

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Contrasting population genetics of cattle-and buffalo-derived Theileria annulata causing tropical theileriosis

Citation for published version:

Chaudhry, UN, Ali, Q, Zheng, L, Rashid, I, Shabbir, MZ, Zahid, MN, Ashraf, K, Evans , M, Rafiq, S, Oneeb, M, Morrison, I & Sargison, N 2020 'Contrasting population genetics of cattle-and buffalo-derived Theileria annulata causing tropical theileriosis' bioRxiv, at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.10.902031

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1101/2020.01.10.902031

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publisher Rights Statement:

The copyright holder for this preprint is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 2 2	Contrasting population genetics of cattle- and buffalo- derived <i>Theileria annulata</i> causing tropical theileriosis							
4 5 6 7 8	Umer Chaudhry ^{1‡} *, Qasim Ali ^{2‡} , Lynn Zheng ¹ , Imran Rashid ³ , Muhammad Zubair Shabbir ³ , Muhammad Nauman ⁴ , Kamran Ashraf ² , Mike Evans ¹ , Shahzad Rafiq ³ , Muhammad Oneeb ³ , Ivan Morrison ¹ , Liam Morrison ¹ , Neil D. Sargison ¹ *							
9 10 11 12 13 14	 ¹ University of Edinburgh, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies and Roslin Institute, UK ² Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan ³ University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Lahore, Pakistan ⁴ Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, Livestock and Dairy Department, Okara, Pakistan 							
15 16	‡ Contributed equally							
17 18	*Corresponding authors:							
19 20 21 22	Umer Chaudhry Email: uchaudhr@exseed.ed.ac.uk, Tel: 00441316519244 University of Edinburgh, The Roslin Institute, Easter Bush Veterinary Centre, UK, EH25 9RG							
23 24 25 26 27	Neil Sargison Neil.Sargison@ed.ac.uk, +44 (0)131 651 7300 University of Edinburgh, R(D)SVS, Easter Bush Veterinary Centre, UK, EH25 9RG							
28 29 30								
31 32 33								
34 35 36 27								
37 38 39								
40 41 42								
43 44 45								
46								

47 Abstract

The present study was designed to improve understanding of *Theileria annulata* in sympatric 48 water buffalo and cattle in the Punjab province of Pakistan. The prevalence of tropical theileriosis 49 50 is high, buparvaquone resistance is widespread, and vaccine protection is poor in the field. Better 51 understanding is, therefore, needed of the factors that influence the genetics of T. annulata 52 populations both within its hosts and in its overall populations. Here we utilise a panel of six 53 satellites and a mitochondrial cytochrome b marker to explore the multiplicity of T. annulata 54 infection and patterns of emergence and spread of different parasite genotypes. Parasite materials 55 were collected from infected animals in defined regions, where water buffalo and cattle are kept 56 together. Our results show that T. annulata is genetically more diverse in cattle- than in water 57 buffalo-derived populations (the mean numbers of unique satellite alleles were 13.3 and 1.8 and 58 numbers of unique cytochrome b locus alleles were 65 and 27 in cattle- and water buffalo- derived 59 populations, respectively). The data show a high level of genetic diversity among the individual host-derived populations (the overall heterozygosity (He) indices were 0.912 and 0.931 in cattle, 60 61 and 0.874 and 0.861 in buffalo, based on satellite and cytochrome b loci, respectively). When 62 considered in the context of high parasite transmission rates and frequent animal movements 63 between different regions, the predominance of multiple T. annulata genotypes, with multiple introductions of infection in the hosts from which the parasite populations were derived, may have 64 practical implications for the spread of parasite genetic adaptations; such as those conferring 65 66 vaccine cross-protection against different strains affecting cattle and buffalo, or resistance to 67 antiprotozoal drugs.

68

69 Key Words: Tropical theileriosis, *T. annulata*, buparvaquone resistance, antiprotozoal drugs.

70

71 72

73 74

75

76

77 78

79 80

81

82 **1. Introduction**

83 Vector-borne haemoprotozoa impact globally on the health, welfare, and production of livestock (Jabbar et al., 2015). The genus Theileria comprises of two important species, Theileria 84 85 annulata and Theileria parva (Lawrence, 1979). T. parva typically causes East Coast Fever, 86 Corridor Disease, or January Disease in southern and eastern Africa (Uilenberg et al., 1982), 87 whereas, T. annulata causes tropical theileriosis in North Africa and South Asia (Nourollahi-Fard 88 et al., 2015). Tropical theileriosis amongst the most important neglected tropical parasitic diseases of livestock (Sivakumar et al., 2014). Cattle and buffalo become infected with T. annulata 89 90 following the transmission of sporozoites through ixodid ticks of the genus Hyalomma. These 91 stages invade lymphocytes and develop by schizogony. Micromerozoites released from the 92 lymphocytes enter erythrocytes and multiply by binary fission to produce merozoites. Piroplasms 93 ingested during tick feeding, migrate to the arthropod gut where gametogeny and production of 94 zygotes occurs. These enter the haemolymph and are carried to the salivary gland, where further 95 replication by binary fission gives rise to sporozoites. The life cycle is completed when the next 96 instar of the tick feeds (Gharbi and Darghouth, 2015). Clinically infected cattle and buffalo show high fever and lymphadenopathy, sometimes accompanied by haemolytic anaemia, respiratory and 97 98 ocular lesions (Al-Hosary et al., 2010; Mahmmod et al., 2011).

99 Avoidance, removal or suppression of Hyalomma ticks or prophylactic use of antiprotozoal 100 drugs are generally impractical in the control of tropical theileriosis. The unsustainability of these 101 disease control measures highlights the potential for effective T. annulata vaccines. Attenuated 102 vaccines against T. annulata have been used in many countries where tropical theileriosis is 103 endemic (Brown, 1990; Darghouth et al., 1999; Tait and Hall, 1990). However, there are practical 104 constraints to the widespread use of live T. annulata vaccines in control programmes: (i) a requirement for distribution in liquid nitrogen, which accounts for approximately 30% of the cost 105 106 (Bouslikhane et al., 1998); (ii) the need to use the vaccine immediately after thawing; (iii) the 107 difficulty and cost of quality control to ensure consistent efficacy and the absence of other 108 pathogens; (iv) problems with post-vaccination reactions, which have been recorded in 3% of animals immunised with Chinese, Moroccan, Iranian or Tunisian stocks (Darghouth, 2008); and (v) 109 110 the possibility of reversion to virulence. To overcome these problems, research for the development of a killed subunit vaccine against T. annulata has focused on antigens present on the 111 surface of sporozoite and merozoite stages of the parasite. High levels of genetic diversity are a 112 113 major hurdle for the development of subunit vaccines for use in different host species; for example,

where cross-protection between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* strains may be incomplete (Young et al., 1973). Studies of *T. parva* in African buffalo have shown high genetic diversity when compared to cattle (Oura et al., 2005); but while *T. annulata* can infect both cattle and Asian buffalo (Nourollahi-Fard et al., 2015), genetic comparisons between strains infecting sympatric host populations have not been reported. Understanding of allelic variations of buffalo- and cattlederived *T. annulata* and their circulation is needed to inform antigenicity and immunogenicity in vaccine development.

121 Control of *T. annulata* is heavily dependent on the prophylactic and therapeutic use of a single 122 anti-protozoal drug, buparvaquone, but is now compromised by the emergence of resistance 123 (Mhadhbi et al., 2015). Buparvaquone resistance has been reported with increasing frequency and 124 now represents a serious challenge to efficient livestock production (Mhadhbi et al., 2010). Current understanding of the mechanisms and genetic basis of buparvaquone resistance in T. 125 126 annulata is limited (Chatanga et al., 2019; Mhadhbi et al., 2015; Sharifiyazdi et al., 2012), with no 127 functional link between mutations in the candidate cytochrome b locus and resistance phenotype having been demonstrated. Although previous studies have described multiple genotypes and high 128 levels of genetic diversity (Al-Hamidhi et al., 2015; Weir et al., 2011), there is a lack of 129 information on changes in response to drug selection pressure, fitness costs of mutations, and gene 130 131 flow. It is, therefore, important to understand the genetic diversity and population sub-structure of 132 T. annulata, with reference to the emergence and spread of buparvaquone resistance mutations. 133 There is a need for a better understanding of the transmission of different T. annulata genotypes 134 present in individual cattle and buffalo hosts as a proxy for multiplicity of infection when 135 considering sustainable control strategies.

Population genetic studies of T. annulata have previously been performed using panels of 136 satellite makers (Weir et al., 2007), but there are no reports based on mitochondrial cytochrome b 137 sequence analysis using a post-genomic next-generation sequencing approach. Deep amplicon 138 sequencing of metabarcoded mtDNA affords a practical and rhigh-throughput method when 139 140 compared to conventional Sanger sequencing, to investigate genetic diversity between and within parasite populations. The Illumina MiSeq platform can provide 100,000 or more reads of up to 141 142 600 bp of loci of interest, depending on primer design. Recently, we have used these methods to 143 study the population genetics of *Calicophoron daubneyi* (Sargison et al., 2019) and *Fasciola* gigantica infection in livestock keep in the United Kingdom and Pakistan. 144

In this paper, we describe the use of a mitochondrial cytochrome b locus and six polymorphic satellite markers investigate the population genetics of buffalo- and cattle-derived *T. annulata*. The results may help to: inform future rational vaccine design for the control of tropical theileriosis; predict the likely emergence and spread of genetic adaptations such as buparvaquone resistance; and identify patterns of infection with different genotypes.

150

151 **2. Materials and Methods**

152

153 2.1. Parasite resources and gDNA isolation

154 Blood samples were collected from the piroplasm-positive cattle and buffalo in veterinary clinics throughout the Punjab province of Pakistan between 2017 and 2019. The procedures 155 involved jugular venipuncture and withdrawal of 5 ml of intravenous blood into EDTA tubes, 156 followed by storage at -20° C. Samples were collected by para-veterinary staff under the 157 supervision of local veterinarians following consent from the animal owners. The study was 158 159 approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (UVAS-24817). Peripheral blood smears were prepared and stained with 4% Giemsa, then 160 161 visualised under 1000x oil immersion to detect piroplasm infection. 'Haemoprotobiome' sequencing was performed on piroplasm-positive blood samples to confirm the presence of T. 162 annulata (Chaudhry et al., 2019). Genomic DNA was isolated from T. annulata positive samples 163 164 by lysis with GS buffer and proteinase K as described in the TIANamp Blood DNA Kit (TIANGEN Biotech Co. Ltd, Beijing) and stored at -20°C. 165

Four cell-line stocks of *T. annulata* positive controls originally derived from Turkey, India,
Tunisia, and Morocco (Katzer et al., 1994) were available at the University of Edinburgh Roslin
Institute, UK.

169

170 2.2. Adapter and barcoded PCR amplification of T. annulata cytochrome b

171 A 517 bp region of the cytochrome b locus was selected for deep amplicon sequencing. One μ l 172 gDNA of each of 31 buffalo, 54 cattle and the 4 positive control derived *T. annulata* samples was 173 used as templates for the 1st round adapter PCR amplification. A *de novo* primer set 174 (Supplementary Table S1) was used under the following conditions: 13.25 μ l ddH₂O, 1 μ l gDNA, 175 0.75 μ l of 10 mM dNTPs mix, 5 μ l of 5X HiFi Fidelity Buffer, 0.5 μ l of 0.5U DNA polymerase 176 enzyme (KAPA Biosystems, USA), and 0.75 μ l of 10 μ M forward adaptor primers and reverse

177 adaptor primers. The thermocycling conditions were: 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles at 98°C for 20 sec, 178 55°C for 15 sec, and 72°C for 2 min, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 2 min. The PCR product was purified with AMPure XP Magnetic Beads (1X) (Beckman coulter Inc., USA). A 179 barcoded primer set was used in the 2nd round of PCR amplification to add a fragment of unique 180 sequence into each purified product (Supplementary Table S2) under the following conditions: 181 182 13.25 μ l ddH₂O, 2 μ l bead purified PCR products, 0.75 μ l of 10 mM dNTPs mix, 5 μ l of 5X HiFi Fidelity Buffer, 0.75 µl of 0.5U DNA polymerase enzyme (KAPA Biosystems, USA), and 1.25 µl 183 of 10µM forward and reverse primers. The thermocycling conditions were: 98°C for 2 min, 7 184 185 cycles at 98°C for 20 sec, 63°C for 20 sec, and 72°C for 2 min. The PCR products were purified 186 with AMPure XP Magnetic Beads (1X) (Beckman coulter Inc., USA).

187

188 2.3. Illumina Mi-Seq run and data handling

A pooled library was prepared with 10 µl of barcoded bead purification PCR product from each 189 190 T. annulata sample and sent to Edinburgh Genomics, UK for deep amplicon sequencing. The size of the amplicon was measured by qPCR library quantification (KAPA Biosystems, USA), before 191 192 running on an Illumina Mi-Seq sequencer using a 600-cycle pair-end reagent kit (Mi-Seq Reagent Kits v2, MS-103-2003) at a concentration of 15 nM with addition of 15% Phix control v3 193 194 (Illumina, FC-11-2003). The Illumina Mi-Seq post-run processing uses the barcoded indices to split all sequences by sample and generate FASTQ files. These were analysed using Mothur 195 196 v1.39.5 software (Schloss et al., 2009) with modifications in the standard operating procedures of 197 Illumina Mi-Seq (Kozich et al., 2013) in the Command Prompt pipeline. Briefly, the raw paired 198 read-ends were run into the 'make.contigs' command to combine the two sets of reads for each 199 sample. The command extracted sequence and quality score data from the FASTO files, creating 200 the complement of the reverse and forward reads, and then joining the reads into contigs. After 201 removing the too long, or ambiguous sequence reads, the data were aligned with the T. annulata 202 cytochrome b reference sequence library prepared from the positive controls (for more details 203 Supplementary Data S1 and section 2.1) using the 'align.seqs' command. Any sequences that did 204 not match with the T. annulata cytochrome b reference library were removed and the 205 'summary.seqs' command was used to summarise the 517 bp sequence reads of the T. annulata 206 cytochrome b locus. The sequence reads were further run on the 'screen.seqs' command to 207 generate the T. annulata cytochrome b FASTQ file. Once the sequence reads were classified as T. 208 annulata, a count list of the consensus sequences of each population was created using the

209 'unique.seqs' command. The count list was further used to create FASTQ files of the consensus
210 sequences of each population using the 'split.groups' command (for more details Supplementary
211 Data S2).

212

213 2.4. Bioinformatics data analysis

214 The consensus sequences of *T. annulata* cytochrome b locus were aligned using the MUSCLE 215 alignment tool in Geneious v10.2.5 software (Biomatters Ltd, New Zealand) and then imported 216 into the FaBox 1.5 online tool (birc.au.dk) to collapse the sequences that showed 100% base pair 217 similarity after corrections into a single genotype. The genotype frequency of each sample was 218 calculated by dividing the number of sequence reads by the total number of reads. A split tree was 219 created in the SplitTrees4 software (bio-soft.net) by using the neighbour-joining method in the 220 JukesCantor model of substitution. The appropriate model of nucleotide substitutions for 221 neighbour-joining analysis was selected by using the jModeltest 12.2.0 program (Posada, 2008). 222 The tree was rooted with the corresponding cytochrome b sequence of T. parva. The branch supports were obtained by 1000 bootstraps of the data. The genetic diversity of cytochrome b was 223 calculated within and between populations by using the DnaSP 5.10 software package (Librado 224 225 and Rozas, 2009), and the following values were obtained: Heterozygosity (H_e), the number of segregating sites (S), nucleotide diversity (π), the mean number of pairwise differences (k), the 226 227 mutation parameter based on an infinite site equilibrium model, and the mutations parameter 228 based on segregating sites (S_{θ}).

229

230 2.5. Satellite genotyping and bioinformatics data analysis of T. annulata

231 Six satellite markers (TS6, TS8, TS20, TS31, TS12, TS16) were selected for use on each 232 population as previously described by Weir et al. (2007). A summary of primer sequences and 233 allele ranges is given in Supplementary Table S3. Eighteen buffalo and 35 cattle derived T. 234 annulata populations were successfully analysed from six markers. PCR amplification was 235 performed using a master mix containing 17.2 µl ddH₂O, 0.3 µl of 100 µM dNTPs, 2.5 µl of 1X 236 thermopol reaction buffer, 0.3 μ l of 1.25U Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) and 0.3 237 µl of 0.1µM forward and reverse primers. The thermo-cycling parameters were 94°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, staying at the annealing temperatures of 60°C (TS6, 238 239 TS20), 55°C (TS8, TS12, TS16), or 50°C (TS31) for 1 min and 65°C for 1 min, with a single final 240 extension cycle of 65°C for 5 min. The forward primer of each microsatellite primer pair was 5'

end labelled with fluorescent dye (IDT, UK), and the ROX 400 internal size standard was used on

the ABI Prism 3100 genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Individual chromatograms were analysed using Peak Scanner software version 2.0 (Thermo 243 Fisher Scientific, USA) to determine the size of the alleles. These were combined across six 244 245 markers to generate a multilocus genotype (MLG). From the MLG data, allelic variation (A) and 246 heterozygosity (H_e) for individual populations were calculated using Arlequin 3.11 software (Guo 247 and Thompson, 1992). Significance levels were calculated using the sequential method of 248 Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons in the same dataset (Rice, 1989). Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was estimated through the partition of satellite diversity between 249 and within populations (Excoffier et al., 1992) and fixation index (pairwise F_{ST}) values were 250 251 calculated using Arlequin 3.11 to provide a measurement of population genetic sub-structure. 252 Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was performed using GenALEx software to illustrate the 253 extent of genetically distinct features of individual populations with plot coordinates (Peakall and 254 Smouse, 2012).

255 The likelihood ratio test statistics (G-test) were calculated using Arlequin 3.11 software (Excoffier et al., 2005) to estimate genetic linkage equilibrium and deviations from Hardy-256 Weinberg equilibrium. There was no evidence to support linkage disequilibrium for any 257 combination of satellite loci in the individual T. annulata populations of buffalo and cattle, 258 259 indicating that alleles at these loci were randomly associating and not genetically linked (data on 260 file). There was some significant departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, even after 261 Bonferroni correction, in addition to relative P values for 108 loci combinations for buffalo and 262 210 loci combinations for cattle *T. annulata* populations. The bottleneck software (version 1.2.02) 263 was, therefore, used to search for the evidence of heterozygosity excess and mode-shift. The 264 Wilcoson signed-rank test was used to evaluate the statistical significance of any possible genetic 265 drift equilibrium (Lefterova et al., 2015). The data showed that there was no heterozygosity excess 266 according to the Sign Test and Wilcoxon Test (data on file). The mode shift analysis established 267 most populations had a normal L-shaped distribution.

268

269 **3. Results**

270

271 3.1. Allelic diversity between cattle- and buffalo- derived T. annulata populations

272 The allelic diversity data show that T. annulata is more diverse in cattle as compared to water buffalo. The mean numbers (±SD) of satellite alleles in the cattle- and buffalo- derived 273 274 populations were 34.7 (\pm 9.1) and 23.7 (\pm 5.2), respectively. The number of alleles (A_n) for each satellite marker in the cattle- and buffalo-derived populations ranged from 22 to 46 and 17 to 32, 275 276 respectively. The mean numbers (±SD) of cattle- and buffalo-specific T. annulata satellite alleles (A_u) per marker were 13.3 (±6.3) and 1.8 (±2.04), respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Seventy-nine 277 and 41 cytochrome b locus alleles (A_n) were identified in the cattle- and buffalo-derived T. 278 279 annulata populations. The numbers of cattle- and buffalo-specific T. annulata alleles (A_{μ}) were 65 280 and 27, respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

281

282 3.2. Genetic diversity within T. annulata populations derived from cattle and buffalo

Overall, the mean heterozygosities (H_e) (\pm SD) of the cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. annulata* populations were 0.912 (\pm 0.056) and 0.874 (\pm 0.028) respectively (Table 2). The heterozygosity (H_e) data reveal high levels of genetic diversity within each population of *T. annulata*; with mean values (\pm SD) for the six satellite markers ranging from 0.8 (\pm 0.082) to 0.959 (\pm 0.017) in individual cattle- and 0.738 (\pm 0.196) to 0.956 (\pm 0.034) in buffalo-derived populations (Table 2).

High levels of heterozygosity (H_e) were seen at the *T. annulata* cytochrome b locus, ranging from 0.556 to 0.923 (overall mean value 0.931) in cattle-derived populations and from 0.545 to 0.900 (overall mean value 0.861) in buffalo-derived populations (Table 3).

291

292 3.3. Genetic sub-structure between T. annulata populations derived from cattle and buffalo

Genetic differentiation was shown by comparing the fixation indices (F_{ST}) of two populations with each other in a pairwise manner. The F_{ST} values indicated a low level of genetic differentiation between *T. annulata* populations ranging from 0.001 to 0.114 in cattle and 0.003 to 0.132 buffalo, respectively (Supplementary Table S4).

An AMOVA was conducted using the panel of six satellite markers to estimate the genetic variation within and between the populations. This showed that genetic variation was distributed 97.91% and 97% and within; and 2.09% and 3% between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. annulata* populations, respectively (data on file). PCoA was performed to illustrate as a two-dimensional plot the extent to which populations are genetically distinct. The two axes accounted for 72.5% (25.67 + 46.83) and 73.93% (27.6 + 46.33) of the variation in the cattle- and buffalo-derived

populations, respectively; and showed that populations from different regions formed overlappingclusters, hence were not geographically sub-structured (Fig. 2).

305

306 *3.4. Genotype distribution of T. annulata populations derived from cattle and buffalo*

307 *T. annulata* satellite data were found to be highly polymorphic in each population, with overall 308 numbers of genotypes per population ranging from 2 to 26 in cattle and 2 to 17 in buffalo. The 309 mean numbers (\pm SD) of *T. annulata* genotypes in cattle- and buffalo-derived populations were 310 8.60 (\pm 2.52) and 6.25, respectively (Table 4).

311 Seventy-nine T. annulata cytochrome b genotypes from individual cattle-derived populations 312 were analysed separately. Fifteen populations had a single genotype at high frequencies ranging 313 from 90 to 100%. These comprised of ten populations containing only one genotype; two 314 populations containing two genotypes; one population with three genotypes; one population with 315 four genotypes; and one population with seven genotypes (Fig. 3A). Thirty-nine populations had 316 multiple genotypes at high frequencies. These comprised of five populations containing two 317 genotypes; eight populations containing three genotypes; ten population containing four genotypes; 318 seven populations with five genotypes; four populations with six genotypes; one population with 319 seven genotypes; two populations with eight genotypes; one populations with 12 genotypes; and 320 one with 14 genotypes (Fig. 3A). Phylogenetic analysis of the 79 cytochrome b genotypes of the 321 cattle-derived T. annulata populations, showed that two genotypes for 22.9% and 10.8% of the 322 total number of the sequence reads, being present in 36 and 8, populations, respectively. Seven 323 genotypes accounted for between 5.0% and 7.0% of the sequence reads and 45 genotypes 324 accounted for less than 1.0% of the sequence reads (Fig. 4A). The split tree shows that eight 325 genotypes are shared between populations derived from cattle holdings in multiple locations of Lahore, Chakwal, Gujranwala, Okara, and Sahiwal. Seven genotypes are shared between 326 327 populations derived from cattle holdings in any two locations of Lahore, Gujranwala, Okara, and 328 Sahiwal. Sixty-one genotypes are present in a single location (Gujranwala=24; Qadirabad=12; 329 Okara =14; Lahore=11 genotypes) (Fig. 4A).

Forty-one *T. annulata* cytochrome b genotypes from individual buffalo-derived populations were analysed separately. A single genotype predominated in 15 populations at a frequency of between 90 and 100%. These comprised of eight populations containing only one genotype; three populations containing two genotypes; one population with three genotypes; one population with five genotypes; one population with six genotypes; and one population with seven genotypes (Fig.

335 3B). Sixteen populations had high frequencies of multiple genotypes. These comprised of seven 336 populations contained 2 genotypes; two populations contained 3 genotypes; one population contained 4 genotypes; three populations had 5 genotypes; two populations had 7 genotypes and 337 338 one population contained a maximum of 8 genotypes (Fig. 3B). Phylogenetic analysis of the 41 339 cytochrome b genotypes of the buffalo-derived T. annulata populations, showed that three 340 genotypes accounted for 39.1%, 10.8%, and 5.5% of sequence reads being present in 26, 15 and 3 341 populations, respectively. Eighteen genotypes accounted for between 1.0% and 3.0% of sequence 342 reads and 20 genotypes accounted for less than 1.0% of sequence reads (Fig. 4B). The split tree shows that two genotypes are shared between T. annulata populations derived from buffalo 343 344 holdings in multiple locations of Gujranwala, Hafizabad, Okara, and Sahiwal. Five genotypes are 345 present in the populations derived from buffalo holdings in Gujranwala and Okara. One genotype is present in the populations derived from buffalo holdings in Hafizabad and Okara and one 346 347 genotype from Okara and Sahiwal. Thirty-two genotypes are present in a single location (16 348 genotypes in Gujranwala; 13 genotypes in Okara; and 3 in Hafizabad) (Fig. 4B).

349

350 **4. Discussion**

Theleria annulata is considered to be the most economically important protozoan parasite of 351 water buffalo and cattle in Asia and North Africa, causing high mortality and morbidity. The 352 353 annual economic impact of the pathogen is estimated to be billions of USD, with a significant 354 impact on both meat and milk production (Jabbar et al., 2015). Our results reveal a high level of 355 genetic diversity within T. annulata infecting individual hosts, and between different host-derived 356 populations; being greater in cattle- than in water buffalo-derived populations. *Theileria annulata* 357 genotype distribution in the Punjab province of Pakistan is consistent with high parasite transmission rates and frequent animal movements between different regions. These results may 358 359 have practical implications for the spread of parasite genetic adaptations.

360

361 *4.1. Potential impact of T. annulata allelic diversity on immunisation cross-protection*

The satellite and cytochrome b data reported in the present study show that *T. annulata* is genetically more diverse with more circulation of alleles in cattle as compared to water buffalo. The satellite data were informative due to the numbers of alleles present, showing more unique alleles in cattle-derived than in Asian water buffalo-derived *T. annulata* populations. The cytochrome b locus provided further evidence of allelic diversity and differences between cattle-

367 and buffalo- populations. Similarly high levels of parasite heterogeneity have been reported in T. 368 parva, albeit with higher allelic diversity in African buffalo- than in cattle-derived parasite populations (Oura et al., 2011). Such allelic variation may reflect the capacity of parasite to 369 370 undergo transmission. For example, the differences between T. annulata and T. parva could have 371 arisen if the buffalo-derived T. annulata transmission is more restricted than transmission between 372 cattle-derived populations in the Punjab province of Pakistan; while *T. parva* transmission may be 373 more efficient between infected buffalo than cattle in endemic east coast fever regions of Africa 374 (Oura et al., 2011).

The high level of allelic variation described in T. annulata might have practical implications for 375 the development of a sporozoite and merozoite antigen subunit vaccine. Immunisation of cattle 376 377 with different subunit versions of the sporozoite stage surface protein (SPAG1, TaSP) has 378 provided a degree of protection against cattle-derived T. annulata, probably through a reduction in 379 the level of parasitaemia (Schnittger et al., 2002). However, little is known about the antigenic 380 diversity of T. annulata candidate loci, which may be important in vaccine development 381 (MacHugh et al., 2011), or about the impact of differences between cattle- and buffalo-derived populations. Our results highlight the need for better understanding of antigenic diversity in the 382 383 development of a subunit vaccine for tropical theileriosis, capable of providing cross-protection 384 against cattle- and buffalo-derived T. annulata genotypes across different regions.

385

4.2. Potential impact of T. annulata genetic diversity on the emergence of buparvaquoneresistance

388 Our satellite and cytochrome b locus data show high levels of genetic diversity in both cattle-389 and buffalo-derived T. annulata populations, reflecting high effective population sizes (Al-390 Hamidhi et al., 2015) and implying high mutation rates across the *T. annulata* genome. The 8.35 391 Mb nuclear genome sequence of T. annulata spans four chromosomes that range from 1.9 to 2.6 392 Mb, with 3,792 putative protein-coding genes. In addition, a total of 49 transfer RNA and five 393 ribosomal RNA genes were identified (Pain et al. (2005). The high level of genetic diversity in T. 394 *annulata* may practical implications for the emergence of antiprotozoal drug resistance mutations. 395 Only one drug, buparvaquone, is available for the treatment of tropical theileriosis: Pakistani 396 livestock are treated frequently with buparvaquone and anecdotal evidence suggests that resistance 397 is widespread.

398

4.3. Potential impact of the multiplicity of T. annulata infection on control strategies for tropical theileriosis

The presence of a single cytochrome b genotype in 15 cattle and 9 buffalo- derived populations 401 suggests a single emergence and subsequent spread of T. annulata infection. The satellite data 402 403 provide further evidence of low levels of genetic differentiation among cattle-and buffalo-derived 404 populations and show overlapping clusters that are not geographically sub-structured, consistent 405 with the high levels of gene flow due to livestock movements or translocations of ticks to a new region. This could influence the spread of drug-resistant alleles. Studies of the global genetic sub-406 structure of T. annulata have shown a high level of genetic differentiation between Turkey and 407 Tunisia (Weir et al., 2007). Studies have also shown a low level of genetic differentiation in T. 408 409 annulata within-countries including Turkey, Tunisia, Oman, China and Portugal (Al-Hamidhi et al., 2015; Gomes et al., 2016; Weir et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2018), reflecting high levels of animal 410 411 movement, or translocation of ticks.

The predominance and the high proportions of multiple cytochrome b genotypes in 39 cattle-412 and 16 buffalo-derived populations implies multiple emergence of infection. The numbers of 413 satellite genotypes per population provide further evidence for this pattern of emergence of 414 different genetically adapted strains. Random cross mating of gametes and genetic recombination 415 of *T. annulata* in ticks gives rise to the formation of new genotypes (Al-Hamidhi et al., 2015). The 416 417 multiplicity of infection of T. annulata may be influenced by variations in the intensity of transmission due to levels of different tick species infestation, or prevalence of tick infection (Yin 418 419 et al., 2018). Hyalomma scupense is the most common and economically important species and 420 the major vector of T. annulata, but Hyalomma marginatum and Hyalomma anatolicum are also 421 found in Tunisia (Bouattour et al., 1996). There are four *Hyalomma* species responsible for the transmission of T. annulata in Turkey, of which H. anatolicum is the major vector for T. annulata 422 423 (Aktas et al., 2004). Two tick species, Hyalomma lusitanicum, and H. marginatum are the vectors of T. annulata in Portugal (Estrada-Pena and Santos-Silva, 2005). There are a few reports that H. 424 425 anatolicum may be a vector of T. annulata in the Punjab province of Pakistan (Karim et al., 2017). The multiplicity of *T. annulata* infection may also be influenced by population bottlenecking 426 427 effects, arising from seasonal effects of climatic conditions on tick transmission and completion of the parasite's lifecycle. The T. annulata transmission season in Turkey is between May and 428 September, with the peak of clinical cases occurring in mid-summer (Sayin et al., 2003). In a 429 430 region of endemic stability of tropical theileriosis in Tunisia, there is a high level of multiplicity

of *T. annulata* infection, but clinical disease is rare; while in a region of endemic instability, a
proportion of the population becomes infected all year round, and the clinical disease occurs
particularly in adult cattle (Gharbi et al., 2011).

Control strategies for tropical theileriosis need to consider factors such as: the reproductive isolation of parasite populations; management of host movements; control of tick vectors; mitigation of the impacts of climate change; and consequences of parasite exposure to antiprotozoal drugs. Understanding of the multiplicity of infection and of high levels of gene flow is, therefore, important in the educational dissemination and implementation of advice on sustainable parasite control.

440

441

442 Acknowledgement

The study was financially supported by the Carnegie Trust Scotland and Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC). Work at the University of Veterinary and Animal Science Pakistan uses facilities funded by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan. The authors of this study would like to thank Professor Dr. Masood Rabbani, Vice-Chancellor of the University of Veterinary and Animal Science Lahore Pakistan, for his great support in the arrangements for sample collections.

449

450 **Conflict of interest**

- 451 None
- 452

453 **References**

454

- Aktas, M., Dumanli, N., Angin, M., 2004. Cattle infestation by Hyalomma ticks and prevalence of
 Theileria in Hyalomma species in the east of Turkey. Vet Parasitol 119, 1-8.
- Al-Hamidhi, S., M, H.T., Weir, W., Al-Fahdi, A., Johnson, E.H., Bobade, P., Alqamashoui, B.,
 Beja-Pereira, A., Thompson, J., Kinnaird, J., Shiels, B., Tait, A., Babiker, H., 2015.
 Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Theileria annulata in Oman. PLoS One 10,
 e0139581.
- Al-Hosary, A., Abdel-Rady, A., Ahmed, L.S., Mohamed, A., 2010. Comparison between Using of
 BUPAQUONE

 BUPAQUONE International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences 4, 3-7.
- Bouattour, A., Darghouth, M.A., Ben Miled, L., 1996. Cattle infestation by Hyalomma ticks and
 prevalence of Theileria in H. detritum species in Tunisia. Vet Parasitol 65, 233-245.
- Bouslikhane, M., M., K., H., O. 1998. La theilériose bovine au Maroc, investigations
 épidémiologiques et étude de l'impact sur la productivité des élevages. In: Résumé de
 communication orale, 15 ème congrès vétérinaire maghrébin.

- Brown, C.G., 1990. Control of tropical theileriosis (Theileria annulata infection) of cattle.
 Parassitologia 32, 23-31.
- 471 Chatanga, E., Mosssad, E., Abdo Abubaker, H., Amin Alnour, S., Katakura, K., Nakao, R., Salim,
 472 B., 2019. Evidence of multiple point mutations in Theileria annulata cytochrome b gene
 473 incriminated in buparvaquone treatment failure. Acta Trop 191, 128-132.
- 474 Chaudhry, U., Ali, Q., Rashid, I., Shabbir, M.Z., Abbas, M., Numan, M., Evans, M., Ashraf, K.,
 475 Morrison, I., Morrison, L., Sargison, N.D., 2019. Development of a deep amplicon
 476 sequencing method to determine the proportional species composition of piroplasm
 477 haemoprotozoa as an aid in their control. bioRxiv.
- 478 Darghouth, M.A., 2008. Review on the experience with live attenuated vaccines against tropical
 479 theileriosis in Tunisia: considerations for the present and implications for the future.
 480 Vaccine 26 Suppl 6, G4-G10.
- 481 Darghouth, M.A., Bouattour, A., Kilan, M., 1999. Tropical theileriosis in Tunisia: epidemiology
 482 and control. Parassitologia 41 Suppl 1, 33-36.
- Estrada-Pena, A., Santos-Silva, M.M., 2005. The distribution of ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) of
 domestic livestock in Portugal. Experimental & applied acarology 36, 233-246.
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S., 2005. Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software
 package for population genetics data analysis. Evolutionary bioinformatics online 1, 47-50.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E., Quattro, J.M., 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from
 metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA
 restriction data. Genetics 131, 479-491.
- Gharbi, M., Darghouth, M.A., 2015. Control of tropical theileriosis (Theileria annulata infection in cattle) in North Africa. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease 5, 505-510.
- Gharbi, M., Touay, A., Khayeche, M., Laarif, J., Jedidi, M., Sassi, L., Darghouth, M.A., 2011.
 Ranking control options for tropical theileriosis in at-risk dairy cattle in Tunisia, using
 benefit-cost analysis. Revue scientifique et technique (International Office of Epizootics)
 30, 763-778.
- Gomes, J., Salgueiro, P., Inacio, J., Amaro, A., Pinto, J., Tait, A., Shiels, B., Pereira da Fonseca, I.,
 Santos-Gomes, G., Weir, W., 2016. Population diversity of Theileria annulata in Portugal.
 Infect Genet Evol 42, 14-19.
- Guo, S.W., Thompson, E.A., 1992. Performing the exact test of Hardy-Weinberg proportion for
 multiple alleles. Biometrics 48, 361-372.
- Jabbar, A., Abbas, T., Sandhu, Z.U., Saddiqi, H.A., Qamar, M.F., Gasser, R.B., 2015. Tick-borne
 diseases of bovines in Pakistan: major scope for future research and improved control.
 Parasites & vectors 8, 283.
- Karim, S., Budachetri, K., Mukherjee, N., Williams, J., Kausar, A., Hassan, M.J., Adamson, S.,
 Dowd, S.E., Apanskevich, D., Arijo, A., Sindhu, Z.U., Kakar, M.A., Khan, R.M.D., Ullah,
 S., Sajid, M.S., Ali, A., Iqbal, Z., 2017. A study of ticks and tick-borne livestock pathogens
 in Pakistan. PLoS neglected tropical diseases 11, e0005681.
- Katzer, F., Carrington, M., Knight, P., Williamson, S., Tait, A., Morrison, I.W., Hall, R., 1994.
 Polymorphism of SPAG-1, a candidate antigen for inclusion in a sub-unit vaccine against
 Theileria annulata. Mol Biochem Parasitol 67, 1-10.
- Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K., Schloss, P.D., 2013. Development of
 a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence
 data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 5112-5120.
- Lawrence, J.A., 1979. The differential diagnosis of the bovine theilerias of Southern Africa.
 Journal of the South African Veterinary Association 50, 311-313.

- Lefterova, M.I., Budvytiene, I., Sandlund, J., Farnert, A., Banaei, N., 2015. Simple Real-Time
 PCR and Amplicon Sequencing Method for Identification of Plasmodium Species in
 Human Whole Blood. J Clin Microbiol 53, 2251-2257.
- Librado, P., Rozas, J., 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
 polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25, 1451-1452.
- MacHugh, N.D., Weir, W., Burrells, A., Lizundia, R., Graham, S.P., Taracha, E.L., Shiels, B.R.,
 Langsley, G., Morrison, W.I., 2011. Extensive polymorphism and evidence of immune
 selection in a highly dominant antigen recognized by bovine CD8 T cells specific for
 Theileria annulata. Infection and immunity 79, 2059-2069.
- Mahmmod, Y.S., Elbalkemy, F.A., Klaas, I.C., Elmekkawy, M.F., Monazie, A.M., 2011. Clinical
 and haematological study on water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) and crossbred cattle
 naturally infected with Theileria annulata in Sharkia province, Egypt. Ticks Tick Borne
 Dis 2, 168-171.
- Mhadhbi, M., Chaouch, M., Ajroud, K., Darghouth, M.A., BenAbderrazak, S., 2015. Sequence
 Polymorphism of Cytochrome b Gene in Theileria annulata Tunisian Isolates and Its
 Association with Buparvaquone Treatment Failure. PloS one 10, e0129678.
- Mhadhbi, M., Naouach, A., Boumiza, A., Chaabani, M.F., BenAbderazzak, S., Darghouth, M.A.,
 2010. In vivo evidence for the resistance of Theileria annulata to buparvaquone. Vet
 Parasitol 169, 241-247.
- Nourollahi-Fard, S.R., Khalili, M., Ghalekhani, N., 2015. Detection of Theileria annulata in blood
 samples of native cattle by PCR and smear method in Southeast of Iran. Journal of
 parasitic diseases : official organ of the Indian Society for Parasitology 39, 249-252.
- Oura, C.A., Asiimwe, B.B., Weir, W., Lubega, G.W., Tait, A., 2005. Population genetic analysis
 and sub-structuring of Theileria parva in Uganda. Mol Biochem Parasitol 140, 229-239.
- Oura, C.A., Tait, A., Asiimwe, B., Lubega, G.W., Weir, W., 2011. Haemoparasite prevalence and
 Theileria parva strain diversity in Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer) in Uganda. Vet Parasitol
 175, 212-219.
- Pain, A., Renauld, H., Berriman, M., Murphy, L., Yeats, C.A., Weir, W., Kerhornou, A., Aslett, 543 M., Bishop, R., Bouchier, C., Cochet, M., Coulson, R.M., Cronin, A., de Villiers, E.P., 544 Fraser, A., Fosker, N., Gardner, M., Goble, A., Griffiths-Jones, S., Harris, D.E., Katzer, F., 545 546 Larke, N., Lord, A., Maser, P., McKellar, S., Mooney, P., Morton, F., Nene, V., O'Neil, S., 547 Price, C., Quail, M.A., Rabbinowitsch, E., Rawlings, N.D., Rutter, S., Saunders, D., Seeger, 548 K., Shah, T., Squares, R., Squares, S., Tivey, A., Walker, A.R., Woodward, J., Dobbelaere, 549 D.A., Langsley, G., Rajandream, M.A., McKeever, D., Shiels, B., Tait, A., Barrell, B., 550 Hall, N., 2005. Genome of the host-cell transforming parasite Theileria annulata compared
- 551 with T. parva. Science 309, 131-133.
- Peakall, R., Smouse, P.E., 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
 software for teaching and research--an update. Bioinformatics 28, 2537-2539.
- Posada, D., 2008. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular biology and evolution 25, 1253-1256.
- 556 Rice, W., 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43.
- Sargison, N.D., Shahzad, K., Mazeri, S., Chaudhry, U., 2019. A high throughput deep amplicon
 sequencing method to show the emergence and spread of Calicophoron daubneyi rumen
 fluke infection in United Kingdom cattle herds. Veterinary parasitology.
- Sayin, F., Dincer, S., Karaer, Z., Cakmak, A., Inci, A., Yukari, B.A., Eren, H., Vatansever, Z.,
 Nalbantoglu, S., 2003. Studies on the epidemiology of tropical theileriosis (Theileria annulata infection) in cattle in Central Anatolia, Turkey. Tropical animal health and production 35, 521-539.

- Schloss, P.D., Westcott, S.L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J.R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E.B., Lesniewski,
 R.A., Oakley, B.B., Parks, D.H., Robinson, C.J., 2009. Introducing mothur: open-source,
 platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing
 microbial communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 7537-7541.
- Schnittger, L., Katzer, F., Biermann, R., Shayan, P., Boguslawski, K., McKellar, S., Beyer, D.,
 Shiels, B.R., Ahmed, J.S., 2002. Characterization of a polymorphic Theileria annulata
 surface protein (TaSP) closely related to PIM of Theileria parva: implications for use in
 diagnostic tests and subunit vaccines. Molecular and biochemical parasitology 120, 247256.
- Sharifiyazdi, H., Namazi, F., Oryan, A., Shahriari, R., Razavi, M., 2012. Point mutations in the
 Theileria annulata cytochrome b gene is associated with buparvaquone treatment failure.
 Vet Parasitol 187, 431-435.
- Sivakumar, T., Hayashida, K., Sugimoto, C., Yokoyama, N., 2014. Evolution and genetic
 diversity of Theileria. Infect Genet Evol 27, 250-263.
- Tait, A., Hall, F.R., 1990. Theileria annulata: control measures, diagnosis and the potential use of
 subunit vaccines. Rev Sci Tech 9, 387-403.
- 580 Uilenberg, G., Perie, N.M., Lawrence, J.A., de Vos, A.J., Paling, R.W., Spanjer, A.A., 1982.
 581 Causal agents of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. Tropical animal health and 582 production 14, 127-140.
- Weir, W., Ben-Miled, L., Karagenc, T., Katzer, F., Darghouth, M., Shiels, B., Tait, A., 2007.
 Genetic exchange and sub-structuring in Theileria annulata populations. Mol Biochem
 Parasitol 154, 170-180.
- Weir, W., Karagenc, T., Gharbi, M., Simuunza, M., Aypak, S., Aysul, N., Darghouth, M.A.,
 Shiels, B., Tait, A., 2011. Population diversity and multiplicity of infection in Theileria annulata. Int J Parasitol 41, 193-203.
- Yin, F., Liu, Z., Liu, J., Liu, A., Salih, D.A., Li, Y., Liu, G., Luo, J., Guan, G., Yin, H., 2018.
 Population Genetic Analysis of Theileria annulata from Six Geographical Regions in China, Determined on the Basis of Micro- and Mini-satellite Markers. Frontiers in genetics 9, 50.
- Young, A.S., Brown, C.G., Burridge, M.J., Cunningham, M.P., Kirimi, I.M., Irvin, A.D., 1973.
 Observations on the cross-immunity between Theileria lawrencei (Serengeti) and Theileria
 parva (Muguga) in cattle. Int J Parasitol 3, 723-728.
- 596

597 Figure Legends

Fig. 1. The mean number of alleles in *T. annulata* derived from buffalo and cattle based on a panel of six satellite and and a cytochrome b marker. The bar of each marker shows the proportion of alleles in buffalo and cattle. The Y-axis shows the number of alleles of each marker. The unique and shared alleles of buffalo and cattle are represented by a different colour.

602

Fig. 2. Principal coordinate analysis using a panel of six satellite markers to represent 35 cattleand 18 buffalo-derived *T. annulata* populations.

- 605
- **Fig. 3.** Relative genotype frequencies of the cytochrome b locus of 54 cattle-derived (2A) and 31
- 607 buffalo-derived (2B) T. annulata populations, collected from the Punjab province of Pakistan.
- Each genotype (CHA and BHA) is represented by a different colour in the individual population
- 609 (CY). The population distribution and the frequency of sequence reads generated per population

- 610 for each of the 79 cattle-derived and 41 buffalo-derived *T. annulata* genotypes is shown in the 611 insert table.
- 612

Fig. 4. Split tree analysis of 79 cattle-derived (3A) and 41 buffalo-derived (3B) *T. annulata*genotypes collected from the Lahore (A), Gujranwala (B), Chakwal (C), Qadirabad (D), Okara (E),
Sahiwal (F), and Hafizabad (G) regions of the Punjab province of Pakistan. Each population (CY)

616 is represented by a different colour in the individual genotype (CHA and BHA). The pie chart

617 circles represent the distribution and percentage of sequence reads generated per genotype

- 618 identified in 54 cattle- and 31 buffalo-derived populations, as indicated in the insert table.
- 619 620



Principal Coordinates Analysis



Coordinate 1 varience: 46.83



Coordinate 1 varience: 46.33

(A) Cattle



CHA1	CHA9	CHA17	CHA25	CHA33	CHA41	CHA49	CHA57	CHA65	CHA73
CHA2	CHA10	CHA18	CHA26	CHA34	CHA42	CHA50	CHA58	CHA66	CHA74
CHA3	CHA11	CHA19	CHA27	CHA35	CHA43	CHA51	CHA59	CHA67	CHA75
CHA4	CHA12	CHA20	CHA28	CHA36	CHA44	CHA52	CHA60	CHA68	CHA76
CHA5	CHA13	CHA21	CHA29	CHA37	CHA45	CHA53	CHA61	CHA69	CHA77
CHA6	CHA14	CHA22	CHA30	CHA38	CHA46	CHA54	CHA62	CHA70	CHA78
CHA7	CHA15	CHA23	CHA31	CHA39	CHA47	CHA55	CHA63	CHA71	CHA79
CHA8	CHA16	CHA24	CHA32	CHA40	CHA48	CHA56	CHA64	CHA72	

Populations	Sequence reads	Genotypes (%)
CY29	6442	CHA16(99.2),CHA4(0.2)
CY80	2997	CHA1(100)
CY124	3450	CHA65(100)
CY151	2032	CHA35(100)
CY153	4391	CHA1(100)

CY154	3483	CHA1(100)
CY158	1514	CHA1(100)
CY160	3621	CHA35(100)
CY163	2842	CHA1(100)
CY173	3393	CHA66(100)
CY175	3798	CHA65(1 00)
CY6	7361	CHA1(95.2), CHA5(3.0), CHA6(1.7), CHA7(0.1)
CY25	12422	CHA1(98.7), CHA2(1.2)
CY35	3780	CHA1(90.8), CHA2(3.4), CHA5(4.7)
CV136	109536	CHA1(97.6) CHA68(0.9) CHA69(0.4) CHA5(0.6) CHA33(0.1) CHA70(0.1) CHA71(0.1)
CV1	3055	CHA1(89) CHA2(11) (0.7) , CHA0(0.7), CHA0(0.7), CHA3(0.0), CHA3(0.1), CHA7(0.1), CHA7(11)
CV2	8032	CHA1(77.9) CHA2(10.6) CHA3(6.0) CHA4(5.3)
CV3	3573	CHA1(87.7), CHA2(10.0), CHA3(0.0), CHA4(3.3)
CV20	66615	CHA1(62.7), CHA2(17.2) $CHA1(60.8) CHA2(20.2) CHAA(6.0) CHA8(1.3) CHA0(0.8) CHA10(0.7)$
C 1 20 CV26	8670	CHA1(60.6), CHA2(29.2), CHA4(0.9), CHA6(1.3), CHA9(0.6), CHA10(0.7) $CHA1(60.6), CHA11(27.0), CHA12(1.6), CHA12(1.4), CHA8(0.1)$
C 1 20 CV27	15741	CHA1(09.0), CHA11(27.0), CHA12(1.0), CHA13(1.4), CHA0(0.1) $CHA1(80.7), CHA2(6.4), CHA14(2.2), CHA15(1.5), CHA4(0.6)$
CV29	15/41	СПАТ(09.7),СПА2(0.4), СПАТ4(2.2), СПАТ5(1.5), СПА4(0.0) СПАТ(00.2) СПА2(5.0). СПАТ4(2.2). СПАТ5(1.2)
CY20	9559	СПАТ(90.5),СПА2(5.9), СПАТ4(2.5), СПАТ5(1.5) СПАТ(91.1), СПА2(11.5), СПАТ7(6.2)
CY30 CY21	8108	CHAI (81.1) , CHA2 (11.5) , CHAI (0.5)
CY31 CY22	4219	CHA2(62.2), CHA1(37.7)
CY32	2304	CHAI(80.6), CHA2(8.4), CHAI8(9.7), CHAI9(1.1) CHA2(22.2), CHA2(8.4), CHAI8(9.7), CHAI9(1.1)
CY33	2162	CHA2(33.2), CHA5(43.6), CHA20(19.4), CHA21(4.5)
CY34	13252	CHA1(86.1), CHA22(13.8)
CY36	4812	CHA7(67.6), CHA23(32.3)
CY37	47941	CHA7(75.6), CHA23(22.6), CHA24(1.2), CHA25(0.4)
CY38	4812	CHA7(75.3), CHA23(24.0), CHA26(0.5), CHA8(0.2)
CY39	45065	CHA7(51.1), CHA23(42.0), CHA27(4.0), CHA28(1.4), CHA29(0.4), CHA30(0.2), CHA31(0.2), CHA32(0.2)
CY40	29245	CHA7(48.8), CHA23(44.0), CHA27(5.8), CHA28(1.2), CHA33(0.3)
CY41	77853	CHA7(83.7), CHA23(15.7), CHA13(0.1), CHA26(0.3)
CY42	57452	CHA7(62.4), CHA23(32.4), CHA34(4.3), CHA35(0.3), CHA36(0.2), CHA8(0.1)
CY79	48294	CHA1(85.4), CHA37(5.3), CHA2(4.6), CHA5(3.1), CHA4(0.7), CHA38(0.4), CHA39(0.1), CHA40(0.4)
CY82	23106	CHA1(79.2), CHA2(17.6), CHA4(2.8), CHA39(0.2)
CY83	64110	CHA1(90.8), CHA41(8.8), CHA2(0.1), CHA42(0.1)
CY84	77806	CHA1(86.3),CHA43(10.2), CHA5(2.0), CHA44(1.3), CHA40(0.3), CHA42(0.3)
CY86	60995	CHA1(85.0), CHA43(11.4), CHA13(2.1), CHA12(1.2), CHA33(0.1)
CY87	9526	CHA1(90.9), CHA45(4.1), CHA2(3.5), CHA39(0.1), CHA46(1.2)
CY88	5518	CHA1(89.1), CHA2(10.2), CHA40(0.5)
CY89	114872	CHA1(86.2), CHA2(11.5), CHA19(1.0), CHA44(0.7), CHA5(0.3), CHA33(0.1)
		CHA47(55.7), CHA48(16.4), CHA40(14.3), CHA49(5.4), CHA50(1.5), CHA51(1.1),
CY90	64051	CHA52(0.9), CHA53(0.7), CHA54(0.5), CHA55(0.5), CHA56(0.4), CHA57(0.3), CHA58(0.2),
		CHA59(0.2), CHA60(0.2), CHA61(0.2), CHA62(0.2), CHA63(0.2), CHA64(0.1), CHA45(0.1)
CY119	80952	CHA65(57.2), CHA66(23.2), CHA2(19.3), CHA39(0.1)
CY120	55003	CHA1(76.6),CHA2(21.4), CHA5(1.5), CHA33(0.7), CHA8(0.1)
CY130	26053	CHA1(78.8), CHA2(15.8), CHA67(0.7)
CY131	20896	CHA1(74.8), CHA2(24.9), CHA66(0.4, CHA4(0.1), CHA33(0.1)
CY134	3929	CHA66(68.3), CHA1(21.3), CHA2(10.3)
CY135	2083	CHA1(90.3), CHA66(9.5), CHA2(0.9)
CY140	4043	CHA1(50.3), CHA2(43.1), CHA4(6.5)
CY145	63270	CHA1(81.1), CHA2(15.7), CHA44(1.3), CHA67(0.8), CHA4(0.4), CHA72(0.3), CHA73(0.2)
CY150	25039	CHA74(48.1), CHA75(32.2), CHA47(7.4), CHA76(5.7), CHA77(5.4), CHA78(0.8)
CY162	3576	CHA1(34.8), CHA40(35.4), CHA55(29.6)
CY177	3917	CHA2(55.8), CHA5(40.1), CHA79(3.9)

bioRxiv preprint first posted online Jan. 11, 2020; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.10.902031. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **(B) Buffalo**



Populations

BHA1	BHA6	BHA10	BHA14	BHA18	BHA22	BHA26	BHA30	BHA34	BHA38
BHA2	BHA7	BHA11	BHA15	BHA19	BHA23	BHA27	BHA31	BHA35	BHA39
BHA3	BHA8	BHA12	BHA16	BHA20	BHA24	BHA28	BHA32	BHA36	BHA40
BHA4	BHA9	BHA13	BHA17	BHA21	BHA25	BHA29	BHA33	BHA37	BHA41
BHA5									

	Populations	Sequence reads	Genotypes (%)
	CY94	22831	BHA41(100)
	CY103	14412	BHA1(100)
	CY105	12823	BHA1(100)
	CY111	51382	BHA1(100)
	CY112	15822	BHA1(100)
	CY139	18352	BHA1(100)
	CY141	14892	BHA41(100)
	CY142	12272	BHA16(100)
	CY107	12712	BHA1(99), BHA15(0.3)
	CY157	13062	BHA1(97.8), BHA32(2.1)
	CY7	14892	BHA1(98.7), BHA2(1.2)
	CY8	13682	BHA1(93.4), BHA2(2.9), BHA2(3.5)
	CY91	29533	BHA1(95.1), BHA2(2.6), BHA3(0.5), BHA4(0.6), BHA6(0.2), BHA7(0.2), BHA8(0.1)
	CY98	23295	BHA1(92.7), BHA2(4.0), BHA11(1.2), BHA12(0.8), BHA13(0.8), BHA14(0.2)
	CY110	38681	BHA1(92.3), BHA20(4.3), BHA21(1.7), BHA22(1.4)
	CY92	12361	BHA1(87.7), BHA9(12.2)
	CY96	16971	BHA1(88.8), BHA10(11.9)
	CY109	16723	BHA16(82.9), BHA17(13.3), BHA18(2.9), BHA19(0.7)
	CY113	14081	BHA1(82.1), BHA2(17.8)
bioRxiv preprint first posted online Jan. 11, 2020; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.10.902031. The copy preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the p All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.	right holder the this representation of the second s	13502	BHA1(28), BHA15(69.8), BHA2(2.2)
	CY123	43438	BHA1(87.5), BHA23(7.1),BHA24(2.9), BHA25(2.3), BHA26(0.1)
	CY126	14262	BHA1(75.1), BHA15(15.2)
	CY128	55010	BHA1(75.1), BHA2(21.7), BHA16(2.3), BHA26(0.3), BHA27(0.2), BHA28(0.2), BHA29(0.2), BHA30(0.1)
	CY129	15470	BHA31(87.3), BHA1(9.7), BHA2(0.1), BHA28(1.9), BHA32(0.9)
	CY133	49042	BHA1(79.7), BHA2(18.6), BHA4(0.2), BHA11(0.7), BHA33(0.8)
	CY138	70250	BHA1(83.0), BHA2(13.2), BHA3(0.1), BHA4(0.2), BHA34(0.5), BHA35(2.0), BHA36(1.0)
	CY148	12369	BHA2(77.2), BHA37(15.4), BHA4(7.3)
	CY149	10771	BHA1(76.7), BAH2(23.2)
	CY15	12621	BHA1(79.0), BHA2(20.9)
	CY16	15922	BHA1(54.2), BHA2(45.7)
	CY19	29321	BHA1(59.3), BHA2(33.6), BHA4(0.3), BHA34(3.9), BHA38(1.6), BHA39(0.7), BHA40(0.6)

Donulationa Cognomos nooda

 $C_{\alpha} = (0/)$



Genotypes	Sequence reads	
CHA1	306152 (22.9)	36 (CY1, CY2, CY3, CY6, CY20, CV88 CV89 CV120 CV130 CV
CHA7	144834 (10.8)	8 (CY6, CY36, CY37, CY38, CY
CHA2	93391 (6.9)	27 (CY1, CY2, CY3, CY20, CY2 CV121 CV124 CV125 CV140
CHA23	80938 (6.0)	7 (CY36, CY37, CY38, CY39, CY
CHA35	70229 (5.2)	3 (CY42, CY151, CY160)
CHA65	84581 (6.3) 72571 (5.5)	3 (CY119, CY124, CY175) 2 (CV00, CV150)
CHA47 CHA8	92376 (6.9)	2 (CY20, CY150) 5 (CY20, CY26, CY38, CY42,
CHA66	80068 (5.9)	5 (CY119, CY131, CY134, CY13
CHA43	12608 (0.9) 11807 (0.8)	2 (CY84, CY86) 1 (CV150)
CHA48	10559 (0.7)	1 (CY150) 1 (CY90)
CHA40	10464 (0.7)	5 (CY79, CY84, CY88, CY90,
CHA75	13825 (1.0) 7836 (0.5)	1 (CY150) 0 (CV6 CV22 CV25 CV70 CV
CHA5 CHA4	6560 (0.3)	10 (CY2, CY20, CY27, CY29, C
CHA41	5724 (0.4)	1 (CY83)
CHA49 CHA27	6472 (0.4) 7923 (0.5)	1 (CY90) 2 (CV30 CV40)
CHA44	6823 (0.5)	2 (C139, C140) 3 (CY84, CY89, CY145)
CHA11	4346 (0.3)	1 (CY26)
CHA37 CHA13	5130 (0.3) 4519 (0.3)	1 (CY79) 3 (CV26 CV41 CV86)
CHA55	4388 (0.3)	2 (CY90, CY162)
CHA34	5381 (0.4)	1 (CY42)
CHA19 CHA28	5243 (0.3) 5018 (0.3)	2 (CY32, CY89) 2 (CY39, CY40)
CHA68	4006 (0.2)	2 (C13), C140) 1 (CY136)
CHA50	3964 (0.2)	1 (CY90)
CHA12 CHA20	3922 (0.2) 4758 (0.3)	2 (CY26, CY86) 1 (CY33)
CHA51	4746 (0.3)	1 (CY90)
CHA67	3729 (0.2)	2 (CY130, CY145)
CHA76 CHA77	4689 (0.3) 5621 (0.4)	1 (CY150) 1 (CY150)
CHA52	3594 (0.2)	1 (CY90)
CHA24 CHA14	4588 (0.3) 4674 (0.3)	1 (CY37) 2 (CY27, CY28)
CHA9	3536 (0.2)	2 (C127, C128) 1 (CY20)
CHA10	4516 (0.3)	1 CY20)
CHA3 CHA53	5489 (0.4) 3476 (0.2)	1 (CY2) 1 (CY90)
CHA26	4474 (0.3)	2 (CY38, CY41)
CHA69 CHA16	5449 (0.4) 4441 (0.3)	1 (CY136) 1 (CV20)
CHA10 CHA33	3397 (0.2)	6 (CY40, CY86, CY89, CY120,
CHA15	3373 (0.2)	2 (CY27, CY28)
CHA17 CHA54	4354 (0.3) 5347 (0.4)	1 (CY30) 1 (CY90)
CHA56	4315 (0.3)	1 (CY90) 1 (CY90)
CHA45	5308 (0.3)	2 (CY87, CY90)
CHA39 CHA18	4273 (0.3) 5225 (0.3)	4 (CY79, CY82, CY87, CY119) 1 (CY32)
CHA78	4223 (0.3)	1 (CY152) 1 (CY150)
CHA38	3210 (0.2)	1 (CY79)
CHA57 CHA25	3510 (0.2) 2206 (0.1)	1 (CY90) 1 (CY37)
CHA70	2200 (0.1) 2200 (0.1)	1 (CY136)
CHA72	1994 (0.1)	1 (CY145)
CHA29 CHA58	1888(0.1) 2186(0.1)	1 (CY39) 1 (CY90)
CHA59	2985 (0.1)	1 (CY90)
CHA60	2185 (0.1) 1674 (0.1)	1 (CY90) 1 (CY24)
CHA22 CHA61	1674 (0.1) 1873 (0.1)	1 (CY34) 1 (CY90)
CHA73	2162 (0.1)	1 (CY145)
CHA62	2459 (0.1) 2647 (0.1)	1 (CY90) 1 (CY90)
СПА03 СНА42	2047 (0.1) 2143 (0.1)	2 CY83, CY84)
CHA36	2539 (0.1)	1 (CY42)
CHA30	1835 (0.1) 1032 (0.1)	1 (CY39) 1 (CY30)
CHA51 CHA6	1955 (0.1) 2132 (0.1)	1 (CY6)
CHA71	2627 (0.1)	1 (CY136)
СНА79 СНА32	2526 (0.1) 2845 (0.1)	1 (CY177) 1 (CV30)
CHA32 CHA46	2045 (0.1) 1515 (0.1)	1 (CY87)
CHA64	1408 (0.1)	1 (CY90)
CHA21	1805 (0.1)	1 (CY33)

Number of populations 0, CY25, CY26, CY27, CY28, CY30, CY31, CY32, CY34, CY35, CY79, CY80, CY82, CY83, CY84, CY86, CY87, CY130, CY131, CY134, CY135, CY136, CY140, CY145, CY153, CY154, CY158, CY162, CY163) Y39, CY40, CY41, CY42) 25, CY27, CY28, CY30, CY31, CY32, CY33, CY35, CY79, CY82, CY83, CY87,CY88, CY89, CY119, CY120,CY1 , CY145, CY177) CY40, CY41, CY42)

, CY120) |35, CY173)

, CY162)

CY84, CY89, CY120, CY136, CY177) CY79, CY82, CY130, CY131, CY140, CY145)

, CY131, CY136)

(B) Buffalo



E^2	CYI CYI CYI CYI CYI CYI	5 B J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	CY7 CY8 CY16 CY19 CY91 CY92 CY94 CY96 CY105 CY107 CY107 CY109 CY110 CY111
	Genotypes	Sequence reads	Number of populations
	BHA1	278937 (39.1)	26 (CY91, CY92, CY96, CY98, CY103, CY105, CY107, CY110, CY111, CY112, CY113, CY1
		77171 (10.9)	CY128,CY129, CY133, CY138, CY139, CY149, CY157, CY7, CY8, CY15, CY16, CY19)
	BHA2 BHA16	39389 (5.5)	15 (CY19, CY98, CY115, CY117, CY128, CY129, CY155, CY158, CY148, CY149, CY7, CY 3 (CY109, CY128, CY142)
	BHA31	14779 (2.0)	1 (CY129)
	BHA23	19109 (2.6)	1 (CY123)
BHA22	BHA17	18232 (2.5)	1 (CY109)
	BHA34	19535 (2.7)	3 (CY138, CY8, CY19)
	BHA35	19437 (2.7)	1 (CY138)
	BHA24	20260 (2.8)	1 (CY123) 1 (CY122)
	BHA25 BHA4	10998 (1.5)	I (CY125) 6 (CV01 CV133 CV138 CV141 CV148 CV10)
	BHA36	12002(1.0) 14721(2.0)	1 (CV138)
	BHA11	13670 (1.9)	2 (CY98, CY133)
	BHA18	15501 (2.1)	1 (CY109)
	BHA38	12485 (1.7)	1 (CY19)
	BHA33	14428 (2.0)	1 (CY133)
	BHA37	12365 (1.7)	1 (CY148)
	BHA15	8310 (1.1)	3 (CY107, CY117, CY126)
	BHA41 BHA3	7283 (1.0) 8268 (1.1)	1 (CY94) 2 (CV01 CV138)
	BHA28	6217 (0.8)	2 (CY128, CY129)
	BHA39	7212 (1.0)	1 (CY19)
	BHA40	5199 (0.7)	1 (CY19)
	BHA12	4192 (0.5)	1 (CY98)
	BHA13	5192 (0.7)	1 (CY98)
	BHA20	4169 (0.5)	1 (CY110)
	BHA9 DHA27	5151 (0.7)	1 (CY92) 1 (CV129)
	DHA27 RHA19	3925 (0.5) 3024 (0.4)	1(CY128) 1(CV109)
	BHA32	3117 (0.4)	2 (CY129, CY157)
	BHA29	4110 (0.5)	1 (CY128)
	BHA5	3102 (0.4)	1 (CY91)
	BHA30	2790 (0.3)	1 (CY128)
	BHA10	2078 (0.2)	1 (CY96)
	BHA6	2378 (0.3)	1 (CY91)
	BHA7	2477 (0.3)	I (CY9I) 1 (CV110)
	ВПА21 ВНА14	2809 (0.4) 1264 (0.1)	1 (CY110) 1 (CV98)
	BHA26	1864 (0.2)	2 (CY123).
	BHA22	1657 (0.2)	1 (CY128)
	BHA8	1954 (0.2)	1 (CY91)

Y117, CY123, CY126, Y8, CY15, CY16, CY19)