



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Pleiotropic meta-analysis of cognition, education, and schizophrenia differentiates roles of early neurodevelopmental and adult synaptic pathways

Citation for published version:

Lam, M, Hill, WD, Trampush, JW, Yu, J, Knowles, E, Davies, G, Stahl, EA, Huckins, LM, Liewald, D, Djurovic, S, Melle, I, Sundet, K, Christoforou, A, Reinvang, I, Derosse, P, Lundervold, AJ, Steen, VM, Espeseth, T, Raikkonen, K, Widen, E, Palotie, A, Eriksson, JG, Giegling, I, Konte, B, Hartmann, AM, Roussos, P, Giakoumaki, S, Burdick, KE, Payton, A, Ollier, W, Chiba-Falek, O, Attix, DK, Need, AC, Cirulli, ET, Voineskos, AN, Stefanis, NC, Avramopoulos, D, Hatzimanolis, A, Arking, DE, Smyrnis, N, Bilder, RM, Freimer, NA, Cannon, TD, London, E, Poldrack, RA, Sabb, FW, Congdon, E, Conley, ED, Scult, MA, Dickinson, D, Straub, RE, Donohoe, G, Morris, D, Corvin, A, Gill, M, Hariri, AR, Weinberger, DR, Pendleton, N, Bitsios, P, Rujescu, D, Lahti, J, Le Hellard, S, Keller, MC, Andreassen, OA, Deary, I, Glahn, DC, Malhotra, AK & Lencz, T 2019, 'Pleiotropic meta-analysis of cognition, education, and schizophrenia differentiates roles of early neurodevelopmental and adult synaptic pathways', *American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 105, no. 2, pp. 334-350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.06.012>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.06.012)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

American Journal of Human Genetics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Pleiotropic Meta-Analysis of Cognition, Education, and Schizophrenia Differentiates Roles of Early Neurodevelopmental and Adult Synaptic Pathways

Max Lam^{1, 2, 3}, W. David Hill^{4, 5}, Joey W. Trampush⁶, Jin Yu², Emma Knowles⁷, Gail Davies^{4, 5}, Eli Stahl^{8, 9}, Laura Huckins^{8, 9}, David C. Liewald⁵, Srdjan Djurovic^{10, 11}, Ingrid Melle^{11, 12}, Kjetil Sundet^{12, 13}, Andrea Christoforou¹⁴, Ivar Reinvang¹³, Pamela DeRosse², Astri J. Lundervold¹⁵, Vidar M. Steen^{11, 14}, Thomas Espeseth^{12, 13}, Katri Räikkönen¹⁶, Elisabeth Widen¹⁷, Aarno Palotie^{17, 18, 19}, Johan G. Eriksson^{20, 21, 22}, Ina Giegling²³, Bettina Konte²³, Annette M. Hartmann²³, Panos Roussos^{8, 9, 24}, Stella Giakoumaki²⁵, Katherine E. Burdick^{8, 24, 26}, Antony Payton²⁷, William Ollier^{28, 29}, Ornit Chiba-Falek³⁰, Deborah K. Attix^{30, 31}, Anna C. Need³², Elizabeth T. Cirulli³³, Aristotle N. Voineskos³⁴, Nikos C. Stefanis^{35, 36, 37}, Dimitrios Avramopoulos^{38, 39}, Alex Hatzimanolis^{35, 36, 37}, Dan E. Arking³⁹, Nikolaos Smyrnis^{35, 36}, Robert M. Bilder⁴⁰, Nelson A. Freimer⁴⁰, Tyrone D. Cannon⁴¹, Edythe London⁴⁰, Russell A. Poldrack⁴², Fred W. Sabb⁴³, Eliza Congdon⁴⁰, Emily Drabant Conley⁴⁴, Matthew A. Scult⁴⁵, Dwight Dickinson⁴⁶, Richard E. Straub⁴⁷, Gary Donohoe⁴⁹, Derek Morris⁴⁹, Aiden Corvin⁵⁰, Michael Gill⁵⁰, Ahmad R. Hariri⁴⁵, Daniel R. Weinberger⁴⁷, Neil Pendleton⁴⁹, Panos Bitsios⁵², Dan Rujescu²³, Jari Lahti^{16, 53}, Stephanie Le Hellard^{11, 14}, Matthew C. Keller⁵⁴, Ole A. Andreassen^{11, 12, 55}, Ian J. Deary^{4, 5}, David C. Glahn⁷, Anil K. Malhotra^{2, 56, 57}, Todd Lencz^{2, 56, 57}

Lead Contact and Correspondence: Todd Lencz, Zucker Hillside Hospital, Division of Psychiatry Research, 75-59 263rd Street, Glen Oaks, NY, 11004, USA, *E-mail: tlencz@northwell.edu

Affiliations

1. Institute of Mental Health, Singapore, 539747, Singapore
2. Division of Psychiatry Research, The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Glen Oaks, NY, 11004, USA
3. Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA
4. Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH8 9JZ, United Kingdom
5. Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH8 9JZ, United Kingdom
6. Department of Psychiatry and the Behavioral Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 90033, USA
7. Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, 06511, USA
8. Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA
9. Department of Genetics and Genomic Science and Institute for Multiscale Biology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA
10. Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, University of Bergen, Bergen, 4956 Nydalen 0424, Norway.
11. NORMENT, K.G. Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, University of Bergen, Bergen, 4956 Nydalen 0424, Norway
12. Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, 1039 Blindern 0315, Norway
13. Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, 1094, Blindern, 0317, Norway
14. Dr. Einar Martens Research Group for Biological Psychiatry, Center for Medical Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, 7804, N-5020 Bergen, Norway
15. Department of Biological and Medical Psychology, University of Bergen, 7807, N-5020, Norway
16. Institute of Behavioural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00014, Finland

17. Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), University of Helsinki, 00014, Finland
18. Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Cambridge, CB10 1SA, United Kingdom
19. Department of Medical Genetics, University of Helsinki and University Central Hospital, Helsinki, 00014, Finland
20. Department of General Practice, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, 00014, Finland
21. National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, FI-00271, Finland
22. Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, 00290, Finland
23. Department of Psychiatry, Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, 06108, Germany
24. Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (VISN 2), James J. Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, NY, 10468, USA
25. Department of Psychology, University of Crete, Crete, 74100, Greece
26. Department of Psychiatry - Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, 02115, MA
27. Division of Informatics, Imaging & Data Sciences, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M139NT, United Kingdom.
28. Centre for Epidemiology, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M139PL, United Kingdom
29. School of Healthcare Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M15 6BH, United Kingdom
30. Department of Neurology, Bryan Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, and Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, 27705, USA

31. Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Division of Medical Psychology, and Department of Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, 27708, USA
32. Division of Brain Sciences, Department of Medicine, Imperial College, London, W12 0NN, UK
33. Helix Inc, San Diego, CA, 92121, USA
34. Campbell Family Mental Health Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, M6J 1H4, Canada
35. Department of Psychiatry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Eginition Hospital, Athens, Greece
36. University Mental Health Research Institute, Athens, 115 27, Greece
37. Neurobiology Research Institute, Theodor-Theohari Cozzika Foundation, Athens, Greece
38. Department of Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, MD, Baltimore, 21287, USA
39. McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, MD, Baltimore, 21205, USA
40. UCLA Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, Los Angeles, CA, 90024, USA
41. Department of Psychology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, 06511, USA
42. Department of Psychology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, 94305, USA
43. Robert and Beverly Lewis Center for Neuroimaging, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, 97401, USA
44. 23andMe, Inc., Mountain View, CA, 94041, USA
45. Laboratory of NeuroGenetics, Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708, USA
46. Clinical and Translational Neuroscience Branch, Intramural Research Program, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20814, USA
47. Lieber Institute for Brain Development, Johns Hopkins University Medical Campus, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA

48. Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology/School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom
49. Neuroimaging, Cognition & Genomics (NICOG) Centre, School of Psychology and Discipline of Biochemistry, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
50. Neuropsychiatric Genetics Research Group, Department of Psychiatry and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
51. Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology/ School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, M13 9NT, United Kingdom
52. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete, GR-71003, Greece
53. Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00014, Finland
54. Institute for Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, 80303, USA
55. Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, 0318, Norway
56. Department of Psychiatry, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, New York, 11549, USA
57. Center for Psychiatric Neuroscience, Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Manhasset, New York, 11030, USA

Abstract

Liability to schizophrenia is inversely correlated with general cognitive ability at both the phenotypic and genetic level. Paradoxically, a modest but consistent positive genetic correlation has been reported between schizophrenia and educational-attainment, despite the strong positive genetic correlation between cognitive ability and educational-attainment. Here we leverage published GWAS in cognitive ability, education, and schizophrenia to parse biological mechanisms underlying these results. Association analysis based on SubSETs (ASSET), a pleiotropic meta-analytic technique, allowed jointly associated loci to be identified and characterized. Specifically, we identified subsets of variants associated in the expected (“Concordant”) direction across all three phenotypes (i.e., greater risk for schizophrenia, lower cognitive ability, and lower educational attainment); these were contrasted with variants demonstrating the counterintuitive (“Discordant”) relationship between education and schizophrenia (i.e., greater risk for schizophrenia and higher educational attainment). ASSET analysis revealed 235 independent loci associated with cognitive ability, education and/or schizophrenia at $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Pleiotropic analysis successfully identified more than 100 loci not significant in the input GWASs. Many of these have been validated by larger, more recent single-phenotype GWAS. Leveraging the joint genetic correlations of cognitive ability, education, and schizophrenia, we were able to dissociate two distinct biological mechanisms: early neurodevelopmental pathways that characterize concordant allelic variation, and adulthood synaptic pruning pathways that were linked to the paradoxical positive genetic association between education and schizophrenia. Further, genetic correlation analyses revealed that these mechanisms contribute not only to the etiopathogenesis of schizophrenia, but also to the broader biological dimensions implicated in both general health outcomes and psychiatric illness.

Keywords: Cognitive ability, Educational attainment, Schizophrenia, GWAS, Pathways, Genetic correlation, pleiotropy

Introduction

It has long been observed that impaired cognitive ability is a significant aspect of the illness in schizophrenia (MIM:181500)¹⁻⁵. Cognitive deficits have been shown to be largely independent of clinical state and treatment status in patients with schizophrenia^{1,4,6-9}, and are observed (in more subtle form) in their first-degree relatives^{10,11}. Moreover, cognitive deficits precede illness onset by many years, beginning in early childhood^{5,12-14}, resulting in reduced educational attainment in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia^{15,16}.

Unlike phenotypic correlation, which measures covariances between the distribution of the phenotypes, genetic correlation (r_g) indexes the covariance between SNP ~ phenotype GWAS effect sizes across a pair of phenotypes measured in separate GWAS studies. Recent advances in psychiatric and cognitive genomics have reliably demonstrated that the inverse relationship between cognitive ability and risk for schizophrenia is also observed at the molecular genetic level ($r_g \sim -.20$)¹⁷⁻²³. Paradoxically, genetic correlation studies have indicated a *positive* relationship between educational attainment and risk for schizophrenia ($r_g \sim .10$)^{23,20,24-27}, despite the fact that educational attainment and cognitive ability exhibit a very strong polygenic overlap ($r_g \sim .70$)^{18,23,27}. Educational attainment is often considered to be a proxy for cognitive ability; however, the lack of perfect genetic overlap between the two, combined with the paradoxical genetic correlation between educational attainment and schizophrenia, suggest an opportunity to decompose distinct genetic mechanisms accounting for this pattern of results.

Whereas genetic correlation analysis has recently become widespread due to the availability of techniques such as linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression (LDSC)^{25,28}, these approaches generally result in a single, genome-wide estimate of polygenic overlap. Moreover, novel meta-analytic approaches (e.g., Multi-Trait Analysis of GWAS [MTAG]²⁹) for merging seemingly heterogeneous GWAS datasets tend to exploit commonalities across phenotypes rather than differences; for example, two

recent studies have employed MTAG across the highly correlated cognitive and educational GWASs in order to accelerate the process of gene discovery^{18,23}. By contrast, few studies have attempted to examine the counter-intuitive correlation between schizophrenia and educational attainment, or to parse subsets of SNPs that might drive cross-phenotype correlations. An initial effort has successfully identified a few individual loci that act in paradoxical fashion, increasing educational attainment while simultaneously increasing risk for schizophrenia³⁰; two other studies have identified loci that demonstrate other pleiotropic effects^{19,31}.

To date, however, no studies have utilized pleiotropic meta-analytic techniques to comprehensively parse variance from cognitive, educational, and schizophrenia GWAS that may pinpoint differential biological mechanisms. In order for the paradoxical pattern of genomewide correlations to exist, there must be identifiable subsets of SNPs that are differentially involved in driving these genetic relationships. Therefore, we sought to identify differentially associated variants, as they may yield crucial insights into the fine-grained genetic architecture of schizophrenia, in turn giving us insights into the etiopathogenic mechanisms underlying the illness that standard GWAS cannot detect.

In the present report, we first utilize a simple subsetting approach to identify SNPs that are significantly associated with *either* cognitive ability or educational attainment, but not both (Figure S1a). We hypothesized that these SNP subsets would demonstrate stronger genetic correlations with schizophrenia than observed with a simple genomewide approach. We then employ a pleiotropic meta-analytic approach, ASSET³², which permits the characterization of each SNP with respect to its pattern of effects on multiple phenotypes (Figure S1b). For example, ASSET has previously been used to demonstrate that the minor allele of rs2736100 (at the *TERT* (MIM:187270) locus) is positively associated with risk for pancreatic cancer (MIM:260350), negatively associated with risk for kidney (MIM:144700) and lung cancers (MIM:211980), and not significantly associated with risk for cancers of the breast (MIM:114480), bladder (MIM:109800), and prostate (MIM:176207); other cancer loci were

demonstrated to have various other patterns of effects³². We utilized ASSET to identify two types of loci: 1) those SNPs that are consistently associated with all three phenotypes in the expected direction (i.e., the same allele associated with higher cognitive ability, higher educational attainment, and lower risk for schizophrenia), which we label “Concordant”; and 2) SNPs that demonstrate the paradoxical association between education and schizophrenia (i.e., the same allele associated with higher educational attainment and higher risk for schizophrenia), labelled “Discordant.” Next, we compared the statistically significant ASSET results to the output of single trait GWASs of cognitive ability, educational attainment, or schizophrenia^{20,33,34}, in order to identify novel loci suggested by ASSET. Subsequently, we conducted a series of pathway and transcriptome-wide analyses to biologically characterize differential mechanisms underlying Concordant vs Discordant loci. Finally, we performed a series of genetic correlation analyses to compare the overlap of Concordant and Discordant SNP subsets with other relevant traits. Further analytic details are covered in the methodology section; the full analysis workflow is also represented in Figures S1-2.

Methods

Stage 1: Simple Subsetting Approach Based on P-values in Cognition and Education GWAS

Note that for most purposes in this manuscript, we are using the largest GWAS for schizophrenia³⁵, cognitive ability¹⁸, and educational attainment³⁶ published prior to 2018. For each of these phenotypes, larger GWASs have been published in 2018; these were used for validation and extension as described in subsequent sections. Before any subsetting analyses, genome-wide genetic correlations were used to confirm the earlier observed genetic correlations between schizophrenia with both cognitive ability and education. In Stage 1, preliminary SNP subsets were formed simply based on p-value thresholds of cognitive ability and educational attainment GWAS: i) SNPs nominally associated with cognition ($p < 0.05$) and not associated with education ($p > 0.05$) were selected, resulting in 74,470 SNPs; ii) SNPs nominally associated with cognition ($p < 0.05$) and not associated with education using a

stricter threshold ($p > 0.5$) resulted in 66,657 SNPs; iii) similar procedures were carried out for SNPs nominally associated with education ($p < 0.05$) but not cognition ($p > 0.05$), resulting in 104,807 SNPs; and iv) SNPs nominally associated with education ($p < 0.05$) and not cognition using a stricter threshold ($p > 0.5$), resulting in 44,803 SNPs.

Next, we performed a heterogeneity test between results of cognitive and educational GWAS using METAL³⁷, and generated sets of SNPs showing opposite effects between the two (i.e, the same allele predicts better cognitive performance but less educational attainment, and vice versa). We identified sets of SNPs of varying sizes based on varying p-value thresholds for the heterogeneity tests ($p < .5$; $p < .25$; $p < .1$; $p < .05$; $p < .01$; $p < .001$).

To evaluate the degree of genetic correlation of these preliminary subsets of SNPs with respect to schizophrenia, we utilized GNOVA³⁸, a recently published method similar to LD score regression. GNOVA is specifically designed to examine genetic correlations using SNP subsets (rather than global genome-wide summary statistics), whereas such applications have not been explicitly tested in LD score regression and may not be robust.

Stage 2: ASSET Meta-Analysis and ASSET-generated SNP Subsets

Schizophrenia GWAS summary statistics were obtained from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium³⁵ based on the European ancestry GWAS of schizophrenia (N = 77,096, Cases = 33,640, Controls = 43,456; GWAS mean $\chi^2 = 1.677$). To make them compatible with effect sizes (Beta weights) derived from the linear regression-based cognition and education GWASs, odds-ratios from the case-control schizophrenia GWAS were converted to Beta by taking the natural logarithm. Effect direction per SNP was also reversed for schizophrenia to make them consistent with the interpretation of cognition and education (i.e., concordant alleles are those where the direction of effect is the same for cognitive ability, educational attainment, and for schizophrenia). Summary statistics for the education GWAS was

obtained from the Social Science Genomics Association Consortium (SSGAC)³⁶ (N = 328,917, GWAS mean $\chi^2 = 1.638$). GWAS summary statistics for cognition are available via earlier inverse-variance meta-analysis of samples¹⁸ from the COGENT²⁷ consortium (N = 107,207, GWAS mean $\chi^2 = 1.245$). General quality control parameters were applied to the schizophrenia and cognitive GWAS summary statistics excluding SNPs with INFO scores < 0.6 and minor allele frequency < 0.01; multiple quality control parameters thresholds were previously reported for the education GWAS³⁶ and summary statistics provided by the SSGAC. Detailed quality control and meta-analytic procedures were reported earlier¹⁸. Only SNPs that were present for all three phenotypes were retained as input to the ASSET meta-analysis, resulting in 7,306,098 SNPs for subsequent analysis.

Pooling GWAS summary statistics using conventional inverse-variance meta-analysis increases power, but also poses methodological challenges when different studies are capturing heterogeneous/pleiotropic phenotypes. In the case of pleiotropy, individual variants are likely to be associated with only a subset of the traits analyzed, or may even demonstrate effects in different directions for the different phenotypes under analysis. ASSET meta-analysis³² is an agnostic approach that generalizes standard fixed-effects meta-analysis by allowing a subset of the input GWASs to have no effect on a given SNP, and exhaustively searches across all possible subsets of “non-null” GWAS inputs within a fixed-effect framework to identify the strongest association signal in both positive and negative directions. ASSET then evaluates the significance of these positive and negative associations while accounting for multiple testing. This methodology allows for a powerful pooled two-tailed Z-score test statistic that effectively combines p-values for variants with strong effects in opposite directions across input GWASs. ASSET also permits the addition of a covariance term for the adjustment of overlapping samples. The genetic correlation matrix between the three input GWAS had been added to all reported ASSET analyses, in order to perform this adjustment. Recently, comparisons between cross phenotype meta-analysis methodologies demonstrated that ASSET performed best as the number of

meta-analysed traits with null effects increases, along with specificity and sensitivity of the results; in addition the ASSET approach best controlled for potential Type 1 inflation due to sample overlap, and non-uniform distribution of effect sizes³⁹. As such we selected ASSET for its conservative effect estimates and minimal inflation for the purpose of the current report.

GWAS summary statistics from schizophrenia, cognition, and education were combined using ASSET two-tailed meta-analysis (version 1.9.1) to obtain single cross phenotype pleiotropic GWAS results. Default parameters were carried out using the “h.traits” function. Inter-study correlations of the phenotype were first ascertained using linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC)^{25,28}, which accounts for the genome-wide genetic correlation of the phenotypes as well as sample overlap. For each given SNP, ASSET generates Z-scores of effect size and p-values based on the strongest association from the input studies in positive and negative directions, respectively; then these p-values are pooled into a single two-tailed p-value for pleiotropy^{32,39}. SNPs with similar relationships across the input traits (regardless of statistical significance) are then grouped into subsets identified by ASSET (see Figure 1b; Figure S1, bottom).

Again, as noted above, it is important to emphasize that the per-SNP direction of effect was reversed for schizophrenia to make it consistent with the interpretation of cognition and education (i.e., higher scores are better, such that higher scores for schizophrenia are now coded as *decreased* risk for the disorder). Thus, in the notations to follow, a ‘∩’, represents variant subsets with the same effect directions, following the reversal of the direction of effect for the schizophrenia data set, and ‘|’, represent traits that goes in the opposite direction in terms of effect sizes compared to the other two traits (again following the reversal of the direction of effect for the schizophrenia data set). ASSET subsets included: i) scz ∩ edu ∩ cog (Concordant, variants with an allele associated with an increase in cognitive ability and educational attainment, but a decrease in schizophrenia risk); ii) edu ∩ cog | scz (Schizophrenia outlier, variants associated with an increase in cognitive ability and educational

attainment, but also an increase in schizophrenia risk); iii) $scz \cap cog \mid edu$ (Education outlier, variants associated with an increase in schizophrenia risk and reduced cognitive ability, but an increase in educational attainment); iv) $scz \cap edu \mid cog$ (Cognition outlier, variants associated with an increase in schizophrenia risk and reduced educational attainment, but an increase in cognitive ability) subsets. ASSET also identified SNPs where only a single trait (scz or edu or cog) was significant; these were included in a category called ‘Single phenotype’.

Finally, to generate an appropriate contrast for the ‘Concordant’ subset, we included a combined single ‘Discordant’ subset, representing the counter-intuitive genetic correlation between education and schizophrenia, where, ‘Discordant’ = $(edu \cap cog \mid scz) + (scz \cap cog \mid edu)$ [subsets ii and iii above], regardless of the effect for cognition. These contrasts are also represented visually in Figure 1 and Figure S1.

Consolidation of Independent Loci

Independent genomewide significant loci for each ASSET meta-analysis subset were identified via SNP clumping procedures that are a part of the Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA) pipeline⁴⁰. For the LD-rich MHC region, a single top SNP was retained. For all other loci, clumping procedures were carried out based on the European 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 reference panel. First, “independent significant SNPs” were defined as those SNPs with a p-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and with linkage disequilibrium $r^2 < 0.6$ with other, more significant SNPs at the same locus. Second, candidate SNPs were then identified for subsequent annotations and were defined as all SNPs that had a MAF of 0.01, a maximum p value of 0.05, and were in LD of $r^2 \geq 0.6$ with at least one of the independent significant SNPs. To ensure that biological annotation of these loci would not be hampered by poor coverage at any locus, candidate SNPs included SNPs from the 1000 Genomes reference panel that may not have been included in the ASSET data. Third, “lead SNPs” were defined as the independent

significant SNPs that had the strongest signal at a given locus and were strictly independent from each other ($r^2 < 0.1$). Finally, risk loci that were 250kb or closer were merged into a single locus. The FUMA procedure was iterated across all ASSET SNP subsets, which were comprised (by definition) of non-overlapping SNPs. Additional variant annotations were conducted with ANNOVAR⁴¹, and lookups with published GWAS were conducted using the GWAS catalog⁴². Additional SNP lookups were performed with the input summary statistics (Cognition, Education and Schizophrenia GWASs^{35,36,18}), recent MTAG analysis of Intelligence⁴³, recent Cognition/Intelligence^{20,21} GWASs, and pleiotropic analyses of cognition and schizophrenia¹⁹ as well as education and schizophrenia³¹. RAggr⁴⁴ was utilized to extract SNPs within 250kb and $r^2 > 0.6$ from published reports to allow merging from loci generated from ASSET subsets.

MAGMA Gene-based Analysis: Tissue Expression and Competitive Pathway Analysis

SNPs were mapped to 19,436 protein coding genes using MAGMA, as implemented in the FUMA⁴⁰ pipeline. MAGMA⁴⁵ gene analysis was performed using default SNP-wide mean model using the 1000 Genomes phase 3 reference panel and default gene annotations that are part of the FUMA pipeline. Genome-wide SNP p-values and SNP-level sample sizes were included in the input files. MAGMA gene tissue expression analysis was carried out utilizing the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx; version 7⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸) resource, examining the relationship between gene expression in a specific tissue type and ASSET results. The gene-property test was performed for average expression (log2 transformed RPKM with pseudocount 1 after winsorization at 50) of 53 specific tissue types conditioning on average expression across all tissue types.

MAGMA competitive pathway analysis was also conducted with results that emerged from the ASSET analysis in order to identify specific biological processes linked to our sub-phenotypes of interest. Gene sets that were tested included drug-related pathways^{49,50}, as well as custom-curated neurodevelopmental and other brain-related gene sets that had gone through stringent quality control

in a study originally designed to interrogate rare variants in schizophrenia⁵¹. In the latter, pathways with more than 100 genes from Gene Ontology (release 146; June 22, 2015 release), KEGG (July 1, 2011 release), PANTHER (May 18, 2015 release), REACTOME (March 23, 2015 release), DECIPHER Developmental Disorder Genotype-Phenotype (DDG2P) database (April 13, 2015 release) and the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark processes (version 4, March 26, 2015 release) were initially included. Brain-level tissue expression gene-sets included the Brainspan RNA-seq dataset⁵² and the GTEx v7 dataset⁴⁶. MAGMA gene-based and gene-set analysis were conducted using MAGMA v1.6⁴⁵. Additional gene sets were selected based on risk for schizophrenia and neurodevelopmental disorders, including those reported for schizophrenia rare variants⁵³ (translational targets of *FMRP* (MIM:309550), components of the post-synaptic density, ion channel proteins, components of the ARC, mGluR5, and NMDAR complexes, proteins at cortical inhibitory synapses, targets of mir-137, and genes near schizophrenia common risk loci) and autism (MIM:613436) risk (autism risk genes: targets of *CHD8* (MIM:610528), splice targets of *RBFOX* (MIM:605104), hippocampal gene expression networks, neuronal gene lists from the Gene2Cognition database, as well as “loss of function intolerant” genes (pLI > 0.9 from the ExAC v0.3.1 pLI metric), ASD risk genes for FDR < 10% and 30%, and ASD/developmental disorder *de novo* genes hit by a LoF or a LoF/missense *de novo* variant). Further details of curation of these gene sets was previously reported⁵¹.

S-PrediXcan: Brain Tissue Expression Profiles and Hypergeometric Gene-set Enrichment Analysis

Genetically regulated gene expression was imputed for the ASSET summary statistics using tissue models from GTEx v7 and the CommonMind Consortium via S-PrediXcan (formerly MetaXcan)⁵⁴–⁵⁶. S-PrediXcan computes downstream phenotypic associations of genetic regulation of molecular traits using elastic nets, adjusting for model uncertainty and colocalization of GWAS and eQTL signals⁵⁴. GTEx v7 tissue included amygdala (N = 88), anterior cingulate cortex (N=109), basal ganglia (N=144),

cerebellar hemisphere (N=125), cerebellum, cortex (N=136), frontal cortex (N=118), hippocampus (N=111), hypothalamus (N=108), nucleus accumbens (N=130), putamen (N=111), spinal cervical-1 (N=83) and substantia nigra (N=80). The CommonMind consortium data consist of tissue expression derived from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC, N=279)⁵⁷. GTEX v7 Tissue expression models were trained using elastic net models that were made publicly available (see Web Resources). Elastic net models for DLPFC were contributed by collaborators from the CommonMind Consortium⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. Bonferroni correction was first conducted for each ASSET subset of genes. Genes that survived multiple testing correction were entered to GENE2FUNC to conduct a test of over-representation, which is part of the FUMA⁴⁰ pipeline. This analysis differs from the MAGMA gene set analysis as the MAGMA gene set analysis is used to examine if gene sets, united by a known biological theme, are enriched for the phenotype under investigation. In a test of overrepresentation, as conducted using GENE2FUNC, the shared function of the genes of interest is unknown, and its elucidation is the goal of a test of over-representation. The over-representation test conducted using GENE2FUNC queries gene sets from the 1) Molecular Signature Database (MsigDB v 5.2) 2) WikiPathways (Curated Version 20161010) and 3) GWAS Catalogue (reported genes ver e91 20180206); to avoid spurious results, we required a minimum of 3 genes per pathway. For each gene set, hypergeometric tests were conducted, examining the list of genes significant in each ASSET subset for overlap with gene sets within the databases stipulated above and applying Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. To reduce the likelihood that hypergeometric pathway analysis would be influenced by the dense number of genes within the MHC region, genes within the coordinates of 28,000,000 – 35,000,000⁶⁰ on chromosome 6 were removed.

Genetic Correlations

To examine how our ASSET Concordant and Discordant SNP subsets relate to other phenotypes with available GWAS data, we conducted genetic correlations using GNOVA³⁸, an approach similar to LD score regression but capable of working with SNP subsets. Notably, GNOVA provides a corrected r_g that

has been demonstrated to be robust to sample overlaps, and is able to account for LD across SNPs³⁸. A series of neuropsychiatric, inflammatory, brain, metabolic and cardiovascular phenotypes that have been previously demonstrated to have genetic correlations with cognitive measures were selected to interrogate the genetic overlaps of our ASSET subsets. Interpretation of GNOVA for the Concordant subset was straightforward, as the three input GWAS weights all follow the same direction (following the reverse-coding of schizophrenia as noted previously). By contrast, Discordant SNPs have two separate potential weights (allelic β for schizophrenia vs. allelic β for education); as shown in Figure 1B, a given SNP might have somewhat different effect sizes (distance from the center line) for education as compared to schizophrenia. Therefore, we weighted each SNP by the stronger value of β : variants for which the schizophrenia β was stronger than the education β were referred to as “schizophrenia type,” while variants with the opposite pattern were referred to as “education type.” Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that the Discordant SNPs represent a single dimension of biology, and the net effects of all “schizophrenia type” variants were equivalent to the “education type” SNPs, albeit with opposite signs.

Results

Stage 1: Preliminary evaluation of genetic correlations

GWAS summary statistics for cognition (N = 107,207)¹⁸ and education (N = 328,917)³⁶ were used to evaluate preliminary genetic correlations with schizophrenia (N = 77,096)³⁵. Consistent with previous results, the inverse genetic correlation using GNOVA, between cognition and schizophrenia was significant ($r_g = -.21$, $se = .03$, $p = 1.12 \times 10^{-12}$), as was the counter-intuitive positive correlation between education and schizophrenia ($r_g = .08$, $se = .02$, $p = 2.05 \times 10^{-5}$). Note that these analyses were conducted prior to reversing the direction of effect for schizophrenia.

Prior to the main ASSET analysis, two simple approaches were used to examine subsets of SNPs and their association with schizophrenia (Figure S1). First, we selected SNPs that were nominally associated with education ($p < 0.05$) and generally not associated with cognition ($p > 0.05$); GNOVA for this subset of educational attainment SNPs revealed a slightly stronger positive correlation r_g of .17 compared with genome-wide summary statistics of schizophrenia (Figure 2A). With a stricter threshold for SNPs not associated with cognition ($p > 0.50$), these “non-cognitive” educational attainment SNPs also attained an r_g of .17 with schizophrenia. GNOVA analyses were repeated for SNPs nominally associated with cognition ($p < 0.05$), but generally not associated with education ($p > 0.05$), and then repeated again with the stricter threshold for education ($p > 0.50$). Values for r_g of -.50 and -.11 were obtained between schizophrenia and these cognition subsets (Figure 2a).

The second approach involved calculating the heterogeneity p-values for cognition and education, identifying SNPs that have discrepant direction of effects between cognition and education. These SNPs are then binned, ranging from low probability ($p < 0.5$) to high probability ($p < 0.001$) for heterogeneous effect sizes between cognition and education (Figure 2b). GNOVA indicated that the greater the discrepancy in effect direction between SNP effects for cognition and education, the stronger the association between cognition and schizophrenia. However, this pattern was not observed for education and schizophrenia.

Stage 2: ASSET Meta-Analysis and SNP subsets

Genome-wide cross-phenotype ASSET meta-analysis across 7,306,098 SNPs revealed 300 lead SNPs across 236 independent loci that met the genomewide significance threshold of $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for the ASSET 2-tailed test (see Figure 3A and Tables S1 and S2). There were 1,381,020 SNPs that demonstrated consistent direction of effects between cognition, education and schizophrenia (i.e., lower cognitive ability, lower educational attainment, and increased risk for schizophrenia); these were assigned to the

“Concordant” subset, which contained 89 genomewide significant loci harboring 103 independent significant SNPs. By contrast, the “Discordant” subset, which consisted of SNPs with counter-intuitive allelic effects for schizophrenia vis-à-vis education, encompassed 1,891,743 SNPs, with 65 genomewide significant loci comprising 77 independent significant SNPs (Figures 3B and 3C ; Table S1). Significant loci for other ASSET subsets are also detailed in Table S2, along with FUMA-derived annotations for potential functional consequences, including CADD scores (Table S3), eQTL lookups (Table S4), and prior GWAS lookups (Table S5).

Consolidation of Independent Loci

Next, we wanted to identify which loci from our ASSET results were not previously identified with respect to the three input GWAS. Using RAggr⁴⁴ we extracted SNPs with $r^2 > 0.6$ within a window of 250kb of lead SNPs in reported GWAS i.e. 101 loci from the European-ancestry cohorts of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium GWAS of schizophrenia³⁵, 74 loci from the SSGAC educational attainment GWAS³⁶ and 40 loci from the COGENT GWAS of cognitive ability¹⁸. These were merged with the 236 loci from ASSET. As earlier described, independent loci within 250kb were merged, resulting in 280 independent loci being identified across ASSET and the input GWAS. As shown in the resulting Venn diagram (Figure 4), 110 loci were identified by the ASSET meta-analysis, not earlier reported. By contrast, 126 loci overlapped with either schizophrenia, education or schizophrenia, while 44 loci were only significant in the input GWAS but not ASSET.

Very recently, new GWAS have been published for schizophrenia, cognitive ability, and educational attainment, which are larger than the input GWAS used for our ASSET analysis^{20,33,34}. This permitted us to perform a lookup of our 110 “novel” ASSET SNPs, thus providing an opportunity to validate ASSET as a tool for locus discovery (Table S6). We also performed lookup in a paper utilizing MTAG to examine intelligence²⁰ or several recent papers using pleiotropic approaches to these

phenotypes^{19,31,43}. We found that 75% of the loci were in fact reported as significant in the later GWASs with larger sample sizes, while 28 of the 110 loci were independent from other single-phenotype GWAS reports. These loci are reported in Table 1. Notably, 3 of these loci (indexed by rs207338, rs708212, and rs11617058) were identified in secondary analyses (using MTAG) performed in the study of educational attainment³⁴, and one locus (rs67652508) has been reported as genomewide significant for association to putamen volumes as measured on magnetic resonance imaging scans of the brain⁶¹. Further ANNOVAR⁴¹ annotations are available for novel loci (Table S7).

MAGMA Gene-based Analysis: Tissue expression and Competitive Pathway Analysis

MAGMA gene-based analysis was conducted on all ASSET subsets. 772 genes survived Bonferroni correction in the overall ASSET analysis, with 306 genes in the Concordant subset and 304 genes within the Discordant subset (Table S8). MAGMA gene property analysis revealed significant association ($p < 0.000926$, Bonferroni-corrected) of gene expression of ASSET SNP subsets across GTExv7 brain tissues (Figure S3, Table S9). There were no significant differences between Concordant and Discordant result subsets; both subsets were significantly enriched (positive Beta weights) across all brain compartments.

Because of the significant enrichment in brain tissues, we next performed MAGMA competitive pathway analyses using neurodevelopmental and other brain-related gene sets as curated in a recent publication⁵¹; full results are reported in Table S10. Although there was considerable overlap of pathway enrichment across ASSET categories, several gene sets were uniquely associated with either the Concordant or Discordant result subsets (Table 2). Specifically, the *CHD8* pathway, reflecting genes involved in early neurodevelopment, was uniquely associated with the Concordant subset ($p = 7.11 \times 10^{-6}$). By contrast, a number of synaptic pathways (e.g. ion channel, synaptic density) and constrained gene sets appeared to be uniquely associated with the Discordant subset. Only two gene

sets were enriched in both the Concordant and Discordant results, and these were rather generic: brain-enriched genes, and schizophrenia GWAS results (Table S10). It is notable that when the MHC region is removed from the pathway analysis, the overall pattern of results remained (See Table S10).

To see if the Concordant/Discordant distinction harbors potential implications for drug targeting (for schizophrenia and/or cognitive enhancement), we performed drug-based and drug family competitive gene set analysis on our MAGMA results. These analyses revealed that the class of drugs associated with voltage-gated calcium channel genes was over-represented amongst the Discordant subset results (Bonferroni-corrected $p=0.02$), as was Abacavir (nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; Bonferroni-corrected $p=0.00018$). While both of these sets showed similar direction of effects with respect to the Concordant subset, no drug-related gene sets attained Bonferroni-corrected significance in the Concordant set of results (Table S11).

S-Predixcan: Brain tissue expression profiles and gene-set enrichment analysis

Transcriptome-wide association analysis (TWAS) was carried out via S-Predixcan to identify top expressed genes within GTExv7⁴⁷ and CommonMind Consortium^{55,57-59} brain tissue models (Figure 5; Table S12). The top brain expressed genes unique to the Discordant subsets were *CYP21A1P* (MIM:613815), *CFB* (MIM:138470), and *C4A* (MIM:120810), along with 177 additional genes significantly expressed in the Discordant, but not Concordant, subsets. On the other hand *ELOVL7* (MIM:614451), *NAGA* (MIM:609241) and 201 other genes were uniquely associated with the Concordant subset. Significant genes identified by S-Predixcan were subjected to gene-set analysis using GENE2FUNC hypergeometric gene set analysis (excluding MHC genes, which were over-represented due to significant linkage disequilibrium, see Methods for more details). The goal of this analysis was to examine if the genes identified in the TWAS overlapped with those found in known biological systems. As shown in Table 3, the results of the TWAS consistently identified genes found in cell adhesion and membrane

protein gene sets for the Concordant subset. By contrast, synaptic (specifically, dendritic) pathways, as well as chromosomal repair pathways were consistently identified by the TWAS when examining the Discordant subset.

Genetic Correlations

A series of psychiatric, personality, structural brain imaging, and metabolic, cardiovascular and anthropometric traits were selected for GNOVA modelling with the ASSET subset results (See Figure 6, Table S13); multiple testing was adjusted using false discovery rate (FDR). The Concordant subset demonstrated significant ($FDR < .05$) genetic correlations, in the expected direction, with many forms of psychopathology in addition to schizophrenia (ADHD [MIM:143465], bipolar disorder [MIM: 125480], and MDD [MIM: 608516], as well as neuroticism and smoking). This subset also demonstrated a significant ($FDR < .05$) positive genetic correlation (i.e., better cognition/higher education/lower risk for schizophrenia) with larger volumes of several brain regions (including total intracranial volume) as measured by structural MRI, as well as several measures of infant size and adult height. Significant positive associations were also seen with the personality dimensions of openness and conscientiousness, and (surprisingly) self-reported cancer; significant negative associations were seen for total cholesterol and triglycerides, as well as presence of ulcerative colitis/inflammatory bowel disease. Additionally, a negative genetic correlation was observed for the Concordant subset with BMI and measures of cardiovascular disease (i.e., lower cognition/lower education/greater risk for schizophrenia associated with greater BMI and risk for cardiovascular disease).

The Discordant subset was strongly associated with schizophrenia and education, by definition, in a manner demonstrating the paradoxical relationship (higher education, greater risk for schizophrenia (Figure 6). (It is important to note that the light blue bars and dark blue bars in Figure 6 are essentially mirror images of each other and are therefore providing somewhat redundant information; both sets of

bars are included to indicate the both sides of this dimension). Interestingly, a similar pattern was observed for bipolar disorder (higher education/greater risk for schizophrenia – greater risk for bipolar disorder). Similar relationships were also observed, at a nominally significant level, for autism spectrum disorder and eating disorders (MIM: 606788), which were not associated with the concordant subset, as well as MDD. The reverse relationship, however, was observed with ADHD (i.e., higher education/greater risk for schizophrenia – lower risk for ADHD). This pattern was also observed for the smoking, BMI, and cardiovascular disease phenotypes. A counter-intuitive pattern was observed for the relationship between the Discordant subset and neuroticism, which was the opposite of that observed for MDD (despite the fact that MDD and neuroticism are themselves highly correlated).

Discussion

A consistent finding in recent schizophrenia, cognitive, and educational GWAS has been the involvement of both neurodevelopmental pathways and synaptic processes^{19,20,39,63,64}; the present study aimed to at least partially disentangle these mechanisms. In this study, we leveraged the genetic pleiotropy underlying three partially overlapping, complex phenotypes in order to identify homogeneous subsets of SNPs with distinct characteristics. Specifically, we were able to parse a subset of SNPs with alleles that were associated in the expected fashion across our three phenotypes of interest: lower cognitive ability, lower educational attainment, and greater risk for schizophrenia. These “Concordant” SNPs were characterized by their association with genes and pathways relevant to early neurodevelopmental processes. By contrast, SNPs that demonstrated a counterintuitive, discordant pattern of association (higher educational attainment yet greater risk for schizophrenia), were primarily associated with genes/pathways involved in synaptic function of mature neurons.

This distinction was robustly observed across several methods of functional annotation. First, MAGMA competitive gene-set analysis revealed a significant enrichment of *CHD8*-related genes in the Concordant subset (Table 2). *CHD8*, encoding a chromatin remodeling protein, is a gene that has been robustly associated with autism^{64–67}, but to date has only limited or anecdotal evidence for association to schizophrenia^{68,69}. Disruption of the homologous gene (*Chd8*) in animal models has demonstrated that the resulting protein plays a key role in very early neurodevelopmental processes, including neuronal proliferation and differentiation^{70,71}, as well as cell adhesion and axon guidance⁷². On the other hand, MAGMA competitive gene-set analysis revealed a significant enrichment of discordant genes for functions including synaptic transmission and the postsynaptic density, as well as membrane depolarization and voltage-gated cation channel activity. While these processes have been commonly associated with both schizophrenia^{33,35} and cognitive phenotypes^{20,21,24,73–76}, our study is the first to demonstrate that these synaptic mechanisms operate in a surprising manner: the same synaptic functions that increase risk for schizophrenia also serve to enhance educational attainment.

The linkage of early neurodevelopmental processes to SNPs associated with impaired cognition and increased risk for schizophrenia is consistent with a large literature demonstrating that cognitive deficits are often observed early on in the lifespan of these individuals^{13,14,77,78}. At the same time, the Discordant variant subset implicates more mature neuronal regulation, and synaptic pruning mechanisms that are most prominent later in childhood, adolescence, and into adulthood, ostensibly as part of a neuroplasticity mechanism to make more “efficient” connections within the brain⁷⁹. However, the dysregulation of such mechanisms have been shown to be intricately linked to schizophrenia psychopathology⁸⁰. It is important to note that these results are obtained from separate GWASs of two different phenotypes, and do not represent a subset of highly educated patients with schizophrenia. Rather, it is plausible to posit an inverted U relationship, such that efficient synaptic pruning processes

are essential mechanisms underlying academic performance, but may be carried too far in disorders such as schizophrenia.

Additionally, transcriptome-wide analysis using S-Predixcan pointed towards the same distinction between Concordant and Discordant genes and pathways. Two of the strongest genes with differential expression in the Concordant subset were *NAGA* (an enzyme cleaving specific moieties from glycoconjugates) and *NDUFAF2* (part of the mitochondrial complex [MIM: 609653]); rare mutations in each of these genes are associated with early and severe neurodevelopmental disorders^{81,82}. TWAS of the discordant subset revealed synaptic genes including *C4A*, which plays a key role in synaptic pruning⁸⁰, as well as other transcripts essential to synapse structure and function such as *ARL3* (MIM: 604695), *FXR1* (MIM: 600819), and *CNNM2* (MIM: 607803). Moreover, pathway analysis of S-Predixcan results (Table 3) demonstrated that the strongest gene set associated with the Concordant subset was cell-cell adhesion via plasma-membrane adhesion molecules (GO:0098742), which encompasses processes such necessary for neural tube closure, cerebral cortex migration, and neuronal-glia interactions. By contrast, the Discordant subset transcriptome was significantly enriched for genes located at dendrites, as well as DNA repair. Recently, the role of DNA repair in modulating neuronal activity-induced gene expression has been shown to be crucial for synaptic plasticity and related processes of learning and memory⁸³; impairments in DNA repair have been linked to neurodegeneration^{84,85}

ASSET also permitted the identification of SNPs for cognition-related phenotypes. Lookups of the full ASSET results revealed that ~75% of the additional 110 loci, not identified in the input GWAS studies^{18,35,36}, were in fact replicated in by an MTAG study examining intelligence²⁰ and more recent follow-up GWAS^{20,33,34} with larger samples that were more well powered for variant discovery. This result strongly supports the validity of the ASSET methodology, and demonstrates that the approach indeed improves power for cross-phenotype discovery of new loci as previous discussed by the

developers of the method³². Notably, several of our loci were associated with eQTLs suggesting new potential biological mechanisms for individual variation in cognitive and psychiatric phenotypes. For example, one of the loci strongly implicates variation in *PLXNB2* (MIM: 604293), a gene associated with GABA and glutamate synapses in the hippocampus⁸⁶. Another locus shows strong eQTL signal with *NDE1* (MIM: 609449), a neurodevelopmental gene at the 16p13.11 locus, where copy number variants have been associated with neurodevelopmental disorders⁸⁷.

Our work supports and extends a recent study by Bansal and colleagues³⁰, which is the only published report (to our knowledge) that has deeply examined the paradoxical relationship between educational attainment and schizophrenia. Using a proxy-phenotype approach, these investigators identified two loci, implicating the *FOXO6* (MIM: 611457) and *SLITRK1* (MIM: 609678) genes, with pleiotropic (i.e., “discordant”) effects across the two phenotypes. Using ASSET, we also uncovered those genes amongst our 110 loci (one of which was also not identified in any of the updated single-phenotype GWAS, see Table 1). Several other studies^{18,19,31,43} have employed other statistical approaches to identify pleiotropy and/or overlap across cognitive/educational and schizophrenia GWAS, uncovering a subset of the loci identified by ASSET. By utilizing ASSET, we were able to systematically and powerfully identify concordant and discordant pleiotropic loci across the genome, and to then characterize underlying biological mechanisms. Future studies could also apply ASSET and related techniques to further understand other reported polygenic overlaps, such as that between schizophrenia and creativity⁸⁸, or between cognitive ability and smoking status²⁶.

In addition to functional characterization using pathway analyses, we were able to characterize the Concordant and Discordant SNP sets with respect to genetic overlap with other relevant phenotypes. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine genetic correlations with dimensional sub-sets, rather than global correlations with full GWAS. While the Concordant subset followed the expected patterns of genetic correlation with several forms of psychopathology, as well as brain/head

size, results for the Discordant subset were somewhat surprising. For example, we had anticipated that the Discordant subset might be significantly related to personality, as a non-cognitive trait that could promote greater educational attainment. However, correlations with conscientiousness, openness, and neuroticism were stronger for the Concordant as opposed to the Discordant subset.

On the other hand, significant correlations for the Discordant subset were observed with risk for autism, which has previously been shown to demonstrate a counter-intuitive positive genetic correlation with cognition⁸⁹. Given that variants within the Discordant subset tend to index regulation of synaptic function and pruning processes, our results suggest that these mechanisms be investigated with respect to their impact on autism, eating disorders, and bipolar disorder. Moreover, it is noteworthy that autism, despite being a neurodevelopmental disorder, did not demonstrate a significant genetic correlation with the Concordant subset, indicating that it does not share the specific neurodevelopmental pathways implicated in the common variant genetic overlap between schizophrenia risk and impaired cognition. It is also intriguing that bipolar disorder demonstrated a very similar pattern of GNOVA results to schizophrenia, despite prior reports that bipolar disorder is not significantly correlated at the genetic level with general cognitive ability^{89,90}. Thus, our approach was able to refine components of neurodevelopment and synaptic function that are shared across cognitive phenotypes, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. Further research is needed to identify components of cognition that differentiate schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.

One limitation of this study is only common SNPs (MAF > 0.01) were examined. The genetic architecture of cognitive ability and educational attainment is composed of causal variants in LD with common SNPs (cognitive ability $h^2 = 22.7\%$, education $h^2 = 15.6\%$) as well as with causal variants in LD with rare and less common SNPs (cognitive ability $h^2 = 31.3\%$, education $h^2 = 28.1\%$), with rarer variants making greater contribution to cognitive differences than more common variants⁹¹. Rare variants are also known to explain some of the differences in schizophrenia prevalence⁵¹. However, GNOVA, used to

identify genetic correlations across data independent data sets using summary GWAS data, can only capture the contributions made by common genetic effects. Future work aiming to investigate the concordant and discordant effect of rare variants across cognitive ability, schizophrenia, and education is needed⁹². Additionally, the input GWAS for ASSET were of somewhat different sample sizes and power, with the cognitive GWAS demonstrating smaller mean effect sizes compared to schizophrenia and educational attainment; the effects of such differences on ASSET results are not fully understood, although ASSET has been benchmarked as the best available approach to handling non-uniform distribution of effect sizes³⁹.

Having demonstrated the utility and validity of the ASSET approach, future studies are planned that can further exploit this method using larger, and more varied, input GWAS. Recent studies have demonstrated that genetic correlations exist across seemingly disparate brain-related phenotypes.⁹³ However, such genetic correlations only describe the average genetic effect between pairs of traits. As such, they are not informative as to which variants are associated across traits, nor if a minority of these variants have effects across traits that are the opposite of what would be expected by the direction of the genetic correlation. The application of the ASSET approach to these data sets would help to move beyond the analysis of shared genetic variance, and begin to identify shared genetic variants which, as shown in the current study, may be composed of variants with different combinations of protective and deleterious effects. Future studies, with additional statistical techniques, incorporating rare variants, and novel annotation resources, are needed to further decompose the early neurodevelopmental and adult synaptic pathways highlighted in the present report.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Design of the present study.

(A) Input GWAS studies used for ASSET analysis. (B) Definition of Concordant and Discordant SNP subsets. Concordant SNPs have alleles which demonstrate negative effects on cognitive ability, educational attainment, and schizophrenia risk (i.e., increased schizophrenia risk, reverse-coded for consistency). Discordant SNPs have alleles which demonstrate paradoxical effects on educational attainment and schizophrenia (i.e., higher educational attainment and increased schizophrenia risk, reverse-coded). Y-Axis on the forest plots represent different input summary statistics for Cognition, Education and Schizophrenia.

Figure 2. Genetic correlations with schizophrenia, for SNPs demonstrating heterogeneity of effects between (A) cognitive ability and (B) educational attainment.

Genetic correlation was carried out using GNOVA. Error bars represent standard errors. Education: Okbay PMID 27225129; Cognition: Lam PMID 29186694; Schizophrenia (Ripke): PGC Schizophrenia Working Group PMID 25056061.

Figure 3. Manhattan plots for ASSET results.

ASSET meta-analysis outputs: (A) All subsets. (B) Concordant subset. (C) Discordant subset

Figure 4. Venn diagram comparing significant ASSET loci to significant loci from input GWASs.

Education: Okbay PMID 27225129; Cognition: Lam PMID 29186694; Schizophrenia (Ripke): PGC Schizophrenia Working Group PMID 25056061; ASSET: Results from ASSET meta-analysis.

Figure 5. S-PrediXcan Transcriptome-wide association results

(A) Concordant and (B) Discordant subsets.

Figure 6. Genetic correlations for Concordant and Discordant subsets with other relevant phenotypes.

Genetic correlation was carried out using GNOVA. Error bars represent standard errors. Summary

statistics of selected phenotypes were downloaded from LD-hub and Psychiatric Genomics Consortium websites. See Web resources for further detail.

Web Resources

OMIM <https://www.omim.org/>

ASSET <https://dceg.cancer.gov/tools/analysis/asset>

LDSC <https://github.com/bulik/ldsc>

FUMA <http://fuma.ctglab.nl/>

MAGMA <https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma>

S-Predixcan <https://github.com/hakyimlab/MetaXcan>

VEP http://grch37.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/Tools/VEP

Social Science Genetic Association Consortium <https://www.thessgac.org/data>

Genes2Cognition Database <http://www.genes2cognition.org>

PredictDB <http://predictdb.org/>

Psychiatric Genomics Consortium <https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads/>

LD-hub <http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/ldhub/>

Conflict of Interest Declaration

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Keefe, R.S.E. (2008). Should cognitive impairment be included in the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia? *World Psychiatry Off. J. World Psychiatr. Assoc. WPA* 7, 22–28.
2. Mesholam-Gately, R.I., Giuliano, A.J., Goff, K.P., Faraone, S.V., and Seidman, L.J. (2009). Neurocognition in first-episode schizophrenia: a meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychology* 23, 315–336.
3. Heinrichs, R.W., and Zakzanis, K.K. (1998). Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia: a quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology* 12, 426.
4. Bilder, R.M., Goldman, R.S., Robinson, D., Reiter, G., Bell, L., Bates, J.A., Pappadopulos, E., Willson, D.F., Alvir, J.M.J., Woerner, M.G., et al. (2000). Neuropsychology of first-episode schizophrenia: initial characterization and clinical correlates. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 157, 549.
5. Reichenberg, A., Caspi, A., Harrington, H., Houts, R., Keefe, R.S.E., Murray, R.M., Poulton, R., and Moffitt, T.E. (2010). Static and dynamic cognitive deficits in childhood preceding adult schizophrenia: a 30-year study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 167, 160–169.
6. Gottesman, I.I., and Gould, T.D. (2003). The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic intentions. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 160, 636.
7. Glahn, D.C., Almasy, L., Blangero, J., Burk, G.M., Estrada, J., Peralta, J.M., Meyenberg, N., Castro, M.P., Barrett, J., Nicolini, H., et al. (2007). Adjudicating neurocognitive endophenotypes for schizophrenia. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part B Neuropsychiatr. Genet. Off. Publ. Int. Soc. Psychiatr. Genet.* 144B, 242–249.
8. Lencz, T., Smith, C.W., McLaughlin, D., Auther, A., Nakayama, E., Hovey, L., and Cornblatt, B.A. (2006). Generalized and specific neurocognitive deficits in prodromal schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 59, 863–871.
9. Goldberg, T.E., Burdick, K.E., McCormack, J., Napolitano, B., Patel, R.C., Sevy, S.M., Goldman, R., Lencz, T., Malhotra, A.K., Kane, J.M., et al. (2009). Lack of an inverse relationship between duration of untreated psychosis and cognitive function in first episode schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 107, 262–266.
10. Cornblatt, B., Obuchowski, M., Roberts, S., Pollack, S., and Erlenmeyer-Kimling, L. (1999). Cognitive and behavioral precursors of schizophrenia. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 11, 487–508.
11. Snitz, B.E., MacDonald III, A.W., and Carter, C.S. (2006). Cognitive deficits in unaffected first-degree relatives of schizophrenia patients: a meta-analytic review of putative endophenotypes. *Schizophr. Bull.* 32, 179.
12. Reichenberg, A., Weiser, M., Rabinowitz, J., Caspi, A., Schmeidler, J., Mark, M., Kaplan, Z., and Davidson, M. (2002). A Population-Based Cohort Study of Premorbid Intellectual, Language, and Behavioral Functioning in Patients With Schizophrenia, Schizoaffective Disorder, and Nonpsychotic Bipolar Disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 159, 2027–2035.

13. Bilder, R.M., Reiter, G., Bates, J., Lencz, T., Szeszko, P., Goldman, R.S., Robinson, D., Lieberman, J.A., and Kane, J.M. (2006). Cognitive development in schizophrenia: follow-back from the first episode. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* *28*, 270–282.
14. Lam, M., Lee, J., Rapisarda, A., See, Y.M., Yang, Z., Lee, S.-A., Abdul-Rashid, N.A., Kraus, M., Subramaniam, M., Chong, S.-A., et al. (2018). Longitudinal Cognitive Changes in Young Individuals at Ultrahigh Risk for Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* *75*, 929–939.
15. MacCabe, J.H., Lambe, M.P., Cnattingius, S., Torráng, A., Björk, C., Sham, P.C., David, A.S., Murray, R.M., and Hultman, C.M. (2008). Scholastic achievement at age 16 and risk of schizophrenia and other psychoses: a national cohort study. *Psychol. Med.* *38*, 1133–1140.
16. Chong, S., Subramaniam, M., Lee, I.-M., Pek, E., Cheok, C., Verma, S., and Wong, J. (2009). Academic attainment: a predictor of psychiatric disorders? *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* *44*, 999–1004.
17. Lencz, T., Knowles, E., Davies, G., Guha, S., Liewald, D.C., Starr, J.M., Djurovic, S., Melle, I., Sundet, K., Christoforou, A., et al. (2014). Molecular genetic evidence for overlap between general cognitive ability and risk for schizophrenia: a report from the Cognitive Genomics consortium (COGENT). *Mol. Psychiatry* *19*, 168–174.
18. Lam, M., Trampush, J.W., Yu, J., Knowles, E., Davies, G., Liewald, D.C., Starr, J.M., Djurovic, S., Melle, I., Sundet, K., et al. (2017). Large-Scale Cognitive GWAS Meta-Analysis Reveals Tissue-Specific Neural Expression and Potential Nootropic Drug Targets. *Cell Rep.* *21*, 2597–2613.
19. Smeland, O.B., Frei, O., Kauppi, K., Hill, W.D., Li, W., Wang, Y., Krull, F., Bettella, F., Eriksen, J.A., Witoelar, A., et al. (2017). Identification of Genetic Loci Jointly Influencing Schizophrenia Risk and the Cognitive Traits of Verbal-Numerical Reasoning, Reaction Time, and General Cognitive Function. *JAMA Psychiatry* *74*, 1065–1075.
20. Savage, J.E., Jansen, P.R., Stringer, S., Watanabe, K., Bryois, J., Leeuw, C.A. de, Nagel, M., Awasthi, S., Barr, P.B., Coleman, J.R.I., et al. (2018). Genome-wide association meta-analysis in 269,867 individuals identifies new genetic and functional links to intelligence. *Nat. Genet.* *50*, 912–919.
21. Davies, G., Lam, M., Harris, S.E., Trampush, J.W., Luciano, M., Hill, W.D., Hagenaars, S.P., Ritchie, S.J., Marioni, R.E., Fawns-Ritchie, C., et al. (2018). Study of 300,486 individuals identifies 148 independent genetic loci influencing general cognitive function. *Nat. Commun.* *9*, 2098.
22. Hill, W.D., Davies, G., CHARGE Cognitive Working Group, Liewald, D.C., McIntosh, A.M., and Deary, I.J. (2016). Age-Dependent Pleiotropy Between General Cognitive Function and Major Psychiatric Disorders. *Biol. Psychiatry* *80*, 266–273.
23. Hill, W.D., Marioni, R.E., Maghzian, O., Ritchie, S.J., Hagenaars, S.P., McIntosh, A.M., Gale, C.R., Davies, G., and Deary, I.J. (2019). A combined analysis of genetically correlated traits identifies 187 loci and a role for neurogenesis and myelination in intelligence. *Mol. Psychiatry* *24*, 169.
24. Davies, G., Marioni, R.E., Liewald, D.C., Hill, W.D., Hagenaars, S.P., Harris, S.E., Ritchie, S.J., Luciano, M., Fawns-Ritchie, C., Lyall, D., et al. (2016). Genome-wide association study of cognitive functions and educational attainment in UK Biobank (N=112 151). *Mol. Psychiatry* *21*, 758–767.

25. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K., Loh, P.-R., Finucane, H.K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Patterson, N., Daly, M.J., Price, A.L., and Neale, B.M. (2015). LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* *47*, 291–295.
26. Hageaars, S.P., Harris, S.E., Davies, G., Hill, W.D., Liewald, D.C.M., Ritchie, S.J., Marioni, R.E., Fawns-Ritchie, C., Cullen, B., Malik, R., et al. (2016). Shared genetic aetiology between cognitive functions and physical and mental health in UK Biobank (N=112 151) and 24 GWAS consortia. *Mol. Psychiatry* *21*, 1624–1632.
27. Trampush, J.W., Yang, M.L.Z., Yu, J., Knowles, E., Davies, G., Liewald, D.C., Starr, J.M., Djurovic, S., Melle, I., Sundet, K., et al. (2017). GWAS meta-analysis reveals novel loci and genetic correlates for general cognitive function: a report from the COGENT consortium. *Mol. Psychiatry* *22*, 336–345.
28. Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H.K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F.R., Loh, P.-R., ReproGen Consortium, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Genetic Consortium for Anorexia Nervosa of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 3, Duncan, L., et al. (2015). An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat. Genet.* *47*, 1236–1241.
29. Turley, P., Walters, R.K., Maghzian, O., Okbay, A., Lee, J.J., Fontana, M.A., Nguyen-Viet, T.A., Wedow, R., Zacher, M., Furlotte, N.A., et al. (2018). Multi-trait analysis of genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. *Nat. Genet.* *50*, 229–237.
30. Bansal, V., Mitjans, M., Burik, C.A.P., Linnér, R.K., Okbay, A., Rietveld, C.A., Begemann, M., Bonn, S., Ripke, S., de Vlaming, R., et al. (2018). Genome-wide association study results for educational attainment aid in identifying genetic heterogeneity of schizophrenia. *Nat. Commun.* *9*, 3078.
31. Le Hellard, S., Wang, Y., Witoelar, A., Zuber, V., Bettella, F., Hugdahl, K., Espeseth, T., Steen, V.M., Melle, I., Desikan, R., et al. (2017). Identification of Gene Loci That Overlap Between Schizophrenia and Educational Attainment. *Schizophr. Bull.* *43*, 654–664.
32. Bhattacharjee, S., Rajaraman, P., Jacobs, K.B., Wheeler, W.A., Melin, B.S., Hartge, P., GliomaScan Consortium, Yeager, M., Chung, C.C., Chanock, S.J., et al. (2012). A Subset-Based Approach Improves Power and Interpretation for the Combined Analysis of Genetic Association Studies of Heterogeneous Traits. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* *90*, 821–835.
33. Pardiñas, A.F., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A.J., Escott-Price, V., Ripke, S., Carrera, N., Legge, S.E., Bishop, S., Cameron, D., Hamshere, M.L., et al. (2018). Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat. Genet.* *50*, 381–389.
34. Lee, J.J., Wedow, R., Okbay, A., Kong, E., Maghzian, O., Zacher, M., Nguyen-Viet, T.A., Bowers, P., Sidorenko, J., Linnér, R.K., et al. (2018). Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat. Genet.* *50*, 1112–1121.
35. PGC2-SCZ (2014). Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* *511*, 421–427.

36. Okbay, A., Beauchamp, J.P., Fontana, M.A., Lee, J.J., Pers, T.H., Rietveld, C.A., Turley, P., Chen, G.-B., Emilsson, V., and Meddens, S.F.W. (2016). Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment. *Nature* 533, 539–542.
37. Willer, C.J., Li, Y., and Abecasis, G.R. (2010). METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 26, 2190–2191.
38. Lu, Q., Li, B., Ou, D., Erlendsdottir, M., Powles, R.L., Jiang, T., Hu, Y., Chang, D., Jin, C., Dai, W., et al. (2017). A Powerful Approach to Estimating Annotation-Stratified Genetic Covariance via GWAS Summary Statistics. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 101, 939–964.
39. Zhu, Z., Anttila, V., Smoller, J.W., and Lee, P.H. (2018). Statistical power and utility of meta-analysis methods for cross-phenotype genome-wide association studies. *PLoS ONE* 13, 1–16.
40. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., Bochoven, A., and Posthuma, D. (2017). Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1826.
41. Wang, K., Li, M., and Hakonarson, H. (2010). ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, e164.
42. NHGRI: Catalog of published genome-wide association studies. In , [http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies/].
43. Hill, W.D., Marioni, R.E., Maghzian, O., Ritchie, S.J., Hagenaars, S.P., McIntosh, A.M., Gale, C.R., Davies, G., and Deary, I.J. (2018). A combined analysis of genetically correlated traits identifies 187 loci and a role for neurogenesis and myelination in intelligence. *Mol. Psychiatry* 1.
44. Barrett, J.C., Fry, B., Maller, J., and Daly, M.J. (2005). Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 21, 263–265.
45. de Leeuw, C.A., Mooij, J.M., Heskes, T., and Posthuma, D. (2015). MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of GWAS Data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 11,.
46. The GTEx Consortium (2015). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* 348, 648–660.
47. Lonsdale, J., Thomas, J., Salvatore, M., Phillips, R., Lo, E., Shad, S., Hasz, R., Walters, G., Garcia, F., Young, N., et al. (2013). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 580.
48. Gamazon, E.R., Segrè, A.V., van de Bunt, M., Wen, X., Xi, H.S., Hormozdiari, F., Ongen, H., Konkashbaev, A., Derks, E.M., Aguet, F., et al. (2018). Using an atlas of gene regulation across 44 human tissues to inform complex disease- and trait-associated variation. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 956–967.
49. Gaspar, H.A., and Breen, G. (2016). Pathways analyses of schizophrenia GWAS focusing on known and novel drug targets. *BioRxiv*.
50. Gaspar, H.A., and Breen, G. (2017). Drug enrichment and discovery from schizophrenia genome-wide association results: an analysis and visualisation approach. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 12460.

51. Singh, T., Walters, J.T.R., Johnstone, M., Curtis, D., Suvisaari, J., Torniainen, M., Rees, E., Iyegbe, C., Blackwood, D., McIntosh, A.M., et al. (2017). The contribution of rare variants to risk of schizophrenia in individuals with and without intellectual disability. *Nat. Genet.* *49*, 1167–1173.
52. Kang, H.J., Kawasawa, Y.I., Cheng, F., Zhu, Y., Xu, X., Li, M., Sousa, A.M.M., Pletikos, M., Meyer, K. a, Sedmak, G., et al. (2011). Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature* *478*, 483–489.
53. Purcell, S.M., Moran, J.L., Fromer, M., Ruderfer, D., Solovieff, N., Roussos, P., O’Dushlaine, C., Chambert, K., Bergen, S.E., Kähler, A., et al. (2014). A polygenic burden of rare disruptive mutations in schizophrenia. *Nature* *506*, 185–190.
54. Barbeira, A.N., Dickinson, S.P., Bonazzola, R., Zheng, J., Wheeler, H.E., Torres, J.M., Torstenson, E.S., Shah, K.P., Garcia, T., Edwards, T.L., et al. (2018). Exploring the phenotypic consequences of tissue specific gene expression variation inferred from GWAS summary statistics. *Nat. Commun.* *9*, 1825.
55. Dobbyn, A., Huckins, L.M., Boocock, J., Sloofman, L.G., Glicksberg, B.S., Giambartolomei, C., Hoffman, G.E., Perumal, T.M., Girdhar, K., Jiang, Y., et al. (2018). Landscape of Conditional eQTL in Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex and Co-localization with Schizophrenia GWAS. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* *102*, 1169–1184.
56. Gamazon, E.R., Wheeler, H.E., Shah, K.P., Mozaffari, S.V., Aquino-Michaels, K., Carroll, R.J., Eyler, A.E., Denny, J.C., GTEx Consortium, Nicolae, D.L., et al. (2015). A gene-based association method for mapping traits using reference transcriptome data. *Nat. Genet.* *47*, 1091–1098.
57. Fromer, M., Roussos, P., Sieberts, S.K., Johnson, J.S., Kavanagh, D.H., Perumal, T.M., Ruderfer, D.M., Oh, E.C., Topol, A., Shah, H.R., et al. (2016). Gene Expression Elucidates Functional Impact of Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia. *Nat. Neurosci.* *19*, 1442–1453.
58. Senthil, G., Dutka, T., Bingaman, L., and Lehner, T. (2017). Genomic resources for the study of neuropsychiatric disorders. *Mol. Psychiatry* *22*, 1659–1663.
59. Hauberg, M.E., Zhang, W., Giambartolomei, C., Franzén, O., Morris, D.L., Vyse, T.J., Ruusalepp, A., CommonMind Consortium, Sklar, P., Schadt, E.E., et al. (2017). Large-Scale Identification of Common Trait and Disease Variants Affecting Gene Expression. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* *100*, 885–894.
60. Trowsdale, J., and Knight, J.C. (2013). Major Histocompatibility Complex Genomics and Human Disease. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* *14*, 301–323.
61. Chen, C.-H., Wang, Y., Lo, M.-T., Schork, A., Fan, C.-C., Holland, D., Kauppi, K., Smeland, O.B., Djurovic, S., Sanyal, N., et al. (2017). Leveraging genome characteristics to improve gene discovery for putamen subcortical brain structure. *Sci. Rep.* *7*, 15736.
62. Network and Pathway Analysis Subgroup of Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2015). Psychiatric genome-wide association study analyses implicate neuronal, immune and histone pathways. *Nat. Neurosci.* *18*, 199–209.
63. O’Dushlaine, C., Kenny, E., Heron, E., Donohoe, G., Gill, M., Morris, D., and Corvin, A. (2011). Molecular pathways involved in neuronal cell adhesion and membrane scaffolding contribute to schizophrenia and bipolar disorder susceptibility. *Mol. Psychiatry* *16*, 286–292.

64. Neale, B.M., Kou, Y., Liu, L., Ma'ayan, A., Samocha, K.E., Sabo, A., Lin, C.-F., Stevens, C., Wang, L.-S., Makarov, V., et al. (2012). Patterns and rates of exonic de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders. *Nature* *485*, 242–245.
65. Bernier, R., Golzio, C., Xiong, B., Stessman, H.A., Coe, B.P., Penn, O., Witherspoon, K., Gerdtts, J., Baker, C., Vulto-van Silfhout, A.T., et al. (2014). Disruptive CHD8 mutations define a subtype of autism early in development. *Cell* *158*, 263–276.
66. Krumm, N., Turner, T.N., Baker, C., Vives, L., Mohajeri, K., Witherspoon, K., Raja, A., Coe, B.P., Stessman, H.A., He, Z.-X., et al. (2015). Excess of rare, inherited truncating mutations in autism. *Nat. Genet.* *47*, 582–588.
67. Wang, T., Guo, H., Xiong, B., Stessman, H.A.F., Wu, H., Coe, B.P., Turner, T.N., Liu, Y., Zhao, W., Hoekzema, K., et al. (2016). De novo genic mutations among a Chinese autism spectrum disorder cohort. *Nat. Commun.* *7*, 13316.
68. McCarthy, S.E., Gillis, J., Kramer, M., Lihm, J., Yoon, S., Berstein, Y., Mistry, M., Pavlidis, P., Solomon, R., Ghiban, E., et al. (2014). De novo mutations in schizophrenia implicate chromatin remodeling and support a genetic overlap with autism and intellectual disability. *Mol. Psychiatry* *19*, 652–658.
69. Kimura, H., Wang, C., Ishizuka, K., Xing, J., Takasaki, Y., Kushima, I., Aleksic, B., Uno, Y., Okada, T., Ikeda, M., et al. (2016). Identification of a rare variant in CHD8 that contributes to schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder susceptibility. *Schizophr. Res.* *178*, 104–106.
70. Durak, O., Gao, F., Kaeser-Woo, Y.J., Rueda, R., Martorell, A.J., Nott, A., Liu, C.Y., Watson, L.A., and Tsai, L.-H. (2016). Chd8 mediates cortical neurogenesis via transcriptional regulation of cell cycle and Wnt signaling. *Nat. Neurosci.* *19*, 1477–1488.
71. Gompers, A.L., Su-Feher, L., Ellegood, J., Copping, N.A., Riyadh, M.A., Stradleigh, T.W., Pride, M.C., Schaffler, M.D., Wade, A.A., Catta-Preta, R., et al. (2017). Germline Chd8 haploinsufficiency alters brain development in mouse. *Nat. Neurosci.* *20*, 1062–1073.
72. Suetterlin, P., Hurley, S., Mohan, C., Riegman, K.L.H., Pagani, M., Caruso, A., Ellegood, J., Galbusera, A., Crespo-Enriquez, I., Michetti, C., et al. (2018). Altered Neocortical Gene Expression, Brain Overgrowth and Functional Over-Connectivity in Chd8 Haploinsufficient Mice. *Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991* *28*, 2192–2206.
73. Sniekers, S., Stringer, S., Watanabe, K., Jansen, P.R., Coleman, J.R.I., Krapohl, E., Taskesen, E., Hammerschlag, A.R., Okbay, A., Zabaneh, D., et al. (2017). Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes influencing human intelligence. *Nat. Genet.* *49*, 1107–1112.
74. Davies, G., Armstrong, N., Bis, J.C., Bressler, J., Chouraki, V., Giddaluru, S., Hofer, E., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C.A., Kirin, M., Lahti, J., et al. (2015). Genetic contributions to variation in general cognitive function: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in the CHARGE consortium (N=53 949). *Mol. Psychiatry* *20*, 183–192.
75. Hill, W.D., Davies, G., van de Lagemaat, L.N., Christoforou, A., Marioni, R.E., Fernandes, C.P.D., Liewald, D.C., Croneing, M.D.R., Payton, A., Craig, L.C.A., et al. (2014). Human cognitive ability is

influenced by genetic variation in components of postsynaptic signalling complexes assembled by NMDA receptors and MAGUK proteins. *Transl. Psychiatry* 4, e341.

76. Fernández, E., Collins, M.O., Frank, R.A.W., Zhu, F., Kopanitsa, M.V., Nithianantharajah, J., Lemprière, S.A., Fricker, D., Elsegood, K.A., McLaughlin, C.L., et al. (2017). Arc Requires PSD95 for Assembly into Postsynaptic Complexes Involved with Neural Dysfunction and Intelligence. *Cell Rep.* 21, 679–691.

77. Reichenberg, A., Weiser, M., Rapp, M.A., Rabinowitz, J., Caspi, A., Schmeidler, J., Knobler, H.Y., Lubin, G., Nahon, D., Harvey, P.D., et al. (2005). Elaboration on Premorbid Intellectual Performance in Schizophrenia: Premorbid Intellectual Decline and Risk for Schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 62, 1297–1304.

78. Reichenberg, A., Caspi, A., Harrington, H., Houts, R., Keefe, R.S.E., Murray, R.M., Poulton, R., and Moffitt, T.E. (2010). Static and dynamic cognitive deficits in childhood preceding adult schizophrenia: a 30-year study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 167, 160–169.

79. Denève, S., Alemi, A., and Bourdoukan, R. (2017). The Brain as an Efficient and Robust Adaptive Learner. *Neuron* 94, 969–977.

80. Sekar, A., Bialas, A.R., Rivera, H. de, Davis, A., Hammond, T.R., Kamitaki, N., Tooley, K., Presumey, J., Baum, M., Doren, V.V., et al. (2016). Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature* 530, 177–183.

81. Keulemans, J.L., Reuser, A.J., Kroos, M.A., Willemsen, R., Hermans, M.M., van den Ouweland, A.M., de Jong, J.G., Wevers, R.A., Renier, W.O., Schindler, D., et al. (1996). Human alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidase (alpha-NAGA) deficiency: new mutations and the paradox between genotype and phenotype. *J. Med. Genet.* 33, 458–464.

82. Ghaloul-Gonzalez, L., Goldstein, A., Walsh Vockley, C., Dobrowolski, S.F., Biery, A., Irani, A., Ibarra, J., Morton, D.H., Mohsen, A.-W., and Vockley, J. (2016). Mitochondrial respiratory chain disorders in the Old Order Amish population. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 118, 296–303.

83. Shiwaku, H., and Okazawa, H. (2015). Impaired DNA damage repair as a common feature of neurodegenerative diseases and psychiatric disorders. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 15, 119–128.

84. Madabhushi, R., Pan, L., and Tsai, L.-H. (2014). DNA damage and its links to neurodegeneration. *Neuron* 83, 266–282.

85. Su, Y., Ming, G., and Song, H. (2015). DNA damage and repair regulate neuronal gene expression. *Cell Res.* 25, 993–994.

86. McDermott, J.E., Goldblatt, D., and Paradis, S. (2018). Class 4 Semaphorins and Plexin-B receptors regulate GABAergic and glutamatergic synapse development in the mammalian hippocampus. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 92, 50–66.

87. Grayton, H.M., Fernandes, C., Rujescu, D., and Collier, D.A. (2012). Copy number variations in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 99, 81–91.

88. Power, R.A., Steinberg, S., Bjornsdottir, G., Rietveld, C.A., Abdellaoui, A., Nivard, M.M., Johannesson, M., Galesloot, T.E., Hottenga, J.J., Willemsen, G., et al. (2015). Polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder predict creativity. *Nat. Neurosci.* *18*, 953–955.
89. Hill, W.D., Harris, S.E., and Deary, I.J. (2018). What genome-wide association studies reveal about the association between intelligence and mental health. *Curr. Opin. Psychol.* *27*, 25–30.
90. Gale, C.R., Batty, G.D., McIntosh, A.M., Porteous, D.J., Deary, I.J., and Rasmussen, F. (2013). Is bipolar disorder more common in highly intelligent people? A cohort study of a million men. *Mol. Psychiatry* *18*, 190–194.
91. Hill, W.D., Arslan, R.C., Xia, C., Luciano, M., Amador, C., Navarro, P., Hayward, C., Nagy, R., Porteous, D.J., McIntosh, A.M., et al. (2018). Genomic analysis of family data reveals additional genetic effects on intelligence and personality. *Mol. Psychiatry* *23*, 2347–2362.
92. Evans, L.M., Tahmasbi, R., Vrieze, S.I., Abecasis, G.R., Das, S., Gazal, S., Bjelland, D.W., de Candia, T.R., Haplotype Reference Consortium, Goddard, M.E., et al. (2018). Comparison of methods that use whole genome data to estimate the heritability and genetic architecture of complex traits. *Nat. Genet.* *50*, 737–745.
93. The Brainstorm Consortium, Anttila, V., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H.K., Walters, R.K., Bras, J., Duncan, L., Escott-Price, V., Falcone, G.J., Gormley, P., et al. (2018). Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science* *360*, eaap8757.