# THE CHINESE NPI RENHE IN CONTEXTS WITH NEGATIVE VALUES

Paper Presented to

Pacific Asia Conference on Formal & Computational Linguistics PACFOCOL I (1993)

b y

Yu-Fang Flora Wang

Graduate Institute of English National Taiwan Normal University

e-mail: YFWang@nlg.csie.ntu.edu.tw

## THE CHINESE NPI RENHE IN CONTEXTS WITH NEGATIVE VALUES

Yu -Fang Flora Wang

National Taiwan Normal University

#### Abstract

This paper investigates the Chinese negative polarity item (NPI) renhe not only in overt but also in nonovert negative contexts. There are some syntactic and semantic restrictions on the occurrence of renhe. A subject/object asymmetry is found in renhe licensed by a negative element within a clause. But the same does not hold true for superclauses, where exist no subject/object asymmetry in the NPI licensing. The account that renhe has to be licensed by a c-commanding negation can solve such contradiction. Aside from being c-commanded by its licensor, renhe occurs in a context where the truth value of a proposition is either negated or not asserted. Further, renhe is not always locally bound; long-distance licensing is permitted as long as a c-command relation between renhe and its licensor is satisfied.

#### **0.** Introduction

Chinese *renhe*, the item closely corresponding to English *any*, has two different types: one is negative polarity item (NPI) *renhe*, and the other, free choice (FC) *renhe*. According to Ladusaw (1980), the former is licensed in the scope of a downward-entailing trigger<sup>1</sup>, such as:

<sup>\*</sup>I am grateful to Y. -H. Audrey Li, C. -Y. Doris Chen, and two PACFOCOL I reviewers for their detailed suggestions and patient comments on parts of this paper. I have also benefited from discussions with linguistics majors at Graduate Institute of English, National Taiwan Normal University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On Ladusaw's DE approach, a polarity item is licensed if and only if it is in the scope of a DE operator, for example, negation, as exemplified in (i):

<sup>(</sup>i) Some people never send any Christmas cards.

We may say that *any*, because it follows *never*, is within the scope of negation, while *some* is not. In the scope of a DE operator the direction of entailment is from supersets (e.g. animals) to subsets (dogs):

<sup>(</sup>ii) I don't like animals.

<sup>(</sup>iii) I don't like dogs.

(a) a negation, which typically conveys the contradiction of some or all of a sentence's meaning<sup>2</sup>:

(1a) Ta bu xihuan chi renhedongxi. he not like eat anything 'He doesn't like to eat anything.'

(b) a yes/no question that expects a negative response or is neutral in expectation:

(1b) Ni xihuan renhedongxi ma? you like anything Qu 'Do you like anything?'

(c) a conditional, where its truth value of proposition is not asserted:

(1c) Ruguo/Jiaru ta xihuan renheren, ni jiu gaosu wo. if he like anyone, you then tell me 'If he likes anyone, then you tell me.'

(d) a comparative whose basic meaning is negative and nonassertive:

(1d) Wo xiang dianying shi be renhe shuji youqu.
I think movie is than any book interesting
'I think a movie is more entertaining than any book.'
('I think no book is so entertaining as a movie.')

Conversely, the latter, representing a choice between three or more, needs not be triggered (i.e. it

has no inherent scope with regard to negation, as opposed to NPI renhe). The contexts where it

can occur include:

(a) sentences with modals, e.g.:

(2a) Ni keyi zuo renhe ni xihuan de shi. you can do any you like DE thing 'You can do anything you like.'

(b) restrictive relative clauses which receive a kind of non-event or generic reading, such

as:

(2b) Wo xihuan renhe youqu de shu. I like any interesting DE book 'I like any book which is interesting.'

The following study will focus on the NPI renhe, especially in negative contexts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Some symbols used in the glosses are: ASP, the aspect marker; NEG, the negation marker; Qu, the question particle; BA, BEI, the prepositions marking a preverbal object; DE, the modifier marker; the LOC, the localizer.

One well-known property of the polarity *any* is that it must be in construction with a negative element that licenses it<sup>3</sup> (for discussions, see Linebarger, 1987):

(3a) \*John loves anyone.

(3b) John does not love anyone.

Larson and Ladusaw propose that there is a relevant locality for English polarity  $any^4$  (concerning the relevant locality for English, see Kim, 1990:40-45; Progovac, 1991: 517). Aoun also suggests that the negative polarity item (NPI) in a French dialect is an A'-anaphor (1985: 159). In this dialect, the distribution of *personne* is similar to that of reciprocals and reflexives. *Personne* is bound in its governing category by *ne*. For example, in (4a-b) (=his (27a,b)):

(4a) Jean requiert que Pierre ne voit personne. Jean requires that Pierre neg sees body 'Jean requires Pierre to see nobody.'

(4b) Jean requiert que **personne ne** voit Pierre. Jean requires that body neg sees Pierre 'Jean requires nobody to see Pierre.'

the relation between *ne* and *personne* demonstrates an instance of an A'-anaphoric relation: *ne* is

the A'-antecedent of personne. Furthermore, Progovac (1991) presents data on Sebro-Croatian

(ii) a. I didn't listen to some of the speakers.

- ('There were some of the speakers that I didn't listen to.')
- (ii) b. I didn't listen to any of the speakers.
  - ('There were not any speaker that I listened to.')

If some is used in the prepositional phrase, the PP must lie outside the scope (see Quirk et al., 1985: 787-88).

<sup>4</sup>As mentioned above, there are two types of *any* in English: one is Free-Choice *any* (usually stressed); and the other, polarity *any* (usually unstressed). FC-*any*, equivalent to 'no matter who/what', does not appear with negations, questions, and certain conditionals, e.g.:

(i) Anyone can do it.

(ii) \*Can anyone do it?

Usually, on this reading, English any is akin to a universal quantifier:

(iii)  $\forall x$  POSSIBLE (x do it)

For every x, it is possible for x to do it.

However, polarity any appears in so-called polarity contexts, such as negative sentences, yes/no questions and conditionals (for details, see Ladusaw (1980)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In English, *some* can be used in a negative, yes/no question, and conditional sentence, when the basic meaning is assertive ('positive orientation'). For instance, if *some*, *somebody*, etc., are used in yes/no questions, it means that we think the answer will be "yes". Compare,

<sup>(</sup>i) a. Is there something to eat! (I can smell food!)

<sup>(</sup>i) b. Is there anything to eat? (I'm hungry!)

Besides, consider the scope contrast between (iia) and (iib):

polarity licensing to indicate that there is a need to impose locality on polarity sensitivity. Specifically, she claims that the Sebro-Croatian NI-NPIs (with the prefix *ni*) is an A'-anaphor, subject to Principle A of the binding theory, as manifested in the contrast below:

(5a) Milan ne voli ni-ko-ga. Milan not loves no-who-ACC 'Milan does not love anyone.'

(5b) Mila ne tvrdi da Milan voli \*ni-ko-ga. Mila not claims that Milan loves no-who-ACC 'Mila does not claim that Milan loves anyone.'

The NI-NPI is allowed to appear in the scope of a clausemate negation, but not in the scope of a superordinate negation. That is, NI-NPI has to be bound by an A'-binder (e.g. negation) in its governing category.

Inspired by Aoun (1985) and Progovac (1991), the following study is an attempt to account for the negative polarity item *renhe* in Chinese by a binding approach. The discussion will concentrate on licensing by negation. Hence, this paper mainly consists of five sections. Section 1 argues that renhe, like English any, is treated as an indefinite NP, not a quantifier. Some data are presented to prove that *renhe*, lack of inherent quantificational force, is not permitted to stay alone in typical positive environments. It occurs in negative polarity contexts, for example, negation. However, a subject/object asymmetry in renhe occurring in clausemate negation is observed. Specifically, renhe in subject position of a simple negative clause is ill-formed, but that in object position is well-formed. Section 2 argues that the subject/object asymmetry can be accounted for by a relation between renhe and the negation marker in terms of c-command. Moreover, renhe can be licensed by a c-commanding negative marker in a superordinate clause. Contrary to the Sebro-Croatian NI-NPI and the NPI in the French dialect, which must occur in the same clause when licensed by a negative element, renhe can have non-local A'-binding as long as it is c-commanded by its licensor. In Section 3, I propose that the occurrence of renhe is subject to both the ccommand requirement and the Specificity constraint. However, renhe can occur in some positive contexts. Section 4 discusses *renhe* in affirmative environments with negation implied. Crucially, the predicates in the positive contexts where *renhe* can appear have negative import. I suggest that there is a covertly negative element which licenses the NPI. Along with the conditions on the NPI licensing the present tentative analysis provides a unified account of *renhe* licensed by either overt or covert negative markers. Finally conclusions are presented in Section 5.

## 1. The Distribution of *Renhe* in Simplex Sentences

NPIs are, as the name indicates, not permitted in the contexts of affirmation<sup>5</sup>. Renhe, one of the NPI representatives in Chinese, cannot occur independently in a positive declarative sentence, as illustrated below.

A. Positive Contexts

**Object** 

(6) \*Ta xihuan renheren<sup>6</sup>. he likes anyone 'He likes anyone.'

Indirect or Second Object

- (7) \*Wo song renheren shu. send anyone book \*'I send anyone a book.'
- (8) \*Wo gei renheren bi. I give anyone pen \*'I give anyone a pen.'

- (i) Ta renheren/shei dou/ye bu xihuan.
  - he anyone/who all/also NEG like
  - 'He does not like anyone.'

Besides, licensed by dou, renhe can occur in an affirmative sentence:

(ii) Renheren/shei dou xihuan ta. him

anyone/who all like

'Everyone likes him.'

The varying scopal properties are due to the lack of inherent quantificational force. Since this paper is mainly concerned with renhe in existential quantification expression, we will not go into it here.

 $^{6}$ Renhe in (6) can be preposed before the verb, eliciting a FC construal:

(i) Renheren ta dou xihuan.

anyone he all like

'He likes everyone.'

The adverb dou 'all' occurs only in preverbal position. Since dou indicates only the noun phrase preceding it in its scope, renheren is mandatory to appear preverbally too (Li and Thompson, 1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Like the indefinite wh, renhe can have a universal reading if it is licensed by dou 'all' or ye 'also' (see Li and Thompson, 1981) via specifier-head agreement:

## Prepositional Object

- (9) \*Wo ba **renhedongxi** diudiao guo. I BA anything throw away ASP \*'I have ever thrown away anything.'
- (10) \*Wo hui gen **renheren** woshou. I will with anyone shake hands 'I will shake hands with anyone.'
- (11) \*Wo bei **renheren** zou guo. I BEI anyone beaten ASP \*'I was beaten by anyone.'
- (12) \*Ta wei **renheren** zuo shi. he for anyone do things \*'He does things for anyone.'
- (13) \*Wo zai renhedifang douliu guo.
   I at any place stay ASP
   \*'I had ever stayed in any place.'

Subject<sup>7</sup>

- (14) **\*Renheren** hui lai. anyone will come 'Anyone will come.'
- (15) **\*Renheren** renshi ta. anyone know him \*'Anyone knows him.'

The NPI is unacceptable in these positive sentences. But we can salvage these sentences

by negating the predicates<sup>8</sup>, (6)-(13) become possible:

**B.** Negative Contexts

(6') Ta **bu** xihuan **renheren**. he NEG like anyone 'He does not like anyone.'

(i) Ta keyi wei guojia zuo renhe xisheng.

<sup>8</sup>There are two general negative markers in Chinese: bu and mei. The two negation markers differ in the aspectual meaning that they denote: bu indicates a non-completive aspect and mei, a completive one (Li and Thompson, 1981: 421).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In English the modal *will* can license FC-*any* via specifier-head agreement, while its Chinese counterpart *hui* cannot (cf. (10), (14) and their English translations). On the other hand, *can* in both English and Chinese can license FC-*any* (see (i)).

he can for country do any sacrifice

<sup>&#</sup>x27;He can make any sacrifice for his country.'

- (7') Wo **bu** song **renheren** shu. I NEG send anyone book 'I will not send anyone a book.'
- (8') Wo mei gei renheren bi.I NEG give anyone pen 'I did not give anyone a pen.'
- (9') Wo **mei** ba **renhedongxi** diudiao guo I NEG BA anything throw away ASP 'I have not ever thrown away anything.'
- (10') Wo **bu** hui gen **renheren** woshou. I NEG will with anyone shake hands 'I will not shake hands with anyone.'
- (11') Wo **mei** bei **renheren** zou guo. I NEG BEI anyone beaten ASP 'I have not been beaten by anyone.'
- (12') Ta **bu** wei **renheren** zuo shi. he NEG for anyone do things 'He does not do things for anyone.'
- (13') Wo mei zai renhedifang douliu guo. I NEG at any place stay ASP 'I had not ever stayed in any place.'

However, negating the predicates in (14) and (15) still fails to rescue the ill-formedness of

them:

- (14') **\*Renheren bu** hui lai<sup>9</sup>. anyone NEG will come 'Anyone will not come.'
- (15') \*Renheren bu renshi ta. anyone NEG knows him \*'Anyone does not know him.'

It is obvious that the subject/object asymmetry holds in the licensing of renhe by clausemate

negations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>In order to get rid of the extra factor--the definiteness constraint on subject in Chinese (viz. an NP in subject must be definite; for discussions, see Li and Thompson, 1981), the existential expression you is added in front of the subject in (14'):

<sup>(</sup>i) \*You renheren bu hui lai.

have anyone NEG will come

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Nobody will come.'

The sentence is still bad. Obviously, (i) is in favor of our argument that a subject/object asymmetry in the NPI licensing holds in simplex sentences.

#### 2. C-Command Domain at SS

The impossibility of (14-15) is captured by a 'c-command'<sup>10</sup> relation between *renhe* and a negative element at S-Structure. Following Huang's phrase structure rules for Chinese (Huang, 1982), a sentence like (16) will have the structure in (16')<sup>11</sup>:

(16) Ta bu /mei bang wo xie zuoye. he will not/has not for me write assignment 'He will not do/has not done assignments for me.'



In this structure, the negation c-commands the object of the preposition (NP2) and the object of the verb (NP3) but does not c-command the subject (NP1). In a simplex sentence, *renhe* therefore is possible in an object of a preposition or a verb but not in a subject position. The S-Structure c-command correctly accounts for (6)-(13) as grammatical, and the following sentences as ungrammatical:

(12") \*Ta wei **renheren bu** zuo shi. he for anyone NEG do things 'He does not do things for anyone.'

<sup>10</sup>A c-commands B iff

<sup>11</sup>Currently, I presuppose that the negation marker bu is base-generated as a sister of the higher VP in (16'). And *mei* (you) has the same status of bu. Here, we do not commit to any particular theory about the structural position of negation. Whether the negation is a head or a specifier is not a core issue here.

<sup>(</sup>i) A does not dominate B and B does not dominate A.

<sup>(</sup>ii) The first branching node dominating A dominates B (See Reinhart, 1983).

#### (13") \*Wo zai **renhedifang mei** douliu guo. I at any place NEG live ASP 'I had not ever stayed in any place.'

This account also correctly rules out (17), where *renheren* is not affected by the c-command scope of negation:

(17) \*Ta bu lai dui renheren zui hao.
 he NEG come to anyone most good
 \*That he does not come is good for anyone.'

And it successfully accounts for the difference in grammaticality between (18) and (19).

- (18) \*Ta bu-duan zuo renheshi. he not-break do anything 'He continually does anything.'
- (19) Ta congbu piping renheren. he never criticize anyone 'He never criticizes anyone.'

The structures of (18-19) can be schematically shown in (20a) and (20b) respectively:



For (18), the affix *bu* 'not' is attached before the stem *duan* 'break' to negate it, forming the adverb *buduan* 'continually'. The negative morpheme itself, consequently, does not modify the VP *zuo renheshi* in (18). In contrast, the negative marker alongside the adverb *cong* in (19) modifies the whole VP *piping reheren* 'criticize anyone'. For this reason, *renhe* in (18) is not contained in the scope of the adverb but that in (19) is, as evidenced in (20).

#### 3. Distance of Licensing

Although there is a subject/object asymmetry in the distribution of *renhe* in simplex sentences, this cannot be the case in complex sentences. *Renhe* in the subject position of an embedded clause is possible<sup>12</sup>(see the (a) sentences):

- (21a) ?Wo **bu** xiangxin [cp **renheren** xihuan Zhangsan]. I NEG believe anyone like Zhangsan 'I do not believe that anyone likes Zhangsan.'
- (21b) Wo **bu** xiangxin [cp Zhangsan xihuan **renheren**]. I NEG believe Zhangsan like anyone 'I do not believe that Zhangsan likes anyone.'
- (22a) ?Wo **bu** zhidao [cp **renheren** da le Zhang]. I NEG know anyone beat ASP Zhang 'I do not know that anyone beat Zhang.'
- (22b) Ta bu zhidao [cp zhe jian shi gen renheren you guanxi]. he NEG know this CL matter with anyone have relation 'He does not know this matter has to do with anyone.'
- (23a) ?Ta mei kanjian [<sub>cp</sub> renheren da Lisi]. He NEG see anyone beat Lisi 'He has not seen that anyone beat Lisi.'
- (23b) Ni **mei** kanjian [<sub>cp</sub> ta zebei **renheren**]. you NEG see he blame anyone 'You have not seen that he blamed anyone.'
- (24a) ?Wo **bu** xiwang [cp **renheren** kaojin ta]. I NEG hope anyone approach him 'I do not hope that anyone approaches him.'
- (24b) Wo **bu** xiwang [cp ni qu **renhedifang**]. I NEG hope you go anywhere 'I do not hope that you go anywhere.'

The above data exhibit that renhe is not always clause-bound by its licensor; i. e., the NPI

and the negative element can appear in different clauses. In addition to licensing renhe in

- (i) Wo bu xiangxin you renheren xihuan Zhangsan. (analogous to (21a))
  - I NEG believe have anyone likes Zhangsan
  - 'I don't believe that anyone will like Zhangsan.'

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ Due to the definiteness constraint on subject in Chinese (Li and Thompson, 1981), most of the native speakers will judge the (a) counterparts in (21)-(24) as odd but well-formed. Y.-H. Audrey Li (personal communication) points it to me that these sentences improve when the existential expression you 'have' occurs before the subject *renheren*, e.g.:

embedded clauses, a negation marker can also license the NPI in relative clauses ((25)-(26)) or complex NPs  $((27)-(28))^{13}$ , as long as the c-command requirement is obeyed:

- (25) Wo bu xihuan  $[np[cp renheren xie e_i]$  de shu<sub>i</sub>]. NEG like Ι anvone write DE book 'I do not like books that anyone writes.'
- (26) Tamen **bu** zhidao  $[np[cp ziji zou e_i]$  de **renheshi**]. self do they NEG know DE anything They do not know anything which they did.
- (27) ?Lisi mei ba [np [cp renheren piping Zhang] de xiaoxi]] gaosu Wang. criticize Zhang DE news tell Lisi NEG BA anyone Wang 'Lisi has not told Wang the news that anyone criticizes Zhang.'
- (28) Lisi **mei** tingshuo [np[cp Zhang ma le renheren] de xiaoxi]]. Lisi NEG hear Zhang scold ASP anyone **DE** news 'Lisi has not heard the news that Zhang scolded anyone.'

The following grammatical contrast demonstrates that the c-command relation must be respected in

complex sentences, too:

(29) Ta **bu** yinwei Zhang ma le renheren er shengqi. he NEG because Zhang scold ASP anyone and angry 'He will not get angry because Zhang scolds anyone.'

(i) Zhang da renheren yu wo mei you guanxi.

Zhang beat anyone with me NEG have relation

'That Zhang beats anyone has nothing to do with me.'

C. -Y. Doris Chen (p. c.) suggests me to buy the proposal (Rissi, 1990; Progovac, 1992) that there is a covert operator in the Spec of CP2 in the logic form, which is coindexed with the negative marker, as shown in (ii): (ii)



you guanxi

Since the OP c-commands renheren, this c-command account still works. However, more evidences are required to verify this proposal. Because space does not permit me to address this issue in full, it deserves further study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Surprisingly, licensing *renhe* in a sentential subject is acceptable but violates the c-command requirement, which we has proposed:

### (30) \*Ta bu lai yinwei renheren hui piping ta. he NEG come because anyone will criticize him \*'He will not come because anyone will criticize him.'

*Renhe* in the former sentence is in the c-command scope of negation, but that in the latter is not, on a par with the contrast of acceptability between (18) and (19), which we have discussed in the previous section.

In addition to the c-command structural requirement, *renhe* obeys the Specificity constraint (see Li, 1992). *Renhe* in a specific NP is illegitimate. For instance, in (31):

(31) \*Ta bu xihuan na/zhe-ben renheren de shu? he NEG like that/this-CL anyone DE book \*'He does not like that/this book of any person?'

the NP *reheren de shu* 'anyone's book' with a feature [+indefinite] is marked [+definite] due to the presence of a definite (or deictic) determiner in the Spec position, the entire NP is assigned the two referentially incompatible features. The Specificity effect exhibited in this sentence confirms the proposal that *renhe* should be treated as an indefinite NP. According to Heim (see Pesetsky, 1984), indefinite NPs are not equipped with any quantificational force by themselves, and essentially serve as variables in the logical form. In our study of *renhe* we find that the quantificational force of the indefinite NP is determined by an expression that c-commands it in a larger domain, such as negations<sup>14</sup>.

## 4. Renhe in Nonnegative Contexts

Apart from being licensed by negation, the NPI *renhe* is also licit in certain nonnegative contexts, evidenced as follows<sup>15</sup>:

- \*'He dislikes anyone.'
- (ii) ??Ta bishi renheren.
- he despise anyone \*'He despises anyone.'
- (iii) ??Ta zenghen renheren.
- he hate anyone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>In addition to negations, yes/no questions and conditionals can license NPIs, and still the c-command requirement must be met (for discussions, see Li, 1992; Wang, 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The judgements of grammaticality in simplex sentences with adversative predicates tend to be uncertain:

<sup>(</sup>i) ??Ta taoyan renheren.

he dislike anyone

- (32) Huowu zai yunsong zhong qing jinliang fangzhi renhe sunshi (fasheng) goods in carrying during please as possible prevent any loss happen 'Please try to prevent any loss (to happen) while the goods are one the way.'
- (33) Ta zuzhi wo chi renhedongxi. he stop me eat anything 'He stops me eating anything.'
- (34) Ta de fuqin jinzhi ta qu renhedifang. he DE father forbid him go anywhere 'His father forbids him going to anywhere.
- (35) Ta jujue bang ni zuo renheshi. he refuse for you do anything 'He refused to do anything for me.'
- (36) Wo fandui ta zuo renheshiqing. I disapprove him do anything 'I disapprove his doing anything.'

At the first sight, the validity of the c-command requirement, seemingly, is challenged by the fact that (32)-(36), which do not involve any overt negative licensor, are grammatical by intuition. Note that the predicates in these sentences have one thing in common: none of them has its truth value fixed positively (Progovac, 1992)<sup>16</sup>. As we know, negation is used to indicate the absence, opposite or negative of something. For instance,

- (37a) John sees her.
- (37b) John does not see her.

the negation element *not* changes the phrase *sees her* in (37a) to one with the opposite meaning *does not see her* in (37b). Similarly, the truth of the propositions in (32-36) is not asserted, it is not surprising that adversative predicates allow *renhe* to appear as well. Nevertheless, the c-command requirement still must hold in the covertly negative contexts, e.g.:

<sup>\*&#</sup>x27;He hates anyone.'

Progovac (1992) claims that licensing of NPIs in these covertly negative contexts is a property of clauses, rather than phrases. The phenomenon observed here cannot be accounted for by Ladusaw's downward-entailing (DE) approach (1980). According to his arguement, the adversative predicates in (i)-(iii) will be NPI licensors (as in (32)-(36)), since they are DE operators. However, established as DE operators, the adversative predicates in (i)-(iii) do not license the NPI in their object position, even though this position is in the scope of these verbs. The Chinese data presented here appear to support Progovac's claim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Assume that covertly negative elements are those that do not involve a separate negative morpheme or a word, for example, *jinzhi* 'forbid' (as opposed to *bu yunxu* 'not permit') *fandui* 'disapprove' (as opposed to *bu zancheng* 'not approve').

- (38) \*Wo quan renheren zuzhi ta zuo huai shi. I persuade anyone stop him do bad thing 'I persuade anyone to stop him to do wrong.'
- (39) \*Wo yaoqiu renheren jinzhi ta qu Meigou.I ask anyone forbid him go U.S.'I ask anyone to forbid his going to U.S..'

Summarizing, the NPI happens in a negative context or context where negation is implied, and its licensor, overt or nonovert, must c-command it.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

The aim of this discussion has been provided an analysis of Chinese NPI *renhe*, and in particular to explore its distribution in contexts with negative values. Several conclusions can be drawn from this study. First, in light of syntactic structure, the NPI requires a c-command licensor at S-Structure. Second, semantically the set of elements which license it are defined in terms of the effect on the truth value of a proposition. Third, the relation between the NPI and its licensor is a binder-bindee relation. Such relation displays the c-command requirement and the Specificity effect. Finally, more research is needed specifically in the licensing of *renhe* in other contexts (see Section 1) in order to unveil the true relation of licensor-licensee and to achieve a complete understanding of NPI *renhe*.

## References

- Aoun, J. (1985) A Grammar of Anaphora, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Aoun, J. (1986) Generalized Binding: The Syntax and Logic Form of Wh-Interrogatives, Foris, Dordreht.
- Aoun, J and Y. Li (1989) "Scope and Constituency" Linguistic Inquiry 20, 141-171.
- Aoun, J. and Y. Li (1993) "Wh-Elements in Situ: Syntax or LF?" Linguistic Inquiry 24: 199-238.
- Cheng, L. -S. Lisa (1991) On the Typology of WH-Questions, PhD dissertation, MIT.
- Cole, P., G. Hermon and L. -M. Sung (1990) "Principles and Parameters of Long Distance Reflexives," *Linguistic Inquiry* 21: 1-22.
- Hasegawa, Nobuko (1991) "Affirmative Polarity Items and Negation in Japanese" in Georgopoulos Carol and Ishihara Robert (eds.) Interdisciplinary Approaches to Languages: Essay in Honor of S. -Y. Kuroda. Dordreht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Hou, Y. John (1983) "Totality in Chinese: the Syntax and Semantics of dou" in Tang Ting-chi et al. (eds) Studies in Chinese Syntax and Semantics: Universe and Scope, Presupposition and Quantification in Chinese, Taipei, Student Book Store Co.
- Huang, C. -T. J. (1982) Logical Relations in Chinese and the Theory of Grammar, Doctoral dissertation, MIT.
- Huang, C. -T. James and Jane C. -C. Tang. (1988) "On the Local Nature of the Long-Distance Reflexive in Chinese," ms., University of Cornell.
- Kayne, Richard S. (1984) Connectedness and Binary Branching, Foris, Dordreht.
- Kim, Soo-Won (1990) Chain Scope and Quantificational Structure, PhD Dissertation, Brandeis University.
- Ladusaw, W. (1980) Polarity Sensitivity as Inherent Scope Relations, Garland, New York.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson (1981). Mandarin Chinese: A Functional Reference Grammar, University of Califonia Press, Berkeley.
- Li, Y. -H. Audrey (1990) Order and Constituency in Mandarin Chinese, Dordreht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Li, Y.-H. Audrey (1992) "Indefinite Wh in Mandarin Chinese" Journal of East Asian Linguistics 1, 125-155.
- Linebarger, M. (1987) "Negative Polarity and Grammatical Representation" Linguistics and Philosophy 10, 325-387.
- Lu, Shuxiang (1980) Xiandai Hanyu Ba Bai Ci [Eight Hundred Expressions in Modern Mandarin] Shangwu Publishers, Hong Kong.

- Mahajan, Annop Kumar (1990) "LF Condition on Negative Polarity Licensing" Lingua 80: 333-348.
- Pesetsky, D. (1987). "WH-in-situ: Movement and Unselective Binding." in *The Representation of* (*In*)*Definiteness*, eds. Eric J. Reuland and Alice G. B. ter Meullen, 98-129. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Progovac, Ljiljana (1990) "Free-Choice Bilo in Serbo-Croatian: Existential or Universal?" Linguistic Inquiry 21, 130-135.
- Progovac, Ljiljana (1991) "Polarity in Serbo-Croatian: Anaphoric NPIs and Pronominal PPIs" Linguistic Inquiry 22, 567-572.

Progovac, Ljiljana (1992). "Nonnegative Polarity Licensing Must Involve Comp", LI 23, 341-47.

- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., and Svartvik J. (1985) A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language. Longman.
- Tang, C. -C. Jane (1988) "Chinese Reflexives," Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 7: 93-121.
- Wang, Y. -F. Flora (1993) "The Syntactic Properties of Chinese NPI Renhe," ms., National Taiwan Normal University.