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#### Abstract

It remains to be a difficult issue to convert Chinese language into Chinese sign language， which makes it hard to implement an obstacle free Chinese sign language information service under pervasive environment．This paper presents an improved algorithm of forward maximum match approach（MM）and backward maximum match approach（FMM），by taking the characteristics of Chinese sign language into consideration．Besides，a method to reorganize the Chinese sign language dictionary is presented．This paper also proposes a novel strategy of disambiguation based on the statistical information of the context and the mutual information．The experiment results indicate that the accuracy and the efficiency of word segmentation can improve significantly compared to conventional algorithms．
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## 1 Introduction

In human languages，a combination of multiple continuous words，or phrases，is usually a minimum meaningful unit，and word segmentation（WS）is one of the major issues of information processing in character－based languages．Because there are no explicit word boundaries in these languages，WS is important for information retrieval，machine translation，lexicon construction，digital libraries，and Chinese sign language．The conventional WS is different from the one in Chinese sign language environment．It should not be based on the subjective evaluation of the views，but be evaluated by whether it could contribute to improving the accuracy and precision of Chinese sign language synthesis．

The basic approaches of word segmentation in character－based languages can be partitioned into two categories：statistic－based［8］［9］and dictionary－based［1］．Statistic－based approaches make use of statistical properties，such as frequencies of characters and character sequences in the corpus［2］．In practice，however，to choose a corpus which is big enough and includes all categories is impossible，a statistic dictionary that contains all possible words is unfeasible，costly and unnecessary［3］．Dictionary－ based approaches use a dictionary to identify words．When matched in the dictionary，a sequence of characters will be extracted as a word．There are many match criteria in literature，such as maximum match，minimum match and hybrid approach．The maximum match approach can be further divided into MM method and FMM method．

However，WS under Chinese sign language environment is quite different from conventional WS． Firstly，speed and accuracy must be considered for the approach of WS under Chinese sign language environment．Each word is translated into a specific series of sign language action，so the accuracy of WS has direct impact on the accuracy of animation synthesis for Chinese sign language．Secondly， Chinese sign language has its particularity in following aspects：（1）Two dictionaries，basic word dictionary（BWDIC）and finger word dictionary（FWDIC）；（2）Low vocabulary in BWDIC，only about 6，000 items；（3）Distribution disparity in BWDIC，words made up of single and double characters take up a considerable proportion（total $90.98 \%$ ）．Because of the particularity，new problem will be appeared in WS．Because of the particularity，new problem will be appeared in WS，such as＂大学生＂，＂主流程 $"$ ，etc．Each of them is a word in the natural language dictionary，so it will not generate ambiguity in WS．
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But these phrases do not exist in sign language dictionary，it has different segmentation for these phrases： ＂／大学／生／＂，＂／大／学生／＂，＂／主／流程／＂，＂／主流／程／＂．

In summary，because of the strict requirements of WS for Chinese sign language，the conventional segmentation system can not be suitable for dealing with Chinese sign language directly．This paper proposed a novel segmentation algorithm，through reorganizing the structure of dictionary and improving the WS algorithm．It applies an algorithm based on the statistical information of the context and mutual information（MI）which help for disambiguation．Experiment results show that both efficiency and accuracy of the proposed method has been improved greatly．

## 2 Statistical Language Model and Mutual Information

In the proposed algorithm，it applies both uni－gram of characters and mutual information of adjacent characters．We＇ll refer the phrase＂target text＂below to the text currently being segmented．Parameters in the model can be calculated from the target text and a manually tagged corpus．

## 2．1 Statistical Language Model

For an N －grams［4］［5］，suppose $W$ is one sequence of $N$ characters in a given field （Fig．1）：$W=w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} \cdots w_{n}$ ，and the occurrence probability of any $w_{i}$ is only related to its previous N －1 words（N－gram）［6］，namely：

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \cdots w_{i-1}\right)=P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-N+1} \cdots w_{i-1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then，

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(W)=P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{2} w_{1}\right) \cdots P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-N+1} \cdots w_{i-1}\right) \cdots \cdots \\
=\prod P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-N+1} \cdots w_{i-1}\right) \quad(i=1,2,3 \cdots n) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

When $\mathrm{N}=1$ or 2，the statistical language model is called uni－gram and bi－gram respectively．
According to（1）and（2），when $\mathrm{N}=1,(2)$ can be simply written as：

$$
\begin{align*}
P(W) & =P\left(w_{1}\right) P\left(w_{2}\right) P\left(w_{3}\right) \cdots P\left(w_{i}\right) \cdots \cdots \\
& =\prod_{n}^{1} P\left(w_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2,3 \cdots n) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$P\left(w_{i}\right)$ is calculated by the following formula，and parameters in the formula can be calculated from the target text．

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(w_{i}\right)=\frac{\text { frequency_} \operatorname{word}\left(w_{i}\right)}{\sum_{n}^{1} \text { frequency_word }\left(w_{i}\right)} \times 100 \% \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here frequency＿word $\left(w_{i}\right)$ is times of occurrence for $w_{i}$ and $\sum_{n}^{1}$ frequency＿word $\left(w_{i}\right)$ indicates the times of occurrence for all words in the target text．

## 2．2 Mutual Information

Mutual information（MI）［7］can be used to measure the coherence of the adjacent characters and is applied widely in statistic－based WS，where the adjacent characters with high MI score are identified as a word．In our approach，similarly，we identify the adjacent characters as a word if its MI score is higher
than a predefined threshold.
Consider a sequence of characters: $c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} \cdots c_{i} c_{i+1} \cdots c_{n}$, the MI of characters $c_{i}$ and $c_{i+1}$ is computed by equation 5 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m i}\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)=\log _{2} \frac{P\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)}{P\left(c_{i}\right) P\left(c_{i+1}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $P\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)$ is the occurrence probability of the character sequence $c_{i} c_{i+1}$, which is estimated by the number of times that $c_{i}$ is followed by $c_{i+1}$, normalized by N which is the total number of words in the corpus. $P\left(c_{i}\right)$ is the probability of character $c_{i}$ which is estimated by the total occurrences of the word $c_{i}$ normalized by N , namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)=\frac{\text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)}{N}, P\left(c_{i}\right)=\frac{\text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(c_{i}\right)}{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, equation 5 is represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m i}\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)=\log _{2}\left(\frac{N \times \text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(c_{i} c_{i+1}\right)}{\text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(c_{i}\right) \times \text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(c_{i+1}\right)}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 WS under Chinese Sign Language Environment

### 3.1 Pre-processing

In the course of WS, efficiency will be reduced gradually with the increase of the length of sentence, so it performs the preprocessing to the target text got from the Web. Besides removing some useless symbols, the most important thing is to divide the text into some shorter fields.

First of all, divide the text into some sentences according to the symbol of pause, such as: comma, full stop, etc. Then, divide the sentence into some fields according to some special symbols, figure, character, etc. Word segmentation, recognition of ambiguity and disambiguation will deal with these fields.


Fig. 1. Text hierarchy structure

### 3.2 Proposed WS Algorithm

Characteristic of the BWDIC. According to the statistical information of the dictionary, as shown in Table 1: Single character and double characters are counted in the majority, so we redesign the structure of the BWDIC, divided into four layers and formed a tree-like structure.

Table 1. Statistics of BWDIC

| Length of words | One | Two | Three | Four | More than five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 815 | 4265 | 388 | 93 | 5 |
| Proportion | $14.60 \%$ | $76.38 \%$ | $6.90 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ |

Proposed MM Algorithm. The length of longest word in dictionary is usually longer than the length of word segmented from the target text; therefore the conventional MM algorithm will waste a lot of time for matching. Furthermore, the characteristic of our sign language dictionary is very specific, as shown in Table 1. So, we proposed a gradational match and length first algorithm (GMALF algorithm).

Suppose $W$ is a sequence of n characters in a given field (The method to obtain field is mentioned in 3.1) : $W=C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} \cdots C_{n}$.

Step 1: Get one character $C_{i}$ from field $W$ (when the first time, $\mathrm{i}=1$ ). Match the second layer of reconstructed BWDIC and check whether $C_{i}$ exists or not. If not exists go to Step2, otherwise go to Step 3.

Step 2: Match $C_{i}$ in the FWDIC, records $C_{i}$ as a word and the basic word of Chinese sign language of word $C_{i}$, then go to Step 4 .

Step 3: Match the words in sub-tree of $C_{i}$ separately. The principle is that the length has priority. If exist, record it and the basic word of Chinese sign language of it, then return to Step4. Otherwise, go to Step2.

Step 4: Whether $W$ is null or not. If null, the filed of W is finished and obtains the other fields. Otherwise, adjust the value of i and go to Step1.
Proposed FMM Algorithm. The proposed FMM method and proposed MM method are very similar in principle, so we no longer go into details here. The description of proposed FMM algorithm can refer to the MM method above.

### 3.3 Recognition of Ambiguity and Disambiguation

Recognition of Ambiguity. This paper discerns the ambiguous field by bidirectional scanning. We segment the field by the MM method and FMM method separately. If the two segmentation results are different, then this field is regarded ambiguous.
Disambiguation. Both uni-gram of characters and MI are applied to achieve disambiguation in this paper.

Suppose W is an ambiguous field of overlap type: $W: a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t} w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}$, which has two different segmentations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F-Seg: } \backslash a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t} \backslash w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n} \backslash . \\
& \text { B-Seg: } \backslash a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \backslash b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t} w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n} \backslash .
\end{aligned}
$$

N-gram in Target Text Space. In the target space, we generate all possible character sequences and statistic the frequency of occurrence of them from the target text.

From formula (3), the forward segmentation can be represented as equation 8 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {forward }}(W)=P\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t}\right) P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The backward segmentation can be represented as equation 9 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {backward }}(W)=P\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m}\right) P\left(b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t} w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:
If $P_{\text {forward }}(W)>P_{\text {backward }}(W)$, select F-Seg; otherwise select B-Seg.
MI in Corpus Space. In the corpus space, the occurrences of the words will be considered. For all the ambiguous fields, we compute their MI scores in the corpus space. Here $N$ is the total number of words in corpus and the freq $_{\text {corpus }}(c)$ is estimated by the times of word $c$ appears in the corpus.

From formula (7), the forward segmentation can be represented as equation 10.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {mi-forward }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right)=\log _{2}\left(\frac{N \times \text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right)}{\text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(b_{t}\right) \times \text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(w_{1}\right)}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The backward segmentation can be represented as equation 11 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m i-\text { bachward }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right)=\log _{2}\left(\frac{N \times \text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right)}{\text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(a_{m}\right) \times \text { freq }_{\text {corpus }}\left(b_{1}\right)}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } F_{m i-\text { forward }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right) \geq F_{m i-\text { backward }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right) \text {, select B-Seg. } \\
& \text { If } F_{m i-\text { forward }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right)<F_{\text {mi-backward }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right) \text {, select F-Seg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Algorithm of Disambiguation. The method MI is sensitive to data sparseness, so it is not suitable to sparse data set. In this paper, if fre $_{\text {corpus }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right)$ or fre $_{\text {corpus }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right)$ is less than the threshold ( $\delta_{\text {threshold }}$ ), the uni-gram method is employed. Coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in the model can be calculated from the target text.

Then:
If freq $\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right)>\delta_{\text {threshold }}$ and freq $\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right)>\delta_{\text {threshold }}$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \alpha F_{m i-\text { forward }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right)<\beta F_{m i-\text { backward }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right) \text {, select F-Seg. } \\
& \text { If } \alpha F_{m i-\text { forward }}\left(b_{t} w_{1}\right) \geq \beta F_{m i-\text { backward }}\left(a_{m} b_{1}\right) \text {, select B-Seg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Else, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } P_{\text {forward }}(W)>P_{\text {backward }}(W) \text {, select F-Seg. } \\
& \text { If } P_{\text {forward }}(W) \leq P_{\text {backward }}(W) \text {, select B-Seg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\alpha$ is the times of occurrence for $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t} w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}$ and $\beta$ indicates the times of occurrence for $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{t}$ in the target text.

## 4 System Structure and Implementation

The whole system includes several basic components, Web text download component, Web text parse component, text pre-processing component, text scanning component, word segmentation component, recognition of ambiguity component, disambiguation component and result output component. It parses the Web text, executes the task of segmentation and then translates natural language into Chinese sign language, as illustrated in Fig.2.


Fig. 2. The structure of WS system

## 5 Experiment Results

We design and implement the WS system for Chinese sign language, and test in the news of campus network of Beijing University of Technology and corpus of Beijing University. The results are as follows:

The segmentation results of news of the campus network:
Table 2. Segmentation results of news

| File Size(KB) | Number of Word | Number of Sign Language Word | Time(Second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 KB | 631 | 472 | 0.1 Second |
| 60 KB | 13655 | 9621 | 1.3 Second |

The performance of disambiguation is shown in Table 3, where NSD stands for Number of Successful Disambiguation.

Table 3. Segmentation results of ambiguous fields

| Category | Ambiguous Fields | MM Method |  | FMM Method |  | This Paper |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSD | Precision | NSD | Precision | NSD | Precision |
| News | 381 | 165 | $43.3 \%$ | 216 | $56.7 \%$ | 335 | $87.9 \%$ |
| Sports | 31 | 13 | $41.9 \%$ | 18 | $58.1 \%$ | 26 | $83.9 \%$ |
| Others | 25 | 12 | $48.0 \%$ | 13 | $52.0 \%$ | 21 | $84.0 \%$ |

## 6 Conclusions

This paper has discussed word segmentation of Chinese sign language, and how to transform natural language into Chinese sign language, involving word segmentation, recognition of ambiguous fields,
disambiguation etc.. The experiment results show that significant improvement in performance of segmentation has been achieved compared to conventional methods of word segmentation. For further work, we need to focus on translating natural language into Chinese sign language more accurately with following aspects: (1) Improve the algorithm of recognition of ambiguity and disambiguation. (2) Expand the dictionary of Chinese sign language, in order to improve the accuracy of segmentation. 3) Design and implement the recognition of China NER (Chinese Named Entity Recognition), in order to eliminate the peculiar ambiguity caused by names.
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