, No. 6, November, pp 31-33. <u>Translated by Consultants Bureau</u>, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, NY, 1972.
- Pazsint, D. A., and N. Smith. (1972 a) Insulating and load supporting properties of sulfur foam for expedient roads in cold regions. Draft technical report. US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H.
- Pazsint, D. A., and N. Smith. (1972 b) Laboratory development of a sulfur/foamed polystyrene insulation composite. USACRREL Techrical Note, unpublished. US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. 8 p.
- Perlite Institute, Inc. (1966) Perlite concrete aggregate. Catalog No. PI-66. International Association of Perlite Miners and Processors, New York, N.Y. 4 p.
- <u>Pipe Line Industry.</u> (1972) The "big ones" are in sight for Far North construction. Vol. 37, No. 2, pp 23-25. Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas.
- 94. Porkhaev, G. V., and V. F. Zhukov. (1971) Standard depth of freezing of soils for foundation engineering purposes. <u>Osnovaniya</u>, <u>Fundamenty i Mekhanika Gruntov</u>, No. 6, November, pp 33-34. <u>Translated by Plenum Publishing Corp.</u>, Consultants Bureau, New York, N.Y. 1972.
- Powell, F. J., and H. E. Robinson. (1971) The effect of moisture on the heat transfer performance of insulated flat-roof constructions. Building Science Series No. 37, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC. 78 p.
- Quinn, W. F., and E. F. Lobacz. (1962) Frost penetration beneath concrete slabs maintained free of snow and ice, with and without insulation. Highway Research Board Bulletin No. 331. HRB, Washington, DC.
- Rady-Pentek, A. (1972) Styropor concrete a new building material. ACI Technical Paper. American Concrete Institute, Detroit, Michigan. 17 p.

- Reichard, T. W. (1972) Paper honeycomb sandwich panels as lightweight structural components. Building Science Series, No. 43, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC. 7 p.
- Saetersdal, R. (1971) Varmeisolasjons materialer i vegoverbyghingen (Insulation materials in road construction). <u>Frost I Jord</u>, No. 3, July 1971. Committee on frost action in soils. Oslo, Norway. pp 29-42.
- 100. Sanger, F. J. (1963) Degree-days and heat conduction in soils. Proceedings of the International Conference on Permafrost. National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council Publication No. 1287. Washington, DC. pp 253-262.
- Sater, J. E., coordinator. (1969) The Arctic Basin, revised edition. The Arctic Institute of North America, Washington, DC. 337 p.
- 102. Schneider, W. (1969) Erfahrungen an Versuch ssfrecken mit dammschichten aus schaumkunstoffen (Styropor-hartschaumplatten und Styroporleichtbeton) [Experience gained from experimental sections laid with insulating courses of foamed plastics (Styropor expanded polystyrene boards and Styropor lightweight concrete)]. Strasse und Unterfrund IV, Vol. 74. Translated by JPRS for USACRREL, 1970.
- Sellon, L. D. (1970) Styrofoam insulation against frost penetration. Highway Focus. Vol. 2, No. 2, pp 64-70.
- 104. Sinclair-Koppers. (1972) Advertising brochure for Dycon.
- Smith, N., R. L. Berg, and L. Muller. (1973) The use of polyurethane foam plastics in the construction of expedient roads on permafrost in Central Alaska. Prepared for the Second International Conference on Permafrost. Yakutsk, USSR.
- 106. State of Alaska. (1972) Alaska planning map. Prepared by the Department of Highways, Planning and Research Division in cooperation with the US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.
- Sterns, S. R. (1966) "Permafrost (Perennially Frozen Ground)." Cold Regions Science and Engineering Monograph I-42, USACRREL, Hanover, N.H.
- Taylor, D. W. (1948) Fundamentals of soil mechanics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y. 700 p.
- Tsytovich, N. A. (1958) Osnovaniia i fundamenty na merzlykh gruntakh (Bases and foundations on frozen soil). Akad, Nauk S.S. S.R., Moscow. Translated by Highway Research Board, Special Report 58, 1960.

- Tudor, Kelly, Shannon. (1972) Alaska transportation corridor study. Conducted for the US Department of Transportation and the State of Alaska. 4 vols.
- 111. US Army Corps of Engineers. (1950) Comprehensive report on investigation of military construction in arctic and subarctic regions, 1945-1948. ACFEL Technical Report 28, main report and Appen. III.
- 112. Weil, G. (1969) Versuche ueber die Auwendung von Waermedaemmschichten im Strassenbau (Tests on the use of thermal insulating layers in road construction). Strasse und Unterground IV, Vol. 74. Translated by JPRS for USACREE, 1970.
- 113. Williams, W. G. (1968) Development and use of plastic foam insulation to prevent frost action damage to highways - A summary of Experience in the United States. Presented at the International Conference on Highway Insulation. Wurzburg, Germany.
- 114. Williams, W. G. (1971) Development and use of plastic foam insulation to prevent frost action damage to transportation facilities. Proceedings of the Symposium on Cold Regions Engineering. Alaska Section ASCE and Department of Civil Engineering, University of Alaska, J. L. Burdick, editor. pp 227-276.
- Williamson, R. H. (1972) Effects of environment on pavement temperatures. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements. Cushing-Malloy, Inc. Ann Arbor, Michigan. pp 144-158.
- 116. Wolff, E. N., C. Lambert, N. I. Johansen, E. M. Rhoads, and R. J. Solie. (1972) Optimum transportation systems to serve the mineral industry north of the Yukon Basin in Alaska. Mineral Industry Research Laboratory Report No. 29 (draft), for the US Bureau of Mines. University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska.
- 117. Woodward, H. W. (1971) Northern road program. Proceedings of the Arctic Transportation Conference, Ministry of Transport and the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. Vol. 2, pp 151-180.
- Young, R. D. (1965) Experimental foamed plastic base course. Highway Research Board Record No. 101. HRB, Washington, DC.
- Zienkiewicz, O. C. (1967) <u>The finite element method in structural</u> and continuum mechanics. <u>McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., Ltd.</u>, <u>London. 272 p.</u>
- Zienkiewicz, O. C. (1971) The finite element method in engineering science. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., Ltd., London. 521 p.

### Appendix A

# Selected Definitions

- Cell concrete lightweight Portland cement concrete. The light weight is accomplished by entraining large volumes of air.
- Cellular glass a lightweight expanded glass. Foamglas manufactured by Pittsburgh-Corning is an example.
- Embankment a bank normally composed of several types of material including granular or fine-grained soils, rubble, moisture barriers, thermoinsulating layers and pavements. It may be used as a dam or to support a roadway, railroad, or rumway.
- Expanded clay a lightweight aggregate manufactured by heating clay particles to a high temperature, causing expansion. Expanded shale is manufactured by a similar process.
- Extruded polystyrene the polystyrene resin is extruded through a dye to the desired thickness. The process causes a smooth skin of very low permeability. Dow Chemical Company presently holds patents on the process. Examples of this material are Styrofoam HI and Styrofoam HD-300.
- Insulating asphalt a material composed of an asphalt binder and lightweight aggregates.
- Moisture absorption addition of moisture to a specimen by any means. The transport mechanism may be diffusion or Darcian-type flow.
- Moisture barrier a material which is used to reduce or eliminate the passage of moisture. Wax, paint, asphalt, and polyethylene have been used for this purpose.
- Molded polystyrene normally manufactured from expandable polystyrene beads. In the U.S. the expansion process is normally accomplished in a stream-injection mold. An example of this material is Sinclair-Koppers' Dylite.
- Permafrost In the U.S. this term normally refers to materials whose temperature remains below 32° F continuously for more than two years. Some individuals in the U.S. and the majority of persons in some other countries add that if pore water is present, a sufficiently high percentage must be frozen to cement the mineral and/or organic particles. An abbreviation for permanently frozen ground.
- Polyurethane foam an expanded cellular product produced by a catalyzed reaction of polyisocynates with polyhydroxy compounds.

#### Appendix B

## Types and Properties of Insulating Materials

liestification Number	2 Generic type and description	3 Forms Available	4 Donsity 16/cu ft	5 Thormal Conduct. Btu-in/sq i hr °F	6 Specific Eeat Et Stu/1b °F	7 Compressive Strength 1b/sq in	8 9 Mater Vapor Absorption Trans. <b>1 vol</b> perm-in.	10 Data Source
Loose Fill	ls:							
1	Ashestos fibers	bulk fibers	20-50	.6 -1.62	.27			1
2	Cork, granulated	granules	5-12	.2536	.42			1, 2, 4
3	Distorateous earth	powder	20-31	.4852	.25			1
4	Expanded clay	powder	37-41	.3				2
5	Expanded perlite	prwder	3-4	.28				1, 2
6	Exfoliated vermiculite	flakes	5-10	.4447	.2024			1, 2
7	Foamed slag	granules	30-45	.57				2
8	Gilsonite	granules	450	.6580				3
9	Glass fibers	fibers	2-12	.2425	.20	χ.		1,2
10	Class, cellular	pellets	6	.40	.20			1
11	Gyrsun	pellets	12-20	.44-1.00				1
12	ROCK WOOL	fibers	3-5	.24				2
13	Savdust	granules	3-15	.4565	.69			3,4
14	Seaweed	fibers	7	.36	.57			4

137

1	2	3	4	5	6	77	8	9	10
15	Slag wool	fibers & pellets	10-12 10	.23 .23					22
16	Straw	fibers	7-8	.32	.35				4
17	wood chips	chips	12.5	.5996					10
Blunke	ts and Batts:								
18	Asbestos fibers	blanket	9-12	.50	.28				1
19	Asbesios woven felt	felt	10	.50	.28	50 3%			1
20	Glass, fibrous	batt	.6-2	.31	.20		98		1
21	Mineral wool	blanket	1.5-8	.2330	.22		96		1, 3
22	Wood fiber	batt	3.2-3.6	.25					3
Acrate	d and Lightweight Concretes:								
23	Aerated cement and lightweight aggregates	in-situ	24 36	- 57 . 77	.2	130 440			2, 4 2
24	Avraied cement & sand & pulverized fuel ash	in-situ	50-60	1.4		500-800			2
25	Aerated cement	in-situ	20 40	.6 1.0	.2	50 400	20 10		<sup>2</sup> , 4 2
26	Aerated cenent & sand	in-situ	50 90	1.3 3.2		100-300 \ 800-900	20 10		22
27	Censuit & expanded clay aggregate	in-situ	50-100	1.0-4.0		750			2
23	Coment & slag aggregate	in-situ	¢5-80	1.7-2.3	.19	300-450	15		2,4
2 <b>9</b>	Cement & vermiculite aggregate	in-situ	25 50	.75 1.6		100 500	15		22

.

1	22	3	4	5	6		8	9	10
30	Cement & sand & polystyrene beads	in-situ	20-60	.65-2.2		120-840			6
31	Cement & polystyrene beads	in-situ	32						11
Rigid	and Semi-rigid Boards and Sla	bs:							
32	Ashestos sponge felts, laminated	block	26-33	.36	.25		85		1
33	Asphalt, insulating	blocks, boards, in-situ	24	.45		39	4.5		9
34	Compressed straw	slab	23	.60			absorbent		2
35	Cork, compressed	block	6-9	.24	.43	5-10 # 5%		2-7	1
36	Diatomaceous sílica § asbestos fibers § inorganic binders	block	65	1.70	.253	4 2500 8 10%			1
37	Expanded ebonite	block	3-4 .	.21			1.6 (6 wks)		2
38	Expanded urea formalde- hyde	block	1	.25			20		. 2
39	Foaned phencl	board	1-6	.28			-8 ·		Z
40	Glass, cellular	block	7-9.5	.39	.20	100			1
41	Glass, fiber with organic binder	board	4-5	.24	.20	1.2 0 10%	93		1
42	Mineral fiber with inorganic binder	block	15-18	.36	.22	2-18 @ 10%	85		1
43	Perlite, expanded	block	9.5-11.5	.34	.22	80 @ 5%	3.5-4	18	1
44	Plywood	sheets	34-37	0.80-1.05					3,4

\_

139

1	2	3
45	Polystyrene, cellular foam	block board
<b>4</b> 5	Polyurethane foam	block, boards in-situ
47	Rubber resin cellular foam	block
43	Siliceous fiber & binder W/aluminum facing	pane1s
49	Sulfur, foamed	in-situ
50	Sulfur w/polystyrene	in-situ
51	Vinyl chloride cellu- lar foam	block
5::	Wood fiber & binder	board
53	Wood, ash	board
54	Wood, beech	board
55	Wood, oak	board
56	Mood, pine	board
57	Wood, spruce fir	board
Other M	aterials:	
58	Asphalt concrete	in-situ
59	Aluminum	sheets
60	Concrete w/sand & stone aggregate	'in-situ

4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.5-3.3	.24	.27	10-30 e 10%	0.1-1.25	1-2	1
1.5-3	.17	.25	15-80	1.5-3	1.5-2	1
5-8	.29	.1927	40 0 10%	1	0.1	1
4.2	.26	.2				1
10-20	.34		80-140		4.2	7
26-51	0.58		100-200	6.3		8
1.5-3	.16		28-50 @ 5%	4-5	.1-1.0	1
15-18	.36			3-5		1
48-72	2.02-2.40	.5372				4
44	1.72	.56				4
50	1.45	.42				4
44	2.02	.71				4
30	1.18	.57				4
131-138	7.3-10.3	.4				3, 4
168	1416	.21				5
140	12	.21				3

\_

140

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	3	9	10
61	Concrete w/stone rubble	in-situ	125	8.9	.2				4
62	Concrete w/brick rubble	in-situ	119	8.1	.2				4
63	Concrete, reinforced	in-situ	135	10.8	.2				4
64	Fine-grained soils		60-130	1.2-15.6	.17				3
65	Glass	sheets	164	5.5	.20				5
66	Granular soils	-	80-150	2.4-31.2	.17				3
67	Ice		57	15.4	.5				3
68	Steel	sheets	487	310	.12				5
69	Still air	-	-	.1722	.24				5
70	Water	-	62.4	4.2	1				3

#### Key to references:

Malloy (1969) The Engineering Equipment Users Association (1965) Departments of Army and Air Force (1966) 

- Departments of Army and Ai Luikov (1966) Jennings and Lewis (1968) Rady-Pentek (1972) Dale and Ludwig (1967) Parsint and Smith (1972) Kritz and Wechsler (1967) USACRREL data

11 Sinclair-Koppers (1972)

# APPENDIX C

#### 

\*\*\* FREFZE THAN STUDIES OF INSULATIONS \*\*\* \*\*\* SERIES 1 \*\*\*

APPENDIX C

FREEZE THAW PROCEDURE 1 SAMPLE CONDITIONED TO CONSTANT WEIGHT IN 50C OVEN

1 SAMPLE CONSITIONED TO CONSTANT HEIGHT IN SOC OVEN 2 SAMPLE VEIGHT HITHNI SO MIMTERS OF REMOVAL FROM OVEN 3 SAMPLE LIFT IN KADM ENVIRONNENT I TO 3 MOURS 4 SAMPLE SIGNERGED 6 MOURS LEMER 2 LINCH HEAD AND TEMP DATA TAKEN 5 SAMPLE REVIEWED FROM MAIN AND PLACED ON DRUP RACK FUR 30 MINUTES

6

SAMPLE WEIGHT OBTAINED AND NOTES MADE Sample placed in Freezer fur 16 hours plus--temp data taken Sample renved from Freezer to 30 minutes in Room environment

B SAMPLE RENUVEO FROM FREEZE TO 30 MINUTES IN ROCH ENVIRONMENT SAMPLE CIDIN FRAINS AND NITTS AND RENER DE FOLLES IN AFER COMPLETING STUP 9 OF CYCLES DESIRED RENEE I MINUTE IN NISTLLEM WATER AND PACEC ON OUP RACE FOR 30 MINUTES IS SAMPLE WEIGHT ORIGINAL COM OUP RACE FOR 30 MINUTES IS STEPS I AND 2 REPEATED AND MISS MADE PRIO: TO PLACING IN SOC OVEN IS STEPS I AND 2 REPEATED PRIOR TO FINAL EVALUATION IN SOC OVEN IS STEPS I AND 2 REPEATED PRIOR TO FINAL EVALUATION

SAMPLES TESTED THIS SERIES

NUMBER	WEIGHT	DENSITY	ι	×	ĩ	AOF	C1	OMMENT	rs
***SAMPLE***	***037**	***()?Y**	*****	GEOME	TRY**		**OTI	HER NO	DTES###
720804.00029	193.51	30.18	3.85X	3.86%	1.65	401	ALL	FACES	SANDED
720804.00034	217.28	28.58	4.07X	3.98X	1.79	475	ALL I	FACES	SANDED
720804.00037	244.78	28.27	3.99X	3.93X.	3.38	868	ALL I	FC SD	CONC DW
720804.00041	245.93	23.65	4.00X	3.95X	3.32	858	ALL I	FC SD	CONC UP
720830.2TPDV	14.02	3.29	4.07X	4.07%	66.0	266	ALL I	FACES	SANDED
720830.3TPBV	17.05	4.02	4.02X	4.03X	1.00	265	ALL I	FACES	SANDED
720830.4 TPAV	16.58	3.95	3.96X	4.02X	1.01	262	ALL	FACES	SANDED
720906.00001	13.72	2.15	4.07X	4.04X	1.48	398	ALL I	FACES	SANDED
720906.00007	10.47	2.63	3.99X	4.07%	0.93	248	ALL I	FACES	SANDEO

NOTES- WEIGHTS IN GRAMS, DRY DENSITY IN LB/CU FT, DIMENSIONS IN INCHES AND VOLUME IN CUBIC CENTIMETERS. TEMPERATURES IN FAMEENHEIT DE TEMPERATUPES IN FAHRENHEIT DEGREES. SAMPLES SUBHERGED IN TAP WATER AT ROOM TEMPERATURE.

NUMBER DESCRIPTION NUMBER DESCRIPTION 720804.0029 CEMENT POLYSTYRENE BEAD HIXTURE 720804.00234 CEMENT POLYSTYRENE BEAD HIXTURE 720804.00037 CEMENT PS BEAD HIXTURE + MOLDED PSILAMINATEJ 720804.00031 CEMENT PS BEAD HIXTURE + MOLDED PSILAMINATEJ 720830.21PDV POLYURETHANE 720830.3TPRV POLYURETHANE 720833.4 TPAV PULYURETHANE 720906.00001 EXTRUDED POLYSTYRENE 720906.00007 EXTRUDED POLYSTYRENE FROM AK. DEPT. HWYS.

DATE TIME YRMODY.HRMM	TEMP BATH	FRZR	RES	WE I GHT SUBMR	BEFOR	FT Cy	CALC	6 OR	NOTES		NUMBER	
720921-0740				193.51							720804.0002	29
720921-0741				217.28							720804.0003	34
720921-0747				244.98							720804.000	37
720921.0743				245.93							720801.0004	÷1
720021 0764				14.02							720830.2TPC	vc
720921 0745				17.06							720830.3TP	34
720021 0746				16.58							720830.4124	17
720921-0747				13 72							720906-0000	11
720021 0753				10.47							720906-0000	07
720921-0102							SAMDIES	SUB	MERGED			
720921.0903	44 0						SAMPLES	to	DRAIN	RACK		
720021 1434	04.0				204 4	<u>،</u>	54.11 22.5				720804-000	29
720921.1034					230.2	í.					720804-000	34
720921-1033					240 0	ž					720804-000	17
720021 1430					274.2	6					720804-0004	61
720921+1037					17 1	ž					720830-2TP	iv.
720921.1020					20.0	÷					720830-3TP	av.
720921-1623					19.1	š					720830.4TP	٨v
720921-1032					14 8	í					720906-0000	<b>n</b> i
720921.1620					11.4	5					720906.0000	07
720921-1650						٠.		τo	69667F	p		•••
720921.1030	63.0	6.0					DEMUD S	AMPL	ES FRM	F878		
12012.2.0011	0.000	4.0										
*********	*****	****	****	* CNE	CYCL	E (	COMPLET	E *	*****	*******		••
**************************************	*****	****	****	* CNE	CYCL	E (	COMPLET	E *	*****	*******	720804.000	** 29
**************************************	*****		****	* CNE 202.37 224.48	CYCL	E (	COMPLET	E *	•••••	*******	720804.000 720804.000	** 29 34
**************************************	•••••	****		<ul> <li>CNE</li> <li>202.37</li> <li>224.48</li> <li>262.87</li> </ul>	CYCL	E (	COMPLET	E *	*****	*******	720604.000 720804.000 720804.000	** 29 34 3 <b>7</b>
**************************************	•••••	****		* CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54	CYCL	E (	COMPLET	E *	*****	*******	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	** 29 34 37 41
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0949 720922.0950	*****	••••		* CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92	CYCL	E	COMPLET	. •	•••••	*******	720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720604.000 720604.000	** 29 34 37 41 DV
**************************************		••••		* ONE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56	CYCL	E	COMPLET		*****	*******	720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720604.000 720830.27P 720830.37P	** 29 34 37 41 DV 8V
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0949 720922.0950 720922.0951 720922.0951 720922.0951		••••		* DNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79	CYCL	E	COMPLET	E *	*****	*******	720604.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720604.000 720603.000 720830.3TPI 720830.3TPI 720830.4TPJ	** 29 34 37 41 8V 8V
**************************************	•••••	••••		* ONE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74	CYCL	E	COMPLET	E *	*****		720804.0000 720804.0000 720804.0000 720804.0000 720830.21PI 720830.31PI 720830.41PJ 720906.0000	** 29 34 37 41 8V 8V 01
**************************************				* ONE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.95 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL	E	COMPLET	E •	*****		720804.0000 720804.0000 720804.0000 720804.0000 720800.27P1 720830.27P1 720830.37P1 720830.47P1 720906.0000 720906.0000	** 29 34 37 41 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87
**************************************	64.0			* CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL	E	PLACED	E *	URMERG	**************************************	720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720830.21P 720830.31P 720830.41P 720906.000 720906.000	** 29 34 37 40 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80
**************************************	64.0 64.0	5.0		<ul> <li>CNE</li> <li>202.37</li> <li>224.48</li> <li>262.87</li> <li>268.54</li> <li>14.92</li> <li>18.56</li> <li>16.79</li> <li>13.74</li> <li>10.47</li> </ul>	CYGLI	E (	COMPLET	E *	UBMERG DKAIN	**************************************	720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720803.000 720830.21P 720830.21P 720830.41PJ 720906.000 720906.000	** 29 337 34 0 0 0 7
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0949 720922.0950 720922.0950 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.1545 720922.1625	64.0 64.0	5.0		<ul> <li>CNE</li> <li>202.37</li> <li>224.48</li> <li>262.87</li> <li>268.54</li> <li>14.92</li> <li>18.56</li> <li>16.79</li> <li>13.74</li> <li>10.47</li> </ul>	CYCL	E (	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES	E * IN S TO	URMERG DRALN	******** Tank Rack	720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720830.270 720830.270 720830.479 720830.479 720906.000 720906.000	** 294 337 40V 84 007 29
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.1545 720922.1625	64.0 64.0	5.0		* CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL 205.9 228.6	E (	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES	E * IN S TO	URMERG DRAIN	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720804.000 720830.27P 720830.37P 720830.47P 720906.000 720906.000 720906.000	** 294 331 84 84 84 10 84 10 7 234
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0957 720922.0951 720922.0951 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.1545 720922.1625 720922.1625	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL 205.9 228.6 266.9	E (	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES	E * IN S TO	URMERG DHAIN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 7208030.270; 720830.479; 720930.400; 720906.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	** 23331084017 2337
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0950 720922.0951 720922.0953 720922.0955 720922.0955 720922.1955 720922.1625 720922.1625	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL 205.9 228.6 268.9 270.4	E ( 31 5	COMPLET PLACED SANPLES	E *	URMERG DKAIN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720830.27P 720830.37P 720906.000; 720906.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	** 9471 2331 08400 2331 2331 2331 2331
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0950 720922.0950 720922.0957 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.1055 720922.1625 720922.1625 720922.1625	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL 205.9 228.6 266.9 270.4 15.3	E ( 31 552	COMPLET PLACED SANPLES	E *	URMERG DHAIN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720803.030; 720803.030; 720803.0400; 720906.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	** 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371 23371
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0950 720922.0950 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.1545 720922.1545 720922.1625 720922.1625	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.478 262.478 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	CYCL 205.9 228.6 268.9 270.4 15.3 19.4	E ( 31 522	COMPLET PLACED SANPLES	IN S TO	URMERG DHAIN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720830.27Pj 720830.37Pj 720830.47Pj 720906.000; 720906.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720803.37Pj 720830.37Pj	** 9471VVV17 9471VV
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0950 720922.0950 720922.0950 720922.0957 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0955 720922.1625 720922.1625 720922.1625 720922.1626	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	205.9 228.6 266.9 270.4 15.3 19.4 17.5	E ( 31 05 22 4	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES	E * IN S TO	URMERG DKALN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720803.27P1 720830.37P1 720830.47P, 720906.000; 720804.000; 72080;	** 9471VVV17 9471VVV
720922.0947 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0948 720922.0950 720922.0950 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.0953 720922.1545 720922.1545 720922.1625 720922.1625 720922.1626	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	205.9 228.6 266.4 15.3 19.4 17.5 14.2	E ( 31052241	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES	E *	URMERG DKALN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720906.000 720906.000 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	** 9471VVV17 9471VVV1
**************************************	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	205.9 228.6 266.9 270.4 15.3 19.4 17.5 14.2	E (	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES	E *	URMERG DKAIN	TANK Rack	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720803.270 720803.270 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	* 9471VVV17 9471VVV17
**************************************	64.0 64.0	5.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	205.9 228.6 266.9 270.4 15.3 19.4 17.5 14.2 10.7	E ( 3105224112	COMPLET PLACED SANPLES SAMPLES	IN S TO	URMERG DHAIN FREEZE	TANK RACK	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720906.000 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 72080.000; 72080.000;	** 9471VVV17 9471VVV17
**************************************	64.0 64.0	5.0 -2.0		CNE 202.37 224.48 262.87 268.54 14.92 18.56 16.79 13.74 10.47	205.9 228.6 266.9 270.4 15.3 19.4 17.5 14.5 10.7	E ( 31055224122	COMPLET PLACED SAMPLES CHECKED	IN S TO	FREEZE PLES L	TANK RACK R FRZR	720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720803.270; 720803.070; 720906.000; 720906.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000; 720804.000;	* 9471VVV17 9471VVV17

OATE         TIME         TEPOPERATURES         VECTOR         FARDEL         CALCS OR NOTES         SAMPLE           740027.1488 ADH FREA RDUY SUMME FREE CY         720040.00021         203.41         720010.00021           72025.0220         223.44         720020.00021         720040.00021           72025.0321         226.42         720020.00021         720020.00021           72025.0321         226.42         720020.00021         720020.00021           72025.0322         14.33         720030.4702         720030.4702           72025.0322         14.33         720030.4702         720030.4702           72025.0324         10.50         SAMPLES SUBMERECD         720030.00001           72025.0324         200.531         720030.00001         720030.00001           72025.1525         200.531         720030.00001         720030.00001           72025.1525         200.531         720030.00001         720030.00001           72025.1525         15.37         720030.00001         720030.00001           72025.1525         15.37         720030.00001         720030.00001           72025.1525         10.40         92000.00001         720030.0001           72025.1525         2.0         13.68         720030.0001 <th>*******</th> <th>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</th> <th>* THO</th> <th>CYCLES COMPLET</th> <th>E ************</th> <th>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</th>	*******	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* THO	CYCLES COMPLET	E ************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
70025.020         20.41         70004.0001           70025.020         22.544         72005.0001           72025.021         26.42         72005.0001           72025.022         14.53         72005.0001           72025.022         14.53         72005.0001           72025.022         14.33         72005.0001           72025.022         14.33         72005.0001           72025.022         13.40         72005.0001           72025.022         13.40         72005.0001           72025.022         20.51         72005.0001           72025.022         20.51         72005.0001           72025.122         20.51         72005.0001           72025.1521         20.51         72005.0001           72025.1522         20.51         72005.0001           72025.1523         15.37         72005.0001           72025.1525         13.62         72005.0001           72025.1525         13.62         72005.0001           72025.1525         13.62         72005.0001           72025.1525         13.60         72005.0001           72025.1525         13.60         72005.0001           72025.1525         13.60         72005.0001 <td>DATE TIME YRMODY.HRMM</td> <td>TEMPERATURES SATH FRZR ROUM</td> <td>WE I GHT SUBMR</td> <td>BEFOR FT CALC FREZE CY</td> <td>S OR NOTES</td> <td>NUMBER</td>	DATE TIME YRMODY.HRMM	TEMPERATURES SATH FRZR ROUM	WE I GHT SUBMR	BEFOR FT CALC FREZE CY	S OR NOTES	NUMBER
70025.0820         225.44         70080-0003           70025.0820         225.44         70080-0003           70025.0822         14.29         70080-0003           70025.0822         14.30         72083.376W           70025.0823         18.30         72083.376W           70025.0823         18.30         72083.376W           70025.0823         18.30         72083.376W           70025.0823         18.30         72083.376W           70025.0825         10.30         72097.092.000.0007           72025.1925         20.51         72000.0007           72025.1921         20.51         72000.0007           72025.1922         20.51         72000.0007           72025.1923         20.57         72000.0007           72025.1923         13.57         72000.0007           72025.1925         13.68         72000.0007           72025.1925         13.68         72000.0007           72025.1926         13.68         72006.00007           72025.1926         13.68         72006.00007           72025.1926         13.68         72006.00007           72025.1926         13.69         72006.00007           72025.1926         13.68 <t< td=""><td>720925.0820</td><td></td><td>203.61</td><td></td><td></td><td>720804.00029</td></t<>	720925.0820		203.61			720804.00029
20032.0331         224.33         720034.0031           20032.0321         224.33         720034.0031           70032.0321         14.29         720032.032.20           70032.0323         14.30         720033.030.20           70032.0323         14.30         720033.030.20           70032.0323         13.30         72003.040           70032.0323         13.30         72003.040           70032.0323         13.30         72003.040           70032.0323         13.30         72003.040           70032.0323         13.30         72003.040           70032.0323         13.30         72003.040           72032.0323         20.31         72003.0400           72032.0323         20.4.31         72000.00007           72032.0323         20.4.71         72000.00017           72032.0323         20.4.71         72004.00017           72032.0323         20.4.71         72004.00017           72032.0323         13.5.37         72003.0100           72032.0325         13.5.37         72003.0100           72032.0325         13.68         72004.00017           72032.0375         20.0         10.00         72004.00017           72032.0150	720925.0820		225.64			720804.00034
1         2003.2         200.2         1         2003.0         1           1         2003.2         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1           1         2002.5         0.02         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1           1         2002.5         0.02         1         1.00         1         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1         2003.0         1	720925.0821		262.53			720804.00037
120035.10025         14.30         170033.15FP           120035.10024         13.40         120036.00007           120035.0024         13.40         120036.00007           120035.0024         10.50         SAMPLES SUBMERED         12006.00007           120035.0024         200.31         200.31         12006.00007           120035.0024         200.31         200.31         12006.00007           120035.0024         200.31         200.31         12006.00007           120035.0120         200.31         720035.0127         720036.00007           120035.0120         200.31         720035.0120         720035.0120           120035.0120         200.31         720035.0120         720035.0120           120035.0120         200.31         720035.0120         720035.0120           120035.0120         200.31         720035.0120         720035.0120           120035.0120         13.40         720035.0120         720035.0120           120035.0120         13.40         720035.0120         720035.0120           720035.0126         20.0         13.40         720035.0120           720035.0126         20.0         0344MPLES TO FREEZE         720036.0007           720035.0126         20.0	720925-0821		200.32			720804.00041
12025-0253         17-33         120030.4 FPL           12025-0254         13.60         120906.00001           12025-0254         13.60         120906.00001           12025-0254         10.50         120906.00001           12025-0254         10.50         120906.00001           12025-0254         10.50         120906.00001           12025-0254         10.50         120906.00001           12025-0254         12.000.00014         120906.000014           12025-0254         12.010         120906.000014           12025-0254         12.011         120906.00014           120255         12.51         120006.00014           120255         12.51         12006.00014           120255         11.71         120005.01001           120255         11.71         120005.01001           120256.1525         11.71         120006.00001           120256.1525         11.71         120006.00001           120256.1525         11.71         120006.00001           120256.1520         2.0         13.68         12096.00004           120256.0510         120.64.01         12096.0001         12096.0001           120256.0510         14.73         12080.0100	720925.0322		14.29			720030.2100
120325.0022 13.00 120325.0045 62.0 66.0 120935.0045 62.0 66.0 120935.0045 62.0 66.0 120935.0045 62.0 66.0 120935.0045 62.0 66.0 120935.0045 62.0 66.0 120935.0045 62.0 67.0 20 120935.1025 10 001P RACK 120935.1022 2005.0000 120925.1022 2005.0000 120925.1025 10 000 120925.1025 10 000 10025 1000 1000 120925.1025 10 000 120925.1025 10 000 10025 1000 1000 120925.1025 10 000 10025 1000 1000 10025 1000 1000 10000 10000 10000 1000 10000 1000 10000 1000 10000 10000 10000 1000 10000 1000 10000 10000 10000  10000 10000 100000 10000 10000 100000 10000000 10000000000	720925.0025		17 33			720830 ATRAV
120325.0025         10.50         120006.00007           120325.0025.0025         5AMPLES SUBMERCED         720075.00507           120325.0025.0027         204.31         SAMPLES SUBMERCED           120325.0125.0         204.31         720075.00207           120325.0125.0         204.31         720075.00207           120325.0125.0         204.51         720076.00207           120325.0125.0         204.51         720076.00207           120325.0125.0         204.51         720076.00207           120325.0125.0         204.57         720080.0021           120325.0125.0         15.37         720080.0021           120325.0125.0         15.37         720080.0021           120325.0125.0         13.68         720096.00001           720325.0126         2.0         038ENVD SAMPLES TO FREEZER         72006.00007           720326.0150         2.0         038ENVD SAMPLES TO FREEZER         72006.00007           720326.0151         2.0         038ENVD SAMPLES TO FREEZER         72006.00007           720326.0152         2.0         038ENVD SAMPLES TO FREEZER         72006.00007           720326.0151         2.0         72086.0007         72086.0007           720326.0152         10.40         72086.0007	720025 0824		12 90			720806 00001
720925:095         62.0         64.0         SAMPLES SUBMERCED           720925:1452         206.31         720825.1452         7208.04.0029           720925:1452         206.31         7208.04.0029           720925:1452         206.31         7208.04.0029           720925:1452         206.31         7208.04.0029           720925:1452         206.31         7208.04.0029           720925:1523         15.37         720804.00019           720925:1524         13.82         7208.03.0199W           720925:1525         13.08         720905.0199W           720925:1526         13.08         720906.00007           720925:1526         10.68         72096.00007           720925:1526         10.69         72096.00007           720925:1526         10.68         72096.00007           720925:1520         2.0         034ENUD SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720926:0750         201.47         720804.00029           720926:0750         201.47         720804.00027           720926:0751         14.73         720804.00017           720926:0753         11.78         720905.00007           720926:0753         11.78         720905.00007           720926:0753         12.7	720925.0825		10.50			720906-00007
720925,1445         63.0         67.0         SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK           720925,1521         200,31         720926,1521         720926,1521           720925,1522         228,37         720926,1620           720925,1522         228,37         720926,1620           720925,1523         224,47         720926,1620           720925,1523         15,37         720926,1620           720925,1525         15,47         720926,1620           720925,1525         15,47         720926,1620           720925,1525         13,62         720926,0001           720925,1525         13,68         720906,00001           720925,1525         13,68         720906,00001           720925,1525         13,68         720906,00001           720925,1525         10,68         720906,00001           720926,0750         221,77         720906,0001           720926,0750         225,77         720906,0001           720926,0751         261,62         720906,0001           720926,0751         261,62         720906,0001           720926,0752         17,01         720906,0001           720926,0752         17,03         720906,0001           720926,0752         17,03	720925-0845	62.0 66.0		SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
720025.1521         200.31         720025.1521         720020.0029           720025.1522         220.37         720020.0029           720025.1522         263.57         720004.00034           720025.1522         263.57         720004.00034           720025.1522         263.57         720004.00034           720025.1523         263.57         720005.02003.3769V           720025.1524         19.82         720005.0200.3769V           720025.1525         17.71         720005.01004           720025.1525         17.71         72005.01000           720025.1526         13.88         720006.000007           720025.0750         2.0         0.364 MPLES TO FREEZER         72006.00007           720025.0750         2.0.777         72008.0007         72008.0007           720025.0750         2.0.777         72008.0007         72008.0007           720026.0751         2.0.51         72008.0007         72008.0007           720026.0751         2.0.52         72008.0007         72008.0007           720026.0751         1.0.31         720000.0007         72008.0007           720026.0751         1.0.40         720000.0007         720000.0007           720026.0753         1.0.40         72000	720925.1445	63.0 67.0		SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
720925.1522         229.37         72090.00034           720925.1522         264.59         72006.00037           720925.1523         264.77         720804.00037           720925.1523         264.77         720804.00037           720925.1523         264.77         720804.00037           720925.1525         10.62         720803.01494           720925.1525         11.71         720803.01494           720925.1525         13.68         72096.00007           720925.1525         10.68         5449155         72096.00007           720926.3765         2.0         0.3494155         72096.00007           720926.3765         2.0         0.3494155         72096.00007           720926.3765         220.77         720804.00041         72096.00007           720926.0751         261.62         72096.00007         720926.0751           720926.0751         261.62         72096.00007         720926.0751           720926.0751         261.62         72096.00007         720926.0751           720926.0751         1.4.71         72080.0007         72096.00007           720926.0751         1.4.71         72080.0007         72096.00007           720926.0752         11.4.91         72080	720925.1521			206.31		720804.00029
720925.1522         264.59         720004.0037           720925.1522         264.57         72005.0004           720925.1523         264.77         72005.0004           720925.1524         10.82         72005.0004           720925.1525         17.11         72005.0004           720925.1525         13.68         72096.00001           720925.1525         10.50         54MPLES TO FREEZER           720925.1525         10.0         03EENVD SAMPLES FM FREEZER           720926.3765         2.0         10.55           720926.3765         2.0         03EENVD SAMPLES FM FREEZER           720926.3765         2.0         10.56           720926.0750         221.77         72006.00007           720926.0751         2.65.65         72006.00037           720926.0751         2.65.65         72006.0003           720926.0751         10.01         720030.0790           720926.0751         10.01         720030.0791           720926.0751         10.01         720030.0790           720926.0751         10.02         72006.00007           720926.0751         10.02         72006.00007           720926.0751         10.78         72006.0001           720	720925.1522			229.37		720804.00034
72025,1523         264,77         72080,0004           72025,1523         15,37         72083,152,170           72025,1525         15,12         72083,152,170           72025,1525         13,08         72096,00007           72025,1525         13,08         72096,00007           72025,1525         13,08         72096,00007           72025,1525         13,08         72096,00007           72025,1526         10,68         72096,00007           72025,1526         10,68         72096,00007           72025,1526         10,68         72096,00007           72025,1526         10,68         72096,00007           72025,1526         10,68         72096,00007           72025,1526         10,69         72096,00007           72025,1526         11,78         72080,0007           72025,0751         10,01         72080,0007           72026,0751         10,01         72080,0007           72026,0751         10,01         72080,0007           72026,0753         11,78         72080,0007           72026,0753         10,49         72096,0007           72026,0753         10,49         72096,0007           72026,0753         10,49	720925.1522			268.59		720804.00037
720925.1523         15.37         72083.1293           720925.1525         13.08         72083.1294           720925.1525         13.08         72083.01984           720925.1525         13.08         720906.00001           720925.1525         13.08         720906.00001           720925.1525         13.08         720906.00001           720925.1525         13.08         720906.00001           720925.1525         13.08         720906.00001           720925.1525         10.08         54MPLES TO FREEZE           720926.0750         221.77         720804.00037           720926.0750         221.77         720804.00037           720926.0751         261.62         720806.00037           720926.0752         17.03         720806.00037           720926.0752         17.03         720806.00037           720926.0751         10.01         720906.00007           720926.0752         17.03         720806.00007           720926.0752         17.03         720806.00007           720926.0752         17.03         720806.00007           720926.0752         10.49         720806.00007           720926.0753         10.49         720806.00007	720925.1523			264.77		720804.03041
720325,1524         19,82         72030,1525           720325,1525         13,83         72000,1000           720325,1525         13,83         72000,1000           720325,1525         13,83         72000,0000           720325,1525         13,83         72000,00007           720325,1526         10,68         72000,00007           720325,1526         10,68         72000,00007           720325,1526         10,68         72000,00007           720325,1526         10,68         72000,00007           720325,1526         11,79         7200,0007           720326,1576         221,47         7200,0007           720326,1575         221,47         7200,0007           720326,0750         221,47         7200,0007           720326,0750         221,47         7200,0007           720326,0751         10,01         72000,0007           720326,0751         10,01         72000,0007           720326,0753         17,02         72000,0007           720326,0753         10,49         72000,0007           720326,0750         72000,0007         72000,0007           720326,0753         10,49         72000,0007           720326,100         20,95<	720925.1523			15.37		720830.2TPDV
729325,1525         11,71         72030,1525           729325,1525         13,68         72097,152           729325,1525         13,68         72096,00007           729325,1530         2,0         0.584MPLES TO FREEZER         72096,00007           720925,1530         3,0 T0,0         0.384MPLES TO FREEZER         72096,00007           720925,1530         2,0         0.584MPLES TO FREEZER         72096,00007           720925,1750         221,77         720926,00007         720926,0750           720925,0750         221,77         720926,0750         72054,0004           720925,0750         221,77         720926,00007         720926,0001           720926,0751         261,82         720804,00024         720926,0001           720926,0751         14,01         720303,178         720926,0001           720926,0751         14,01         720030,178         720906,00007           720926,0751         14,01         720926,0001         720926,0001           720926,0751         14,01         720926,0001         720926,0001           720926,0751         14,01         720926,0007         720926,0007           720926,075         17,03         720906,0007         720926,0001           720926,1001	720925.1524			19.82		720830.3TPBV
720725,1523         13.83         720706.00001           720725,1523         2.0         0.35 SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720725,1523         2.0         0.35 END SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720725,1523         2.0         0.35 END SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720726,1575         2.0         0.35 END SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720726,0750         221.77         720804.00029           720726,0750         221.77         720804.00029           720726,0751         245.65         720804.00029           720726,0751         245.65         720803.0100           720726,0751         10.01         720803.0100           720726,0751         10.01         720803.0100           720726,0752         11.01         720803.0100           720726,0753         10.00         72070.000           720726,0753         10.40         72080.0007           720726,0753         10.40         72080.0007           720726,0753         10.40         72080.0007           720726,0753         10.40         72080.0001           720726,0753         10.40         72080.0001           720726,0753         10.40         72080.0001           720726,0753         17.90         72080.0001	720925.1525			17.71		720830.4TPAV
72072.1520         10.68         LMPLES TO FREEZER         720906.00007           72072.1520         2.0         035E4VD SAMPLES FREFAR         70007.00007           72072.63765         3.0 TO.0         035E4VD SAMPLES FREFAR         70007.00007           72072.63765         2.0 THREE CVLLES COMPLETE         ************************************	720925.1525	×		13.88		720906.00001
72072-1330         2.0         SAMPLES         Diffuence         Transfer           72072-1370         3.0         0.3         Diffuence         Diffuence         Transfer           72072-1376         720-74.3         Transfer         Transfer         Transfer         Transfer           72072-1376         221.77         T20004.00017         T20504.00017         T20504.00017           72072-0375         221.77         T20004.00017         T20504.00017         T20504.00017           72072-0375         10.01         T20050.00017         T20504.00017         T20504.00017           72072-0375         10.01         T20050.00017         T20506.00017         T20506.00017           72072-0375         10.49         SAMPLES-SUBMERGED         T20506.000017         T20506.000017           72072-0375         10.49         SAMPLES TO BREP RGK         T20506.000017         T20506.000017           72072-0375         10.49         SAMPLES TO BREP RGK         T20506.000017         T20506.000017           72072-0375         10.49         SAMPLES TO BREP RGK         T20506.000017         T20506.000017           72072-04075         13.76         T20506.000017         T20506.000017         T20506.000017           72072-04075         13.56 <td< td=""><td>720925.1526</td><td></td><td></td><td>10.68</td><td></td><td>720905.00007</td></td<>	720925.1526			10.68		720905.00007
TAUKES, 1433         Stul TULD         Distent of Status           720924, 6750         204-97         T20804, 00026           720924, 6750         204-97         720804, 00026           720924, 6750         204-97         720804, 00026           720924, 6750         204-97         720804, 00026           720924, 0751         261-82         720904, 00041           720924, 0751         14, 73         720804, 00041           720924, 0751         19-01         720804, 00041           720924, 0751         19-01         720804, 00041           720924, 0753         10-49         720806, 00007           720924, 0753         10-49         720906, 00007           720924, 0753         10-49         720906, 00007           720924, 0753         10-49         720906, 00007           720924, 0753         10-49         720906, 00007           720924, 0753         10-49         720906, 00007           720924, 1030         205, 50         720904, 00326           720924, 1030         205, 50         720904, 00326           720924, 1030         205, 50         720904, 00326           720924, 1030         205, 50         720904, 00326           720924, 1030         205, 50	720925.1530	2.0		SAMPLES	TU FREEZER	
TIMEE         CVCLES         COMPLETE         COMPLETE           7.0074.070         220.771         720074.070           7.0074.070         221.771         720074.070           7.0074.0751         265.65         720080.0701           7.0074.0751         261.62         720080.0701           7.0074.0751         10.40         720080.0701           7.0074.0751         10.40         720080.0701           7.0074.0752         11.04         720080.0701           7.0074.0752         10.49         720080.0001           7.0074.0752         10.49         SAMPLES_SUBMERGED           7.0074.0753         200.90         5AMPLES <tubrerged< td="">           7.0074.0753         200.90         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         10.49         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         10.49         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         10.49         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         200.90         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         10.49         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         10.49         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         10.50         720080.00001           7.0074.0753         13.40         720080.00001</tubrerged<>	120920.3143	3.0 70.0		USACAWD S	AMPLES FAM FREN	
720926,0750         2C4.97         720084,0750           720926,0750         221.77         720926,0750           720926,0750         221.77         720926,0750           720926,0751         265.65         720804,00034           720926,0751         261.82         720936,0750           720926,0751         10.11         720936,0703           720926,0752         11.01         720030,0754           720926,0753         13.78         720926,0703           720926,0753         10.49         SAMPLES.SUBRECED           720926,0754         10.49         SAMPLES.TO DRLP RACK           720926,0751         207.9         SAMPLES.TO DRLP RACK           720926,0753         10.49         SAMPLES.TO DRLP RACK           720926,1053         207.9         SAMPLES.TO DRLP RACK           720926,1054         209.9         72006,00007           720926,1057         17.05         72006,00017           720926,1057         17.05         72005,0001           720926,1057         17.05         72005,0001           720926,1057         17.05         72005,0001           720926,1057         17.05         72005,0001           720926,1057         17.50         72005,0001	********	*****	• THR	E CYCLES COMPL	ETE ************	**********
120026.0190         264.45         120000.0001           120026.0190         264.45         120000.0001           120026.0191         120326.0191         120326.0001           120026.0191         14.73         120306.0001           120026.0191         19.01         120306.0001           120026.0191         19.01         120306.0001           120026.0191         17.48         120306.0001           120026.0191         10.49         SAMPLES.SUBMERCED           120026.0191         10.49         SAMPLES.SUBMERCED           120026.0191         2233.50         120006.00001           120026.1003         2233.50         120006.00001           120026.1003         209.55         120006.00001           120026.1003         209.55         120006.00001           120026.1003         15.40         120006.0001           120026.1007         15.41         120006.0001           120026.1007         13.51         120006.00001           120026.1007         15.40         120006.00001           120026.1007         15.51         120006.00001           120026.1007         10.52         MPUES TO FREEFER           120026.00007         10.52         MPUES TO FREFER	720926.0750		204.97			720804.00029
2/0924.0951         269.693         2/0904.00031           2/0924.0951         269.693         2/094.00031           2/0924.0751         14.73         72032.0752           2/0924.0752         17.03         72003.0762           2/0924.0753         13.78         72005.0007           2/0924.0753         13.78         72005.0007           2/0924.0753         13.78         72005.0007           720924.0753         13.78         72005.00007           720924.0753         10.49         SAMPLES SUBMERCED           720924.1030         207.38         72004.00027           720924.1030         207.38         72004.00037           720924.1057         233.50         72004.00037           720924.1057         72005.0007         72005.0007           720924.1057         72005.0007         72005.00037           720924.1057         72005.00034         72005.00034           720924.1057         72005.00037         72005.00034           720924.1057         72005.00037         72005.00034           720925.1057         72005.00034         72005.00034           720925.1057         17.50         72005.00007           720925.1057         10.52         720056.00007	720926.0750		221.11			120304.00034
71995/201751         21443         729320.2700           71995/201751         10-01         720320.3760           72092/201751         10-01         720320.3760           72092/201752         17-03         720320.3760           72092/201752         10-01         720320.3760           72092/201752         10-01         720320.40001           72092/201752         10-01         720320.40001           72092/201752         10-01         720920.40001           72092/201752         10-01         720920.40001           72092/201752         10-01         5404155           72092/201752         10-01         720920.40001           72092/201752         10-01         5404155           72092/20175         540155         720920.40001           72092/20175         2017.50         720920.40001           72092/20175         15.41         720920.40001           72092/20107         15.45         720920.40001           72092/20107         15.45         720920.40001           72092/20107         15.45         720906.40001           72092/20107         15.45         720906.40001           72092/20107         15.45         720906.40001           720	720926.0751		265.65			720804.00037
120324.01751         10.01         120030.1190           120324.01751         10.01         120030.1190           120324.01752         11.03         120030.1190           120324.01753         13.76         120050.0007           120324.0175         10.49         SAMPLES.SUBMERCED           120324.0171         0.49         SAMPLES.SUBMERCED           120324.0170         0.49         SAMPLES.TO DRLP RACK           120324.0100         207.30         10.49           120324.0100         207.30         10.00           120324.0100         207.30         10.00           120324.0001         207.30         10.000           120324.0002         207.30         10.000           120324.0001         10.00         10.000           120324.0001         10.000         10.000           120324.0001         11.50         120030.0001           120324.0001         11.50         120030.00001           120324.0001         10.52         54MPLES TO FREETER           120324.0001         10.52         54MPLES TO FREETER           120324.0001         10.52         54MPLES FROM FREETER	720926.0751		201.82			720804-00041
720926.0752         17.01         720030.4752           720926.0752         17.01         720926.00007           720926.0753         13.78         72096.00007           720926.0753         10.49         72096.00007           720926.0753         10.49         72096.00007           720926.0153         64.0         9           720926.0153         64.0         9           720926.0153         64.0         9           720926.016         207.38         720804.00027           720926.0100         203.50         720804.00027           720926.0107         233.50         720804.00037           720926.0107         15.41         720803.21607           720926.1007         10.65         72083.3187           720926.1007         17.50         72083.3187           720926.1007         17.50         72083.02107           720926.1007         10.65         72093.00007           720926.1007         10.52         72096.00007           720926.1007         10.52         54040ES TO FREETER           720926.1007         70072.0006         720906.00007           720926.1007         720926.00007         720926.00007           720927.0066         7	720920-0751		19.15			720320 27094
720726.20753         11.76         720705.0070           720726.0753         10.49         720726.0753           720726.0753         10.49         SAMPLES.SUBARERGED           720726.0753         06.49         SAMPLES.SUBARERGED           720726.1733         06.49         SAMPLES.SUBARERGED           720726.1730         06.40         72006.0007           720726.1030         230.50         72006.0007           720726.1030         230.50         72006.00037           720726.1005         250.95         72006.00037           720726.1007         15.40         72006.00037           720726.1007         15.40         72006.0007           720726.1007         17.50         720030.4004           720726.1007         15.45         720030.4004           720726.1007         15.76         720030.4004           720726.1007         15.76         720030.4004           720726.1007         15.75         72096.00007           720727.1007         10.52         T2076.0007           720976.0007         70070.0016         72096.00007           720976.0007         70070.0016         720976.00007	720926.0151		17.01			720030 47044
720926.0753         10.40         720926.00007           720926.0753         10.40         SAMPLES.SUBMERGED           720926.1300 6+0         0.00 69.0         SAMPLES.TO DRIP RACK           720926.1003         207.98         720804.00029           720926.1003         207.98         720804.00029           720926.1003         207.98         720804.00029           720926.1003         207.98         720804.00029           720926.1003         207.98         720804.00029           720926.1005         203.95         720804.00029           720926.1007         15.41         720804.00034           720926.1007         19.65         720803.319PW           720926.1007         17.50         72083.319PW           720926.1007         17.50         72093.4169W           720926.1007         10.55         720906.00007           720926.1007         10.52         54MPLES TO FREETER           720926.1007         720926.00007         720926.00007           720927.1006         7.0         0           720926.00007         720926.00007         720926.00007           720926.00007         720926.00007         720926.00007           720927.0066         7.0         0 </td <td>720926-0753</td> <td></td> <td>13.78</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>720906-00001</td>	720926-0753		13.78			720906-00001
T20726.0016         62.3         SAMPLES.SUBREGED           T20726.1030         64.0         U.0         SAMPLES.TO DRIP RACK           T20726.1030         64.0         U.0         SAMPLES.TO DRIP RACK           T20726.1030         64.0         U.0         SAMPLES.TO DRIP RACK           T20726.1030         201.50         T20004.00037           T20726.1005         263.95         T20004.00037           T20726.1007         15.41         T20004.00037           T20726.1007         15.41         T20004.00037           T20726.1007         15.41         T20004.00037           T20726.1007         15.45         T20005.00007           T20726.1007         15.45         T20005.00007           T20726.1007         15.52         SAMPLES TO FREFER           T20707.1009         10.52         SAMPLES TO FREFER           T20070.1009         7.0         OXAMPLES FRDM FREFER	720926.0753		10.49			720906.00007
720926.1030         64.0         0.0.0         SAMPLES         TO         DRIP         RACK           720926.1030         207.38         207.30         72080.4	720926.0914	62.3		SAMPLES	- SUBMERGED	
720924.1603         207.38         720804.0027           720924.1603         233.50         720004.0034           720926.1604         269.95         720804.0031           720926.1605         263.87         720804.0034           720926.1607         15.41         72080.2103           720926.1607         10.65         72080.319PW           720926.1607         17.50         72080.4004           720926.1607         10.55         72080.4004           720926.1607         13.78         72082.4000           720926.1607         10.52         72096.40004           720926.1607         10.52         72096.40004           720926.1607         10.52         72096.40004           720926.1607         10.52         72096.00004           720926.1607         10.52         5404025 FAD1 FREEZER           720926.1609         -0         5484025 FAD1 FREEZER           720927.0604         7.0         0           720925.1510         9.0         0	720926.1530	64.0 10.0 69.0		SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
72922.0.003         233.50         72000.0034           72922.0.004         269.95         72000.0034           72922.0.004         269.95         72000.0034           72072.0.004         269.97         72000.0034           72072.0.007         10.65         720033.1789           720972.0.007         17.50         720033.1789           720972.0.003         13.76         72096.00007           720972.0.004         10.52         5404015 T0 FREETER           720972.0.006         7.0         0.5404015 FRDM FREETER	720926.1603			207.38		720804.00029
723726.1604         269.95         720804.0037           7209726.1605         263.87         720804.0034           7209726.1607         15.41         720804.0034           7209726.1607         10.65         720833.31PPW           7209726.1607         17.50         720833.31PPW           7209726.1607         17.50         720833.31PPW           7209726.1607         13.78         720926.00001           7209726.1607         10.52         720906.00007           7209726.1610         9.0         0.54MPUES TO FREEZER           7209726.1610         7.0         054MPUES FRDM FREEZER	720926.1603			233.50		720804.00034
72972.6.105         263.87         720806.0004           72972.6.105         15.40         720805.007           72972.6.107         15.40         720805.0107           72072.6.1007         17.50         720803.01994           72072.6.1007         17.50         720803.01994           72072.6.1007         15.76         72090.0001           72072.6.1007         10.52         6MPLES TO FREFER           72097.0106         7.0         0	720926.1604			269.95		720804.00037
72926.1007         15.41         72030.2100           720926.1007         10.65         72003.31P0V           720926.1007         17.50         72093.31P0V           720926.1007         13.76         72093.41PaV           720926.1007         10.55         72093.41PaV           720926.1007         10.52         72096.00007           720926.1010         9.0         54MPLES TO FREEZER           720927.0104         7.0         054MPLES FRDM FREEZER	720926.1605			263.87		720804.00041
720926.1607         19.65         720830.31809           720926.1607         17.50         72083.4160           720926.1609         13.78         720906.00001           720926.1609         10.52         720906.00001           720926.1609         10.52         SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720926.1609         0         SAMPLES TO FREEZER           720926.1609         0         SAMPLES TO FREEZER	720926.1607			15.41		720830.2TPDV
7 (2026.1607 17.50 720835.47FAV 7 (2027.6.1607 17.50 720835.40FAV 7 (2027.6.1607 10.52 54PHLES TU FREE/ER 7 (2027.1604 7.0 054PHLES FRDM SREE/EF	720926.1607			19.65	-	720830.3TP8V
720926-1003 13-10 720906-00001 720926-103 10-52 720906-00007 720926-103 5AMPLES TO FREEZER 720927-0906 7-0 045AMPLES FROM FREEZER	120926.1607			17.50		720833.4TPAV
720926.1010 9.0 SAMPLES TO FREEZER 720926.1010 9.0 O4SAMPLES TO FREEZER	720926.1609			13.78		720906.00001
720927.10904 7.0 045AMPLES FROM FREEZER	720024 1410			10.02	TO 5955750	120300-00001
didalli bed i Neli i Neli i "		7.0		DACAMPLES	EDON SPEETED	

**********	**********	FOUR	CYCLES	COMPLETE	*******	*********
DATE TIME	TEMPERATURES	WEIGHT	SEFOR FT	CALCS OR	NOTES	NUHBER
720927.1012 720927.1013 720927.1013 720927.1014 720927.1015 720927.1015 720927.1016 720927.1016 720927.1016 720927.1020 720927.1020	9.0 72.0	205.49 228.12 265.22 259.91 14.42 18.26 16.95 13.75 10.48		SAMPLES SUB SAMPLES TO I	MERGED JRIP RACK Reezer	720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00034 720804.00041 720830.27PDV 720830.37PBV 720830.37PAV 720930.47PAV 720906.00001
720926.0755 6	8.0 7.0 74.0		05	REMVD SAMPLE	S FRM FRZR	
***********	*********	• FIVÉ	CYCLES	COMPLETE	******	*****
720928.0755 720928.0756 720928.0756 720928.0756 720928.0757 720928.0757 720928.0757 720928.0757 720928.0758 720928.0758 720928.0300	.7.0	206.78 229.72 267.82 262.04 14.63 19.05 17.18 13.78 10.50		SAMPLES SUB	IERGED	720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00034 720804.00041 7208004.00041 720830.2TPCV 720830.3TPBV 720830.4TPAY 720906.00007
720928.1525 & 720928.1603 720928.1603 720928.1604 720928.1604 720928.1605 720928.1605 720928.1605 720928.1605 720928.1606 720928.1608 720928.1608 720929.0830	8.0 74.0 7.0 3.0 74.0		208.53 231.96 272.48 264.72 15.41 19.91 17.64 13.82 10.60 06	SAMPLES TO ( SAMPLES TO ) REMVD SAMPLI	FREEZER Es Frm Fr <b>Z</b> r	720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00034 720804.00031 720830.21P0V 720830.31P8V 720830.31P8V 720830.41PAV 720906.00001 720906.00007

146

DATE         TIPE         TECHDEPATURES         VETORIT BEFOR         FT         CALCS OR NOTES         SAMPLE           YRNDYLARM BATH FRZA ROUM SUBMA         FREZE CY         CALCS OR NOTES         NUMBER           YRODYLARM BATH FRZA ROUM SUBMA         FREZE CY         T20804.00029         T20804.00029           Y20272.0832         201.42         T20804.00029         T20804.00029           Y20272.0835         230.43         T20804.00014         T20804.00024           Y20272.0835         240.43         T20804.00014         T20804.00034           Y20272.0837         19.25         T20803.02100         T20803.02100           Y20272.0838         11.02         T20830.4100         T20803.04100           Y20827.0338         13.70         T20904.00001         T20906.00001           Y20827.0338         13.70         SAMPLES SUMMERCED         T20906.00001           Y20827.0338         10.10         SAMPLES SUMMERCED         T20906.00001
Inclusion         Inclusion         Inclusion           70029-0830         - 201-42         720804-00029           72092-0835         230-48         720804-00029           72092-0835         230-48         720804-00029           72092-0835         230-48         720804-00029           72092-0835         260-455         720804-00029           72092-0836         10-25         720830-17904           72092-0836         10-25         720830-47904           72092-0836         13-79         72094-00001           72092-0336         10-25         720830-46000           72092-0336         10-25         72095-00001           72092-0336         10-25         72095-00001           72092-0336         10-25         5449155         72095-00001           72092-0336         10-25         5449155         72095-00001
72052-0.032         -201-42         720094.0023           72052-0.035         230-43         720094.0034           72052-0.035         230-43         720094.0034           72052-0.035         230-43         720094.0034           72052-0.035         262-10         720804.0034           72052-0.035         14.71         720830.2109           72072-0.035         14.25         720830.2109           72072-0.035         14.25         720830.3192           72072-0.035         13.70         72092-0.030           72072-0.035         13.70         72092-0.030           72072-0.035         8.0         13.70           72072-0.035         8.0         72095.0000           72072-0.035         8.0         72095.00000           72072-0.035         8.0         720           72072-0.035         8.0         720           72072-0.035         8.0         72095.00000           72072-0.035         8.0         720           72072-0.035         8.0         72095.00000           72072-0.035         8.0         720
72072-0.035         230.43         72009.0035           72072-0.035         230.43         72009.0031           72072-0.035         260.45         72009.0031           72072-0.035         261.41         72009.0031           72072-0.035         261.41         72009.0031           72072-0.035         19.25         72039.031           72072-0.038         19.25         720830.41PW           72092-0.038         13.79         720830.40001           72072-0.038         13.79         72093.00007           72072-0.038         10.55         SLMPLES SUMMERCED           72072-0.038         10.55         SLMPLES SUMMERCED
720929.0836         269.85         720094.0037           720929.0837         14.71         720894.0030           720929.0837         14.71         720830.2100           720929.0837         14.71         720830.3198           720929.0837         14.25         720830.3198           720929.0837         19.25         720830.4198           720929.0837         13.70         720830.4108           720929.0837         13.70         720830.4108           720929.0838         13.70         720830.40001           720929.0338         13.70         720930.0001           720929.0338         10.70         5449165         720950.00001           720929.0338         10.70         5449165         720950.00001
T20027.0035         262.10         T200804.00041           T20027.0035         14.71         T200804.00041           T20027.0037         14.71         T200804.00041           T20127.0038         10.22         T200804.00041           T20127.0038         13.79         T200806.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T200806.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T200806.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T20080.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T20980.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T20980.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T20980.00001           T20027.0038         13.79         T20990.00007           T20027.0038         13.79         T20990.00007
720729.0837         14.71         720830.2769V           720729.0837         14.71         720830.2769V           720729.0836         17.02         720830.3769V           720729.0836         13.79         720830.4769V           720729.0838         13.79         720830.4600           720729.0338         13.79         720950.0000           720729.0338         10.50         SLMPLES SUMMERCED           720739.0337         5.40         720950.00007
120029-0837         10,25         720830,31690           720029-0836         17.02         720830,31690           720929-0836         13.79         720830,31690           720929-0836         13.79         720930,0100           720929-0836         13.79         720930,0001           720929-0936         10.50         540916.00001           720929-0930         64.0         540916.00001           720929-0930         64.0         540916.0001           720929-1032         63.0         64.0
720729:0838 17.02 720830.4784 720829.0838 13.79 72082.0638 720729.0338 84.0 13.75 £WPLES SUMMRCED 720920.0007 720729.010 84.0 4.0 71.0 \$WPLES SUMMRCED 720906.00007
720929-0338 13.79 720906.00001 720929-0318 64.0 10.50 720906.00007 720929-0318 64.0 10.50 SAMPLES SUBMERCED 720906.00007 720929.051.03.0 4.0 71.0 SAMPLES ID DRIP RACK
720929-0938 68-0 10-50 720906-00007 720929-0910 68-0 SAMPLES SUBMERGED 720906-00007 730929-152 68-0 4-0 71-0 SAMPLES TO DRIP BACK
720729-0910 68-0 SAMPLES SUBMERGED 720929-1532 63-0 6-0 71-0 SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK
720929-1532 63-0 6-0 71-0 SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK
720929-1607 209-70 720804-00029
720929-1608 232-61 720804-00034
720929-1609 274-60 720804-00037
720929-1609 265.56 720804-00041
720329-1609 15-88 720830-2TPDV
720929-1610 20-30 720830-3TPBV
720929-1610 17-78 720830-4TPAV
720929-1610 13-90 720906-00001
720929-1611 10-60 720906-00007
7209 29. 1612 3.0 SAMPLES TO FREEZER
721002-0758 7-0 72-0 07REMOVED FROM FREEZER

******	• • • • • • • • • • •	***** SEVE	N CYCLES	COMPLETE	•••••	•••••
DATE TIME YRHODY.HRMM	TEMPERAT BATH FRZR	URES WEIGHT ROOM SUBMR	FREZE CY	CALCS OR	NOTES	SAMPLE NUHBER
721002.0758 721032.0759 721002.0759 721002.0300 721002.0800 721002.0801 721002.0801 721002.0801		207.36 230.49 269.55 261.75 14.64 18.90 16.94 13.75		WEIGHTS IM AFTER REMO	MEDIATELY VAL	720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00031 720804.00041 720830.27PDV 720830.37PBV 720830.47PAV 720906.00001
721002.0802 721002.0806 721002.0834	67.0 67.0	10.50 72.0 72.0	)	SAMPLES TO I SAMPLES REWI	ROOM ATHOS EIGHED	720906.00007
721002.0835 721002.0836 721002.0837 721002.0837 721002.0838 721002.0838 721002.0839 721002.0839 721002.0839		207.05 230.00 268.41 261.21 14.45 18.52 13.79 10.50				720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00037 720830.27Ppv 720830.37Ppv 720830.37Ppv 720830.47PAV 720930.00007
721002.0340 721002.1512 721003.0750 721003.0304 721003.0304 721003.0305 721003.0305 721003.0307 721003.0307 721003.0307 721003.0313 721003.0313 721003.0313	8.0 66.0 11.0 65.0 -4.0	70.0	204.96 227.48 260.32 257.47 14.10 17.08 16.64 13.75 10.49	SAMPLES SUN SAMPLES SAT WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI WEIGHT BEFOI SAMPLES TO 1 TEMPGRATURE	MERGED DRIP RACK IN RM ALL 3E FRZR KE FRZR 3E FRZR 3E FRZR 3E FRZR 3E FRZR 3E FRZR 3E FRZR 3E FRZR 5E FRZ FREEZER CHECK 55 FRM FRZR	NITE 720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00031 720830.21PDV 720830.31PBV 720830.31PBV 720830.41PAV 720930.6.00007

••••	EIGH	T CYCLES COMPLETE ***	••••••
DATE TIME TEMP YRMODY.HRMM BATH	FRATURES WEIGHT	BEFOR FT CALCS OR N FREZE CY	DTES SAMPLE NUMBER
721004.0801 721004.0801 721004.0801	205.53		720804-00029 720804-00034 720804-00037
721064.0802	258.00		720804.00041
721004.0303	17.20		720830.3TPBV
721004.0304	13.78		720906.00301
721004.0804	-2.0 73.0	SAMPLES SUBME	RGED
721004.1505 65.0	8.0 74.0	09SAMPLES TO FR	EZER
/21005.0800 85.0	0.0 72.5	KEMVU SAMPLES	FRM FRZR
***************	westster NINE	CYCLES COMPLETE ****	**********************
721005.0800	208.09 230.81		720804.00029 720804.00034
721005.0802	265.16	3 61N (04CV	720804.00041
721005.0303	18.85	STOTA CAREK	720830.3TPBV
721005.0304	13.80		720906-00001
721005.0833 65.0	9.0 72.5	SAMPLES SUBME SAMPLES TO DR	IGED
721005.1517 67.0 721006.0758 66.0	0.5 75.0 5.0 73.0	10SAMPLES TO FRI REMVD SAMPLES	EZER FRM FRZR
****************	***************** TEN	CYCLES COMPLETE ****	******
721006.1017	207.18		720804.00029
721036.1018 721036.1019	230.18		720804.00034 720304.00037
721006.1019 721005.1020	262.98		720804.00041 720830.2TPDV
721006.1020 721006.1021	17.41	•	720830.31P8V 720830.41PAV
7210 06.1021	13.78		720906.00001 720906.00007
721006.1029 66.0	9.0 73.0	SAMPLES SUBMER SAMPLES TO DR	IGED IP BACK
721006.1707 66.0	3.0 73.0 5.0 72.0	SAMPLES TO FRI LIREMVD SAMPLES	EZER FRM FPZR

*****	****** ELEVEN CYCLE	S COMPLETE ************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
DATE TIME TEM YRMUDY.HRMM BATH	PERATURES WEIGHT BEFOR F FRZR ROOM SUBMR FREZE C	T CALCS OR NOTES	SAMPLE
721009.0802 721009.0803 721009.0803 721009.0803 721009.0803 721009.0804 721009.0805 721009.0805 721009.0805 721009.0805	207.87 230.98 269.85 266.11 14.32 17.68 16.88 13.79 10.51	SAMPLES SUBMERGED	720804.00029 720804.00034 720804.00037 720804.00041 720830.2TPDV 720830.2TPDV 720830.3TPBV 720830.4TPAV 720906.00001 720906.00007
721009.1545 66.0	9.0 73.0	SAMPLES TO FREEZER	
721010.0725 64.0	-3.0 72.0	SAMPLES FROM FREEZER	
*********	*********** TWELVE CYCLE	S COMPLETE *************	**********
721010.0750 721010.0750 721010.0750 721010.0750 721010.0751 721010.0752 721010.0752 721010.0755 721010.0755 721010.1755 66.0 721010.1358 66.5 721011.0808 64.2	208.79 232.08 272.30 265.75 14.39 16.35 15.80 13.80 10.50 4.0 72.0 4.0 74.0 -3.0 74.0	SAMPLES SUBMERGED SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK SAMPLES TO FREEZER SAMPLES TOMO FREEZER	720804.00029 720804.00037 720804.00037 720804.00037 720804.00041 720830.37PDV 720830.37PDV 720830.37PDV 720930.47PAV 720906.00001 720906.00007
•••••	*********** THIRTEEN CYC	LES COMPLETE ***********	*****
721011.0317 721011.0820 721011.0820 721011.0820 721011.0821 721011.0321 721011.0321 721011.0322 721011.0822 721011.0822 721011.0555 67.0 721011.1521 68.0 721012.3805 68.0	209-18 273-02 273-02 265-59 14-34 19-08 17-11 13-80 13-80 10-50 5-0 73-5 3-0 75-0 6-0 75-5 6-0 75-5	SAMPLES SUBMERGED SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK SAMPLES TO FACEZER SAMPLES FANN FACEZER	720804.00029 720804.00037 720804.00037 720804.00041 720830.27P0V 720330.37P6V 720330.47PAV 72030.00001 720906.00007

******	FOURTEEN CYCLE	S COMPLETE ********	***********
DATE TIME TEMPERATURES	WEIGHT BEFOR FT	CALCS OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YRMODY.HPMM BATH FPZK KJOM	SURMR FREZE CY		NUMBER
721012-0407	209.25		720804.00029
721012.0807	232.85		720804.00034
721012.0807	272.62		720804.00037
721012.0808	265.74		720304.00041
721012.0808	14.42		720830.21PDV
721012.0809	18.72		720830.3TPBV
721012.0809	16.90		720830.4TPAV
721012.0810	13.80		720906.00001
721012.0810	10.52		150300.00001
721012.0845 68.0 2.0 74.0		SAMPLES SUBMERGED	
721012.1526 68.5 3.0 76.0		SAMPLES TO URIP RACK	
721012.1557 68.5 11.0 76.0		AMPLES TO PREEZER	
/21013.0800 67.5 9.0 /2.0	-	SAMPLES FROM FREEZER	
*******	FIFTEEN CYCLES	COMPLETE *********	••••
721013.3803	209.87		720804.00029
721013.0803	233.48		720804.00034
721013.0804	275.55		720804.00037
721013.0804	267.47		720804.00041
721013.0304	15.29		720830.2TPDV
721013.0904	18.96		720830.3TP8V
721013.0305	17.25		720830.4TPAV
721013.0905	13.80		720906.00001
721013.0905	10.56		720906.00007
721013.0833		SAMPLES SUBMERGED	
721013.1515 69.0 -3.0 76.0		AMPLES TO DRIP RACK	
721013.1615 63.0 6.0 76.3		AMPLES TO FREEZER	
121014-0/10 64-0 5-0 /3-0	2	MARTLES FROM FREEZER	
******	SIXTEEN CYCLES	5 COMPLETE **********	***********
721014-0740 64-0 73-0	-	SAMPLES SUBMERGED	
721014-1340 68-5 3-0 75-0	, in the second s	SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK	
721014-1415 68-0 -1-0 75-0	5	SAMPLES TO FREEZER	
721016.0758 68.0 9.0 73.0	9	SAMPLES FROM FREEZER	

**** SEVENTEEN CYCLES COMPL	ETE***************************
DATE TIME TEMPERATURES WEIGHT BEFOR FT CALCS YRHDDY.HRMM BATH FRZR RODH SUBMR FREZE CY	DR NOTES SAMPLE NUMBER
721016.0824         200.78           721016.0824         223.80           721016.0824         274.01           721016.0825         14.50           721016.0825         14.61           721016.0825         16.61           721016.0825         16.61           721016.0825         16.61           721016.0825         16.61           721016.0826         10.52           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         10.62           721016.0826         54.07.0           721016.0826         54.07.0           721016.0826         54.07.0           721016.0826         54.07.0           721016.0826         54.07.0	720804,0029 720804,0024 720804,0034 720804,0031 720804,00041 720830,27804 720830,47804 720830,47804 720906,00007 720906,00007 0 DRLP ACC 0 FREIZER 0 FREIZER
••************************************	TE ***************************
721017.1022         70.0         -1.0         76.0         SAMPLES           721017.1705         71.0         -1.0         SAMPLES         7           721017.1825         71.0         SAMPLES         7         7           721017.1825         71.0         SAMPLES         7           721017.1825         71.0         SAMPLES         7           721018.0815         69.0         5.0         72.0         SAMPLES	UBMERGED D DRIP RACK D FREEZER Rom Freezer
**************************************	TE ************************
721018.0817         210.20           721018.0918         234.32           721018.0918         276.10           721018.0819         267.14           721018.0819         267.14           721018.0819         18.40           721018.0821         18.40           721018.0821         18.40           721018.0821         19.82           721018.0821         19.82           721018.0825         10.50           721018.0956.00         40.073.0           721018.0957.00         5.404PLES           721018.0958.00         40.075.0         5.444PLES	720804.0029 720804.00034 720804.00034 720804.00031 720830.21004 720830.21004 720830.21004 720830.417AV 720905.00001 720905.00001 720905.00001 720905.00001
721018.1653 69.0 9.0 76.0 SAMPLES.T 721019.0935 68.0 2.0 73.0 ZOSAMPLES F	D FREEZER Rom Freezer

************	*********** THENTY	CYCLES COMPLETE ***	
721019-0940	210.72		720804-00029
721019,0040	235.40		720804.00034
721019-0941	279.49		720804.00037
721019-0941	269-18		720804.00041
721019-0942	15.19		720830.2TPDV
721019-0942	19.71		720830.3TP8V
721019-0943	17.52		720830-4TPAV
721019.0943	13.82		720906.00001
721019.0943	10.60		720906-00007
721019.1150		SAMPLES TO DRIP	RACK
************	**********************	******************	*********************
721020.0900		SAMPLES IN DRIP	RACK
721020.0903	206.96		720804-00029
721020.0904	230.60		720804-00034
721020.0906	265.22		720804.00037
721020.0907	260.84		720804.00041
721020.0907	14.08		720830.2TPDV
721020.0908	17.00		720830.3TPBV
721020.0903	16.62		720830.4TPAV
721020.0908	13.78		720906.00001
721020.0909	10.50		720906.00007
721020.0910 67	.0 8.0 72.0	SAMPLES IN ORIP	RACK
***********	*********************	*****************	**********************
721024.1130		SAMPLES FRM 50C	OVEN
721024.1230	203.60		720804-00029
721024.1231	227.23		720804-00034
721024.1231	259.13		720804-00037
721024.1232		ADD ORY WEIGHTS	720804-00041
721024.1232	14.06		720830-2TPDV
721024.1232	16.98		720830-3TPBV
721024.1233	16.60		720830-4TPAV
721024.1233	13.78		720906-00001
721024.1234	10.50		720906.00007
		SAMPLES TO 50C	OVEN

### APPENDIX D

•••• FREEZE THAN STUDIES OF INSULATIONS ••• ••• SERIES 2 •••

#### APPENDIX D

FREEZE THAW PROCEDURE

- 2
- 6
- .
- 8
- 10
- ii
- ZE THAN PROCEDUME STAPPE CONTINUED TO CONSTANT WEIGHT IN SOC OVEN STAPPE CARTON ITOMED TO CONSTANT WEIGHT IN SOC OVEN STAPPE E VEIGHT AITHON 30 MENUTES DF REMOVAL FROM OVEN STAPPE E VEIGHT IN AJUM ERVINGVENTIL I TO JHOURS STAPPE E VEIGHT DF FAM FAIL HAND PLACED UN DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT DF FAM FAIL HAND PLACED UN DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT DF FAM FAIL HAND PLACED UN DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT DF FAM FAIL HAND PLACED UN DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT DF FAM FAIL FOR DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT DE TAINED AND NOTES MADE AFFER COMPLETING STEP 9 OF CYCLES DESTRED RINGE I MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT OFFICIE ON DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT OFFICIE ON DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT OFFICIE ON DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE E VEIGHT OFFICIE ON DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES STAPPE VEIGHT OFFICIE ON DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES IN SOC OVEN STAPPS I VEIGHT OFFICIE ON DETP RACK FOR 30 MINUTES 12

13

SAMPLES TESTED THIS SERIES

***SAMPLE***	***DRY**	***DKY***	*****GE 0	METRY **	****	**OTHER N	OTE \$***
NUMBER	HE1GHT	DENSITY	L H	т	VOL	COMMEN	TS
720929.00059	1.5057	1.04	2.11×1.8	6X1.40	90	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00060	1.3910	1.02	1.95×1.8	8X1.42	85	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00114	1.1597	0.75	2.02X2.0	8×1.40	96	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00116	1.2761	0.83	2.00×1.9	5X1.50	96	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00117	1.2398	0.33	1.99X2.0	1X1.48	97	ALL FACES	SANDED
720729.00099	2.1552	1.42	1.99%2.0	2×1.44	95	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00100	2.2609	1.44	2.0142.0	LX1,48	98	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00101	2.1669	1.39	1.99x2.0	1×1.48	97	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00040	3.6007	2.28	2.03X2.0	0X1.48	93	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00041	3.6708	2.31	2.01 ×2.0	2X1.49	99	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00042	3.7071	2.27	2.02×2.0	5×1.50	102	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00048	4.9393	3.08	2.04X2.0	0X1.49	100	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929,00049	4.9145	3.06	2.0212.0	2×1.50	100	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00050	4.5516	2.99	1.99×2.0	0X1.46	95	ALL FACES	5 <b>1</b> NOE D
720929.00014	2.3570	1.48	2.00X2.0	7X1.46	99	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00015	2.4110	1.45	2.07×2.0	5X1.49	104	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00017	2.4736	1.49	2.05×2.0	5X1.50	103	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00018	2.3803	1.42	2.0942.0	5×1.49	105	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00019	2.3658	1.49	2.00×2.0	2X1.50	99	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00020	2.3758	1.51	1.98X2.0	0X1.51	98	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00032	1.9797	1.22	2.08×2.0	5×1.45	101	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00003	2.6336	1.70	2.0212.0	1X1.46	97	ALL FACES	SANDED
720929.00034	2.6219	1.65	2.03X2.0	4X1.46	99	ALL FACES	SANDED

NOTES- 4LL SAMPLES IN THIS TEST SERIES WERE OUT FROM MOLDED POLYSTYRENE ALL SAMPLES IN INTS TEST SERIES REACTOF FRUM MULDED PUDTSTREE BOARDS, HEIGHTS IN GRAMS, DRY DONSTTY IN LOUDET, DIRENSIONS IN INCHES AND VOLUME IN CUBIC CENTIMETERS. TEMPERATURES IN FARENHEIT DEGREES. SAMPLES SUBMERGED IN 0.5 PERCENT BY WEIGHT ETMYL ALCOHOL - VATER SOLUTION.

DATE TIMF YRHODY.HRMM	TEMPERATURES WEIGHT BEFOR FT CALCS DR NOTES BATH FRZR RUDM SUBMR FREZE CY	SAMPLE
721128.1450 721128.1455	77. 2. 75. SAMPLES TO FREEZER SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF ALCUMUL-WATER MIXTURE 0.995 A MIXTURE IS 107 G OF 7J PERCENT ETHYL ALCOMOL AND 1	AT 77F 15,000G WATER
721205.1202 721207.1002	SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF A-W HIX 0.997 AT 73F 72.0 0.0 75.0 IREHOVED FROM FREEZER	ı
*********	**************************************	***************
721207.1012 721207.1013 721207.1014 721207.1014 721207.1014 721207.1015 721207.1015 721207.1016 721207.1018 721207.1018 721207.1018 721207.1025 721207.1025 721207.1025 721207.1025 721207.1025	1.5159 1.4015 1.1787 1.2914 2.187709 2.2748 2.1810 3.6210 3.6210 3.4030 4.9333 4.9355 4.0331 2.4874 2.3828 2.4874 2.3828 2.3760	720929,00050 720929,00060 720929,00116 720929,00116 720929,00117 720929,0010 720929,0010 720929,0010 720929,00040 720929,00040 720929,00040 720929,00016 720929,00016 720929,00016 720929,00016 720929,00017
721207.1027 721207.1028 721207.1028 721207.1029 721207.1120 721207.1120 721207.1125 721207.1615	1.09936 2.6464 2.6315 5.0416 72.0 8.0 76.0 TWO INCH HEAD 72.0 8.0 76.0 SAPPLES TO FREEZER 72.0 0.0 76.0 SAPPLES TO FREEZER 73.0 4.0 73.0 ZSAPPLES FROM FREEZER	720929.00032 720929.00033 720929.00033 720929.00034

•••••	•••••• z	CYCLES COMPLETE	•••••	•••••
DATE TIME TE	MPERATURES WEIGHT	BEFOR FT CALCS	S OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YRMODY.HRMM BAT	H FRZR RUDM SUBMR	FREZE CY		NUMBER
721208.0833	1.6914			720929.00059
721208.0834	1.5332			720929.00060
721208.0936	1.2966			720929.00114
721208.0837	1.3808			720929.00116
721208.0937	1.4123			720929.00117
721208.0838	2.3262			720929.00099
721208.0838	2.4711			720929.00100
721208.0839	2.2943			720929.00101
721208.0840	3.7156			720929.00040
721208.0341	3.7013			720929.00041
721208.0842	3.7602			720929.00042
721208.0842	4.9302			720929.00048
721208.0843	4.9420			720929.00049
721208.0844	4.5885	•		720929.00050
721203.0845	2.5440			720929.00014
721208.0846	2.5703			720929.00015
721208.0847	2.6394			720929.00017
721208.0847	2.5916			720929.00018
721208.0848	2.4496			720927.00019
721208.0348	2.4355			720929.00020
721208.0849	2.0072			720929.00032
721208.0350	3.0060			720929.00033
721208.0851	2.8536			720929.00034
721203.0358		SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721208.1510 73.	0 0.0 75.5	SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
721208.1555 73.	0 2.0 76.0	SAMPLES	10 FREEZER	
721209.0939 72.	0 8.0 72.0	3SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	

•••••	*** 3	CYCLES COMPLETE	•••••	•••••
DATE TIME TEMPERATURES	WEIGHT	BEFOR FT CALC	S OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YRHODY.HRMM BATH FRZR ROOM	SUBMR	FREZE CY		NUMBER
721209.1016	1.6038			720929.00059
721209.1016	1.4022			720929.00060
721209.1017	1.1829			720929.00114
721209.1017	1.3140			720929.00116
721209.1018	1.3356			720929.00117
721209.1018	2.2369			720929.00099
721209.1018	2.3287			720929.00100
721209.1020	2.2046			720929.00101
721209.1020	3.6215		-	720929.00040
721209.1020	3.6939			720929.00041
721209.1020	3.7384			720929.00042
721209.1020	4.9273			720929.00048
721209.1023	4.9355			720929.00049
721209.1023	4.5785			720929.00050
721209.1024	2.4248			720929.00014
721209-1024	2.4739			720929-00015
721209-1024	2.5289			720929-00017
721209-1026	2.4974			720929-00018
721209-1026	2.4129			720929.00019
721209-1027	2.4064			720929.00020
721209-1027	1.9873			720929-00032
721209-1027	3,1075			720929.00033
721209 1029	2.7600			720929 00034
721209 1020	1 5513			720929 00059
721209 1030	1.3986			720929 00060
721209 1037 72 0 0 0 76 0	1.0900	CANDLES	SUBMERCED	
721209 1615 72 0 -2 0 74 0		SAMPLES	TO DELO SACK	
721200 1660 72 0 0 0 76 0		CANDLES	TO SPECTED	
721207-1000 12-0 0-0 14-0		SAMPLES	FOON ENCERCE	
121210.1000 0.1 /3.0		4SAMPLES	FRUM FREEZER	

*************	••••••	CYCLES COMPLETE	******	*****
DATE TIME TOMP	EDATURES WETCHT	REFOR ET CALCS	OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YSKODY-HEMM BATH	FRZR ROOM SUBMR	FREZE CY		NUMBER
721210.1025	1.5391			720929.00059
721210.1028	1.4403			720929.00060
721210.1030	1.2233			720929.00114
721210.1033	1.3460			720929.00116
721210.1033	1.3415			720929.00117
721210.1000	2.3172			720929.00099
721210.1000	2.3683			720929.00100
721210.1000	2.2267		•	720929.00101
721710,1000	3.6329			720929.00040
721210.1000	3.7179			720929.00041
721210.1000	3.7680			720929.00042
721210.1010	4.9264			720929.00048
721210-1011	4.9317			720929.00049
721210.1012	4.5785			720929.00050
721210.1012	2.4532			720929.00014
721210-1014	2.5019			720929.00015
721210.1015	2.5797			720929.00017
721210-1015	2.5505			720929.00018
721210.1015	2.4499			720929.00019
721210.1018	2.4930			720929.00020
721210-1020	1.9876			720929.00032
721210.1022	3.1580			720929.00033
721210,1025	2.7925			720929.00034
721210,1040		SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721210.1720	7.0 72.0	SAMPLES	TO RACK & FREEZER	
721211.0323 71.0	7.0 72.0	5 SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	

*********************	*** 5	CYCLES COMPLETE	***********	*****
DATE TIME TEMPERATURES	WE10b7	REFOR ET CALCS	OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YERODY.HERM BATH FEEK ROOM	SUBBR	FRELE CY		NUMBER
721211.0930	1.5856			720929.00059
721211.0931	1.4189			720929.00060
721211.0930	1.2037			720929.00114
721211.0929	1.3355			720929.00116
721211.0923	1.3198			720929.00117
721211.0928	2.3412			720929.00099
721211.0927	2.3669			720929.00100
721211.0929	2.3130			720929.00101
721211.0925	3.6641			720929.00040
721211.0925	3.7479			720929.00041
721211.0926	3.7530			720929.00042
721211.0915	4.9266			720929.00048
721211.0915	4.9387			720929.00049
721211.0916	4.5858			720929.00050
721211.0924	2.4565			720929.00014
721211,0924	2.5510			720929.00015
721211.0923	2.5619			720929.00017
721211.0923	2.5102			720929.00018
721211.0921	2.4372			720929.00019
721211.0921	2.4637			720929.0002C
721211.0917	2.0077			720929.00032
721211.0920	3.1176			720929.00033
721211.0918	2.9005			720929.00034
721211.1000		SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721211.1605 73.0 0.0 75.5		SAMPLES	ID DRIP RACK	
721211.1640 73.0 10.0 75.5		SAMPLES	TO FREFZER	
721212.0812 72.0 0.0 73.0		6 SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	

*****		•• 6	CYCLES	COMPLETE	******	•••••
DATE TIME 1	TEMPERATURES	WEIGHT	BEFOR F	T CALCS	OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YRNGDY.HRMM BA	ATH FRZR ROOM	SUBMR	FREZE C	Y		NUMBER
721212.0844	•	1.5353				720929.00059
721212.0844		1.4205				720929.00060
721212.0345		1.2139				720929.00114
721212.0845		1.3796				720929.00116
721212.0846		1.3673				720929.00117
721212.0357		2.2549				720929.00099
721212.0858		2.3187				720929.00100
721212.0858		2.2490				720929.00101
721212.0855		3.6170				720929.00040
721212.0356		3.6879				720929.00041
721212.0857		3.7365				720929.00042
721212.0852		4.9249		•		720929.00048
721212.0354		4.9275				720929.00049
721212.0855		4.5765				720929.00050
721212.0848		2.4919				720929.00014
721212.0848		2.5225				720929.00015
721212.0849		2.5743				720929.00017
721212.0849		2.5108				720929.00018
721212.0350		2.4308				720929.00019
721212.0851		2.2038				720929.00020
721212.0851		1.9880				720929.00032
721212-0852		3.0062				720929.00033
721212.0852		2.8207				720929.00034
721212.0903				SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721212.1256 7	2.0			SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
721212.1523	0.0 76.0			SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
721213.0807 7	2.0 5.0 73.0			<b>7SAMPLES</b>	FROM FREEZER	
*********	*************	** 7	CYCLES	COMPLETE	*************	************
721213.0855 7	2.0 7.0 73.0			SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721213.1508 7	2.0 7.0 75.0			SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
721213.1544 7	2.0 9.0 75.0			SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
721214.0750 7	1.0 3.0 72.0			<b>BSAHPLES</b>	FROM FREEZER	

***************	*********	CYCLES COMPLETE	************************
DATE TIME CEN	PERATURES WEIGHT	BEFOR FT CALC	S OR NOTES SAMPLE
YPMODY.HRMM DATH	FRIR ROOM SUBER	FREZE CY	NUMBER
721214-0930	1.5345		720929+00059
721214.0931	1.4315		720929.00060
721214.0832	1.1799		720929.00114
721214.0833	1.3376	,	720929.00116
721214.0834	1.3200	•	720929.00117
721214.0835	2.2048		720929.00099
721214.0335	2.3676	k	720929.00100
721214.0836	2.2496		720929.00101
721214.0836	3.6157		720929.00040
721214.0836	3.6859	,	720929.00041
721214.0838	3.7276		720929-00042
721214.0838	4.9245		720929.00048
721214.0839	4.9248		720929.00049
721214.0340	4.5761		720929.00050
721214.0841	2.4243	<u>.</u>	720929.00014
721214.0642	2.4755		720929.00015
721214.0342	2.5309	,	720929-00017
721214.0843	2.4578	1	720929.00018
721214-0344	2,3975		720929.00019
721214.0844	2.4030		720929+00020
721214-0846	1.9853	,	720929.00032
721214-0845	3.0864		720929.00033
721214-0847	2-8270	, ,	720929-00034
721214-0855 72-0	-1.0 73.0	SP GR.	0.996
721214-1525 72-0	0.0 75.0	SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK
721214.1601 72.0	2.0 75.0	SAMPLES	10 FREEZER
721215.0902		SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER
***********	**********	CYCLES COMPLETE	****************************
721215.0955 71.5	7.0 75.0	SAMPLES	SUBHERGED
721215.1555 74.0	8.0 77.0	SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK
721215.1435 74.0	10.0 77.0	SAMPLES	TO FREEZER
721216.1010	0.0 74.0	LOSAMPLES	FROM FREEZER

*********************	*** 10	CYCLES COMPLETE	******
DATE TIME TEMPERATURES YRMODY.HRMM BAIH FRZR PUGM	WE I GHT	SEFOR FT CALCS FREZE CY	OK NOTES SAMPLE NUMBER
DAIL THE LEAREADUCES YUGDY JAMES DIA 7624 YUGY 721216.1044 721216.1044 721216.1044 721216.1046 721216.1046 721216.1046 721216.1046 721216.1046 721216.1046 721216.1048 721216.1049 721216.1049 721216.1049 721216.1055 721216.1057 72116.1057 72116.	Weibdra SUBAR 1.45400 1.45400 1.4324 1.3229 2.2007 2.3181 3.6202 3.6856 3.7304 4.9266 4.9266 4.9266 4.9266 4.9266 2.4146 2.4146 2.4146 2.4146 2.4146 3.7302 2.4146 3.6086 2.4146 3.6086 2.4146 3.6086 2.4146 3.6086 2.4157 3.6856 3.7300 Cycling Cycling Cycling Cycling Cycling	TO PERMIT COMPRE TO PERMIT COMPRE TO PERMIT COMPRE TO PERMIT COMPRE TO PERMIT COMPRE SAMPLES	Constructions 2014 Constructions 2014 Constr
721216.1612 73.0 0.0 77.5 721216.1638 721218.0812 73.0 8.0 74.0	й. Э	SAMPLES SAMPLES 11 SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK TO FREEZER FRDM FREEZER
*****	*** 11	CYCLES COMPLETE	*****

721218.0854 721218.1532 75.0 3.0 77.0 721218.1613 721219.0806

.

SAMPLES SUBMERGED SAMPLES TO DRIP RACK SAMPLES TO FREEZER 12SAMPLES FROM FREEZER
*********	•• 12	CYCLES COMPLETE	*****************************
DATE TIME TEMPERATURES YRMODY, HRMM BATH FRZR ROOM	WE I GHT SUBMR	BEFOR FT CALCS	OR NOTES SAMPLE NUMBER
721219.0350 721219.1600 74.0 2.0 77.0 721219.1648 74.0 2.0 77.0 721220.0808 73.0 -1.0 75.0		SAMPLES SAMPLES SAMPLES 13 SAMPLES	SUBMERGED TO DRIP RACK TO FREEZER FROM FREEZER
********************************	** 13	CYCLES COMPLETE	***********************
721220.0904         73.0         2.0         75.0           721220.1614         74.0         5.0         77.0           721220.1643         9.0         77.0           721221.0802         7.0         73.0		SAMPLES SAMPLES SAMPLES 14SAMPLES	SUBMERGED TD DRIP RACK TD FREEZER FROM FREEZER
********	*** 14	CYCLES COMPLETE	************************
721221.0001 721221.0010 721221.0010 721221.0011 721221.0011 721221.0011 721221.0015 721221.0015 721221.0015 721221.0015 721221.0020 721221.0020 721221.0020 721221.0024 721221.0024 721221.0024 721221.0028 721221.0028	1.4251 1.1782 1.3180 2.1719 2.2910 2.81837 3.6130 3.6828 3.7252 4.9236 4.9236 4.9236 2.5060 2.5060 2.5060 2.4565 2.4068 1.9847 2.9900 2.8330	•	720324.00040 720324.00114 720324.00114 720324.00107 720324.00100 720324.00100 720324.00100 720324.000107 720324.00010 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016 720324.00016
721221.0944 721221.1552 75.0 -4.0 77.0 721221.1623 75.0 12.0 77.0 721223.0910 0.0 72.0	2.0330	SAMPLES SAMPLES SAMPLES 155AMPLES	SUBMERGED TO DRIP RACK TO FREEZER FROM FREEZER

•••••	************	**** 15	CYCLES CUMPLETE	*****	****
DATE TIME	TEMPERATURES	S WEIGHT	BFFOR FT CALCS	OR NUTES SAMPLE	
YRHODY.HRMM	BATH FRZR ROC	IN SUBHR	FREZE CY	NUMBER	1
721223.0929		1.4607		720929.0	00060
721223.0935		1.2290		720929.0	0114
721223.0935		1.3799		720929.0	0117
721223.0936		2.1997		720929.0	0099
721223.0937		2,3600		720929.0	00100
721223.0938		2.2176		720929.0	0101
721223.0938		3.6282		720929.0	0040
721223.0939		3.7010		720929.0	0041
721223.0940		3.7414		720929.0	0042
721223.0941		4.9382		720929.0	0048
721223.0942		4.9467		720929.0	0049
721223.0943		4.5894		720929.0	0050
721223.0944		2.6440		720929.0	0017
721223.0940		2.6744		720929.0	0018
721223.0934		2.5072		720929.0	0019
721223.0933		2.5077		720929.0	0020
721223.0933		1.9999		720929.0	0032
721223.0932		3.1094		720929.0	10033
721223.0932		2.9266		720929.0	0034
721223-0948	71.0 5.0 72.	.0	SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721223.1610	72.0 0.0 76.	0	SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
721223-1645			SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
721228.1000	72.0 2.0 74	.0	16SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	
		**** 16	CYCLES COMPLETE	*******	****
		10			
721228.1048			SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721228.1625	72.0 -2.0 76.	.0	SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
721228.1655			SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
721229.0900	72.0 -1.0 73.	.0	17SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	

*********		******	17 CYCLE	S COMPLETE	*****	*****
DATE TIME	TEMPERATU	RES WEL	SHT BEFOR	FT CALCS	OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YRMODY. HEMM	SATH FF7R	ROOM SUB	NR FREZE	CY		NUMBER
721229.0941	•	1.5	024			720929.00060
721229.0941		1.2	306			720929.00114
721229.0942		1.3	722			720929.00117
721229.0943		2.3	106			720929.00099
721229.0943		2.4	801			720929.00100
721229.0944		2.3	465			720929.00101
721229.0945		3.6	230			720929.00040
721229.0945		3.6	914			720929.00041
721229.0946		3.7	375			720929.00042
721229-0946		4.9	300			720929.00048
721229.0947		4.9	394			720929.00049
721229.0948		4.6	052	•		720929.00050
721229.0948		2.5	670			720929.00017
721229.0948		2.4	763			720929.00018
721229.0950		2.4	459			720929.00019
721229.0950		2.4	670			720929.00020
721229.0952		1.9	902			720929.00032
721229.0952		3.1	326			720929.00033
721229.0953		2.8	962			720929.00034
721229.1029				SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
721229.1620	73.0 1.0	76.0		SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
721229.1647	73.0 5.0	76.0		SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
730102.0812	71.0 6.0	72.0		18SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	
		******	18 CYCLE	S COMPLETE	***********	***********
730102.0848				SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
730102-1529	72.0 -2.0	75.0		SAMPLES	TU DRIP RACK	
730102-1535				SP.GR 0.	998 AT 72F	
730102.1540				SP.GR DF	DISTILLED H2D	0.996 AT 78.5F
730102.1600	72.0 7.0	75.0'		SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
730103.0800	72.0 2.0	73.0		19SAMPLES	FROM FREEZER	

*******		**** 19	CYCLES	COMPLETE	*****	************
DATE TIME	TEMPERATURES	REIGHT	BEFOR	FT CALC	S OR NOTES	SAMPLE
YRMODY HRMM	BATH FRZP RCC	H SUBMR	FREZE	CY		NUMBER
	•					
730103.0844		1.5461				720929.00060
730103.0843		1.2099				720929.00114
730103.0842		1.3482				720929.00117
730103.0841		2.2705				720929.00099
730103.0340		2.5286				720929.00100
730103.0840		2.2953				720929.00101
730103.0839		3.6267				720929.00040
730103.0838		3.7310				720929.00041
730103.0838		3.7330				720929.00042
730103.0837		4.9315				720929.00048
730103.0836		4.9463				720929.00049
730103.0836		+ 5823				720929.00050
730103.0835		2.6720				720929.00017
730103.0335		2.6555				720929.00018
730103.0834		2.4936				720929.00019
730103.0834		2.5546				720929.00020
730103.0333		1.9949				720929.00032
730103.0832		3.2556				720929.00033
730100.0531		3.0243				720929.00034
730103.0848				SAMPLES	SUBMERGED	
730103.1508	72.0 0.0 76	.0		SAMPLES	TO DRIP RACK	
730103.1542	72.0 8.0 76	.0		SAMPLES	TO FREEZER	
730104.0802	72.0 5.0 73	.0		20SAMPLES	FRON FREEZER	

167

*******	***********	20 CYCL	ES COM	PLETE	***	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
DATE TIME	TEMPERATURES WEIG	HT BEED	8 FT	CALES	OR	NOTES	SAMPLE
YRMODY.HRMM	BATH FRZR ROOM SURM	FREZ	ECY				NUMBER
730104.0839	1.52	13					720929.00060
730104.0840	1.22	31					720929.00114
730104.0841	2 25	22					720929.00099
730104.0843	2.43	58					720929-00100
730104-0845	2.26	96					720929.00101
730104.0346	3.62	14					720929.00040
730104.0847	3.69	00					720929.00041
730104.0848	3.73	19					720929.00042
730104.0848	4.92	32					720929.00048
730104.0849	4.95	09					720929.00049
730104.0850	4.58	15		•			720929.00050
730104.0851	2.61	15					720929.00017
730104.0851	2.48	51					720929-00019
730104-0852	2.49	24					720929-00020
730104.0854	1.98	99					720929.00032
730104.0855	3.18	35					720929.00033
730104.0856	2.96	38					720929.00034
730104.0940			54	MPLES	TO 5	OC OVEN	
*********	*****************	******	*****	*****	****	***********	***********
730106.1107			SA	MPLES	FROM	OVEN	
730106.1110	1.50	10					720929.00059
730106.1112	1.39						720929.00080
730106.1115	1.10	20					720929.00116
730106.1116	1.29	15					720929.00117
730106.1114	2.15	52					720929.00099
730106.1115	2.26	20					720929.00100
730106.1115	2.16	79					720929.00101
730106.1116	. 3.59	95					720929.00040
730106,1116	3.66	B0					720929.00041
730106.1117	3.70	70					720929.00042
730106.1118	4.90	85					720929.00048
730106.1118	4.90	81					720929.00049
730106.1119	4.50	00					720929.00050
730106.1109	2.33	00					720929.00014
733106 1123	2.40	16					720929.00017
730106-1120	2.38	02					720929.00018
730106.1121	2.36	45					720929.00019
730106.1122	2.37	47					720929.00020
730106.1123	1.96	61					720929.00032
730106.1123	2.63	41					720929.00033
730106.1124	2.62	26					720929.00034
730106.1125			SA	MPLES	RETU	IRNED TO OVEN	

## APPENDIX E

28

## COMPUTER PRUGRAM FOR LACHENGRUCH THREE-LAYER METHOD

## REFERENCE LACHENBRUCH(1959)

## APPENDIX E

```
LACHEMARUCH THREE LAYER METHOD PROGRAM WRITTEN IN FORTRAN IN WILL RUN UNDER RUFFAO ALSO. IS DE CI172 R. REF RUFFAO ALSO. IS DE A INCREMENTAL THICKNESSES OF RAUGHAN CONDUCT IN WILL AND INCREMENTAL THICKNESSES OF LAYER NORTH 21 (1997) A MARTINE AND INCREMENTATION IN RAUGHAN SYSTEM.
0000
         THICKNESS OF OTHER LAYERS RERAIN CONSTANT.
000
         READ THICKNESS(X), THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY(T), AND VOLUMETRIC HEAT
č
          CAPACITY(C)
         DIMENSION X(51,T(5),C(5)
   13
         DO 1 J=1,3
        READ(1,2)X(J),T(J),C(J)
     1
    5
       FORMAT(3F10.4)
c
c
         CALCULATE BETA AND ALPHA VALUES
č
         81=(T(1)=C(1))**0.5
         BZ=(T(2)+C(2))++0.5
         63=(1(3)*C(3))**0.5
         A1=T(1)/C(1)
         A2=T(2)/C(2)
с
         COMPUTE CONSTANTS FOR EQUATIONS
         C1≈83*82
         C2=82**2
         C3=83*81
         C4=82*81
C5=C1 + C2 + C3 + C4
         C6=2.*SQRI(.0007164/2.)
         C7=1./SORT(A1)
         C8=1./SORT(A2)
         C9=C6#C7
         C10=C6+C8
         C11=X(1)+C9
          P1=4.+C4/C5
         P_2 = (-C_1 + C_2 - C_3 + C_4)/C_5

P_2 = (-C_1 - C_2 + C_3 + C_4)/C_5

P_4 = (C_1 - C_2 - C_3 + C_4)/C_5
c
c
         PRINT HEADING
č
         WRITE(3.5)
FORMAT('1','INFORMATION FOR LACHENDRUCH 3-LAYER CASE'//)
WRITE(3.90)
   5
         FORHATI' ',' J', T11, 'X', T21, 'T', T31, 'C'/)
    90
         DD 7 J=1,3
WRITE(3,6)X(J),T(J),C(J)
FORMAT(' ',15,3F10.4)
     7
     8
```

```
000
         READ INCREMENTAL THICKNESS OF LAYER 2 AND NO OF TIMES TO INCREMENT
         READ(1.3)XINC.L
  ٦
        EUESAT(E10.4.15)
       FORMATIFIU-9/127
WRITE(3,91x1NC,L
FORMAT(* *,* INCREMENTAL THICKNESS=*,F10.4/,*NUMBER OF INCREMENTS
    9
       1=1,151
C
C
C
         COMPUTE DAMPING AS THICKNESS OF LAYER 2 INCREASES
        HRITE(3,91)
FURMAT(' ', NUMBER UF',T15,'F/A RATIO',T32,'INSULATION')
MRITE(3,92)
   91
   92 FORMAT(' ', INCREMENT', T13, 'DIMENSIONLESS', T30, 'THICKNESS, FT'/)
         L=L+1
DO 10 N=1,L
         C12=X(2)+C10
         C13=C11 + C12
S1=(2,0P20EXP(-C13))*COS(C13)
S2=(2,4P30EXP(-C11))*COS(C11)
S2=(2,4P30EXP(-C12))*COS(C12)
         52#12.****52P1=C12119C051C12)
$5=12.**2*P3=25P1=C1*C12*C12)*C05(C12)
$5=12.*P3*P4*52P(-C11-2.*C12)*C05(C11
$6=12.**3*P4*E2P(-C13)*C05(C11-C12)
$7=172*P2+E2P2+E2P(-2.*C13)
         SB=(P3+P3)*5xP(-2.+C11)
         SB=[P3+P3/94P4/-2+C12]

S10=L+S1+S2+S3+S4+S5+S6+S7+S8+S9

ANS=(EXP(-.5*C13))*P1/(S0KT(S10))
         WRITE(3,11)N,ANS+X(2)
EDRMAT(1,1,15,T13,F10,4,T30,F10.4)
   11
   10
         x(2)=x(2) + XINC
с.
сссс
         READ & FLAG TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANOTHER PROFILE IS DESIRED.
FLAG=0. IF NO ADDITIONAL PROFILES ARE WANTED.
          READ(1.12)FLAG
         FORMAT(F10.4)
   12
          IFIFLAG.NE.O. IGO TO 13
          STOP
          END
```

		EXAMPLE OF	INPUT	DATA FOR	3-LAYER SOLUTION
8.0	1.01	26.0		X. T	AND C FOR MATERIAL 1. SOLUTION 1.
0.0417	0.0125	1.0		х, т	AND C FOR MATERIAL 2, SOLUTION 1.
5.0	0.895	37.0		X. T	AND C FOR MATERIAL 3. SOLUTION 1.
0.0417	12			12085	HENEAU THICKNESS & NO. OF INCREMENTS.
10.				5646	FOR ADDITICUL SOLUTION.
10.9	1.01	26.0		х, т	AND C FOR MATERIAL 1. SOLUTION 2.
0.0417	0.0125	1.0		X + T	AND C FUS MATERIAL 2. SOLUTION 2.
5.0	0.895	37.0		х, т	AND C FOR MATERIAL 3. SOLUTION 2.
0.0417	12			1NC 96	MENTAL THICKNESS & NO. OF INCREMENTS
G.				FLAG	FOR LASE SOLUTION.