



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Associations of specific types of sports and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality

Citation for published version:

Oja, P, Kelly, P, Pedisic, Z, Titze, S, Bauman, A, Foster, CE, Hamer, M, Hillsdon, M & Stamatakis, E 2017, 'Associations of specific types of sports and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality: A cohort study of 80,306 British adults', *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 812-817. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096822>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1136/bjsports-2016-096822](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096822)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

British Journal of Sports Medicine

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Associations of specific types of sports and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality: A cohort study of 80,306 British adults

Pekka Oja, Paul Kelly, Zeljko Pedisic, Sylvia Titze, Adrian Bauman, Charlie Foster, Mark Hamer, Melvyn Hillsdon, Emmanuel Stamatakis

Corresponding author: Pekka Oja

UKK Institute, P.O.Box 30, 33501 Tampere, Finland

pekka.oja@uta.fi

phone: +358-503394593

Other authors:

Paul Kelly: Sport, Physical Education and Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Zeljko Pedisic: Institute of Sport, Exercise and Active Living, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia

Sylvia Titze: Institute of Sport Sciences, University of Graz, Graz, Austria

Adrian Bauman: Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

Charlie Foster: Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Mark Hamer: School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

Melvyn Hillsdon: Sport and Health Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

Emmanuel Stamatakis: Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

Key words: sports, physical activity, public health, cohort study, epidemiology

Word count: abstract 212, main text 3206

25 references, 3 tables, 4 supplementary tables

Associations of specific types of sports and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality: A cohort study of 80,306 British adults

Pekka Oja, Paul Kelly, Zeljko Pedisic, Sylvia Titze, Adrian Bauman, Charlie Foster, Mark Hamer, Melvyn Hillsdon, Emmanuel Stamatakis

ABSTRACT

Background/Aim

Evidence for the long-term health effects of specific sport disciplines is scarce. Therefore, we examined the associations of six different types of sport/exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality risk in a large pooled Scottish and English population-based cohort.

Methods

Cox proportional hazards regression was used to investigate the associations between each exposure and all-cause and CVD mortality with adjustment for potential confounders in 80,306 individuals (54% women; mean±SD age: 52±14 years).

Results

Significant reductions in all-cause mortality were observed for participation in cycling (HR=0.85, 95% CI: 0.76-0.95), swimming (HR=0.72, 95% CI: 0.65-0.80), racquet sports (HR=0.53, 95% CI: 0.40-0.69) and aerobics (HR=0.73, 95% CI: 0.63-0.85). No significant associations were found for participation in football and running. A significant reduction in CVD mortality was observed for participation in swimming (HR=0.59, 95% CI: 0.46-0.75), racquet sports (HR=0.44, 95% CI: 0.24-0.83) and aerobics (HR=0.64, 95% CI: 0.45-0.92), but there were no significant associations for cycling, running and football. Variable dose-response patterns between the exposure and the outcomes were found across the sport disciplines.

Conclusions

These findings demonstrate that participation in specific sports may have significant benefits for public health. Future research should aim to further strengthen the sport-specific epidemiological evidence base and understanding of how to promote greater sports participation.

BACKGROUND

It is well-established that physical activity (PA) has multiple cardio-metabolic health benefits.[1]. This evidence comes largely from studies focusing on leisure-time PAs and active travel.[2, 3, 4, 5]. Epidemiological cohort studies have suggested that sports participation is associated with reduced mortality among middle-aged and older adults. Samitz et al. systematically reviewed 80 studies with 1,338,143 participants for associations between PA and risk of all-cause mortality.[6]. The domain “vigorous exercise and sports” showed the largest reduction in risk of all-cause mortality (RR=0.78) followed by “moderate and vigorous leisure-time activities” (RR 0.86), “moderate activities of daily living” (RR=0.90), “walking” (RR=0.93), and “PA for transportation” (RR=0.92).

It has been suggested that vigorous-intensity PA, that is inherent to many types of sports and exercise, may have a higher impact on reducing all-cause mortality risk than non-vigorous activities.[7]. Although sport is often cited as a contributor to public health, the nature and scope of this relationship remains unclear, particularly with regards to specific sport disciplines.

A recent systematic review of cross-sectional, cohort, and intervention studies examined the health benefits of 26 specific sport disciplines.[8]. The most commonly studied sport disciplines were jogging/running, football, gymnastics, recreational cycling and swimming. According to established criteria for assessing the strength of evidence [9] there was moderate evidence for health benefits of jogging/running and recreational football and less than moderate evidence for all other sport disciplines. This review concluded that the existing evidence remains fragmentary and is compromised by weak study designs.

The aim of the present study was to examine: (1) the independent associations between participation in common types of sports and exercise and all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality; and (2) the graded exposure-response characteristics of these associations in a pooled analysis of 10 general population cohorts of adults in England and Scotland.

METHODS

Sample

The Health Survey for England (HSE) and the Scottish Health Survey (SHeS) are household-based general population studies recruiting independent samples annually since 1991 (HSE) and periodically (SHeS) since 1995. Sampling is based on a multistage, stratified probability design aimed at a nationally representative sample of individuals living in households.[10, 11].

Interviewers visited the sampled households and administered the study questionnaire and measured height and weight. Each baseline data collection was approved by the relevant Research Ethics Committees in England and Scotland. All participants provided written consent to have their names flagged by the NHS Central Mortality Register. The present analysis included individuals aged 30 to 98 years from the HSE 1994, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2003, 2004, 2006 and 2008 and from the SHeS 1995, 1998 and 2003, with corresponding linkage to mortality data. For details of data acquisition and linkage see [12].

Physical activity assessment

Non-occupational PA was assessed using an established questionnaire that inquired about the frequency and duration of participation in domestic PA (heavy manual housework, gardening and “do-it-yourself” activities), walking, and sports and exercise in the four weeks prior to the interview.[13]. Prompt cards were employed for sports and exercises that contained a number of groupings, including cycling (for any purpose), swimming, aerobics/keep fit/gymnastics/dance for fitness, running/jogging, football/rugby, badminton/ tennis, and squash. For each positive response participants were asked to specify frequency (“*Can you tell me on how many separate days did you do [activity name] for at least 15 minutes a time during the past four weeks?*”), duration (“*How much time did you usually spend doing [activity name] on each day?*”), and perceived relative intensity (“*Was the effort usually enough to make you out of breath or sweaty?*”). The convergent validity of the questionnaire has been examined against accelerometry in a study of over 2000 adults. Spearman’s correlation between questionnaire and accelerometer-based estimates of MVPA was 0.42 in men (95% CI: 0.36-0.48) and 0.38 in women (95% CI: 0.32 to 0.45).[13].

Mortality outcomes

Surviving participants were censored on December 2009 (SHeS) or February 2011 (HSE). Primary causes of death were diagnosed according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)

using the ninth (ICD-9) and tenth revisions (ICD-10). CVD codes recorded from the ICD were 390-459 and 101-199 from the ninth and tenth revision, respectively (for details see reference [12]).

Covariates

Height and weight were measured using standard protocols that have been previously described [12]; BMI was calculated as weight (in kilograms) divided by height (in meters) squared. Additional questions assessed education level (age finished education), weekly frequency of alcohol consumption, psychological distress/depression (12 item General Health Questionnaire score – GHQ-12), smoking status (current, ex, never), presence of limiting longstanding illness, and existing doctor-diagnosed CVD (angina, stroke, coronary heart disease) and cancer.

Data Handling and Statistical Analyses

The exposure measures were participation in cycling for any purpose (termed “cycling”); swimming; aerobics/keep fit/gymnastics/dance for fitness (termed “aerobics”); running/jogging (termed “running”); football/rugby (termed “football”); and badminton/tennis/squash combined (termed “racquet sports”). Other sports were considered but were not included in analysis, because of insufficient statistical power resulting from low participation rates. The associations between each exposure and mortality were examined in terms of:

- a) Overall participation (none/any);
- b) Relative perceived intensity (none/lower intensity/higher intensity) with the responses to the questions “*Was the effort usually enough to make you out of breath or sweaty?*” determining lower vs. higher intensity.
- c) Weekly duration (none/low/high) using the sex-specific medians of weekly times reported by participants for each exposure as cut-offs that denote low vs. high duration (see Supplementary Table 1 for cut-off values).
- d) Weekly intensity-weighted volume (MET-hours/week) calculated using the PA Compendium [14] to assign a Metabolic Equivalent (MET) of activity and considering reported information on frequency, duration, and relative intensity. Sex-specific medians of weekly volumes (MET-hours/week) were used as cut-offs that denote low vs. high volume for each sport (Supplementary Table 1).

Baseline characteristics were summarised by sex. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to investigate the association between each exposure and all-cause and CVD mortality. Log-minus-log plots were used to examine proportional-hazards assumptions. Selection of covariates was guided by previous literature and multivariate analyses were adjusted for age and sex (Model 1), and

additionally adjusted for long-standing illness, alcohol drinking frequency, psychological distress (GHQ-12 score >3), BMI, smoking status, education level, doctor-diagnosed CVD (all-cause mortality analyses only), and weekly PA volume (MET-hours/week excluding the volume of the sport that was the main exposure in the corresponding model). Participants who had doctor-diagnosed CVD at baseline (angina, stroke, IHD) were excluded from the analyses with CVD mortality as outcome. Both the linear and quadratic trend p-values were reported for each model. In sensitivity analyses, participants who experienced events occurring in the first 24 months of follow-up were excluded. Sensitivity analyses were also conducted to check the possible “over-adjustment” by BMI in Model 2. As no appreciable differences were found BMI was retained in the main analyses. No participation was always used as the reference category. Analyses were carried out with SPSS version 22 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois), with the level of statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. In total, our analysis included 80,306 individuals with 43,705 women (mean age = 52 ± 15 years) and 36,601 men (mean age = 52 ± 14 years).

Overall, 44.3% of the participants (43.1% of women and 45.6% of men) met national PA guidelines. The most common sport/exercise activity was swimming, followed by cycling, aerobics, running, racquet sports and football.

Over an average follow-up of 9.2 ± 4.3 years (corresponding to 736,463 person-years) 8790 deaths from any cause occurred. Among the 75,014 participants who did not report doctor-diagnosed CVD at the baseline and were therefore entered in the CVD mortality analyses (9.2 ± 4.5 years of follow-up / 693,757 person-years) there were 1909 CVD deaths.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the sample by sex. The Health Survey for England and Scottish Health Survey.

	Women (n=43,705)	Men (n=36,601)	All (n=80,306)	p for sex Δ^f
Age, mean \pm SD (years)	52.0 \pm 14.7	51.9 \pm 14.3	51.9 \pm 14.5	0.285
Body mass index, mean \pm SD (kg/m²)	27.1 \pm 5.3	27.3 \pm 4.0	27.2 \pm 4.8	<0.001
Long standing illness^a (%)	47.7	47.7	47.7	0.909
Smoking (% current)^b	24.3	25.5	24.9	<0.001
Alcohol frequency (% \geq5 times/week)^c	14.7	25.3	19.5	<0.001
Psychological distress (% with GHQ score \geq4)^d	16.8	12.5	14.9	<0.001
Age finished education (age 19+)	16.3	20.2	18.1	<0.001
Total Physical Activity Volume, median (MET^e-hours per week)	12.1	14.5	13.1	<0.001
Meeting Physical activity recommendations (%)	43.1	45.6	44.3	<0.001
Cycling - any intensity (% participated)	7.3	13.0	9.9	<0.001
Swimming - any intensity (% participated)	14.7	11.9	13.4	<0.001
Running - any intensity (% participated)	3.1	7.3	5.0	<0.001
Football - any intensity (% participated)	0.3	6.4	3.1	<0.001
Racquet - any intensity (% participated)	2.7	4.8	3.6	<0.001
Aerobics - any intensity (% participated)	9.8	2.3	6.4	<0.001
CVD prevalence at baseline (%)	5.3	8.2	6.6	<0.001
<p>^aDichotomous variable derived from responses to a series of questions (yes/no) on illness within 8 listed body systems (e.g. nervous system, digestive system, heart and circulatory system etc.), at least one illness required to have longstanding illness. ^b Based on one question about smoking status with the options being: never, ex-regular smoker; ex-occasional smoker and current smoker. ^c Derived from the question “on how many days in the last 7 days did you have an alcoholic drink. ^d General Health Questionnaire comprises 12 questions related to psychological health (e.g. concentration, feeling depressed etc.) with the categories: 0, 1-3 and \geq4. ^e MET = Metabolic Equivalent. ^f P-value calculated using t-test for continuous or - due to the skewed distribution - the non-parametric Mann-Whitney-U-test and likelihood ratio chi square test for categorical variables.</p>				

All-cause mortality

Table 2 shows multivariate analyses of the association between exposure to specific sports and risk of all-cause mortality.

Table 2. Associations between sports participation and all-cause mortality in adults aged ≥ 30 years (n=80,306).

	Median age at death	Deaths/n	Model 1 ^f HR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^g HR (95% CI)
Cycling ^a				
None	77.0	8419/72373	1.00	1.00
Any	69.6	371/7933	0.69 (0.62 - 0.77)	0.85 (0.76 – 0.95)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	0.003
Swimming				
None	77.0	8395/69525	1.00	1.00
Any	69.6	395/10781	0.58 (0.53 – 0.65)	0.72 (0.65- 0.80)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	<0.001
Running ^b				
None	77.0	8722/76294	1.00	1.00
Any	55.5	68/4012	0.57 (0.45 - 0.73)	0.87 (0.68 – 1.11)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	<i>0.252</i>
Football ^c				
None	77.0	8747/77830	1.00	1.00
Any	54.0	43/2476	0.63 (0.47 – 0.86)	0.82 (0.61 – 1.11)
<i>p</i>			0.003	<i>0.175</i>
Racquet sports ^d				
None	77.0	8736/77391	1.00	1.00
Any	66.0	54/2917	0.38 (0.29 – 0.49)	0.53 (0.40 – 0.69)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	<0.001
Aerobics ^e				
None	77.0	8618/75165	1.00	1.00
Any	73.4	172/5141	0.60 (0.52 – 0.70)	0.73 (0.63 – 0.85)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	<0.001

^a For any purpose. ^b Running/jogging. ^c Football/rugby. ^d Badminton, tennis, squash. ^e Aerobics/keep fit/gymnastics/dance for fitness. ^f Model adjusted for age and sex. ^g Model also adjusted for long-standing illness, alcohol drinking frequency, psychological distress (GHQ score), BMI, smoking status, education level, doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular disease (IHD, angina, stroke) or cancer, and weekly volume of other physical activity (MET-hours, excluding the volume of the sport that was the main exposure in the corresponding model). HR=Hazard Ratio, CI=Confidence Interval.

In the most adjusted model compared with no participation in each activity: Cycling participation was associated with a significantly reduced risk of all-cause mortality of 15% (HR=0.85, 95% CI: 0.76-0.95). Swimming participation was associated with a significantly reduced risk of all-cause mortality of 28% (HR=0.72, 95% CI: 0.65-0.80). Running participation was not associated with a significant reduction in risk of all-cause mortality (HR=0.87, 95% CI: 0.68-1.11). Likewise, football participation was not associated with a significantly reduced risk for all-cause mortality (HR=0.82, 95% CI: 0.61-1.11). Racquet sports participation was associated with a significant reduced risk of all-cause mortality of 47% (HR=0.53, 95% CI: 0.40-0.69). Aerobics participation was associated with a significant reduced risk for all-cause mortality of 27% (HR=0.73, 95% CI: 0.63-0.85).

Cardiovascular disease mortality

Table 3 shows multivariate analyses of the association between participation in specific sports and risk for CVD mortality.

Table 3. Associations between sports participation and CVD mortality in adults aged ≥ 30 years (excluding those with CVD at baseline, n=75,014).				
	Median age at death	Events/n	Model 1 ^f HR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^g HR (95% CI)
Cycling^a				
None	76.0	1818/67261	1.00	1.00
Any	69.0	91/7753	0.78 (0.63 – 0.97)	0.93 (0.76 – 1.16)
<i>p</i>			0.023	0.533
Swimming				
None	76.1	1837/64486	1.00	1.00
Any	69.0	72/10528	0.48 (0.37 – 0.60)	0.59 (0.46 – 0.75)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	<0.001
Running^b				
None	76.0	1896/71026	1.00	1.00
Any	56.0	13/3988	0.55 (0.32 – 0.93)	0.81 (0.47 – 1.39)
<i>p</i>			0.026	0.451
Football^c				
None	76.0	1899/72558	1.00	1.00
Any	54.0	10/2456	0.74 (0.41 – 1.35)	0.90 (0.49 – 1.64)
<i>p</i>			0.325	0.736
Racquet sports^d				
None	76.0	1900/72131	1.00	1.00
Any	66.0	9/2883	0.32 (0.17 – 0.60)	0.44 (0.24 – 0.83)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	0.011
Aerobic^e				
None	76.0	1878/70011	1.00	1.00
Any	73.0	31/5003	0.52 (0.36 – 0.74)	0.64 (0.45 – 0.92)
<i>p</i>			<0.001	0.015

^a For any purpose. ^b Running/jogging. ^c Football/rugby. ^d Badminton/tennis/ squash. ^e Aerobics/keep fit/gymnastics/dance for fitness. ^f Model adjusted for age and sex. ^g Model also adjusted for long-standing illness, alcohol drinking frequency, psychological distress (GHQ score), BMI, smoking status, education level, doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular disease (IHD, angina, stroke) or cancer, and weekly volume of other physical activity (MET-hours, excluding the volume of the sport that was the main exposure in the corresponding model). HR=Hazard Ratio. CI=Confidence Interval.

In the most adjusted model compared with no participation in each activity: Cycling participation was not associated with a reduced risk for CVD mortality (HR=0.93, 95% CI: 0.76-1.16).

Swimming participation was associated with a significant reduced risk of CVD mortality of 41% (HR=0.59, 95% CI: 0.46-0.75). Running participation (HR=0.81, 95% CI: 0.47-1.39) and football participation (HR=0.90, 95% CI: 0.49-1.64) were not associated with a significantly reduced risk of CVD. Racquet sports participation was associated with a significant reduced risk of CVD mortality

of 56% (HR=0.44, 95% CI: 0.24-0.83). Aerobics participation was associated with a significant reduced risk of CVD mortality of 36% (HR=0.64, 95% CI: 0.45-0.92).

Dose-response analysis

Supplementary tables 2 and 3 show the results of the dose-response analyses for all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively. Mixed dose response relationships were obtained for different sport disciplines. Some showed a significant linear trend (indicating that reduction in mortality risk increased with higher intensity, duration and/or volume of sport participation), some showed a significant U-shaped relationship (indicating that lower intensity is more beneficial than high intensity or no participation), whilst some provided no indication of dose-response relationship. Fewer significant dose-response associations were found for CVD mortality compared to all-cause mortality.

DISCUSSION

In the present large population-based pooled cohort study we examined the independent associations of the six most commonly practiced types of sport or exercise in Scotland and England with all-cause and CVD mortality. Swimming, aerobics, and racquet sports were associated with significantly reduced risk of both all-cause and CVD mortality. Cycling was associated with significantly reduced risk of all-cause mortality, but not CVD mortality. Our data did not show evidence of significant association with mortality risk for participation in running or football.

As noted, we conducted these analyses in response to a limited number of previous findings for specific sports/exercise. [8]. This limited the number of comparisons we could make.

Considering some notable results, at a specific activity level, our cycling and all-cause mortality result compares well to a 2014 systematic review and meta-analysis of eight studies that yielded a 10% risk reduction (95% CI: 6%-13%) for cycling at a standardised dose of 11.25 MET-hours per week.[5]. We would suggest our result further confirms the likely magnitude of effect for cycling.

For swimming, a previous systematic review [8] identified three cohort studies and one intervention study, but the evidence for all-cause mortality, CVD mortality and adiposity was inconclusive. In contrast, in the present study, swimming participation showed large and significant associations with both all-cause and CVD mortality; 28% and 41% risk reduction, respectively.

The association between jogging/running and all-cause mortality among healthy adults has previously been addressed by four large-scale population based cohort studies.[15, 16, 17, 18]. The findings have been consistent in showing a significant risk reduction in all-cause and/or CVD-mortality. The found significant reductions in all-cause mortality were 39% [15], 30% [16], 44% [17] and 27%, and in CVD-mortality 45% [16]. Our results showed a significant 43% (95% CI: 27%-55%) reduction in all-cause mortality risk among runners compared with non-runners according to the age/sex adjusted model, but a non-significant 13% reduction in the fully adjusted model. A similar pattern was shown for CVD mortality. The fully adjusted associations in the present study are smaller than those reported in the previous studies, and also statistically non-significant. This was a surprising finding, and we have considered a number of possible explanations. In our data there were a relatively low number of mortality events in the exposure group which contributed to wide confidence intervals and perhaps the non-significant HRs. Previous studies have also assessed running participation over longer recall periods [16, 17, 18] than the current study. It might be that the recall period of 4 weeks used in the current study was not long enough to differentiate long term and transient behaviour possibly resulting in misclassification of participants. It seems therefore that while not significant, our result adds to the body of evidence supporting beneficial effects of jogging/running on all-cause and CVD mortality rather than contradicting it.

Football showed a non-significant reduction in risk of both all-cause and CVD mortality. These non-significant associations were somewhat unexpected, as the evidence from controlled intervention studies in a systematic review indicates that participation in recreational football improves aerobic fitness and cardiovascular function at rest and reduces adiposity among previously inactive adults.[8]. Our finding may reflect the low prevalence of football in the study population (0.3% among women, 6.4% among men) and the consequent weaker statistical power in the analyses. We did additional analyses including only men and the HR (model 2) remained non-significant for both all-cause and CVD mortality (see supplementary Table 4)

Participation in racquet sports (including badminton, tennis and squash) showed significant risk reduction of 47% in all-cause mortality and 59% reduction in CVD mortality. To our knowledge little comparable data are available. A previous systematic review [8] identified two studies on tennis and two on squash. One on tennis was a prospective cohort study [19] which showed decreased CVD risk among tennis players but no effect among racquetball players.

Strong and significant associations were found for both all-cause and CVD mortality with aerobics participation (including aerobics, keep fit, gymnastics and dance for fitness). Additional analysis including only women indicated more marked reduction in CVD mortality compared to combined group analysis (50 % vs. 36 %) (see supplementary Table 4) Aerobic dance has been shown to be associated with improved cardiorespiratory fitness [20] and this in turn with reduced mortality risk [21]. However, the direct link between participation in aerobic dance and mortality has not been previously investigated.

In order to place these observations in the context of overall PA we have adjusted the analyses for meeting vs. not meeting PA recommendations and for doing any sport vs. doing no sport. The adjusted HRs for all-cause mortality were 0.73 (0.69-0.77, $p < 0.01$) and 0.72 (0.68-0.76, $p < 0.001$), respectively, and the corresponding HRs for CVD mortality 0.73 (0.66-0.82, $p < 0.001$) and 0.72 (0.64-0.80, $p < 0.001$). These results suggest that compared to meeting the generic PA guidelines (which also consider walking) doing any sport appears to be equally protective for both all-cause and CVD mortality.

We tested for interaction by age (year 50 as cut-point), sex, and PA level (meeting the PA recommendations vs. not meeting them) of each sport participation by entering an interaction term in each fully adjusted model. With the exception of aerobics (sex interaction $p = 0.022$) and cycling (PA level interaction $p = 0.036$ for all-cause mortality and $p = 0.012$ for CVD mortality) there were no interactions by age ($p \geq 0.155$), sex ($p \geq 0.101$) or adherence to PA recommendations ($p \geq 0.211$). Cycling appears to be more beneficial among inactive people for both ACM and CVD (data available on request).

Mixed results were obtained for the dose-response relationship between participation in different types of sports and exercise and mortality. For running we observed no significant dose-response gradient, which is in accordance with some previous findings [16]. There was some indication of 'U'-shaped dose-response relationships between cycling, swimming and racquet sports participation and mortality, similar as in, for example, Schnohr et al. [17] and Wang et al. [18]. There is an ongoing scientific debate about the shape of the dose-response relationship between PA and mortality, with strong arguments supporting both opposing views. Some contend that the relationship is 'U' shaped [22, 23], whilst others argue it is linear. [24, 25]. Our results indicate variable patterns between the dose (intensity, weekly duration, weekly volume) of sport participation and the outcomes and highlight the need for further investigation.

It should be noted from tables 2 and 3 that the median age at death in groups with “any” sport participation is considerably lower than in the “none” groups. This could initially signal that participation leads to earlier death. However, we offer an alternative explanation of this phenomenon. At baseline the median age for the “any participation” groups is considerably lower than the “none” groups. This means that after 10 years follow up the “any” group is still considerably younger. This drives the phenomenon that the median age at deaths in the “any” group is lower as it is derived from a younger sample.

The strengths of the present study include the large population representative sample with both genders and a wide age range. To our knowledge this is the largest existing data set reporting sport and exercise specific associations with mortality risk. We used extensive national register-based mortality follow-up assessed independently. For the exposure assessment a validated questionnaire was used. The analyses controlled for a comprehensive set of covariates, although we cannot discount the possibility of residual confounding.

This study was not without limitations. First, the small number of events impaired the statistical power in some analyses. There were relatively few deaths due to all causes among runners and football players, which may explain the wide confidence intervals in the final adjusted model. The number of CVD deaths was rather small among all sport/exercise participants and may reflect the weaker associations especially in the maximally adjusted model results. Nevertheless, the associations remained robust for swimming, racquet sports and aerobics. Second, seasonality remains an important issue in PA research, and particularly in our case investigating sports that may have defined on and off seasons. The surveys that we used employed sampling over a 12 month period to account for this issue, but some miss-classification and resulting regression dilution may have reduced the strength of associations observed. Third, the relatively short recall period used for the assessment of the sport participation may have led to additional misclassification in terms of the long-term stability of the participation. Fourth, the repeat-cross-sectional nature of the survey data available meant we could not assess or account for changes in participation within individuals. Finally using mortality as an outcome may miss social and mental health benefits, or reductions in morbidity conferred by sports participation.

The findings add to the existing body of knowledge suggesting that sport participation is likely to have important potential to promote public health. Future research should use well-designed cohort studies to strengthen the evidence base on the associations between sport participation and mortality and morbidity, and also consider longitudinal changes in participation behaviour; conduct

intervention studies to investigate if health benefits of these and other sport disciplines are truly causal in nature; and find more effective ways of increasing population-level sports participation if the benefits are confirmed.

CONCLUSIONS

We found robust associations between participation in certain types of sport and exercise and mortality, indicating substantial reductions in both all-cause and CVD mortality for swimming, racquet sports and aerobics, and in all-cause mortality for cycling. The growing evidence should support the sport community to develop and promote health-enhancing sport programmes to reach more people and contribute to greater proportion of population meeting the PA guidelines for health.

REFERENCES

1. Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *The Lancet* 2012;380(9838):219-29.
2. Hamer M, Chida Y. Walking and primary prevention: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Br J Sports Med.* 2008; 42(4):238-43.
3. Woodcock J, Franco OH, Orsini N, et al. Non-vigorous physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:121-38.
4. Saunders LE, Green JM, Peticrew MP, et al. What are the health benefits of active travel? A systematic review of trials and cohort studies. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e69912.
5. Kelly P, Kahlmeier S, Götschi T, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of reduction in all-cause mortality from walking and cycling and shape of dose response relationship. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2014;11:132
6. Samitz G, Egger M, Zwahlen M. Domains of physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2011;40(5):1382-400.
7. US Department of Health and Human Services. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report, Washington, DC: 2008, 683. Accessed June 2010 from www.health.gov/paguidelines/.

8. Oja P, Titze S, Kokko S, et al. Health benefits of different sport disciplines for adults: systematic review of observational and intervention studies with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49:7 434-40.
9. De Bourdeaudhuij I, Van Cauwenberghe E, Spittaels H, et al. School-based interventions promoting both physical activity and healthy eating in Europe: a systematic review within the HOPE project. *Obes Rev.* 2011;12:205-16.
10. Mindell J, Biddulph JP, Hirani V, et al. Cohort Profile: The Health Survey for England. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2012;41(6):1585-593.
11. Gray L, Batty GD, Craig P, et al. Cohort Profile: The Scottish Health Surveys Cohort: linkage of study participants to routinely collected records for mortality, hospital discharge, cancer and offspring birth characteristics in three nationwide studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2009;39(2):345-50.
12. Stamatakis E, Chau JY, Pedisic Z, et al. Are sitting occupations associated with increased all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality risk? A pooled analysis of seven British population cohorts. *PLoS One* 2013;26. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073753
13. Scholes S, Coombs N, Pedisic Z, et al. Age- and sex-specific criterion validity of the health survey for England physical activity and sedentary behavior assessment questionnaire as compared with accelerometry. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2014;179(12):1493-502.
14. Ainsworth BW, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, et al. 2011 Compendium of Physical Activity: A second Update of Codes and MET Values. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(8):1575-1581. DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821ece12
15. Chakravarty EY, Huberts HB, Lingala VB, et al. Reduced disability and mortality among aging runners. A 21-year longitudinal study. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168(15):1638-46.
16. Lee D-c, Pate RR, Lavie CJ, et al. Leisure-time running reduces all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:472-81.
17. Schnohr P, Marott JL, Lange P. et al. Longevity in male and female joggers. The Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2013;177(7):683-89.
18. Wang N, Zhang X, Xiang Y-B, et al. Associations of Tai Chi, walking, and jogging with mortality in Chinese men. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2013;178(5):791-96.
19. Chomistek AK, Cook NR, Flint AJ, et al. Vigorous-intensity leisure-time physical activity and risk of major chronic disease in men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;44:1898-905.

20. Stofan JR, DiPietro L, Davis D, et al. Physical activity patterns associated with cardiorespiratory fitness and reduced mortality: The aerobics center longitudinal study. *American Journal of Public Health* 1998;88(12):1807-13.
21. Lee D-c, Sui X, Ortega F B, et al. Comparisons of leisure-time physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness as predictors of all-cause mortality in men and women. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45(6):504-10.
22. O'Keefe JH, Schnohr P, Lavie CJ. The dose of running that best confers longevity. *Heart* 2013;99(8):588-90.
23. O'Keefe JH, Lavie CJ. Run for your life... at a comfortable speed and not too far. *Heart* 2013;99(8), 516-19.
24. Arem H, Moore SC, Patel A, et al. Leisure time physical activity and mortality: A detailed pooled analysis of the dose-response relationship. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 2015;175(6):959-67.
25. Gebel K, Ding D, Chey T, et al. Effect of moderate to vigorous physical activity on all-cause mortality in middle-aged and older Australians. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2015;175(6): 970-977.

Key messages:

1. Sports participation is a common form of physical activity among all populations and possesses significant potential for public health benefits.
2. Despite the widely held view the health benefits of different sport disciplines are only weakly substantiated by scientific evidence.
3. We have conducted a large epidemiological cohort study among over 80,000 adults ≥ 30 years of age to find out the association between participation in six different sport/exercise disciplines and mortality.
4. We found robust evidence that participation in swimming, racquet sports and aerobics is associated with both reduced all-cause and cardiovascular mortality and participation in cycling with that of all-cause mortality.
5. We studied also the relationship between the dose of sport/exercise participation (intensity, weekly time, weekly volume) and the mortality response. Variable patterns of dose-responses were found across the sport disciplines precluding to make clear conclusions, and suggesting the need for further studies.

6. These observations with the existing evidence should support the sport community together with other actors to design and implement effective health enhancing sport programs.

Author contributions:

PO and ZP conceived the idea for the study. PO and ES made a study plan. ES, PK, PO, ST, and ZP contributed to the development of the data analysis design. ES processed and analysed the data. PO, PK, ST, ES and ZP drafted the manuscript. AB, CF, MHa, MHi contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to drafting the rebuttal and revising the final draft of the manuscript.

Funding: no external funding

Competing interests: None

Supplementary Table 1. Sex-specific median values and inter-quartile range of weekly crude duration (minutes/week) and energy expenditure weighted volume (MET-hours/week) of sport participation. These medians were calculated using data from the participants in each sport only.

	Duration (minutes/week)		Volume (MET-hours/week)	
	Median	IQR (25 th – 75 th)	Median	IQR (25 th – 75 th)
Cycling				
All Women	160	60 - 360	4.5	2.0 - 10.0
Women, low level	60	40 - 120	1.7	1.0 - 2.5
Women, high level	360	240 - 600	10.0	6.8 - 18.0
All Men	210	80 - 540	6.0	2.3 - 15.0
Men, low level	80	45 - 120	2.3	1.0 - 3.5
Men, high level	540	300 - 845	15.0	9.0 - 27.0
Swimming				
All Women	120	60 - 240	3.8	1.7 - 7.5
Women, low level	60	30 - 80	1.9	1.1 - 2.5
Women, high level	240	150 - 360	7.5	5.0 - 11.8
All Men	120	60 - 240	3.3	1.3 - 6.7
Men, low level	60	30 - 80	1.2	0.95 - 2.3
Men, high level	240	150 - 360	6.7	4.5 - 11.2
Running				
All Women	140	60 - 360	5.1	2.2 - 12.0
Women, low level	60	40 - 100	2.3	1.5 - 3.7
Women, high level	360	240 - 560	12.4	8.5 - 21.0
All Men	180	80 - 360	6.8	3.0 - 13.5
Men, low level	75	40 - 120	3.0	1.5 - 4.5
Men, high level	360	240 - 630	15.0	10.1 - 26.0
Football				
All Women	120	60 - 240	3.8	2.0 - 8.0
Women, low level	45	30 - 60	2.3	1.2 - 2.5
Women, high level	240	125 - 360	8.4	5.0 - 15.0
All Men	240	120 - 360	10.0	5.0 - 15.0
Men, low level	120	60 - 150	4.2	2.5 - 5.6
Men, high level	360	240 - 600	15.0	10.0 - 25.0
Racquet Sports				
All Women	240	110 - 480	5.3	1.7 - 10.5
Women, low level	90	60 - 120	2.0	1.0 - 3.5
Women, high level	360	240 - 630	10.5	7.0 - 17.5
All Men	240	120 - 420	3.5	1.1 - 10.5
Men, low level	120	60 - 160	1.0	0.7 - 1.7
Men, high level	400	270 - 667	7.8	5.2 - 14.0
Aerobics				
All Women	180	60 - 360	5.7	3.0 - 9.7
Women, low level	60	60 - 120	3.0	1.6 - 4.3
Women, high level	300	240 - 480	9.7	6.5 - 13.0
All Men	180	60 - 360	5.1	2.4 - 10.6
Men, low level	60	30 - 120	2.4	1.6 - 3.2
Men, high level	360	240 - 630	10.6	6.7 - 17.9

Supplementary Table 2. Associations between the dose (intensity, weekly duration, weekly volume) of sports participation and all-cause mortality in adults aged ≥ 30 years (n=80,306).				
	Median age at death	Deaths/n	Model 1 ^d HR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^e HR (95% CI)
Cycling intensity				
None	77.0	8419/72377	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	74.0	198/3105	0.67 (0.58– 0.77)	0.82 (0.71 - 0.94)
Higher ^b	65.0	173/4824	0.71 (0.61 - 0.83)	0.89 (0.77 - 1.04)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.001	0.342
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.033
Cycling weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	77.0	8419/72373	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	71.7	182/3927	0.68 (0.59 – 0.79)	0.82 (0.71 - 0.95)
High ^c	68.9	189/4006	0.70 (0.61 – 0.81)	0.88 (0.76 – 1.02)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.238
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.001	0.052
Cycling weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	77.0	8419/72377	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	72.0	192/3854	0.68 (0.59 – 0.79)	0.81 (0.70 – 0.94)
High ^c	67.0	179/4075	0.70 (0.61 – 0.82)	0.90 (0.77 - 1.04)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.412
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.023
Swimming intensity				
None	77.0	8395/69527	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	71.0	276/6348	0.61 (0.54 – 0.69)	0.75 (0.67 – 0.80)
Higher ^b	64.0	119/4431	0.53 (0.44 – 0.63)	0.66 (0.55 - 0.79)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	<0.001
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.034
Swimming weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	77.0	8395/69525	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	67.0	133/4465	0.54 (0.45 – 0.64)	0.67 (0.57 – 0.79)

High ^c	70.0	262/6316	0.61 (0.54 – 0.69)	0.75 (0.67 – 0.85)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.002
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.001
Swimming weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	77.0	8395/69527	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	69.0	184/5590	0.57 (0.49 – 0.66)	0.70 (0.60 – 0.81)
High ^c	70.0	211/5189	0.60 (0.52 – 0.69)	0.74 (0.65 – 0.86)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.002
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.001
Running intensity				
None	77.0	8722/76297	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	65.8	13/356	0.72 (0.42 – 1.25)	1.02 (0.59 – 1.78)
Higher ^b	54.0	55/3653	0.55 (0.42 – 0.71)	0.84 (0.64 – 1.10)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.224
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.661	0.784
Running weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	77.0	8722/76294	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	54.0	35/1939	0.64 (0.46 – 0.89)	0.96 (0.68 – 1.34)
High ^c	57.0	33/2073	0.52 (0.37 – 0.73)	0.79 (0.56 – 1.11)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.001	0.203
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.206	0.847
Running weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	77.0	8722/76297	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	54.5	36/2073	0.61 (0.44 – 0.85)	0.91 (0.66 – 1.27)
High ^c	57.0	32/1936	0.54 (0.38 – 0.76)	0.82 (0.58 – 1.17)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.004	0.324
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.108	0.896
Football intensity ^b				
None	77.0	8747/77830	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	58.0	18/376	1.00 (0.63 – 1.60)	1.25 (0.79 – 1.99)
Higher ^b	50.0	25/2100	0.50 (0.34 – 0.74)	0.66 (0.44 – 0.98)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.001	0.025

<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.338</i>	<i>0.140</i>
Football weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	77.0	8747/77830	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	54.0	15/1083	0.45 (0.27 – 0.75)	0.59 (0.36 – 0.98)
High ^c	55.0	28/1393	0.81 (0.56 – 1.17)	1.04 (0.71 – 1.50)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.773</i>	<i>0.478</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.007</i>	<i>0.044</i>
Football weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	77.0	8747/77830	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	57.0	20/1205	0.52 (0.34 – 0.81)	0.67 (0.43 – 1.04)
High ^c	50.0	23/1271	0.78 (0.52 – 1.18)	1.02 (0.67 – 1.54)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.579</i>	<i>0.646</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.015</i>	<i>0.085</i>
Racquet sports intensity				
None	77.0	8736/77391	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	68.0	21/ 1378	0.31 (0.17 – 0.56)	0.43 (0.24 – 0.77)
Higher ^b	66.0	33 /1537	0.40 (0.30 – 0.54)	0.56 (0.42 – 0.76)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i><0.001</i>	<i>0.015</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.005</i>	<i>0.030</i>
Racquet sports weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	77.0	8736/77391	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	67.0	22 / 1409	0.36 (0.23 – 0.55)	0.48 (0.31 – 0.73)
High ^c	66.0	31 /1477	0.39 (0.28 – 0.55)	0.57 (0.40 – 0.80)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i><0.001</i>	<i>0.021</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.002</i>	<i>0.015</i>
Racquet sports weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	77.0	8736/77391	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	65.2	22 /1409	0.39 (0.25 – 0.59)	0.53 (0.35 – 0.80)
High ^c	66.0	31/1477	0.37 (0.26 – 0.52)	0.52 (0.37 – 0.75)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i><0.001</i>	<i>0.005</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.005</i>	<i>0.055</i>

Aerobics intensity				
Any	77.0	8618/75165	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	79.6	82/1081	0.76 (0.61 – 0.95)	0.91 (0.73 – 1.13)
Higher ^b	69.0	90 /4056	0.51 (0.41 – 0.63)	0.62 (0.50 – 0.76)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	<0.001
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.693	0.549
Aerobics weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	77.0	8618/75165	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	73.2	96/2347	0.69 (0.56 – 0.84)	0.82 (0.67 – 1.00)
High ^c	74.9	76 /2794	0.52 (0.42 – 0.66)	0.64 (0.51 – 0.80)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	<0.001
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.149	0.692
Aerobics weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	77.0	8618/75165	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	73.0	105 / 2575	0.71 (0.58 – 0.86)	0.84 (0.69 – 1.02)
High ^c	75.0	67 /2562	0.50 (0.39 – 0.63)	0.60 (0.475 – 0.77)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<0.001	<0.001
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.279	0.952

^a Defined as answering “no” to the question: “Was the effort of (name of activity) usually enough to make you out of breath or sweaty?” ^b Defined as answering “yes” to the question: “Was the effort of (name of activity) usually enough to make you out of breath or sweaty?” ^c Groups were defined using the sex-specific medians of the corresponding variable, see *Supplemental Table 1*. ^d Model adjusted for age and sex. ^e Model also adjusted for long-standing illness, alcohol drinking frequency, psychological distress (GHQ score), BMI, smoking status, education level, doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular disease (IHD, angina, stroke) or cancer, and weekly volume of other physical activity (MET-hours, excluding the volume of the sport that was the main exposure in the corresponding model). HR=Hazard Ratio. CI=Confidence Interval.

Supplementary Table 3. Associations between the dose (intensity, weekly duration, weekly volume) of sports participation and CVD mortality in adults aged ≥ 30 years (excluding those with CVD at baseline, n=75,014)

	Median age at death	Events/n	Model 1 ^d HR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^e HR (95% CI)
Cycling intensity				
None	76.0	1818/67265	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	73.5	51/3012	0.78 (0.59 – 1.03)	0.93 (0.71 – 1.23)
Higher ^b	64.6	40/4737	0.78 (0.57 – 1.07)	0.94 (0.69 – 1.28)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.220	0.762
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.283	0.740
Cycling weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	76.0	1818/67261	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	70.5	48/3819	0.82 (0.62 – 1.10)	0.97 (0.73 – 1.30)
High ^c	68.0	43/3934	0.74 (0.55 – 1.00)	0.90 (0.67 – 1.21)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.097	0.516
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.523	0.972
Cycling weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	76.0	1818/67265	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	71.0	51/3739	0.83 (0.63 – 1.10)	0.98 (0.74 – 1.29)
High ^c	67.0	40/4010	0.73 (0.54 – 0.99)	0.89 (0.65 – 1.21)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.078	0.473
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.617	0.912
Swimming intensity				
None	76.1	1837/64488	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	70.7	47/6213	0.45 (0.34 – 0.61)	0.56 (0.42 – 0.74)
Higher ^b	63.5	25/4313	0.52 (0.35 – 0.77)	0.66 (0.44 – 0.98)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.013	0.140
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.006
Swimming weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	76.1	1837/64486	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	67.0	22/4375	0.40 (0.26 – 0.61)	0.50 (0.33 – 0.80)

High ^c	70.0	50/6153	0.51 (0.39 – 0.68)	0.63 (0.48 – 0.84)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.001	0.032
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.002	0.015
Swimming weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	76.1	1837/64488	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	69.0	27/5471	0.37 (0.26 – 0.55)	0.47 (0.32 – 0.68)
High ^c	69.8	45/5055	0.56 (0.42 – 0.76)	0.69 (0.51 – 0.94)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.016	0.173
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<0.001	0.001
Running intensity				
None	76.0	1896/71029	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	65.8	3/355	0.75 (0.24 – 2.34)	1.01 (0.33 – 3.16)
Higher ^b	54.0	10/3630	0.51 (0.28 – 0.92)	0.77 (0.42 – 1.41)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.058	0.424
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.918	0.862
Running weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	76.0	1896/71026	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	54.0	7/1930	0.67 (0.33 – 1.34)	0.96 (0.48 – 1.95)
High ^c	57.5	6/2058	0.44 (0.19 – 0.98)	0.67 (0.30 – 1.50)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.075	0.348
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.738	0.792
Running weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	76.0	1896/71029	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	54.5	7/2064	0.62 (0.30 – 1.25)	0.90 (0.45 – 1.82)
High ^c	57.0	6/1921	0.47 (0.21 – 1.06)	0.72 (0.32 – 1.62)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.116	0.466
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.550	0.990
Football intensity				
None	76.0	1899/72558	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	58.0	4/371	1.00 (0.37 – 2.67)	1.14 (0.43 – 3.07)
Higher ^b	49.5	6/2085	0.64 (0.30 – 1.36)	0.80 (0.38 – 1.70)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.263	0.531

<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.776</i>	<i>0.684</i>
Football weekly duration (minutes/week) ^b				
None	76.0	1899/72558	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	53.5	2/1072	0.42 (0.14 – 1.32)	0.52 (0.17 – 1.61)
High ^c	55.0	8/1384	1.03 (0.51 – 2.07)	1.25 (0.62 – 2.52)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.594</i>	<i>0.344</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.142</i>	<i>0.215</i>
Football weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	76.0	1899/72558	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	55.0	4/1192	0.61 (0.25– 1.48)	0.74 (0.30– 1.78)
High ^c	50.0	6/1264	0.90 (0.40 – 2.00)	1.11 (0.49 – 2.50)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.978</i>	<i>0.696</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.333</i>	<i>0.469</i>
Racquet sports intensity				
None	76.0	1900/72134	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	67.5	2/497	0.25 (0.06 – 1.04)	0.34 (0.08 – 1.35)
Higher ^b	65.5	7/2383	0.34 (0.17 – 0.69)	0.48 (0.24 – 0.97)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.027</i>	<i>0.130</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.172</i>	<i>0.268</i>
Racquet sports weekly duration (minutes/week)				
None	76.0	1900/72131	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	67.0	2/1363	0.17 (0.04 – 0.67)	0.22 (0.06 – 0.88)
High ^c	65.4	7/1520	0.38 (0.18 – 0.80)	0.55 (0.26 – 1.16)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.134</i>	<i>0.466</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.041</i>	<i>0.066</i>
Racquet sports weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	76.0	1900/72160	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	65.2	4/1399	0.34 (0.13 – 0.91)	0.46 (0.17 – 1.22)
High ^c	66.0	5/1455	0.28 (0.11 – 0.66)	0.39 (0.16 – 0.94)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			<i>0.019</i>	<i>0.088</i>
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			<i>0.213</i>	<i>0.368</i>

Aerobics intensity				
None	76.0	1878/70015	1.00	1.00
Lower ^a	79.0	13/1041	0.53 (0.30 – 0.91)	0.62 (0.36 – 1.08)
Higher ^b	68.0	18/3958	0.51 (0.32 – 0.81)	0.65 (0.41 – 1.04)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.025	0.175
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.147	0.255
Aerobics weekly duration (minutes/week) ^b				
None	76.0	1878/70011	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	73.4	20/2297	0.67 (0.43 – 1.05)	0.83 (0.53 – 1.29)
High ^c	73.0	11/2706	0.36 (0.20 – 0.66)	0.45 (0.25 – 0.82)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.002	0.014
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.806	0.764
Aerobics weekly volume (MET-hours/week)				
None	76.0	1878/70015	1.00	1.00
Low ^c	73.0	19/2511	0.60 (0.38- 0.94)	0.72 (0.46 – 1.13)
High ^c	73.0	12/2488	0.43 (0.24 – 0.75)	0.55 (0.31 – 0.97)
<i>Trend p (Linear)</i>			0.010	0.069
<i>Trend p (Non Linear)</i>			0.355	0.597
^a Defined as answering “no” to the question: “Was the effort of (name of activity) usually enough to make you out of breath or sweaty?” ^b Defined as answering “yes” to the question: “Was the effort of (name of activity) usually enough to make you out of breath or sweaty?” ^c Groups were defined using the sex-specific medians of the corresponding variable, see <i>Supplementary Table 1</i> . ^d Model adjusted for age and sex. ^e Model also adjusted for long-standing illness, alcohol drinking frequency, psychological distress (GHQ score), BMI, smoking status, education level, doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular disease (IHD, angina, stroke) or cancer, and weekly volume of other physical activity (MET-hours, excluding the volume of the sport that was the main exposure in the corresponding model). HR=Hazard Ratio. CI=Confidence Interval.				

Supplementary Table 4. Associations of participation in football/rugby (men only) and aerobics (women only) with all-cause and CVD mortality.

	Deaths/n	Model 1 ^a HR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^b HR (95% CI)
ALL-CAUSE MORTALITY			
Football/Rugby (men only)			
None	4431/34263	1.00	1.00
Any	40/2338	0.62 (0.45 - 0.84)	0.80 (0.58 – 1.01)
<i>p</i>		0.003	<i>0.168</i>
Aerobics (women only)			
None	4184/38418	1.00	1.00
Any	135/4287	0.59 (0.50 – 0.70)	0.72 (0.61- 0.86)
<i>p</i>		<0.001	<0.001
CVD MORTALITY^c			
Football/Rugby (men only)			
None	1393/34263	1.00	1.00
Any	11/2338	0.69 (0.38 - 1.26)	0.89 (0.48 – 1.63)
<i>p</i>		<i>0.228</i>	<i>0.703</i>
Aerobics (women only)			
None	1216/39418	1.00	1.00
Any	27/4287	0.41 (0.26 - 0.64)	0.50 (0.32 – 0.79)
<i>p</i>		<0.001	0.003

^a Model adjusted for age. ^b Model also adjusted for long-standing illness, alcohol drinking frequency, psychological distress (GHQ score), BMI, smoking status, education level, doctor-diagnosed cancer, and weekly volume of other physical activity (MET-hours, excluding the volume of the sport that was the main exposure in the corresponding model). ^c Participants with doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular disease (IHD, angina, stroke) at baseline were excluded. HR=Hazard Ratio, CIs=Confident intervals

